

18.785 Analytic Number Theory Problem Set #5

Holden Lee

3/11/11

Problem 1

(A)

Since $\Gamma_0(N)$ acts on $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ by the slash operation and the subgroup $\Gamma_1(N)$ fixes $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$, $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a representation of $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N)$. The kernel of the map $\Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto d \pmod{n}$$

is the set of elements $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ where $d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. However, since $c \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and the determinant is 1, this forces $a \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N)$. Hence the kernel is $\Gamma_1(N)$ and $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ by the isomorphism sending the class of $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ to $d \pmod{n}$.

Since $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ is a finite-dimensional representation of $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, it decomposes into irreducible representations of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Thus we can write

$$S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\rho_N} V_{\rho_N}$$

where the sum is over all irreducible representations of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and V_{ρ_N} is the subspace where $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ acts by ρ_N . (Specifically, $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_1(N)$, corresponding to $d \pmod{p} \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, acts by $\rho_N(d)$.) These are the same as the characters χ_N since all irreducible representations of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ are one-dimensional. If χ_N corresponds to ρ_N , then by definition, $V_{\rho_N} = S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi_N)$, giving the desired result.

(B)

Let f be a modular form of weight k on a congruence subgroup containing $\Gamma(n)$.

Let $N = n^3$, and let $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}$. Then for any $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N)$, we have

$$\alpha \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \alpha^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a - n^2b & n^2b \\ a + \frac{c}{n^2} - n^2b - d & n^2b + d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{n}$$

since $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{n^3}$, and $c \equiv 0 \pmod{n^3}$. This shows that for any $\beta \in \Gamma_1(N)$, $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} \in \Gamma(n)$ so

$$f|[\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}]_k = f \text{ for all } \beta \in \Gamma_1(N).$$

Then

$$f|[\alpha]_k|[\beta]_k = f|[\alpha]_k \text{ for all } \beta \in \Gamma_1(N)$$

so $f|[\alpha]_k \in M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$.

Problem 2

Consider $f(z) = e^{e^{-iz}}$ on the strip $\Re z \in [-\pi, \pi]$. We verify:

1. $f(z) = O(1)$ when $\Re(z) = \pm\pi$: If $z = \pm\pi + iy$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$f(z) = e^{e^{-y \pm \pi i}} = e^{-e^{-y}} < e^0 = 1.$$

2. $f(z) \neq O(1)$ when $\Re(z) = 0$: If $z = iy$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$f(z) = e^{e^{-i(iy)}} = e^{e^y}$$

which is clearly not bounded.

Problem 3

Lemma 3.1 (Schreier's subgroup lemma): Let G be a group, H a subgroup, and T a right transversal of H in G containing 1. For every $g \in G$, let \bar{g} be the unique element $t \in T$ such that $Hg = Ht$.

Suppose G is generated by the set S . Then

$$\{ts(\overline{ts})^{-1} : s \in S, t \in T\}$$

generates H .

Let $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $H = \Gamma_0(5)$. By the algorithm in PSet 2, problem 5, we find coset representatives of H in G to be

$$I, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note G is generated by $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. Thus the lemma gives the following generators for $\Gamma_0(5)$:

$s \backslash r$	I_2	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	I_2	I_2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	I_2	I_2
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	I_2	$-I_2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

To show f is a modular form for $\Gamma_0(N)$, i.e. invariant under all elements of $\Gamma_0(N)$, it suffices to show f is invariant under all the matrices in the above table. It is clear that f

is invariant under $\pm I_2$. f is invariant under the translation $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ because it has a Fourier series expansion. It suffices to show f is invariant under $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

First we show that

$$f|[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{smallmatrix}]_k = f, \quad (1)$$

i.e.

$$f(z) = \sqrt{N}^{-k/2} z^{-k} f\left(\frac{-1}{Nz}\right).$$

By the given functional equation,

$$\begin{aligned} L(s) &= \frac{L^*(s)(2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{N}^s \Gamma(s)} \\ &= \frac{i^{-k} L^*(k-s)(2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{N}^s \Gamma(s)} \\ &= \frac{i^{-k} \cdot [(2\pi)^{-(k-s)} \sqrt{N}^{k-s} \Gamma(k-s) L(k-s)] \cdot (2\pi)^s}{\sqrt{N}^s \Gamma(s)} \\ &= \frac{i^{-k} \Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) (2\pi)^{2s-k}}{\sqrt{N}^{2s-k}} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

By Proposition 5.1.2, for σ large enough, for $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(iy) - a_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \Gamma(s) L(s) (2\pi y)^{-s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \frac{i^{-k} \Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) (2\pi)^{2s-k}}{\sqrt{N}^{2s-k}} \Gamma(s) (2\pi y)^{-s} ds && \text{by (2)} \\ &= \sqrt{N}^{-k} (iy)^{-k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-(k-s)} ds \\ &= \sqrt{N}^{-k} (iy)^{-k} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(k-\sigma)-i\infty}^{(k-\sigma)+i\infty} \Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-(k-s)} ds - \sqrt{N}^k (iy)^k a_0 \right) \\ & && (3) \\ &= \sqrt{N}^{-k} (iy)^{-k} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \Gamma(s) L(s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-s} ds - a_0 \\ &= \sqrt{N}^{-k} (iy)^{-k} f\left(\frac{i}{Ny}\right) - a_0 \end{aligned}$$

We have $|\Gamma(\sigma + it)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\pi|t|/2} \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, $L(s)$ bounded in vertical strips by assumption, and that the absolute value of $\left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-s}$, $s = \sigma + it$ is determined by σ . Hence $\Gamma(s) L(s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-s} \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, so $\Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-(k-s)} \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Phragmén-Lindelöf, $\Gamma(k-s) L(k-s) \left(\frac{2\pi}{Ny}\right)^{-(k-s)}$ is bounded on vertical strips, and our use of Cauchy's Theorem to move the path of integration in (3) is justified. This shows (1).

Now note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Since f is invariant under slashing by both $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it is invariant under $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Lemma 3.2:

$$f| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_k + f| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k + f| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k = 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}} a(2) a(n).$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_k + f| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k + f| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) \left[2^{\frac{k}{2}} e(2nz) + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} e\left(\frac{nz}{2}\right) + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} e\left(\frac{n(z+1)}{2}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) \left[2^{\frac{k}{2}} e(2nz) + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} e\left(\frac{nz}{2}\right) \left(1 + e\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) 2^{\frac{k}{2}} e(2nz) + \sum_{n \geq 0, n \text{ even}} 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}} e\left(\frac{nz}{2}\right) \left(1 + e\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(a\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{k}{2}} + a(2n) 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \right) e(nz) \end{aligned}$$

where, for convenience, we set $a(n) = 0$ for $n \notin \mathbb{N}$. Let $b(n) = a\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{k}{2}} + a(2n) 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}}$.

Now consider the $p = 2$ term in the Euler product:

$$\frac{1}{1 - a(2)2^{-s} + 2^{k-1}4^{-s}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n 2^{-ns}$$

Rewriting this with $2^{-s} = x$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - a(2)x + 2^{k-1}x^2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \\ \implies 1 &= (1 - a(2)x + 2^{k-1}x^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \end{aligned}$$

Matching the coefficients of x^{j+1} on both sides gives

$$c_{j+1} - a(2)c_j + 2^{k-1}c_{j-1} = 0, \quad j \geq 0$$

(where $c_{-1} = 0$). Since $c_j = a(2^j)$, this rewrites to

$$2^{k-1}a(2^{j-1}) + a(2^{j+1}) = a(2)a(2^j).$$

Since f has an Euler product expansion, $a(m)$ is multiplicative. Given m , suppose $2^{j-1} \parallel m$. Then multiplying the above by $a\left(\frac{m}{2^{j-1}}\right)$ gives

$$2^{k-1}a(m) + a(4m) = a(2)a(2m).$$

Thus $b(n) = a\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)2^{\frac{k}{2}} + a(2n)2^{1-\frac{k}{2}} = 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}}a(2)a(n)$. (If n is odd, then $b(n) = a(2n)2^{1-\frac{k}{2}} = 2^{1-\frac{k}{2}}a(2)a(n)$ as well.) \square

Let $w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Now $f|[w]_k = f$ from (1), so

$$f \left| \left[w \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} w^{-1} \right]_k \right. = f.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. + f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. + f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. &= f \left| \left[w \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} w^{-1} \right]_k \right. + f \left| \left[w \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} w^{-1} \right]_k \right. + f \left| \left[w \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} w^{-1} \right]_k \right. \\ &= f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. + f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. + f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \right. . \end{aligned}$$

This shows $f|[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}] = f|[\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -5 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}]$. Slashing by $\frac{1}{2}[\begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}]$ gives

$$f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -5 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \right. = f \left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right. = f.$$

Similar results would probably hold for other N , with similar proof.