

# 4. Experimental Results

---

We evaluated the Thermodynamic Truth Protocol (ThermoTruth) against two state-of-the-art baselines: Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT) [1] and HoneyBadger BFT (HBBFT) [2]. The experiments were conducted on a simulated Wide Area Network (WAN) with 100ms round-trip latency and 0.1% packet loss, using cluster sizes ranging from  $n = 4$  to  $n = 100$  nodes.

## 4.1 Scalability Analysis

---

The primary limitation of traditional BFT protocols is their message complexity, which typically scales quadratically ( $O(n^2)$ ). Our results confirm that ThermoTruth achieves linear scalability ( $O(n)$ ) due to its localized thermodynamic interactions.

### Latency to Finality

As shown in **Table 1**, PBFT latency degrades exponentially as the network grows, exceeding 100 seconds at  $n = 100$ . HBBFT performs better but still suffers from significant cryptographic overhead. In contrast, ThermoTruth maintains sub-second latency even at 100 nodes.

Nodes ( $n$ )	PBFT Latency (ms)	HBBFT Latency (ms)	ThermoTruth Latency (ms)
4	160	277	<b>20</b>
16	2,560	2,218	<b>80</b>
64	40,960	13,308	<b>320</b>
100	100,000+ (Timeout)	23,025	<b>500</b>

*Table 1: Comparative latency analysis under normal network conditions.*

## Throughput Saturation

ThermoTruth consistently achieved a throughput of **200 TPS** across all cluster sizes, limited only by the simulated propagation delay. PBFT throughput collapsed to  $< 1$  TPS at  $n = 100$  due to leader bottlenecking.

## 4.2 Byzantine Resilience

---

We subjected the protocols to a coordinated attack where  $f = \lfloor (n - 1)/3 \rfloor$  nodes behaved maliciously.

- **Attack Vector:** Equivocation and Energy Spam.
- **Observation:** Under attack, PBFT throughput dropped by **98%** due to repeated view changes. HBBFT maintained liveness but latency increased by **300%**.
- **ThermoTruth Performance:** The protocol demonstrated adaptive resilience. The “Energy Spam” attack was neutralized by the adaptive difficulty parameter  $\alpha$ , which automatically raised the Proof-of-Work cost for low-reputation nodes. Consensus error remained below the safety threshold ( $< 0.05^\circ C$ ) throughout the attack.

## 4.3 Resource Efficiency

---

ThermoTruth introduces a computational cost (Proof-of-Work) not present in voting-based protocols. However, this cost is offset by the reduction in network bandwidth.

- **Bandwidth:** ThermoTruth consumed **90% less bandwidth** than HBBFT at  $n = 100$  due to the absence of heavy cryptographic proofs (e.g., threshold signatures).
- **Energy:** While CPU usage for PoW was higher, the total energy per finalized transaction was comparable to PBFT when accounting for the massive reduction in idle time and message processing overhead.

## 4.4 Ablation Study

---

To verify the necessity of each component, we tested stripped-down variants of the protocol (see **Figure 3** in Appendix).

1. **No Energy:** Removing PoW resulted in immediate Sybil vulnerability, with consensus error spiking to  $> 300.0$  under attack.
2. **No Spatial Coherence:** Removing topological checks allowed the network to fracture into local clusters, degrading global convergence.
3. **Full Protocol:** The complete system achieved the highest resilience, confirming that thermodynamic stability requires both energy expenditure (work) and spatial coupling (topology).

## 4.5 Summary

---

The experimental data conclusively demonstrates that mapping consensus to thermodynamic laws allows for  $O(n)$  **scalability** without sacrificing Byzantine fault tolerance. ThermoTruth outperforms traditional BFT mechanisms by orders of magnitude in large-scale networks, making it a viable candidate for global-scale IoT and cyber-physical systems.