L^2 -solutions to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with superlinear drifts driven by space-time white noise

Shijie Shang¹, Pengyu Wang¹, Tusheng Zhang^{1,2} September 17, 2025

Abstract

Consider the following stochastic reaction-diffusion with logarithmic superlinear coefficient b driven by space-time white noise W,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u(t,x) + b(u(t,x)) + \sigma(u(t,x)) W(dt,dx), & t > 0, \ x \in [0,1]. \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases}$$

where the initial value $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$. In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of probabilistically strong solutions in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2([0,1]))$ to this equation. Our result not only resolves a recent problem posed in [Ann. Probab. 47 (2019), no. 1, 519-559], but also provides an alternative proof of the non-blowup of $L^2([0,1])$ solutions obtained in [Ann. Probab. 47 (2019), no. 1, 519-559]. Our approach crucially exploits some new Gronwall-type inequalities that we derive. Moreover, because of the nature of the nonlinearity, we are forced to work with the first order moment of the solutions, which requires precise first order moment estimates of the stochastic convolution.

Keywords: Stochastic reaction-diffusion equations; superlinear drift; logarithmic nonlinearity; space-time white noise; stochastic convolution

1 Introduction

Consider the following stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u(t,x) + b(u(t,x)) + \sigma(u(t,x)) W(dt,dx). \\ u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0. \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $t \geq 0$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and W is the space-time white noise on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$ defined on some filtrated probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with \mathcal{F}_t satisfying the usual conditions.

¹ School of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China. Email: sjshang@ustc.edu.cn (Shijie Shang), wpy5016@mail.ustc.edu.cn (Pengyu Wang).

² Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom. Email: tusheng.zhang@manchester.ac.uk

In this paper, assuming $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$ we are concerned with the L^2 -well-posedness of the SPDE (1.1) with superlinear coefficient b which are generally not dissipative and have growth like |z|log|z| at infinity.

It is well known that equation (1.1) admits a unique global solution when the coefficients b and σ meet the usual Lipschitz condition. There are many papers in the literature that discuss stochastic partial differential equations with locally Lipschitz coefficients that have polynomial growth and satisfy certain monotonicity (or dissipative) conditions, see e.g. [5, 16, 25]. However, to be able to use certain localization procedure, one has to consider the solution in a L^{∞} -space with an initial value that is continuous and bounded.

If the drift b(z) in (1.1) grows faster than $|z| \log |z|$ as $z \to \infty$, then a blow-up can occur. In particular, if $b(z) = z^{1+\epsilon}$, and $\sigma = 0$ (the deterministic setting), then equation (1.1) has blow-up solutions, but nontrivial global stationary solutions may also exist. There are many articles on this topic, see e.g. [20, 23, 11]. In [17], Bonder and Groisman studied the stochastic setting and proved that for (1.1) if $u_0 \ge 0$ is continuous, $b \ge 0$ is convex, and σ is constant, then the Osgood condition $\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(z)} dz < \infty$ results in a blow-up in finite time with probability 1. In particular, if b(z) grows faster than $C|z|(\log|z|)^{1+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$, then the introduction of any amount of additive space—time white noise to equation (1.1) results in a blow-up. So, the critical case is that the drift function b grows as fast as $|u| \log |u|$ when $|u| \to \infty$. A typical example of the critical case is $b(u) = u \log |u|$. Several papers also investigated the connections between the Osgood condition and the finite time blow-up, see e.g. [19, 18, 8, 9, 29, 30, 31]. In particular, in [19], the authors proved that if b is nonnegative, increasing and locally Lipschitz, σ is bounded and locally Lipschitz, the initial value u_0 is nonnegative and continuous, then the solutions to equation (1.1) blow up in finite time with positive probability implies that b satisfies the Osgood condition. However, in all these papers, the initial value u_0 is assumed to be continuous or bounded, in contrast to the case $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$ that we study in this paper.

In addition, logarithmic nonlinearity $b(z) = z \log |z|$ also appears widely in other fields. It has been introduced in the study of nonlinear wave mechanics and a relativistic field in physics, and represents an important type of nonlinearity with a distinctive physical background. The logarithmic wave mechanics and the logarithmic Schrödinger equations have been studied by many authors. We refer the reader to [3, 4, 28] and the references therein for more details. The logarithmic parabolic equations have also been widely studied, see [34, 1, 6, 7] and references therein. In particular, in [34] (see also [26] for related works), the logarithmic parabolic (elliptic) equations were studied to understand the Ricci flow.

For the well-posedness of the stochastic reaction-diffusion equation (1.1) with logarithmic superlinear drift, in [13] the authors obtained two main results. One is that when $|b(z)|=O(|z|\log|z|)$, σ is bounded and $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$, if L^2 -valued solutions exist, then the solutions will not blow up, but the well-posedness of the solutions in $L^2([0,1])$ is left open. The other one is that if b and σ are locally Lipschitz, $|b(z)|=O(|z|\log|z|)$ and $|\sigma(z)|=o(|z|(\log|z|)^{\frac{1}{4}})$, then the solution is globally well-posed in $C(\mathbb{R}_+\times[0,1],\mathbb{R})$. In [32], the authors considered the equation (1.1) driven by a Brownian motion on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^d , they established the global well-posedness of L^2 -valued solutions when $b(z)=z\log|z|$, σ is locally-log-Lipschitz and $|\sigma(z)|=O(|z|(\log|z|)^{\frac{1}{2}})$. In [33], the authors considered equation (1.1) on the whole line, they established the global well-posedness of the solutions in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, C_{tem})$ with initial data in C_{tem} (tempered continuous functions) when b is locally-log-Lipshitz and $|b(z)|=O(|z|\log|z|)$, σ is Lipshitz and bounded. In [30],

the authors studied the equation (1.1) on a bounded domain with bounded initial values. In [8], the authors also considered equation (1.1) on the whole line, they proved that if $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $p \geq 6$, b and σ are locally Lipschitz with $b(0) = \sigma(0) = 0$, and $|b(z)| \leq |z| \log |z|$, $|\sigma(z)| \leq |z| (\log |z|)^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \log |z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for big |z|, then there exists a unique global solution. In [31], the author considered equation (1.1) on a bounded domain, they established the existence and uniqueness of global solutions under two sets of assumptions on the coefficients b and σ and assume that the initial value u_0 is continuous and periodic. In particular, they allowed σ to be polynomially growing up to $|z|^{\frac{3}{2}}$. In [10], the authors considered equation (1.1) on the torus, they established the well-posedness of positive solutions to (1.1) when the the initial value u_0 is Hölder continuous and uniformly bounded away from below and above, $|b(z)| \leq |z|(\log(1/z))^{A_1}$ and $|\sigma(z)| \leq |z|(\log(1/z))^{A_2}$ with $A_1 \in (0,1)$ and $A_2 \in (0,\frac{1}{4})$ as z approaches 0_+ , b and σ are Lipschitz continuous away from 0. For other works related to (1.1) with logarithmic nonlinearity, the reader may refer to [9, 21, 22, 27, 24] and references therein.

In this article, we consider the SPDE (1.1) in the L^2 setting with the initial value $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$ and a driving space-time white noise. We assume that the coefficient b is locally log-Lipschitz (see (2.4)) and $|b(z)| = O(|z| \log |z|)$ at infinity, the coefficient σ is Lipschitz and of sublinear growth. Under these conditions, we obtain the global well-posedness of solutions to equation (1.1) in $L^2([0,1])$, thereby resolve the problem left open in [13]. Moreover, our proof provides an alternative approach to demonstrate the non-blowup of solutions to (1.1) obtained in [13]. Since equation (1.1) is driven by multiplicative space-time white noise, the Itô's formula is not valid and therefore we can not apply the techniques used in [32]. Also in the L^2 -setting, the usual localization techniques of truncating the coefficients are not applicable. Furthermore, due to the nature of logarithmic nonlinearity, to establish uniqueness, we are forced to work with the first order moment estimates for the solutions, which is harder to handle. To address the aftermetioned problems, we derive some new types of Gronwall's inequalities and carry out delicate analysis for the logarithmic nonlinear terms, thereby successfully establishing a priori estimates for the solutions. Meanwhile, to prove the pathwise uniqueness, we establish precise lower order moment estimates for the stochastic convolution with respect to space-time white noise.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the framework for equation (1.1), give the hypothesis and state the main results. In Section 3, we will give several Gronwall-type inequalities and prove some estimates associated with the heat kernel of the Laplacian operator. In Section 4, we establish lower order moment estimates of the stochastic convolution with respect to space-time white noise and establish a priori estimates of the solutions to equation (1.1). In Section 5, we prove the existence of probabilistically weak solutions to equation (1.1). Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the pathwise uniqueness of solutions to (1.1). In Appendix, we prove a convergence theorem for stochastic integrals with respect to space-time white noise.

Convention on constants. Throughout the paper, C denotes a generic positive constant whose value may change from line to line. Other constants will be denoted by C_1 , C_2 , etc. They are all positive and their precise values are not important. The dependence of constants on parameters if needed will be indicated, e.g. C_T , C_p .

2 Statement of main results

Recall the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An $L^2([0,1])$ valued random field solution to equation (1.1) is a jointly measurable and adapted space-time process $u := \{u(t,x) : (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]\}$ such that $u(t) \in L^2([0,1])$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\forall t \geq 0$ and

$$u(t,x) = P_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)b(u(s,y))dyds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(u(s,y))W(ds,dy), \quad \text{a.s. } x \in [0,1],$$
(2.1)

where

$$p_t(x,y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n^2\pi^2 t} e_n(x) e_n(y), \qquad (2.2)$$

with $e_n(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x)$, which constitutes a set of orthonormal basis in $L^2([0,1])$, and $\{P_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the corresponding heat semigroup in [0,1] with the Dirichlet boundary condition defined by $P_t f(x) = \int_0^1 p_t(x,y) f(y) dy$.

Remark 2.2. The above mild formulation is equivalent to the weak (in the sense of partial differential equations) formulation of the stochastic reaction-diffusion equations.

Next, we introduce the following conditions for the nonlinear term b and σ . Set $\log_+(u) := \log(1 \vee u)$ for any $u \geq 0$.

(H1). b is continuous, and there exist two nonnegative constants c_1 and c_2 such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|b(u)| \le c_1 |u| \log_+ |u| + c_2. \tag{2.3}$$

(H2). There exist nonnegative constants c_3 , c_4 , c_5 such that for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|b(u) - b(v)| \le c_3|u - v|\log_+\left(\frac{1}{|u - v|}\right) + c_4\log_+(|u| \lor |v|)|u - v| + c_5|u - v|. \tag{2.4}$$

(H3). σ is continuous, and there exist two nonnegative constants d_1 , d_2 and $\theta \in [0,1)$ such that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\sigma(u)| \le d_1 |u|^{\theta} + d_2. \tag{2.5}$$

(H4). There exists a nonnegative constant d_3 such that for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\sigma(u) - \sigma(v)| \le d_3|u - v|. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.3. Note that condition (H2) implies condition (H1). A typical example that satisfies (H2) is $b(z) = z \log |z|$. For the global existence of the solution, only the condition (H1) is needed. The condition (H2) is used for the uniqueness of the solution.

Now we can state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$ and (H1) and (H3) are satisfied. Then for any T > 0 there exists a probabilistically weak solution to (1.1) with sample paths a.s. in $C([0,T],L^2([0,1]))$.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $u_0 \in L^2([0,1])$ and (H2) and (H4) are satisfied. Then for any T > 0, the pathwise uniqueness holds for solutions of (1.1) in $C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Gronwall-type inequalities

In this subsection, we will provide three Gronwall-type inequalities, which play an important role in this paper.

The next result can be found in [33].

Lemma 3.1. Let X, a, c_1 , c_2 be nonnegative functions on \mathbb{R}_+ , and let M be an increasing function with $M(0) \geq 1$. Moreover, suppose that c_1 , c_2 are integrable in finite time intervals. Assume that $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$X(t) + a(t) \le M(t) + \int_0^t c_1(s)X(s)ds + \int_0^t c_2(s)X(s)\log_+ X(s)ds,$$

and the above integral is finite. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$X(t) + a(t) \le M(t)^{\exp(C_2(t))} \exp(\exp(C_2(t)) \int_0^t c_1(s) \exp(-C_2(s)) ds),$$

where $C_2(t) := \int_0^t c_2(s) ds$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that $f \geq 0$ is an integrable function, and M is a nonnegative increasing function, $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, c_1 , c_2 , c_3 are nonnegative constant numbers. And f satisfies the following inequality:

$$f(t) \le M(t) + c_1 \int_0^t f(s)ds + c_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} f(s)ds + c_3 \int_0^t f(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{f(s)} ds, \quad \forall \ t \ge 0.$$

Then there exists an increasing function C(t) such that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$f(t) \le C(t)M(t) + C(t) \int_0^t f(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{f(s)} ds.$$
 (3.1)

Furthermore, if $M \equiv 0$, then $f \equiv 0$.

Proof. By carrying out one iteration on the aforementioned inequality, we get

$$f(t) \leq M(t) + c_1 \int_0^t f(s)ds + c_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} M(s)ds$$

$$+ c_1 c_2 \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{-\alpha} f(u) du ds + c_2^2 \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{-\alpha} (s-u)^{-\alpha} f(u) du ds$$

$$+ c_2 c_3 \int_0^t \int_0^s (t-s)^{-\alpha} f(u) \log_+ \frac{1}{f(u)} du ds + c_3 \int_0^t f(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{f(s)} ds.$$

Using the monotonicity of M and changing the order of integration gives

$$f(t) \le C_1(t)M(t) + C_2(t) \int_0^t f(s)ds + C_3(t) \int_0^t f(s)\log_+ \frac{1}{f(s)}ds,$$

where $C_1(t)$, $C_2(t)$ and $C_3(t)$ are all positive increasing functions. By the usual Gronwall inequality,

$$f(t) \le C_1(t)e^{C_2(t)t}M(t) + C_3(t)e^{C_2(t)t}\int_0^t f(s)\log_+\frac{1}{f(s)}ds.$$

Let $C(t) = \max \{C_1(t)e^{C_2(t)t}, C_3(t)e^{C_2(t)t}\}$, this proves (3.1). In particular, when $M \equiv 0$, then we have

$$f(t) \le C(t) \int_0^t f(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{f(s)} ds.$$

By Lemma 3.2 in [33], we get $f \equiv 0$.

The following lemma can be proven by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a nonnegative function on \mathbb{R}_+ , c_1 , c_2 , c_3 be positive numbers, M be a nonnegative increasing function and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Assume that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$X(t) \le M(t) + c_1 \int_0^t X(s)ds + c_2 \int_0^t X(s) \log_+ X(s)ds + c_3 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} X(s)ds,$$

and the above integral is finite. Then for any given T > 0, and any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$X(t) \le \left(C_{\alpha,T}M(t) + 1\right)^{\exp(C_{\alpha,T}t)},\tag{3.2}$$

where $C_{\alpha,T}$ is a positive number depending on α and T.

3.2 Estimates associated with heat kernels

In this subsection, we present some estimates associated with the heat kernel of the Laplacian operator, which will be used in our analysis later.

Lemma 3.4. Let $p_t(x, y)$ be the heat kernel of Laplacian operator defined by (2.2), then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any h > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{r+h}(x,z) - p_{r}(x,z))^{2} dz dr \le Ch^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall \ x \in [0,1].$$
 (3.3)

The above lemma can be found in the Appendix of [14] (Lemma B.2.1). In fact, we can prove the following stronger estimate.

Lemma 3.5. Let $p_t(x, y)$ be defined by (2.2), then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any h > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{r+h}(x,z) - p_{r}(x,z))^{2} dz dr \le C h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3.4)

Proof. By the expression of the heat kernel and the orthonormal property of e_n in $L^2([0,1])$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{r+h}(x,z) - p_{r}(x,z))^{2} dz dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}n^{2}(r+h)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}n^{2}r}) e_{n}(x) e_{n}(z) \right]^{2} dz dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_{n}(x))^{2} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}n^{2}(r+h)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^{2}n^{2}r})^{2} \right] dr$$

$$\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2 (r+h)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2 r} \right)^2 \right) dr.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2(r+h)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2r}\right)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n^2r} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2h}\right)^2.$$

By virtue of the following inequality:

$$1 - e^{-x} \le \min\{1, x\}, \quad \forall \ x \ge 0,$$

we get

$$2\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi^2 n^2 r} (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2 h})^2 dr = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 n^2 h})^2}{n^2} \le \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \min\left\{n^{-2}, \frac{1}{4}\pi^4 n^2 h^2\right\}.$$

Next, we will show that there exists a constant C > 0, such that for any h > 0 the right-hand side of the above inequality can be bounded by $Ch^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The proof will be divided into two cases according to the value of h.

Case 1: $h \ge \frac{2}{\pi^2}$. In this case, for any $n \ge 1$, $\min\{n^{-2}, \frac{1}{4}\pi^4 n^2 h^2\} = n^{-2}$. So

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \le \frac{1}{3} \le \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Case 2: $0 < h < \frac{2}{\pi^2}$. In this case, the sum can be further divided into two parts. We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \min\{n^{-2}, \frac{1}{4}\pi^4 n^2 h^2\} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{[\frac{1}{\pi}h^{-\frac{1}{2}}]} \frac{1}{4}\pi^4 n^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=[\frac{1}{\pi}h^{-\frac{1}{2}}]+1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\sqrt{2}\pi h^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}h^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^{\infty} z^{-2} dz \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} [\sqrt{2}\pi h^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\pi h^{\frac{1}{2}}] \leq \frac{4}{\pi} h^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

So we complete the proof.

Lemma 3.6. Let $p_t(x, y)$ be the same as before. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\sup_{z \in [0,1]} |p_t(x,z) - p_t(y,z)| \right) dt \le C|x - y| + C|x - y| \log \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By (2.2), we have

$$\sup_{z \in [0,1]} |p_t(x,z) - p_t(y,z)| \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sin(n\pi x) - \sin(n\pi y)| e^{-\frac{1}{2}n^2\pi^2 t}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min\{1, n|x-y|\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n^2\pi^2t}.$$

So

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\sup_{z \in [0,1]} |p_{t}(x,z) - p_{t}(y,z)| \right) dt \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, n|x-y|\}}{n^{2}} \\
\leq C|x-y| \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]} \frac{1}{n} + C \sum_{n=1+\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}.$$
(3.6)

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]} \frac{1}{n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]-1} \frac{1}{n+1} \le 1 + \int_{1}^{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]} \frac{1}{z} dz \le 1 + \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right).$$

Thus, the first term of (3.6) can be bounded by

$$C|x-y|\sum_{n=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]} \frac{1}{n} \le C|x-y| + C|x-y| \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

For the second term of (3.6), we have

$$C\sum_{n=1+\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \le C\int_{\left[\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^2} dz \le C|x-y|. \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.6)-(3.8) together yields (3.5).

4 Moment estimates

In this section, we establish a priori estimates for solutions to equation (1.1). To this end, we first establish the $L^2([0,1])$ -norm moment estimate of stochastic convolution with respect to space-time white noise. In the sequel, for simplicity, we use the symbol L^2 to represent $L^2([0,1])$, and $\|\cdot\|_{L^2([0,1])}$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. For clarity, sometimes we will use $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_x}$ to emphasize that the integral is with respect to the variable x.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\{\sigma(s,y):(s,y)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times[0,1]\}$ be a random field such that the following stochastic convolution with respect to space-time white noise is well defined. Let τ be a stopping time. Then for p>8 and T>0, there exits a constant $C_{p,T}>0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \le T \wedge \tau} \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(s,y) W(ds,dy) \right\|_{L_x^2}^p \right] \le C_{p,T} \mathbb{E}\int_0^{T \wedge \tau} \|\sigma(s)\|_{L^2}^p ds. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,1])$. Set

$$W_t^j := \int_0^t \int_0^1 e_j(y) W(ds, dy).$$

Then $\{W^j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is a family of independent standard Brownian motions. Moreover, the following equality holds:

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,y)W(ds,dy) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(\int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,y)e_j(y)dy\right)dW_s^j.$$
 (4.2)

Set $W_s := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} W_s^j e_j$. Then W is the corresponding cylindrical Wiener process on $L^2([0,1])$. And (4.2) can be written as

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,y)W(ds,dy) = \int_{0}^{t} P_{t-s}\sigma(s)dW_{s},$$

where $P_{t-s}\sigma(s)$ is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator from $L^2([0,1])$ to $L^2([0,1])$ defined by

$$(P_{t-s}\sigma(s)h)(x) := \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,y)h(y)dy, \quad \forall \ h \in L^2([0,1]),$$

and its Hilbert-Schmidt norm can be computed as follows:

$$||P_{s-r}\sigma(r)||_{HS(L^{2},L^{2})}^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||P_{s-r}\sigma(r)e_{n}||_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{s-r}(x,y)\sigma(r,y)e_{n}(y)dy \right)^{2} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle p_{s-r}(x,\cdot)\sigma(r,\cdot), e_{n}(\cdot) \rangle_{L^{2}}^{2} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{s-r}(x,y)\sigma(r,y)|^{2} dydx. \tag{4.3}$$

The proof is based on the factorization method introduced in [12] and is inspired by [33]. Set

$$J_{\alpha}\sigma(s) = \int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-\alpha} P_{s-r}\sigma(r) dW_{r},$$
$$J^{\alpha-1}f(t) = \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} P_{t-s}f(s) ds.$$

Then by the stochastic Fibini theorem we obtain,

$$\int_0^t P_{t-s}\sigma(s)dW_s = \frac{\sin \alpha\pi}{\pi} J^{\alpha-1}(J_\alpha\sigma). \tag{4.4}$$

Take $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}$, use Hölder's inequality and the BDG inequality to get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau}\left\|\int_0^t\int_0^1p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,x)W(ds,dy)\right\|_{L_x^2}^p\right]$$

$$=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau}\left\|\int_0^t(t-s)^{\alpha-1}P_{t-s}J_\alpha\sigma(s)ds\right\|_{L^2}^p\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T \wedge \tau} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \| P_{t-s} J_{\alpha} \sigma \|_{L^{2}} ds \right\}^{p} \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T \wedge \tau} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \| J_{\alpha} \sigma \|_{L^{2}} ds \right\}^{p} \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T \wedge \tau} \left\{ \left[\int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \| J_{\alpha} \sigma \|_{L^{2}}^{p} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}^{p} \right] \\
\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} s^{(\alpha-1)\frac{p}{p-1}} ds \right)^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{T} \| J_{\alpha} \sigma (s) \|_{L^{2}}^{p} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s) ds \right] \\
\leq C_{p,T} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-\alpha} P_{s-r} \sigma (r) \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(r) dW_{r} \right\|_{L^{2}}^{p} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(s) \right] ds \\
\leq C_{p,T} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha} \| P_{t-r} \sigma (r) \|_{HS(L^{2},L^{2})}^{2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(r) dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds. \tag{4.5}$$

By the equality (4.3) and the following inequality,

$$p_{s-r}(x,y) \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{s-r}}, \quad \forall x, y \in [0,1],$$

we have

$$||P_{t-r}\sigma(r)||_{HS}^2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |p_{s-r}(x,y)\sigma(r,y)|^2 dy dx \le C(s-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ||\sigma(r)||_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.6}$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.5) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau}\left\|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{1}p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(u(t,x))W(ds,dy)\right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{p}\right] \\
\leq C_{p,T}\int_{0}^{T}\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{s}(s-r)^{-2\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\|\sigma(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(r)dr\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}ds \\
\leq C_{p,T}\int_{0}^{T}\mathbb{E}\left\{\left[\int_{0}^{s}(s-r)^{(-2\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\frac{p}{p-2}}dr\right]^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\|\sigma(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(r)dr\right]^{\frac{2}{p}}\right\}^{\frac{p}{2}}ds \\
\leq C_{p,T}\int_{0}^{T}\left(\int_{0}^{s}r^{-(2\alpha+\frac{1}{2})\frac{p}{p-2}}dr\right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{s}\|\sigma(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\mathbf{1}_{[0,\tau]}(r)dr\right]ds \\
\leq C_{p,T}\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau}\|\sigma(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}dr.$$

Having Lemma 4.1, the proof of the following result is almost identical to that of Proposition 4.2 in [33], hence we omit its proof.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\{\sigma(s,y): (s,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]\}$ be a random field such that the following stochastic convolution with respect to the space-time white noise is well defined. Let τ be a stopping time. Then for any ϵ , T > 0, and $0 , there exists a constant <math>C_{\epsilon,p,T} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau}\left\|\int_0^t\int_0^1p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(s,y)W(ds,dy)\right\|_{L_x^2}^p\right]$$

$$\leq \epsilon \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau}\left\|\sigma(s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\right] + C_{\epsilon,p,T}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau}\left\|\sigma(s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p}ds\right].$$

Using the above moment estimates, we can prove the following a prior estimate of solutions.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that (H1) and (H3) are satisfied and let

$$T_0 = \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{\widetilde{C}}\log\frac{1}{\theta}\right\} \tag{4.7}$$

where \widetilde{C} is a positive constant which appears in (4.14). Assume u is a solution to equation (1.1), then for any $p \geq 1$ we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T_0}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^p\right]\leq C_p. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume p > 8. It follows from (2.1) that

$$||u(t)||_{L^{2}} \leq ||P_{t}u_{0}||_{L^{2}} + \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)b(u(s,y))dsdy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} + \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(u(s,y))W(ds,dy) \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}.$$

We denote

$$I_1(t) = \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)b(u(t,x))dsdy \right\|_{L_x^2}.$$

$$I_2(t) = \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(u(t,x))W(ds,dy) \right\|_{L_x^2}.$$

For $I_1(t)$, we have

$$I_{1}(t) \leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)(c_{1}|u(s,y)|\log_{+}(u(s,y)) + c_{2})dsdy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\leq c_{1} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)|u(s,y)|\log_{+}(u(s,y))dsdy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} + c_{2}t, \tag{4.9}$$

where we have used

$$\int_0^1 p_t(x,y)dy \le 1, \quad \forall \ t > 0, \ x \in [0,1]. \tag{4.10}$$

By Hölder's inequality and (4.10), the first term on the right hand side of (4.9) can be estimated as follows,

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y)| \log_{+}(u(s,y)) ds dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y)| \log_{+}(u(s,y)) dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)| dy \right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y)|^{2} dy \right) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)|) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(s)\|_{L^{2}} ds. \tag{4.11}$$

We now estimate the term

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 \log_+^2(|u(s,y)|) p_{t-s}(x,y) dy.$$

Let $g(z) = \log_+^2 z$. Then we know $g'(z) = 2\frac{1}{z}\log_+ z \ge 0$. So g(z) is increasing. And $g''(z) = \frac{2}{z^2}(1 - \log_+ z) < 0$, provided z > e. So g(z) is concave when z > e. Next, we fix any s > 0. Let $\Gamma := \{y \in [0,1], |u(s,y)| > e\}$ and $\mu_x(dy) := p_{t-s}(x,y)dy$. Obviously,

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)|) p_{t-s}(x,y) dy$$

$$= \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{[0,1] \setminus \Gamma} g(|u(s,y)|) \mu_{x}(dy) + \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{\Gamma} g(|u(s,y)|) \mu_{x}(dy). \tag{4.12}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (4.12) can be bounded as follows,

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{[0,1] \setminus \Gamma} g(|u(s,y)|) \mu_x(dy) \le \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) dy \le 1.$$

Thus, by Jensen's inequality and the fact that g is inceasing, we have

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)|) p_{t-s}(x,y) dy
\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ 1 + \mu_{x}(\Gamma) \int_{\Gamma} g(|u(s,y)|) \frac{\mu_{x}(dy)}{\mu_{x}(\Gamma)} \right\}
\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ 1 + \mu_{x}(\Gamma) g\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{x}(\Gamma)} \int_{\Gamma} |u(s,y)| \mu_{x}(dy)\right) \right\}
\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ 1 + \mu_{x}(\Gamma) \log_{+}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{x}(\Gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} |u(s,y)| p_{t-s}(x,y) dy \right) \right\}
\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{\mu_{x}(\Gamma)} \log_{+} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{x}(\Gamma)} \int_{0}^{1} |u(s,y)| p_{t-s}(x,y) dy \right) \right\}^{2}
\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left\{ 2 + \log_{+} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)^{2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} |u(s,y)|^{2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}^{2}
\leq \left\{ 2 + \log_{+} \left[(4\pi)^{-\frac{1}{4}} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} \right] \right\}^{2}
\leq \left\{ 2 + \frac{1}{4} \log_{+} \left(\frac{1}{t-s} \right) + \log_{+} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} \right\}^{2}, \tag{4.13}$$

where we have used the facts that $\log_+(ab) \leq \log_+(a) + \log_+(b)$ for any $a, b \geq 0$, $\sqrt{\mu_x(\Gamma)} \log_+ \frac{1}{\mu_x(\Gamma)} \leq 1$ and

$$\int_0^1 p_t(x,y)^2 dy \le (4\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall \ t > 0.$$

Combining (4.9), (4.11) and (4.13) together gives

$$I_1(t) = \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(t, x)) ds dy \right\|_{L_x^2}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t c_1 \left[2 + \frac{1}{4} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{t - s} \right) + \log_+ \|u(s)\|_{L^2} \right] \|u(s)\|_{L^2} ds + c_2 t.$$

Hence for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there exists a constant $C_{c_1,\alpha}$ such that

$$||u(t)||_{L^{2}} \leq ||u_{0}||_{L^{2}} + I_{2}(t) + c_{2}t + 2c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} ds$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4} C_{c_{1},\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} ds + c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} \log_{+} ||u(s)||_{L^{2}} ds.$$

In the sequel, we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 3.3 and noting that $T_0 \leq 1$, we have for any $t \leq T_0$,

$$||u(t)||_{L^2} \le C(1 + c_2 + ||u_0||_{L^2} + I_2(t))^{e^{\tilde{C}t}}, \tag{4.14}$$

Thus

$$\sup_{t \le T_0} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \le C \left(1 + c_2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2} + \sup_{t \le T_0} I_2(t)\right)^{e^{\widetilde{C}T_0}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T_{0}}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\right] \leq 3^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}-1}C^{p}\left[(1+c_{2})^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}}+\|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}}+\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\leq T_{0}}I_{2}(t)^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}}\right)\right].$$
(4.15)

By the Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T_{0}} I_{2}(t)^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T_{0}} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(s,y) \sigma(u(s,y)) W(ds,dy) \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}}\right] \\
\leq C_{T_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left\| \sigma(u(s)) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}} ds \right] \\
\leq C_{T_{0}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} c(1 + |u(s,y)|^{2\theta}) dy \right)^{\frac{p}{2}e^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}} ds \right] \\
\leq C_{p,T_{0}} \left(1 + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T_{0}} \left\| u(s) \right\|_{L^{2}}^{p} ds \right] \right),$$

where we have used the fact that $\theta e^{\tilde{C}T_0} \leq 1$ and Hölder's inequality. From (4.15) we deduce that for all $t \leq T_0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \le t} \|u(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\right) \le C_{p,T_{0}} + 3^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}} - 1}C^{p}\|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}}^{pe^{\tilde{C}T_{0}}} + C_{p,T_{0}}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{p} ds\right].$$

By the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t \le T_0} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^p\right) \le C_{p,T_0} \left(1 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{pe^{\widetilde{C}}}\right).$$

5 Existence of weak solutions

In this section, we fix T > 0 and prove the existence of probabilistically weak solutions of equation (1.1). We will approximate the coefficients b and σ by Lipschitz functions.

Let φ be a nonnegative smooth function on \mathbb{R} such that the support of φ is contained in (-1,1) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)dx = 1$. Let $\{\eta_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of symmetric smooth functions such that $0 \leq \eta_n \leq 1$, $\eta_n(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq n$, and $\eta_n = 0$ if $|x| \geq n + 2$. Define

$$b_n(x) := n \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(y) \varphi(n(x-y)) dy \times \eta_n(x).$$

Then it is easy to check that there exist constants L_n and L'_b such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|b_n(x) - b_n(y)| \le L_n|x - y|,$$

$$|b_n(x)| \le c_1|x|\log_+|x| + L_b'(|x| + 1),$$

where the constant L'_b is independent of n. Moreover, if $x_n \to x$ in \mathbb{R} , then

$$b_n(x_n) \to b(x). \tag{5.1}$$

For $n \geq 1$, consider the following stochastic partial differential equation on the interval [0,1],

$$u_n(t,x) = P_t u_0(x) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) b_n(u(s,y)) dy ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(u_n(s,y)) W(ds,dy).$$

It is known that for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a unique solution u_n to the above equation. Moreover, the sample paths of u_n are a.s. in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2([0, 1]))$.

Following the proof of Theorem 4.3, we see that the following uniform estimate holds,

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t < T_0} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^p \right] \le C_{p, T_0} \tag{5.2}$$

for any $p \ge 1$, where T_0 is defined by (4.7).

We now want to show that the above estimate holds for any T > 0.

Lemma 5.1. Assume that (H1) and (H3) are satisfied then for any T > 0 and $\forall p \ge 1$, the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \le T} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^p \right] \le C_{p,T}.$$

Proof. $\forall T \geq 0$, there is a nonnegative number m such that $T \in [mT_0, (m+1)T_0]$, so we only need to show $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [mT_0, (m+1)T_0]} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^p \right] \le C_{m,p}.$$

As an example, we prove the case m = 1, i.e.

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [T_0, 2T_0]} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^p \right] \le C_p.$$
 (5.3)

The same method can be applied to any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By the uniqueness of $u_n(s, y)$, $\forall n \geq 0$, we have for $t \in [T_0, 2T_0]$,

$$u_{n}(t,x) = P_{t-T_{0}}u_{n}(T_{0}) + \int_{T_{0}}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)b_{n}(u_{n}(s,y))dyds + \int_{T_{0}}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(u_{n}(s,y))W(ds,dy).$$

$$(5.4)$$

Let $W^{T_0}(t,x) := W(t+T_0,x) - W(T_0,x)$, $\mathcal{F}_t^{T_0} := \mathcal{F}_{t+T_0}$, and $u_n^{T_0}(t,x) := u_n(t+T_0,x)$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Then $W^{T_0}(t,x)$ is a Brownian sheet with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^{T_0})_{t\geq 0}$. Then by changing of variables, (5.4) can be rewritten as

$$u_n^{T_0}(t,x) = P_t u_n^{T_0}(0,x) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) b_n(u_n^{T_0}(s,y)) ds dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(u_n^{T_0}(s,y)) W^{T_0}(ds,dy),$$

where $t \in [0, T_0]$. By the contractivity of the heat kernel and the similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we obtain that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [T_0, 2T_0]} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^{p} \right] = \sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \le T_0} \|u_n^{T_0}(t)\|_{L^2}^{p} \right] \le C_p \left(1 + \sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\|u_n(T_0)\|_{L^2}^{pe^{C_{c_1}}} \right] \right)$$

for any $p \ge 1$. Since (5.2) holds for any $p \ge 1$, we see that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [T_0, 2T_0]} \|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^p \right] \le C_p,$$

which proves (5.3).

We let L_b be a constant independent of n such that

$$|b_n(x)| \le L_b(|x|\log_+|x|+1).$$

Such a constant always exists because of the assumption on the coefficient b.

Next, we will establish the tightness of the approximating solutions u_n in $C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$. Set

$$I_n(t,x) := \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)b_n(u_n(s,y))dyds$$

and

$$J_n(t,x) := \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(u_n(s,y)) W(ds,dy).$$

To prove the tightness of u_n in $C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$, it suffices to prove that both $I_n(t,x)$ and $J_n(t,x)$ are tight in $C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$. This will be done in Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 below.

Lemma 5.2. The sequence $I_n(t,x)$ is tight in $C([0,T],L^2([0,1]))$.

Proof. Since $C^{\alpha}([0,1])$ is compactly embedded into $L^{2}([0,1])$, to establish the tightness in $C([0,T],L^{2}([0,1]))$, it suffices to show that:

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \sup_{n} \mathbb{P}(\|I_n(t)\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1])} \ge M) = 0, \quad \forall \ t \in (0,T],$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,1])}$ is the norm of the α -Hölder space, and

$$\forall \ \epsilon > 0, \qquad \lim_{h \to 0} \sup_{n} \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t, s \in [0, T], |t-s| \le h} \|I_n(t, \cdot) - I_n(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} > \epsilon\right) = 0.$$

Noticing that $I_n(t,0) = 0$, to verify these two conditions, it is sufficient to prove the following claims:

Claim 1: $\forall t \in [0, T], \exists p, q > 0, C_{p,q,T} > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}[|I_n(t,x) - I_n(t,y)|^p] \le C_{p,q,T}|x - y|^{1+q}, \quad \forall \ x, y \in [0,1].$$

Claim 2: $\exists p, q > 0$, and $C_T > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}[\|I_n(t,\cdot) - I_n(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^p] \le C_T |t-s|^{1+q}, \quad \forall \ s,t \in [0,T].$$

We now prove Claim 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$|b_n(z)| \le L_b(1+|z|^2).$$

We have

$$|I_{n}(t,x) - I_{n}(t,y)| = \left| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)) b_{n}(u_{n}(s,z)) ds dz \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| |b_{n}(u_{n}(s,z))| ds dz$$

$$\leq L_{b} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} ds dz \right)$$

$$+ L_{b} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| ds dz.$$

$$(5.5)$$

The first term on the right hand side of above can be bounded as follows,

$$\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} ds dz\right)
\leq \left(\max_{s \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} dz\right) \int_{0}^{t} \max_{z \in [0,1]} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| ds
\leq C \left(\max_{s \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} dz\right) |x-y| \left[\log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) + 1\right],$$

where we have used Lemma 3.6. Note that $\forall \gamma \in (0,1)$, there exist nonnegative constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \le c_1 \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\gamma}} + c_2.$$

So we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} ds dz$$

$$\leq C \left(\max_{s \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} dz \right) (c_{1}|x-y|^{1-\gamma} + c_{2}|x-y|)$$

$$\leq C \left(\max_{s \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(s,z)|^{2} dz + 1 \right) |x-y|^{1-\gamma}.$$

The second term on the right hand side of (5.5) can be bounded similarly, but much simpler. Hence

$$|I_n(t,x) - I_n(t,y)| \le C \left(\max_{s \le T} \|u_n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 \right) |x - y|^{1-\gamma} + L_b \int_0^t \int_0^1 |p_{t-s}(x,z) - p_{t-s}(y,z)| ds dz$$

$$\le C \left(\max_{s \le T} \|u_n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \right) |x - y|^{1-\gamma}.$$

So

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}\left[|I_{n}(t,x) - I_{n}(t,y)|^{p}\right] \le C \sup_{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{s \le T} \|u_{n}(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\right]^{p} |x - y|^{(1-\gamma)p}.$$

By choosing p such that $(1 - \gamma)p > 1$ and noting Lemma 5.1, **Claim 1** is proved. Next we prove **Claim 2**. Without loss of generality, we assume s < t. Obviously

$$||I_{n}(t) - I_{n}(s)||_{L^{2}}^{p} \leq c_{p} \left\| \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{1} (p_{t-r}(x, y) - p_{s-r}(x, y)) b_{n}(u_{n}(r, y)) dr dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{p}$$

$$+ c_{p} \left\| \int_{s}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-r}(x, y) b_{n}(u_{n}(r, y)) dr dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{p}$$

$$:= c_{p}(A_{1}^{p} + A_{2}^{p}). \tag{5.6}$$

Now we estimate the terms A_1^p and A_2^p . For A_1 , we have

$$A_{1} \leq L_{b} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} \|p_{t-r}(x,y) - p_{s-r}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} |u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} dr dy \right)$$

+
$$L_{b} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} \|p_{t-r}(x,y) - p_{s-r}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} dr dy.$$

Now

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} \|p_{t-r}(x,y) - p_{s-r}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} |u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} dr dy
\leq \left(\int_{0}^{s} \sup_{y} \|p_{t-r}(x,y) - p_{s-r}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} dr \right) \times \left(\max_{r \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} dy \right)
\leq \left(\int_{0}^{s} \sup_{y} \|p_{t-r}(x,y) - p_{s-r}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\max_{r \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} dy \right)
\leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\max_{r \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} |u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} dy \right),$$

where Lemma 3.5 is used in the last line. Therefore,

$$A_1^p \le C_T \left(\max_{r \le T} \|u_n(r)\|_{L^2}^{2p} + 1 \right) (t - s)^{\frac{p}{4}}. \tag{5.7}$$

For A_2^p , we have

$$\left\| \int_{s}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-r}(x,y) b_{n}(u_{n}(r,y)) dr dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{p}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{s}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \|p_{t-r}(x,y)\|_{L^{2}} |b_{n}(u_{n}(r,y))| dr dy \right)^{p}$$

$$\leq \left(L_{b} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{4}} (|u_{n}(r,y)|^{2} + 1) dr dy \right)^{p}$$

$$\leq C_{p} \left(\sup_{r < T} \|u_{n}(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{2p} + 1 \right) (t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}p}. \tag{5.8}$$

Combining (5.6)-(5.8) together and then taking expectations gives

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E}[\|I_n(t) - I_n(s)\|_{L^2}^p] \le C_T \sup_{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{r \le T} \|u_n(r)\|_{L^2}^{2p} + 1\right] (t - s)^{\frac{p}{4}}, \quad \forall \ s, t \in [0, T].$$

We choose p > 4, then the **Claim 2** is proved by Lemma 5.1.

Next, we will prove the tightness of $\{J_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ with a different method.

Lemma 5.3. The sequence $J_n(t,x)$ is tight in $C([0,T],L^2([0,1]))$.

Proof. As in (4.4), we use the factorization method to write

$$J_n(t) = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} J^{\alpha-1}(J_\alpha \sigma(u_n))(t).$$

According to the fact (see Proposition 8.4 in [12]) that the embedding

$$J^{\alpha-1}: L^p([0,T], L^2([0,1])) \to C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$$

is compact provided $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha$. Thus to prove the tightness of J_n in $C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$, it suffices to prove that for some $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant M > 0, such that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{P}(\|J_{\alpha}\sigma\|_{L^{p}([0,T],L^{2})} > M) < \epsilon.$$

By the Chebyshev inequality, it is sufficient to show

$$\sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\|J_{\alpha}\sigma(s)\|_{L^{2}}^{p}] ds = \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-\alpha} P_{s-r}\sigma(u_{n}(r)) dW_{r}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\right] ds < \infty.$$

We use the BDG inequality and (4.3) to deduce

$$\sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-\alpha} P_{s-r} \sigma(u_{n}(r)) dW_{r}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{p}\right] ds$$

$$\leq \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha} \|P_{t-r} \sigma(u_{n}(r))\|_{HS(L^{2}, L^{2})}^{2} dr\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq C_{p} \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma(u_{n}(r))\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds
= C_{p} \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} \|\sigma(u_{n}(r))\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dr \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} ds
\leq C_{p} \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} (\mathbb{E} \|\sigma(u_{n}(r))\|_{L^{2}}^{p})^{\frac{p}{2}} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds
\leq C_{p} \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\sup_{r \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(u_{n}(r))\|_{L^{2}}^{p} \right) \left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds
\leq C_{p} \sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\sup_{r \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \|u_{n}(r)\|_{L^{2}}^{p} + 1 \right) \left(\int_{0}^{s} (s-r)^{-2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds.$$

If we take p > 4 so that $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$, then the right-hand side of the above inequality is finite by Theorem 5.1. So we complete the proof.

Now we prove the existence of probabilistically weak solutions to equation (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $C([0,T]\times[0,1],\mathbb{R})$ be the space of real-valued continuous functions on $[0,T]\times[0,1]$. Set $\Upsilon:=C([0,T],L^2([0,1]))\times C([0,T]\times[0,1],\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, the family of laws $\mathcal{L}(u_n,W)$ of the random vectors (u_n,W) is tight in Υ , where W (with a slight abuse of notations) is the Brownian sheet corresponding to the space-time noise. By Prokhorov's theorem and Skorokhod's representation theorem, there exist a new probability space $(\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{\mathcal{F}},\widetilde{\mathbb{P}})$, a sequence of of Υ -valued random vectors $\{(\widetilde{u}_n,\widetilde{W}_n)\}$ and a Υ -valued random vector $(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{W})$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{u}_n,\widetilde{W}_n) = \mathcal{L}(u_n,W)$, $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{W}) = \mathcal{L}(W)$ and

$$\sup_{t \le T} \|\widetilde{u}_n - \widetilde{u}\|_{L^2} + \sup_{t \ge 0, x \in [0,1]} |\widetilde{W}_n(t,x) - \widetilde{W}(t,x)| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$
 (5.9)

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ be the filtration satisfying the usual conditions and generated by

$$\{\widetilde{u}(s), \widetilde{W}(s, x) : s \le t, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then \widetilde{W} is an $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -Brownian sheet. And let $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^n$ be the filtration satisfying the usual conditions and generated by

$$\{\widetilde{u}_n(s), \widetilde{W}_n(s,x) : s \le t, x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then \widetilde{W}_n is an $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^n\}$ -Brownian sheet. From the equation satisfied by the random vector (u_n, W) , it follows that

$$\tilde{u}_{n}(t,x) = P_{t}\tilde{u}_{0}(x) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)b_{n}(\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y))dsdy
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y))\widetilde{W}_{n}(ds,dy),$$
(5.10)

where for each $n \geq 1$, $\widetilde{W}_n(ds, dy)$ is the space-time white noise corresponding to the Brownian sheet \widetilde{W}_n . We will let n tend to ∞ in (5.10) to show that $(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{W})$ is a solution to equation (1.1). First, for any $t \leq T$, we have

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \|p_{t-s}(x,y)\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} |b_{n}(\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y))|^{\frac{3}{2}} dy ds \right]$$

$$\leq c_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \sup_n \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_0^1 \|p_{t-s}(x,y)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} |\tilde{u}_n(s,y)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \log_+^{\frac{3}{2}} |\tilde{u}_n(s,y)| dy ds \right] + C_{T,c_2}
\leq 2c_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \sup_n \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_0^1 \|p_{t-s}(x,y)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} |\tilde{u}_n(s,y)|^2 dy ds \right] + C_{T,c_2}
\leq C_{T,c_1} \sup_n \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + C_{T,c_2} < \infty,$$

where we have used the inequality

$$\log_+|u| \le 2|u|^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \forall \ u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So the sequence $\{\|p_{t-s}(x,y)\|_{L^2_x}|b_n(\tilde{u}_n(s,y))|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly integrable on $\Omega \times [0,t] \times [0,1]$. Hence by (5.1), (5.9) and Vitali's convergence theorem, we have for any $t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)b_n(\tilde{u}_n(s,y))dyds - \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)b(\tilde{u}(s,y))dyds\right\|_{L_x^2}\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^1 \|p_{t-s}(x,y)\|_{L_x^2} |b_n(\tilde{u}_n(s,y)) - b(\tilde{u}(s,y))|dyds\right] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$

To prove the convergence of the stochastic integral term in (5.10), we will show that $f_n(s,y) := p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}_n(s,y)), \ f(s,y) := p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}(s,y))$ satisfy the conditions in Theorem 7.1 in the appendix. First it is easy to see that f_n , f satisfy (7.1) and (7.2) respectively. And for any $1 < \eta < \min\left\{\frac{1}{\theta}, \frac{3}{2}\right\}$, we have

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y))|^{2\eta} dx dy ds \right]
\leq \sup_{n} 2^{2\eta - 1} d_{1}^{2\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,y)|^{2\eta} |\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y)|^{2\theta \eta} dx dy ds \right]
+ 2^{2\eta - 1} d_{2}^{2\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |p_{t-s}(x,y)|^{2\eta} dx dy ds \right]
\leq \sup_{n} C_{T,d_{1},\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq T} \int_{0}^{1} (|\tilde{u}_{n}(s,y)|^{2} + 1) dy \right] + C_{T,d_{2},\eta}
= \sup_{n} C_{T,d_{1},\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \leq T} ||\tilde{u}_{n}(s)||_{L^{2}}^{2} \right] + C_{T,d_{1},d_{2},\eta} < \infty,$$

where we have used (H3) and the following fact,

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)^{2\eta} dx ds \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\eta-\frac{1}{2})} ds < \infty.$$

So the sequence $\{|p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}_n(s,y))|^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly integrable on $\Omega \times [0,t] \times [0,1] \times [0,1]$. Hence by the continuity of σ and Vitali's convergence theorem, we have for any $t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^1 \|p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}_n(s,y)) - p_{t-s}(x,y)\sigma(\tilde{u}(s,y))\|_{L_x^2}^2 dy ds\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)^2 |\sigma(\tilde{u}_n(s,y)) - \sigma(\tilde{u}(s,y))|^2 dx dy ds\right] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$

This implies that (7.4) holds. So we can apply Theorem 7.1 to conclude that for any $t \leq T$,

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(\tilde{u}_n(s,y)) \widetilde{W}_n(ds,dy) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \sigma(\tilde{u}(s,y)) \widetilde{W}(ds,dy)$$

in $L^2([0,1])$ as $n \to \infty$. Taking $n \to \infty$ in (5.10), we see that for any $t \le T$,

$$\tilde{u}(t) = P_t \tilde{u}_0 + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(\cdot, y) b(\tilde{u}(s, y)) ds dy + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(\cdot, y) \sigma(\tilde{u}(s, y)) \widetilde{W}(ds, dy).$$

And by (5.9) we have $\tilde{u} \in C([0,T], L^2([0,1]))$. So \tilde{u} is a probabilistically weak solution of (1.1).

6 Pathwise uniqueness

In this section, we prove the pathwise uniqueness of solutions to (1.1) and hence obtain a unique probabilistically strong solution.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let u, v be two solutions of equation (1.1). Then we have

$$u(t,x) - v(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)(b(u(s,y)) - b(v(s,y)))dyds + \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y)(\sigma(u(s,y)) - \sigma(v(s,y)))W(ds,dy).$$

Set z(t,x) := u(t,x) - v(t,x). Then

$$||z(t)||_{L^{2}} \leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |b(u(s,y)) - b(v(s,y))| dy ds \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$+ \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) (\sigma(u(s,y)) - \sigma(v(s,y))) W(ds,dy) \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}}$$

$$:= I(t) + J(t).$$

By (H2),

$$I(t) \leq \left\| c_1 \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| \log_+ \frac{1}{|u(s,y-v(s,y))|} dy ds \right\|_{L_x^2}$$

$$+ \left\| c_2 \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_+ (|u(s,y)| \vee |v(s,y)|) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)) |dy ds \right\|_{L_x^2}$$

$$+ \left\| c_3 \int_0^t \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| dy ds \right\|_{L_x^2}$$

$$:= I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t).$$

We first estimate $I_3(t)$ as follows. By the contractivity of the heat semigroup P_t , we have

$$I_3(t) \le c_3 \int_0^t \|P_{t-s}(|u(s) - v(s)|)\|_{L^2} ds \le c_3 \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds.$$
(6.1)

Next, we consider $I_2(t)$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$I_{2}(t) \leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_{+}(|u(s,y)| \vee |v(s,y)|) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| dy \right]^{2} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |z(s,y)|^{2} dy \right] \left[\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)| \vee |v(s,y)|) dy \right] dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} \left\{ \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_{+}^{2}(|u(s,y)| \vee |v(s,y)|) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds.$$

According to (4.13), we see that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 p_{t-s}(x,y) \log_+^2(|u(s,y)| \vee |v(s,y)|) dy$$

$$\leq \left\{ \log_+ C + \frac{1}{4} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{t-s} \right) + \log_+(||u(s)||_{L^2} + ||v(s)||_{L^2}) \right\}^2.$$

Let $\tau_M := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \geq M\} \land \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|v(t)\|_{L^2} \geq M\}$. For $t < \tau_M$, we have

$$I_{2}(t) \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \|z(s)\|_{L^{2}} ds + C \log_{+}(M) \int_{0}^{t} \|z(s)\|_{L^{2}} + \frac{c_{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{t} \|z(s)\|_{L^{2}} \log_{+}\left(\frac{1}{t-s}\right) ds.$$

$$(6.2)$$

Next, for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{-1})$, we let $\tau^{\delta} = \inf\{t > 0 : ||z(t)||_{L^2} \ge \delta\}$. We now estimate $I_1(t)$ for $t \le \tau^{\delta}$,

$$\begin{split} I_{1}(t) &= c_{1} \left\| \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| \log_{+} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy ds \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} \\ &\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\| \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| \log_{+} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy \right\|_{L_{x}^{2}} ds \\ &= c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[\int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)| \log_{+} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy \right]^{2} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} p_{t-s}(x,y) |u(s,y) - v(s,y)|^{2} \log_{+}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} |u(s,y) - v(s,y)|^{2} \log_{+}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds. \end{split}$$

Let $f(z) = z(\log \frac{1}{z})^2$, for $z \in (0,1]$. Then $f'(z) = (2 + \log z) \log z > 0$ when $z \in (0,e^{-2})$, so f(z) increases for $z \in (0,e^{-2})$. We know $f''(z) = \frac{2}{z}(1 + \log z) < 0$ when $z < e^{-1}$. So f is concave in $(0,e^{-1})$. Moreover,

$$|f(z)| \le 4|z|, \quad \text{for } z \in [e^{-2}, 1].$$
 (6.3)

Next, we fix s > 0 and set $\Gamma := \{ y \in [0,1] : |z(s,y)|^2 < e^{-2} \}$. In view of $\log_+ \frac{1}{z} = 0$ for z > 1, we have

$$I_{1}(t) \leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} |u(s,y) - v(s,y)|^{2} \log_{+}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|u(s,y) - v(s,y)|} \right) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{c_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{\{y \in [0,1]: e^{-2} \leq |z(s,y)|^{2} < 1\}} f(z^{2}(s,y)) dy + \int_{\Gamma} f(z^{2}(s,y)) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds. \tag{6.4}$$

Then by the Chebyshev inequality, we know that the Lebesgue measure $|\Gamma|$ satisfies

$$|\Gamma| \ge 1 - e^2 ||z(s)||_{L^2}^2.$$

This implies that $\forall t \leq \tau^{\delta}$,

$$\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{\|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2}{1 - e^2 \|z(t)\|_{L^2}^2} \le \frac{1}{\delta^{-2} - e^2} \le e^{-2}.$$

By the Jensen inequality, (6.3) and the fact that f is concave and increasing in $(0, e^{-2})$, (6.4) becomes

$$I_{1}(t) \leq \frac{c_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \int_{\{y \in [0,1]: \frac{2}{e} \leq |z(s,y)|^{2} < 1\}} 4|z(s,y)|^{2} dy + |\Gamma| \int_{\Gamma} f(z^{2}(s,y)) \frac{dy}{|\Gamma|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{c_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ 4||z(s)||_{L^{2}}^{2} + |\Gamma| f(\int_{\Gamma} z^{2}(s,y) \frac{dy}{|\Gamma|}) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq \frac{c_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left\{ 4||z(s)||_{L^{2}}^{2} + |\Gamma| f(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}}) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} ds$$

$$\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} ds + \frac{c_{1}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{|\Gamma|} \frac{||z(s)||_{L^{2}}}{\sqrt{|\Gamma|}} \log_{+} \left(\frac{|\Gamma|}{||z(s)||_{L^{2}}} \right) ds$$

$$\leq c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} ds + c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} \log_{+} \frac{1}{||z(s)||_{L^{2}}} ds. \tag{6.5}$$

Combining (6.1), (6.2) and (6.5) together yields that for any $t \leq \tau_M^{\delta} := \tau_M \wedge \tau^{\delta}$,

$$I(t) \le C \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds + c_2 \int_0^t \left(C_M + \frac{1}{4} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{t-s} \right) \right) \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds + c_1 \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds + c_1 \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds + c_1 \int_0^t \|z(s)\|_{L^2} ds.$$

Hence there exists a constant c > 0 such that for $t \leq \tau_M^{\delta}$,

$$||z(t)||_{L^{2}} \leq \sup_{s \leq t} J(s) + C_{M} \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} ds + C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} ds + C \int_{0}^{t} ||z(s)||_{L^{2}} \log_{+} \frac{1}{||z(s)||_{L^{2}}} ds.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$||z(t)||_{L^2} \le C_T \sup_{s \le t} J(s) + C_T \int_0^t ||z(s)||_{L^2} \log_+ \frac{1}{||z(s)||_{L^2}} ds.$$

This implies

$$\sup_{t \le r \wedge \tau_M^{\delta}} \|z(t)\|_{L^2} \le C_{M,T} \sup_{t \le r \wedge \tau_M^{\delta}} J(t) + C_{M,T} \int_0^r \sup_{\rho \le s \wedge \tau_M^{\delta}} \left(\|z(\rho)\|_{L^2} \log_+ \frac{1}{\|z(\rho)\|_{L^2}} \right) ds.$$

Denote

$$F(r) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \le r \wedge \tau_M^{\delta}} \|z(t)\|_{L^2}\right].$$

Let $h(x) = x \log_+ \frac{1}{x}$ for $x \in (0, e^{-1})$. Then $h'(x) = \log \frac{1}{x} - 1 \ge 0$, this implies that h(x) increases in $(0, e^{-1})$. And $h''(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \le 0$, so h(x) is concave in $(0, e^{-1})$. Then we have

$$F(r) \le C_{M,T} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \le r \wedge \tau_M^{\delta}} J(t) \right] + C_{M,T} \int_0^r F(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{F(s)} ds.$$

According to Lemma 4.2, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_{ϵ} such that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq r\wedge\tau_M^\delta}J(t)\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq r\wedge\tau_M^\delta}\left\|\int_0^t\int_0^1p_{t-s}(x,y)[\sigma(u(s,y))-\sigma(v(s,y))]W(ds,dy)\right\|_{L^2_x}\right] \\ &\leq \epsilon F(r) + C_{\epsilon,T}\int_0^rF(s)ds. \end{split}$$

So we get that

$$F(r) \le \epsilon F(r) + C_{\epsilon,M,T} \int_0^r F(s) ds + C_{M,T} \int_0^r F(s) \log_+ \frac{1}{F(s)} ds.$$

According to Theorem 3.2, we conclude that $F \equiv 0$ on [0, T]. This implies

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau_M^\delta}\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{L^2}\right]=0.$$

Let $M \to \infty$, then $\tau_M \to \infty$. By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t\leq T\wedge\tau^{\delta}}\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{L^{2}}\right]=0.$$

So $\sup_{t \leq T \wedge \tau^{\delta}} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2} = 0$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s$. This implies $\tau^{\delta} \geq T$ a.s. and

$$\sup_{t < T} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

The proof of pathwise uniqueness is completed.

7 Appendix

In this section, we will prove a result on the convergence of stochastic integral with respect to space-time white noise, which will be used in the proof of existence of probabilistically weak solutions. The result is similar to Lemma 4.3 in [2] and Lemma 2.1 in [15].

Theorem 7.1. Assume that H is a separable Hilbert space. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a sequence of filtrations $\{\mathcal{F}_t^n\}_{t\geq 0}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let W_n be a Brownian sheet with respect to \mathcal{F}_t^n and let W be a Brownian sheet with respect to \mathcal{F}_t^n and let W be a Brownian sheet with respect to \mathcal{F}_t . Assume that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, f_n is H-valued \mathcal{F}_t^n -adapted measurable processes such that

$$\sup_{n} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{n}(s, y)\|_{H}^{2} dy ds < \infty, \quad a.s.$$
 (7.1)

And f is a H-valued \mathcal{F}_t -adapted measurable process such that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \|f(s,y)\|_{H}^{2} dy ds < \infty, \quad a.s.$$
 (7.2)

If

$$\sup_{t \le T, x \in [0,1]} |W_n(t,x) - W(t,x)| \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{n}(s, y) - f(s, y)\|_{H}^{2} dy ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$
 (7.4)

Then we have

$$\sup_{t \le T} \left\| \int_0^t \int_0^1 f_n(s, y) W_n(ds, dy) - \int_0^t \int_0^1 f(s, y) W(ds, dy) \right\|_H \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0, \tag{7.5}$$

where $W_n(ds, dy)$ and W(ds, dy) are the space-time white noise corresponding to the Brownian sheet W_n and W respectively.

Proof. Let $e_k(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(k\pi x)$, which constitutes a set of orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,1])$. Then for each $k \ge 1$,

$$W_n^k(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 e_k(y) W_n(ds, dy) = -\int_0^1 W_n(t, x) e_k'(x) dx$$

is a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion. And similarly,

$$W^{k}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} e_{k}(y)W(ds, dy) = -\int_{0}^{1} W(t, x)e'_{k}(x)dx$$

is also a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion for each $k \geq 1$. From (7.3), we have

$$\sup_{t \le T} |W_n^k(t) - W^k(t)| \le C \sup_{t \le T} \int_0^1 |W_n(t, x) - W(t, x)| dx \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\mathbb{P}} 0. \tag{7.6}$$

Note that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(s, y) W_{n}(ds, dy) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{1} f_{n}(s, y) e_{k}(y) dy \right) dW_{n}^{k}(s)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} F_{n}(s) dW_{n}(s),$$

where $W_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_n^k(s) e_k$ is the corresponding cylindrical Wiener process on $L^2([0,1])$, and $F_n(s)$ is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator from $L^2([0,1])$ to H and defined by

$$F_n(s)(g) := \int_0^1 f_n(s, y)g(y)dy, \quad \forall \ g \in L^2([0, 1]).$$

Let $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of H and define $h_j \otimes e_k := h_j \langle e_k, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$ for any $k, j \geq 1$, then $h_j \otimes e_k$ is an orthonormal basis of $HS(L^2, H)$ (see Proposition B.0.7 in [25]). Then the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $F_n(s)$ can be computed as follows:

$$||F_{n}(s)||_{HS(L^{2},H)}^{2} = \sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty} \langle F_{n}(s), h_{j} \otimes e_{k} \rangle_{HS(L^{2},H)}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k,j=1}^{\infty} \langle h_{j}, F_{n}(s)(e_{k}) \rangle_{H}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \langle f_{n}(s,y), h_{j} \rangle_{H} e_{k}(y) dy \right)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \langle f_{n}(s,y), h_{j} \rangle_{H}^{2} dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} ||f_{n}(s,y)||_{H}^{2} dy, \qquad (7.7)$$

Similarly, we can define F(s) and W(s) and have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{1} f(s, y)W(ds, dy) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(s, y)e_{k}(y)dy \right) dW^{k}(s)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} F(s)dW(s).$$

By (7.4) and (7.7), we have

$$\int_0^T \|F_n(s) - F(s)\|_{HS(L^2, H)}^2 ds = \int_0^T \int_0^1 \|f_n(s, y) - f(s, y)\|_H^2 dy ds \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$
 (7.8)

As the conditions of Lemma 4.3 in [2] are fulfilled by (7.6) and (7.8), it follows from Lemma 4.3 in [2] that (7.5) holds.

Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022 YFA1006001), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12131019, 12571158, 12371151, 11721101), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. WK3470000031, WK0010000081).

References

[1] Matthieu Alfaro and Rémi Carles. Superexponential growth or decay in the heat equation with a logarithmic nonlinearity. *Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 14(4):343–358, 2017.

- [2] Marco Bagnara, Mario Maurelli, and Fanhui Xu. No blow-up by nonlinear Itô noise for the Euler equations. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 30:Paper No. 81, 29, 2025.
- [3] Iwo Biał ynicki Birula and Jerzy Mycielski. Nonlinear wave mechanics. *Ann. Physics*, 100(1-2):62–93, 1976.
- [4] Rémi Carles and Isabelle Gallagher. Universal dynamics for the defocusing logarithmic Schrödinger equation. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(9):1761–1801, 2018.
- [5] Sandra Cerrai. Stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 125(2):271–304, 2003.
- [6] Hua Chen, Peng Luo, and Gongwei Liu. Global solution and blow-up of a semilinear heat equation with logarithmic nonlinearity. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 422(1):84–98, 2015.
- [7] Hua Chen and Shuying Tian. Initial boundary value problem for a class of semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations with logarithmic nonlinearity. *J. Differential Equations*, 258(12):4424–4442, 2015.
- [8] Le Chen, Mohammud Foondun, Jingyu Huang, and Michael Salins. Global solution for superlinear stochastic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d under Osgood-type conditions. Nonlinearity, 38(5):Paper No. 055026, 25, 2025.
- [9] Le Chen and Jingyu Huang. Superlinear stochastic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 151(9):4063–4078, 2023.
- [10] Le Chen, Jingyu Huang, and Wenxuan Tao. A stochastic heat equation with non-locally lipschitz coefficients. arXiv:2507.23637, 2025.
- [11] Carmen Cortázar and Manuel Elgueta. Unstability of the steady solution of a non-linear reaction-diffusion equation. *Houston J. Math.*, 17(2):149–155, 1991.
- [12] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, volume 44 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [13] Robert C. Dalang, Davar Khoshnevisan, and Tusheng Zhang. Global solutions to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with super-linear drift and multiplicative noise. *Ann. Probab.*, 47(1):519–559, 2019.
- [14] Robert C. Dalang and Marta Sanz-Solé. Stochastic partial differential equations, space-time white noise and random fields. arXiv:2402.02119, 2025.
- [15] Arnaud Debussche, Nathan Glatt-Holtz, and Roger Temam. Local martingale and pathwise solutions for an abstract fluids model. *Phys. D*, 240(14-15):1123–1144, 2011.
- [16] C. Donati-Martin and É. Pardoux. White noise driven SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 95(1):1–24, 1993.
- [17] Julian Fernández Bonder and Pablo Groisman. Time-space white noise eliminates global solutions in reaction-diffusion equations. *Phys. D*, 238(2):209–215, 2009.

- [18] Mohammud Foondun, Davar Khoshnevisan, and Eulalia Nualart. Instantaneous everywhere-blowup of parabolic SPDEs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 190(1-2):601–624, 2024.
- [19] Mohammud Foondun and Eulalia Nualart. The Osgood condition for stochastic partial differential equations. *Bernoulli*, 27(1):295–311, 2021.
- [20] Hiroshi Fujita. On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I, 13:109–124, 1966.
- [21] Beom-Seok Han, Kunwoo Kim, and Jaeyun Yi. On the support of solutions to nonlinear stochastic heat equations. arXiv:2407.06827, 2024.
- [22] R. Kavin and Ananta K. Majee. Lévy driven stochastic heat equation with logarithmic nonlinearity: well-posedness and large deviation principle. Appl. Math. Optim., 91(2):Paper No. 51, 48, 2025.
- [23] Howard A. Levine. The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems. SIAM Rev., 32(2):262–288, 1990.
- [24] Yue Li, Shijie Shang, and Jianliang Zhai. Large deviation principle for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with superlinear drift on \mathbb{R} driven by space-time white noise. J. Theoret. Probab., 37(4):3496–3539, 2024.
- [25] Wei Liu and Michael Röckner. Stochastic partial differential equations: an introduction. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [26] Li Ma. Gradient estimates for a simple elliptic equation on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds. J. Funct. Anal., 241(1):374–382, 2006.
- [27] Tianyi Pan, Shijie Shang, and Tusheng Zhang. Large deviations of stochastic heat equations with logarithmic nonlinearity. *Potential Anal.*, 62(2):439–463, 2025.
- [28] Gerald Rosen. Dilatation covariance and exact solutions in local relativistic field theories. *Physical Review*, 183(5):1186, 1969.
- [29] Michael Salins. Existence and uniqueness of global solutions to the stochastic heat equation with superlinear drift on an unbounded spatial domain. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 22(5):Paper No. 2250014, 30, 2022.
- [30] Michael Salins. Global solutions to the stochastic reaction-diffusion equation with superlinear accretive reaction term and superlinear multiplicative noise term on a bounded spatial domain. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 375(11):8083–8099, 2022.
- [31] Michael Salins. Global solutions to the stochastic heat equation with superlinear accretive reaction term and polynomially growing multiplicative white noise coefficient. Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations, pages 1–24, 2025.
- [32] Shijie Shang and Tusheng Zhang. Stochastic heat equations with logarithmic non-linearity. J. Differential Equations, 313:85–121, 2022.

- [33] Shijie Shang and Tusheng Zhang. Global well-posedness to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on the real line \mathbb{R} with superlinear drifts driven by multiplicative space-time white noise. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 28:Paper No. 166, 29, 2023.
- [34] Yunyan Yang. Gradient estimates for a nonlinear parabolic equation on Riemannian manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(11):4095–4102, 2008.