Jump to bottom New issue

Heap-based buffer over-read in get_rgb_row() in rdppm.c #433

⊙ Closed sanjeevk001 opened this issue on May 25, 2020 · 3 comments



```
sanjeevk001 commented on May 25, 2020
Have you searched the existing issues (both open and closed) in the libjpeg-turbo issue tracker to ensure that this bug report is not a duplicate?
Does this bug report describe one of the two known and unsolvable issues with the JPEG format?
Clear and concise description of the bug:
Heap-based buffer over-read in get_rgb_row() in rdppm.c
Steps to reproduce the bug (using only libjpeg-turbo):
Compile with Address Sanitizer (ASan):
./cipeq ./reproducer
Without ASan:
valgrind -q ./cjpeg ./reproducer
Image(s) needed in order to reproduce the bug (if applicable):
reproducer.zip
Expected behavior:
Observed behavior:
  ==2127==\text{ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address } 0x62900000417f \text{ at pc } 0x55f48a780991 \text{ bp } 0x7ffccfe84010 \text{ sp } 0x7ffccfe84000 \text{ READ of size 1 at } 0x62900000417f \text{ thread } T0
      #0 0x55f48a780990 in get_rgb_row libjpeg-turbo/rdppm.c:434
      #1 0x55f48a77cadd in main libjpeg-turbo/cjpeg.c:664
#2 0x7f9476b50b96 in _libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x21b96)
#3 0x55f48a77d049 in _start (libjpeg-turbo/build/cjpeg+0x6049)
  0x62900000417f is located 104 bytes to the right of 16151-byte region [0x629000000200,0x6290000004117)
  allocated by thread T0 here:
#0 0x7f947730db40 in __interceptor_malloc (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libasan.so.4+0xdeb40)
      #1 0x7f9476fea6cb in alloc_small libjpeg-turbo/jmemmgr.c:318
      #2 0x55f48a78s157 in jinit_read_ppm libjpeg-turbo/rdppm.c:756
#3 0x55f48a77c8cb in select_file_type libjpeg-turbo/cjpeg.c:118
      #4 0x55f48a77c8cb in main libjpeg-turbo/cjpeg.c:636
       \texttt{\#5 0x7f9476b50b96 in \_\_libc\_start\_main (/lib/x86\_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x21b96)} \\
  SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow libjpeg-turbo/rdppm.c:434 in get_rgb_row
  Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
    Addressable:
    Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
    Heap left redzone:
    Freed heap region:
Stack left redzone:
    Stack mid redzone:
Stack right redzone:
    Stack after return:
    Stack use after scope:
    Global redzone:
    Global init order:
    Poisoned by user:
    Container overflow:
    Array cookie:
    Intra object redzone:
    ASan internal:
    Left alloca redzone:
Right alloca redzone:
  ==2127==ABORTING
```

Platform(s) (compiler version, operating system version, CPU) on which the bug was observed:

gcc (Ubuntu 7.5.0-3ubuntu1~18.04) 7.5.0, Linux 5.3.0-51-generic

libjpeg-turbo release(s), commit(s), or branch(es) in which the bug was observed (always test the tip of the master branch or the latest stable pre-release to verify that the bug hasn't already heen fixed):

libjpeg-turbo version 2.0.5 (master)

Additional information:
Sanjeevk001 added the bug label on May 25, 2020
R sanjeevk001 assigned dcommander on May 25, 2020
dcommander closed this as completed in 3de15e0 on Jun 2, 2020
of the state of th
camil commented on Jun 3, 2020
This issue got CVE-2020-13790 assigned.
a 1
drommander commented on Jun 3, 2020
Commence Commence On July 2, 2020
Added CVE ID to the change log. Thanks.
√ dcommander added a commit that referenced this issue on Jun 3, 2020
Tdppm.c: Fix buf overrun caused by bad binary PPM ··· X 5fad3
Ç Z dcommander added a commit that referenced this issue on Jun 3, 2020
grdppm.c: Fix buf overrun caused by bad binary PPM ··· X 1f7a5
ÇZ dcommander added a commit that referenced this issue on Jun 3, 2020
grdppm.c: Fix buf overrun caused by bad binary PPM ··· ✓ 1bfb0
Ç ² (()) KexyBiscuit mentioned this issue on Jun 10, 2020
libjpeg-turbo: CVE-2020-13790 AOSC-Dev/aosc-os-abbs#2190
⊙Closed
F) 3 tasks
rain6851 commented on Nov 3, 2020
This issue got CVE-2020-13790 assigned.
@carnil Can you tell me where did you apply for this CVE? The application I submitted to the CVE website has not yet been replied.
Assignees dcommander
bug fixed
uug ineu
Projects
None yet
Milestone
No milestone
Development
No branches or pull requests
4 participants

If the bug is a regression, the specific commit that introduced the regression (use git bisect to determine this):

�� ● □ ⇔