

CS 346 Sprint Demo

Team # 202
Project Notes App
Sprint # 2

Grade 15
Marker Mattie

Name 1 Colby Wang
Name 2 Hassan Hashemi

Name 3 Perry Li
Name 4 Aicha Ghicani

Did they demo from an installed version of the application? ☒ Y ☐ N

Was there a major goal or theme for this sprint? GUI, Directory View, Persist
Was it accomplished? ☒ Y ☐ N

List completed features, with comments on design/completeness.

- a) Save Window Size (not bc) 1
- b) Persistence 2
- c) Styling 4
- d) Directory 3
- e)

What worked well this sprint? Paired Programming
What didn't work and what will you change as a team?

Disjoint Work Broke merges

The team seemed to have problems syncing & designing their App. They weren't able to merge the style changes into the project. While the workload was evenly distributed & accomplished, the progress seemed small. Because of the merge issue, Features Instructions Didn't work well together.

The primary purpose of the sprint demo is to provide feedback! Remember that you only have about 15 mins per team.

Use the demo time to review what the team accomplished – reviewing the issues list with them is a great place to start. View each completed feature and provide helpful feedback.

Once the demos are completed, revisit and grade according to the guidelines below.

Please add comments and grade on this sheet. Once complete, scan into a PDF and upload to the SharePoint site.

Grading

1. Sprint kickoff (5)

Review the team's meeting minutes in GitLab.

- (2) Issues are created to address requirements for this sprint - see web site. ✓
- (2) Sprint objectives are identified and discussed. ✓
- (1) Issues are assigned evenly across the team. ✓

2. Project Management (5)

Review the project in GitLab, including their repository.

- (2) Issues were logged for the sprint, and closed with details. ✓
- (2) Merge workflow was done for each issue - including reviewers. ✓ 9/2
- (1) Client installers and release notes exist. ✓

3. Feature Demo (10)

Refer to your notes from the demo.

- (5) At least one major feature completed for each person on the team. ✓
- (3) Features were well-designed and worked well together. ? 1/3
- (2) Significant progress was made towards final product. ? 1/2