

## Algorithmics II (H) Exam April / May 2022 – Solutions

1. Create a point set  $P$  where each rectangle is represented by its lower-left hand and upper-right hand corner coordinates  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$ . Ensure that each “rectangle” point is marked  $L$  or  $R$  according to whether it is a lower-left hand or upper-right hand corner. Also ensure that each “rectangle” point marked  $L$  stores the  $y$ -coordinate  $y_2$  of its corresponding upper-right hand corner alongside it. For each rectangle point  $p$  marked  $R$ , store a pointer to the corresponding point marked  $L$  from the same rectangle as  $p$  (call this  $p$ ’s *partner*).

Also in  $P$ , represent each vertical line segment with endpoints  $(x, y_1)$  to  $(x, y_2)$ , where  $y_1 \leq y_2$ , by  $(x, y_1)$ , storing the integer  $y_2$  alongside it.

Sort all the stored points in increasing order of  $x$ -coordinate, breaking ties so that rectangle points marked  $L$  come before vertical line points, which come before rectangle points marked  $R$ .

Maintain an AVL tree  $T$  of “candidate” points, ordered on their  $y$ -coordinate, which is initially empty.

Now scan through the list of points sorted on  $x$ -coordinate. For each rectangle point  $p$  encountered marked  $L$ , add  $p$  to  $T$ , whilst for each rectangle point  $p$  encountered marked  $R$ , remove  $p$ ’s partner from  $T$ . For each vertical point  $p$  encountered, let  $y_1..y_2$  be its range and range search  $T$ , constructing a list  $S$  of all candidates with  $y$ -coordinate in the range  $y_1..y_2$ .

Let  $p$  be the candidate in  $S$  with the largest  $y$ -coordinate, let  $y_3$  be the  $y$ -coordinate of  $p$  and let  $y_4$  be the  $y$ -coordinate of the corresponding upper-right hand corner point of  $p$ . If  $y_4 \leq y_2$  then  $y_1 \leq y_3 \leq y_4 \leq y_2$ , so add  $|S|$  to the count of rectangles that fully intersect vertical line segments, otherwise add  $|S|-1$  to the count.

2. (a)

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 7 & 8 \\ \infty & \infty & 0 & -1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 7 \\ \infty & \infty & 0 & -1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D_2 \quad D_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \infty & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 6 & 5 \\ \infty & \infty & 0 & -1 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D_4$$

The length of a shortest path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  is given by  $D_4(i,j)$ .

(b) Possible pseudocode for the process is as follows:

```

if ( $\Pi_n(i, j) == \text{null}$ )
    output "no path from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  exists";
else
{    $S = \langle j \rangle$ ;
    while ( $i \neq j$ )
    {    $S = \langle \Pi_n(i, j) \rangle + S$ ;
         $j = \Pi_n(i, j)$ ;
    }
    output  $S$ ;
}

```

(c)

$$\Pi_0 = \begin{pmatrix} - & - & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & - & 2 & 2 \\ - & - & - & 3 \\ - & - & - & - \end{pmatrix} \quad \Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} - & - & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & - & 1 & 1 \\ - & - & - & 3 \\ - & - & - & - \end{pmatrix} = \Pi_2 \quad \Pi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} - & - & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & - & 1 & 3 \\ - & - & - & 3 \\ - & - & - & - \end{pmatrix} = \Pi_4$$

“-” refers to **null**. for each of  $\Pi_0$ ,  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_3$ .

3. (a) This ensures that no suffix of  $S\$$  is a prefix of another suffix of  $S\$$ , and hence every suffix of  $S$  is represented by a unique leaf node in the suffix tree for  $S\$$ .
- (b) (i) During a traversal of  $T$ , we can identify all the branch nodes of  $T$  having maximum string depth  $d$ . At any such branch node  $b$  of  $T$ , all of  $b$ 's children must be leaf nodes. Scan through the suffix numbers of these leaf nodes, letting  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be the minimum and maximum suffix numbers encountered. If  $k_1+d \leq k_2$  then we have found a longest repeated substring with non-overlapping embeddings, namely  $S(k_1..k_1+d-1)$  and  $S(k_2..k_2+d-1)$ . Otherwise,  $b$  has no instances of longest repeated substrings with non-overlapping embeddings, so consider the next branch node with maximum string depth until all are exhausted, in which case no longest repeated substring with non-overlapping embeddings exists.
- (b) (ii) Clearly the branch nodes with maximum string depth can be identified in  $O(n)$  time. The total work done at all branch nodes with maximum string depth taken together is linear in the number of leaf nodes of  $S$  in the worst case, and therefore  $O(n)$  overall.
- (c) At every leaf node  $v$ , set  $\min_v$  and  $\max_v$  to be the suffix number of  $v$ . At every branch node  $v$ , set  $\min_v$  to be  $\min \{\min_w : w \text{ is a child of } v\}$  and set  $\max_v$  to be  $\max \{\max_w : w \text{ is a child of } v\}$ . Then traverse  $T$ , searching for a branch node  $v$  whose string depth  $d$  is maximum such that  $\min_v + d \leq \max_v$ . If such a  $v$  exists, output the path label of  $v$ , otherwise output “none exists”.

4. (a) (i) The dynamic programming table is as follows:

i	$w_i$	$p_i$	$j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	10		0	0	0	10	10	10	10
2	1	6		0	6	6	10	16	16	16
3	5	18		0	6	6	10	16	18	24
4	2	9		0	6	9	15	16	19	25

- (ii) The knapsack capacity might be large (e.g.,  $C=2^n$ ) in which case the complexity of the algorithm is no better than  $O(n \cdot 2^n)$ , which is not polynomial in the size of the problem instance.
- (b) Consider, for example, the following items: 5, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2 and bin capacity 9. FFD will use 3 bins: bin 1 will contain items of sizes 5, 3; bin 2 will contain items of sizes 3, 3, 2; whilst bin 3 will contain an item of size 2. An optimal packing uses 2 bins: bin 1 will contain items of sizes 5, 2, 2; whilst bin 2 will contain items of sizes 3, 3, 3.