${\bf High\ Performance\ Computing}_{2023\ Fall}$

Lab 7. Message Passing Interface

Yongzhuo Ma

October 13, 2023

Chapter 1

Introduction to the Environment

1.1 Host Machine

Item	Value
OS version Apple clang version	macOS Sonoma 14.0 15.0.0
CPU	Apple M2 Max
CPU Frequency CPU Cores	3.54 - 3.70 GHz 12
Memory Memory Bandwidth	$64~\mathrm{GB}$ $400~\mathrm{GB/s}$

1.2 Virtual Machine

Item	Value
Virtualization OS version gcc version CPU CPU Frequency CPU Cores Memory	Parallels Ubuntu 22.04.3 LTS 11.4.0 Apple M2 Max 3.69 GHz 12 32 GB

1.3 Dependencies

Item	Version	
MPICH	4.0	

Chapter 2

DGEMM with MPICH

In this chapter, I will share my experience on the DGEMM implement using MPICH.

Steps

- 1.Prepare MPI environment.
- 2.Break the matrices into smaller blocks
- 3. Message Sending and Receiving

2.1 Prepare MPI environment

mpi.h should be included.

Define the range of MPI, the calculation part should be in it.

- 1 int thread_num,thread_id;
- 2 MPI_Status status;
- 3 MPI_Init(&argc,&argv);
- 4 MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &thread_id);
- 5 MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&thread_num);
- 6 :
- 7 MPI_Finalize();

2.2 Break the matrices

We will break the matrix by rows evenly.

2.3 Message Sending and Receiving

Mind that we have $thread_num - 1$ calculating threads, the last one is the main thread. After deciding the blocks to process, we just need to send the data to the calculating thread. To send a series of data, the following parameters should be confirmed.

Item	Value
data source data count data type destination thread tag communicator channel	(double*) (count) MPI_DOUBLE (Calc thread) (User defined int) MPI_COMM_WORLD

Here is an example.

MPI_Send(&A[row_s*k],k*pblocks, MPI_DOUBLE, i+1, 1, MPI_COMM_WORLD)

This means: Starting from the value $A[row_s*k]$, and $k \times pblocks$ double data will be sent to thread i+1 through the world communicator as tag 1. After sending the message, we should receive the data from the calculating thread.

To receive, we should confirm the followings,

Item	Value
data destination data count data type source thread tag	(double*) (count) MPI_DOUBLE (Main thread) (User defined before)
communicator channel syncing status	MPI_COMM_WORLD (MPI_Status*)

Here is an example.

MPI_Recv(a,k*pblocks,MPI_DOUBLE,0,1,MPI_COMM_WORLD,&status);

This means: A series of **double** data from thread $\mathbf{0}$ and tagged $\mathbf{1}$ will be written into $\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{0}]$ to $\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{count-1}]$ through \mathbf{the} world $\mathbf{communicator}$ according to the status of different threads saved in \mathbf{status} .

After calculating, we should return the result parts to the main thread.

Problem and solution

1. Send the result directly back to matrix C will get the wrong answer.

Solution:

Allocate new matrix to save the result will solve this problem

Code

```
L7 8x8.c — Edited
             C L7 8x8
                                                                                                          ₹ 10 | 1
C L7 8x8 ) f main(argc, argv)
6 int main(int argc, char** argv){
  42
          int m = 8, n = 8, k = 8;
          int thread_num, thread_id, source;
  43
  44
          MPI_Status status;
  45
          MPI_Init(&argc,&argv);
          MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&thread_id);
  46
          MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&thread_num);
  47
  48
          int pblocks = m/(thread_num-1);
  49
              if(thread_id == 0)
  50
              {
                   for(int i=0;i<thread_num-1;i++)</pre>
  51
                   {
  53
                        int row_s= i * pblocks;
                        int row_e= row_s + pblocks;
                       MPI_Send(&A[row_s*k],k*pblocks, MPI_DOUBLE, i+1, 1, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
  55
  56
                       \label{eq:MPI_Send} \mbox{MPI\_Send(B, n*k, MPI\_DOUBLE, i+1, 2, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD);}
                       \label{eq:MPI_Send} $$ MPI\_Send(\&C[row\_s*n], pblocks*n, MPI\_DOUBLE, i+1, 3, \\
  57
                            MPI_COMM_WORLD);
  58
  59
                   for(int i=0;i<thread_num-1;i++)</pre>
  60
                   {
  61
                       MPI_Recv(&D
                            [i*pblocks*n],pblocks*n,MPI_DOUBLE,i+1,4,MPI_COMM_WORLD
                            ,&status);
                   }
  62
  63
                   for (int i = 0;i<m;i++)</pre>
  65
                        for (int j = 0;j<n;j++)</pre>
                            printf("%lf ",D[i*n+j]);
  66
                       printf("\n");}
  67
  68
                   }
              else
  70
              {
                        double* a= (double*) malloc(sizeof(double)*k*pblocks);
  71
                        double* b= (double*) malloc(sizeof(double)*k*n);
                        double* c= (double*) malloc(sizeof(double)*pblocks*n);
                       MPI_Recv(a,k*pblocks,MPI_DOUBLE,0,1,MPI_COMM_WORLD,&status);
  75
                       MPI_Recv(b,k*n,MPI_DOUBLE,0,2,MPI_COMM_WORLD,&status);
  76
                       {\tt MPI\_Recv(c,pblocks*n,MPI\_DOUBLE,0,3,MPI\_COMM\_WORLD,\&status);}
  77
                        for (int i=0;i<1;i++)</pre>
  78
                        for (int row = 0 ;row< pblocks;row++)</pre>
  79
                            for(int col = 0;col<n;col++)</pre>
  80
                                 for (int ind = 0;ind<k;ind++)</pre>
  81
                                     c[row*n+col]+=a[row*k+ind]*b[ind*n+col];
  82
                       MPI_Send(c,pblocks*n,MPI_DOUBLE,0,4,MPI_COMM_WORLD);
  83
          MPI_Finalize();
  84
  85 }
```

Results

$A \times B + C$

```
1 Reference 4x4:
2 -1.297094 -1.394872 -0.577658 0.137014
3 0.487135 -1.748927 -0.322982 0.274266
4 1.027899 -2.371782 -0.915003 -0.682657
5 -0.572809 0.082546 -0.001052 3.719102
6 L7 4x4:
   -1.297094 -1.394872 -0.577658 0.137014
8 0.487135 -1.748927 -0.322982 0.274266
9 1.027899 -2.371782 -0.915003 -0.682657
10 -0.572809 0.082546 -0.001052 3.719102
11 Reference 8x8:
12 -1.404979 -1.235186 1.427717 0.127163 2.824722 1.899560 -0.239773 -0.218375
13 1.099094 -0.116566 0.404765 -2.818233 5.003082 4.961088 1.687088 -0.153294
14 0.066527 2.939972 -0.031972 -0.127371 2.422058 2.442030 3.383406 -2.500426
15 3.136486 2.072512 -0.793920 -0.061983 2.596720 -0.114813 2.297156 -1.964893
16 0.660703 3.123916 -2.851094 3.102350 -1.113017 -4.027380 0.254342 -1.468646
17 1.391210 2.018252 -0.349725 2.678988 -0.571531 -2.986300 2.481400 -1.105977
18 -2.751630 -1.706770 1.382435 -0.342028 1.762590 3.534226 0.921025 0.176807
19 0.544367 -0.063625 -2.069692 0.809548 -0.877884 -2.453056 -0.263956 -0.792012
20 L7 8x8:
21 -1.404979 -1.235186 1.427717 0.127163 2.824722 1.899560 -0.239773 -0.218375
22 1.099094 -0.116566 0.404765 -2.818233 5.003082 4.961088 1.687088 -0.153294
23 0.066527 2.939972 -0.031972 -0.127371 2.422058 2.442030 3.383406 -2.500426
24 3.136486 2.072512 -0.793920 -0.061983 2.596720 -0.114813 2.297156 -1.964893
26 1.391210 2.018252 -0.349725 2.678988 -0.571531 -2.986300 2.481400 -1.105977
27 -2.751630 -1.706770 1.382435 -0.342028 1.762590 3.534226 0.921025 0.176807
28 0.544367 -0.063625 -2.069692 0.809548 -0.877884 -2.453056 -0.263956 -0.792012
```

The results are consistent.

This chart shows that MPI(12 cores) did worse than OpenMP, but MPI will not decreases that fast than OpenMP when size reaches 2900. Optimized MPI is 12 times faster than Naive one.

