

# Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2022-1484

## TCL LinkHub Mesh Wi-Fi confsrv ucloud\_set\_node\_location buffer overflow vulnerability

AUGUST 1, 2022

### CVE NUMBER

CVE-2022-26342

### SUMMARY

A buffer overflow vulnerability exists in the confsrv ucloud\_set\_node\_location functionality of TCL LinkHub Mesh Wi-Fi MS1G\_00\_01.00\_14. A specially-crafted network packet can lead to a buffer overflow. An attacker can send a malicious packet to trigger this vulnerability.

### CONFIRMED VULNERABLE VERSIONS

The versions below were either tested or verified to be vulnerable by Talos or confirmed to be vulnerable by the vendor.

TCL LinkHub Mesh Wifi MS1G\_00\_01.00\_14

### PRODUCT URLS

LinkHub Mesh Wifi - <https://www.tcl.com/us/en/products/connected-home/linkhub/linkhub-mesh-wifi-system-3-pack>

### CVSSV3 SCORE

8.8 - CVSS:3.0/AV:A/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

### CWE

CWE-120 - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

### DETAILS

The LinkHub Mesh Wi-Fi system is a node-based mesh system designed for Wi-Fi deployments across large homes. These nodes include most features standard in current Wi-Fi solutions and allow for easy expansion of the system by adding nodes. The mesh is managed solely by a phone application, and the routers have no web-based management console.

The LinkHub Mesh system uses protobufs to communicate both internally on the device as well as externally with the controlling phone application. These protobufs can be sent to port 9003 while on the Wi-Fi provided by the LinkHub Mesh in order to issue commands, much like the phone application would. Once the protobuf is received, it is routed internally starting from the `ucCloud` binary and is dispatched to the appropriate handler.

In this case, the handler is `confsrv` which handles many message types. In this case we are interested in `NodeLocation`

```
message NodeLocation {  
    required string serialNum = 1;  
    required string location = 2;           [1]  
    optional uint64 timestamp = 3;  
}
```

Using [1] we have control over `location` in the packet. The parsing of the data in the protobuf is done in `ucCloud_set_node_location`.

```

00429390  int32_t ucloud_set_node_location(int32_t arg1, int32_t arg2, int32_t arg3)

004293b0      arg_0 = arg1
004293bc      int32_t $a3
004293bc      arg_c = $a3
004293c0      int32_t var_12c = 0
004293c4      int32_t var_128 = 0
004293c8      int32_t var_124 = 0
004293cc      int32_t var_120 = 0
004293d0      int32_t var_11c = 0
004293d4      int32_t var_118 = 0
004293d8      int32_t var_114 = 0
004293dc      int32_t var_110 = 0
004293e0      int32_t var_10c = 0
004293e4      int32_t var_130 = 0
00429404      void var_108
00429404      memset(&var_108, 0, 0x100)
00429428      GetValue(name: "serial.number", output_buffer: &var_128)
00429450      struct NodeLocationDescriptor* pkt = node_location__unpack(0, arg3,
arg2)
00429464      int32_t $v0_2
00429464      if (pkt == 0) {
0042948c          _td_snprintf(3, "api/map_manage.c", 0x83a, "    unpack failed !
\n", 0x4ae4b0)
00429498          $v0_2 = 0xffffffff
00429498      } else {
004294b8          void* $v0_5 = client_sn_lkup(sn: pkt->serial_number)
004294cc          if ($v0_5 != 0) {
004294f4              strcpy($v0_5 + 0x30, pkt->location)
[2]
0042950c              if (sx.d(*($v0_5 + 0x30)) == 0) {
00429544                  *($v0_5 + 4) = *($v0_5 + 4) & 0xffffffffd
0042953c              } else {
00429524                  *($v0_5 + 4) = *($v0_5 + 4) | 2
0042951c              }
0042951c          }
...

```

At [2] we can clearly see that a `strcpy` is performed without any validation of buffer or input length, which can lead to a buffer overflow. Below we can verify the issue in ASM:

```

004294a4 1c00c28f lw      $v0, 0x1c($fp) {var_12c_1}
004294a8 0c00428c lw      $v0, 0xc($v0) {NodeLocationDescriptor::serial_number}
004294ac 21204000 move    $a0, $v0
004294b0 4883828f lw      $v0, -0x7cb8($gp) {client_sn_lkup} {data_4a67f8}
004294b4 21c84000 move    $t9, $v0 {client_sn_lkup}
004294b8 09f82003 jalr    $t9 {client_sn_lkup}
004294bc 00000000 nop

004294c0 1000dc8f lw      $gp, 0x10($fp) {var_138}
004294c4 1800c2af sw      $v0, 0x18($fp) {var_130_1}
[3]
004294c8 1800c28f lw      $v0, 0x18($fp) {var_130_1}
004294cc 1e004010 beqz    $v0, 0x429548
004294d0 00000000 nop

004294d4 1800c28f lw      $v0, 0x18($fp) {var_130_1}
[4]
004294d8 30004324 addiu   $v1, $v0, 0x30
004294dc 1c00c28f lw      $v0, 0x1c($fp) {var_12c_1}
004294e0 1000428c lw      $v0, 0x10($v0) {NodeLocationDescriptor::location}
[5]
004294e4 21206000 move    $a0, $v1
004294e8 21284000 move    $a1, $v0
004294ec 7c86828f lw      $v0, -0x7984($gp) {strcpy}
004294f0 21c84000 move    $t9, $v0
004294f4 09f82003 jalr    $t9
[6]
004294f8 00000000 nop

```

At [3] we see the return value of `client_sn_lkup` being saved onto the stack that is loaded at [4] to be the destination argument of `strcpy`. At [5] the `location` is loaded from the protobuf data and used as the source for the `strcpy`. At [6] we see that `strcpy` is called with no further validation or verification that the buffer is large enough to hold the data, or that the source is small enough to fit in the buffer. This leads to a simple buffer overflow using `strcpy`.

The destination buffer for the overflow is retrieved from `client_sn_lkup`, seen below.

```

00422c30 void* client_sn_lkup(char* sn)

00422c54     int32_t var_10 = 0
00422c60     uint32_t var_10_1 = g_online_map_head
00422cb8     uint32_t $v0_4
00422cb8     while (true) {
00422cb8         if (var_10_1 == 0) {
00422cc0             $v0_4 = 0
00422cc0             break
00422cc0         }
00422c94         if (strncmp(sn, var_10_1 + 8, 0x20) == 0) {
00422c9c             $v0_4 = var_10_1
00422ca0             break
00422ca0         }
00422cb0         var_10_1 = *var_10_1
00422cac     }
00422cd4     return $v0_4

```

`g_online_map_head` is located within the BSS section of the binary. This means that with this buffer overflow we can target any other statically allocated variable within the binary, which can lead to remote code execution.

#### Crash Information

Program received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault.  
0x779493f0 in strcmp () from target:/lib/libc.so.0  
[ Legend: Modified register | Code | Heap | Stack | String ]

---

— registers —

\$zero: 0x0  
\$at : 0x806f0000  
\$v0 : 0x32  
\$v1 : 0x41  
\$a0 : 0x004ba150 → "21012304710"  
\$a1 : 0x41414149 ("IAAA"?)  
\$a2 : 0x20  
\$a3 : 0x7  
\$t0 : 0x0  
\$t1 : 0x0  
\$t2 : 0x200  
\$t3 : 0x100  
\$t4 : 0x807  
\$t5 : 0x800  
\$t6 : 0x400  
\$t7 : 0x8  
\$s0 : 0x7fddaaa8 → 0x82031107  
\$s1 : 0x7fddaaa8 → 0x82031107  
\$s2 : 0x77d7aa60 → "uc\_api\_lib.c"  
\$s3 : 0x0  
\$s4 : 0x77d7bbe4 → "\_session\_read\_and\_dispatch"  
\$s5 : 0x77d61090 → 0x3c1c0003  
\$s6 : 0x1018  
\$s7 : 0x10  
\$t8 : 0x0  
\$t9 : 0x779493d0 → 0x2cc20004  
\$k0 : 0x0  
\$k1 : 0x0  
\$s8 : 0x7fdda6d0 → 0x00000000  
\$pc : 0x779493f0 → 0x1040001d  
\$sp : 0x7fdda6d0 → 0x00000000  
\$hi : 0x169  
\$lo : 0x25512  
\$fir : 0x0  
\$ra : 0x00422c90 → <client\_sn\_lkup+96> lw gp, 16(s8)  
\$gp : 0x004ae4b0 → 0x00000000

---

— stack —

0x7fdda6d0|+0x0000: 0x00000000 ← \$s8, \$sp  
0x7fdda6d4|+0x0004: 0x00000000  
0x7fdda6d8|+0x0008: 0x00000000  
0x7fdda6dc|+0x000c: 0x00000000  
0x7fdda6e0|+0x0010: 0x004ae4b0 → 0x00000000  
0x7fdda6e4|+0x0014: 0x00000000  
0x7fdda6e8|+0x0018: "AAAA"  
0x7fdda6ec|+0x001c: 0x00000000

---

— code:mips:MIPS32 —

0x779493e4 <strcmp+20>      move    v0, zero  
0x779493e8 <strcmp+24>      lbu     v0, 0(a0)  
0x779493ec <strcmp+28>      addiu   a3, a3, -1

## TIMELINE

2022-03-16 - Vendor Disclosure

2022-08-01 - Public Release

## CREDIT

Discovered by Carl Hurd of Cisco Talos.

---

VULNERABILITY REPORTS

PREVIOUS REPORT

NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2022-1482

TALOS-2022-1483

---