

Name:

Hemos ID:

CSE-321 Programming Languages 2014 Midterm

	Prob 1	Prob 2	Prob 3	Prob 4	Prob 5	Total
Score						
Max	10	20	30	15	25	100

- There are five problems on 10 pages in this exam.
- The maximum score for this exam is 100 points.
- Be sure to write your name and Hemos ID.
- In Problem 1, write your answers exactly as you would type on the screen. The grading for Problem 1 will be strict (*i.e.*, no partial points).
- When writing individual proof steps in Problem 2, please write *conclusion* in the left and *justification* in the right, as in the course notes.
- For a ‘true or false’ question, a wrong answer has a penalty equal to the points assigned to it.
- You have one and a half hours for this exam.

1 OCaml Programming [10 points]

In this problem, you will implement a number of functions satisfying given descriptions. You should write one character per blank. For example, the following code implements a sum function.

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{e}{f} \frac{t}{n} \frac{r}{n} \frac{e}{n} \frac{c}{n} \frac{s}{n} \frac{u}{n} \frac{m}{n} = \frac{0}{n} \frac{t}{n} \frac{h}{n} \frac{e}{n} \frac{n}{n} \frac{0}{n} \left(\frac{n}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

Question 1. [5 points] Tail-recursive union for computing the union of two sets

```
(Type) union: 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

(Description) `union S T` returns a set that includes all elements of `S` and `T` without duplication of any element. The order of elements in the return value does not matter. You may use the `List.exists` function:

```
# List.exists;;
- : ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> bool = <fun>
```

union must be a tail-recursive function and should not introduce auxiliary functions other than `List.exists`.

(Invariant) Each input set consists of distinct elements.

(Example) union [1; 2; 3] [2; 4; 6] returns [3; 1; 2; 4; 6].

```
let rec union l1 l2 =
```

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal dashed lines, typical of primary-ruled notebook paper. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings present.

Question 2. [5 points] fold_left using fold_right

```
(Type) fold_left: ('a -> 'b -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'b list -> 'a
```

(Description) Implement `fold_left` using the `fold_right` function:

```
# open List;;
# fold_left;;
- : ('a -> 'b -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'b list -> 'a = <fun>
# fold_right;;
- : ('a -> 'b -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b -> 'b = <fun>
```

fold_left and fold_right are specified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fold_left } f \ a_0 \ [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n] &= f (\dots f (f (a_0, l_1), l_2) \dots, l_n) & (n \geq 0) \\ \text{fold_right } f \ [l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n] \ a_0 &= f (l_1, \dots f (l_{n-1}, f (l_n, a_0)) \dots) & (n \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

(Hint) OCaml is a functional language :-)

```
let fold_left f a l =
```

```
-----
-----
```

2 Inductive proof on strings of matched parentheses [20 points]

In this problem, we study a system of strings of matched parentheses. First we define a syntactic category `paren` for strings of parentheses:

$$\text{paren} \quad s ::= \epsilon \mid (s \mid)s$$

ϵ stands for the empty string (*i.e.*, $\epsilon s = s = s\epsilon$). `paren` specifies a language of strings of parentheses with no constraint on the use of parentheses.

To identify strings of matched parentheses, we introduce a judgment $s \text{ lparen}$ with the following inference rules:

$$\frac{}{\epsilon \text{ lparen}} \text{ Leps} \quad \frac{s_1 \text{ lparen} \quad s_2 \text{ lparen}}{(s_1) s_2 \text{ lparen}} \text{ Lseq}$$

We also introduce another judgment $s \text{ tparen}$ for identifying strings of matched parentheses:

$$\frac{}{\epsilon \text{ tparen}} \text{ Teps} \quad \frac{s_1 \text{ tparen} \quad s_2 \text{ tparen}}{s_1 (s_2) \text{ tparen}} \text{ Tseq}$$

Our goal is to prove Theorem 2.1. If you need a lemma to complete the proof, state the lemma, prove it, and use it in your proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.1. *If $s \text{ tparen}$, then $s \text{ lparen}$.*

3 Untyped λ -Calculus [30 points]

The abstract syntax of the untyped λ -calculus is given as follow:

$$\text{expression } e ::= x \mid \lambda x. e \mid e e$$

We may use other names for variables (*e.g.*, $z, s, t, f, arg, accum$, and so on). The scope of a λ -abstraction $\lambda x. e$ extends as far to the right as possible. We use a reduction judgment of the form $e \mapsto e'$:

$$e \mapsto e' \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad e \text{ reduces to } e'$$

Question 1. [2 points] Given a function f in the untyped λ -calculus, we write f^n for the function applying f exactly n times, *i.e.*, $f^n = f \circ f \cdots \circ f$ (n times). A fixed point of f is also a fixed point of f^n if $n \geq 1$. True or false?

Question 2. [5 points] Complete the inductive definition of substitution. You may use $[x \leftrightarrow y]e$ for the expression obtained by replacing all occurrences of x in e by y and all occurrences of y in e by x .

$$[e/x]x = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$[e/x]y = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \quad \text{if } x \neq y$$

$$[e/x](e_1 e_2) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$[e'/x]\lambda x. e = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$[e'/x]\lambda y. e = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \quad \text{if } x \neq y, y \notin FV(e')$$

$$[e'/x]\lambda y. e = \lambda z. \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } x \neq y, y \in FV(e') \\ \text{where } z \neq y, z \notin FV(e), z \neq x, z \notin FV(e') \end{array}$$

Question 3. [3 points] Show the reduction sequence under the call-by-name strategy. Underline the redex at each step.

$$(\lambda x. \lambda y. y x) ((\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y)) (\lambda z. z)$$

\mapsto

\mapsto

\mapsto

\mapsto

Question 4. [2 points] Give an expression whose reduction neither gets stuck nor terminates under the call-by-value strategy.

Question 5. [3 points] Complete the reduction rules for the call-by-value strategy. You may use the substitution that you defined earlier:

$$\mapsto$$

$$\mapsto$$

$$\mapsto$$

Programming in the untyped λ -calculus

A Church numeral encodes a natural number n as a λ -abstraction \hat{n} which takes a function f and returns $f^n = f \circ f \cdots \circ f$ (n times):

$$\hat{n} = \lambda f. f^n = \lambda f. \lambda x. f f f \cdots f x$$

Question 6. [5 points] Define the function `exp` for exponentiation such that `exp \hat{m} \hat{n}` evaluates to a church numeral for the product of n copies of m . In other words, `exp \hat{m} \hat{n}` $\mapsto^* \widehat{m^n}$.

`exp` =

de Bruijn expressions

Following is the definition of de Bruijn expressions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{de Bruijn expression} & M ::= n \mid \lambda. M \mid M M \\ \text{de Bruijn index} & n ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid \dots \end{array}$$

Question 7. [5 points] Complete the definition of $\sigma_n(M, N)$ for substituting N for every occurrence of n in M where N may include free variables. You may use $\tau_i^n(N)$.

$$\sigma_n(M_1 M_2, N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}}$$

$$\sigma_n(\lambda. M, N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}}$$

$$\sigma_n(m, N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}} \quad \text{if } m < n$$

$$\sigma_n(n, N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}}$$

$$\sigma_n(m, N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}} \quad \text{if } m > n$$

Question 8. [5 points] Complete the definition of $\tau_i^n(N)$, as given in the course notes, for shifting by n (*i.e.*, incrementing by n) all de Bruijn indexes in N corresponding to free variables, where a de Bruijn index m in N such that $m < i$ does not count as a free variable.

$$\tau_i^n(N_1 N_2) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}}$$

$$\tau_i^n(\lambda. N) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}}$$

$$\tau_i^n(m) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}} \quad \text{if } m \geq i$$

$$\tau_i^n(m) = \underline{\hspace{10cm}} \quad \text{if } m < i$$

4 Simply-typed λ -calculus [15 points]

In this section, we assume the simply-typed λ -calculus. We use A, B, C for metavariables for types, e for expressions, and Γ for typing contexts. We use a typing judgment $\Gamma \vdash e : A$ to mean that under typing context Γ , expression e has type A . We use a reduction judgment $e \mapsto e'$ to mean that expression e reduces to expression e' .

The abstract syntax for the simply typed λ -calculus is given as follows:

type	$A ::= P \mid A \rightarrow A$
base type	$P ::= \text{bool}$
expression	$e ::= x \mid \lambda x:A. e \mid e e \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$
value	$v ::= \lambda x:A. e \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false}$
typing context	$\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : A$

Question 1. [3 points] Type safety guarantees that evaluating a well-typed expression (*i.e.*, running a well-typed program) eventually terminates, never producing non-termination. True or false?

Question 2. [3 points] State the weakening property of typing judgments: (Weakening).

Question 3. [6 points] State two theorems, progress and type preservation, constituting type safety: (Progress).

(Type preservation).

Question 4. [3 points] Consider the extension of the simply-typed λ -calculus with sum types:

type	$A ::= \dots \mid A + A$
expression	$e ::= \dots \mid \text{inl}_A e \mid \text{inr}_A e \mid \text{case } e \text{ of } \text{inl } x. e \mid \text{inr } x. e$

Write the typing rule for `case e of $\text{inl } x. e \mid \text{inr } x. e$` :

+E

5 Evaluation contexts and abstract machine C [25 points]

Consider the following fragment of the simply-typed λ -calculus:

type	$A ::= P \mid A \rightarrow A$
base type	$P ::= \text{bool}$
expression	$e ::= x \mid \lambda x:A. e \mid e e \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$

Question 1. [4 points] Give the definition of evaluation contexts for the call-by-name strategy.

evaluation context $\kappa ::=$ _____

Question 2. [3 points] Under the call-by-value strategy, give an expression e such that

- $e = \kappa[e']$ where e' is the redex, and
- e reduces to e_0 that is decomposed to $\kappa'[e'']$ where e'' is the redex for the next reduction and $\kappa \neq \kappa'$.

Abstract machine C

Consider the simply-typed λ -calculus under the call-by-value reduction strategy. The abstract machine C uses two states:

state $s ::= \sigma \blacktriangleright e \mid \sigma \blacktriangleleft v$

- $\sigma \blacktriangleright e$ means that the machine is currently reducing $\sigma[e]$, but has yet to analyze e .
- $\sigma \blacktriangleleft v$ means that the machine is currently reducing $\sigma[v]$ and has already analyzed v .

Question 3. [3 points] Give the definitions of frames and stacks:

frame $\phi ::=$ _____

stack $\sigma ::=$ _____

Question 4. [10 points] Give the rules for the reduction judgment $s \mapsto_C s'$ for the abstract machine C.

Question 5. [5 points] State the correctness of the abstract machine C in terms of the reduction judgment $e \mapsto e'$. You may use \mapsto^* and \mapsto_C^* for the reflexive and transitive closures of \mapsto and \mapsto_C .