

## Problem Sequence - Solutions

This document will be filled up with the solutions from the problem sequence.

### **Solution 1.** (Greg)

From Axiom 1, there are three cases to consider:

1.  $p = 0$

$p$  is equal to the only element of  $M$ . From the definition of limit point, every segment containing  $p$  must contain a point of  $M$  different from  $p$ . However, there are no points in  $M$  different from  $p$ , so  $p$  must not be a limit point of  $M$ .

2.  $p > 0$

From Axiom 3, there exists a point  $a$  such that  $0 < a < p$ . There also exists a point  $b$  such that  $b > p$ . Since  $p > 0$  and  $b > p$ , Axiom 2 tells us that  $b > 0$ . We can then form the segment  $S = (a, b)$ . Since  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ , 0 is not between  $a$  and  $b$ , so  $S$  does not contain 0. However,  $a < p < b$ , so  $S$  contains  $p$ .  $S$  is a segment containing  $p$  that does not contain any element of  $M$ , so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .

3.  $p < 0$

(symmetric to the  $p > 0$  case)

Therefore, regardless of our choice of  $p$ , we can construct a segment that contradicts the requirements in the limit point definition, so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .

### **Solution 2.** (Jeff)

According to Definition 4, we can prove that  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$  if we can construct a segment containing  $p$  but not a different point of  $M$ . Construct this segment as follows:

1. If all points of  $M$  are on the opposite side of  $p$ , choose any value as an endpoint.
2. If any point of  $M$  is on the same side of  $p$ , choose a point between the nearest point of  $M$  and  $p$ . (Axiom 3 confirms that there will be such a point.)

This segment contains  $p$  but will not contain any points of  $M$  (with the exception of  $p$ , if  $p$  is 0 or 1). Therefore, we have found a segment that does not fulfill the requirements of Definition 4, so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .

### **Solution 3.** (Erin, solved after Problem 4)

Let  $a = 0$  and  $b = 1$ . Then, according to Problem 4,  $b$  is a limit point of  $(a, b)$ . Therefore, 1 is a limit point of  $(0, 1)$ .

**Solution 4.** (Erin)

We will prove that  $b$  is a limit point of  $(a, b)$ ; The proof for  $a$  is similar.

Construct a segment  $(p, q)$  containing  $b$  ( $p < b < q$ ). According to Axiom 1, there are three cases, and we will deal with two of them simultaneously:

1.  $p < a$ 

In this case,  $p < a < b < q$ , so  $p < (a, b) < q$ . Since  $(p, q)$  contains every point of  $(a, b)$ , we have found points that satisfy Definition 4.

2.  $p \geq a$ 

In this case,  $a \leq p < b < q$ . According to Axiom 3, there is a point  $d$  between  $p$  and  $b$ . The inequality is then  $a \leq p < d < b < q$ . Then,

- $a < d < b$ , so  $d$  is in  $(a, b)$ .
- $p < d < q$ , so  $d$  is in  $(p, q)$ .

This means that  $(p, q)$  contains  $d$ , which is a point of  $(a, b)$ .

Therefore, every  $(p, q)$  containing  $b$  also contains a point of  $(a, b)$ , so  $b$  is a limit point of  $(a, b)$ .

**Solution 5.** (Greg)

Choose any point  $p$  from  $S$ . Construct a segment  $(x, y)$  that contains  $p$  (ie:  $x < p < y$ ). Put no other condition on  $y$ . According to Axiom 1, one of these three cases is true:

1.  $x > a$ 

Choose a point  $q$  between  $x$  and  $p$  ( $x < q < p$ ; this exists by Axiom 3). Apply Axiom 2 three times:

- $a < x$  and  $x < q$ , so  $a < q$
- $q < p$  and  $p < b$ , so  $q < b$
- $q < p$  and  $p < y$ , so  $q < y$

so  $a < q < b$  and  $x < q < y$ . Therefore,  $q$  is an element of  $S$  inside  $(a, b)$  that is different from  $p$ .

2.  $x = a$ 

Repeat the proof for  $x > a$  with one change:

- $a = x$  and  $x < q$ , so  $a < q$

3.  $x < a$ 

Choose  $q$  between  $a$  and  $p$ . Change:

- $x < a$  and  $a < q$ , so  $x < q$

(same conclusion as  $x > a$ )

In each of these three cases, every  $(x, y)$  contains a point  $q$  from  $(a, b)$ . Therefore, we have satisfied Definition 4, so  $p$  is a limit point of  $(a, b)$ .

**Solution 6.** (Greg)

There are two cases: if  $p$  is not a point of  $[a, b]$ , then either  $p < a$  or  $p > b$ . We will only consider the first case; the proof for the second follows identically.

Construct two points  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $x < p$  and  $p < y < a$  (Axiom 3 guarantees that  $y$  exists) and consider the segment  $(x, y)$ . Since  $x < p < y$ ,  $(x, y)$  contains  $p$ .

Then, take any point  $i$  from  $[a, b]$ . This requires that  $a \leq i$ . From Axiom 2, since  $y < a$  and  $a \leq i$ ,  $y < i$ . Then, since  $i \not< y$ ,  $(x, y)$  does not contain  $i$ .

Therefore,  $(x, y)$  is a segment containing  $p$  but no points of  $[a, b]$ . This segment contradicts the definition of a limit point, so  $p < a$  is not a limit point of  $[a, b]$ .

**Solution 7.** (Amber)

**Solution 8.** (Greg)

Consider a point  $p$ . According to Axiom 4, there exists a largest integer  $M_x$  and a smallest integer  $M_y$  such that  $M_x < p < M_y$ . Then, choose points  $x$  and  $y$  from Axiom 3 such that  $M_x < x < p$  and  $p < y < M_y$ , and consider the segment  $S = (x, y)$ .

We will try to find an integer different from  $p$  inside  $S$ . Axiom 1 gives us three cases:

1.  $n = p$  (note: this is only possible if  $p$  is an integer)

Here,  $n$  is not different from  $p$ , so we have not found an integer different from  $p$ .

2.  $n < p$

Note that  $n$  must satisfy  $n \leq M_x$ ; if  $n > M_x$ , we have contradicted Axiom 4. Then, from Axiom 2,  $n \leq M_x$  and  $M_x < x$ , so  $n < x$ . This shows that  $n$  is not between  $x$  and  $y$ , so  $S$  does not contain  $n$ .

3.  $n > p$

This case is symmetric to the  $n < p$  case.

Therefore,  $S$  is a segment containing  $p$  that does not contain any integers different from  $p$ . Since every element of  $M$  is an integer, we have proven that there exists a segment  $S$  for every point  $p$  without any other points of  $M$ , so  $M$  has no limit points.

**Solution 9.** (Rayne)

**Solution 10.**

**Solution 11.** (Greg)

Construct a segment  $S = (x, y)$  that contains 0 ( $x < 0 < y$ ). Since the reciprocal of a positive number is positive, every element  $M_i$  of  $M$  is positive, so  $x < M_i$  from Axiom 2.

Then, we will attempt to find an element of  $M$  that is less than  $y$ . Since every element of  $M$  is of the form  $\frac{1}{n}$  for some integer  $n$ , we are looking for  $\frac{1}{n} < y$ . From the properties of reciprocals, this is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{y} < n$ . According to Axiom 4, the point  $\frac{1}{y}$  has an integer greater than it, so we can find an  $n$  that satisfies this inequality.

Therefore, every  $S$  containing 0 also contains a point of  $M$ , so 0 is a limit point of  $M$ .

**Solution 12.** (Erin)

We will attack this problem in several cases:

1.  $p < 0$

Construct a segment  $(a, b)$  that contains both  $p$  and 0. These points satisfy  $a < p < 0 < b$ . From Axiom 3, we can find another point  $q$  such that  $p < q < 0$ . Then, the segment  $(a, q)$  contains  $p$ , but no points of  $M$  (since every point of  $M$  is positive), so  $p$  must not be a limit point of  $M$ .

2.  $p = 1$

Construct an  $(a, b)$  containing  $p$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The inequality is then  $a < \frac{1}{2} < p < b$ . Axiom 3: can find  $q$  such that  $\frac{1}{2} < q < p$ . Then,  $(q, b)$  contains  $p$  but no point of  $M$  other than  $p$  (since every other point of  $M$  is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ), so  $p$  must not be a limit point of  $M$ .

3.  $p > 1$

Construct  $(a, b)$  containing  $p$  and 1. ( $a < 1 < p < b$ ) Axiom 3: can find  $q$  such that  $1 < q < p$ . Then,  $(q, b)$  contains  $p$  but no points of  $M$ .

4.  $0 < p < 1$

First, note that if  $n$  is an integer, then  $n - 1 < n < n + 1$  (Axiom 5). Then,  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{n-1}$ . According to Axiom 3, we can find  $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{a} < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{b} < \frac{1}{n-1}$ . We have two cases from here:

- $p = \frac{1}{n}$   
 $(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{b})$  contains  $p$  but no other point of  $M$ , so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .
- $\frac{1}{n+1} < p < \frac{1}{n}$   
 From Axiom 3, we can find  $\frac{1}{c}$  such that  $p < \frac{1}{c} < \frac{1}{n}$ . Then,  $(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{c})$  contains  $p$  but no other point of  $M$ , so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .

These cases cover every point  $p \neq 0$ . Therefore, if  $p$  is not zero,  $p$  is not a limit point of  $M$ .

**Solution 13.** (Erin)

First, note that the set  $H \cap K$  is a subset of  $H$  because every point of  $H \cap K$  must be a point of  $H$ . Similarly,  $H \cap K \subseteq K$ .

Then, from Problem 7, since  $p$  is a limit point of  $H \cap K$ ,  $p$  is also a limit point of  $H$ ; identically,  $p$  is a limit point of  $K$ .

**Solution 14.** (Jeff)

We will use a counterexample to prove that not every interval containing a limit point must contain another point of the set.

Consider the set  $M$  of the reciprocals of all positive integers. (This was the set discussed in problems 11 and 12.) We know from Problem 11 that 0 is a limit point of  $M$ , so pick the interval  $I = [i, 0]$  for any  $i < 0$  and let  $p = 0$ .

Since  $p = 0$ , which is an endpoint of  $I$ ,  $I$  contains  $p$ . However, every point  $m$  of  $M$  is positive ( $m > 0$ ), and is not contained by  $I$ . Therefore,  $I$  is an interval which contains a limit point of  $M$  and no other points of  $M$ . This shows that not every interval containing a limit point must contain a different point of the set.

**Solution 15.** (Jeff)**Solution 16.** (Fernando)

Let  $S_1 = (a_1, b_1)$  and  $S_2 = (a_2, b_2)$ . Since  $p$  is in both segments,  $a_1 < p < b_1$  and  $a_2 < p < b_2$ . From Axiom 2, we know that:

- $a_1 < b_1$
- $a_1 < b_2$
- $a_2 < b_1$
- $a_2 < b_2$

Then, there are only 4 segments that could be the result of the intersection  $S_1 \cap S_2$ :

- $(a_1, b_1)$
- $(a_1, b_2)$
- $(a_2, b_1)$
- $(a_2, b_2)$

Since  $p$  is in all of these segments, we have shown that the resulting segment will always contain  $p$ .

**Solution 17.** (Greg)

$p$  is not a limit point of  $H$ , so there is a segment  $S_H = (H_a, H_b)$  that contains  $p$  but no point of  $H$  different from  $p$ . Likewise, there is a segment  $S_K(K_a, K_b)$

that contains  $p$  but no different point of  $K$ . From these two segments, construct  $S = S_H \cap S_K$ .

Since  $p$  was in both of the original segments, Problem 16 tells us that  $S$  contains  $p$ . However, since there were no elements of  $H$  or  $K$  in these segments (except possibly  $p$ ),  $S$  contains no elements of  $H$  or  $K$ . Finally, because every element of  $H \cup K$  is an element of  $H$  or  $K$ , we know that  $S$  contains no elements of  $H \cup K$ .

Therefore,  $S$  is a segment that contains  $p$  but no other elements of  $H \cup K$ . We have found a counterexample to the definition of a limit point, so  $p$  is not a limit point of  $H \cup K$ .

**Solution 18.**