Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1029

atftpd daemon Denial of Service Vulnerability

AUGUST 26, 2020

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2020-6097

Summary

An exploitable denial of service vulnerability exists in the atftpd daemon functionality of atftp 0.7.git20120829-3.1+b1. A specially crafted sequence of RRQ-Multicast requests trigger an assert() call resulting in denial-of-service. An attacker can send a sequence of malicious packets to trigger this vulnerability.

Tested Versions

atftp 0.7.git20120829-3.1+b1

Product URLs

https://github.com/seveas/atftp

CVSSv3 Score

7.5 - CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:N/I:N/A:H

CWE

CWE-617 - Reachable Assertion

Details

atftp is an open source TFTP server implementation. The 'a' stands for "advanced", because it's intended to be fully compliant with all related RFCs including RFC1350, RFC2090, RFC2347, RFC2348 and RFC2349.

A remote attacker may send a sequence of crafted RRQ-Multicast requests to the atftpd, triggering an assert() call in the atftpd code which results in abort of atftpd.

The vulnerability can be traced down to the function: sockaddr_print_addr within tftp_def.c. where an unexpected sockaddr_storage *ss data with *ss_family=AF_UNSPEC* reaches the assert() call in the 'else' branch (line #192).

The vulnerable code snippet (tftpd_file.c)

An instance of "sa_family=AF_UNSPEC" is also seen in the strace output below,

Crash Information

Below is a backtrace when atftpd aborted under fuzzing test,

```
atftpd: tftp_def.c:192: sockaddr_print_addr: Assertion `!"sockaddr_print: unsupported address family"' failed.

Thread 78 "atftpd" received signal SIGABRT, Aborted.
[Switching to Thread 0x7ffff6d99700 (LWP 10623)]
_GI_raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:50
50 ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c: No such file or directory.
(gdb) bt
#0 _GI_raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:50
#1 0x00007ffff7d7b535 in __GI_abort () at abort.c:79
#2 0x00007ffff7d7b535 in __GI_abort () at abort.c:79
#2 0x00007ffff7d7b40f in __assert_fail_base (fmt=0x7ffff7edd710 "%s%s%s:%u: %s%sAssertion `%s' failed.\n%n",
assertion=0x55555555636f0 "!\"sockaddr_print: unsupported address family\\"", file=0x5555555563680 "tftp_def.c", line=192, function=out>) at assert.c:92
#3 0x00007ffff7d88b92 in __GI__assert_fail (assertion=assertion@entry=0x5555555636f0 "!\"sockaddr_print: unsupported address family\\"",
file=file@entry=0x555555563668 "tftp_def.c", line=line@entry=192, function=function@entry=0x555555563800 <__PRETTY_FUNCTION__.4953>
"sockaddr_print_addr") at assert.c:101
#4 0x0000555555555b276 in sockaddr_print_addr (ss=<optimized out>), buf=buf@entry=0x7ffff6d08a80 "", len=len@entry=46) at tftp_def.c:192
#5 0x00007fffff7d502ef in tftpd_send_file (data=0x5555555571930) at tftpd_c:751
#7 0x00007fffff7d502ef in clone () at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/x86_64/clone.S:95
```

Timeline

2020-04-16 - Vendor Disclosure 2020-05-16 - 30 day follow up 2020-06-02 - 45+ day follow up 2020-06-30 - 60+ day follow up 2020-08-26 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Peter Wang of Cisco ASIG.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

