

# INTRO DASH.EXE

A look into the mind of, the one and only Dashie. It may surprise you what you may find for it 'be' unknown until you dare to look behind that door.

## So, who is Dashie?

Simply said, I am Dashie and I love everything. For all be one and one be all. I am a Computer Software Engineer, Teacher, Witch, Writer, Book Worm, Hacker, Minimalist, Explorer, the Dash, Musician, Rapper, Naturalist, Realist and a Philosopher.

I am a self made individual and therefore must I state that any text within these paragraphs are personal and not necessarily based on any sources others put together before me.

I am proud of the work I put out because I am the living proof of all of what I say, though my words may correspond to something different than what you interpret it as, they are still my words.

## Why should I even care?

Who am I to say that you should care? I do not know your interests or who you are to begin with but I do know that the methodologies and ideologies present within this book have been useful to many before you.

The reason why I compiled this book in the first place is because people kept telling me how useful it may be to those who go through similar situations as them.

I am quite sure there is someone else on this rock flying through space who

shares the same type of mindset as me, so if it helped them there is a possibility I got a chance at helping you.

I also think it is worth mentioning that these ideologies were put to work when I was about 16 years of age (I wrote this book when I was 19).

English is not my first language either so cut me some slack. I do not intend to live up to your expectation for you should not have any to begin with.

May I ask, how can you form an expectation about something that you do not even know? You probably have yet to read me.

## What is this book about then?

Assuming that you are going to read through the entire piece...you will stumble across a lot of concepts that are controversial such as Religion, Logic, Possibility, Being and much more.

My intention is to go back to the base of it all, the foundation, and recompile the entire structure in a way that ideologies are limited and the source code is simplified, in a way that I am able to build a bridge from my perspective to yours, respectively.

## For the critics.

I am not a diplomat; I believe that it is about what one has to offer rather than what a paper says, I have seen that skill does not depend on a paper but rather on the will of an individual.

The essence of this book is me, so for that do I say that I do not care about your standards when it comes down to a book, this is a part of me and not you.

When reading this book you should keep in mind that I do not intend to pick sides such as right, wrong, good, bad, true or false. Neither do I intend to convince you, instead I am trying to gain your understanding of what I present to you in a form that is unique to me, Dashie.

Though I may give you an impression here and there, it is about what I say rather than the assumptions you may make about what I say, so for that must I also say that I do not ever intend to come over as someone who holds the truth, I do not believe that what I say is truly how it is, I just think that what I say is how it is to me for I have been able to give myself reason to believe so.

I also think it is worth mentioning that I do not believe in constant read-only values. In other words, just because I say " $a + b = c$ " now does not mean I will do so tomorrow. My way of thinking is forever changing. If I can set the value of a variable then I can also update it.

## Portfolio?

I mean, I do not have a portfolio like that I think, but I certainly have some public projects you can take a look at and I also have a few ways you could perhaps reach out to me if you have anything to say.

Blog, Downloads and Much More:

Website: <https://pugpawz.com/>

Public Source Codes:

GitHub: <https://github.com/KvinneKraft/>

Public Minecraft Plugins:

SpigotMC: <https://www.spigotmc.org/members/kvinnekraft.864628/>

Public Business Email:

ProtonMail: [KvinneKraft@protonmail.com](mailto:KvinneKraft@protonmail.com)

Public Music Collection:

YouTube:

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCODlr1GUANP7i1TvEkjsAQ>

## Possibilities

To say something is improbable is to imply you know all possibilities. For all knowledge is thought based and therefore may I say, one may only think to know rather than actually know. Therefore do I conclude that we do not and perhaps can not know.

As an example, you do not know what a book says until you have read it. So...until the moment that you have actually read the book, the book could contain any set of words far beyond what you could perhaps expect. To say that the book does not contain a specific set of words is to imply that you have read the book and therefore am able to form a valid opinion about the matter. Therefore can I say that one may only say that something is impossible if one knows all possibilities. I believe that life is like one big book which writes itself and therefore makes you unable to know what will happen next until it becomes a part of your past. So, to say the future can not behold something is to know the future.

## Existence

May I ask, why would the existence of something beyond our horizon of self compiled reality need my acknowledgment in order to be?

To say something can not exist is to know all of that what does exist though we do not know for as far as I am concerned. The only reason we are able to give ourselves to believe that some being may exist is our ability to perceive such in one way or another. But, what if we simply lack the comprehensibility and or the capability to interpret or perceive such? We would not know. So for that may I ask that anything could exist. To say "it" can not be whatever it may be without me is to imply that "its" existence depends on me, which to me seems like a form of echoism. Please keep in mind that I am distincting the difference between the reality that we perceive from and the one we build based on what we think to perceive from the reality that we actually find ourselves in.

Another argument for my statement would be the existence of dinosaurs. We are able to find their fossils which tells me that the existence of life can present itself beyond our self made reality, they lived their own lives before we even knew they existed which shows that existence of something does not always depend on our acknowledgment of such, perhaps to us individually but not universally.

## Non Existence

Simply said, to deny the existence of something is to imply you know all there is. How else can you grant yourself with certainty? Certainty can only be present where there is certainty, where you are sure this or that is this or that, but how can you be sure about that what you do not know?

If it does not exist, you can not know it, therefore I believe it is impossible to assure one the non existence of something. Of course, you could perhaps state that one may be able to conclude the non existence of something, if one knows all there is to know, such as all the possibilities that could perhaps make the existence of such possible, if any, but then again, you will run into the same issue, you can not know what is not there, neither can you assure yourself that you have seen every single thing there is to be found.

You can not know what is within a book until you read it, but, what if there is nothing to read within the book? You would not know, unless you open the book.

## Belief

To me science depends on your belief of such or more cleanly said, your form of logic. Now let me point out that I am not corresponding to belief in the sense of religion (the belief in the certainty of an expectation of what has yet to present itself to you.).

I believe the above because when you truly think about it openly we ourselves are the reason for reason itself. We believe something to be what we think it to be because we are able to give ourselves a reason to believe so, regardless of whatever that reason may be. If one were to tell me gravity is a thing because science has shown "its" being then that by itself is a reason I give for "that" what I believe to be. The above is how science in the sense of logic came to be what it is today, reason. Like I have pointed out previously, I do not pick any sides. I am not saying or trying to imply that any of what I say is true, false, good, bad, right or wrong universally, I talk from the inside out not from the outside in. To me, that what is, is whatever it may be regardless of what I myself may think of it. The layers I add to the core only fog my sight up and therefore hide the essence more than it should be hidden...to me.

## Disappointment

In order to prevent yourself from being disappointed you should not have any expectations due to expectations being able to introduce the possibility of disappointment. The only reason you can be disappointed is because something is not what you wanted it to be.

How can one truly give oneself reason to assure oneself the certainty of an expectation of what has yet to come, for there is no certainty in life?

When one is hurt because of the fact that something is not what they would perhaps like it to be, I could only say that the reason for such hurt is oneself, for the cause of such, be disappointment which could have been prevented by not having an expectation of the matter to begin with.

If you were to tell yourself that it would be okay, regardless of what your brain may think, if you are able to accept that what is for what it is then there is no difficulty about it. For the only obstacle in life is oneself.

Even though expectation has been normalized among societies I myself

feel like if one were to think for oneself such things could be prevented from the start to begin with. We tend to think their key will fit on our door when in reality our door is unique to us.

## Reality

If we can not say whether this reality that we create truly is “the” reality or a reality at all then how can we as a society be so certain about such?

You may say we need certainty in order to be, but to me certainty does not even exist so clearly being is possible without certainty For certainty is an ideology which we tend to associate with a form of being which we do not know more about than what we think of it, for all we know is what we think to know rather than what it may actually be though we do not know if it be to start with.

Certainty can only be given when you can guarantee the future, but we can not. For all we know we could be dead tomorrow. Speculation may be accurate but still is a speculation rather than a guaranteed outcome.

You may say that when you play a song that is familiar to you, you may know what they will say before they say what they are about to say but even then, the radio could cut off right before they say it and therefore not live up to that what you were so certain of. I feel like we could come up with a lot of possibilities that could interfere with our expectation of a situation. Keep in mind, nothing is impossible for to say something is impossible is to have crossed out all other possibilities, but how, if all that you know is what you know until you come across something new?

What if you were to walk across the entire planet looking for treasure yet miss one spot which contained the treasure you looked for but yet think it is not there? You would not know if you missed it. How can you find what may not exist after all? You would not know unless you find it. You may say that it does not exist to you but that does not necessarily mean it can



and therefore does not exist.

I believe that being in the sense of a part of the entirety is immeasurable and thus cannot be comprehended with human language. This is because human language requires our understanding in order to mean anything to us, how can we describe something with human language that goes beyond our comprehensibility if we are responsible for the meaning of the language itself?

To assume that we are capable of comprehending all there is without knowing all there is or anything to begin with then may I state we are arrogantly and echoistically rooted to believe such?

## Religion

Why would I limit myself to an imaginary being that has no more influence than what I myself allow it to influence if I can do and have all of that what such a being has to offer to me without such a being by simply integrating the ideologies alongside my own?

They told me their God had to offer peace and harmony yet it introduced concepts such as Evil and The Devil. These are just concepts, why would I want to live alongside such concepts if I can also live without any of it since it depends on my acknowledgment of such. Without me acknowledging the ideology of Evil and or The Devil it has no meaning to me for these ideologies consist out of words which do not mean more than what I think of it.

They told me these ideologies correspond to universally defined morals yet I have yet to find them. How can I be without that what you think you need in order to be who you are, if that what you say is true?

I am not someone who cares about a filter, I will say whatever the fuck I feel like saying for this book is a part of me and not you. The scriptures that a lot of religions are based on consist out of words written by humans who are bound to make mistakes.

*The fun thing about this is that these words can be interpreted in many ways yet we will never be able to know what the true intentions behind them were, we will only be able to conclude what we ourselves think of it. I am not denying the fact that these concepts may correspond to something that be, I am just trying to imply that to be certain of your interpretation being the right one even though you have no way of verifying it is a form of echoism in a way. I mean, who are you to say that your interpretation of what another has written (even though almost everybody has a different interpretation) is the right one?*

Let us suppose that you are able to ask these writers -assuming that their interpretation of that what they think to be is accurate to begin with- what they actually meant with what they say then that still leaves plenty of room for misconception for you will not ever be able to get anything else from it but that what you got yourself.

So tell me, are you full enough of yourself to assume that your interpretation is correct? Are you willing to live by a belief that was built upon human thought? Why would the divine be measurable by us limited human beings to begin with? Measurement by itself is a concept that corresponds to certain ideologies in order to live up to its existence for us humans.

*I am aware of the fact that this chapter may be a bit more aggressive but it is not intended that way, if religion makes your life worthwhile living then that is enough reason (if that were the case to me) to go for it. I am not trying to say that what I say is true, false, good, bad, right or wrong, I am just saying that this is how I see it, this is how it is to me, just like certain things are the way they are to you. This is my way of expressing what is within my head. You do not have to agree in order to accept what I say in order to form an understanding of the matter. As a matter of fact, you first have to accept what you perhaps may want to form an opinion about, how else would you know what you are forming an opinion about to begin with?*

## Logic

Logic is something we ourselves create. It is based on the interpretation of what we may think to perceive rather than universally predefined variable assigned values which were set before you knew about its existence, you are the reason it exists to you to begin with.

I believe this is because we decide what seems logical to us, for as far as I am concerned there is no greater being telling us whether “a” equals “b” or “a” is “true”, we think “a” to be “true” because of whatever reason we give

ourselves to believe such.

To say your logic is better than another beyond opinion, with the above in mind, is to understand all other forms of logic you compare it to for else it be an uneducated opinion. But how can you understand another form of logic if it is incorrect to you?

But that does not directly imply it is wrong or right, remember, we the people each individually decide what is wrong and or right to us.

For if you were to be bothered just because of the way you think about something you would be the one responsible for such.

*Self control, acceptance and awareness are foundations which will be discussed in another chapter, but it is worth mentioning these because they are three fundamental parts of mindfulness and overall being in my opinion.*

*In my by hand written book (which I am reinterpreting in order to type all of this out) I have an argument against those who use math as an argument against the above, they claim that " $2 + 2 = 4$ " because it simply is. Perhaps math is universal but the symbols used by us to represent such are not. Just because my form of logic approves that form of methodology does not mean it is, it just is to me because it lives up to my form of logic.*

## Truth

In my world true truth in the sense of universal truth rather than individual truth, does not exist. The only exception would be you, the being of oneself. To say something is the truth is to know the truth, but as stated before, one may only think to know rather than to truly know. Truth to one is what one believes to be true, regardless of the reason one may give to believe such whereas universal truth is what it is regardless of our idea of such, if any to begin with.

Truth (distincting the difference between our individual truths and the truth beyond our perception and therefore interpretation) within our horizon as human beings can not exist without reason and neither can belief.

Knowing that reason is an essential pillar for the existence of such a structure will I attempt to Dash it - try to dissect it to the bone.

Reason is something we create and give whether it be direct or indirect.

Reason that we give consists of words. These words do not mean more to an individual than the individual may think of such, for words only mean as much as you think they do.

Knowing that reason in the sense of truth may only exist when one acknowledges it as such basically tells me that reason may not exist beyond our self made reality.

Now, you may believe "A" to be "B" because of the reason you may give yourself to think so. Reason is based on our interpretations of what one thinks to perceive.

Knowing that reason itself depends on our awareness of such, tells me that it does not exist beyond our individual realities. Perhaps in the reality we ourselves are present within but not in the reality that we created. Like stated before, our own being is our only truth. *So care for it...look after your health and what is responsible for such, please.*

The above may be confusing to some. For that sake may I build another argument as follows.

To me, truth itself is "what simply is what it is", perhaps not to us but universally. Such being does not depend on our acknowledgment of such but rather on itself.

Whether this is true or false depends on you, based on what you think to be true because of the reason you may be able to give yourself to believe

such.

I addressed the above in the logic chapter as well. I think that when you read that the above will start to make sense in some way, but who am I? Dashie!

In my eyes we all live in our own individual realities therefore is none other better than one or another. Just because the majority shares an identical form of logic does not mean it is anything but that what you yourself may make out of it.

I believe we human creatures may only talk for ourselves as ourselves for we are ourselves and no other.

Misconception, in the majority of cases, is caused by misinterpretation or because of a lack of understanding or perhaps even both. The stack trace of this would be us thinking we share one brain when in reality we all have our own. One word may hold multiple meanings depending on the individual you ask.

We tend to refuse to think for ourselves and as a result of this we tend to become dependent on other people their ideologies. Now, I am not saying that it is wrong or right, keep that in mind, but to me, thinking for oneself can highly limit the amount of obstacles one may have to face.

This limits you, be free, you like all of us have a free brain, spirit, soul, whatever you want to name it.

## Awareness, Acceptance and Self Control.

These three skills may be your key to harmony, yes, many may have said it before me, but I can not guarantee its success for you for I have only seen its effectiveness among myself and those who integrate these skills into their daily lives.

Just because you failed at trying to do what you were trying to do does not necessarily mean you are doing it in the way it was meant to be done. How could one claim to know the right way yet be stuck? Thinking to be doing it in the right way does not directly mean you actually are. Remember, you are looking from the inside-out rather than the outside-in.

The reason why these three skills may be able to introduce enlightenment is because they did so for me and for many of those who were desperate enough to try and integrate these skills.

In order to interpret you must be aware of what you are trying to interpret which requires you to first accept it through the gates and this can only be done if you say so. For that do I say that self control is also involved. I believe that our existence as we “think” to know it today is as it is to us because of how we interpret the aspects it presents to us individually therefore may I conclude that interpretation is one of the bases of our aware-existence, if you will.

I know the above may be a bit confusing to some, let me try to compile another statement.

In order to (in human terms) know one must first perceive that what one allows one to perceive and thereafter interpret that what one thinks to perceive by accepting the perception for what it is, conscious or unconscious, this by itself requires one’s self control in the sense of allowing it to be a part of one their entirety.

For example, if you were to open up a book, you would only be able to understand the things written within the book if you were to allow yourself to read it. Reading it automatically means that you are telling yourself (stirring the wheel if you will) to process what you may think to perceive, which by itself is only possible if one is aware of such. This by itself already presents three of my skills, awareness (being aware of what you let in), acceptance (accepting the contents of the book to be perceived) and

self control (actually you telling yourself to try and read the book).

It is hard for me to describe it, for it requires your experience in order to be understood, for it does not require words in order to be, I hope you will be able to see.

## Arrogance in our Nature

I myself believe that arrogance is in our nature, to some extent at least.

The argument being that we as humanoids tend to love one or another because of the painting that we paint by ourselves within our heads, we love the painting rather than the being that we try (how try when you do not even know what?) to be seeing. In other words, when you claim to love another you basically love your interpretation of the perception you have of another rather than the being itself for you do not know the being but rather that what you think to be seeing. Though, I must admit that love is universal and does not require our acknowledgment in order to be, it must also be stated that our idea of love is our idea of love rather than love itself. I am talking about our idea of love rather than love itself for love itself is to be felt rather than spoken or expressed using human methodology.

Now, that may be quite something to take in if you do to begin with. But for those who are curious, I have more to say about the matter. I also feel like it is important to mention that I myself have only seen the harsh side of love in my life, from being used to being lied to, in many ways many would consider my past experiences to be filthy. Though I must admit that I myself have never ever abandoned any of my partners for they abandoned me with the excuse that I deserve someone better than themselves. But, I have studied the wavelengths of love myself throughout all of these experiences in order to understand why people tend to paint this image of love in such a dark light.

What I may claim to see in you is not more than what my brain interprets



my perception of you as. The associations I may make with what I think to interpret depends on the individual for it is a part of the interpretation process itself in a way. In other words, what I see in you is my perception of you, the way that I think about you is based on the interpretation I have of what I think to perceive.

What this comes down to is me valuing you or another for the way you seem to me, within my reality (so to say) which basically means I love a part of myself because the perception and interpretation of you is what I think it to be, so, a part of my entirety.

Please keep in mind (as mentioned previously) this only is applicable when one thinks to love rather than actually love in the sense of being (though thinking is a state of being).

This may be a bit off topic but I feel like I have to say thank you to GODDESS (more said about her in witchcraft and the outro) for showing me that the way I thought and think about the world may not be the way the world is after all for all I have is my perception and not more unless I am open for such. Infinite universe...

## Love being an Antidote

Being loved and loving is the solution for all pain to just go away. Though pain may be necessary at times, for it being a way of communication and therefore should be listened to at times of need, it is able to show you what is up and why that what is up is a thing to you to begin with.

Whether I express my love by presenting myself among those I value or by simply expressing it by showing how much I am willing to do for one it always gives me a feeling of self satisfaction in a way. Though that is not the reason I give myself to do such, it certainly presents itself. I call this effect "Reflection".

I have seen that if I just love instead of giving myself reasons to receive love basically fades away all pain in a way that I still do not fully understand - not that I am feeling pain now, it is just me being able to reason my past for what it was.

Like my theory about "Arrogance in our Nature" states, when you think to love, you basically love a part of yourself, perhaps me giving myself that what I want from another in terms of love allows me to feel loved. When you love your partner you are also likely to love yourself. Hence the quote "Before you love another you must love oneself".

I do not know if it makes any sense, it is not necessarily philosophy but more so a lesson learned from my past. Perhaps this is why you should first love yourself (and thus accept) yourself before you expect it from another.

## Grace

Grace, she is what the name on my arm corresponds to. She allowed me to write more than 70 books (73 being the amount of books I still have today) about my life and our relationship at the time. She made me able to see my own reflection at the time. She gave me the three keys without even being aware of doing so. The three keys being Acceptance, Awareness and Self Control. Without her, this book would most likely not even exist. She taught me English, the language I am using right now to say what I say. She is the reason for me becoming aware of the presence of Goddess. If she did not ask if I were a Wiccan (which I am not, never was nor will ever be) I would not have ever googled it. I thank her dearly for being a fundamental part of my entirety, resting as a memory.

Though this is a bit of a random chapter (like this entire book), I feel like it is worth mentioning. I care about what I care about, which includes what makes that what I care about possible.

## Fear of Death

One of the reasons why one tends to fear death is because of the idea one has chosen to associate with such for whatever reason one may have given oneself to believe such. When really, the only thing we know about death (again, in terms of human knowledge) is how it affects the living, anything past it goes beyond our comprehensibility and therefore understanding.

What you fear is what you fear because you, yourself, give yourself a reason to do so. Let us suppose you are able to scrape off all the ideologies society has pushed into your skull, do you think you would still want to associate death with such a negative form of thought? If I can choose what to associate with then why would I introduce such darkness? That seems a bit sadistic (sadistic towards yourself), does it not?

If you are interested, I myself believe and therefore see death as a part of the cycle of life, (though I must admit I can not give myself any certainty) it is guaranteed and there is nothing within my power I can do to change it, for as far as I am aware -why would I want to, to begin with? So why on earth would I want to spend my energy worrying about something I created within my head which corresponds to something which I can not change anyway? Would that not be a waste of energy and perhaps even a form of self limitation?

I love life and all of that what it has to offer, I do not believe the universe will throw something at me I am unable to go through. And as it turns out it has been this way ever since I started to let go of such limited forms of ideology.

## No Limits

Since we (for as far as I think to know) only know as much as we know and

are only able to get to know more if we open ourselves up for the unknown, may I say that we can not say there is no more to know unless we know, which we do not. To say “this” is the limit is to know you can not go any further but in order to state such a big claim is to know all applicable methodologies in order to perhaps be able to go further, which you probably do not.

I believe we are limited to our capabilities (obviously) or capacities (if you will), without even knowing it in most cases, who are we to say that just because we think this to be this or that actually makes this or that be this or that? Our logic tends to grant us with reason to believe, as mentioned in the chapter “Logic”, we create it. I feel like we should draw a line where being and thinking to be, meet.

## Aspects

To say it “is” is to know what it “is”. To say it “is” pretty is to know all of its aspects, for all aspects (rather than your interpretation of such) make up its entirety. For oneself to assure oneself the understanding of an entirety based on one aspect is to be limited to such and therefore be unable to reach any further.

I feel like the above should be interpreted a bit more deeply rather than surface-like, if that makes any sense?

As an example, if I were to see a person walking down the street and tell myself that the person walking down the street is dangerous because of the one aspect that I perceive (visual appearance), then I am judging the entirety of the individuals being based on one aspect, which is ridiculous (ridiculous in the sense of something I would not consider to be okay) to me.

What also is worth mentioning to me is the part I wrote by hand in my book.

It is as follows; “One may not say “that cloud is pretty” for such being opinion based, whereas what you may correspond to with such an opinion, simply is whatever it may be, regardless of opinion, or thought for that matter.”.

## Yourself

Some may say it is not right to always refer to yourself as an example, I am all I truly have, why would I not? I am the only truth I will probably ever unravel, if any to begin with.

## Fear

Fear comes from certain associations made with whatever one may think to perceive. Fear can only be felt when you see something you are able to associate such (such being your idea of fear) with. To become aware implies acknowledgment of whatever one may become aware of.

*“Your definition of fear determines what it is to you. If I see fear as “OK” then I simply do, that is me. If I were to accept fear for what it is rather than for what I may think of it then all ideologies related to such become weightless.”*

## Appreciation

I just want to let all the bricks in my past know that I am thankful for their placement in my structure, also known as my foundation. I will symbolize what made me who I am, fundamentally, until it breaks me. The essence of this, my story is not me but rather the meaning of the story itself, or should I say, the story itself. Therefore, I decided to express it in a way that people can understand it just okay.

The energy that I put into what was given to me is an action that speaks for itself. Words (the words themselves, not what they could perhaps correspond to) are weightless but the being, the essence, is not. I can make it gain and lose weight, I am the creator, the innovator. Thank you.

## Think for Yourself

Just as with many other things, dosage is an important thing to take into consideration. I am not trying to imply it is bad to learn from the taught (as a matter of fact, I love learning from those beyond me!) but people these days rather take the word from a diplomat than to listen to themselves.

Now, this may be a weird statement to make, but let me elaborate. I am seeing so many individuals around me taking the words from another upon their own tongue without even really knowing what these words imply to another. This is all fine I guess, but where it becomes lethal is where people start to take the advice of some individual just because of the title they have above their name, so to say.

To me, it is important to be open for things, but that does not mean I have to take what I am open for and use it like it was given, perception implies interpretation and therefore customization to some extent. I decide what it is to me. Now, the individual may be right or wrong, I do not know, truly, nobody does, but I must say that instead of taking the advice of someone for who they are it could perhaps be okay to also look at what they have to offer to you and how true it is what they say on a bigger scale.

For example, just because my doctor went to Harvard and finished it in no time does not necessarily mean he knows everything, certain things are person dependent and differ per person.

Or, as another more fact based example: I never truly got any diplomas or certificates for the code I write (yet), yet I am able to write better code, and more efficient code, and simply know more about code than the majority of

students or actual programmers out there. If people look at what I have to offer then they can see that I am capable of doing what the others do if not better.

Now this may be a bit inappropriate, but hey, fuck it, it is my book. I can program software and engineer algorithms while I am half asleep, stoned out of my mind (like stoned to the extent where I can not move or talk), or shroomed out of my world, it is in my veins. I even teach people how to program while I am out of this world, and the fun thing is, they do not even realize it a lot of the time, meditation has sharpened my mind, clarity is present even during the most hazy hours.

I rather listen to what you have to say for what you have to say rather than for who you are to me, your words are not you, they are a part of you, the intention and meaning hidden beneath its surface, forever changing for those who perceive it, far from my reach, yet you hold it. I admire that.

The essence of a being, beyond our human mind based construction does not depend on the words you may give to such phenomena. Words are meaningless to those who do not understand them, words do not mean more than you think of them, therefore, I rather go with what I actually experience rather than what I think to know.

Now, of course, like stated above, everything comes with its dosage, this goes for all subjects treated in this book. Just because I say accept (or anything for that matter), does not necessarily mean you should accept every single thing that may be thrown your way, it is a matter of finding the right fit balance for you, and nobody but you can find that.

## Well

I feel like I should state the following again: none of what I say is being said with the intention of convincing the reader, one of the main purposes of this book is understanding. Whatever the truth may be to you (as stated above)

is whatever you think it is, therefore must I state that none of what I say necessarily is true, it just is to me, and perhaps to those who may find it applicable.

As a Witch do I believe in the freedom of consciousness, I believe we are all free to explore its borders and beyond what we have yet seen. I believe the only limit that may hinder us is the limit we acknowledge as being. The only true hindrance in life is yourself.

## The Bridge

I feel like one may only form an opinion about something that is known to one. In other words, an invalid opinion can form out of a blob of misunderstanding. You can not know whether you misunderstand a thing or not unless you verify your interpretation of such in a way that is clean. I do not believe this is possible, I feel like we rather go with our thought of, understanding of something rather than the intended being of such.

Now, this is why it is important to create a bridge from your perspective to another, respectively. That way the traffic can cross the border correctly, passing all cameras and checks as it should. How else can I form an understanding of something I did not know until I opened myself to it? I rather have a clear point of view rather than a foggy one.

In other words, when you have a conversation with someone, construct your sentences in a way they can understand it just okay. If you can integrate their way of thinking among your own (which requires the acceptance of a point of view, or should I say, overall logic that you may not have known until that point) then you can also talk to them in a way that is similar to their way of thinking.

If I were to tell you "I am able to fly.", you would probably be like, he is lying, there is no way a human can fly! But if I add some context to that such as "I am able to fly using a helicopter." then you will perhaps be able to understand why I said what I said and thus have a better understanding



of what I mean by what I am trying to say.

In that sense, creating a bridge can really help by giving others an insight into your brain or whatever may come out of it.

## Meant To Be

To say we do not already live in a world that is meant to be the way it is, is to imply you know the world in its entirety, which I think nobody truly does, yet. For if one did, one would have no more questions about the matter.

The unknown is what allows us to surface questions and the known is what allows us to form a fit answer to those questions. These answers form out of our form of logic which is based on our interpretations of what we ought to perceive, and therefore are individually dependent in a lot of cases.

## The Entirety

Earth makes life possible, it holds many mysteries that could throw our way of thinking out of the door as if it never was true after all. Probable. The point being, I do not believe you can have a correctly structured opinion about an entirety if all you think to know about the entirety are individual aspects of the entirety. Now, all aspects do make up its entirety, but the question is, should you look at the entire forest or at every single individual tree within this forest?

To know the entirety is to imply you actually know the entirety of a thing, when really, we do not know more than what we think to know. (this is being shown all across this piece of work.)

## Free Will

Complete free will does not really exist, at least, not the type of free will which implies full independence. I believe this is because every single thing is affected in some way by every single thing, it is all inner connected, like one big network of mycelium.

As an example, if my mother were to get another baby then that by itself inflicts change upon its bystanders, their bystanders, their bystanders, and so on, like a chain reaction. These changes affect the way things are to me and to another, which means we are all together, responsible for what happens next, in a sense.

I know this one is kind of vague, but it is hard to put this picture within my head out into words.

## Boy or Girl, Black or White

We are all life, whether you are a boy or a girl, black or white, these are just a few of our attribute like aspects, therefore must I say that I myself do not believe that one of our many aspects can make up our entirety.

To categorize yourself as this or that is to give up your unique being for what is common and limit yourself to what is already known rather than to reach for what may be hidden below the surface, waiting to get unraveled by you, the explorer.

The only difference that exists is what you acknowledge as being able to make a difference. Without value there is no discrimination, simply said. No value implies equality. But we are bound to make up value, we give it and we live by the thought of it, it is all thought based, you decide whether this or that is valuable or not.

## WitchCraft

Yes, an entire chapter dedicated to witchcraft. Finally, ahaha. So what is witchcraft to me? Let me go into depth and thereby explain some things to you, the reader. So, to start off, I consider myself to be a practitioner of a self formed form of witchcraft, I call it DashCraft, sounds kind of funny, does it not? Many people still believe witches to be what others portray them to be even though they have yet to see it be. Quite literally. Though my form of the craft is self compiled in a way and therefore not necessarily based on any other form of the craft (just like my way of thinking, it is self made) do I still think I speak for many with what I am about to say related to this matter.

Like many have claimed I do, I never ever sacrifice animals or any life forms of any kind (how foolish to think I would do such a thing, I have heard people accuse me of it.), sacrifices should be seen as something more broad. I sacrifice my energy and time to the future I envision within my brain, whether it be fantasized or not, I work towards what I desire, time and energy are required to get to that point, sacrifices are bound to be made when you live progressively. There is no escaping that.

You will not ever hear me confidently say that magick based practice is about curses and spells that inflict direct change, change presents itself over time, a forever changing universe it is which we present ourselves within, infinitely expanding its waves of time and energy. When I cast a spell I basically retune myself, allowing me to have the clarity, understanding and overall state of being required to get to the point I envision, a form of meditation if you will. As a Witch I talk to the universe, nonverbally, actions speak for themselves and have their own weight depending on the size of the package, it is like a wave, a stream I go with, regardless of what my brain may think of it.

I believe we are responsible, individually for what happens next. What I do now will influence what happens next. If I drink an extra cup of coffee

today, my tolerance for caffeine will go up requiring me to drink more coffee to get the same effect, the more coffee is necessary the more time it will take to produce it. This time goes at the cost of my day which then goes at the cost of my schedule... You get what I am trying to get at here?

Everything is interconnected in a way and you decide whether your future is what you desire it to be, just think carefully and take your time to re-inspect the situation, if you have a goal but never stand still to reevaluate the situation, then you will not know what the current position that you are in is worth, which basically means you could pass by your goal without even realizing it because all you are focused on is moving forward, but towards what? Know yourself. And, that by itself wraps up the philosophy behind magick.

So, what is your definition of magick then Dashie? My fulfilled definition of magick is, the essence of life itself!

I see all as one, equally, for I myself just like you, be a part of the entirety which will be regardless of what we may think to see. Goddess is the name I gave to the entirety yet, it may not be what I may think it to be but rather what it actually is. I am not going to act as if what I think to be could not be what you have already named (It could be your God for all I know.). My purpose is to share my wisdom in a way but also to expand my horizon far beyond the ocean that I have yet sailed across.

I do not pick sides, I do not know concepts such as Hell, Heaven, God, Christ, Good, Bad or any other belief based on predefined universal variables with constant and read-only values. If you can set it, you can also update it. Any variable in life is set by us, the living creatures that we are. Simply said, I am whatever I am regardless of what I think I am, so to me it is best to go with what I am rather than pretending to be this self made image.

The moment you start to think about being you, you already misunderstood what I tried to bring over to you. Being is what it is, regardless of opinion

based structures that have been formed based on words that hold no more value than we individually give to it. If its value depends on our acknowledgment of such then it only exists to us, which means it holds no more value than it does to us, individually.

I prefer thinking beyond set boundaries, the only boundary I am stuck to is my total capacity, or should I say, my being.

The pentagram presents the circle of life to me, as the five points appoint to the elements of life, individually. The moon and the sun are symbolic deities, my deities are just as real as you, yourself are.

It is not a belief, it is a way of being. Perhaps the words themselves weigh not more than what we think they do, but what the words I speak correspond to may weigh more than what you had yet foreseen.

## Stupidity

I have been called stupid many times, my answer to that, after all these years of accepting it, is that stupidity does not exist. Just because my library misses a book does not necessarily mean I am unable to get, read, understand and store the book.

So, to call me stupid is to assume you know my capabilities when in reality the people that called me such did and still do not even know me (If that is even possible to begin with, like, how can one actually know another's true being as it is?), because I refuse to present myself among what influences me negatively unwantedly, if I did though, then that would be the equivalent of putting my hand into a fire though I know it is going to burn my skin.

So get down to the point, to assure yourself that your assumption is truly how it is for me is to be full enough of yourself to believe such. Seems like arrogance and echoism perhaps? Like, how can I say you are incapable of doing this or that, when I do not even know all of your capabilities? That is

like crossing off what you do not even know if exists.

# OUTRO DASH.EXE

If you came all this way, thank you for reading my first ever published book. I feel like it is worth mentioning a few things that may be important to know for you, the reader, before you close this and probably never look back at it again.

I am Dashie, like I had mentioned before, but I did not mention what made me who I am today, though some may simply say my past is responsible for my future, I feel like it would perhaps be interesting to some to dive a bit deeper into what made me who I am today.

Like I had mentioned before, I am Dutch and not actually English from origin therefore my first language is Dutch. It is quite a surprise to some because my vocabulary tends to be a bit more extensive than the majority of individuals I talk to, even though the majority of this majority of individuals is English from origin.

*Note: The following is intended to pay homage to what made me who I am today for I will never forget what made me. It is hard for me to recall these parts of my life for they were difficult times and therefore are hard to describe, there simply is too much to cover. Feelings speak for themselves and so do experiences.*

## My Ex.

Like a ton of other human creatures in this infinite universe have I also had someone by my side who is responsible for the outcome known as today.

One of the most impactful human beings in my life has to be my Ex for she truly is one of the most important parts of my past. Though I have fully moved on from her, the impact she had is still present to this day! Without her I would not have been where I am today as I am today. Though it is hard to put the entire time-line into words I can certainly try to describe certain parts of this entirety.

I never truly was decent at the English language due to the fact that I did nothing with my life at the time. When I met her she basically told me to tell her anything that is on my mind and heart. Coming where I came from, that is something nobody else truly had ever done for me. When we started talking I basically started to develop this sense of appreciation for her in a way that I had not ever felt before. She was my mirror and honestly, her impact is still felt today, what she left me with is what I developed into what is now known as me. I did not know how to thank her for what she did because it was more than anyone had ever done for me at the time.

Because I wanted to show my appreciation I started to compile my feelings for her into a book in a way that could be understood and appreciated. I felt like actions weighed more than words and still feel like this till this day so instead of telling her that I love her I was bound to showing her that I did in a way that is unique to me, using books.

It started off with just a few books and before I knew it I was writing two to seven books a day for eight months straight. Physically with pen and paper but also virtually using my keyboard. All for her. Every single book that I wrote we would read together and talk about. This allowed me to understand more than words can comprehend.

After all that writing I still am unable to say that I fully expressed the impact

she had on me. She is responsible for more than you can imagine and till this day I am thankful for what she did for me because nobody else was there. She is the reason I found what made me able to do all of what I envision, The Goddess and Myself.

## The Goddess

Let me first point out that I am not corresponding to The Goddess in the sense of a greater being but rather in the sense of the entirety. To me The Goddess is everything. Including you and me. Anything that exists is a part of this entirety. Even the things we ought to not exist are a part of this entirety.

She made me able to accept my life as it is for what it is rather than for what I may think of it.

I would love to write an entire book about this topic but I feel like that would be impossible for me to do as of now due to the fact that it is just too much to comprehend. Regardless, it is worth mentioning because she is the reason for everything to me, including WitchCraft, Dash and much more for she is the entirety.

I was taught that the true magick is not in some ancient book of magick spells but rather within the essence of life itself. When you start to think about being you are already missing the point of being.

-Dashie

Published: February the 3rd 2021