

COMS 5110 Final Exam Guide

Based on Confirmed Topics

Question 1: Amortized Analysis (Aggregate Method)

Topic: Amortized cost / Counting.

Source: HW3, Problem 1(a).

Problem: Consider a dynamic array where we perform n Append operations. If the array is full, we double its size and copy all elements. Use **Aggregate Analysis** to find the amortized cost per operation.

Solution

In Aggregate Analysis, we calculate the total cost $T(n)$ for the entire sequence of n operations and divide by n .

- **Insertion Cost:** Every operation performs exactly 1 insertion. Total = n .
- **Copying Cost:** Copying occurs only when size doubles (at sizes $1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^k$).

$$\text{Total Copy Cost} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor} 2^i = 1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + n = 2n - 1$$

- **Total Cost:**

$$T(n) = (\text{Insertions}) + (\text{Copies}) = n + (2n - 1) \approx 3n = O(n)$$

- **Amortized Cost:**

$$\frac{T(n)}{n} \approx \frac{3n}{n} = O(1)$$

Question 2: Amortized Analysis (Accounting Method)

Topic: Amortized cost / Counting.

Source: HW3, Problem 1(b).

Problem: Use the **Accounting Method** to prove the amortized cost of Append is $O(1)$.

Solution

We assign a specific amortized cost (charge) \hat{c}_i to each operation.

- **The Charge:** Set $\hat{c}_i = \$3$.
 - \$1 pays for the actual insertion.
 - \$2 is stored as **Credit** on the inserted element.
- **The Expensive Event:** When array doubles from n to $2n$, we must copy n existing elements.
- **The Payment:**
 - Actual cost to copy n items is $\$n$.
 - We have $\$2$ credit stored on each of the n items.
 - We consume $\$1$ from each item's credit to pay for the copy (leaving $\$1$ still in the bank).
- **Conclusion:** Since the accumulated credit is always sufficient to pay for doubling, the bank balance never drops below zero. Thus, amortized cost is $O(1)$.

Question 3: Dynamic Programming (Linear Space)

Topic: Linear space sequence alignment / S,D,I Equations.

Source: HW4.

Problem: Write the recurrence equations for Sequence Alignment with Affine Gap Penalties (S, D, I) and the pseudocode for Linear Space Alignment.

Part A: The Recurrence Equations

- $S[i, j]$: Optimal score ending with a **Match/Mismatch**.
- $D[i, j]$: Optimal score ending with a **Deletion** (gap in B).
- $I[i, j]$: Optimal score ending with an **Insertion** (gap in A).

$$D[i, j] = \max \begin{cases} D[i - 1, j] - r & \text{(Extend existing deletion)} \\ S[i - 1, j] - (q + r) & \text{(Start new deletion)} \end{cases}$$

$$I[i, j] = \max \begin{cases} I[i, j - 1] - r & \text{(Extend existing insertion)} \\ S[i, j - 1] - (q + r) & \text{(Start new insertion)} \end{cases}$$

$$S[i, j] = \max \begin{cases} S[i - 1, j - 1] + \sigma(a_i, b_j) & \text{(Match/Mismatch)} \\ D[i, j] & \text{(End a deletion)} \\ I[i, j] & \text{(End an insertion)} \end{cases}$$

Part B: Pseudocode (Midpoint Algorithm)

Goal: Find optimal alignment score in $O(mn)$ time but $O(m + n)$ space.

Algorithm 1 LinearSpaceAlignment(A, B)

```
1:  $m \leftarrow \text{length}(A)$ ,  $n \leftarrow \text{length}(B)$ 
2: if  $m \leq 1$  then return Standard_Alignment(A, B)
3: end if
4:
5: Step 1: Find Midpoint Split
6:  $imid \leftarrow \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ 
7:  $(S_{mid}, D_{mid}) \leftarrow \text{ForwardScore}(A[0 \dots imid], B)$ 
8:  $(S_{rev}, D_{rev}) \leftarrow \text{BackwardScore}(A[imid \dots m], B)$ 
9:
10: Step 2: Find Best Split Column ( $jmid$ )
11:  $Max \leftarrow -\infty$ ,  $jmid \leftarrow -1$ 
12: for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n$  do ▷ Add  $q$  to deletion case to refund double-charged penalty
13:    $Score \leftarrow \max(S_{mid}[j] + S_{rev}[j], D_{mid}[j] + D_{rev}[j] + q)$ 
14:   if  $Score > Max$  then
15:      $Max \leftarrow Score$ ;  $jmid \leftarrow j$ 
16:   end if
17: end for
18:
19: Step 3: Recurse and Concatenate
20:  $Left \leftarrow \text{LinearSpaceAlignment}(A[0 \dots imid], B[0 \dots jmid])$ 
21:  $Right \leftarrow \text{LinearSpaceAlignment}(A[imid \dots m], B[jmid \dots n])$ 
22: return Concatenate( $Left, Right$ )
```

Question 4: NP-Completeness (Membership + Reduction)

Topic: Standard NP Reduction.

Source: HW5, Problem 5.

Problem: Prove **INDEPENDENT-SET** is NP-Complete. Assume **CLIQUE** is NP-Complete.

Part A: Membership (In NP)

- **Certificate:** A subset of vertices S .
- **Verifier:** Check if $|S| = k$. Then iterate through all pairs $(u, v) \in S$ and verify $(u, v) \notin E$. Time is $O(k^2)$, which is polynomial.

Part B: Reduction (**CLIQUE** \leq_p **INDEPENDENT-SET**)

- **Construction:** Given instance $\langle G, k \rangle$ for CLIQUE:
 - Construct the **Complement Graph** $\bar{G} = (V, \bar{E})$.
 - An edge $(u, v) \in \bar{E}$ exists if and only if $(u, v) \notin E$ (edge was missing in original).
- **Proof:**
 - If G has a **Clique** (all connected), those vertices in \bar{G} have **no edges** between them.
 - Thus, they form an **Independent Set** in \bar{G} .

- G has Clique $\iff \bar{G}$ has Independent Set.
-

Question 5: Approximation Algorithm (Cost Analysis)

Topic: Approx cost algo.

Source: HW5, Problem 4.

Problem: Describe the 2-approximation algorithm for **Metric TSP** and prove $c(H) \leq 2c(H^*)$.

The Algorithm

1. Compute the **Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)** of the graph.
2. Create a path by walking around the MST (Full Walk / DFS).
3. Create the tour H by **short-cutting** (skipping repeated vertices).

The Proof ($c(H) \leq 2c(H^*)$)

- **Step 1 (Lower Bound):** Removing one edge from the Optimal Tour H^* creates a spanning tree. Therefore, the cost of the MST is less than the optimal tour.

$$c(T) \leq c(H^*)$$

- **Step 2 (The Walk):** A full walk of the MST traverses every edge exactly twice (once down, once up).

$$c(Walk) = 2 \times c(T)$$

- **Step 3 (Triangle Inequality):** Short-cutting allows us to skip nodes we've already visited. By the Triangle Inequality (direct path is shorter than detour), this reduces cost.

$$c(H) \leq c(Walk)$$

- **Conclusion:**

$$c(H) \leq 2 \times c(T) \leq 2 \times c(H^*)$$