

# 1 Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Function

Throughout we work in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 1.1:** Given a function  $f$ , define the **maximal function** as the maximal average of absolute value over balls centered at  $f$ .

$$Uf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{m(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Maximal inequality): 1. For all  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$m(\{x : Mf(x) > \alpha\}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f| dx.$$

This essentially says that if  $f \in L^1$ , then  $Mf \in L^{1,\infty}$ .

2. If  $f \in L^p$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , then  $Mf \in L^p$ , and

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

To prove this we need the covering lemma.

**Lemma 1.3** (Vitali covering lemma): Let  $E$  be a nonempty measurable set, covered by balls  $B_1, \dots, B_M$ . There exists a subcollection  $\{B_1, \dots, B_N\}$  that is mutually disjoint and

$$\sum_{k=1}^N m(B_k) \geq 3^n m(E).$$

Suppose we have some weird set  $E$ . If we can cover it by a finite collection of balls, we can find a disjoint subcollection that still tells us something about the measure of  $E$ .

*Proof.* Use the greedy method (“analysis is being greedy”). Let  $B_1 \in \{B_\alpha\}$  have the largest radius. Let  $B_2$  be the ball disjoint from  $B_1$  which has largest radius, and so forth.

They are mutually disjoint by construction. Suppose  $B \in \{B_\alpha\}$  which is not one of  $B_1, \dots, B_N$ . Then for some  $l$ ,  $B \cap B_l \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $B_l$  is the largest (first) ball that it intersects. Then  $r(B_l) > r(B)$  because otherwise  $B$  would have been chosen instead of  $B_l$  at that stage. Then the dilation of  $B_l$  by a factor of 3 covers  $B$ :  $B \subseteq 3B_l$ .

Then  $3B_1, \dots, 3B_N$  cover  $E$ , so

$$m(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N m(3B_k) = 3^n \sum_{k=1}^N m(B_k).$$

□

*Proof of maximal inequality 1.2.* Define the **uncentered maximal function** by

$$\widetilde{M}f(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{m(B)} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

It's clear that  $Mf(x) \leq \widetilde{M}f(x)$ .

It suffices to prove the theorem for  $\widetilde{M}f$ .

Set

$$E_\alpha = \{x : \widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha\}.$$

For all  $x \in E_\alpha$ , there exists  $B_x \ni x$  such that

$$\frac{1}{m(B_x)} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy > \alpha,$$

i.e.  $m(B_x) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{B_x} |f(y)| dy$ . Let measure of  $E$  can be made arbitrarily close to that of  $E_\alpha$  because of inner regularity.) Let  $\{B_x\}_{x \in E_\alpha}$  be a collection of balls that cover  $E_\alpha$ . By compactness we can choose a finite number  $B_1, \dots, B_M$  that cover  $E$ . By the Covering Lemma 1.3 we can choose  $B_1, \dots, B_N$  mutually disjoint and  $\sum_{k=1}^N m(B_k) \geq 3^{-n} m(E)$ . We have an upper bound on this already:

$$\begin{aligned} m(E) &\leq 3^n \sum_{k=1}^N m(B_k) \\ &\leq \frac{3^n}{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{B_k} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \frac{3^n}{\alpha} \int |f| dx \\ \implies m(E_\alpha) &\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f| dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

The norm is independent of the dimension.

**Definition 1.4:** Let  $f$  be measurable. The **distribution function** of  $f$  is a function  $\lambda_f(\alpha) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) = m(\{|f(x)| > \alpha\}).$$

This gives us useful information. For example,

$$\int |f|^p dx = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

“Cut the cake” horizontally.

*Proof of part 2 of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $f \in L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then

$$\int |\widetilde{M}f(x)|^p dx = p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} m\left(\left\{x : \widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha\right\}\right) d\alpha \leq p \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} m\left(\left\{x : \widetilde{M}g(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}\right) d\alpha.$$

where

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} |f(x)|, & |f(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We show the last inequality. The new function  $g$  contains all the information we need. We know

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \max\{g(x), \frac{\alpha}{2}\} \\ &\leq |g(x)| + \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This translates to the maximal function.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}f(x) &\leq \widetilde{M}g(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ \widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha &\implies \widetilde{M}g(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2} \\ \{\widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha\} &\subseteq \{\widetilde{M}g(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\widetilde{M}f(x)|^p dx &\lesssim \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| dx \right) d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^\infty \alpha^{p-2} \left( \int_{\{x: |f(x)| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}} |f(x)| dx \right) d\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \left( \int_0^{2|f(x)|} \alpha^{p-1} d\alpha \right) dx \lesssim \int |f|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let's build up what we need for the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition. First we need another (more annoying) covering lemma.

We can cover the complement of a closed set with cubes, where the diameter of the cube is proportion to the distance from the cube to the set.

**Lemma 1.5** (Whitney decomposition): Let  $F$  be a nonempty closed set. There exists a sequence of almost disjoint cubes (intersecting only on a set of measure 0, i.e., their boundary)  $\{Q_k\}$  such that

$$F^c = \bigcup Q_k,$$

and there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\text{diam}(Q_k) \leq d(Q_k, F) \leq C \text{diam}(Q_k).$$

*Proof.* Let  $M_0$  be cubes of unit side length with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Define  $M_k$  by bisecting each cube in  $M_{k-1}$ , so that  $M_k$  consists of cubes with side length  $2^{-k}$ , vertices  $2^{-k}\mathbb{Z}^n$ . (This is the “dyadic decomposition.”)

Let  $\Omega = F^c$ ; set

$$\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : C2^{-k} \leq d(x, F) \leq 2C2^{-k}\},$$

where  $C$  is a constant to be chosen. Think of them as bands around the original set.

For  $Q \in M_k$ , include  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $Q \cap \Omega_k \neq \emptyset$ . We need a lower bound of the distance of the cube to the original set  $F$ . Let  $x \in Q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, F) &\geq C2^{-k} - \underbrace{\text{diam}(Q)}_{\sqrt{n}2^{-k}} \\ &= (C - \sqrt{n})2^{-k} \geq \sqrt{n}2^{-k} = \text{diam}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

where we take  $C = 2\sqrt{n}$ . This gives one direction.

For the other,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Q, F) &\leq 2C2^{-k} && \text{since it intersects the band} \\ &= 2 \cdot 2\sqrt{n}2^{-k} \\ &= 4d(Q). \end{aligned}$$

For all  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\text{diam}(Q) \leq d(Q, F) \leq 4 \text{diam}(Q).$$

We also have to remove redundant cubes. Proof omitted. □

**Theorem 1.6** (Calderon-Zygmund decomposition): Let  $f \in L^1$ . Fix  $\alpha > 0$ . Then there exists a decomposition  $f = g + \sum_k b_k$  and a sequence of almost disjoint cubes  $\{Q_k\}$  such that

1. (the good part is bounded)  $|g(x)| \leq \alpha$ ,
2.  $\text{Supp}(b_k) \subseteq Q_k$ ,  $\int_{Q_k} b_k = 0$ , and  $\int |b_k(x)| \lesssim \alpha \cdot m(Q_k)$ ,
3.  $\sum m(Q_k) \lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f| dx$ .

Bounds ( $\lesssim$ ) depend only on the dimension.

This is very useful. To construct  $g, b_k$ , it's tempting to just cut off  $f$  at  $\alpha$ . But the correct thing to do is to cut off the maximal function at  $\alpha$ .

Idea: The bad set will be covered by a bunch of cubes; if you dilate the cubes by a fixed factor it intersects the good set, so you have a bound on the maximal function.

*Proof.* We cutoff where  $\widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha$ . Let  $E_\alpha = \{x : \widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha\}$ .  $E_\alpha^c$  is closed (WLOG nonempty and not all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Apply Whitney decomposition 1.5 to cover  $E_\alpha$  by  $\{Q_k\}$ . Set

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} |f(x)|, & x \in E_\alpha^c \\ \frac{1}{m(Q_k)} \int_{Q_k} f(y) dy, & x \in Q_k \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

On  $E_\alpha^c$  we have  $|f(x)| \lesssim |\widetilde{M}f(x)| \leq \alpha$ .

**Claim:** We have  $|g(x)| \lesssim \alpha$  almost everywhere.

If  $x \in Q_k$  (This is a set completely contained in the bad region, but we can blow it up so that it overlaps the good region.),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |g(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{m(Q_k)} \int_{Q_k} |f(y)| dy \\
 &\leq \frac{4^n}{m(Q_k^*)} \int_{Q_k^*} |f(y)| dy & Q_k^* &:= 4Q_k \\
 &\lesssim \alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$b_k(x) = \chi_{Q_k}(x) \left( f(x) - \frac{1}{m(Q_k)} \int_{Q_k} f(y) dy \right);$$

note that  $\int b_k(x) = 0$ ; we have  $f = g + \sum b_k$ .

Now we need to estimate the  $L^1$  norm of each. We find (the “ $\leq \alpha$ ” comes from taking  $x \in Q_k^* \cap E_\alpha$  and noting  $\frac{1}{m(Q_k^*)} \int_{Q_k^*} |f(y)| dy \leq \widetilde{M}f(x)$  by definition of  $\widetilde{M}f$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int |b_k(x)| dx &\leq 2 \int_{Q_k} |f(y)| dy \\
 &\leq \int_{Q_k^*} |f(y)| dy \\
 &= m(Q_k^*) \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{m(Q_k^*)} \int_{Q_k^*} |f(y)| dy}_{\leq \alpha} \\
 &\lesssim \alpha m(Q_k^*) \lesssim \alpha m(Q_k) \\
 \sum m(Q_k) &= m(\{x : \widetilde{M}f(x) > \alpha\}) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha} \int |f| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

What can you do with this decomposition? You can estimate in singular integrals. This comes up in  $L^p$  elliptic regularity results for Laplace’s equation. There’s an integral kernel that can be estimated using Calderon-Zygmund.