

Jump to bottom New issue

## MapGuard dereferences to a dangling pointer #45



⊙ Closed Qwaz opened this issue on Dec 9, 2020 · 5 comments

Qwaz commented on Dec 9, 2020

we (Rust group @sslab-gatech) are scanning Rust code on crates.io for potential memory safety and soundness bugs and found an issue in this crate which allows safe Rust code to exhibit an undefined behavior.

## Issue Description

Hello fellow Rustacean.

```
impl<A, T, F, R> Access<R> for Map<A, T, F>
280
        where
                                                                                                                                                                       A: Access<T>,
282
            F: Fn(&T) -> &R,
283
            type Guard = MapGuard<A::Guard, R>;
284
            fn load(&self) -> Self::Guard {
285
              let guard = self.access.load();
286
                let value: *const _ = (self.projection)(&guard);
                MapGuard {
288
289
                   _guard: guard,
290
                    value,
```

```
arc-swap/src/access.rs
Lines 230 to 242 in b5ec44c
        impl<G, T> Deref for MapGuard<G, T> {
             type Target = T;
232
             fn deref(&self) -> &T {
233
               // Why this is safe:
                // * The pointer is originally converted from a reference. It's not null, it's aligned,
234
235
                // it's the right type, etc.
                // * The pointee couldn't have gone away - the guard keeps the original reference alive, so
236
                // must the new one still be alive too. Moving the guard is fine, we assume the RefCnt is
238
                // Pin (because it's Arc or Rc or something like that - when that one moves, the data it
239
                 // points to stay at the same place).
240
                 unsafe { &*self.value }
241
```

As noted in the comment, unsafe code in MapGuard expects the underlying guard type to dereferences to the same value even when the guard object is moved. However, Map uses Access as a trait bound which does not guarantee this property. As a result, Map accesses a dangling pointer when it is used with an Access implementation that does not dereferences to the same value

```
295
        #[doc(hidden)]
        #[derive(Copy, Clone, Debug, Eq, PartialEq, Ord, PartialOrd, Hash)]
                                                                                                                                                                       pub struct ConstantDeref<T>(T);
298
299
        impl<T> Deref for ConstantDeref<T> {
300
           type Target = T;
            fn deref(&self) -> &T {
301
302
               &self.0
304
305
306
        /// Access to an constant.
```

Constant seems to be the only type in this crate that implements Access in this way, but there can be other user types that implements Access on their own.

## Reproduction

Below is an example program that segfaults, written only with safe APIs of arc-swap .

▶ Show Detail

vorner commented on Dec 10, 2020 Owner

It's a great discovery, though disturbing this has slipped through. I'll have to think how to plug this problem in a way that doesn't disrupt users too much, but I'll have a look at it today or tomorrow.

Thank you for finding it.

vorner added a commit that referenced this issue on Dec 10, 2020

vorner commented on Dec 11, 2020 Owner Fix released as 1.1.0 (by eliminating all unsafe code in that file). It is technically a breaking change, but the chance of actually breaking code is low and the chance people will migrate from the broken version are higher this way, and even rustc makes an exception for soundness issues in the stability guarantees. It seems less bad to release as part of the 1. version. dbanty mentioned this issue on Dec 11, 2020 Update arc-swap XAMPPRocky/octocrab#48 ⊙ Closed  $\[ \[ \] \]$  vorner added a commit that referenced this issue on Dec 11, 2020 术 Fix soundness hole around access::Map ⋅・・・ X 34b809f vorner commented on Dec 11, 2020 Added a backport, released as 0.4.8, for all the reverse dependencies that didn't migrate to the 1. version yet. Author Qwaz commented on Dec 12, 2020 Thank you for the quick fix! abergmann commented on Dec 28, 2020 CVE-2020-35711 was assigned to this issue. No one assigned Labels Projects Milestone No milestone Development No branches or pull requests 3 participants