

## CS1555 Recitation 4 - Solution

---

Objective: to practice normalization, canonical forms, decomposing relations into BCNF and checking for lossless decompositions.

---

**Part 1:** For each of the following relations R and sets of functional dependencies F, do the following:

- 1) Find the canonical cover (minimal cover) of F.
- 2) Using the canonical cover, find the keys of the R.

1. Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on a relation R (A, B, C, D, E):

$A \rightarrow BC$   
 $A \rightarrow D$   
 $B \rightarrow C$   
 $C \rightarrow D$   
 $DE \rightarrow C$   
 $BC \rightarrow D$

Finding the canonical form:

- Transform all FDs to canonical form (i.e., one attributes on the left):

$A \rightarrow B$   
 $A \rightarrow C$   
 $A \rightarrow D$   
 $B \rightarrow C$   
 $C \rightarrow D$   
 $DE \rightarrow C$   
 $BC \rightarrow D$

- Drop extraneous attributes:

B in  $BC \rightarrow D$  is extraneous, since we already have  $C \rightarrow D$ . The set of FDs becomes:

$A \rightarrow B$   
 $A \rightarrow C$   
 $A \rightarrow D$   
 $B \rightarrow C$   
 $C \rightarrow D$   
 $DE \rightarrow C$

- Drop redundant FDs:

$A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$  implies  $A \rightarrow C$ , so we drop  $A \rightarrow C$ .

$A \rightarrow B$ ,  $B \rightarrow C$  and  $C \rightarrow D$  implies  $A \rightarrow D$ , so we drop  $A \rightarrow D$ .

The set of FDs becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\rightarrow B \\
 B &\rightarrow C \\
 C &\rightarrow D \\
 DE &\rightarrow C
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the canonical cover of F.

### Finding the keys of R:

Observations:

- A and E do not appear in the right hand side of any FDs, so they have to appear in all keys of R.
- $AE^+$  :  $AE \rightarrow AEB$  (since  $A \rightarrow B$ )  $\rightarrow AEBC$  (since  $B \rightarrow C$ )  $\rightarrow AEBCD$  (since  $C \rightarrow D$ ). So AE is a key of R.

In this case, we do not need to consider any other combination, because any other combination containing AE (e.g., AEB) is a super key and not minimal.

2. Consider the following set of functional dependencies F on relation R (A, B, C, D, E, H):

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\rightarrow C \\
 AC &\rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow AD \\
 E &\rightarrow H \\
 A &\rightarrow CD \\
 E &\rightarrow AH
 \end{aligned}$$

### Finding the canonical form:

- Transform all FDs to canonical form (i.e., one attribute on the right):

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\rightarrow C \\
 AC &\rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow AD \text{ becomes } E \rightarrow A \text{ and } E \rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow H \\
 A &\rightarrow CD \text{ becomes } A \rightarrow C \text{ and } A \rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow AH \text{ becomes } E \rightarrow A \text{ and } E \rightarrow H
 \end{aligned}$$

- Remove redundant dependencies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\rightarrow C \\
 AC &\rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow A \\
 E &\rightarrow D \\
 E &\rightarrow H \\
 A &\rightarrow D
 \end{aligned}$$

- Drop extraneous attributes:

$AC \rightarrow D$  can be removed because we have  $A \rightarrow D$  so  $C$  is redundant:

$A \rightarrow C$

$E \rightarrow A$

$E \rightarrow D$

$E \rightarrow H$

$A \rightarrow D$

- Drop redundant FDs: Try removing some dependencies in  $F$  and still have a set of dependencies equivalent to  $F$ .

$E \rightarrow D$  can be deduced from  $E \rightarrow A$  and  $A \rightarrow D$  so we can remove  $E \rightarrow D$ .

The set of FDs becomes:

$A \rightarrow C$

$E \rightarrow A$

$E \rightarrow H$

$A \rightarrow D$

which is the canonical cover of  $F$ .

### Finding the keys of $R$ :

Observations:

- $B$  and  $E$  do not appear in the right hand side of any FDs, so they have to appear in all keys of  $R$ .
- $BE^+$ :  $BE \rightarrow AEB$  (because  $E \rightarrow A$ )  $\rightarrow AEBC$  (because  $A \rightarrow C$ )  $\rightarrow AEBCD$  (because  $A \rightarrow D$ )  $\rightarrow AEBCDH$  (because  $E \rightarrow H$ ). So  $BE$  is a key of  $R$ .  
In this case, we do not need to consider any other combination, because any other combination containing  $BE$  (e.g.,  $AEB$ ) is a super key and not minimal.

**Part 2:** Consider the following set of functional dependencies  $F$  on relation  $R(A, B, C, D, E, H)$ :

$A \rightarrow C$

$AC \rightarrow D$

$E \rightarrow AD$

$E \rightarrow H$

$A \rightarrow CD$

$E \rightarrow AH$

The key for  $R$  is  $EB$  and the following set of functional dependencies constitutes the canonical cover:

$A \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow A, E \rightarrow H, A \rightarrow D$

1) Using Synthesis, construct a set of 3NF relations.

We are starting from a canonical cover.  $\rightarrow$  We can skip steps 1, 2 and 3.

Step 4: Group FDs with same determinant:

$A \rightarrow CD$

$$E \rightarrow AH$$

Step 5: Construct a relation for each group:

$R1(\underline{A}, C, D)$

$R2(\underline{E}, A, H)$

Step 6: If none of the relations contain the key for the original relation, add a relation with the key.

$R3(\underline{E}, B)$

$R1, R2$  and  $R3$  are in 3NF and in BCNF.

2) Apply the decomposition method on  $R$  to end up with BCNF relations.

Using  $A \rightarrow C$  to decompose  $R$ , we get:

$R1(A, \underline{B}, D, \underline{E}, H)$  in 1NF

$R2(\underline{A}, C)$  is already in BCNF form

Using  $A \rightarrow D$  to decompose  $R1$ , we get:

$R11(A, \underline{B}, \underline{E}, H)$  in 1NF

$R12(\underline{A}, D)$  is already in BCNF form

Using  $E \rightarrow A$  to decompose  $R11$ , we get:

$R111(\underline{B}, \underline{E}, H)$  in 1NF

$R112(\underline{E}, A)$  is already in BCNF form

Using  $E \rightarrow H$  to decompose  $R111$ , we get:

$R1111(\underline{B}, \underline{E})$  in BCNF form

$R1112(\underline{E}, H)$  is already in BCNF form

Group the relations with the same key:

$R1(\underline{A}, C, D)$

$R2(\underline{E}, A, H)$

$R3(\underline{E}, B)$

$R1, R2$  and  $R3$  are in BCNF form.

**Part 3:** Assume that R is decomposed into:

R1 (A, B), F1 = {A → B}, key (A)

R2 (B, C), F2 = {B → C}, key (B)

R3 (C, D, E), F3 = {C → D, DE → C}, key (DE), (CE)

Is this decomposition a lossless-join decomposition? Use the table method.

Checking for lossless-join:

Initially the Table looks like this:

	A	B	C	D	E
R1(A,B)	a1	a2	U13	U14	U15
R2(B,C)	U21	a2	a3	U24	U25
R2(C,D,E)	U31	U32	a3	a4	a5

Using  $B \rightarrow C$ : we can replace U13 by a3

	A	B	C	D	E
R1(A,B)	a1	a2	<b>a3</b>	U14	U15
R2(B,C)	U21	a2	a3	U24	U25
R2(C,D,E)	U31	U32	a3	a4	a5

Using  $C \rightarrow D$ : we can replace U14 and U24 by a4

	A	B	C	D	E
R1(A,B)	a1	a2	<b>a3</b>	<b>a4</b>	U15
R2(B,C)	U21	a2	a3	<b>a4</b>	U25
R2(C,D,E)	U31	U32	a3	a4	a5

We cannot proceed and there is no row of all known values → the decomposition is lossy.