

☆ Starred by 4 users

Owner: [t...@google.com](#)

CC: [kouhei@chromium.org](#)
[jdeblasio@chromium.org](#)

Status: Fixed (Closed)

Components: [Blink>Storage>FileSystem](#)

Modified: Oct 29, 2021

Backlog-Rank: ---

Editors: ---

EstimatedDays: ---

NextAction: ---

OS: [Linux](#), [Android](#), [Windows](#), [Chrome](#), [Mac](#), [Fuchsia](#), [Lacros](#)

Pri: 1

Type: [Bug-Security](#)

[Hotlist-Merge-Review](#)
[Security_Impact-Stable](#)
[Hotlist-Merge-Approved](#)
[Security_Severity-High](#)
[allpublic](#)
[reward-inprocess](#)
[reward-20000](#)
[CVE_description-submitted](#)
[M-91](#)
[Target-91](#)
[external_security_report](#)
[Target-93](#)
[merge-merged-4430](#)
[merge-merged-90](#)
[FoundIn-91](#)
[merge-merged-4472](#)
[merge-merged-91](#)
[LTS-Merged-90](#)
[LTS-Security-90](#)
[merge-merged-4515](#)
[merge-merged-92](#)
[merge-merged-4577](#)
[merge-merged-93](#)
[LTS-Size-Small](#)
[LTS-Complexity-Trivial](#)
[Release-1-M92](#)
[CVE-2021-30591](#)

Issue 1229298: Security: Chrome: UAF in BindFileUtilitiesHost
Reported by [soulc...@gmail.com](#) on Wed, Jul 14, 2021, 2:50 PM EDT

🔗 Code

This template is ONLY for reporting security bugs. If you are reporting a Download Protection Bypass bug, please use the "Security - Download Protection" template. For all other reports, please use a different template.

Please READ THIS FAQ before filing a bug: <https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+HEAD/docs/security/faq.md>

Please see the following link for instructions on filing security bugs: <https://www.chromium.org/Home/chromium-security/reporting-security-bugs>

Reports may be eligible for reward payments under the Chrome VRP: <http://g.co/ChromeBugRewards>

NOTE: Security bugs are normally made public once a fix has been widely deployed.

VULNERABILITY DETAILS

There is a race-condition bug in browser process. Here is the code from [PopulateServiceWorkerBinders] in https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc:
1227:

```
map->Add<blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>({
  base::BindRepeating(&BindFileUtilitiesHost, host),
  base::ThreadPool::CreateSequencedTaskRunner(
    {base::MayBlock(), base::TaskPriority::USER_VISIBLE}));
```

It transfer the [host] raw pointer to function [BindFileUtilitiesHost], but the callback will runs on a separate task runner. On the other hand, we can free the [ServiceWorkerHost::host] object on the browser main thread.

So in the function [BindFileUtilitiesHost] it uses the dangling pointer [host] to call [host->worker_process_id()] to create a [FileUtilitiesHostImpl] object. In the futher exploit, we can fake the process_id to create a more power [FileUtilitiesHostImpl] object, and use this interface to read files out of sandbox.

how to reproduce:
\$python ./copy_mojos_bindings.py /path/to/chrome/.../out/Asan/gen
\$out/Asan/chrome --enable-blink-features=MojoJS "http://localhost:8000/1.html"

Note that this is "not" a renderer bug; it's a browser process bug that's reachable from the renderer.

Please note that this bug may be can trigger with normal render process(not compromised) if we can find some web API to create many FileUtilitiesHost mojo interface. In theory, create ONLY ONE FileUtilitiesHost can trigger the bug too, but it is hard to win the race.

VERSION

Chrome Version: compile the ASAN version chromium with latest code

REPRODUCTION CASE

Please include a demonstration of the security bug, such as an attached HTML or binary file that reproduces the bug when loaded in Chrome. PLEASE make the file as small as possible and remove any content not required to demonstrate the bug, or any personal or confidential information.

Please attach files directly, not in zip or other archive formats, and if you've created a demonstration site please also attach the files needed to reproduce the demonstration locally.

FOR CRASHES, PLEASE INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Type of crash: browser

Crash State:

==196032==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free on address 0x12046446efc0 at pc 0x7ffed2cbea0b bp 0x00d9047fee20 sp 0x00d9047fee68

READ of size 4 at 0x12046446efc0 thread T32

```
#0 0x7ffed2cbea0a in content::ServiceWorkerHost::worker_process_id content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_host.h:59
#1 0x7ffed2cbea0a in content::internal::anonymous namespace::BindFileUtilitiesHost content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc:370:1
#2 0x7ffed2cc682d in base::internal::FunctorTraits<void (*) (const content::ServiceWorkerHost *, mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>), void>::Invoke
base/blink_internal.h:404
#3 0x7ffed2cc682d in base::internal::InvokeHelper<0, void>::MakeItSo base/blink_internal.h:648
#4 0x7ffed2cc682d in base::internal::Invoker<base::internal::BindState<void (*) (const content::ServiceWorkerHost *,
mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>), content::ServiceWorkerHost *>, void (mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>)>::RunImpl
base/blink_internal.h:721
#5 0x7ffed2cc682d in base::internal::Invoker<struct base::internal::BindState<void (__cdecl *) (class content::ServiceWorkerHost const *, class
mojom::PendingReceiver<class blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>), class content::ServiceWorkerHost *, (class mojom::PendingReceiver<class
blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost>)>::Run(class base::internal::BindStateBase *, class mojom::PendingReceiver<class blink::mojom::FileUtilitiesHost> &&)
base/blink_internal.h:703:12
#6 0x7ffed2cc207f in base::RepeatingCallback<void (mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::AppCacheBackend>)>::Run base/callback.h:167
#7 0x7ffed2cc207f in mojom::internal::BinderContextTraits<void>::BindGenericReceiver<class blink::mojom::WebUsbService>(class base::RepeatingCallback<(class
mojom::PendingReceiver<class blink::mojom::WebUsbService>) const &, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)
mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/binder_map_internal.h:69:12
#8 0x7ffed2cc2282 in base::internal::FunctorTraits<void (*) (const base::RepeatingCallback<void (mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::AppCacheBackend>)> &,
mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), void>::Invoke base/blink_internal.h:404
#9 0x7ffed2cc2282 in base::internal::InvokeHelper<0, void>::MakeItSo base/blink_internal.h:648
#10 0x7ffed2cc2282 in base::internal::Invoker<base::internal::BindState<void (*) (const base::RepeatingCallback<void
(mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::AppCacheBackend>) &, mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), base::RepeatingCallback<void
(mojom::PendingReceiver<blink::mojom::AppCacheBackend>) >, void (mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)>::RunImpl base/blink_internal.h:721
#11 0x7ffed2cc2282 in base::internal::Invoker<struct base::internal::BindState<void (__cdecl *) (class base::RepeatingCallback<(class mojom::PendingReceiver<class
blink::mojom::WebUsbService>) const &, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), class base::RepeatingCallback<void __cdecl(class
mojom::PendingReceiver<class blink::mojom::WebUsbService>)>>, (class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)>::Run(class
base::internal::BindStateBase *, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle> &&) base/blink_internal.h:703:12
#12 0x7ffed3500800 in base::RepeatingCallback<void (mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)>::Run base/callback.h:167
#13 0x7ffed3500800 in mojom::internal::GenericCallbackBinderWithContext<void>::RunCallback(class base::RepeatingCallback<(class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class
mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) const &, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/binder_map_internal.h:121:14
#14 0x7ffed3500a35 in base::internal::FunctorTraits<void (*) (const base::RepeatingCallback<void (mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) &,
mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), void>::Invoke base/blink_internal.h:404
#15 0x7ffed3500a35 in base::internal::InvokeHelper<0, void>::MakeItSo base/blink_internal.h:648
#16 0x7ffed3500a35 in base::internal::Invoker<base::internal::BindState<void (*) (const base::RepeatingCallback<void
(mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) &, mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), base::RepeatingCallback<void
(mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) >, void (mojom::ScopedHandleBase<mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)>::RunImpl base/blink_internal.h:721
#17 0x7ffed3500a35 in base::internal::Invoker<struct base::internal::BindState<void (__cdecl *) (class base::RepeatingCallback<(class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class
mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) const &, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>), class base::RepeatingCallback<void __cdecl(class
mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>) &, class mojom::ScopedHandleBase<class mojom::MessagePipeHandle>)>>, (void)>::RunOnce(class
base::internal::BindStateBase *) base/blink_internal.h:690:12
#18 0x7ffed965b4ba in base::OnceCallback<void (*)>::Run base/callback.h:99
#19 0x7ffed965b4ba in base::TaskAnnotator::RunTask(char const *, struct base::PendingTask *) base/task/common/task_annotator.cc:178:33
#20 0x7ffedee2a456 in base::internal::TaskTracker::RunSkipOnShutdown(struct base::internal::Task *) base/task/thread_pool/task_tracker.cc:664:19
#21 0x7ffedee293ce in base::internal::TaskTracker::RunTaskWithShutdownBehavior base/task/thread_pool/task_tracker.cc:682
#22 0x7ffedee293ce in base::internal::TaskTracker::RunTask(struct base::internal::Task, class base::internal::TaskSource *, class base::TaskTraits const &)
base/task/thread_pool/task_tracker.cc:525:5
#23 0x7ffedee2871a in base::internal::TaskTracker::RunAndPopNextTask(class base::internal::RegisteredTaskSource) base/task/thread_pool/task_tracker.cc:432:5
#24 0x7ffee2d4a053 in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:367:34
#25 0x7ffee2d491cb in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunPooledWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:262:3
#26 0x7ffed9729d1f in base::anonymous namespace::ThreadFunc base/threading/platform_thread_win.cc:121:13
#27 0x7ff6c6a22077 in _asan::AsanThread::ThreadStart(unsigned int64) C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_thread.cpp:278
#28 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#29 0x7fff616a2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)

0x12046446efc0 is located 0 bytes inside of 296-byte region [0x12046446efc0,0x12046446f0e8)
freed by thread T0 here:
#0 0x7ff6c6a182fb in free C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_malloc_win.cpp:82
#1 0x7ffed3a49764 in std::__1::unique_ptr<content::ServiceWorkerHost,std::__1::default_delete<content::ServiceWorkerHost> >::~unique_ptr
buildtools\third_party\libc++\trunk\include\_memory\unique_ptr.h:269
#2 0x7ffed3a49764 in content::ServiceWorkerVersion::~ServiceWorkerVersion(void) content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_version.cc:292:1
#3 0x7ffed3a677af in content::ServiceWorkerVersion::scalar deleting dtor (unsigned int) content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_version.h:273
#4 0x7ffed39ce5e7 in scoped_refptr<content::ServiceWorkerVersion>::Release base/memory/scoped_refptr.h:322
#5 0x7ffed39ce5e7 in scoped_refptr<content::ServiceWorkerVersion>::~scoped_refptr base/memory/scoped_refptr.h:224
#6 0x7ffed39ce5e7 in content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost::~ServiceWorkerObjectHost(void) content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_object_host.cc:214:1
#7 0x7ffed39d1359 in content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost::scalar deleting dtor (unsigned int) content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_object_host.cc:211:53
#8 0x7ffed39d2c8d in std::__1::default_delete<content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost>::operator() buildtools\third_party\libc++\trunk\include\_memory\unique_ptr.h:54
#9 0x7ffed39d2c8d in std::__1::unique_ptr<content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost,std::__1::default_delete<content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost> >::~reset
buildtools\third_party\libc++\trunk\include\_memory\unique_ptr.h:315
#10 0x7ffed39d2c8d in std::__1::unique_ptr<content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost,std::__1::default_delete<content::ServiceWorkerObjectHost> >::~unique_ptr
buildtools\third_party\libc++\trunk\include\_memory\unique_ptr.h:269
#11 0x7ffed39d2c8d in content::ServiceWorkerContainerHost::RemoveServiceWorkerObjectHost(__int64)
content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_container_host.cc:736:1
#12 0x7ffed9ab9f0b in base::RepeatingCallback<void (*)>::Run base/callback.h:166
#13 0x7ffed9ab9f0b in mojom::ReceiverSetState::OnDisconnect(unsigned __int64, unsigned int, class std::__1::basic_string<char, struct std::__1::char_traits<char>, class
std::__1::allocator<char>> const &) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/receiver_set.cc:141:25
#14 0x7ffed9a9860c in base::OnceCallback<void (unsigned int, const std::__1::string &)>::Run base/callback.h:99
#15 0x7ffed9a9860c in mojom::InterfaceEndpointClient::NotifyError(class absl::optional<struct mojom::DisconnectReason> const &)
mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/interface_endpoint_client.cc:683:45
#16 0x7ffed9a9e199 in mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::ProcessNotifyErrorTask(struct mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::Task *, enum
mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::ClientCallBehavior, class base::SequencedTaskRunner *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/multiplex_router.cc:1019:13
#17 0x7ffed9a8756 in mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::ProcessTasks(enum mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::ClientCallBehavior, class base::SequencedTaskRunner *)
mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/multiplex_router.cc:932:15
#18 0x7ffed9aab575 in mojom::internal::MultiplexRouter::Accept(class mojom::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/multiplex_router.cc:744:5
#19 0x7ffedc2aff72 in mojom::MessageDispatcher::Accept(class mojom::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/message_dispatcher.cc:43:19
#20 0x7ffed9a8f380 in mojom::Connector::DispatchMessageW(class mojom::Message) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/connector.cc:548:49
```

previously allocated by thread T0 here:

```
#26 0x7fed3a1909f in base::internal::Invoker::struct base::internal::BindState<void ____cdecl content::InflightCallWithInvoker<_int64, class mojo::PendingRemote<class storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef>*>*>(_int64, class mojo::PendingRemote<class storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef>*, class base::internal::UnretainedWrapper<class content::InflightCallWithInvoker<_int64, class mojo::PendingRemote<class storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef>*>*>(_int64, class mojo::PendingRemote<class storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef>*>*>::RunOnce(class base::internal::BindStateBase *, _int64, class
```

```
mojo::PendingRemote<class storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef> &&) base::bind_internal.h:690:12
#27 0x7ffed28604be in base::OnceCallback<void (long long, mojo::PendingRemote<storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerLiveVersionRef>)>::Run base::callback.h:99
#28 0x7ffed28604be in storage::mojom::ServiceWorkerStorageControl_GetNewVersionId_ForwardToCallback::Accept(class mojo::Message *)
out/Debug_ASAN/gen/components/services/storage/public/mojom/service_worker_storage_control.mojom.cc:6423:26
#29 0x7ffed9a943aa in mojo::InterfaceEndpointClient::HandleValidatedMessage(class mojo::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/interface_endpoint_client.cc:885:23
#30 0x7ffedcd2af72 in mojo::MessageDispatcher::Accept(class mojo::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/message_dispatcher.cc:43:19
#31 0x7ffed9a97e50 in mojo::InterfaceEndpointClient::HandleIncomingMessage(class mojo::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/interface_endpoint_client.cc:649:21
#32 0x7ffed9aac75a in mojo::internal::MultiplexRouter::ProcessIncomingMessage(class mojo::internal::MultiplexRouter::MessageWrapper *, enum
mojo::internal::MultiplexRouter::ClientCalBehavior, class base::SequencedTaskRunner *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/multiplex_router.cc:1099:42
#33 0x7ffed9aab4b4 in mojo::internal::MultiplexRouter::Accept(class mojo::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/multiplex_router.cc:719:7
#34 0x7ffedcd2af72 in mojo::MessageDispatcher::Accept(class mojo::Message *) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/message_dispatcher.cc:43:19
#35 0x7ffed9a8f380 in mojo::Connector::DispatchMessageW(class mojo::Message) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/connector.cc:548:49
#36 0x7ffed9a90b22 in mojo::Connector::ReadAllAvailableMessages(void) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/connector.cc:606:14
#37 0x7ffed9ae1588 in base::RepeatingCallback<void (unsigned int, const mojo::HandleSignalsState &)>::Run base::callback.h:166
#38 0x7ffed9ae1588 in mojo::SimpleWatcher::OnHandleReady(int, unsigned int, struct mojo::HandleSignalsState const &)
mojo/public/cpp/system/simple_watcher.cc:278:14
#39 0x7ffed965b4ba in base::OnceCallback<void ()>::Run base::callback.h:99
#40 0x7ffed965b4ba in base::TaskAnnotator::RunTask(char const *, struct base::PendingTask *) base/task/common/task_annotator.cc:178:33
```

Thread T32 created by T30 here:

```
#0 0x7ff6c6a22ae2 in __asan_wrap_CreateThread C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_win.cpp:146
#1 0x7ffed97290fe in base::anonymous namespace::CreateThreadInternal base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:185:7
#2 0x7ffed2480d00 in base::internal::WorkerThread::Start(class base::WorkerThreadObserver *) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:109:3
#3 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl::<lambda_2>::operator() base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:187
#4 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::WorkerContainer::ForEachWorker<class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>::(class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:153:9
#5 0x7ffedee4244f in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:185:23
#6 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushWorkerCreation base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:119
#7 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::WorkerThreadDelegateImpl::GetWork(class base::internal::WorkerThread *)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:596:14
#8 0x7ffed249f9dc in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:354:51
#9 0x7ffed2491cb in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunPooledWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:262:3
#10 0x7ffed9729d1f in base::anonymous namespace::ThreadFunc base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:121:13
#11 0x7ff6c6a22077 in __asan::AsanThread::ThreadStart(unsigned __int64) C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_thread.cpp:278
#12 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#13 0x7fff61ba2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)
```

Thread T30 created by T21 here:

```
#0 0x7ff6c6a22ae2 in __asan_wrap_CreateThread C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_win.cpp:146
#1 0x7ffed97290fe in base::anonymous namespace::CreateThreadInternal base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:185:7
#2 0x7ffed2480d00 in base::internal::WorkerThread::Start(class base::WorkerThreadObserver *) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:109:3
#3 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl::<lambda_2>::operator() base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:187
#4 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::WorkerContainer::ForEachWorker<class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>::(class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:153:9
#5 0x7ffedee4244f in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:185:23
#6 0x7ffedee3a0ce in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::~ScopedCommandsExecutor(void)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:104:31
#7 0x7ffedee3d977 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::WorkerThreadDelegateImpl::DidProcessTask(class base::internal::RegisteredTaskSource)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:673:1
#8 0x7ffed24a0e2 in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:369:16
#9 0x7ffed2491cb in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunPooledWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:262:3
#10 0x7ffed9729d1f in base::anonymous namespace::ThreadFunc base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:121:13
#11 0x7ff6c6a22077 in __asan::AsanThread::ThreadStart(unsigned __int64) C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_thread.cpp:278
#12 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#13 0x7fff61ba2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)
```

Thread T21 created by T9 here:

```
#0 0x7ff6c6a22ae2 in __asan_wrap_CreateThread C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_win.cpp:146
#1 0x7ffed97290fe in base::anonymous namespace::CreateThreadInternal base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:185:7
#2 0x7ffed2480d00 in base::internal::WorkerThread::Start(class base::WorkerThreadObserver *) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:109:3
#3 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl::<lambda_2>::operator() base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:187
#4 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::WorkerContainer::ForEachWorker<class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>::(class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:153:9
#5 0x7ffedee4244f in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:185:23
#6 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushWorkerCreation base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:119
#7 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::WorkerThreadDelegateImpl::GetWork(class base::internal::WorkerThread *)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:596:14
#8 0x7ffed249f9dc in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:354:51
#9 0x7ffed2491cb in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunPooledWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:262:3
#10 0x7ffed9729d1f in base::anonymous namespace::ThreadFunc base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:121:13
#11 0x7ff6c6a22077 in __asan::AsanThread::ThreadStart(unsigned __int64) C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_thread.cpp:278
#12 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#13 0x7fff61ba2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)
```

Thread T9 created by T7 here:

```
#0 0x7ff6c6a22ae2 in __asan_wrap_CreateThread C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_win.cpp:146
#1 0x7ffed97290fe in base::anonymous namespace::CreateThreadInternal base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:185:7
#2 0x7ffed2480d00 in base::internal::WorkerThread::Start(class base::WorkerThreadObserver *) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:109:3
#3 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl::<lambda_2>::operator() base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:187
#4 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::WorkerContainer::ForEachWorker<class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>::(class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:153:9
#5 0x7ffedee4244f in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:185:23
#6 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushWorkerCreation base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:119
#7 0x7ffedee3c011 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::WorkerThreadDelegateImpl::GetWork(class base::internal::WorkerThread *)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:596:14
#8 0x7ffed249f9dc in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:354:51
#9 0x7ffed2491cb in base::internal::WorkerThread::RunPooledWorker(void) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:262:3
#10 0x7ffed9729d1f in base::anonymous namespace::ThreadFunc base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:121:13
#11 0x7ff6c6a22077 in __asan::AsanThread::ThreadStart(unsigned __int64) C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_thread.cpp:278
#12 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#13 0x7fff61ba2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)
```

Thread T7 created by T0 here:

```
#0 0x7ff6c6a22ae2 in __asan_wrap_CreateThread C:\b\sw\ir\cache\builder\src\third_party\llvm\compiler-rt\lib\asan\asan_win.cpp:146
#1 0x7ffed97290fe in base::anonymous namespace::CreateThreadInternal base\threading\platform_thread_win.cc:185:7
#2 0x7ffed2480d00 in base::internal::WorkerThread::Start(class base::WorkerThreadObserver *) base/task/thread_pool/worker_thread.cc:109:3
#3 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl::<lambda_2>::operator() base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:187
#4 0x7ffedee42920 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::WorkerContainer::ForEachWorker<class 'private: void __cdecl
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>::(class 'private: void __cdecl
```

```
base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void)::'1':<lambda_2>) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:153:9
#5 0x7fdee4244f in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::FlushImpl(void) base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:185:23
#6 0x7fdee3a0ce in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::ScopedCommandsExecutor::~ScopedCommandsExecutor(void)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:104:31
#7 0x7fdee394f5 in base::internal::ThreadGroupImpl::Start(int, int, class base::TimeDelta, class scoped_refptr<class base::SequencedTaskRunner>, class
base::WorkerThreadObserver *, enum base::internal::ThreadGroup::WorkerEnvironment, bool, class absl::optional<class base::TimeDelta>)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:425:1
#8 0x7fdee5d569 in base::internal::ThreadPoolImpl::Start(struct base::ThreadPoolInstance::InitParams const &, class base::WorkerThreadObserver *)
base/task/thread_pool/thread_group_impl.cc:230:11
#9 0x7fde3abee6d in content::StartBrowserThreadPool(void) content/browser/startup_helper.cc:95:36
#10 0x7fde93636ec in content::ContentMainRunnerImpl::RunBrowser(struct content::MainFunctionParams &, bool) content/app/content_main_runner_impl.cc:1017:7
#11 0x7fde9363056 in content::ContentMainRunnerImpl::Run(bool) content/app/content_main_runner_impl.cc:953:12
#12 0x7fde9360332 in content::RunContentProcess(struct content::ContentMainParams const &, class content::ContentMainRunner *)
content/app/content_main.cc:386:36
#13 0x7fde936091a in content::ContentMain(struct content::ContentMainParams const &) content/app/content_main.cc:412:10
#14 0x7fdecef7145a in ChromeMain chrome/app/chrome_main.cc:151:12
#15 0x7fde6c975bb4 in MainDILoader::Launch(struct HINSTANCE __*, class base::TimeTicks) chrome/app/main_dll_loader_win.cc:169:12
#16 0x7fde6c972be8 in main chrome/app/chrome_exe_main_win.cc:382:20
#17 0x7fde6cd6ac8f in invoke_main d:\agent\work4\src\vc\tools\crt\vcstartup\src\startup\exe_common.inl:78
#18 0x7fde6cd6ac8f in __scrt_common_main_seh d:\agent\work4\src\vc\tools\crt\vcstartup\src\startup\exe_common.inl:288
#19 0x7fff61647033 (C:\Windows\System32\KERNEL32.DLL+0x180017033)
#20 0x7fff61ba2650 (C:\Windows\SYSTEM32\ntdll.dll+0x180052650)
```

SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free content/browser/service_worker/service_worker_host.h:59 in content::ServiceWorkerHost::worker_process_id

Shadow bytes around the buggy address:

```
0x0420f0b8dda0: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8ddb0: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8ddc0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8ddd0: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8dde0: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fa fa fa fa fa
=>0x0420f0b8ddf0: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8de00: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8de10: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fa fa fa
0x0420f0b8de20: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8de30: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
0x0420f0b8de40: fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fa fa fa
```

Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):

```
Addressable: 00
Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
Heap left redzone: fa
Freed heap region: fd
Stack left redzone: f1
Stack mid redzone: f2
Stack right redzone: f3
Stack after return: f5
Stack use after scope: f8
Global redzone: f9
Global init order: f6
Poisoned by user: f7
Container overflow: fc
Array cookie: ac
Intra object redzone: bb
ASan internal: fe
Left alloca redzone: ca
Right alloca redzone: cb
```

Client ID (if relevant): [see link above]

CREDIT INFORMATION

Externally reported security bugs may appear in Chrome release notes. If

this bug is included, how would you like to be credited?

Reporter credit: SorryMybad (@SorryMybad) of Kunlun Lab

I also upload the patch.diff for your reference. If you use it, can you add my email address to AUTHORS?

```
1.html
218 bytes View Download

copy_mojo_js_bindings.py
512 bytes View Download

patch.diff
1.1 KB View Download

sw.js
418 bytes View Download
```

Comment 1 by sheriffbot on Wed, Jul 14, 2021, 2:53 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: external_security_report

Comment 2 by jdeblasio@chromium.org on Wed, Jul 14, 2021, 5:07 PM EDT Project Member

Status: Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)
Owner: talp@chromium.org
Labels: Security_Severity-High Foundin-91 OS-Android OS-Chrome OS-Fuchsia OS-Linux OS-Mac OS-Windows OS-Lacros Pri-1
Components: Blink>Storage>FileSystem
Hi talp@: please take a look at this as soon as possible?

Marking this a Severity-High because a web API that can create many FileUtilitiesHost interfaces is only theoretical. However, this certainly seems high risk (and at the high end of Sev-High), and if you can find one, this bug would be Severity-Critical.

Comment 3 by sheriffbot on Wed, Jul 14, 2021, 5:11 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: Security_Impact-Stable

Comment 4 by sheriffbot on Thu, Jul 15, 2021, 9:06 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: M-91 Target-91

Setting milestone and target because of high severity.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 5 by soulc...@gmail.com on Mon, Jul 19, 2021, 9:21 AM EDT

ANY UPDATE HERE?

Comment 6 by t...@google.com on Mon, Jul 19, 2021, 9:36 PM EDT Project Member

Owner: jdeblasio@chromium.org
Cc: talp@chromium.org

Apologies for the delay, I was OOO.

While I can't comment on the severity, the analysis and suggested fix seem correct.

> I also upload the patch.diff for your reference. If you use it, can you add my email address to AUTHORS?
I don't know if there's a way to create a commit with a different author than myself (and AFAIK the AUTHORS file is populated automatically based on commit authors). If you'd like to try to create and submit the change yourself, I'm more than happy to help with that.

jdeblasio@, any suggestions on how we should proceed with this?

Comment 7 by jdeblasio@chromium.org on Tue, Jul 20, 2021, 2:58 PM EDT Project Member

Owner: t...@google.com
Cc: -talp@chromium.org jdeblasio@chromium.org

Re: AUTHORS file, I don't think there's any automated tooling. I agree with talp@: if you'd like to send out a change and ask talp@ or I to review it, we'd be happy to add you. See <https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+refs/heads/main/docs/contributing.md#initial-git-setup> for getting started instructions.

talp@, now that you're back, can you upload the fix if you think it's adequate, so that we aren't postponing this fix any longer than necessary? Thanks!

Comment 8 by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 20, 2021, 8:56 PM EDT Project Member

Ack, I'll send a CL shortly. I assume we'll want to merge it to M93?

Comment 9 by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 20, 2021, 9:35 PM EDT Project Member

Cc: kouhei@chromium.org

Comment 10 by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 20, 2021, 11:50 PM EDT Project Member

Ah, now I remember why I didn't pass the process ID instead of binding the host in the first place - the process may not exist at the time the binder map is populated. See PS1 here[1] for sample failures.

So I'm going to use a WeakPtr instead, hopefully that won't cause any test failures.

Comment 11 by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 20, 2021, 11:50 PM EDT Project Member

And, of course, I forgot the actual link in the previous comment:
<https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006/1>

Comment 12 by Git Watcher on Wed, Jul 21, 2021, 5:12 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:
<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f>

commit e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f
Author: Tal Pressman <talp@chromium.org>
Date: Wed Jul 21 09:11:13 2021

Manually post task to bind FileUtilitiesHost.

The FileUtilitiesHost binder is posted to a separate sequence, and the ServiceWorkerHost may be destroyed by the time the it runs, causing a UAF.

This CL changes it so that, when we try to bind a new receiver, the host's worker_process_id() is obtained first (on the service worker's core thread) and then a task is posted to do the actual binding on a USER_VISIBLE task runner.

Credit: This issue was first reported (with analysis) by
soulchen8650@gmail.com.

~~Bug-4220209~~

Change-Id: I6d5c05a830ba30f6cb98bf2df70a3df333f3dd9
Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006>
Reviewed-by: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>
Reviewed-by: Kouhei Ueno <kouhei@chromium.org>
Commit-Queue: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>
Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#903832}

[modify] https://crrev.com/e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f/content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc

Comment 13 by jdeblasio@chromium.org on Wed, Jul 21, 2021, 1:25 PM EDT Project Member

Re: #8: Yes please. Once this bug is marked Fixed, sheriffbot will likely auto-add the merge request labels if you do not.

Comment 14 by t...@google.com on Wed, Jul 21, 2021, 10:15 PM EDT Project Member

Status: Fixed (was: Assigned)

Thanks. I'm marking as fixed, and will wait for the bot and the questionnaire.

Comment 15 by sheriffbot on Thu, Jul 22, 2021, 12:42 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: reward-topanel

Comment 16 by sheriffbot on Thu, Jul 22, 2021, 1:42 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotify

Comment 17 by sheriffbot on Sat, Jul 24, 2021, 9:10 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: Merge-Request-92 Merge-Request-93 Merge-Request-91

Requesting merge to extended stable M91 because latest trunk commit (903832) appears to be after extended stable branch point (870763).

Requesting merge to stable M92 because latest trunk commit (903832) appears to be after stable branch point (885287).

Requesting merge to dev M93 because latest trunk commit (903832) appears to be after dev branch point (902210).

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 18 by sheriffbot on Sat, Jul 24, 2021, 9:12 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Request-92 Merge-Review-92 Hotlist-Merge-Review

This bug requires manual review. Request affecting a post-stable build
Before a merge request will be considered, the following information is required to be added to this bug:

1. Does your merge fit within the Merge Decision Guidelines?
- Chrome: https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src.git/+main/docs/process/merge_request.md#when-to-request-a-merge
- Chrome OS: <https://goto.google.com/cros-release-branch-merge-guidelines>
2. Links to the CLs you are requesting to merge.
3. Has the change landed and been verified on ToT?
4. Does this change need to be merged into other active release branches (M-1, M+1)?
5. Why are these changes required in this milestone after branch?
6. Is this a new feature?
7. If it is a new feature, is it behind a flag using finch?

Chrome OS Only:

8. Was the change reviewed and approved by the Eng Prod Representative? See Eng Prod ownership by component: <http://go/cros-engprodcomponents>

Please contact the milestone owner if you have questions.

Owners: govind@ (Android), benmason@ (iOS), dgagnon@ (ChromeOS), srinivassista@ (Desktop)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 19 by pbommana@google.com on Sat, Jul 24, 2021, 9:28 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: Target-93

Comment 20 by sheriffbot on Sun, Jul 25, 2021, 9:13 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Request-93 Hotlist-Merge-Approved Merge-Approved-93

Your change meets the bar and is auto-approved for M93. Please go ahead and merge the CL to branch 4577 (refs/branch-heads/4577) manually. Please contact milestone owner if you have questions.

Merge instructions: <https://www.chromium.org/developers/how-tos/drover>

Owners: benmason@ (Android), govind@ (iOS), geohsu@ (ChromeOS), pbommana@ (Desktop)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 21 by pbommana@google.com on Sun, Jul 25, 2021, 9:15 AM EDT Project Member

Your change has been approved for M93. Please go ahead and merge the CL to branch 4577 manually asap so that it would be part of this week's Dev/Beta release.

Comment 22 by Git Watcher on Mon, Jul 26, 2021, 11:06 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -merge-approved-93 merge-merged-4577 merge-merged-93

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+a498cfe6a2294c5e8023a31000605d62538b5c29>

commit [a498cfe6a2294c5e8023a31000605d62538b5c29](https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+a498cfe6a2294c5e8023a31000605d62538b5c29)

Author: Tal Pressman <talp@chromium.org>

Date: Tue Jul 27 03:05:48 2021

Manually post task to bind FileUtilitiesHost.

This is a merge of crrev.com/c/3041006 to the M93 branch.

The FileUtilitiesHost binder is posted to a separate sequence, and the ServiceWorkerHost may be destroyed by the time the it runs, causing a UAF.

This CL changes it so that, when we try to bind a new receiver, the host's worker_process_id() is obtained first (on the service worker's core thread) and then a task is posted to do the actual binding on a USER_VISIBLE task runner.

Credit: This issue was first reported (with analysis) by

soulchen8650@gmail.com.

(cherry picked from commit [e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f](https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f))

~~Bug-4220208~~

Change-Id: [I6d5c05a830ba30f6cb98bf2df70a3df333f3dd9](https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006>

Reviewed-by: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>

Reviewed-by: Kouhei Ueno <kouhei@chromium.org>

Commit-Queue: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#903832}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3052875>

Reviewed-by: Prudhvi Kumar Bommana <pbommana@google.com>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4577@{#204}

Cr-Branched-From: [761ddde228655e313424edec06497d0c56b0f3c4](https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+761ddde228655e313424edec06497d0c56b0f3c4)-refs/heads/master@{#902210}

[modify] https://crrev.com/a498cfe6a2294c5e8023a31000605d62538b5c29/content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc

Comment 23 by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 12:47 AM EDT Project Member

The fix was merged to the M93 branch, but I'm a little confused by all the comments and labels added by sheriffbot.

jdeblasio@

I answered the questionnaire to the best of my ability below. Could you please take a look (esp. questions 1, 4 and 5) and advise on how to proceed?

=====

1. Does your merge fit within the Merge Decision Guidelines?

The CL was automatically approved and merged to M93. For M92(and possibly 91?) it is a post-stable fix, so I'll defer to jdeblasio@ regarding criticality.

2. Links to the CLs you are requesting to merge.

crrev.com/c/3041006 (crrev.com/c/3052875 is the merged M93 CL).

3. Has the change landed and been verified on ToT?

Yes.

4. Does this change need to be merged into other active release branches (M-1, M+1)?

5. Why are these changes required in this milestone after branch?

I'll defer to jdeblasio@ on these questions.

6. Is this a new feature?

No, this is a security fix for code that landed in M91.

7. If it is a new feature, is it behind a flag using finch?
Neither the fix nor the original code it fixes are behind a flag.

[Comment 24](#) by jdeblasio@chromium.org on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 1:03 PM EDT Project Member

Re: questions 1, 4 and 5, this is a high-severity security vulnerability present in M91/92.

[Comment 25](#) by amyressler@google.com on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 4:26 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Request-91 -Merge-Review-92 Merge-Approved-92 Merge-Approved-91

Sorry for all the flurry of comments and labels by Sheriffbot. Your CL landed in time that it could be auto-approved for merge to M93; however, since this bug was found it M91, the bot has also helpfully kicked off merge review for M92 and M91.

Please go ahead and merge to M92, branch 4515, by Thursday so this fix for this high severity issue can be a part of the stable refresh release next week.
Also, at your earliest convenience, please also merge to branch 4472, as M91 is now the extended stable release as we move toward the 4W stable release cycle.

[Comment 26](#) by t...@google.com on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 7:24 PM EDT Project Member

Thanks for the clarification! I'll start the CLs to merge to M91 and M92.

[Comment 27](#) by [Git Watcher](#) on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 11:01 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -merge-approved-92 merge-merged-4515 merge-merged-92

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+0f1eaba52798718df865c9cafd7fdafb880343df>

commit [0f1eaba52798718df865c9cafd7fdafb880343df](#)

Author: Tal Pressman <talp@chromium.org>

Date: Wed Jul 28 03:00:15 2021

Manually post task to bind FileUtilitiesHost.

This is a merge of crrev.com/c/3041006 to the M92 branch.

The FileUtilitiesHost binder is posted to a separate sequence, and the ServiceWorkerHost may be destroyed by the time the it runs, causing a UAF.

This CL changes it so that, when we try to bind a new receiver, the host's worker_process_id() is obtained first (on the service worker's core thread) and then a task is posted to do the actual binding on a USER_VISIBLE task runner.

Credit: This issue was first reported (with analysis) by soulchen8650@gmail.com.

(cherry picked from commit [e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f](#))

[Bug-1220208](#)

Change-Id: [I6d5c05a830ba30f6cb98bf2df70a3df3333f3dd9](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006>

Reviewed-by: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>

Reviewed-by: Kouhei Ueno <kouhei@chromium.org>

Commit-Queue: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#903832}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3056313>

Auto-Submit: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Commit-Queue: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4515@{#1856}

Cr-Branched-From: [488fc70865ddaa05324ac0a54a6eb783b4bc41c](#)-refs/heads/master@{#885287}

[modify] https://crrev.com/0f1eaba52798718df865c9cafd7fdafb880343df/content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc

[Comment 28](#) by [Git Watcher](#) on Tue, Jul 27, 2021, 11:02 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -merge-approved-91 merge-merged-4472 merge-merged-91

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+f024e59f574ee3ca4297d35810e4c96ebd223173>

commit [f024e59f574ee3ca4297d35810e4c96ebd223173](#)

Author: Tal Pressman <talp@chromium.org>

Date: Wed Jul 28 03:01:32 2021

Manually post task to bind FileUtilitiesHost.

This is a merge of crrev.com/c/3041006 to the M91 branch.

The FileUtilitiesHost binder is posted to a separate sequence, and the ServiceWorkerHost may be destroyed by the time the it runs, causing a UAF.

This CL changes it so that, when we try to bind a new receiver, the host's worker_process_id() is obtained first (on the service worker's core thread) and then a task is posted to do the actual binding on a USER_VISIBLE task runner.

Credit: This issue was first reported (with analysis) by soulchen8650@gmail.com.

(cherry picked from commit [e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f](#))

[Bug-1220208](#)

Change-Id: [I6d5c05a830ba30f6cb98bf2df70a3df3333f3dd9](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006>

Reviewed-by: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>

Reviewed-by: Kouhei Ueno <kouhei@chromium.org>

Commit-Queue: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#903832}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3058010>

Auto-Submit: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Commit-Queue: Kinuko Yasuda <kinuko@chromium.org>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4472@{#1584}

Cr-Branched-From: [3d60439cfb36485e76a1c5bb7f513d3721b20da1](#)-refs/heads/master@{#870763}

[modify] https://crrev.com/f024e59f574ee3ca4297d35810e4c96ebd223173/content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc

Comment 29 by amyressler@google.com on Wed, Jul 28, 2021, 4:51 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -reward-topanel reward-unpaid reward-20000

*** Boilerplate reminders! ***

Please do NOT publicly disclose details until a fix has been released to all our users. Early public disclosure may cancel the provisional reward. Also, please be considerate about disclosure when the bug affects a core library that may be used by other products. Please do NOT share this information with third parties who are not directly involved in fixing the bug. Doing so may cancel the provisional reward. Please be honest if you have already disclosed anything publicly or to third parties. Lastly, we understand that some of you are not interested in money. We offer the option to donate your reward to an eligible charity. If you prefer this option, let us know and we will also match your donation - subject to our discretion. Any rewards that are unclaimed after 12 months will be donated to a charity of our choosing.

Please contact security-vrp@chromium.org with any questions.

Comment 30 by amyressler@google.com on Wed, Jul 28, 2021, 5:12 PM EDT Project Member

Congratulations, SorryMybad! The VRP Panel has decided to award you \$20,000 for this report. Nice work!

Comment 31 by amyressler@google.com on Thu, Jul 29, 2021, 5:48 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -reward-unpaid reward-inprocess

Comment 32 by amyressler@chromium.org on Mon, Aug 2, 2021, 10:31 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: Release-1-M92

Comment 33 by amyressler@google.com on Mon, Aug 2, 2021, 10:57 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: CVE-2021-30591 CVE_description-missing

Comment 34 by voit@google.com on Thu, Aug 5, 2021, 1:23 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: LTS-Security-90 LTS-Merge-Request-90 LTS-Size-Small LTS-Complexity-Trivial

Comment 35 by gianluca@google.com on Thu, Aug 5, 2021, 6:20 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: -LTS-Merge-Request-90 LTS-Merge-Approved-90

Comment 36 by Git Watcher on Tue, Aug 10, 2021, 2:37 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: merge-merged-4430 merge-merged-90

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+995064c730323afab2ab92fd1fef507d41b19f4>

commit 995064c730323afab2ab92fd1fef507d41b19f4

Author: Tal Pressman <talp@chromium.org>

Date: Tue Aug 10 06:36:26 2021

[M90-LTS] Manually post task to bind FileUtilitiesHost.

The FileUtilitiesHost binder is posted to a separate sequence, and the ServiceWorkerHost may be destroyed by the time the it runs, causing a UAF.

This CL changes it so that, when we try to bind a new receiver, the host's worker_process_id() is obtained first (on the service worker's core thread) and then a task is posted to do the actual binding on a USER_VISIBLE task runner.

Credit: This issue was first reported (with analysis) by

soulchen8650@gmail.com.

(cherry picked from commit [e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f](https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+e2123a8e0943b4399814bd530df401ed071b6d0f))

~~Bug: 4220209~~

Change-Id: I6d5c05a830ba30f6cb98bf2df70a3df3333f3dd9

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3041006>

Commit-Queue: Tal Pressman <talp@google.com>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#903832}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3071365>

Reviewed-by: Achuth Bhandarkar <achuith@chromium.org>

Commit-Queue: Zakhar Voit <voit@google.com>

Owners-Override: Achuth Bhandarkar <achuith@chromium.org>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4430@{#1564}

Cr-Branched-From: [e5ce7dc4f7518237b3d9bb93cccca35d25216cbe](https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+e5ce7dc4f7518237b3d9bb93cccca35d25216cbe)-refs/heads/master@{#857950}

[modify] https://crrev.com/995064c730323afab2ab92fd1fef507d41b19f4/content/browser/browser_interface_binders.cc

Comment 37 by voit@google.com on Thu, Aug 12, 2021, 3:07 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: -LTS-Merge-Approved-90 LTS-Merged-90

Comment 38 by amyressler@google.com on Thu, Aug 26, 2021, 1:09 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -CVE_description-missing CVE_description-submitted

Comment 39 by sheriffbot on Fri, Oct 29, 2021, 1:30 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityNotify allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot