



THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, SHENZHEN

CSC4120

DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

---

# Party Together Problem

---

<i>Author 1:</i>	Kangqi Yu
<i>Student ID:</i>	121090735
<i>Author 2:</i>	Yuzhe Yang
<i>Student ID:</i>	121090684
<i>Author 3:</i>	Haoqi Zhang
<i>Student ID:</i>	121090766

May 19, 2024

# 1 PTP Approaches

The pseudo-code of our solution will be illustrated in Algorithm 1.

## 1.1 Environment

To install these dependencies:

```
1 pip install ortools
2 pip install networkx
```

## 1.2 Implementation

### Initialization

We start by initializing the tour with the starting point, which is our house located at node 0. The pick-up locations dictionary such that each friend's pick-up location is initially set to their home. The initial tour  $T$  includes node 0 followed by all pick-up locations and ends at node 0. Then we compute the shortest paths between all pairs of nodes using the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. This dictionary is essential for quickly evaluating potential routes and costs.

In order to find the optimal solution, we define a function `compute_cost` that calculates both the driving cost and walking cost of a given tour and pick-up locations dictionary.

### Iteration

We iteratively improve the tour and pick-up locations by exploring potential reassignments of friends to different pick-up locations. A priority queue is used to evaluate the cost of assigning each friend to each candidate pick-up location. In each iteration, the priority queue will pop the friend and candidate pick-up location with the lowest cost, and we will reassign the friend to that location if it results in a lower total cost.

Once the new pick-up locations are updated, we solve a TSP on the pick-up locations to determine the optimal tour using `ortools`.

### TSP Solver

The solver begins by creating a routing index manager to map node indices to routing variable indices. Subsequently, a routing model is instantiated, and a `distance_callback` function is registered to compute distances between nodes. Arc costs are then defined, and a heuristic strategy is set for the optimization process. The solver returns a list containing the optimal path, representing the optimal solution to the TSP. After that, we will re-compute the cost of this tour. If it is a better solution, the tour  $T$  and pick-up location will be updated, and the algorithm proceeds to the next iteration.

### Final Tour Adjustment

To ensure the final tour only includes existing edges in the graph, we adjust the tour by replacing any non-existent edges with the shortest path between the respective nodes. This guarantees that the tour adheres to the constraints of the problem.

## 2 Theoretical Questions

### 2.1 Question 5.1

To show that PTP is NP-hard, we can find values for  $\alpha$  for which  $\text{PHP} = \text{PTP}$ , since  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we can use  $\alpha = 0$ , when  $\alpha = 0$ , that means any walking cost will result in a total cost that larger than 0. So we need to make the total cost consist of driving costs, which will finally cost 0. So when  $\alpha$  equals 0,  $\text{PHP} = \text{PTP}$ , which means the solution of PHP is obtained by solving PTP. Since PHP is known as NP-hard, then PTP is also NP-hard.

### 2.2 Question 5.2

First, we will show that the cost of PHP is at most twice that of the optimal solution. Just think of an optimal solution as  $C_{ptpopt} = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_{u_{i-1}u_i} + \sum_{m=0}^{|F|-1} d_{p_m h_m}$ . We can let all our friends not walk and stay home. The cost will be  $C_0 = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n w_{u_{i-1}u_i} + 2\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{|F|-1} d_{p_m h_m}$ , which is less or equal (equality holds when  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_{u_{i-1}u_i} = 0$ ) than 2 times of the optimal from PTP (for  $\alpha$  is in  $(0, 1)$  and all weights are positive). As the optimal of PHP is less than the above cost (for the above cost is one of the candidates in PHP),  $C_{php} \leq C_0 \leq 2C_{ptpopt}$ . So, the cost of PHP is at most twice that of the optimal solution. The equality holds when there is only one friend; it is connected with the source, and  $\alpha = 1$ , which means the bound is tight.

## Appendix: PTP Solver Algorithm

---

**Algorithm 1** PTP Solver Algorithm
 

---

```

Initialize tour  $T$  with starting point 0
Compute shortest paths between all nodes, store in  $D$ 
Initialize pick-up locations dictionary  $P$  with each friend's home as their pick-up location
Create initial tour  $T$  including all pick-up locations and returning to start
 $improvement \leftarrow \text{True}$ 
while  $improvement == \text{True}$  do
  Compute the current best cost:  $c$ 
  Create an empty priority queue  $q$ 
  for each friend  $f$  do
    for each candidate pick-up location  $f_{\text{candidate}}$  do
      Compute cost  $c(f \rightarrow f_{\text{candidate}})$  of assigning  $f$  to  $f_{\text{candidate}}$ 
      Push  $(c(f \rightarrow f_{\text{candidate}}), f, f_{\text{candidate}})$  to  $q$ 
    end for
  end for
  while  $q$  is not empty do
    Pop the assignment  $(c_{\min}, f_{\min}, f_{\text{candidate}})$  from  $q$ 
    Create a new pick-up locations dictionary  $P_{\text{new}}$  based on  $f_{\min}$ 
    Create a new tour  $T_{\text{new}}$  based on  $P_{\text{new}}$ 
     $T_{\text{new}} \leftarrow \text{TSP\_Solver}(T)$  {Solve TSP for the new tour}
    Compute the new cost:  $c_{\text{new}}$ 
    if  $c_{\text{new}} \leq c$  then
      Update best cost, tour, and pick-up locations
      Set  $improvement \leftarrow \text{True}$ 
      break
    end if
  end while
  Set  $improvement \leftarrow \text{False}$  {If no improvement found in this iteration}
end while

```

---