

Problem Sequence - Solutions

This document will be filled up with the solutions from the problem sequence.

Solution 1 (Greg)

From Axiom 1, there are three cases to consider:

1. $p = 0$

p is equal to the only element of M . From the definition of limit point, every segment containing p must contain a point of M different from p . However, there are no points in M different from p , so p must not be a limit point of M .

2. $p > 0$

From Axiom 3, there exists a point a such that $0 < a < p$. There also exists a point b such that $b > p$. Since $p > 0$ and $b > p$, Axiom 2 tells us that $b > 0$. We can then form the segment $S = (a, b)$. Since $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, 0 is not between a and b , so S does not contain 0 . However, $a < p < b$, so S contains p . S is a segment containing p that does not contain any element of M , so p is not a limit point of M .

3. $p < 0$

(symmetric to the $p > 0$ case)

Therefore, regardless of our choice of p , we can construct a segment that contradicts the requirements in the limit point definition, so p is not a limit point of M .

Solution 2 (Jeff)

According to Definition 4, we can prove that p is not a limit point of M if we can construct a segment containing p but not a different point of M . Construct this segment as follows:

- 1. If all points of M are on the opposite side of p , choose any value as an endpoint.*
- 2. If any point of M is on the same side of p , choose a point between the nearest point of M and p . (Axiom 3 confirms that there will be such a point.)*

This segment contains p but will not contain any points of M (with the exception of p , if p is 0 or 1). Therefore, we have found a segment that does not fulfill the requirements of Definition 4, so p is not a limit point of M .

Solution 3

Solution 4 (Erin)

Solution 5 (*Greg*)

Solution 6 (*Zack*)

Solution 7 (*Amber*)

Solution 8 (*Greg*)

Solution 9

Solution 10

Solution 11

Solution 12

Solution 13

Solution 14

Solution 15

Solution 16

Solution 17

Solution 18