### Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)



Reza Zamani

http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs421/

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve, Gul Agha and Elsa Gunter

# 4

#### **Transition Semantics**

- Form of operational semantics
- Describes how each program construct transforms machine state by transitions
- Rules look like

$$(C, m) --> (C', m')$$

- ullet C, C' is code remaining to be executed
- m, m' represent the state/store/memory/environment
  - Partial mapping from identifiers to values
  - Sometimes m (or C) not needed
- Indicates exactly one step of computation

# 4

#### **Expressions and Values**

- Special class of expressions designated as values
  - Eg 2, 3 are values, but 2+3 is only an expression
- Memory only holds values
- Transitions stop when C is a value
- Value is the final meaning of original expression (in the given state)
- C, C'used for commands; E, E' for expressions; U, V for values



#### Simple Imperative Programming Language

- *I* ∈ *Identifiers*
- $\blacksquare$   $N \in Numerals$
- $B ::= true \mid false \mid B \& B \mid B \text{ or } B \mid not B \mid E < E \mid E = E$
- E::= N / I / E + E / E \* E / E E / E
- C::= skip | C; C | I := E| if B then C else C fi | while B do C od C



#### Transitions for Expressions

- Identifiers: (1,m) --> m(1)
- Numerals are values: (N,m) --> N

- Notation Function update:
- $m[I < --V] = \lambda y$ . if y = I then V else m(y)

### Booleans:

- Values = {true, false}
- Operators: (short-circuit)

(false & 
$$B$$
,  $m$ ) --> false   
(true &  $B$ ,  $m$ ) --> ( $B$ ,  $m$ ) ( $B$  &  $B'$ ,  $m$ ) --> ( $B''$  &  $B$ ,  $m$ )

(true or 
$$B, m$$
) --> true  $(B, m)$  -->  $(B'', m)$   
(false or  $B, m$ ) -->  $(B, m)$   $(B \text{ or } B', m)$  -->  $(B'' \text{ or } B, m)$ 

(not true, m) --> false 
$$(B, m) --> (B', m)$$
  
(not false, m) --> true (not  $B, m$ ) --> (not  $B', m$ )



$$(E, m) --> (E'', m)$$
  
 $(E \sim E', m) --> (E''\sim E', m)$ 

$$(E, m) --> (E', m)$$
  
 $(V \sim E, m) --> (V \sim E', m)$ 

 $(U \sim V, m)$  --> true or false, depending on whether  $U \sim V$  holds or not



#### **Arithmetic Expressions**

$$(E, m) \longrightarrow (E'', m)$$
  
 $(E \ op \ E', \ m) \longrightarrow (E'' \ op \ E', m)$ 

$$(E, m) --> (E', m)$$
  
 $(V op E, m) --> (V op E', m)$ 

(*U op V, m*) -->*N* where *N* is the specified value for *U op V* 



#### Commands - in English

- skip means done evaluating
- When evaluating an assignment, evaluate the expression first
- If the expression being assigned is already a value, update the memory with the new value for the identifier
- When evaluating a sequence, work on the first command in the sequence first
- If the first command evaluates to a new memory (ie completes), evaluate remainder with new memory

### Commands

$$(skip, m) \longrightarrow m$$

$$(E,m) \longrightarrow (E',m)$$

$$(I := E,m) \longrightarrow (I := E',m)$$

$$(I := V,m) \longrightarrow m[I < --V]$$

$$\frac{(C,m) \longrightarrow (C'',m')}{(C,C',m) \longrightarrow (C',m')} \xrightarrow{(C,C',m) \longrightarrow (C',m')}$$



#### If Then Else Command - in English

- If the boolean guard in an if\_then\_else is true, then evaluate the first branch
- If it is false, evaluate the second branch
- If the boolean guard is not a value, then start by evaluating it first.



#### If Then Else Command

(if true then C else C' fi, m) --> (C, m)

(if false then C else C'fi, m) --> (C', m)

$$(B,m) \longrightarrow (B',m)$$

(if B then C else C'fi, m) --> (if B' then C else C'fi, m)

## While Command

(while B do C od, m)

--> (if B then C; while B do C od else skip fi, m)

In English: Expand a While into a test of the boolean guard, with the true case being to do the body and then try the while loop again, and the false case being to stop.



First step:

```
(if x > 5 then y := 2 + 3 else y := 3 + 4 fi, \{x -> 7\})

--> ?
```



First step:

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) --> ?$$
  
(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:=3 + 4 fi,  $\{x -> 7\}$ )  
--> ?



First step:

$$(x,\{x \to 7\}) \to 7$$

$$(x > 5, \{x \to 7\}) \to ?$$
(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi, 
$$\{x \to 7\}$$
)
$$--> ?$$



First step:

$$(x,\{x \rightarrow 7\}) \rightarrow 7$$
  
 $(x > 5, \{x \rightarrow 7\}) \rightarrow (7 > 5, \{x \rightarrow 7\})$   
(if  $x > 5$  then  $y := 2 + 3$  else  $y := 3 + 4$  fi,  $\{x \rightarrow 7\}$ )  
 $--> ?$ 



First step:

$$(x,\{x \rightarrow 7\}) \rightarrow 7$$

$$(x > 5, \{x \rightarrow 7\}) \rightarrow (7 > 5, \{x \rightarrow 7\})$$

$$(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2 + 3 \text{ else } y:= 3 + 4 \text{ fi,}$$

$$\{x \rightarrow 7\}$$
--> (if 7 > 5 then  $y:= 2 + 3 \text{ else } y:= 3 + 4 \text{ fi,}$ 

$$\{x \rightarrow 7\}$$
)



Second Step:

$$(7 > 5, \{x -> 7\})$$
 --> true  
(if  $7 > 5$  then  $y:=2 + 3$  else  $y:=3 + 4$  fi,  
 $\{x -> 7\}$ )  
--> (if true then  $y:=2 + 3$  else  $y:=3 + 4$  fi,  
 $\{x -> 7\}$ )

Third Step:

(if true then 
$$y:=2 + 3$$
 else  $y:=3 + 4$  fi,  $\{x -> 7\}$ )  
--> $\{y:=2+3, \{x->7\}\}$ )



Fourth Step:

$$\frac{(2+3, \{x->7\}) --> 5}{(y:=2+3, \{x->7\}) --> (y:=5, \{x->7\})}$$

• Fifth Step:

$$(y:=5, \{x->7\}) \longrightarrow \{y->5, x->7\}$$



Bottom Line:

```
(if x > 5 then y = 2 + 3 else y = 3 + 4 fi,
  \{x -> 7\}
--> (if 7 > 5 then y:=2 + 3 else y:=3 + 4 fi,
  \{x -> 7\}
--> (if true then y:=2+3 else y:=3+4 fi,
  \{x -> 7\}
 -->(y:=2+3, \{x->7\})
--> (y:=5, \{x->7\}) --> \{y->5, x->7\}
```



#### **Transition Semantics Evaluation**

 A sequence of steps with trees of justification for each step

$$(C_1, m_1) \longrightarrow (C_2, m_2) \longrightarrow (C_3, m_3) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow m$$

- Let -->\* be the transitive closure of -->
- Ie, the smallest transitive relation containing -->

#### **Adding Local Declarations**

- Add to expressions:
- *E* ::= ... | let / = *E* in *E'* | fun / -> *E* | *E E'*
- fun / -> E is a value
- Could handle local binding using state, but have assumption that evaluating expressions doesn't alter the environment
- We will use substitution here instead
- **Notation**: *E* [*E'* / / ] means replace all free occurrence of / by *E'* in *E*



#### Call-by-value (Eager Evaluation)

(let 
$$I = V \text{ in } E, m) --> (E[V/I], m)$$
  
 $(E, m) --> (E'', m)$   
(let  $I = E \text{ in } E', m) --> (\text{let } I = E' \text{ in } E')$   
((fun  $I -> E) V, m) --> (E[V/I], m)$   
 $(E', m) --> (E'', m)$   
((fun  $I -> E) E', m) --> ((fun  $I -> E) E'', m)$$ 



#### Call-by-name (Lazy Evaluation)

• (let I = E in E', m) --> (E'[E/I], m)

• ((fun  $I \to E'$ ) E, m) --> (E'[E/I], m)

- Question: Does it make a difference?
  - It depends on the language



#### Church-Rosser Property

- Church-Rosser Property:
  - Assume E-->\*  $E_1$  and E-->\*  $E_2$ . If there exists a value V such that  $E_1$  --> V, then  $E_2$  --> V
- Also called confluence or diamond property

Example: 
$$E = 2 + 3 + 4$$
  
 $E_1 = 5 + 4$   
 $V = 9$ 
 $E_2 = 2 + 7$ 

### Does It always Hold?

- No. Languages with side-effects tend not be Church-Rosser with the combination of call-byname and call-by-value
- Alonzo Church and Barkley Rosser proved in 1936 the  $\lambda$ -calculus does have it
- Benefit of Church-Rosser: can check equality of terms by evaluating them (Given evaluation strategy might not terminate, though)

27