

# 18.785 Analytic Number Theory Problem Set #11

Holden Lee

5/11/11

## **Problem 1** ( $\mathcal{C}$ has real eigenvalues)

It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is self-adjoint, that is,

$$\langle f, \mathcal{C}h \rangle = \langle \mathcal{C}f, h \rangle$$

for all automorphic forms  $f, h \in L^2$ . Then it will follow that all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{C}$  on this space are real.

By writing  $f, h$  as the sum of functions with specific  $K$ -type, we can reduce to the case where  $f$  has  $K$ -type  $m_1$  and  $h$  has  $K$ -type  $m_2$ . Then

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f \bar{h} dg = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} \int_K f(gk) \overline{h(gk)} d\mu dk = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} \int_K f(g) \overline{h(g)} \chi_{m_1}(k_1) \overline{\chi_{m_2}(k_1)} d\mu dk$$

If  $m_1 \neq m_2$ , integrating over  $K$  gives 0, and the assertion is obvious. If  $m_1 = m_2$ , then  $\chi_{m_1}(k_1) \overline{\chi_{m_2}(k_1)} = 1$  and

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} f(g) \overline{h(g)} dg$$

$\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{Z}$  so  $\mathcal{C}$  commutes with right translation, and if  $f$  has  $K$ -type  $m$  then so does  $\mathcal{C}f$ . Using the fact that  $\mathcal{C} = -2\Delta$  and Green's identity, noting that  $f, g$  vanish at  $\infty$ , we get, with appropriate normalization,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \mathcal{C}g \rangle &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} f(\overline{\mathcal{C}g}) d\mu \\ &= -2 \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} f(\overline{\Delta g}) d\mu \\ &= -2 \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} (\Delta f) \bar{g} d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G/K} (\mathcal{C}f) \bar{g} d\mu \\ &= \langle \mathcal{C}f, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as needed.

## Problem 2

**Lemma 2.1:** For  $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^1(G)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $\varphi$  so that

$$|(f * \varphi)(x)| \leq C a(x)^\rho \|f\|_2.$$

(The  $L^2$  norm is with respect to  $\Gamma \backslash G$ .)

*Proof.* Since  $f, f * \varphi$  are left  $\Gamma$ -invariant and a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma \backslash G$  can be covered by finitely many Siegel sets, it suffices to prove this for  $x$  in some Siegel set  $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,t} = \omega A_t K$  relative to a cuspidal parabolic  $P$ .

Let  $U$  be a relatively compact, symmetric neighborhood containing  $\text{Supp } \varphi$ . We have, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} |f * \alpha(x)| &= \left| \int_G f(y) \varphi(y^{-1}x) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{xU} f(y) \varphi(y^{-1}x) dy \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int_G |\varphi(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{xU} |f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Since  $U$  is relatively compact, so is  $KU$ , and we can find compact subsets  $C_A \subseteq A$  and  $C_N \subseteq N$  such that  $KU \subseteq C_N C_A K$ . Then

$$xU = n(x)a(x)k(x)U \subseteq \omega a(x)C_N C_A K = (\omega a(x)C_N a(x)^{-1}) a(x)C_A K.$$

Note conjugation by  $a(x)$  corresponds to dilation by  $a(x)^{2\rho}$  on  $N$ , that  $\omega a(x)C_N a(x)^{-1} \subseteq N$ , and  $a(x)C_A \subseteq A_{t'}$  for some fixed  $t'$  (since  $C_A$  is compact; therefore  $a(y)^\rho$  has a minimum for  $y \in C_A$ ). Hence this set is contained in at most  $ka(x)^{2\rho}$  fundamental domains for some constant  $k$ . Therefore,

$$\left( \int_{xU} |f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq k^{\frac{1}{2}} a(x)^\rho \left( \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which together with (1) gives the desired estimate.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2:** For  $f \in {}^\circ L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^1(G)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $\varphi$  so that

$$|(f * \varphi)(x)| \leq C \|f\|_2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a cuspidal parabolic subgroup for  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  a Siegel set relative to  $P$ . Then by Borel (5.7), there exists  $c_1$  depending on  $\varphi$  so that

$$|(f * \varphi)(x)| \leq c_1 \|f\|_2 a(x)^\rho$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Since  $D(f * \varphi) = f * (D\varphi)$ , by Borel (5.7) there exists  $c_2$  depending on  $\varphi, D$  such that

$$|(D(f * \varphi))(x)| \leq c_2 \|f\|_2 a(x)^\rho$$

Integrate  $D(f * \varphi)(nx)$  over  $\Gamma_N \backslash N$ , noting that  $a(nx) = a(x)$  for  $n \in N$ , to get the constant term satisfies the inequality

$$|(D(f * \varphi)_P)(x)| \leq c_2 \|f\|_2 a(x)^\rho$$

Since  $f$  is cuspidal,  $f_P = 0$  and  $(f * \varphi)_P = 0$ . Then by Lemma 9.1.1 in the notes,

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * \varphi)(x)| &= |((f * \varphi) - (f * \varphi)_P)(x)| \\ &\leq c_3 a(x)^{-\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 |X_i(f * \varphi)|_P(x) \right) \\ &\leq C' \|f\|_2 a(x)^{\rho-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C'$ . Since  $\alpha = 2\rho$ ,

$$|(D(f * \varphi)_P)(x)| \ll \|f\|_p \max(a(x)^\rho, a(x)^{-\rho}).$$

Either  $\rho \leq 0$  or  $-\rho \leq 0$ , giving the desired bound on a Siegel set corresponding to  $P$ . The result follows since  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is covered by finitely many Siegel sets.  $\square$

From the lemma, since  $D(f * \varphi) = f * (D\varphi)$ , we also get

$$|D(f * \varphi)(x)| \leq C \|f\|_2$$

for  $C$  depending on  $D, \varphi$ .

Consider a subset  $U$  of  $\Gamma \backslash G$  that is the homeomorphic image of a neighborhood of  $G$  with coordinates  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ . Consider a bounded subset  $S$  of  ${}^\circ L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Then by the above, the image  $T$  of  $S$  under  $*\varphi$  is bounded; and inside  $U$ , its derivatives with respect to  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  are also bounded. Hence the functions in  $T$ , restricted to  $U$ , are equicontinuous. By Arzela-Ascoli, any sequence  $f_n * \varphi$  in  ${}^\circ L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence  $f_{n_i} * \varphi$ , when we restrict the domain to  $U$ . Covering  $\Gamma \backslash G$  with countably many such subsets  $U$  and using a diagonalization argument, there exist  $f_{n_i} * \varphi$  that converge locally uniformly to a continuous bounded function  $f$ . Hence  $\overline{T}$  is sequentially compact, and  $T$  is relatively compact.

### Problem 3

Write  $P = P_0$  and  $N = N_0$ . We know that the constant term of  $E_{P,s}(g)$  is  $\varphi_{P,s}(g) + c(s)\varphi_{P,-s}(g)$  for some meromorphic  $c(s)$ . By Bruhat decomposition (4.7.1),

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_P \sqcup \bigcup_{c>0} \bigcup_{d \pmod{c}} \Gamma_P \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_P.$$

Calling the second part  $\Gamma_w$ , this gives

$$\Gamma_P \backslash \Gamma_w / \Gamma_N = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : 0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c, d) = 1 \right\}.$$

The constant term of  $E_{P,s}$  is

$$(E_{P,s})_P = \int_{\Gamma_N \backslash N} \left( \varphi_{P,s}(ng) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_P \backslash \Gamma_w} \varphi_{P,s}(\gamma ng) \right) dn \quad (2)$$

The first term gives  $\varphi_{P,s}(g)$ , so we focus on the second term. As in the notes (Lemma 10.2.3), we unfold the integral over  $\Gamma_N \backslash N$  to one over  $N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \backslash \Gamma_w} \int_{\Gamma_N \backslash N} \varphi_{P,s}(\gamma ng) dn &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N \backslash \Gamma_w / \Gamma_N} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_N} \int_{\Gamma_N \backslash N} \varphi_{P,s}(\gamma \delta ng) dn \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_P \backslash \Gamma_w / \Gamma_N} \int_N \varphi_{P,s}(\gamma nx) dn \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c,d)=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{P,s} \left( \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

We know this is a multiple of  $\varphi_s(g)$ . To find the coefficient, we simply need to evaluate at  $g = I$ :

$$\sum_{0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c,d)=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{P,s} \left( \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) dx = \sum_{0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c,d)=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \varphi_P \left( \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)}{|cz + d|^{s+1}} dx$$

where  $z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i = i + x$  and  $y = \Im \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} i \right) = 1$ . Since  $cz + d = (cx + d) + ci$ , assuming the  $K$ -type is 0, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c,d)=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi_P \left( \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)}{((cx + d)^2 + c^2)^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} dx &= \sum_{0 \leq d < c, \gcd(c,d)=1} c^{-(s+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left( \left( x + \left( \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} dx \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c) c^{-(s+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left( \left( x + \left( \frac{d}{c} \right) \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} dx \\ &= \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c) c^{-(s+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} dx \\ &= \frac{\zeta(s+1) \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\zeta(s) \left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last step we use

$$\sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c) c^{-(s+1)} = \frac{\zeta(s+1)}{\zeta(s)} \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(x^2 + 1)^{\frac{s+1}{2}}} dx = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

To show (3), note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \varphi(s) c^{-(s+1)} dx \right) \zeta(s+1) &= \left( \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(s)}{c} c^{-s} dx \right) \left( \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c} c^{-s} dx \right) \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{ab=c} \frac{\varphi(a)}{a} \frac{1}{b} c^{-s} \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{a|c} \varphi(a) c^{-s} \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-s} \\
 &= \zeta(s),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\sum_{a|c} \varphi(a) = c$ . For equation (4), see Theory of Integration, D. Stroock, 5.2.20(ii).

So

$$(E_{P,s})_P = \varphi_{P,s}(g) + \frac{\zeta(s+1)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \varphi_{P,-s}.$$

Note that  $P_0$  is the sole parabolic subgroup of  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  up to conjugation. The system of equations is, for  $F_\mu(s, g)$  a linear combination of  $\mu_i$ 's,

1. For any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ ,

$$\int_G F_\mu(s, g) \left( c - \frac{s^2 - 1}{2} \right) \varphi(g) dg = 0.$$

2. Letting  $\psi$  be the characteristic function on a Siegel set for  $P$  and  $\Lambda^t(f) = f - \psi f_P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_\mu(s) &= \Psi_\mu(s) + g(s) \\
 g(s) &= -(\Lambda^t \circ (*\alpha) - \lambda_\alpha(s))^{-1} (\Lambda^t(\Psi_\mu(s) * \alpha)) \\
 \Psi_\mu(s) &= \mu_+ \psi \varphi_{P,s} + \mu_- \psi \varphi_{P,-s}
 \end{aligned}$$

3.  $\Lambda^t(F_\mu(s) * \alpha) = \lambda_\alpha(s) \Lambda^t(F_\mu(s))$ .

4.  $\mu_+ = 1$

Uniqueness follows from Lemma 10.3.8 in the notes.