Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)



Reza Zamani

http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/cs421/

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve, Gul Agha and Munawar Hafiz



- Expresses the meaning of syntax
- Static semantics
 - Meaning based only on the form of the expression without executing it
 - Usually restricted to type checking / type inference
 - Could include Data Flow Analysis.



Dynamic semantics

- n Method of describing meaning of executing a program
- Several different types:
 - Operational Semantics
 - Axiomatic Semantics
 - Algebraic Semantics
 - n Denotational Semantics (Scott-Strachey semantics)



Dynamic Semantics

Different languages better suited to different types of semantics
 Different types of semantics serve different purposes



Operational Semantics

- Start with a simple notion of machine
- n Describe how to execute (implement) programs of language on virtual machine, by describing how to execute each program statement (ie, following the *structure* of the program)
- Meaning of program is how its execution changes the state of the machine
- Useful as basis for implementations



Axiomatic Semantics

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- n Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- n Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- n Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages



Axiomatic Semantics

(post-condition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state before execution

n Written:

{Precondition} Program {Postcondition}

Source of idea of loop invariant



Denotational Semantics

- Construct a function Massigning a mathematical meaning to each program construct
- Lambda calculus often used as the range of the meaning function
- Meaning function is compositional: meaning of construct built from meaning of parts
- Useful for proving properties of programs



Denotational Semantics

n Construct a function Massigning a mathematical meaning to each program construct

Meaning function is compositional: meaning of construct built from meaning of parts

Useful for proving properties of programs



Natural Semantics

- Aka Structural Operational Semantics, aka "Big Step Semantics"
- Provide value for a program by rules and derivations, similar to type derivations
- n Rule conclusions look like



Simple Imperative Programming Language

- n *I* ∈ *Identifiers*
- $n N \in Numerals$
- n $B := \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid B \& B \mid B \text{ or } B \mid \text{not } B$ $\mid E < E \mid E = E$
- n E := N / I / E + E / E * E / E E / E
- n $C := \text{skip} \mid C; C \mid I := E$ | if B then C else C fi | while B do C od



Natural Semantics of Atomic Expressions

```
n Identifiers: (I,m) \downarrow m(I)
n Numerals are values: (N,m) \downarrow N
n Booleans: (\text{true},m) \downarrow \text{true}
(\text{false},m) \downarrow \text{false}
```



Booleans:

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ false $(B \& B', m)$ ↓ false

$$(B, m) \downarrow \text{ true } (B', m) \downarrow b$$
se
$$(B \& B', m) \downarrow b$$

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ true
 $(B \text{ or } B', m)$ ↓ true

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ false (B', m) ↓ b $(B \text{ or } B', m)$ ↓ b

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ true
(not B, m) ↓ false

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ false (not B, m) ↓ true

Relations

$$(E, m) \Downarrow U \quad (E', m) \Downarrow V \quad U \sim V = b$$

$$(E \sim E', m) \Downarrow b$$

- n By $U \sim V = b$, we mean does (the meaning of) the relation \sim hold on the meaning of U and V
- May be specified by a mathematical expression/equation or rules matching U and V



Arithmetic Expressions

$$(E, m) \Downarrow U \quad (E', m) \Downarrow V \quad U \text{ op } V = N$$

$$(E \text{ op } E', m) \Downarrow N$$

where N is the specified value for U op V

Commands

Skip: $(skip, m) \downarrow m$

Assignment: $(E,m) \downarrow V$ $(I::=E,m) \downarrow m[I < --- V]$

Sequencing: $(C,m) \downarrow m' (C',m') \downarrow m''$ $(C,C',m) \downarrow m''$



If Then Else Command

(B,m) ↓ true (C,m) ↓ m' (if B then C else C' fi, m) ↓ m'

(B,m) ↓ false (C',m) ↓ m' (if B then C else C' fi, m) ↓ m'

While Command

$$(B,m)$$
 ↓ false
(while B do C od, m) ↓ m

$$(B,m)$$
 \$\forall \text{true} \ (C,m)\$\big| m' \ \ \text{(while } B \text{ do } C \text{ od, } m')\$\big| m'' \ \ \text{(while } B \text{ do } C \text{ od, } m')\$\big| m''

(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi,
$$\{x -> 7\}$$
) \downarrow ?

? > ? = ?

$$(x,(x->7))$$
 \(\frac{1}{2}\)? \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\)? \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\)? \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\)? \(\frac{1}{2}\)?

7 > 5 = true

$$(x,\{x->7\})$$
 ↓ 7 $(5,\{x->7\})$ ↓ 5
 $(x > 5, \{x -> 7\})$ ↓ ?
 $(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi, \{x -> 7\})$ ↓ ?

$$7 > 5 = \text{true}$$

$$(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5$$

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true}$$

$$(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2 + 3 \text{ else } y:= 3 + 4 \text{ fi},$$

$$\{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?$$

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3$$

$$7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5$$

$$(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:=2+3,\{x->7\})$$

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow \{y->5,x->7\}$$

$$(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:=2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi,}$$

$$\{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \{y->5,x->7\}$$



Let in Command

$$\frac{(E,m) \Downarrow v \ (C,m[I <-\nu]) \Downarrow m'}{(\text{let } I = E \text{ in } C, m) \Downarrow m''}$$

Where m''(x) = m'(x) for $x \ne I$ and m''(I) = m(I) if m(I) is defined, otherwise m''(I) is undefined

$$\frac{(x,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 5 \quad (3,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 3}{(x+3,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 8}$$

$$\frac{(5,\{x->17\}) \downarrow 5}{(\text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } (x:=x+3), \{x->17\}) \downarrow ?}$$

$$\frac{(x,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 5 \quad (3,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 3}{(x+3,\{x->5\}) \downarrow 8}$$

$$\frac{(5,\{x->17\}) \downarrow 5}{(\text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } (x:=x+3), \{x->17\}) \downarrow 17}$$



- Simple Imperative Programming Language introduces variables implicitly through assignment
- The let-in command introduces scoped variables explictly
- Clash of constructs apparent in awkward semantics



Interpretation Versus Compilation

- A compiler from language L1 to language L2 is a program that takes an L1 program and for each piece of code in L1 generates a piece of code in L2 of same meaning
- An interpreter of L1 in L2 is an L2 program that executes the meaning of a given L1 program
- n Compiler would examine the body of a loop once; an interpreter would examine it every time the loop was executed



- An Interpreter represents the operational semantics of a language L1 (source language) in the language of implementation L2 (target language)
- Built incrementally
 - n Start with literals
 - Nariables
 - Primitive operations
 - Evaluation of expressions
 - Evaluation of commands/declarations



- Takes abstract syntax trees as input
 - n In simple cases could be just strings
- One procedure for each syntactic category (nonterminal)
 - n eg one for expressions, another for commands
- If Natural semantics used, tells how to compute final value from code
- If Transition semantics used, tells how to compute next "state"
 - n To get final value, put in a loop



Natural Semantics Example

```
n compute_exp (Var(v), m) = look_up v m
n compute_exp (Int(n), _) = Num (n)
n ...
n compute_com(IfExp(b,c1,c2),m) =
    if compute_exp (b,m) = Bool(true)
    then compute_com (c1,m)
    else compute_com (c2,m)
```



Natural Semantics Example

```
n compute_com(While(b,c), m) =
   if compute_exp (b,m) = Bool(false)
   then m
   else compute_com
     (While(b,c), compute_com(c,m))
```

- May fail to terminate exceed stack limits
- Returns no useful information then