

Semantics of Functional Programming

The Scott Model of **PCF**

Chuang, Tyng-Ruey
trc.iis.sinica.edu.tw

Formosan Summer School on Logic, Language, and Computation 2014

1 Scott domain model

Denotational semantics of PCF

Instead of specifying *how* a program runs, we specify *what* a program is, the *denotation* of a program. To assign a denotation to a program,

- each type σ is interpreted as some domain D_σ ;
- a context $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$ is interpreted as a product $\prod_{i=1}^n D_{\sigma_i}$ of domains;
- in particular, each term of type τ under the empty context is an element of D_τ .

In the end, we show that $(\lambda x. M) N$ and $\lambda x. M x$ have the same denotation as $M[N/x]$ and M respectively, and also the Compactness Theorem for the Scott domain model of **PCF**.

Interpretation of types and contexts

Define the denotation of a type inductively:

Definition 1. Every type σ in **PCF** associates with a domain D_σ as follows:

1. $D_{\text{nat}} := \mathbb{N}_\perp$, and
2. $D_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma} := [D_\tau \rightarrow D_\sigma]$.

Define the denotation of a context inductively on its length:

Definition 2. For each context $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$, the associated domain is defined as

$$D_\Gamma := D_{\sigma_1} \times D_{\sigma_2} \times \dots \times D_{\sigma_n}$$

and the associated domain of the empty context is $1 = \{*\}$.

Interpretation of judgements

To proceed with the denotational semantics, we further define the denotation for each judgement inductively on its derivation of the following form

- Every judgement $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is interpreted as a *continuous* function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\tau.$$

- In particular,

$$\llbracket \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : 1 \rightarrow D_\tau$$

is identified with an element $\llbracket \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket(*) = d$ of D_τ .

Convention

In the following context, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket(\vec{d})$ is written as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}.$$

for any sequence $\vec{d} \in D_\Gamma$ if there is no danger of ambiguity.

(var) Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is of the form

$$x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i$$

derived by the rule (var). It is interpreted as the projection from D_Γ to its i -th component D_{σ_i}

$$\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket(\vec{d}) := (d_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in D_{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times D_{\sigma_n}$.

Note that the denotation of this judgement is equal to

$$\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket = \pi_i$$

where $\pi_i : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_{\sigma_i}$ is the i -th projection and thus it is a continuous function.

(abs) Let $f := \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$ be the continuous function from $D_\Gamma \times D_\sigma$ to D_τ .

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket := \Lambda f$$

where $\Lambda f : D_\Gamma \rightarrow [D_\sigma \rightarrow D_\tau]$ is the *curried* f . In other words

$$(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}) d = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d).$$

(app) Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M \ N : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ & := ev \left(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $ev: [D_1 \rightarrow D_2] \times D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is the *evaluation map* which maps a continuous function $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ with an element $d \in D_1$ to $f(d)$.

The cases for **zero** and **suc** M are rather obvious:

(z) **zero** is a constant, so it does not matter what the context is:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{zero} : \mathbf{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d} := 0$$

i.e. a constant function.

(s) The denotation of **suc** is the successor function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{suc} \ M : \mathbf{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d} := (S \circ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \mathbf{nat} \rrbracket) \vec{d}$$

where $S: \mathbb{N}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\perp$ is defined by

$$S(n) := \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } n = \perp \\ n + 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(Y) The denotation of **Y** is the fixpoint operation

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash Yx. M : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} := \mu \left(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right)$$

where μ is defined previously as $\mu(f) := \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\perp)$.

(ifz) The denotation of **ifz**

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \mathbf{ifz}(M; M_0; M_1) : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ & := \mathbf{ifz}_\tau(n, d, f) \end{aligned}$$

where

1. $n := \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \mathbf{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d}$,
2. $d := \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_0 : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}$,
3. $f := \llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbf{nat} \vdash M_1 : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}$,

and \mathbf{ifz}_τ is defined by

$$\mathbf{ifz}_\tau(n, x, f) := \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } n = \perp, \\ x & \text{if } n = 0, \\ f(m) & \text{if } n = m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. For every judgement $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$, the associated function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\tau$$

is Scott continuous.

Proof sketch. It is not hard to see that each case of $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$ is a Scott continuous function. \square

Examples

In-class exercise

2 Substitution and Compactness

Substitution Lemma

Lemma 4. Let $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$ be a context, and $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ a judgement. Then the following equation

$$\llbracket \Delta \vdash M[\vec{N}/\vec{x}] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \left(\llbracket \Delta \vdash N_1 \rrbracket \vec{d}, \dots, \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_n \rrbracket \vec{d} \right)$$

holds for any context Δ and judgements $\Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We prove it by induction on derivations of $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$. \square

Proof of Substitution Lemma

(var) Suppose that $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ is of the form

$$x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, for each family of judgements $\Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Delta \vdash x_i[\vec{N}/\vec{x}] : \sigma_i \rrbracket \\ & = \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket \\ & = \pi_i(\llbracket \Delta \vdash N_1 : \sigma_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_n : \sigma_n \rrbracket) \end{aligned}$$

where $\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket = \pi_i$.

Corollary 5 (Application). For every judgement $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$, we have

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) \ N : \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau \rrbracket.$$

Observe that $\vec{d} = (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_1 : \sigma_1 \rrbracket \vec{d}, \dots, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_n : \sigma_n \rrbracket \vec{d})$ for any context $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$. Then, this corollary is a series of simple facts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) \ N : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ & = ev \left(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \\ & = ev \left(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \\ & = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d}) \\ & = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[\vec{x}, N/\vec{x}, x] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ & = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 (Weakening). *Let $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ be a judgement. Then the following*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$$

holds for any variable $x : \sigma$ not in Γ .

It follows from Substitution Lemma. (*Why?*)

Corollary 7 (Extensionality). *Let $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ be a judgement. Then,*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket$$

if x is not a variable in Γ .

For every sequence $\vec{d} \in D_\Gamma$ and $d \in D_\sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) d \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M x : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \\ &= \text{ev} \left(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d), \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \right) \\ &= \text{ev} \left(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d), d \right) \\ &= \left(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \right) d \\ &= \left(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) d. \end{aligned}$$

Compactness

Define $Y^i x. M$ inductively for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by

1. $Y^0 x. M := Yx. x$ and
2. $Y^{n+1} x. M := M[Y^n x. M/x]$.

Theorem 8. *For every judgement $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma$, we have*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash Yx. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash Y^i x. M : \sigma \rrbracket.$$

To show this theorem, it suffices to show the following

$$\llbracket \vdash Y^i x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket^i(\perp)$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. (*Why?*)

For $n = 0$ we show that $\llbracket \vdash Y^0 x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \perp_{D_\sigma} \in D_\sigma$.

By definition, $Y^0 x. M : \sigma$ is equal to $Yx. x$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \vdash Yx. x : \sigma \rrbracket &= \mu(id) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} id^i(\perp) \\ &= \bigsqcup \perp = \perp \end{aligned}$$

For $i = n + 1$ it suffices to show that

$$\llbracket \vdash Y^{n+1} x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket (\llbracket \vdash Y^n x. M : \sigma \rrbracket),$$

so the statement follows by the induction hypothesis.

By definition, $Y^{n+1} x. M$ is equal to $M[Y^n x. M/x]$, and by Substitution Lemma we have

$$\llbracket \vdash M[Y^n x. M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\llbracket \vdash Y^n x. M \rrbracket).$$

Examples

In-class exercise