

✖ Try again once you are ready.

Required to pass: 80% or higher  
You can retake this quiz up to 3 times every 8 hours.

Back to Week 4

Retake



1 / 1 points

1. Consider the space shuttle data `?shuttle` in the `MASS` library. Consider modeling the use of the autolander as the outcome (variable name `use`). Fit a logistic regression model with autolander (variable `auto`) use (labeled as "auto" 1) versus not (0) as predicted by wind sign (variable `wind`). Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds, labeled as "head" in the variable `headwind` (numerator) to tail winds (denominator).

- ☐ 0.031  
☐ -0.031  
☒ 0.969

Correct

```
1 library(MASS)
2 data(shuttle)
3 ## Make our own variables just for illustration
4 shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
5 shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
6 fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
7 exp(coef(fit))
8
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) headwind
2 ## 1.3273 0.9687
3
```

```
1 ## Another way without redifing variables
2 fit <- glm(relevel(use, "noauto") ~ relevel(wind, "tail"), data = shuttle,
3 family = binomial)
4 exp(coef(fit))
5
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) relevel(wind, "tail")head
2 ## 1.3273 0.9687
```

- ☐ 1.327



1 / 1 points

2. Consider the previous problem. Give the estimated odds ratio for autolander use comparing head winds (numerator) to tail winds (denominator) adjusting for wind strength from the variable `magn`.

- ☐ 0.684  
☐ 1.00  
☒ 0.969

Correct

The estimate doesn't change with the inclusion of wind strength

```
1 shuttle$auto <- 1 * (shuttle$use == "auto")
2 shuttle$headwind <- 1 * (shuttle$wind == "head")
3 fit <- glm(auto ~ headwind + magn, data = shuttle, family = binomial)
4 exp(coef(fit))
5
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) headwind magnMedium magnOut magnStrong
2 ## 1.4852 0.9685 1.0000 0.6842 0.9376
3
```

```
1 ## Another way without redifing variables
2 fit <- glm(relevel(use, "noauto") ~ relevel(wind, "tail") + magn, data =
3 shuttle,
4 family = binomial)
5 exp(coef(fit))
6
```

```
1 ## (Intercept) relevel(wind, "tail")head
2 ## 1.4852 0.9685
3 ## magnMedium magnOut
4 ## 1.0000 0.6842
5 ## magnStrong
6 ## 0.9376
```

- ☐ 1.485



0 / 1 points

3. If you fit a logistic regression model to a binary variable, for example use of the autolander, then fit a logistic regression model for one minus the outcome (not using the autolander) what happens to the coefficients?

- ☒ The intercept changes sign, but the other coefficients don't.

This should not be selected

- ☐ The coefficients get inverted (one over their previous value).  
☐ The coefficients reverse their signs.  
☐ The coefficients change in a non-linear fashion.



1 / 1 points

4. Consider the insect spray data `InsectSprays`. Fit a Poisson model using `spray` as a factor level. Report the estimated relative rate comapring spray A (numerator) to spray B (denominator).

- ☐ -0.056  
☒ 0.9457

Correct

```
1 fit <- glm(count ~ relevel(spray, "B"), data = InsectSprays, family = poisson)
2 exp(coef(fit))[2]
```

```
1 ## relevel(spray, "B")A
2 ## 0.9457
```

- ☐ 0.321  
☐ 0.136



0 / 1 points

5. Consider a Poisson glm with an offset, `t`. So, for example, a model of the form `glm(count ~ x + offset(t), family = poisson)` where `x` is a factor variable comparing a treatment (1) to a control (0) and `t` is the natural log of a monitoring time. What is impact of the coefficient for `x` if we fit the model `glm(count ~ x + offset(t2), family = poisson)` where `2 <- log(10) + t`? In other words, what happens to the coefficients if we change the units of the offset variable. (Note, adding `log(10)` on the log scale is multiplying by 10 on the original scale.)

- ☐ The coefficient estimate is unchanged  
☐ The coefficient is subtracted by `log(10)`.  
☒ The coefficient estimate is divided by 10.

This should not be selected

- ☐ The coefficient estimate is multiplied by 10.



1 / 1 points

6. Consider the data

```
1 x <- -5:5
2 y <- c(5.12, 3.93, 2.67, 1.87, 0.52, 0.08, 0.93, 2.05, 2.54, 3.87, 4.97)
```

Using a knot point at 0, fit a linear model that looks like a hockey stick with two lines meeting at `x=0`. Include an intercept term, `x` and the knot point term. What is the estimated slope of the line after 0?

- ☐ -0.183  
☐ -1.024  
☒ 1.013

Correct

```
1 z <- (x > 0) * x
2 fit <- lm(y ~ x + z)
3 sum(coef(fit)[2:3])
```

```
1 ## [1] 1.013
```

- ☐ 2.037