

# Semantics of Functional Programming

## The Scott Model of **PCF**

Chuang, Tyng-Ruey  
`trc.iis.sinica.edu.tw`

Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica

Formosan Summer School on Logic, Language, and  
Computation 2014

# Denotational semantics of **PCF**

Instead of specifying *how* a program runs, we specify *what* a program is, the *denotation* of a program.

To assign a denotation to a program,

- each type  $\sigma$  is interpreted as some domain  $D_\sigma$ ;
- a context  $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$  is interpreted as a product  $\prod_{i=1}^n D_{\sigma_i}$  of domains;
- in particular, each term of type  $\tau$  under the empty context is an element of  $D_\tau$ .

In the end, we show that  $(\lambda x. M) N$  and  $\lambda x. M \ x$  have the same denotation as  $M[N/x]$  and  $M$  respectively, and also the Compactness Theorem for the Scott domain model of **PCF**.

# Interpretation of types and contexts

Define the denotation of a type inductively:

## Definition 1

Every type  $\sigma$  in **PCF** associates with a domain  $D_\sigma$  as follows:

- 1  $D_{\text{nat}} := \mathbb{N}_\perp$ , and
- 2  $D_{\tau \rightarrow \sigma} := [D_\tau \rightarrow D_\sigma]$ .

Define the denotation of a context inductively on its length:

## Definition 2

For each context  $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$ , the associated domain is defined as

$$D_\Gamma := D_{\sigma_1} \times D_{\sigma_2} \times \dots \times D_{\sigma_n}$$

and the associated domain of the empty context is  $1 = \{*\}$ .

# Interpretation of judgements

To proceed with the denotational semantics, we further define the denotation for each judgement inductively on its derivation of the following form

- Every judgement  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  is interpreted as a *continuous* function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\tau.$$

- In particular,

$$\llbracket \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : 1 \rightarrow D_\tau$$

is identified with an element  $\llbracket \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket(*) = d$  of  $D_\tau$ .

## Convention

In the following context,  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket(\vec{d})$  is written as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}.$$

for any sequence  $\vec{d} \in D_\Gamma$  if there is no danger of ambiguity.

(var) Suppose that  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  is of the form

$$x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i$$

derived by the rule (var). It is interpreted as the projection from  $D_\Gamma$  to its  $i$ -th component  $D_{\sigma_i}$

$$\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket(\vec{d}) := (d_i)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  where

$$\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in D_{\sigma_1} \times \dots \times D_{\sigma_n}.$$

Note that the denotation of this judgement is equal to

$$\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket = \pi_i$$

where  $\pi_i : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_{\sigma_i}$  is the  $i$ -th projection and thus it is a continuous function.

(abs) Let  $f := \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$  be the continuous function from  $D_\Gamma \times D_\sigma$  to  $D_\tau$ .

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket := \Lambda f$$

where  $\Lambda f : D_\Gamma \rightarrow [D_\sigma \rightarrow D_\tau]$  is the *curried*  $f$ . In other words

$$\left( \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) d = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d).$$

(app) Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M N : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ &:= \text{ev} \left( \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{ev} : [D_1 \rightarrow D_2] \times D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is the *evaluation map* which maps a continuous function  $f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  with an element  $d \in D_1$  to  $f(d)$ .

The cases for zero and suc M are rather obvious:

- (z) zero is a constant, so it does not matter what the context is:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{zero} : \text{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d} := 0$$

i.e. a constant function.

- (s) The denotation of suc is the successor function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{suc } M : \text{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d} := (S \circ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \text{nat} \rrbracket) \vec{d}$$

where  $S: \mathbb{N}_\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\perp$  is defined by

$$S(n) := \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } n = \perp \\ n + 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(Y) The denotation of Y is the fixpoint operation

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash Yx. M : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} := \mu \left( \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right)$$

where  $\mu$  is defined previously as  $\mu(f) := \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f^i(\perp)$ .

(ifz) The denotation of ifz

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{ifz}(M; M_0; M_1) : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ & := \text{ifz}_\tau(n, d, f) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{1 } n := \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \text{nat} \rrbracket \vec{d},$$

$$\text{2 } d := \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M_0 : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d},$$

$$\text{3 } f := \llbracket \Gamma, x : \text{nat} \vdash M_1 : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d},$$

and  $\text{ifz}_\tau$  is defined by

$$\text{ifz}_\tau(n, x, f) := \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } n = \perp, \\ x & \text{if } n = 0, \\ f(m) & \text{if } n = m + 1. \end{cases}$$



## Theorem 3

*For every judgement  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ , the associated function*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket : D_\Gamma \rightarrow D_\tau$$

*is Scott continuous.*

## Proof sketch.

It is not hard to see that each case of  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$  is a Scott continuous function. □

# Examples

## In-class exercise

# Substitution Lemma

## Lemma 4

*Let  $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$  be a context, and  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  a judgement. Then the following equation*

$$\llbracket \Delta \vdash M[\vec{N}/\vec{x}] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \left( \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_1 \rrbracket \vec{d}, \dots, \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_n \rrbracket \vec{d} \right)$$

*holds for any context  $\Delta$  and judgements  $\Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

## Proof.

We prove it by induction on derivations of  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$ . □

# Proof of Substitution Lemma

(var) Suppose that  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  is of the form

$$x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then, for each family of judgements  $\Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Delta \vdash x_i[\vec{n}/\vec{x}] : \sigma_i \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket \\ &= \pi_i (\llbracket \Delta \vdash N_1 : \sigma_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket \Delta \vdash N_n : \sigma_n \rrbracket) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\llbracket x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n \vdash x_i : \sigma_i \rrbracket = \pi_i$ .

## Corollary 5 (Application)

*For every judgement  $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash N : \sigma$ , we have*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) N : \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau \rrbracket.$$

Observe that  $\vec{d} = (\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_1 : \sigma_1 \rrbracket \vec{d}, \dots, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_n : \sigma_n \rrbracket \vec{d})$  for any context  $\Gamma = x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$ . Then, this corollary is a series of simple facts:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) N : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ &= \text{ev} \left( \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M) : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \\ &= \text{ev} \left( \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma \rrbracket \vec{d}) \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[\vec{x}, N/\vec{x}, x] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \end{aligned}$$

## Lemma 6 (Weakening)

*Let  $\Gamma \vdash M : \tau$  be a judgement. Then the following*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket$$

*holds for any variable  $x : \sigma$  not in  $\Gamma$ .*

It follows from Substitution Lemma. (*Why?*)

## Corollary 7 (Extensionality)

Let  $\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  be a judgement. Then,

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M \ x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket$$

if  $x$  is not a variable in  $\Gamma$ .

For every sequence  $\vec{d} \in D_\Gamma$  and  $d \in D_\sigma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M \ x : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) d \\ &= \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M \ x : \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \\ &= \text{ev} \left( \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d), \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash x : \sigma \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \right) \\ &= \text{ev} \left( \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d), d \right) \\ &= \left( \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket (\vec{d}, d) \right) d \\ &= \left( \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \vec{d} \right) d. \end{aligned}$$



# Compactness

Define  $Y^i x. M$  inductively for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  by

- 1  $Y^0 x. M := Yx. x$  and
- 2  $Y^{n+1} x. M := M[Y^n x. M/x]$ .

## Theorem 8

*For every judgement  $\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma$ , we have*

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash Yx. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash Y^i x. M : \sigma \rrbracket.$$

To show this theorem, it suffices to show the following

$$\llbracket \vdash Y^i x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket^i(\perp)$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Why?)

For  $n = 0$  we show that  $\llbracket \vdash Y^0 x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \perp_{D_\sigma} \in D_\sigma$ .

By definition,  $Y^0 x. M : \sigma$  is equal to  $Y x. x$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \vdash Y x. x : \sigma \rrbracket &= \mu(id) = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} id^i(\perp) \\ &= \bigsqcup \perp = \perp\end{aligned}$$

For  $i = n + 1$  it suffices to show that

$$\llbracket \vdash Y^{n+1} x. M : \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \sigma \rrbracket (\llbracket \vdash Y^n x. M : \sigma \rrbracket),$$

so the statement follows by the induction hypothesis.

By definition,  $Y^{n+1} x. M$  is equal to  $M[Y^n x. M/x]$ , and by Substitution Lemma we have

$$\llbracket \vdash M[Y^n x. M/x] \rrbracket = \llbracket x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau \rrbracket (\llbracket \vdash Y^n x. M \rrbracket).$$

# Examples

## In-class exercise