

HomeWork 2

Name: *Liangjian Chen*

ID: #52006933

October 13, 2016

Problem Step 1 Zerind

| Name | g | h | f |
|--------|----|-----|-----|
| Arad | 75 | 366 | 441 |
| Oradea | 71 | 380 | 451 |

Step 2 Arad

| Name | g | h | f |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Sibiu | 215 | 366 | 441 |
| Timisoara | 193 | 329 | 522 |
| Oradea | 71 | 380 | 451 |

Step 3 Sibiu

| Name | g | h | f |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Fagaras | 214 | 176 | 390 |
| Rimnicu Vilcea | 295 | 193 | 488 |
| Timisoara | 193 | 329 | 522 |
| Oradea | 71 | 380 | 451 |

Step 4 Fagaras

| Name | g | h | f |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Bucharest | 425 | 0 | 425 |
| Rimnicu Vilcea | 295 | 193 | 488 |
| Timisoara | 193 | 329 | 522 |
| Oradea | 71 | 380 | 451 |

Step 5 Find Bucharest, the distance is 25.

Problem 2 Assume u expand v and s is the start point, t is the destination.

(a)

$$f(v) = c(s, v) + h(v) = c(s, u) + c(u, v) + h(v) \geq c(s, u) + h(u) = f(u)$$

(b)

$$h_1(u) \leq c(u, v) + h_1(v)$$

$$h_2(u) \leq c(u, v) + h_2(v)$$

$$h = \max\{h_1(u), h_2(u)\} \leq \max\{c(u, v) + h_1(v), c(u, v) + h_2(v)\}$$

$$= c(u, v) + \max\{h_1(v), h_2(v)\} = c(u, v) + h(v)$$

- (c) prove it is admissible is proving the optimal path would always be found. Were it not the case, there would be another node n' in the frontier on the optimal path from s to t . Let's say path 1 is the path found in heuristic search and path 2 is the optimal path going through n' and d_1, d_2 are their corresponding length. Thus,
 $f(u) = c(s, n') + h(n') \leq c(s, n') + c(n', t) = d_1 \leq d_2 = f(t)$
 Then, in the last step, the frontier should pop the n' rather than t . So it contradicts with the assumption. Thus the statement holds.
- (d) consider, after we pick u out from the frontier, node v link to u again. From (a), we can obtain that $g(u) + h(u) \leq g(v) + h(v)$ and $h(u) \geq h(v)$. The new weight is $f_{new}(u) = g(v) + c(v, s) + h(u) \geq g(u) + h(u)$. Thus, it would never update the $f(u)$ and would never push u into frontier again.
- (e) Intuitively, $h(u)$ is an underestimate of $c(u, t)$, so if $h_1(u) \geq h_2(u)$, $h_1(u)$ is more accurate than $h_2(u)$. Thus, A_1^* would expand **equal or less** than A_2^* .

For formal proof, let's consider picking node u in the frontier by h_2 , but there is another node u' whose f -value is smaller than u by heuristic function h_1 which leads u . Then A_1^* will choose u' . If it leads to an optimal path without u , A_1^* does not need to expand u anymore.

However if no case mentioned above happened, the number of expanded nodes should be the same.

Problem 3 Solution:

Completeness: For any w , algorithm will expand all the nodes and find the answer.

Optimal:

$$f(n) = (2 - w)g(n) + wh(n)$$

$$f(n) = (2 - w)(g(n) + \frac{w}{2 - w}h(n))$$

the coefficient of $h(n)$ should be less or equal 1

$$\frac{w}{2 - w} \leq 1$$

$$w \leq 1$$

$w = 0$: Uniform-cost search

$w = 1$: Best-first search

$w = 2$: Greedy best search

Problem 4 Yes, we can use this result to cut some branches.

If a node u 's estimated distance $f(u)$ is larger than f_U , we can just discard it, rather than putting it into frontier.

Problem 5 For example, a graph $G(V, E)$, $V = \{s, t, a, b\}$, $E = \{e(s, a, 0), e(s, b, 0), e(a, t, 100), e(b, t, 101)\}$. Heuristic function $h(a) = 90, h(b) = 10$. So we would expand b before a and get goal node t , at this time $f(t) = 101$, but the optimal path is $s \rightarrow a \rightarrow t$ which just cost 100. So terminating as soon as goal node find is not correct.