# **Problem Sequence - Solutions**

This document will be filled up with the solutions from the problem sequence.

#### Solution 1. (Greg)

From Axiom 1, there are three cases to consider:

1. p = 0

p is equal to the only element of M. From the definition of limit point, every segment containing p must contain a point of M different from p. However, there are no points in M different from p, so p must not be a limit point of M.

2. p > 0

From Axiom 3, there exists a point a such that 0 < a < p. There also exists a point b such that b > p. Since p > 0 and b > p, Axiom 2 tells us that b > 0. We can then form the segment S = (a, b). Since a > 0 and b > 0, 0 is not between a and b, so S does not contain 0. However, a , so <math>S contains S. Since S is a segment containing S that does not contain any element of S, so S is not a limit point of S.

3. p < 0

(symmetric to the p > 0 case)

Therefore, regardless of our choice of p, we can construct a segment that contradicts the requirements in the limit point definition, so p is not a limit point of M.

# Solution 2. (Jeff)

According to Definition 4, we can prove that p is not a limit point of M if we can construct a segment containing p but not a different point of M. Construct this segment as follows:

- 1. If all points of M are on the opposite side of p, choose any value as an endpoint.
- 2. If any point of M is on the same side of p, choose a point between the nearest point of M and p. (Axiom 3 confirms that there will be such a point.)

This segment contains p but will not contain any points of M (with the exception of p, if p is 0 or 1). Therefore, we have found a segment that does not fulfill the requirements of Definition 4, so p is not a limit point of M.

# Solution 3. (Erin, solved after Problem 4)

Let a = 0 and b = 1. Then, according to Problem 4, b is a limit point of (a, b). Therefore, 1 is a limit point of (0, 1).

# Solution 4. (Erin)

We will prove that b is a limit point of (a, b); The proof for a is similar. Construct a segment (p, q) containing b (p < b < q). According to Axiom 1, there are three cases, and we will deal with two of them simultaneously:

1. p < a

In this case, p < a < b < q, so p < (a, b) < q. Since (p, q) contains every point of (a, b), we have found points that satisfy Defintion 4.

2.  $p \ge a$ 

In this case,  $a \le p < b < q$ . According to Axiom 3, there is a point d between p and b. The inequality is then  $a \le p < d < b < q$ . Then,

- a < d < b, so d is in (a, b).
- p < d < q, so d is in (p, q).

This means that (p,q) contains d, which is a point of (a,b).

Therefore, every (p,q) containing b also contains a point of (a,b), so b is a limit point of (a,b).

#### Solution 5. (Greg)

Choose any point p from S. Construct a segment (x,y) that contains p (ie: x ). Put no other condition on <math>y. According to Axiom 1, one of these three cases is true:

1. x > a

Choose a point q between x and p (x < q < p; this exists by Axiom 3). Apply Axiom 2 three times:

- a < x and x < q, so a < q
- q < p and p < b, so q < b
- q < p and p < y, so q < y

so a < q < b and x < q < y. Therefore, q is an element of S inside (a, b) that is different from p.

2. x = a

Repeat the proof for x > a with one change:

- a = x and x < q, so a < q
- 3. x < a

Choose q betweem a and p. Change:

• x < a and a < q, so x < q

(same conclusion as x > a)

In each of these three cases, every (x, y) contains a point q from (a, b). Therefore, we have satisfied Definition 4, so p is a limit point of (a, b).

# Solution 6. (Greg)

There are two cases: if p is not a point of [a, b], then either p < a or p > b. We will only consider the first case; the proof for the second follows identically.

Construct two points x and y such that x < p and p < y < a (Axiom 3 guarantees that y exists) and consider the segment (x, y). Since x , <math>(x, y) contains p.

Then, take any point i from [a, b]. This requires that  $a \le i$ . From Axiom 2, since y < a and  $a \le i$ , y < i. Then, since  $i \not< y$ , (x, y) does not contain i.

Therefore, (x, y) is a segment containing p but no points of [a, b]. This segment contradicts the definition of a limit point, so p < a is not a limit point of [a, b].

#### Solution 7. (Amber)

# Solution 8. (Greg)

Consider a point p. According to Axiom 4, there exists a largest integer  $M_x$  and a smallest integer  $M_y$  such that  $M_x . Then, choose points <math>x$  and y from Axiom 3 such that  $M_x < x < p$  and  $p < y < M_y$ , and consider the segment S = (x, y).

We will try to find an integer different from p inside S. Axiom 1 gives us three cases:

1. n = p (note: this is only possible if p is an integer)

Here, n is not different from p, so we have not found an integer different from p.

# 2. n < p

Note that n must satisfy  $n \leq M_x$ ; if  $n > M_x$ , we have contradicted Axiom 4. Then, from Axiom 2,  $n \leq M_x$  and  $M_x < x$ , so n < x. This shows that n is not between x and y, so S does not contain n.

#### 3. n > p

This case is symmetric to the n < p case.

Therefore, S is a segment containing p that does not contain any integers different from p. Since every element of M is an integer, we have proven that there exists a segment S for every point p without any other points of M, so M has no limit points.

# Solution 9. (Rayne)

## Solution 10.

#### Solution 11. (Greg)

Construct a segment S = (x, y) that contains 0 (x < 0 < y). Since the reciprocal of a positive number is positive, every element  $M_i$  of M is positive, so  $x < M_i$  from Axiom 2.

Then, we will attempt to find an element of M that is less than y. Since every element of M is of the form  $\frac{1}{n}$  for some integer n, we are looking for  $\frac{1}{n} < y$ . From the properties of reciprocals, this is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{y} < n$ . According to Axiom 4, the point  $\frac{1}{y}$  has an integer greater than it, so we can find an n that satisfies this inequality.

Therefore, every S containing 0 also contains a point of M, so 0 is a limit point of M.

#### Solution 12. (Erin)

We will attack this problem in several cases:

#### 1. p < 0

Construct a segment (a, b) that contains both p and 0. These points satisfy a . From Axiom 3, we can find another point <math>q such that p < q < 0. Then, the segment (a, q) contains p, but no points of M (since every point of M is positive), so p must not be a limit point of M.

#### 2. p = 1

Construct an (a,b) containing p and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The inequality is then  $a<\frac{1}{2}< p< b$ . Axiom 3: can find q such that  $\frac{1}{2}< q< p$  Then, (q,b) contains p but no point of M other than p (since every other point of M is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ , so p must not be a limit point of M.

#### 3. p > 1

Construct (a, b) containing p and 1. (a < 1 < p < b) Axiom 3: can find q such that 1 < q < p. Then, (q, b) contains p but no points of M.

## 4. 0

limit point of M.

First, note that if n is an integer, then n-1 < n < n+1 (Axiom 5). Then,  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{n-1}$ . According to Axiom 3, we can find  $\frac{1}{a}$ ,  $\frac{1}{b}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{a} < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{b} < \frac{1}{n-1}$ . We have two cases from here:

- $p = \frac{1}{n}$   $(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b})$  contains p but no other point of M, so p is not a limit point of M.
- $\frac{1}{n+1}$  $From Axiom 3, we can find <math>\frac{1}{c}$  such that  $p < \frac{1}{c} < \frac{1}{n}$ . Then,  $(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{c})$  contains p but no other point of M, so p is not a limit point of M.

These cases cover every point  $p \neq 0$ . Therefore, if p is not zero, p is not a

#### Solution 13. (Erin)

First, note that the set  $H \cap K$  is a subset of H because every point of  $H \cap K$  must be a point of H. Similarly,  $H \cap K \subseteq K$ .

Then, from Problem 7, since p is a limit point of  $H \cap K$ , p is also a limit point of H; identically, p is a limit point of K.

#### Solution 14. (Jeff)

We will use a counterexample to prove that not every interval containing a limit point must contain another point of the set.

Consider the set M of the reciprocals of all positive integers. (This was the set discussed in problems 11 and 12.) We know from Problem 11 that 0 is a limit point of M, so pick the interval I = [i, 0] for any i < 0 and let p = 0.

Since p = 0, which is an endpoint of I, I contains p. However, every point m of M is positive (m > 0), and is not contained by I. Therefore, I is an interval which contains a limit point of M and no other points of M. This shows that not every interval containing a limit point must contain a different point of the set.

#### Solution 15. (Jeff)

#### Solution 16. (Fernando)

Let  $S_1 = (a_1, b_1)$  and  $S_2 = (a_2, b_2)$ . Since p is in both segments,  $a_1 and <math>a_2 . From Axiom 2, we know that:$ 

- $a_1 < b_1$
- $a_1 < b_2$
- $a_2 < b_1$
- $a_2 < b_2$

Then, there are only 4 segments that could be the result of the intersection  $S_1 \cap S_2$ :

- $(a_1, b_1)$
- $(a_1, b_2)$
- $(a_2, b_1)$
- $(a_2, b_2)$

Since p is in all of these segments, we have shown that the resulting segment will always contain p.

# Solution 17. (Greg)

p is not a limit point of H, so there is a segment  $S_H = (H_a, H_b)$  that contains p but no point of H different from p. Likewise, there is a segment  $S_K(K_a, K_b)$ 

that contains p but no different point of K. From these two segments, construct  $S = S_H \cap S_K$ .

Since p was in both of the original segments, Problem 16 tells us that S contains p. However, since there were no elements of H or K in these segments (except possibly p), S contains no elements of H or K. Finally, because every element of  $H \cup K$  is an element of H or K, we know that S contains no elements of  $H \cup K$ .

Therefore, S is a segment that contains p but no other elements of  $H \cup K$ . We have found a counterexample to the definition of a limit point, so p is not a limit point of  $H \cup K$ .

# Solution 18. (Greg)

We will use a proof by contrapositive. The contrapositive is:

Show that if p is not a limit point of H and p is not a limit point of K, then p is not a limit point of  $H \cup K$ .

This is problem 17 (which has been proved), so we are finished.