Q

HOME RELEASES SUBMIT VULN PRESS ABOUT PGP CONTACT > TUTORIALS > SUPPORTERS PROJECTS

Home > Security

CVE-2021-29921 - python stdlib "ipaddress" - Improper Input Validation of octal literals in python 3.8.0 thru v3.10 results in indeterminate SSRF & RFI vulnerabilities. - "ipaddress leading zeros in IPv4 address"

by Sick Codes - April 30, 2021 - Updated on October 4, 2021 in Security \bigcirc 8

python stdlib "ipaddress" CVE-2021-29921

Title

python stdlib "ipaddress" - Improper Input Validation of octal literals in python 3.8.0 thru v3.10 results in indeterminate SSRF & RFI vulnerabilities. - "ipaddress leading zeros in IPv4 address"

CVE ID

CVE-2021-29921

CVSS Score

9.8

CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

Internal ID

SICK-2021-014

Vendor

python

Product

ipaddress stdlib

Product Versions

3.8.0 thru v3.10

Vulnerability Details

Improper input validation of octal strings in Python 3.8.0 thru v3.10 stdlib ipaddress allows unauthenticated remote attackers to perform indeterminate SSRF, RFI, and LFI attacks on many programs that rely on Python stdlib ipaddress. IP address octects are left stripped instead of evaluated as valid IP addresses. For example, an attacker submitting an IP address to a web application that relies on stdlib ipaddress, could cause SSRF via inputting octal input data; An attacker can submit exploitable IP addresses if the octet is 3 digits, with the minimum exploitable octect being 08 (Denial of Service) and the maximum exploitable octet is 099. For example, an attacker can submit 010.8.8.8, which is 8.8.8.8, yet Python ipaddress builtin will evaluate this as 10.8.8.8.

Vendor Response

Currently unpatched - due to be addressed in next release.

Proof of Concept

Vulnerability added in python3.8

https://github.com/python/cpython/pull/12577

Documentated to be vulnerable in the changelog:

https://qithub.com/python/cpython/blob/63298930fb531ba2bb4f23bc3b915dbf1e17e9e1/Misc/NEWS.d/3.8.0a4.rst

Stop rejecting IPv4 octets for being ambiguously octal. Leading zeros are ignored, and no longer are assumed to specify octal octets. Octets are always decimal numbers. Octets must still be no more than three digits, including leading zeroes.

#!/usr/bin/env python

Authors: sickcodes, Victor Viale

License: GPLv3+

Reference: https://docs.python.org/3.10/library/ipaddress.html#ipaddress.IPv4Address

Leading zeroes are tolerated only for values less than 8 (as there is no ambiguity between the decimal and octal interpretations of such strings).

import subprocess
import ipaddress

SUSPECT = '010.8.8.8'

print(ipaddress.ip_network(SUSPECT, strict=True))

BAD_IP = ipaddress.ip_address(SUSPECT)

print('http://'+str(BAD_IP))

 $print(str(subprocess.check_output("ping -W3 -v -c1 "+str(SUSPECT), shell=True, universal_newlines=True). strip()))$

 $print(str(subprocess.check_output("ping -w3 -v -c1 "+str(BAD_IP), shell=True, universal_newlines=True).strip()))$

Disclosure Timeline

- 2019-03-20 Issue created in https://bugs.python.org/issue36384
- 2021-03-29 Researchers discover vulnerability
- = 2021-03-29 Vendor notified
- 2021-03-29 CVE requested
- 2021-04-30 CVE Assigned CVE-2021-29921 https://bugs.python.org/issue36384#msg392423
- 2021-04-30 CVE published

Links

https://github.com/python/cpython

https://github.com/sickcodes/security/blob/master/advisories/SICK-2021-014.md

```
https://sick.codes/sick-2021-014
\verb|https://python-security.readthedocs.io/vuln/ipaddress-ipv4-leading-zeros.html| \\
https://bugs.python.org/issue36384
https://docs.python.org/3/library/ipaddress.html
https://github.com/python/cpython/pull/12577
https://github.com/python/cpython/pull/25099
\verb|https://github.com/python/cpython/blob/63298930fb531ba2bb4f23bc3b915dbf1e17e9e1/Misc/NEWS.d/3.8.0a4.rst| | the first of the context of th
Researchers
Joel Croteau: https://github.com/TV4Fun
Victor Viale: https://github.com/koroeskohr || https://twitter.com/koroeskohr
Sick Codes: https://github.com/sickcodes || https://twitter.com/sickcodes
Kelly Kaoudis: https://github.com/kaoudis || https://twitter.com/kaoudis
John Jackson https://www.twitter.com/johnjhacking
Nick Sahler: https://github.com/nicksahler || https://twitter.com/tensor_bodega
Christian Heimes: https://github.com/tiran
Victor Stinner: https://github.com/vstinner
CVE Links
https://sick.codes/sick-2021-014
https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2021-29921
https://nvd.nist.gov/view/vuln/detail?vulnId=CVE-2021-29921
Comments 8
Pingback: Vulnerabilidad en Python (Python) - CVE-2021-29921 - Información y Soluciones
              ralf 🕓 1 year ago
             Hi there !
             I may be at sea, but I wanted to know; what's the problem with this "vulnerability"? What does it allow to do, knowing that 'ipaddress' just strips
             leading zeros in IP's bytes while the 'ping' command seems to handle the leading zero in "010" as the octal value 8 ? I can't understand why it is
              considered as a vulnerability...
             Reply
              азизбек 🕓 2 years ago
              я хакер
              Reply
              Is anyone else having deja vu, or is it just me?
              Reply
              Anonymous (9 2 years ago
              Dates of assignment and disclosure seem to be mixed up in timeline.
              Reply
                     admin ① 2 years ago
                    Thanks fixed!
                    Reply
                           Jeff Silverman ① 2 years ago
                          I'm still a little confused by the timeline. It currently reads:  \\
                           2019-03-20 - Issue created in https://bugs.python.org/issue36384
                          2021-03-29 - Researchers discover vulnerability
                          2021-03-29 - Vendor notified
                          \textbf{2021-04-30 - CVE Assigned CVE-2021-29921 } \ \texttt{https://bugs.python.org/issue36384\#msg392423}
```

```
I think it should read:
                         2019-03-20 - Researchers discover vulnerability
                         2021-03-20 - Issue created in https://bugs.python.org/issue36384
                         2021-03-20 - Vendor notified
                         2021-03-29 - CVE requested
                         2021-03-30 - Fix merged in to main code branch
                         2021-04-30 - CVE Assigned CVE-2021-29921 https://bugs.python.org/issue36384#msg392423
                         I would argue that if a vendor has a bug report on a publicly available bug tracking system, then the vendor has been notified. In fact, looking at
                         bug 392423. I see that Joel Croteau started working on the problem March 20th. I see that Nick Coghlan merged the fix into python 3.8.
                         The reason why I am being pedantic about this is because I want an organization that is open and honest to be lauded when they respond to an
                         obscure problem quickly.
                         Renly
                               admin ① 2 years ago
                               Hev Jeff,
                               Thanks for reaching out, we didn't originally know that Joel has created an issue 2 years prior, on 2019-03-20.
                                2021-03-20 - Issue created in https://bugs.python.org/issue36384
                               It's confusing as it was exactly 2 years between initial issue and fix.
                               What ended up happening was Joel created the issue in March 2019, then a half fix was applied here Aug 30, 2019,
                               \verb|https://github.com/python/cpython/blob/63298930fb531ba2bb4f23bc3b915dbf1e17e9e1/Misc/NEWS.d/3.8.0a4.rst| | the first of the first o
                               Stop rejecting IPv4 octets for being ambiguously octal. Leading zeros are ignored, and no longer are assumed to specify octal octets. Octets are
                               always decimal numbers. Octets must still be no more than three digits, including leading zeroes.
                               However it was still vulnerable as indicated by the changelog.
                               Then there was this message: \verb|https://bugs.python.org/issue36384\#msg389826|
                               Which refers directly to our other CVE-2021-28918: https://github.com/sickcodes/security/blob/master/advisories/SICK-2021-011.md
                               Which goes into detail here: https://sick.codes/universal-netmask-npm-package-used-by-270000-projects-vulnerable-to-octal-input-data-server-
                               side-request-forgery-remote-file-inclusion-local-file-inclusion-and-more-cve-2021-28918/
                               We submitted all of them on pretty much the same day, and then a week or so ago the CVE was assigned.
                               I'd say it took a while, 2 years to fix, which is where the "discovered vulnerability" part comes into play.
                               vstinner was right though, within 1 day of publishing this (as soon as we saw he had posted the CVE publicly) there was a fix available.
                               Let me know if I'm missing something, but yeah there's a 2 year gap between issue opening and the actual fix, jumpstarted by CVE-2021-28918 and
                               then this received CVE-2021-29921.
                               We submitted both \times D
                               Reply
Leave a Reply
Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked \mbox{\scriptsize \star}
                                                                                                                                                 Website
```

Privacy - Terms Lam human

POST COMMENT

Comment *

Name

Email





<u>asickcodes</u>



<u>asickcodes</u>



Discord Server



sickcodes.slack.com



t.me/sickcodeschat



./contact_form