

## **Tutorial 9 – Human Rights, Surveillance, Freedom of Expression**

1. “Privacy, freedom of expression and association are all core, mutually interdependent human rights.”
  - a. Define what you mean by each of these concepts – ‘privacy’; ‘freedom of expression’; ‘freedom of association’
  - b. What limits are there to these as human rights?
  - c. Give a non-technical example situation of people exercising each of these rights.
  - d. Give examples of facilities the Internet provides to enable people to exercise these rights?
  - e. Give some examples of where there may be conflicts between these rights
  - f. What are the potential problems with exercising these rights on the Internet?
  - g. Using the example you thought of above, describe the consequentialist, duty-based and relativist views of this issue. Which one most aligns with your own view?

### **2. Surveillance scenario**

Jon Thompson is a young entrepreneur with a bright future. He has several businesses, including a tech start-up and a series of gyms that are doing well. Jon lives and works in the inner city, where there are some rough areas, so he uses a team of professional bodyguards. At home, he receives a stream of guests, including business associates and friends. His bodyguards keep an eye on him and see to the orderly arrival and departure of the guests. Because of this, they keep a permanent office and sleeping quarters at Jon’s mansion.

Recently, Jon has installed CCTV all around his house facing outwards into the streets as well as at the front door. He has cameras and listening gadgets in the guards’ office and sleeping quarters to record their conversations and movements. He’s done it because it makes him feel safer. Because it’s around his own home, he didn’t think it needed to be registered in any way.

- a. What are the legal issues relating to this scenario?
- b. Do the bodyguards have any right to privacy on Jon’s premises ?
- c. Does Jon have a right to know what the bodyguards are doing in their private quarters?

### 3. Social issues

On a Saturday, 10 years in the future, after a hard week's work as an estate agent, Katherine aims to enjoy herself shopping and going out with her friends to a club. She uses public transport to go to town. Her smartphone is equipped with near field communication (NFC) technology. It automatically proceeds to the payment as soon as she passes close to a reader on the bus. Once the transaction is completed, she immediately receives an advert on her smartphone with offers for different types of transport tickets.

Since NFC technology was first introduced as a means for mobile payment a few years ago, tremendous progress has been achieved, especially in terms of database interoperability. The government has centralised its databases so there is a massive aggregation of data from and/or about bank accounts, health records, ID cards, population registers, marital status, social entitlements, as well as DNA and biometric information. All of this information is stored in the NFC chip on Katherine's smartphone and is accessible to any smartphone reader.

As a cost-saving measure, the government has subcontracted many of its public services to private companies. With access to massive datasets, private companies can better target their advertisements.

Like many of her friends, Katherine uses a personal online shopping app to reserve items. As soon as she enters the first shop, her smartphone is read by RFID readers. All her personal information is centralised in her smartphone, and she has not made any effort to protect it, so the store's RFID readers have access to it. She has not anonymised or encrypted it, partly because she does not regard her personal privacy as very important and partly because of the effort needed to take what she thinks are unnecessary precautions.

When she returns home, she changes into her new clothes and, as she does so, she switches on the television. An industry expert and a privacy activist are engaging in a heated debate. The activist argues that new technologies, such as mobile payments using NFC technology, present dangers for citizens' privacy, data protection and other fundamental rights. Consent is absent. There are dangers for personal autonomy, and free choice is being eroded as we are only presented with advertising that supposedly matches us best. Such power inequality can result in discriminatory practices based on income and social status. He criticises the collusion between government and private businesses in the aggregation and processing of vast amounts of data from hundreds of sources. Puzzled by the fact that someone might oppose the very technologies that helped her achieve such a successful shopping day ("So much fuss about trivialities," she thinks), Katherine switches off the TV.

D. Wright et al. / Technological Forecasting & Social Change 87 (2014) 325–336 329

- a) What social issues are raised in this scenario
- b) What potential risks are there for Katherine in this scenario?