# Semantics of Functional Programming

Formalising PCF in Dependent Type Theory

Chen, Liang-Ting lxc@iis.sinica.edu.tw

Formosan Summer School on Logic, Language, and Computation 2014

# Formalising PCF

Terms, types, and lists are introduced as (non-dependent) types. For example, the type for **PCF** types are introduced as:

• Formation:

$$\vdash$$
 Type :  $\mathcal{U}$ 

• Introduction:

**Exercise**. Define types Term, Type, Cxt for **PCF** terms, **PCF** types, and contexts respectively in **Agda**.

### Predicates

In case that you have been polluted by set theory, we distinguish a few *set-theoretic* and *type-theoretic* notions.

#### In set theory

A **predicate** P over a set X is a subset  $P \subseteq X$ .

#### In type theory

A term P is a **predicate** over a type A if and only if

$$\Gamma \vdash P : A \to \mathcal{U}$$

A term f is a **membership function** if and only if

$$\Gamma \vdash p : A \to \mathbf{Bool}$$

# An example of predicates

In set theory, an **even number** n is commonly defined as a natural number satisfying n = 2k for some natural number k, i.e.

$$E_{\mathbb{N}} = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N}. \, n = 2k \}.$$

In type theory, it can be defined inductively as a predicate even :  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{U}$  by

• Formation:

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{even} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{U}$$

• Introduction:

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \text{even } n}{\Gamma \vdash \text{e-zero} : \text{even zero}} \frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \text{even } n}{\Gamma \vdash \text{e-suc } p : \text{even (suc (suc } n))}$ 

where the elimination rule and the computational rule are omitted. **Exercise**. Define  $Val: Term \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  for values of PCF terms.

#### Set-theoretic relations

A **relation** over a set X is a subset  $R \subseteq X \times X$ , and  $(x_1, x_2) \in R$  is written as

$$x_1 R x_2$$
.

A relation  $R \subseteq X \times X$  is

- **reflexive** if x R x for every  $x \in X$ .
- transitive if x R z whenever x R y and y R z

A reflexive transitive closure of a relation R is the smallest reflexive transitive relation  $R^*$  containing R:

 $R^* := \bigcap \{ S \subseteq X \times X \mid R \subseteq S \text{ and } S \text{ is reflexive and transitive } \}$ 

#### Type-theoretic relations

A **relation** over a type (set) A is a judgement

$$\Gamma \vdash R : A \to A \to \mathcal{U}.$$

A relation is

• reflexive if and only if

$$\Pi[x:A] R x x$$

• transitive if and only if

$$\Pi[x:A] \Pi[y:A] \Pi[z:A] R x y \rightarrow R y z \rightarrow R x z$$

Exercise. Define the one-step reduction \_~\_ Preservation Theorem in type theory over Term.

A reflexive transitive closure  $R^*$  of a relation R over A:

• Formation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U} \qquad \Gamma \vdash R : A \to A \to \mathcal{U}}{\Gamma \vdash R^* : A \to A \to \mathcal{U}}$$

• Introduction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash x : A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathtt{refl} \; x : R^* \; x \; x}$$

where the elimination rule and the computation rule are omitted. **Exercise.** Show the following statements in Transitive-Closure.agda.

- 1.  $R^*$  is reflexive and transitive for every relation R over A.
- 2.  $R^*$  is the "smallest" transitive reflexive relation containing R.

#### Judgements in type theory

A judgement is a ternary predicate

$$\_\vdash\_:\_: \mathtt{Cxt} \to \mathtt{Term} \to \mathtt{Type} \to \mathcal{U}.$$

in type theory. The introduction rule for suc in **PCF** is formalised as

Exercise. Define a type of the typing rules of PCF.

#### Progress Theorem in type theory

Recall Progress Theorem in **PCF**:

Every closed well-typed **PCF** term M is either a value or there exists another term M' such that  $M \rightsquigarrow M'$ .

which corresponds to a witness of

$$\begin{split} &\Pi[\mathsf{M}:\mathtt{Term}]\Pi[\tau:\mathtt{Type}]\\ &[]\vdash\mathsf{M}:\tau\to(\mathbf{Val}\;\mathsf{M})+\Sigma[\mathsf{M}':\mathtt{Term}]\;\mathsf{M}\leadsto\mathsf{M}' \end{split}$$

# Similarly, Preservation Theorem

For every closed well-typed PCF term M of type  $\tau$  with M  $\rightsquigarrow$  N, the term N is also of type  $\tau$ .

is translated to a term of type

$$\begin{split} \Pi[\mathsf{M}:\mathsf{Term}]\Pi[\mathsf{N}:\mathsf{Term}]\Pi[\tau:\mathsf{Type}] \\ [] \vdash \mathsf{M}:\tau \to \mathsf{M} \leadsto \mathsf{N} \to [] \vdash \mathsf{N}:\tau \end{split}$$

Exercise. Finish PCF\_blank.agda.