

18.785 Analytic Number Theory Problem Set #3

Holden Lee

2/21/11

Problem 1 (*Nonvanishing Poincaré series*)

The n th Fourier coefficient of $P_n(z)$, the Poincaré series of weight k , is

$$p(n, n) = 1 + \frac{2\pi}{i^k h} \sum_{c>0} c^{-1} S_\Gamma(n/h, n/h; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{2\pi n}{ch} \right).$$

To show that the Poincaré series does not vanish, it suffices to show $p(n, n) \neq 0$. For this, it suffices to show that $|A| < 1$ where $A = \frac{2\pi}{i^k h} \sum_{c>0} c^{-1} S_\Gamma(n/h, n/h; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{2\pi n}{ch} \right)$. Note that any c in the sum is an integer because $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We assume $k > 4$ and the smallest c is greater than 1 (so at least 2). Below C_1, C_2, \dots will represent constants.

First, [2, 4.1] gives the bound

$$J_k(x) \leq (2\pi k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{ex}{2k} \right)^k.$$

Hence (noting $h \geq 1$),

$$J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi n}{ch} \right) \leq (2\pi(k-1))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2\pi en}{(k-1)ch} \right)^{k-1} \leq C_1 (2\pi e)^k \frac{n^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} c^{k-1}}.$$

From Proposition 4.9.1,

$$|S_\Gamma(m, n; c)| \leq c^2 \cdot c(s, s)^{-1}.$$

Putting these two estimates together, and letting $c_0 = c(s, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq C_2 (2\pi e)^k \frac{n^{k-1}}{c_0 (k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{c \geq c_0} \frac{1}{c^{k-3}} \\ &\leq C_2 (2\pi e)^k \frac{n^{k-1}}{c_0 (k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{c_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{k-3}} dx \\ &= C_2 (2\pi e)^k \frac{n^{k-1}}{c_0 (k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{(c_0-1)^{-k+4}}{k-4}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This is at most 1 if

$$\begin{aligned} n^{k-1} &\leq C_3(2\pi e)^{-k}(k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(k-4)c_0^{-1}(c_0-1)^{k-4} \\ &\Leftrightarrow n \leq C_4k(c_0-1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow n \leq C_5kc_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $n \leq C_5kc_0$ then $P_n(z)$ does not vanish.

If instead $c_0 = 1$, then by letting $n \leq Ckc_0 = Ck$ with appropriate C , we may assume that term $c = 1$ in the sum (1) is less than a constant, say $\frac{1}{2}$, since

$$C_2(2\pi k)^k \frac{n^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{c_0^{k-4}} \leq C_2(2\pi e)^k \frac{C(Ck)^{k-1}}{(k-1)^{k-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_2(2\pi eC)^k \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \leq C_2(2\pi eC)^k \cdot e.$$

Then it suffices for the rest of the terms to sum to at most $\frac{1}{2}$. Replacing the lower limit in the integral estimate with c_0 , the proof goes the same as before with modified constants.

Problem 2 (*Kloosterman sums*)

(A) $S(m, n; c) = S(n, m; c)$

The definition of $S(m, n; c)$ is symmetric in both m and n :

$$S(n, m; c) = \sum_{d_1 d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{nd_1 + md_2}{c}\right).$$

(B) $S(an, m; c) = S(n, am; c)$ if $\gcd(a, c) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} S(an, m; c) &= \sum_{d_1 d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{and_1 + md_2}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} e\left(\frac{and + m\bar{d}}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} e\left(\frac{an(\bar{a}d) + m\bar{a}\bar{d}}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} e\left(\frac{nd + am\bar{d}}{c}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d_1 d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{nd_1 + amd_2}{c}\right) \\ &= S(n, am; c) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In (2), we replaced d with $\bar{a}d$; this is legitimate since $\gcd(a, c) = 1$ and as d ranges over the units modulo c , so does $\bar{a}d$.

(C) $S(n, m, c) = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(c, m, n)} dS(mnd^{-2}, 1; cd^{-1})$

We prove this for $c = p^r$ a prime power.

Lemma 2.1:

$$\sum_{d \pmod{\times p^r}} e\left(\frac{d}{p^r}\right) = \begin{cases} -1, & r > 1 \\ 0, & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $r = 1$, just note that the sum of roots of unity $\sum_{d \pmod{p}} e\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = 0$.

For $r > 1$, using the fact that the sum of k th roots of unity is 0 for any $k > 1$,

$$\sum_{d \pmod{\times p^r}} e\left(\frac{d}{p^r}\right) = \sum_{d \pmod{p^r}} e\left(\frac{d}{p^r}\right) - \sum_{d \pmod{p^{r-1}}} e\left(\frac{d}{p^{r-1}}\right) = 0 - 0 = 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.2: Suppose $p|m$ and $r \geq 2$. Then $S(m, 1; p^r) = 0$.

Proof. Write $m = p^k l$ with $p \nmid l$. Consider two cases.

1. $k < r$: Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(m, 1; p^r) &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times p^r}} e\left(\frac{p^k l d + \bar{d}}{p^r}\right) \\ &= \sum_{x \pmod{p^k}} \sum_{a \pmod{\times p^{r-k}}} e\left(\frac{p^k l(p^{r-k} x + a) + \overline{p^{r-k} x + a}}{p^r}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a \pmod{\times p^{r-k}}} \sum_{x \pmod{p^k}} e\left(\frac{p^k l a + \overline{p^{r-k} x + a}}{p^r}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

As x ranges from 1 to p^k , $\overline{p^{r-k} x + a}$ attains the values $\bar{a} + p^{r-k} b$ for all $b \pmod{p^k}$. Now the $e\left(\frac{p^k l a + \bar{a} + p^{r-k} b}{p^r}\right)$ for a fixed and b varying modulo p^k are equally spaced on the unit circle so sum to 0. Hence the inner sum in (3) is 0.

2. $k \geq r$: Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(m, 1; p^r) &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times p^r}} e\left(\frac{p^k l \bar{d} + d}{p^r}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \pmod{\times p^r}} e\left(\frac{d}{p^r}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1.

□

Let $\gcd(n, m, c) = p^k$. Write $n = p^k n'$ and $m = p^k m'$; note that p does not divide both m' and n' .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(c, m, n)} dS(mnd^{-2}, 1; cd^{-1}) &= \sum_{d \mid p^k} dS(m'n'p^{2k}d^{-2}, 1; p^r d^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k p^i S(m'n'p^{2k-2i}, 1; p^{r-i}) \end{aligned}$$

If $k < r$ then all terms except the last are 0 by Lemma 2.2, so this equals

$$p^k S(m'n', 1; p^{r-k}) = p^k S(m', n'; p^{r-k}) \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= p^k \sum_{d \pmod{p^{r-k}}} e\left(\frac{m'd + n'\bar{d}}{p^{r-k}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \pmod{p^r}} e\left(\frac{p^k m'd + p^k n'\bar{d}}{p^r}\right) \\ &= S(m, n; c) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In (4) we used (B), noting that one of m', n' is relatively prime to p , and in (5) we note that the invertible residues modulo p^r cover the invertible residues modulo p^{r-k} , p^k times.

If instead $k = r$ then all terms except the last two are 0 by Lemma 2.2, and the sum equals

$$\begin{aligned} p^r S(m'n', 1; 1) + p^{r-1} S(m'n'p^2, 1; p) &= p^r - p^{r-1} \\ &= \varphi(p^r) \\ &= S(p^r m', p^r n'; p^r). \end{aligned}$$

Note we used $S(m'n', 1; p) = \sum_{d \pmod{p}} e\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ by Lemma 2.1.

(D) $S(m, n; c) = S(\bar{d}_1 m, \bar{d}_1 n; d_2) S(\bar{d}_2 m, \bar{d}_2 n; d_1)$

Denote by $f(r_1, r_2)$ the unique residue modulo $d_1 d_2$ which is congruent to r_1 modulo d_2 and r_2 modulo d_1 . (It's well defined by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.)

$$\begin{aligned} S(\bar{d}_1 m, \bar{d}_1 n; d_2) S(\bar{d}_2 m, \bar{d}_2 n; d_1) &= \sum_{a_1 \pmod{d_2}} e\left(\frac{m\bar{d}_1 a_1 + n\bar{d}_1 \bar{a}_1}{d_2}\right) \sum_{a_2 \pmod{d_1}} e\left(\frac{m\bar{d}_2 a_2 + n\bar{d}_2 \bar{a}_2}{d_1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 \pmod{d_2} \\ a_2 \pmod{d_1}}} e\left(\frac{(m\bar{d}_1 a_1 d_1 + m\bar{d}_2 a_2 d_2) + (n\bar{d}_1 \bar{a}_1 d_1 + n\bar{d}_2 \bar{a}_2 d_2)}{d_1 d_2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1 \pmod{d_2} \\ a_2 \pmod{d_1}}} e\left(\frac{f(ma_1, ma_2) + f(n\bar{a}_1, n\bar{a}_2)}{d_1 d_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{a_1 \pmod{\times d_2} \\ a_2 \pmod{\times d_1}}} e \left(\frac{mf(a_1, a_2) + n\overline{f(a_1, a_2)}}{d_1 d_2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{a \pmod{\times d_1 d_2}} e \left(\frac{ma + n\bar{a}}{d_1 d_2} \right) \\
&= S(m, n; c).
\end{aligned}$$

We used the fact that the units modulo $d_1 d_2$ are exactly the residues which are units both modulo d_1 and modulo d_2 , by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Problem 3 (*Salié sum*)

(A)

Lemma 3.1: Suppose $2m$ is relatively prime to c . Then

$$\left(\frac{m}{c}\right) g(n, c) = g(mn, c).$$

Proof. From [1, 4.8], $g(n, c) = \varepsilon_c \left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \sqrt{c}$ where

$$\varepsilon_c = \begin{cases} 1, & c \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ i, & c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{m}{c}\right) g(n, c) = \varepsilon_c \left(\frac{m}{c}\right) \left(\frac{n}{c}\right) \sqrt{c} = \varepsilon_c \left(\frac{mn}{c}\right) \sqrt{c} = g(mn, c).$$

□

Lemma 3.2 (Ramanujan sum): Let ζ_q be a primitive q th root of unity, and let

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{a \pmod{\times q}} \zeta_q^{an}.$$

Then

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(q, n)} d \mu \left(\frac{q}{d} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\eta_q(n) = \sum_{k=1}^q \zeta_q^{kn}$. Since all q th roots of unity are primitive d th roots of unity for exactly one $d \mid q$,

$$\eta_q(n) = \sum_{d \mid q} c_d(n).$$

By Möbius inversion,

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d \mid q} \mu \left(\frac{q}{d} \right) \eta_d(n).$$

But the sum $\eta_q(n) = \sum_{k=1}^d \zeta_d^{nk}$ is 0 unless $d \mid n$, in which case it equals d (each term being 1). This gives the lemma. □

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{F}(y) &= \sum_{x \pmod{c}} \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{m\bar{d} + ndx^2}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{-yx}{c}\right) \\
&= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} \sum_{x \pmod{c}} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{nd\left(x - \frac{y}{2nd}\right)^2 - \frac{y^2 - 4mn}{4nd}}{c}\right) \\
&= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} \sum_{t \pmod{c}} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{ndt^2 - \frac{y^2 - 4mn}{4nd}}{c}\right) \\
&= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} \left(\frac{d}{c}\right) g(nd, c) e\left(\frac{-\frac{y^2 - 4mn}{4nd}}{c}\right) \\
&= \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} g(nd^2, c) e\left(\frac{-(y^2 - 4mn)}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{4n} \cdot \frac{1}{d}\right) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.1} \\
&= g(n, c) \sum_{d \pmod{\times c}} e\left(\frac{\gcd(4mn - y^2, c)d}{c}\right) \\
&= g(n, c) \sum_{d \mid \gcd(4mn - y^2, c)} d\mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right). \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

In (6) we replaced $\frac{1}{d}$ by $4nd \cdot \frac{\gcd(4mn - y^2, c)}{c}$, which is legit since $4n \cdot \frac{\gcd(4mn - y^2, c)}{c}$ is a unit modulo c . We used $g(nd^2, c) = \sum_{t \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{n(dt)^2}{c}\right) = \sum_{t \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{nt^2}{c}\right) = g(n, c)$, since as t ranges over units modulo c so does dt .

(B)

Taking the inverse Fourier Transform of (A) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= \frac{1}{c} \sum_{y \pmod{c}} \left(e\left(\frac{xy}{c}\right) g(n, c) \sum_{d \mid \gcd(4mn - y^2, c)} d\mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \right) \\
&= g(n, c) \frac{1}{c} \sum_{d \mid c} \left[d\mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \sum_{y \pmod{c}, d \mid 4mn - y^2} e\left(\frac{xy}{c}\right) \right] \tag{7} \\
&= g(n, c) \frac{1}{c} \sum_{y^2 \equiv 4mn \pmod{c}} ce\left(\frac{xy}{c}\right) \\
&= g(n, c) \sum_{y^2 \equiv mn \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{2xy}{c}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in (7) the inner sum for $d \neq c$ is 0, because a solution y to $d \mid 4mn - y^2$ can be grouped with the solutions $y + dk$ for $0 \leq k < \frac{c}{d}$, and the resulting $e\left(\frac{xy}{c}\right)$ are evenly spaced around the unit circle (for x invertible modulo c) and sum to 0.

In particular, putting in $x = 1$ gives

$$T(m, n; c) = g(n, c) \sum_{y^2 \equiv mn \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{2y}{c}\right).$$

Problem 4 (*Line bundles*)

(A)

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

The trivial line bundle $\pi' : M \times K \rightarrow M$ has the nonvanishing section g defined by

$$g(m) = (m, 1).$$

Conversely suppose there is a nonvanishing section $f : M \rightarrow L$. Let $\pi : L \rightarrow M$ be the projection map. We find a way to identify L with $M \times K$ so that f is identified with the map $m \mapsto (m, 1)$ given above. Define $h : L \rightarrow M \times K$ as follows:

$$h(l) = \left(\pi(l), \frac{l}{f(\pi(l))} \right).$$

Since the fiber above $\pi(l)$ is a one-dimensional vector space and $f(\pi(l))$ does not correspond to the zero vector (as f is nonvanishing), the division is well-defined. We claim that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{h} & M \times K \\ f \uparrow & \nearrow g & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, $h(f(m)) = \left(m, \frac{f(m)}{f(m)} \right) = (m, 1) = g(m)$. Note h is a diffeomorphism: given $l \in L$, we can choose an open neighborhood U around $\pi(l)$ so that $\pi^{-1}(U) = U \times K$; then the map from $U \times K \rightarrow M \times K$ induced by $h : L \rightarrow M \times K$ is clearly a diffeomorphism. It remains to note that h carries $\pi^{-1}(l)$ bijectively to $\pi'^{-1}(l)$, and it is a linear transformation here, for each l .

(B)

The Möbius strip is not isomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

We identify S^1 with the reals modulo 1. Let $U_1 = (0, 1)$ and $U_2 = (.9, 1) \cup [0, .1)$. As a set, let L be a copy of $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi : L \rightarrow S^1$ be the projection map. Give $\pi^{-1}(U_1)$ the same topology as the usual topology $U_1 \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq L$. However, define the topology on U_2 as follows: Let $h : \pi^{-1}(U_2) \rightarrow U_2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be the map defined by

$$h((x, y)) = \begin{cases} (x, y), & x \in (.9, 1) \\ (x, -y), & x \in [0, .1) \end{cases}$$

and topologize $\pi^{-1}(U_2)$ so that h is a homeomorphism. Note the topology on $U_1 \cap U_2$ is consistent in both cases: on the component $(.9, 1)$ h is simply the identity map on sets, while on the component $(0, .1)$ h is the map $(a, b) \rightarrow (a, -b)$ which is an automorphism of $(0, .1) \times \mathbb{R}$. L is known as the Möbius strip.

Now let f be any section $S^1 \rightarrow L$. Write f as $f(x) = (x, f_1(x))$. Then from the topology on L , in order for f to be continuous,

$$f(0) = - \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} f(x).$$

If $f_1(0) = 0$ then f vanishes, else, $f_1(0)$ and $f_1(1 - \varepsilon)$ are of different sign for small ε , so f_1 vanishes somewhere on $(0, 1)$ and again f vanishes. Thus by (A), $L \not\cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$.

References

- [1] Iwaniec, H.: “Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms,” AMS, 1997.
- [2] Rankin, R.: “The Vanishing of Poincaré Series,” *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* (1980), 23, 151-161.