

New issue

[Jump to bottom](#)

# [BUG] heap-buffer-overflow in gf\_base64\_encode #2138

Closed

3 tasks done

kdsjZh opened this issue on Mar 10 · 3 comments

kdsjZh commented on Mar 10 • edited ▼

Thanks for reporting your issue. Please make sure these boxes are checked before submitting your issue - thank you!

- ☒ I looked for a similar issue and couldn't find any.
- ☒ I tried with the latest version of GPAC. Installers available at <http://gpac.io/downloads/gpac-nightly-builds/>
- ☒ I give enough information for contributors to reproduce my issue (meaningful title, github labels, platform and compiler, command-line ...). I can share files anonymously with this dropbox: [https://www.mediafire.com/filedrop/filedrop\\_hosted.php?drop=eec9e058a9486fe4e99c33021481d9e1826ca9dbc242a6cfaab0fe95da5e5d95](https://www.mediafire.com/filedrop/filedrop_hosted.php?drop=eec9e058a9486fe4e99c33021481d9e1826ca9dbc242a6cfaab0fe95da5e5d95)

Detailed guidelines: <http://gpac.io/2013/07/16/how-to-file-a-bug-properly/>

## ***Describe the bug***

There is a heap-buffer-overflow bug, which can be triggered via MP4Box+ ASan

## ***To Reproduce***

Steps to reproduce the behavior:

```
./configure --cc=clang --cxx=clang++ --enable-sanitizer
make -j$(nproc)
./bin/gcc/MP4Box -diso POC
```

Output:

```
[iso file] Box "moof" (start 0) has 3 extra bytes
[iso file] Movie fragment but no moov (yet) - possibly broken parsing!
[iso file] Box "moof" (start 23) has 3 extra bytes
[iso file] Box "moof" (start 34) has 3 extra bytes
[iso file] Box "moof" (start 77) has 3 extra bytes
[iso file] Box "tref" (start 45) has 4 extra bytes
[iso file] Unknown top-level box type 0005hEB
```

```

=====
==1787100==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address 0x602000001012 at pc
0x0000005b4fdc bp 0x7ffde5e08a70 sp 0x7ffde5e08a68
WRITE of size 1 at 0x602000001012 thread T0
  #0 0x5b4fdb in gf_base64_encode
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/utils/base_encoding.c:48:13
  #1 0x8fdb6b in colr_box_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_dump.c:5493:15
  #2 0x90c095 in gf_isom_box_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_funcs.c:2076:2
  #3 0x8cf29c in gf_isom_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_dump.c:135:3
  #4 0x539be2 in dump_isom_xml
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/applications/mp4box/filedump.c:1954:6
  #5 0x51939b in mp4boxMain
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/applications/mp4box/main.c:6155:7
  #6 0x7faccbbfc0b2 in __libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x270b2)
  #7 0x41fdad in _start
(/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/bin/gcc/MP4Box+0x41fdad)

0x602000001012 is located 0 bytes to the right of 2-byte region [0x602000001010,0x602000001012)
allocated by thread T0 here:
  #0 0x4c58ff in malloc /home/hzheng/env/llvm-project/compiler-
rt/lib/asan/asan_malloc_linux.cpp:145:3
  #1 0x8fdb37 in gf_malloc
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/utils/alloc.c:150:9
  #2 0x8fdb37 in colr_box_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_dump.c:5492:20
  #3 0x90c095 in gf_isom_box_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_funcs.c:2076:2
  #4 0x8cf29c in gf_isom_dump
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/isomedia/box_dump.c:135:3
  #5 0x539be2 in dump_isom_xml
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/applications/mp4box/filedump.c:1954:6
  #6 0x51939b in mp4boxMain
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/applications/mp4box/main.c:6155:7
  #7 0x7faccbbfc0b2 in __libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x270b2)

SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow
/home/hzheng/workspace/benchmarks/reproduce/gpac/src/utils/base_encoding.c:48:13 in
gf_base64_encode
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
 0x0c047fff81b0: fa fa 07 fa fa fa fd fa fa fa 04 fa fa fa 00 02
 0x0c047fff81c0: fa fa fd fa fa fa 00 07 fa fa 00 00 fa fa 00 00
 0x0c047fff81d0: fa fa 00 fa fa fa fd fa fa fa 00 04 fa fa 00 00
 0x0c047fff81e0: fa fa 00 00 fa fa 01 fa fa fa 00 00 fa fa 00 00
 0x0c047fff81f0: fa fa 04 fa fa fa 00 00 fa fa 04 fa fa fa 01 fa
=>0x0c047fff8200: fa fa[02]fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
 0x0c047fff8210: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
 0x0c047fff8220: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
 0x0c047fff8230: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
 0x0c047fff8240: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
 0x0c047fff8250: fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa fa
Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
Addressable:          00
Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07

```

```
Heap left redzone:      fa
Freed heap region:     fd
Stack left redzone:    f1
Stack mid redzone:     f2
Stack right redzone:   f3
Stack after return:    f5
Stack use after scope: f8
Global redzone:        f9
Global init order:     f6
Poisoned by user:      f7
Container overflow:    fc
Array cookie:          ac
Intra object redzone:  bb
ASan internal:         fe
Left alloca redzone:   ca
Right alloca redzone:  cb
Shadow gap:           cc
==1787100==ABORTING
```

### **Environment**

gpac commit [54e9ed8](#)  
clang release/12.x  
ubuntu 20.04

### **POC**

[POC.zip](#)

### **Credit**

Han Zheng  
[NCNIPC of China](#)  
[Hexhive](#)

kdsjZh commented on Mar 10

Author

I've just verified that it can be reproduced in the latest commit [6c51dde](#) .

 [jeanlf](#) closed this as completed in [ea1eca0](#) on Mar 10

risicle commented on Jul 31

This looks like it should have a CVE

kdsjZh commented on Aug 1

Author

yes, [CVE-2022-26967](#).

Assignees

No one assigned

---

Labels

None yet

---

Projects

None yet

---

Milestone

No milestone

---

Development

No branches or pull requests

---

2 participants

