Group Assignment:

Research and Tools Analysis with Demonstration

**This assignment contributes 45% to the overall marks for the module

Research progress date: Week 9 –

Presentation date: Week 12 –

Due date: Week 14 –

Learning Outcomes:

Discuss current trends in security threats and countermeasures	Section 1
in terms of ethics and consequences (A2, PLO4)	Group Component
Propose appropriate tools and techniques to meet specific	Section 2
security objectives (A3, PLO6)	Individual Component

Instructions:

This group assignment consists of **TWO** (2) sections; with each section designed to address a specific Learning Outcome. Maximum of 3 persons are allowed in a group. No one is allowed to work individually.

Section:	Task:	Marks Allocation:
Section 1	Research	45%
Section 2	Tools Analysis with Demonstration	55%

Section 1: Research

Word Count: Approximately 1000 words per student

This is to strengthen your comprehension and research skills relevant to the topic area. Please be sure to refer to reliable resources. You should use your own words (based your understanding of the sources), with proper academic writing and citation of references.

Topics are listed below. Please choose only **ONE** (1) topic per group.

Topic Areas:

Research Area	Possible Sub-topics (but not limited to)	Possible Tools (but not limited to)		
- Threat Intelligenc e	 Operating system / application vulnerability databases Reporting requirements and where to find the latest attack descriptions / cases Managed Security Service Providers (MSSP) 	 "Pen Testing" tools Vulnerability scanners Security control checklists		
Windows or Android	FirewallAnti-virusFull-disk encryptionAccess Control	 Standard tools Freeware / third-party tools Sys internals (Windows) Authentication (fingerprint, voice, face, etc.) 		
Encryption and PKI	 Evolution of SSL and TLS CA services and digital certificate management Cryptography and cryptanalysis techniques 	 Application libraries for different types of systems CA tools for self-signed certificates Code libraries (C#, Java, Python, PHP // Visual Studio, Eclipse, GitHub) 		

Section 2: Tools Analysis with Demonstration

Word Count: Approximately 1200 words per student

This is to assess your ability to analyse selected software tools and demonstrate the usage

and features of the tool for specific purposes.

Minimum requirement is ONE tool per person. Choose any relevant tool for analysis

according to the topic selected in Section 1. Then come up with appropriate justification

for selecting the tool (for example: best of class, classic, newest) and detailed analysis

plans. These plans should cover all possible aspects in analysing the tool in terms of its

features, user interface, performance etc.

Each student is responsible to explore his/her chosen tool and is expected to

demonstrate the tool's usage during the presentation. A comparison table would be

helpful in summarizing the findings of the analysis of these tools presented by the group.

Please make a video of how to use the tool using a program like Cam Studio

https://portableapps.com/apps/utilities/camstudio_portable

No audio is necessary, just show how it works - you will find this makes your

presentation much smoother.

1. Wireshark

2.Nmap

4. Metasploit Framework

5.Burp Suite Scanner

Report Guidelines:

Document the results of your work in a **professional and systematic** manner, in the form of a research report. **ONE** (1) softcopy and hardcopy of your documentation is to be submitted, with a group section that gives an overview of the topic, and a section with more in-depth analysis for each tool that has been selected for demonstration.

Complete documentation should at least contain the following:

- 1) Cover
- 2) Table of contents
- 3) Introduction
- 4) Write up for Section 1
- 5) Write ups for Section 2 (2.1, 2.2, 2.3)
- 6) Conclusion
- 7) References
- 8) Workload Matrix
- 9) Peer evaluation of groupwork (submit separately)

Marking Rubric

The assignment will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

Section 1: Research (PLO4 - Interpersonal Skills)						
Marking Criteria	0-7 (Fail) (F)	8-9 (Marginal Fail) (D)	10-12 (Pass) (C- C C+)	13-14 (Credit) (B B+)	15-20 (Distinction) (A A+)	Marks Awarded
Report Format	Serious deficiencies in vocabulary & grammar, table of contents, page numbering, line spacing, consistent font	Noticeable deficiencies in vocabulary & grammar, table of contents, page numbering, line spacing, consistent font	Acceptable vocabulary & grammar, table of contents, page numbering, line spacing, consistent font	Few and minor deficiencies in vocabulary & grammar, table of contents, page numbering, line spacing, consistent font	Near perfect vocabulary & grammar, table of contents, page numbering, line spacing, consistent font	
Report Structure	Serious deficiencies in introduction & conclusion, diagram labelling, numbered section titles/subtitles, citations and references	Noticeable deficiencies in introduction & conclusion, diagram labelling, numbered section titles/subtitles, citations and references	Acceptable introduction & conclusion, diagram labelling, numbered section titles/subtitles, citations and references	Few and minor deficiencies in introduction & conclusion, diagram labelling, numbered section titles/subtitles, citations and references	Near perfect introduction & conclusion, diagram labelling, numbered section titles/subtitles, citations and references	
Quality of Research	Serious deficiencies in level of depth in the description of the topic and its importance	Noticeable deficiencies in level of depth in the description of the topic and its importance	Acceptable level of depth in the description of the topic in the context of security threats and countermeasures	Good level of depth in the description of the topic and current trends in security threats and countermeasures	Excellent in level of depth in the description of the topic and current trends in security threats and countermeasures	
Breadth and Selection of Sources	Serious deficiencies in the selection of sources and consideration of their credibility	Noticeable deficiencies in the selection of sources and consideration of their credibility	Acceptable level of breadth in the selection of sources and consideration of their credibility	Few and minor deficiencies in the selection of sources and consideration of their credibility	Excellent level of breadth in the selection of sources and consideration of their credibility	
Teamwork	No research progress report, Work Breakdown/ Peer Evaluation shows minimal effort to collaborate	Poor research progress report, Work Breakdown/ Peer Evaluation shows lack of engagement	Acceptable research progress report, Work Breakdown,/ Peer Evaluation shows division of tasks	Research progress report shows early engagement, Work Breakdown,/ Peer Evaluation shows collaborative effort	Research progress report shows substantial early progress, Work Breakdown,/ Peer Evaluation shows excellent collaborative effort	
Total Marks - Section 1						/ 100

Section 2: Tools Analysis with Demonstration (PLO6 - Digital Skills) 0-78-9 10-12 13-14 15-20 Marks **Marking Criteria** Awarded (Marginal Fail) (Fail) (Pass) (Credit) (Distinction) (C- C C+) $(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} +)$ (F) (D) $(\mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{A} +)$ Little or no Only general or Clear criteria used Adequate Clear criteria used evaluation superficial justification for to justify selecting to justify selecting provided to justify evaluation of selecting the tool the tool based on the tool based on Selection of Tool selection of the alternative tools based on product comparison with analysis of objectives and tool provided reviews others alternatives Write-up shows no Write-up shows Write-up shows Write-up shows Write-up shows little familiarity thorough familiarity with good familiarity investigation and with accessing and accessing and with accessing and experimentation investigation and Review of using basic using basic using most features with features of the experimentation **Features** features of the tool features of the tool of the tool with all features of tool the tool Write-up shows Write-up shows Write-up shows Write-up includes Write-up little or no basic familiarity understanding of selection of highlights unique **Technical** familiarity with with techniques standard features interesting features features and techniques used in **Evaluation** used in a simple and underlying and underlying underlying a simple tool techniques tool techniques techniques Little or no General or Acceptable Good evaluation of Thorough evaluation of the superficial evaluation of the the strengths and evaluation of the usefulness of the evaluation of the usefulness of the weaknesses of the strengths and Critical tool for meeting a tool relative to tool for meeting usefulness of the weaknesses of the Evaluation security objectives tool for meeting specific security specific security tool relative to security objectives objective objectives specific security objectives Marginal quality of Creative use of Poor quality of Acceptable quality Creative use of visual aids / video, visual aids / video. of visual aids / visual aids / video, visual aids / video, unable to explain superficial or video, able to good explanation in-depth and demonstrate incomplete explain and and demonstration explanation and Presentation & accessing and explanation and demonstrate of accessing and demonstration of Demo using features of demonstration of accessing and using features of accessing and the tool accessing and using features of the tool using features of using features of the tool the tool the tool

Total Marks - Section 2

/100

Total Marks Section 1 * 0.45	
Total Marks Section 2 * 0.55	
Final Mark	
Additional Comments :	