

Logic of Computer Science

Lecture 4: Predicate Logic – Quantifiers and Domains

Emmanuel Kwesi Tandoh

University of Mines and Technology (UMaT)

June 2025



UNIVERSITY OF MINES
AND TECHNOLOGY
(UMaT)

Knowledge | Truth | Excellence

Real-World Connections

Why Predicate Logic Matters

Before diving into symbols, let's see some real-world applications:

Database Queries

"Find all users who have never logged in."

$$\forall u \left(\text{User}(u) \wedge \neg \exists t \text{ Login}(u, t) \right)$$

Program Verification

"Every sorted array has its elements in non-decreasing order."

$$\forall A \left(\text{Sorted}(A) \rightarrow \forall i < j \ A[i] \leq A[j] \right)$$

Artificial Intelligence

“There exists a path from start to goal.”

$$\exists p \text{ Path}(\text{start}, p, \text{goal})$$

Takeaway

Predicate logic gives us the language to express **“for all”** and **“there exists”** precisely—crucial when specs must be unambiguous.

Learning Objectives

By the end of this session, students should be able to:

By the end of this lesson you will be able to:

- Translate English statements into formulas using \forall, \exists .
- Specify and clarify domains for variables.
- Negate quantified statements: push \neg through $\forall \leftrightarrow \exists$.
- Use counterexamples and witnesses in proofs.

Syntax & Semantics Refresher

Variables

Placeholders ranging over a domain D .

E.g. $x, y \in D$ where $D = \{\text{all students}\}$.

Predicates

Properties or relations on variables.

- $\text{CS}(x)$: "x is a CS student."
- $\text{Takes}(x, \text{DM})$: "x takes Discrete Math."

Quantifiers

- **Universal:** $\forall x P(x)$ means "For all x in the domain, $P(x)$ holds."
- **Existential:** $\exists x P(x)$ means "There exists at least one x in the domain such that $P(x)$."

Syntax & Semantics Refresher Continued

Domain Specification

Always clarify your universe: “*Over students*” means x ranges only over students.

Scope & Binding

Parentheses matter in logic:

$$\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \quad \text{vs.} \quad (\forall x P(x)) \rightarrow Q$$

These are **not** the same.

Translating English \rightarrow Predicate Logic

Examples of Translation

English Statement	Formal Translation
Every CS student has taken Discrete Math.	$\forall x [CS(x) \rightarrow TookDM(x)]$
Some algorithms terminate.	$\exists a Terminates(a)$
No student skipped the final exam.	$\neg \exists x [Student(x) \wedge SkippedFE(x)]$
Exactly one professor is absent today.	$\exists! p Absent(p)$

Translating English \rightarrow Predicate Logic

Tip: How to express “Exactly one”

$$\exists x [P(x) \wedge \forall y (P(y) \rightarrow y = x)]$$

This means: There exists a unique x such that $P(x)$ is true.

Negation of Quantified Statements

Quantifier Negation Rules

To push a negation through a quantifier, apply the following equivalences:

$$\neg(\forall x P(x)) \iff \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg(\exists x P(x)) \iff \forall x \neg P(x)$$

Example

$$\neg(\forall x \text{ Likes}(x, \text{Pizza})) \equiv \exists x \neg \text{Likes}(x, \text{Pizza})$$

Interpretation: “There is someone who does not like pizza.”

Negation of Quantified Statements

Exercise

Negate: “There exists a solution to the equation $f(x) = 0$.”

Try: Express $\neg(\exists x f(x) = 0)$ using universal quantification.

Refuting Universal Claims

Universal Claim: $\forall x P(x)$

To refute a universal statement, find a counterexample:

Find c such that $\neg P(c)$

Example

Claim: “All primes are odd.”

$$\forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$$

Counterexample: $p = 2$ is a prime but not odd.

$$\Rightarrow \neg(\forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p)))$$

Therefore, the universal statement is false.

Proving Existential Claims

Existential Claim: $\exists x P(x)$

To prove an existential statement, provide a witness:

Exhibit w such that $P(w)$

Example

Claim: “There exists an even Fibonacci number greater than 10.” Let’s try a few Fibonacci numbers:

- $F_6 = 8 \rightarrow$ No
- $F_7 = 13 \rightarrow$ Not even
- $F_8 = 21 \rightarrow$ Not even

Try more or prove by construction that such a number exists.

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: “There exists a student who has never missed a quiz.”

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: “There exists a student who has never missed a quiz.”
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: “There exists a student who has never missed a quiz.”
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: “There exists a student who has never missed a quiz.”
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: “All primes are odd.”
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$
 - $\neg\phi: \exists p (\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg \text{Odd}(p))$

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$
 - $\neg\phi: \exists p (\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg \text{Odd}(p))$

2. Counterexample Challenge

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \rightarrow n$ is composite

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$
 - $\neg\phi: \exists p (\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg \text{Odd}(p))$

2. Counterexample Challenge

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \rightarrow n$ is composite

Is it true? No.

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$
 - $\neg\phi: \exists p (\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg \text{Odd}(p))$

2. Counterexample Challenge

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \rightarrow n$ is composite

Is it true? No.

Counterexample: $n = 2$

In-Class Exercises: Translation & Counterexample

1. Translation & Negation

- (a) Statement: "There exists a student who has never missed a quiz."
 - $\phi: \exists x (\text{Student}(x) \wedge \neg \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
 - $\neg\phi: \forall x (\neg \text{Student}(x) \vee \text{MissedQuiz}(x))$
- (b) Statement: "All primes are odd."
 - $\phi: \forall p (\text{Prime}(p) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(p))$
 - $\neg\phi: \exists p (\text{Prime}(p) \wedge \neg \text{Odd}(p))$

2. Counterexample Challenge

Statement: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1 \rightarrow n$ is composite

Is it true? No.

Counterexample: $n = 2$

$2 > 1$ but 2 is prime, not composite. \Rightarrow Statement is **false**.

3. Statement

“Every binary string starts with ‘0’.”

3. Statement

“Every binary string starts with ‘0’.”

(a) Domain: All binary strings

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'?

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'? No.
- Counterexample: "10", "1" statement is **false**.

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'? No.
- Counterexample: "10", "1" statement is **false**.

(b) Domain: Binary strings of even length

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'? No.
- Counterexample: "10", "1" statement is **false**.

(b) Domain: Binary strings of even length

- Consider "10", "11" — both are even length.
- Do they start with '0'?

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'? No.
- Counterexample: "10", "1" statement is **false**.

(b) Domain: Binary strings of even length

- Consider "10", "11" — both are even length.
- Do they start with '0'? Not necessarily. Still **false**.

3. Statement

"Every binary string starts with '0'."

(a) Domain: All binary strings

- Consider the string "1" — does it start with '0'? No.
- Counterexample: "10", "1" statement is **false**.

(b) Domain: Binary strings of even length

- Consider "10", "11" — both are even length.
- Do they start with '0'? Not necessarily. Still **false**.

Discussion Prompt:

How does changing the domain affect the truth value of statements?

Summary & Key Takeaways

What You Should Remember

- **Quantifiers** (\forall , \exists) let us express “*all*” vs. “*some*” precisely.

Summary & Key Takeaways

What You Should Remember

- **Quantifiers** (\forall , \exists) let us express “*all*” vs. “*some*” precisely.
- **Domains** and **scope** are critical—**never assume** a hidden universe.

Summary & Key Takeaways

What You Should Remember

- **Quantifiers** (\forall , \exists) let us express “*all*” vs. “*some*” precisely.
- **Domains** and **scope** are critical—**never assume** a hidden universe.
- **Negation Rules:** Flip quantifiers and push the negation in:
$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x), \quad \neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

Summary & Key Takeaways

What You Should Remember

- **Quantifiers** (\forall , \exists) let us express “*all*” vs. “*some*” precisely.
- **Domains** and **scope** are critical—**never assume** a hidden universe.
- **Negation Rules:** Flip quantifiers and push the negation in:
$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x), \quad \neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$
- **Counterexamples** disprove universal claims.
Witnesses validate existential claims.

Summary & Key Takeaways





What You Should Remember

- **Quantifiers** (\forall , \exists) let us express “all” vs. “some” precisely.
- **Domains** and **scope** are critical—**never assume** a hidden universe.
- **Negation Rules:** Flip quantifiers and push the negation in:
$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x), \quad \neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$
- **Counterexamples** disprove universal claims.
Witnesses validate existential claims.

Final Tip

Approach logic like debugging code—check definitions, test boundaries, and validate truth.

References I

-  M. Huth and M. Ryan, *Logic in Computer Science: Modelling and Reasoning about Systems*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
-  D. Gries and F. B. Schneider, *A Logical Approach to Discrete Math*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
-  K. H. Rosen, *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, 7th ed., McGraw-Hill, 2011.
-  P. Halmos, *Naive Set Theory*, Van Nostrand, 1960.

Thank You!