

CVE-2020-12049: File descriptor leak in _dbus_read_socket_with_unix_fds

GitHub Security Lab (GHSL) Vulnerability Report: GHSL-2020-057

The <u>GitHub Security Lab</u> team has identified a potential security vulnerability in <u>dbus</u>.

We are committed to working with you to help resolve these issues. In this report you will find everything you need to effectively coordinate a resolution of these issues with the GHSL team.

If at any point you have concerns or questions about this process, please do not hesitate to reach out to us at securitylab@github.com (please include your GKSL-2020-697 as a reference).

If you are NOT the correct point of contact for this report, please let us know!

Summary

D-Bus has a file descriptor leak, which can lead to denial of service when the dbus-daemon runs out of file descriptors. An unprivileged local attacker can use this to attack the system dbus-daemon, leading to denial of service for all users of the machine.

Product

D-Bus (dbus-daemon)

Tested Version

1.12.2-1ubuntu1.1 (tested on Ubuntu 18.04.4 LTS)

Detail

Issue 1: File descriptor leak in $_dbus_read_socket_with_unix_fds$

The function _dbus_read_socket_with_unix_fds contains the following code at <u>dbus-sysdeps-unix.c</u>, line 438:

```
if (m.mag.flags & MSG_CTRUNC)
{
    /* Hem, apparently the control data was truncated. The bad
    thing is that we might have completely lost a couple of fds
    without chance to recover them. Hence let's treat this as a
    serious error. */
    error = ENOSPC;
    dous_string_et_length (buffer, start);
    return -1;
}
```

The intention of this code is to handle the case where too many file descriptors are sent over the unix socket, causing the control data to get truncated. That could be a deliberate attempt by an attacker to cause a denial of service. The problem with the code is that some file descriptors may still have been received, even though the message has been truncated. So we need to make sure that those file descriptors are closed. Otherwise an attacker can cause us to quickly run out of file descriptors.

In my opinion, the simplest solution is to just delete this block of code entirely. The loop below (starting at line 450) handles the file descriptors correctly, so it is better to ignore the MSG_CTRUNC flag and process the message as normal. File descriptors will be correctly closed if the message is invalid. I have confirmed that my proof-of-concept exploit does not work if this block of code is deleted. An alternative solution is to postpone checking the MSG_CTRUNC flag until after the loop at line 450 has finished, so that the file descriptors can be dozed correctly.

Impac

This issue can lead to a local denial of service attack an unprivileged local attacker can make the system unusable for all users. For example, on Ubuntu 18.04.415, my proof-of-concept exploit prevents all users from logging in, because the login screen needs to send a D-Bus message, but the dous-daemon is no longer able to send or receive any message because it cannot create any new file descriptors.

Remediation

As I mentioned above, my recommendation is to delete the block of code at dbus-sysdeps-unix.c, line 438 to 448

Resources

I have attached the source code of my proof-of-concept exploit: 🔞 fd dos.cpp . Compile it and run it like this:

```
gcc fd_dos.cpp -o fd_dos
./fd_dos /var/run/dbus/system_bus_socket
```

Credit

This issue was discovered and reported by GHSL team member @kevinbackhouse (Kevin Backhouse).

Contact

You can contact the GHSL team at securitylab@github.com, please include the GHSL-2020-057 in any communication regarding this issue.

Disclosure Policy

This report is subject to our <u>coordinated disclosure policy</u>

Edited 2 years ago by Simon McVittie

⚠ Drag your designs here or <u>click to upload</u>.



When this merge request is accepted, this issue will be closed automatically.

Activity

Mevin Backhouse changed the description 2 years ago

Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago 1

so it is better to ignore the MSG_CTRUNC flag and process the message as normal. File descriptors will be correctly closed if the message

I don't think that's an ideal solution. If the kernel tells us that truncation has occurred, we ought to report that to the next layer up, rather than pretending that everything is fine. Otherwise, in the worst case we might associate fds with a wrongly-chosen message from the same sender.

For historical reasons, and due to some less-precise-than-would-be-ideal specification text and the fact that we're implementing a message oriented protocol over a kernel-side transport that has trouble deciding whether it's a stream or a series of messages, D-Bus me carry fds contain redundant information:

- the message header contains a UNIX_FDS header, which tells us the number of fds that the sender claims it attached
- the message header and/or body have some number of fds attached out-of-band

 o in practice all implementations that I know about attach them to the same sending() as the first byte of the header, but the
- specification observal actually guarantee that
 in principle the fds can be attached to any sendensg() that has at least one byte of this message in its in-band payload, and a
 pathological sender would be allowed to send a message with 4 fds by making 4 separate sendensg() calls, each containing at least one byte of the message in-band, and each with one fd attached
- one type to the message in-tunit, and each with one to allactive.

 Ohe relationship between in-band payload byte and attached fits depends on message size, how/whether the kernel splits large writes, how/whether the kernel coalexes small writes, and other implementation details

 in principle its an error to send more or fewer fits than the URLY. Six beader says, but in practice the dbus-daemon has no good way to detect this; so we have to assume that senders know what they're doing, and to avoid DoS, ensure that if they get it wrong they can only harm themselves
- the message header and/or body may contain "handles" (type-code h), which are indexes into the out-of-band fd list that act as a placeholder for the fd itself

 - pace-trouser to true to use.

 or in practice no current message headers contain handles, but it's a possibility for future development

 or it is valid (although odd) to send a message containing fits, but no references to them in the headers or body
 some D-But Affs allow accessing the fits by index even if the message body does not refer to them!

 or it is an error to send type-code h with a value >= the UNIX_FOS header (and this, at least, is easy to detect)

The alternative solution would be to add some code like this after the loop

I prefer that, conceptually.

Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago

This can be reproduced with the existing unit tests, without requiring privileges, which will make it a lot easier to test a proposed fix. If I test/fdpass.c to list open file descriptors before and after it tries to exceed the per-message limit:

Then compile dbus with GLib installed and tests enabled, and run:

\${builddir}/test/test-fdpass -p /odd-limit

Expected result

In each pair of File descriptors before and File descriptors after, I get the same fds before and after

Actual result

In the test-cases /odd-limit/minus1 and /odd-limit/at , where the limit is not exceeded, I get the expected result.

In the test-cases /odd-limit/plus1 and /odd-limit/plus2, where the limit is exceeded, the **File descriptors after** contains several extra file descriptors opened to /dev/mull. (These are the out-of-band file payload of the message.)

Edited by Simon McVittie 2 years ago

Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago

Sorry, no merge request here, because Gitlab isn't very good at embargoed merge requests.

From 8899849e64880cf61d14d735csb1d8204a65960e Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001
From: Simon McVIttle csmcv@collabora.com>
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 2008 144:511 4:000
Subject: [PATCH] sysdeps-unix: On MSG_CTRUNC, close the fds we did receive

MSG_CTRUNC indicates that we have received fewer fds that we should have done because the buffer was too small, but we were treating it as though it indicated that we received *no* fds. If we received an we still have to make sure we close them, otherwise they will be le

On the system bus, if an attacker can induce us to leak fds in this way, that's a local denial of service via resource exhaustion. Reported-by: Kevin Backhouse, GitHub Security Lab

Fixes: CVE-2020-12049 Fixes: GHSL-2020-057

if (m.msg_flags & MSG_CTRUNC) // Hmm, apparently the control data was truncated. The bad thing is that we night have completely lost a couple of fds without chance to recover them. Hence let's treat this as a serious error. */

errno = ENOSPC; _dbus_string_set_length (buffer, start); return -1;

for (cm = CMSG_FIRSTHDR(&m); cm; cm = CMSG_NXTHDR(&m, cm))
if (cm->cmsg_level == SOL_SOCKET && cm->cmsg_type == SCM_RIGHTS)

{
@@ -501,6 +489,26 @@ _dbus_read_socket_with_unix_fds (DBusSocket

if (m.msg_flags & MSG_CTRUNC)

/* Hmm, apparently the control data was truncated. The bad thing is that we night have completely lost a couple of fds without chance to recover them. Hence let's treat this as a serious error. */

/* We still need to close whatever fds we "did* receive " otherwise they'll never get closed. (GHSL-2020-057) for (i = 0; i < * n_fds; i++) close (fds[i]);

*n fds = 0: rn_rds = 0;
errno = ENOSPC;
_dbus_string_set_length (buffer, start);
return -1;

/* put length back (doesn't actually realloc) */
_dbus_string_set_length (buffer, start + bytes_read);

Edited by Simon McVittie 2 years ago Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago



Owner



This assumes [153 (merged) has been applied first, although I could adapt it to not From edb0af7b28d3f8b8d13abdad422b5c375624a039 Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001 From: Simon McVittie camcqWcollabora.com> Date: Thu, 16 Apr 2020 14:41:48 +0100 Subject: [PATCH] fdpass: Assert that we don't leak file descriptors Reproduces: dbus#294 Reproduces: CVE-2020-12049 Reproduces: GHSL-2020-057 Signed-off-by: Simon McVittie <smcv@collabora.com> test/fdpass.c | 8 +++++++ 1 file changed, 8 insertions(+) diff --git a/test/fdpass.c b/test/fdpass.c index d84b7fe9..abd41504 100644 - a/test/fdpass.c +++ b/test/fdpass.c @@ -92,6 +92,7 @@ typedef struct { GQueue messages; int fd_before; + DBusInitialFDs *initial_fds; } Fixture; static void oom (const gchar *doing) G_GNUC_NORETURN; @ -176,6 +177,8 @ test_connect (Fixture *f, if (f->skip) + f->initial_fds = _dbus_check_fdleaks_enter (); g_assert (f->left_server_conn == NULL); g_assert (f->right_server_conn == NULL); -,.. me teardown (Fixture *f, if (f->fd_before >= 0 && close (f->fd_before) < 0) g_error ("%s", g_strerror (errno)); #endif $^{\rm +}$ $^{\rm +}$ /* T000: It would be nice if we could ask GLib which test-case is would be nice if we could ask GLIb which test-case + we're currently in ? + if (f-sinitial_f6s i= NULL) + _Gbus_check_fdlesks_leave (f-sinitial_fds, "next test-case"); } 2.26.1

Edited by Simon McVittie 2 years ago

Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago Reproducer (for dbus-1.12)



Because we can't rely on refactoring like 1153/mercett on the stable branch, this will only reproduce the bug if dbus was configured with emable-meedede-texts. In a Debian/Ubuntu package to which this patch has been applied, you could install the dbus-tests package and number version of test-fapes that is installed in /uss/1lb/dbus-1.0/debug-build/libexec/installed-tests/dbus or some similar path (paths may vary according to the age of the package).

```
From 754b44216e15f2c536bc8a5b1b831273fe57e662 Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001
    From 754044216e1572:536bc8a5b1b831273fe57e662 Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 26
From: Simon McVittie <smc@collabora.com
Date: Thu, 16 Apr 2020 14:41:48 +0100
Subject: [PATCH] fdpass: Assert that we don't leak file descriptors
   This version is for the dbus-1.12 branch, and doesn't rely on dbus!153
   Reproduces: dbus#294
Reproduces: CVE-2020-12049
Reproduces: GHSL-2020-057
Signed-off-by: Simon McVittie <smcv@collabora.com>
     diff --git a/test/fdpass.c b/test/fdpass.c
   index 4a3edc4e..8bad675f 100644
--- a/test/fdpass.c
+++ b/test/fdpass.c
   @@ -50,6 +50,14 @@
     #include "test-utils-glib.h"
  ##ifdef DOUS_DNABLE_DREDDITSTS
##include cdObs/dbus-message-internal.h>
##else
##eypedef stroct_DBusInitialFos DBusInitialFos;
##define_dbus_check_fdleaks_enter() NULL
##define_dbus_check_fdleaks_leave(fds) do () while (0)
##endif
      /* Arbitrary; included here to avoid relying on the default */
   /* Arbitrary; included here to avoid relying on the derau Medifie Max, MESSAGE_UNIX_FDS 20
/* This test won't work on Linux unless this is true. */
@@ -92,6 +100,7 @@ typedef struct {
GQueue messages;
           int fd_before;
DBusInitialFDs *initial_fds;
     } Fixture;
   static void oom (const gchar *doing) G_GNUC_NORETURN;
@@ -176,6 +185,8 @@ test_connect (Fixture *f,
    if (f->skip)
           return;
    + f->initial_fds = _dbus_check_fdleaks_enter ();
        g_assert (f->left_server_conn == NULL);
g_assert (f->right_server_conn == NULL);
    + if (f->initial_fds != NULL)
+ _dbus_check_fdleaks_leave (f->initial_fds);
   2.26.1
Edited by Simon McVittie 2 years ago
```

Simon McVittie @smcv · 2 years ago



Lennart Poettering @poettering · 2 years ago

Usanti we don't check for CTRUNC. But it might make sense to change that, it's probably safer to do that, indeed. So I think Simon's ps looks OK. And yeah, if one gets a message which one then deems not good enough, the error path for that of course should close all fids again. Maintainer

