

Homework 5

Due Wednesday, 11/30/2016, at the beginning of the class

1 Combining Encryption and Authentication

Recall section 4.5 in [KL], on obtaining privacy and message authentication at the same time, and the three basic methods of combining CPA-secure encryption and MACs.

1. Can the encrypt-and-authenticate method result in secure *authenticated encryption* if the MAC scheme has unique tags? (*Hint: Think of the properties of the encryption...*)
2. Show that a CCA-secure symmetric-key encryption does not have to be an authenticated encryption. *Hint: What you need is that the adversary can create some valid ciphertexts without endangering the CCA security of the encryption...*

2 PKE with Multiple Keys

Assume $\Pi = (KG, Enc, Dec)$ is a CPA secure PKE and let $\Pi' = (KG', Enc', Dec')$ be a “multiple-key version” of Π in the following sense: $KG'(1^\tau)$ runs $KG(1^\tau)$ n times, collects the generated public keys as $pk' = (pk_1, \dots, pk_n)$ and the corresponding private keys as $sk' = (sk_1, \dots, sk_n)$. Then $Enc'((pk_1, \dots, pk_n), m) = (Enc(pk_1, m), \dots, Enc(pk_n, m))$ while $Dec'((sk_1, \dots, sk_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n))$ output $Dec(sk_i, c_i)$ for any i (doesn't matter which).

Is Π' CPA PKE if Π is CPA PKE? Argue why or why not.

What real-world situation is modeled by (KG, Enc, Dec) ?

3 TDF with Multiple Keys

Assume $\Pi = (Gen, Samp, Eval, Inv)$ is a TDF family which is “domain uniform” in the sense that for every τ there exists D_τ s.t. for every (I, td) generated by $Gen(\tau)$ the domain of function f_I is D_τ . In other words, all TDF's f_I generated for some security parameter τ share the same domain D_τ . Consider the following construction of a “multi-key version” of this TDF, denoted $\Pi' = (Gen', Samp', Eval', Inv')$: $Gen'(1^\tau)$ runs $Gen(1^\tau)$ n times, generating $(I_1, td_1), \dots, (I_n, td_n)$, and outputs $I' = (I_1, \dots, I_n)$ and $td' = (td_1, \dots, td_n)$. Let $f'_{(I_1, \dots, I_n)}$ be defined on the same domain D_τ as $f'_{(I_1, \dots, I_n)}(x) = (f_{I_1}(x), \dots, f_{I_n}(x))$. Clearly, algorithm $Eval'$ can run $Eval$ on (I_i, x) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ to compute $f'_{(I_1, \dots, I_n)}$, and Inv' can invert f' using just one computation of Inv , for any td_i in td' .

Show that Π' is not a TDF given any TDF Π by instantiating Π with an RSA TDF, slightly modified to assure “domain uniformity”. In other words, assume that the domain of each RSA TDF generated on security parameter τ is $D_\tau = \{0, 1\}^{p(\tau)-1}$ for some fixed polynomial p .¹ Look at the various attacks on the “textbook RSA” encryption in [KL,

¹In the case of RSA TDF (for some fixed e) the domain of $F((N, e), \cdot)$ for each N generated by RSA TDF generator Gen_e on security parameter τ is Z_N^* where N is an RSA composite of bitlength $p(\tau)$. Therefore

section 10.4], and recall that “textbook RSA” is exactly the RSA TDF (mis)used as a public key encryption. One of the attacks gives an answer to this question...

3.1 Bonus Question

If someone tried to prove the opposite, i.e. that Π' is a TDF for any domain-uniform TDF Π , and if they tried to use a hybrid argument, where exactly would this argument break? (It must break at some point because the statement is not true.)

4 Trapdoor Functions and Public Key Encryption

Assume that (G, F, F^{-1}) is a TDF s.t. for all security parameters τ , for all (pk, td) generated by $G(\tau)$, $F(pk, \cdot)$ is a function from $\{0, 1\}^\tau$ to $\{0, 1\}^\tau$. Consider the following attempts at creating a PKE (G, E, D) on message space $\{0, 1\}^\tau$, where the key generation algorithm G is the generation algorithm of the TDF, except that the trapdoor output td will now be called a secret key $sk = td$. In each case state whether the PKE scheme is CPA secure given any TDF (G, F, F^{-1}) , and explain why or why not.

- (a) $E(pk, m) = F(pk, m)$
- (b) $E(pk, m) = (r, F(pk, r) \oplus m)$ for r random in $\{0, 1\}^\tau$.
- (c) $E(pk, m) = (F(pk, r), r \oplus m)$ for r random in $\{0, 1\}^\tau$.
- (d) $E(pk, m) = (F(pk, r), H(r) \oplus m)$ for r random in $\{0, 1\}^\tau$, where H is a Random Oracle hash onto $\{0, 1\}^\tau$.

if N_1 and N_2 are output by two runs of $Gen_e(1^\tau)$ we have that $|N_1| = |N_2| = p(\tau)$, but $Z_{N_1}^*$ and $Z_{N_2}^*$ are two different groups, so this TDF doesn't exactly fit the restriction that all F 's generated on the same security parameter must share the same domain. However, we can easily restrict each of these RSA TDF to $D_\tau = \{0, 1\}^{p(\tau)-1}$, i.e. all integers between 0 and $2^{p(\tau)-1}$. Note that $D_\tau \subseteq Z_N^*$ for each N generated by $Gen_e(1^\tau)$ (except for elements which are not co-prime with N , but if anyone finds these then they can factor n so we can ignore them). The reason why one can restrict each RSA TDF to just D_τ is that for each N generated by $Gen_e(1^\tau)$ we have that $|D_\tau| > \frac{1}{2} \cdot |Z_N^*|$, i.e. D_τ is a very significant subset of Z_N^* , and therefore if a function is One-Way on domain Z_N^* then it must also be One-Way on the D_τ subset of its domain.