



## Acadia National Park Forest Regeneration Brief

### Introduction

Forests cover tens of thousands of acres in eastern national parks, are fundamental natural and cultural resources, and provide habitat for countless plants, animals, fungi, and insects (see ‘Source Publication’ for citations). Park forests protect water quality and soil stability, as well as influence our local weather and reduce some gases that contribute to climate change. However, these critical park resources face a range of threats.

The National Park Service Inventory and Monitoring Program (I&M) is tracking forest health across the park system. Monitoring data indicate significant threats to future sustainability of park forests. Many parks lack the minimum level of seedling and sapling density needed to replace canopy trees as they die, or face threats to tree health. This brief for Acadia National Park (ACAD) is part of a larger effort across 39 parks and four I&M networks to assess forest health and aid parks in managing under continuous change. Here, we focus on tree regeneration and potential threat to future forest health - regeneration debt. When forests lack sufficient regeneration, or the species composition in the regeneration layer does not match the canopy, this is regeneration debt.

### Methods

**Field Methods & Study Sites** - This study analyzed data from 1515 plots in 39 national parks that have similar methods for long-term forest monitoring (Figure 1). Plots were sampled on a 4-year rotating panel, such that one quarter (i.e., one panel) of the plots is sampled every year, and each plot is sampled every 4 years (i.e., one cycle). The data include XX plots from ACAD.

**Statistical Analysis** - We calculated plot-level metrics of forest structure and diversity to assess status and trends in forest health over the past 12 years (i.e., 3 sampling cycles with cycle 1 covering survey years 2008 - 2011, cycle 2 covering 2012 - 2015, and cycle 3 covering 2016 - 2019). We fit linear mixed effects models and case bootstrapping to generate model coefficients and confidence intervals (see Source Publication for analysis details).

### Results

Acadia National Park (ACAD) is located in the Northeast Temperate (NETN) Inventory and Monitoring Network (Figure 1). ACAD’s regeneration status is categorized as Secure. Figures 2 & 3 present trends of tree, sapling, and seedling measures. Figure 4 shows the stocking index for ACAD, and Figure 5 represents trends in tree basal area and density. To see the distribution of trees by diameter classes, see Figure 6. Finally, a full summary of regeneration status, native canopy trends, native subcanopy trends, and exotic trends for 13 metrics can be seen in Table 1.

### Discussion

These findings underscore the critical importance of an integrated forest management approach that promotes an abundant and diverse regeneration layer. In most cases, this can only be achieved through long-term (i.e. multi-decadal) management of white-tailed deer and invasive plants. Small-scale disturbances that increase structural complexity may also promote regeneration where stress from deer and invasive plants is minimal. Without management intervention, forest loss may become a widespread pattern in eastern national parks and the broader region.

### Source Publication and resources

Miller K., Perles S., Schmit J.P., Matthews E., Weed A., Comiskey J., Marshall M., Nelson P., Fisichelli N. 202X. Forests in eastern national parks face widespread regeneration debt. Ecological Applications.

Contact: Kate Miller ([kathryn\\_miller@nps.gov](mailto:kathryn_miller@nps.gov))




Figure 1. Map of parks included in regional regeneration project and forest regeneration status.




Figure 2. Trends in live tree, sapling and seedling abundance of all species and size classes. Trends are based on change over time across three complete survey cycles: Cycle 1 spanning 2008 – 2011, Cycle 2 spanning 2012 – 2015, and Cycle 3 spanning 2016 – 2019.




Figure 3. Trends in tree, sapling and seedling abundance by species group. Trends are based on change over time across three complete survey cycles: Cycle 1 spanning 2008 – 2011, Cycle 2 spanning 2012 – 2015, and Cycle 3 spanning 2016 – 2019.




Figure 4. Trends in regeneration stocking index by cycle. The stocking index only includes native canopy-forming species and is an index of whether the regeneration layer is sufficient to stock the future canopy. Trends are based on change over time across three complete survey cycles: Cycle 1 spanning 2008 – 2011, Cycle 2 spanning 2012 – 2015, and Cycle 3 spanning 2016 – 2019.




Figure 5. Trends in tree basal area (BA) and density by 10 cm diameter size classes. Trends are based on change over time across three complete survey cycles: Cycle 1 spanning 2008 – 2011, Cycle 2 spanning 2012 – 2015, and Cycle 3 spanning 2016 – 2019.




Figure 6. Tree diameter distribution in 10 cm increments by cycle. Trends are based on change over time across three complete survey cycles: Cycle 1 spanning 2008 – 2011, Cycle 2 spanning 2012 – 2015, and Cycle 3 spanning 2016 – 2019.

Table 1. Summary of status and trends for each forest metric. Regeneration status metrics are based on the most recent 4 years of data (2016 – 2019). For more information on how the status thresholds are defined, see the source publication.

Variable	Regeneration Status	Native Canopy Trends	Native Subcan. Trends	Exotic Trends
Tree BA	NA	increase	no supported trend	not modeled
Tree Density	NA	increase	no supported trend	not modeled
Sapling BA	NA	decrease	no supported trend	not modeled
Sapling Density	acceptable	decrease	no supported trend	not modeled
Seedling Density	caution	increase	increase	not modeled
Stocking Index	caution	no supported trend	NA	NA
% Stocked Plots	caution	NA	NA	NA
Deer Browse Impacts	acceptable	NA	NA	NA
Flat Tree Diam. Dist.	acceptable	NA	NA	NA
Sapling Composition	acceptable	NA	NA	NA
Seedling Composition	acceptable	NA	NA	NA
Sorensen Sapling	acceptable	NA	NA	NA
Sorensen Seedling	acceptable	NA	NA	NA