SpringOne Platform

Designing, Implementing, and Using Reactive APIs

Ben Hale (@nebhale)
Paul Harris (@twoseat)

Pivotal.

Introduction to Reactive Programming

- Reactive is used to broadly define event-driven systems
- Moves imperative logic to
 - asynchronous
 - non-blocking
 - functional-style code
- Allows stable, scalable access to external systems

Cloud Foundry Java Client

- Cloud Foundry is composed of
 - RESTful APIs
 - Secured by OAuth
 - Transmitting large JSON request and response payloads

APIs are low level, often requiring orchestration

Cloud Foundry Java Client

- Java has become the preferred language for orchestrating Cloud Foundry
- Complex orchestration is difficult when working against generic payloads

A strongly typed Java API is required for maintainability

Generic Request and Response Payloads

```
Map<String, Object> request = new HashMap<>();
request.put("name", "test-application");
request.put("application", Paths.get("target/test-application.jar"));
request.put("buildpack", "java-buildpack");
this.operations.applications()
  .create(request)
  .map(response -> response.get("id"));
```

Strongly Typed Request and Response Payloads

```
this.operations.applications()
  .create(CreateApplicationRequest.builder()
    .name("test-application")
    .application(Paths.get("target/test-application.jar"))
    .buildpack("java-buildpack")
    .build())
  .map(CreateApplicationResponse::getId);
```

Cloud Foundry Java Client

- The high-latency network interaction is a good fit for a Reactive Design
- Encourages asynchronous and highly concurrent usage

 Partnering with Project Reactor early influenced both the design of the client and of Reactor

SpringOne Platform

Design

Pivotal

When To Use Reactive

- Networking
 - Latency
 - Failures
 - Backpressure
- Highly Concurrent Operations
- Scalability

What Should a Reactive API Return?

- Flux<T>
 - Returns 0 to N values
- Mono<T>
 - Returns 0 to 1 value

The Java Client often returns Monos containing collections

Using a Flux<T> Response

```
Flux<Application> listApplications() {...}
Flux<String> listApplicationNames() {
  return listApplications()
    .map(Application::getName);
void printApplicationName() {
  listApplicationNames()
    .subscribe(System.out::println);
```

Using a Mono<T> Response

```
Flux<Application> listApplications() {...}
Mono<List<String>> listApplicationNames() {
  return listApplications()
    .map(Application::getName)
    .collectAsList();
Mono<Boolean> doesApplicationExist(String name) {
  return listApplicationNames()
    .map(names -> names.contains(name));
```

Every Method Must Return Something

- Unlike many imperative APIs, void is not an appropriate return type
- A reactive flow declares behavior but does not execute it

Consumers must subscribe to a Flux or Mono in order to begin execution

Imperative Operation

```
void delete(String id) {
  this.restTemplate.delete(URI, id);
public static void main(String[] args) {
  delete("test-id");
```

Reactive Operation

```
Mono<Void> delete(String id) {
  return this httpClient delete(URI, id);
public static void main(String[] args) {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
  delete("test-id")
    .subscribe(n -> {}, Throwable::printStackTrace, () -> latch.countDown());
  latch.await();
```

Scope of a Method

Methods should be designed to be small and reusable

- Reusable methods can be more easily composed into larger operations
- These methods can be more flexibly combined into parallel or sequential operations

Reusable Methods

```
Mono<ListApplicationsResponse> getPage(int page) {
  return this.client.applicationsV2()
    .list(ListApplicationsRequest.builder()
      .page(page)
      .build());
public static void main(String[] args) {
  getPage(1)
    .map(PaginatedResponse::getTotalPages)
    .flatMap(totalPages -> Flux.range(2, totalPages)
      flatMap(page -> getPage(page)))
    .subscribe(System.out::println);
```

SpringOne Platform

Implement

Pivotal

Sequential and Parallel Coordination

- All significant performance improvements come from concurrency
- But, concurrency is very hard to do properly

- Reactive frameworks allow you to define sequential and parallel relationships between operations
- The framework does the hard work of coordinating the operations

Coordination

```
Mono<ListApplicationsResponse> getPage(int page) {
  return this.client.applicationsV2()
    .list(ListApplicationsRequest.builder()
      .page(page)
      .build());
public static void main(String[] args) {
  getPage(1)
    .map(PaginatedResponse::getTotalPages)
    .flatMap(totalPages -> Flux.range(2, totalPages)
      flatMap(page -> getPage(page)))
    .subscribe(System.out::println);
```

Conditional Logic

- Like normal values, errors pass through the flow's operations
- These errors can be passed all the way to consumers, or flows can change behavior based on them
- Flows can transform errors or generate new results based on the error

Error Logic

```
public Mono<AppStatsResponse> getApp(GetAppReguest reguest) {
  return client.applications()
    .statistics(AppStatsRequest.builder()
      .applicationId(request.id())
      .build())
    .otherwise(ExceptionUtils.statusCode(APP STOPPED ERROR),
      t -> Mono.just(AppStatsResponse.builder().build()));
```

Conditional Logic

- It is valid for a flow to complete without sending any elements
 - This is often equivalent to returning null from an imperative API
- This completion can be passed all the way to consumers
- Alternatively, flows can switch behavior or generate new elements based on the completion

Empty Logic

```
public Flux<GetDomainsResponse> getDomains(GetDomainsRequest request) {
   return requestPrivateDomains(request.getId())
        .switchIfEmpty(requestSharedDomains(request.getId));
}
```

Empty Logic

Conditional Logic

- Sometimes you want to make decisions not based on errors or emptiness, but on values themselves
- While it's possible to implement this logic using operators, it often proves to be more complex than is worthwhile

It's OK to use imperative conditional statements

Conditional Logic Avoiding Imperative

```
public Mono<String> getDomainId(String domain, String organizationId) {
  return Mono.just(domain)
    .filter(d -> d == null)
    .then(getSharedDomainIds()
      .switchIfEmpty(getPrivateDomainIds(organizationId))
      .next()
      .otherwiseIfEmpty(ExceptionUtils.illegalState("Domain not found")))
    .otherwiseIfEmpty(getPrivateDomainId(domain, organizationId)
      •otherwiseIfEmpty(getSharedDomainId(domain))
      .otherwiseIfEmpty(ExceptionUtils.illegalState("Domain %s not found", domain)));
```

Conditional Logic Using Imperative

```
public Mono<String> getDomainId(String domain, String organizationId) {
  if (domain == null) {
    return getSharedDomainIds()
      switchIfEmpty(getPrivateDomainIds(organizationId))
      .next()
      .otherwiseIfEmpty(ExceptionUtils.illegalState("Domain not found"));
  } else {
    return getPrivateDomainId(domain, organizationId)
      •otherwiseIfEmpty(getSharedDomainId(domain))
      .otherwiseIfEmpty(ExceptionUtils.illegalState("Domain %s not found", domain));
```

Testing

- Most useful flows will be asynchronous
- Testing frameworks are aggressively synchronous, registering results before asynchronous results return
- To compensate for this you must block the main thread and make test assertions in the asynchronous thread

Test Finishing Before Assertions

```
@Test
public void noLatch() {
  Mono.just("alpha")
    subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(s -> assertEquals("bravo", s));
```

Test Finishing Before Assertions

```
@Test
public void noLatch() {
  Mono.just("alpha")
    subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(s -> assertEquals("bravo", s));
                               Run ExampleTests.noLatch
                                    ExampleTests (org.cloudfoundry.u 493ms
                                      noLatch
                                                           493 ms
```

Test Blocking Using CountDownLatch

```
@Test
public void latch() throws InterruptedException {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
  AtomicReference<String> actual = new AtomicReference<>();
  Mono.iust("alpha")
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(actual::set, t -> latch.countDown(), latch::countDown);
  latch.await();
  assertEquals("bravo", actual.get());
```

Test Blocking Using CountDownLatch

```
@Test
public void latch() throws InterruptedException {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
  AtomicReference<String> actual = new AtomicReference<>();
 Mono.iust("alpha")
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(actual::set, t -> latch.countDown(), latch::countDown);
                                       Run ExampleTests.latch
  latch.await();
  assertEquals("bravo", actual.get());
                                             ■ 見に至き ★ ● は晩ぬ
                                              ExampleTests (org.cloudfoundry.u 245ms
                                                🕕 latch
                                                                          245ms
```

Test Subscriber

- Testing a Reactive design requires more than just blocking
 - Multiple value assertion
 - Expected error assertion
 - Unexpected error failure

 A TestSubscriber<T> can collect this behavior into a single type

Test Using TestSubscriber<T>

```
@Test
public void testSubscriber() throws InterruptedException {
  TestSubscriber<String> testSubscriber = new TestSubscriber<>();
  Flux. just("alpha", "bravo")
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(testSubscriber
      .assertEquals("alpha")
      .assertEquals("bravo"));
  testSubscriber.verify(ofSeconds(5));
```

Test Using TestSubscriber<T>

```
@Test
public void testSubscriber() throws InterruptedException {
  TestSubscriber<String> testSubscriber = new TestSubscriber<>();
  Flux. just("alpha", "bravo")
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(testSubscriber
      .assertEquals("alpha")
      .assertEquals("bravo"));
                                        Run ExampleTests.testSubscriber
  testSubscriber.verify(ofSeconds(5));
                                              ■ 42 45 至 🛨 🕇 🗎 🕮 🍀
                                              ExampleTests (org.cloudfoundry.u 370ms
                                                 testSubscriber
                                                                           370ms
```

SpringOne Platform

Use

Pivotal

Countdown Latches

- Reactive frameworks can only coordinate their own operations
- Many execution environments have processes that outlast individual threads
 - Reactive programming plays nicely here

 Some execution environments aggressively terminate the process before any individual thread

main() Finishing Before Subscriber<T>

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
 Mono. just("alpha")
    .delaySubscription(Duration.ofSeconds(1))
    subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(System.out::println);
```

main() Finishing Before Subscriber<T>

```
public static void main(String[] args) {
  Mono. just("alpha")
     .delaySubscription(Duration.ofSeconds(1))
     subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
     .subscribe(System.out::println);
                         Run ExampleTests
                                 /Library/Java/JavaVirtualMachines/jdk1.8.0_91.jdk/
                                 16:18:27.953 [main] DEBUG reactor.util.Loggers$Log
                                 Process finished with exit code 0
```

main() Waiting for Subscriber<T>

```
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
 Mono. just("alpha")
    .delaySubscription(Duration.ofSeconds(1))
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(System.out::println, t -> latch.countDown(),
               latch::countDown);
    latch.await();
```

main() Waiting for Subscriber<T>

```
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
  Mono. just("alpha")
    .delaySubscription(Duration.ofSeconds(1))
    .subscribeOn(Schedulers.computation())
    .subscribe(System.out::println, t -> latch.countDown(),
                latch::countDown);
                             Run ExampleTests
    latch.await():
                                     /Library/Java/JavaVirtualMachines/jdk1.8.0 91.jdk/
                                     16:21:49.063 [main] DEBUG reactor.util.Loggers$Log
                                     alpha
                                     Process finished with exit code 0
```

Blocking flows

It's very common to bridge between imperative and reactive APIs

 In those cases blocking while waiting for a result is appropriate.

Bridging Imperative and Reactive using block()

```
Mono<User> requestUser(String name) {...}
@Override
User requestUser(String name) {
  return getUser(name)
    .block();
```

Bridging Imperative and Reactive using block()

```
Flux<User> requestUsers() {...}
@Override
List<User> listUsers() {
  return requestUsers()
    .collectList()
    .block();
```

Error Handling

- Because errors are values they must be handled, they do not just show up
- Subscribe has 0...3 parameters
- Release latches on error

Error Handling

```
public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
  CountDownLatch latch = new CountDownLatch(1);
  Flux.concat(Mono.just("alpha"), Mono.error(new IllegalStateException()))
    .subscribe(System.out::println, t -> {
      t.printStackTrace();
      latch.countDown();
    }, latch::countDown);
  latch.await();
```

Composable Method References

- Reactive programming is susceptible to callback hell, but it doesn't have to be
- Extracting behavior into private methods can be even more valuable than in imperative programming
- Well named methods, and method reference syntax, lead to very readable flows

Composable Method References

```
@Override
public Flux<ApplicationSummary> list() {
  return Mono
    .when(this.cloudFoundryClient, this.spaceId)
    .then(function(DefaultApplications::requestSpaceSummary))
    .flatMap(DefaultApplications::extractApplications)
    .map(DefaultApplications::toApplicationSummary);
```

Point Free

- You may have noticed that our code samples use a very compact style
 - Point Free (http://bit.ly/Point-Free)
- It helps the developer think about composing functions (high level), rather than shuffling data (low level)
- You don't have to use, but we find that most people prefer it (eventually)

Point Free Style

```
Mono<Void> deleteApplication(String name) {
  return PaginationUtils
    .requestClientV2Resources(page -> this.client.applicationsV2()
      .list(ListApplicationsRequest.builder()
        .name(name)
        .page(page)
        .build()))
    .single()
    .map(applicationResource -> applicationResource.getMetadata().getId())
    .then(applicationId -> this.client.applicationsV2()
      .delete(DeleteApplicationRequest.builder()
        .applicationId(applicationId)
        build());
```

SpringOne Platform

Summary

Pivotal

Designing, Implementing and Using Reactive APIs

- Design
 - When to use
 - Return Mono/Flux
 - Reusable Methods
- Implement
 - Sequential and Parallel
 - Conditional logic
 - Testing

- Use
 - Countdown Latches
 - Blocking flows
 - Error Handling
 - Composable methods
 - Point Free Style

SpringOne Platform

Learn More. Stay Connected.

https://github.com/cloudfoundry/cf-java-client





