# Test report

COMP.SE.200-2020-2021-1 Software Testing

Jussi Kujanen 273161 Veikko Hiltunen 283475

## Github

Tampere University Finland November 17th, 2020

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Test cases	-
	2.1 Unit testing and integration testing	
	2.1.1 Test cases	
3	Findings and conclusions	9

#### 1 Introduction

The purpose of this document is to report testing results for an application which is used to sell food products. Testing was done only for the most important functions of the program due to time limitations. The aim of testing was to find problems that easily break the program. All tests cases are listed in test cases section and overall results are covered in findings and conclusions section.

## 2 Test cases

This chapter will cover unit and integration testing, and tests added in the second phase of testing.

With the large amount of functions present, prioritisation is a must. The functions are rated on a scale of 1 to 5, 5 having the highest priority. Based on the description of the use case for the library, we can focus mostly on functions that handle string manipulation and validation, such as 'capitalize', 'map' and 'isEmpty'. This is because the part of the frontend to be tested is mostly based on handling user input.

Functions that are not essential for string manipulation have lower priority, and are not tested. A complete list of module prioreties and reasoning can be found as an attachment to this document. 1

## 2.1 Unit testing and integration testing

Unit tests and integration tests were run using Jest [1]. We also used TravisCI [2] to make all commits automatically tested and Coveralls [3] which gave percentage of how many lines were properly covered.

The functions to be tested were selected according to their importance to the program. Almost all functions that were in no way related to the operation of the program have been excluded from testing.

Functions that were not part of the original test plan, have their test number **emboldened**. Tests that are integration tests are marked with [i].

#### 2.1.1 Test cases

Here will be listed all unit and integration tests and their results. All original tests are underlined.

1. Function: add.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	positive num-	(0, 5)	5	7	Yes
	bers				
2	negative and	(-5, 6)	1	1	Yes
	positive num-				
	bers				
3	only negative	(-5, 6)	-11	-11	Yes
	numbers				
4	zeros	(0, 0)	0	0	Yes

## **2. Function:** capitalize.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems. We decided to add more functions because we wanted to make sure that the function works with other values aswell.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected result	Actual result	Passed
1	when all charac-	(TEST	Teststring	Teststring	Yes
	ters are capital-	STRING)			
	ized				
2	when first letter	(0123 Test)	0123test	0123test	Yes
	is number				
3	when first letter	(P1234567)	P1234567	P1234567	Yes
	is character and				
	others are num-				
	bers				
4	when string con-	(J%/¤)	J%/¤	J%/¤	Yes
	tains other sym-				
	bols				

#### **3. Function:** compact.js

**Result:** When we gave array to compact.js function it doesn't filter values properly. For example, when we gave array [0, 1, false, 2, ", 3] it returned [0, 1, false, 2, ", 3] which is far from right one which is [1, 2, 3]. That's why we decided to not test that function anymore because it broke our pipeline.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	large item_id	[0, 1, false,	[1, 2, 3]	[0, 1, false, 2,	no
	list	2, ", 3]		,3]	

#### **4. Function:** Endswith.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	valid character	"abc","c"	true	true	Yes
2	invalid character	abc,b	false	false	Yes
3	second to last	abc,b, 2	true	true	Yes
	character				

## **5. Function:** filter.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems.

NOTE:

products =

'product' : 'dogfood', 'unsold' : true 'product' : 'catfood', 'unsold' : false

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	invalid product	[1, 2, 3, 4,	[1, 2, 3, 4,	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5,	Yes
	numbers	5, -6, 7]	5, 7]	7]	
2	sold products	products	dogfood	dogfood	Yes
<b>3</b> [i]	ending with fil-	["ab",	["ab",	["ab", "bb"]	Yes
	ter	"ac",	"bb"]		
		"bb"], b			

#### **6. Function:** get.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems.

NOTE: testobject = { a : { b : 1} }

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	Non existent	{a},'a.b'	undefined	undefined	Yes
	field				
2	Valid object	testObject,	1	1	Yes
		'a.b'			
3	Not equal 2	testObject,	not 2	not 2	Yes
		'a.b'			

#### **7. Function:** isEmpty.js

**Result:** All tests passed without problems. Here, we also wanted to add more tests so we can be sure that function can work between different parameters.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	Value is null	null	True	true	Yes
2	Value is array	[1,2,3]	false	false	Yes
3	Value is object	{a:1}	false	false	Yes
4	Value is number	1	True	true	Yes

#### **8. Function:** map.js

**Result:** All unit tests passed but we could not test integration because compact function does not work properly. NOTE:

$$products = [ \{ 'name': 'chair', 'price': 20 \}, \{ 'name': 'kalle', 'price': 50 \} ]$$

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	Capitalize multi-	[product1,	[Product1,	[Product1,	Yes
	ple products	product2],	Product2]	Product2]	
		capitalize			
2	Increase mul-	products,	[40,100]	[40,100	Yes
	tiple product	price * 2			
	prices				
3	Capitalize ob-	products,	[Chair,	[Chair, Kalle]	Yes
	ject names	capitalize	Kalle]		
<b>4</b> [i]	Falsy list com-	compact,	[2,3,4,]	-	No
	pact	map			
		[1,2,3,4]			
		isEmpty			

## **9. Function:** reduce.js

**Tests:** All unit tests passed without problems but integration test failed because of compact function.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	Sum of an array	[1,2,3],add,0	6	6	Yes
2[i]	Sum bad array	compact(	5	-	No
		[1,2,3,""],			
		add,0)			

#### 10. Function: slice.js

All unit tests passed without problems.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	Slice start	[1, 2, 3, 4],	[3, 4]	[3, 4]	Yes
		2			
2	Middle of the ar-	[1, 2, 3, 4,	[2.3.4.5]	[2.3.4.5]	Yes
	ray	5, 6], 1,5	_	_	

## 11. Function: toNumber.js

**Result:** All unit tests passed without problems.

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	modify string to	'3.2'	3.2	3.2	Yes
	number				
2	"Value is infinity	infinity	infinity	infinity	Yes
3	Value is decimal	500.2	500.2	500.2	Yes
4	Value is negative	-73	-73	-73	Yes
	integer				

## **12. Function:** toString.js

 $\textbf{Result:} \quad \textbf{All unit rests passed without problems.}$ 

Num	Test case	Test data	Expected	Actual result	Passed
			result		
1	multiple prod-	[p1, p2, p3,	'p1, p2, p3,	'p1, p2, p3, p4'	Yes
	ucts into a single	p4]	p4'	p4'	
	string				
2	When value is	-0	"-0"	"-0"	Yes
	negative zero				
3	String: Slice of	toString(	"1"	"1"	Yes
	objects	get( slice([			
		'a':1, 'b':2			
		], 0, 1)[0],			
		'a')))			

## 3 Findings and conclusions

Most of the unit- and integration tests were passed without any problems. The only bug found was with compact.js. The module functionality violates function description, and thus usage should be avoided until a patch is provided.

Some test cases were skipped, such as "Falsy list compact" in map.js. These test cases were skipped because of the bug in compact.js mentioned earlier.

The biggest problem the tested library has, in our opinion, was the inconsistency of edge case descriptions. Some functions would report results as undefined or null, while some would result in a crash due to internal errors. Extra care would have to be taken when using this library during edge case usage.

In the end, a Coveralls reported a 73% test coverage for the library. We consider this a sufficient coverage, considering that a significant portion of the library was left untested, due to the reasons described in the previous document.

That being said, nothing can be fully tested, and a complete reliance that a software is bug free should be avoided. Considering this, new tests are always welcome.

## References

- [1] Jest, [internet] https://jestjs.io/, [17.11.2020].
- [2] Travis, [internet] https://travis-ci.org/, [17.11.2020].
- [3] Coveralls, [internet] https://coveralls.io/, [17.11.2020].

#### Attacments

Priority table 1

Link to github document: https://github.com/Kujanenj/SoftwareTesting

Table 1: Priority

Importance Rating	Reason	
4	Adding prices	
1	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
3	String manipulation	
1	Not needed	
2	Might need to remove empty values	
1	Not needed	
3	Searching for products	
1	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
3	Searching products	
	object manipulation	
	Not needed	
	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
2	Empty input fields	
	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
1	Not needed	
3	String manipulation	
	Not needed	
	String manipulation, adding prices	
	Remove items from cart	
1	Not needed	
2	Input validation	
2 10	Input validation	
	Input validation, string manipulation	
	Not needed	
	Not needed	
	4 1 1 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	