
uschange

 $Julien\ JACQUES \\ 2/19/2020$

Income


```
library(fpp2)
income=uschange[,"Income"]
autoplot(income)
```




We see no trend and no seasonal pattern... We can check the ACF


ggAcf(income)

Series: income



ggPacf(income)





It seems to be no correlation or auto-correlation... We can check that with a Box.test:


```
Box.test(income,lag=10,type="Ljung-Box")
```

```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: income
## X-squared = 9.8076, df = 10, p-value = 0.4575
```

Indeed, no reason to reject the fact that it is a white noise : there is nothing to forecast in this series !!

Unemployment


```
library(fpp2)
unemp=uschange[,"Unemployment"]
autoplot(unemp)
```



Box.test(unemp,lag=10,type="Ljung-Box")


```
##
## Box-Ljung test
##
## data: unemp
## X-squared = 104.88, df = 10, p-value < 2.2e-16
ggAcf(unemp)</pre>
```

Series: unemp



ggPacf(unemp)

Series: unemp



Maybe an AR_8 ?

```
ar8=Arima(unemp,order=c(8,0,0))
print(ar8)
## Series: unemp
## ARIMA(8,0,0) with non-zero mean
## Coefficients:
##
                    ar2
                                     ar4
                                                                       ar8
            ar1
                            ar3
                                              ar5
                                                      ar6
                                                              ar7
                0.2128 0.0654
                                 -0.2486
                                                          0.1399
                                                                   -0.2792
##
         0.4627
                                          -0.0290
                                                   0.1320
## s.e. 0.0702 0.0774 0.0779
                                  0.0791
                                           0.0789 0.0789 0.0776
                                                                    0.0705
##
           mean
##
         0.0097
```

We can check the residual

sigma^2 estimated as 0.09022: log likelihood=-36.43

BIC=125.17


AICc=94.11


checkresiduals(ar8)


s.e. 0.0396

AIC=92.86

##








```
##
## Ljung-Box test
##
## data: Residuals from ARIMA(8,0,0) with non-zero mean
## Q* = 5.1242, df = 3, p-value = 0.1629
##
## Model df: 9. Total lags used: 12
```

The residual are a white noise, we can keep this model for our forecast.

autoplot(forecast(ar8,h=12))

Forecasts from ARIMA(8,0,0) with non-zero mean



All 5 series togheter

```
usc_train=window(uschange,start=c(1970,1),end=c(2015,4))
usc_test=window(uschange,start=c(2016,1),end=c(2016,3))
```

We use Vectorial Auto-Regressive models. We choose the order with VARselect function:


```
library(vars)
VARselect(usc_train, lag.max=10, type="const")
```

```
## $selection
## AIC(n)
          HQ(n)
                  SC(n) FPE(n)
##
        3
##
## $criteria
                               2
##
                                          3
                                                                  5
                   1
## AIC(n) -0.7807689 -0.8480625 -0.8551580 -0.79199008 -0.7919826 -0.6439896
## HQ(n)
          -0.5598194 -0.4429884 -0.2659594 -0.01866691
                                                          0.1654651
                                                                     0.4975827
  SC(n)
          -0.2361041
                      0.1504895
                                  0.5972812
                                             1.11433639
                                                          1.5682311
                                                                     2.1701114
## FPE(n)
           0.4581164
                      0.4286055
                                  0.4263251
                                             0.45562807
                                                          0.4580806
                                                                     0.5353834
##
                   7
                                                     10
## AIC(n) -0.7627672 -0.7118667 -0.6274209 -0.4903386
## HQ(n)
           0.5629297
                      0.7979547
                                  1.0665251
                                             1.3877320
## SC(n)
           2.5052211
                      3.0100088
                                  3.5483419
                                             4.1393114
## FPE(n)
           0.4807233
                      0.5133774
                                 0.5694339
                                             0.6691194
```

AIC leads to select an order equal to 3:

```
var <- VAR(usc_train, p=3,type = "const")
prev=forecast(var,h=3,PI=FALSE)
plot(prev)</pre>
```

Forecasts from VAR(3)



```
print(sqrt(mean((prev$forecast$Consumption$mean-usc_test[,"Consumption"])^2)))

## [1] 0.2998763

print(sqrt(mean((prev$forecast$Income$mean-usc_test[,"Income"])^2)))

## [1] 0.19955

print(sqrt(mean((prev$forecast$Production$mean-usc_test[,"Production"])^2)))

## [1] 0.2310848

print(sqrt(mean((prev$forecast$Savings$mean-usc_test[,"Savings"])^2)))

## [1] 4.222851
```

[1] 0.05563519

We could compare to univariate SARIMA models...

```
fit <- auto.arima(usc_train[,"Consumption"])
prev=forecast(fit,h=3)
print(sqrt(mean((prev$mean-usc_test[,"Consumption"])^2)))</pre>
```

print(sqrt(mean((prev\$forecast\$Unemployment\$mean-usc_test[,"Unemployment"])^2)))

[1] 0.283259

```
fit <- auto.arima(usc_train[,"Income"])
prev=forecast(fit,h=3)
print(sqrt(mean((prev$mean-usc_test[,"Income"])^2)))

## [1] 0.1232476

fit <- auto.arima(usc_train[,"Production"])
prev=forecast(fit,h=3)
print(sqrt(mean((prev$mean-usc_test[,"Production"])^2)))

## [1] 0.1566074

fit <- auto.arima(usc_train[,"Savings"])
prev=forecast(fit,h=3)
print(sqrt(mean((prev$mean-usc_test[,"Savings"])^2)))

## [1] 2.766953

fit <- auto.arima(usc_train[,"Unemployment"])
prev=forecast(fit,h=3)
print(sqrt(mean((prev$mean-usc_test[,"Unemployment"])^2)))</pre>
```

[1] 0.1198583

The results are better with univariate time series! Probably because we have more flexible models for univariate models (MA part, seasonal part...)