

# Homework Four

Kyle Kazemini

September 25, 2020

## 2.3.3

### Problem

Use the Main Limit Theorem to find  $\lim \frac{2^n}{2^n + 1}$ .

### Solution

Begin by manipulating the sequence algebraically. After simplifying, we can use the Main Limit Theorem to find our solution.

Multiply the sequence by  $\frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n}}$  to eliminate the dominating terms. This gives

$$\lim \frac{\frac{1}{2^n}}{\frac{1}{2^n}} * \frac{2^n}{2^n + 1} = \lim \frac{\frac{2^n}{2^n}}{\frac{2^n}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}} = \lim \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2^n}}$$

Using part (b) of the main Limit Theorem, which is proven in the textbook, we can split up the denominator to get:

$$\lim(1 + \frac{1}{2^n}) = \lim(1) + \lim \frac{1}{2^n}$$

$\lim(1) = 1$ .  $\lim \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$  these statements are both proven as Corollaries below.

Now we have  $\lim \frac{1}{1} = \lim(1)$ . Again, by the proof in Corollary 1,  $\lim(1) = 1$ .

Thus,  $\lim \frac{2^n}{2^n + 1} = 1$  ■

**Corollary 1:**  $\lim(1) = 1$  Using the definition of convergence, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $N$  such that  $|1 - 1| < \epsilon$ . This inequality obviously holds for any value of  $n > N$ , so by the definition of convergence,

$\lim(1) = 1$ .

**Corollary 2:**  $\lim \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$  Using the definition of convergence, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $N$  such that  $|\frac{1}{2^n}| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon, n > 0$ , Take  $N = \log_2(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ . This gives:

$$|\log_2(\frac{1}{\epsilon})| < \epsilon$$

This inequality holds for any  $n > N$ . Thus, by the definition of convergence,  $\lim \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$

## 2.3.5

### Problem

Prove Theorem 2.3.2: Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\lim a_n = 0$ , and let  $\{b_n\}$  be a bounded sequence. Then  $\lim a_n b_n = 0$ .

### Solution

Due to the fact that  $b_n$  is bounded,  $\exists k > 0$  such that  $|b_n| \leq k \forall n$ . This gives:

$$0 \leq |a_n \cdot b_n| \leq k|a_n|$$

By the definition of  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  such that  $|a_n| < \epsilon \forall n > N$ . Using the facts that  $N > 0$  and for any  $\epsilon$ ,  $|a_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$  given  $n > N$  for some  $N$ , we have:

$$0 \leq |a_n \cdot b_n| \leq k|a_n| \leq k \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{k} = \epsilon$$

Thus,  $\lim a_n b_n \rightarrow 0$  ■

## 2.3.8

### Problem

Prove that if  $\{b_n\}$  is a sequence of positive terms and  $b_n \rightarrow b > 0$ , then there is a number  $m > 0$  such that  $b_n \geq m$  for all  $n$ .

## Solution

By Corollary 2.2.4, if a sequence converges, then it is bounded.  $b_n$  converges so it's bounded. It must also be bounded below by definition. Thus, there is a real number  $m$  such that  $m \leq b_n$  for all  $n$ .

Now we need to show that  $m > 0$ . Since we know that  $b_n \rightarrow b$ , we can use the definition of convergence with  $\epsilon = m$ . That is, since  $b_n \rightarrow b$ , for every  $m > 0$ , there is a real number  $N$  such that  $|b_n - b| < m$  whenever  $n > N$ . Thus, because of the fact that  $b_n \rightarrow b > 0$ , the definition holds for  $m > 0$ .

This shows that there is a number  $m > 0$  such that  $b_n \geq m$  for all  $n$ . ■

## 2.3.9

### Problem

Prove part (d) of Theorem 2.3.6:  $a_n/b_n \rightarrow a/b$  if  $b \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Hint: Use the previous exercise.

### Solution

Let  $c_n = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$  and let  $c = \frac{a}{b}$ . If  $b \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$ , then by Exercise 2.3.8, there is a number  $m > 0$  such that  $c_n \geq m$  for all  $n$ . Thus, we can use this  $m$  to show that  $c_n \rightarrow c$ . Given a real number  $N$ ,  $|c_n - c| < m$  whenever  $n > N$ .

$\therefore c_n \rightarrow c$  if  $b \neq 0$  and  $b_n \neq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow \frac{a}{b}$  ■

## 2.4.1

### Problem

Tell which of these sequences are non-increasing, non-decreasing, bounded? Justify your answers.

(a)  $\{n^2\}$

(b)  $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}$

(c)  $\left\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right\}$

$$(d) \left\{ \frac{n}{2^n} \right\}$$

$$(e) \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} \right\}$$

## Solution

Note: a sequence is said to be non-decreasing if  $n_1 < n_2 \Rightarrow a_{n_1} < a_{n_2}$  for all terms in the sequence. A sequence is said to be non-increasing if  $n_1 > n_2 \Rightarrow a_{n_1} > a_{n_2}$  for all terms in the sequence.

(a) Let  $a_n = \{n^2\}$ . The sequence is non-decreasing. This can be proven using simple induction.

Base case -  $a_1 : 1 < 2 \Rightarrow 1^2 < 2^2$  is true.

Inductive step: assuming  $a_k$  is true,  $a_{k+1}$  is true because  $n < m \Rightarrow n^2 < m^2$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sequence is unbounded because for any number  $k$ , there is a number greater than  $k$ , (call it  $m$ ) by the Archimedean Property. Because  $a_n$  is non-decreasing,  $a_m$  is also greater than  $k$ . ■

(b) Let  $b_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$ . The sequence is non-decreasing. This can be proven using simple induction.

Base case -  $b_1 : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  is true.

Inductive step: assuming  $b_k$  is true,  $b_{k+1}$  is also true because  $n < m \Rightarrow \sqrt{n} < \sqrt{m}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sequence is unbounded because for any number  $k$ , there is a number greater than  $k$  (call it  $m$ ) by the Archimedean Property. Because  $b_n$  is non-decreasing,  $b_m$  is also greater than  $k$ . ■

(c) Let  $c_n = \left\{ \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \right\}$ . The sequence  $c_n$  is neither non-increasing or non-decreasing because the numerator of the sequence causes oscillations between negative and positive terms.

Similarly, the sequence  $c_n$  is unbounded because of the oscillations between negative and positive terms caused by  $(-1)^n$ . ■

(d) Let  $d_n = \left\{ \frac{n}{2^n} \right\}$ . The sequence is non-increasing because for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following holds:

$$n < m \Rightarrow \frac{n}{2^n} < \frac{m}{2^m}$$

The sequence is bounded above by its first term  $d_1$  and bounded below by the number it converges to: 0.

Thus,  $d_n$  is a bounded sequence. ■

(e) Let  $e_n = \left\{ \frac{n}{n+1} \right\}$ . The sequence  $e_n$  is non-decreasing because of the fact that  $n+1 > n$  for all  $n$ . Thus the denominator of the sequence is bigger than the numerator for all  $n$ .

The sequence is bounded below by its first term  $e_1$  and bounded above by the number it converges to: 1. So  $e_n$  is bounded. ■

## 2.4.3

### Problem

If  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_{n+1} = (1 - 2^{-n})a_n$ , prove that  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

### Solution

To prove this sequence converges to 0, we must show that for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $N$  such that  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . Take  $N = \log_2\left(\frac{1}{\frac{2\epsilon}{a_n-1} - 2}\right)$ . This gives:

$$\left| \log_2\left(\frac{1}{\frac{2\epsilon}{a_n-1} - 2}\right) \right| < \epsilon$$

Because this inequality holds for all  $n > N$ , the sequence converges by definition. ■

## 2.4.4

### Problem

Let  $\{d_n\}$  be a sequence of 0's and 1's and define a sequence of numbers  $\{a_n\}$  by

$$a_n = d_1 2^{-1} + d_2 2^{-2} + \dots + d_n 2^{-n}$$

Prove that this sequence converges to a number between 0 and 1.

### Solution

To prove this sequence converges to a number between 0 and 1, we must show that for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a real number  $N$  such that  $\left| \frac{d_n}{2^n} - a \right| < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$  (given that  $a \in [0, 1]$ ). Take  $N = \log_2\left(\frac{d_n}{\epsilon - a}\right)$ . This gives:

$$|\log_2(\frac{d_n}{\epsilon-a})| < \epsilon$$

This inequality is true for all  $n > N$ , so the sequence converges to  $a$  by definition. Since  $a \in [0, 1]$ , the proof is complete. ■

## 2.4.9

### Problem

Prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{n} = \infty$

### Solution

By Definition 2.4.4,  $\lim a_n = \infty$  if for every real number  $M$ , there is a number  $N$  such that  $a_n > M$  whenever  $n > N$ .

Take  $N = \frac{2^M}{M}$ . Then for  $n > \frac{2^M}{M}$ , we have  $\frac{2^n}{n} > M$ . This inequality holds for any real number  $M$ .

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n}{n} = \infty$  by Definition 2.4.4 ■

## 2.4.10

### Problem

Prove Theorem 2.4.6: Every monotone sequence has a limit.

### Solution

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, which is proven in the textbook, each bounded monotone sequence converges. Thus, we need to show that any unbounded monotone sequence has a limit, whether finite or infinite.

First we'll show that all unbounded, non-decreasing sequences have a limit. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be an unbounded, non-decreasing sequence. By the definition of non-decreasing,  $a_n$  must be unbounded above because it's bounded below by its first term  $a_1$ . Given this fact, for any real number  $M$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $a_n > M$  for all  $n > N$ . Take  $M = N$ , then due to monotonicity,  $a_n \geq a_N > M$  for all  $n > N$ . Thus by definition,  $\lim a_n = \infty$ .

Now we'll show that all unbounded, non-increasing sequences have a limit. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be an unbounded, non-increasing sequence. By the definition of non-increasing,  $b_n$  must be unbounded below because it's bounded above by its first element  $b_1$ . Given this fact, for any real number  $K$ , there exists  $N_K$  such that  $b_n < K$  for all  $n > N_K$ . Take  $K = N_K$ , then due to monotonicity,  $b_n \leq a_{N_K} < K$  for all  $n > N_K$ . Thus by definition,  $\lim b_n = -\infty$ .

Thus, we've shown that every monotone sequence has a (not necessarily finite) limit. ■