## Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1133

## Microsoft Azure Sphere Capability access control privilege escalation vulnerability

AUGUST 24, 2020

CVE NUMBER

None

#### SUMMARY

A privilege escalation vulnerability exists in the Capability access control functionality of Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.06. A set of specially crafted ptrace syscalls can be used to obtain elevated capabilities. An attacker can write a shellcode to trigger this vulnerability.

#### CONFIRMED VULNERABLE VERSIONS

The versions below were either tested or verified to be vulnerable by Talos or confirmed to be vulnerable by the vendor.

Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.06

### PRODUCT URLS

Azure Sphere - https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/services/azure-sphere/

### CVSSV3 SCORE

8.1 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:H/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H

CWI

CWE-284 - Improper Access Control

#### DETAILS

Microsoft's Azure Sphere is a platform for the development of internet-of-things applications. It features a custom SoC that consists of a set of cores that run both high-level and real-time applications, enforces security and manages encryption (among other functions). The high-level applications execute on a custom Linux-based OS, with several modifications to make it smaller and more secure, specifically for IoT applications.

In the Azure Sphere security model an extra layer of protection exists within the traditional Linux capabilities system via custom LSM, a separate Azure Sphere specific credential structure that looks like such:

This azure\_sphere\_task\_cred contains the component\_id of the process that is running [1], and also the tenant\_id used for attestation [2]. More importantly though are the is\_app\_man field at [3] and capabilities field at [4]. Whenever a new application is run, the application\_manager (Azure Sphere's init) will determine the correct UID to assign the process via the uid\_map in /mnt/config. In examining the application\_manager binary we also see that these Azure Sphere capabilities are also determined on application start:

```
// application_manager.bin
00022e76 05f23511 addw
00022e7a f3f75bf9 bl
00022e7e 0028 cmp
                                                r1, r5, #0x135 {component_uid_???}
                                                #cmp_component_id
r0, #0 // d940e448-10b8-4b85-ac5f-69e6a6e4efc6
#0x22f20
 00022e80 4ed1
00022e82 baf1090f
00022e86 18d1
00022e88 05f24511
00022e8c 3046
00022e8e f3f751f9
00022e92 0028
00022e94 46d1
                                                r1, r5, #0x145 {component_uid_1002}
r0, r6 // 641f94d9-7600-4c5b-9955-5163cb7f1d75
                                   addw
                                   mov
                                                #cmp_component_id
r0, #0
#0x22f24
                                  bl
                                   bne
                                                r1, r5, #0x155 {component_uid_1003}
r0, r6 // 48a22e96-d078-4e34-9d7a-91b3404031da
00022e96 05f25511 addw
00022e9a 3046
00022e9c f3f74af9
00022ea0 0028
                                   mov
                                                #cmp_component_id
00022ea2 42d1
                                   bne .
                                                #0x22f2a
00022ea4 05f26511
00022ea8 3046
00022eaa f3f743f9
00022eae 0028
                                                r1, r5, #0x165 {component_uid_1001}
r0, r6 // 7ba05ff7-7835-4b26-9eda-29af0c635280
                                   mov
                                                #cmp_component_id
r0, #0
00022eb0 14bf
                                                ne
```

For each given hardcoded component\_id in this check there is a corresponding binary in the official firmware that uses it:

```
1001 7ba05ff7-7835-4b26-9eda-29af0c635280 networkd
1002 641f94d9-7600-4c5b-9955-5163cb7f1d75 gatewayd
1003 48a22e96-d978-4e34-9d7a-91b3404031da azured
1004 a65f3686-e50a-4fff-b25d-415c206537af azcore
1005 89ecd022-0bdd-4767-a527-d756dd784a19 rng-tools
```

Continuing on from where the disassembly left off, we can see that the result of the component\_id checking results in a value being moved into r0 that corresponds to the previously mentioned azure\_sphere\_task\_cred->capabilities field:

```
00022eb2 4ff49070 mov r0, #0x120 // uid 1001 r0, #0x100 // uid 1005 [...]

00022f20 1020 movs r0, #0x10 // SFS tenant b #0x22eba

00022f22 cae7 b #0x207 // uid 1002 movs r0, #0x307 // uid 1003 movs r0, #0x343 // uid 1003 movs r0, #0x343 // uid 1003 movs r0, #0x32eba
```

If we convert a given UID's AZURE\_SPHERE capabilities to readable form, we can see for instance that UID 1003 (azured) is granted the following capabilities:

```
AZURE_SPHERE_CAP_UPDATE_IMAGE
AZURE_SPHERE_CAP_QUERY_IMAGE_INFO
AZURE_SPHERE_CAP_POSTCODE
AZURE_SPHERE_CAP_RECORD_TELEMETRY
AZURE_SPHERE_CAP_MANAGE_AND_LOG_TELEMITRY
```

It's interesting to note that in order to see ones own processes' Azure sphere capabilities, the capget() syscall or /proc/self/status will not suffice, instead you must look at /proc/self/attr/current:

```
> cat /proc/self/attr/current
CID: AAAAAA-BBBB-CCCC-DDDD-123412341234
TID: 111111-1111-4444-555555555555
CAPS: 00000000
```

This kernel file is defined in <kernel\_root>/security/azure\_sphere/lsm.c in azure\_sphere\_security\_getprocattr. More interesting however is that this file also defines a azure\_sphere\_security\_setprocattr function, one that permanently changes a given processes Azure Sphere capabilities:

```
static int azure_sphere_security_setprocattr(struct task_struct *p, char *name, void *value, size_t size) {
   struct azure_sphere_security_set_process_details *data = value;
   struct cred *cred; // comp_id[16], bool job_control_allowed, azure_sphere_capability_t capabilities
       struct azure_sphere_task_cred *tsec;
int ret;
       // Can only set in binary format
if (strcmp(name, "exec") != 0) {
              return -EINVAL;
      if (value == NULL || size < sizeof(*data)) { // user controlled, max 0x1000
       if (p != current) {
    // You can only change the current process
              return -EPERM;
       cred = prepare_creds();
if (!cred) {
    return -ENOMEM;
       tsec = cred->security; // [1]
        //if no security entry then fail
       if (!tsec) {
   ret = -ENOENT:
              goto error;
      if (!tsec->is_app_man) { // [2]
    ret = -EPERM;
              goto error;
       memcpy(&tsec->component_id, data->component_id, sizeof(tsec->component_id));
      memset(6tsec->daa_tenant_id, 0 sizeof(tsec->daa_tenant_id));
memset(6tsec->daa_tenant_id, 0, sizeof(tsec->daa_tenant_id);
memcpy(6tsec->daa_tenant_id, data->daa_tenant_id, strnlen(data->daa_tenant_id, sizeof(tsec->daa_tenant_id) - 1));
tsec->is_app_man = false; // [3]
tsec->job_control_allowed = data->job_control_allowed;
tsec->capabilities = data->capabilities; //[4]
       return commit creds(cred):
```

The main thing we care about in the above is that by writing to /proc/self/attr/exec we get our process' azure\_sphere\_task\_cred struct at [1], verify that the is\_app\_man bool is still true [2] and then switch it to false [3], and finally assign the Azure Sphere specific capabilities at [4]. While simple in implementation, the consequences are quite substantial, as the is\_app\_man bool is never referenced anywhere else in the Azure Sphere kernel source code, leaving us with only one intended way to ever gain Azure Sphere capabilities, these capabilities being the sole way of gaining access to Security Manger and Pluton ioctls.

An interesting situation can occur however by utilizing TALOS-2020-1131, TALOS-2020-1132, and TALOS-2020-1137, in which the Azure Sphere device can be manipulated into running our installed application with a UID normally reserved for one of the system UIDs (e.g. 1003, azured). In such a situation, we do not have any of the Azure Sphere capabilities reserved for that UID since our application's component\_id does not match up to any of the component\_id's in the application\_manager disassembly from above.

As discussed in TALOS-2020-1137, we can actually see the azured process running via procfs:

```
> id
uid=1003 gid=1003 groups=5(gpio)
> ps aux | grep azure
PID USER TIME COMMAND
30 1003 0:00 /mnt/sys/azured/bin/azured --update --daemonize
```

Intuitively this makes sense, if we're running as the same UID and GID as another process we should be able to see that other process, but let's examine a bit more in depth why this is exactly:

The above code is run when we dols on /proc, as Linux traditionally lists directories corresponding to the different processes currently running. At [1], we see this iteration occurring over each "Thread Group ID", and if our current process passes the has\_pid\_permission() check, then we get to see that process' directory. Continuing into has\_pid\_permission():

For our purposes we only care about the line at [1], which implies that if we can see the /proc directory for a given process then we have a baseline amount of PTRACE permissions. Continuing into \_\_ptrace\_may\_access():

```
/* Returns 0 on success, -errno on denial. */
static int __ptrace_may_access(struct task_struct *task, unsigned int mode)
{
    const struct cred *cred = current_cred(), *tcred;
    struct mm_struct *mm;
    kuid_t caller_uid;
    kgid_t caller_gid;
[...]
    /* Don't let security modules deny introspection */
    if (same_thread_group(task, current)) // task->signal == current->signal
        return 0;
    rcu_read_lock();
    if (mode 6 PTRACE_MODE_FSCREDS) {
        caller_uid = cred->fsuid;
        caller_gid = cred->fsuid;
        caller_gid = cred->fsgid;
[...]
    tcred = __task_cred(task);
    if (uid_eq(caller_uid, tcred->euid) &&
        uid_eq(caller_uid, tcred->euid) &&
        uid_eq(caller_uid, tcred->euid) &&
        gid_eq(caller_gid, tcred->egid) &&
        gid_eq(caller_gid, tcred->gid) &&
        gid_eq(caller_gid, tcred->gid))
        goto ok;
```

We don't really have to go too in depth into \_\_ptrace\_may\_access, the only things it seems to care about are that the resuid() and resgid() of the accessing process matches up with the process being targeted. Since we can already see the azured process directory in /proc we know by definition that we pass the \_\_ptrace\_may\_access() check, and this fact might lead one to guess where else \_\_ptrace\_may\_access() is used. In the event that astute readers guessed ptrace(), then they would be right, and this brings us to the crux of the vulnerability:

```
// <kernel_root>/security/commoncap.c
 * cap_ptrace_access_check - Determine whether the current process may access
 * another
* Ochild: The process to be accessed
 * amode: The mode of attachment.
 * If we are in the same or an ancestor user_ns and have all the target
 * task's capabilities, then ptrace access is allowed.

* If we have the ptrace capability to the target user_ns, then ptrace * access is allowed.
 * Else denied.
 * Determine whether a process may access another, returning 0 if permission
   granted, -ve if denied.
int cap ptrace access check(struct task struct *child, unsigned int mode)
    int ret = 0;
    const struct cred *cred, *child cred;
    const kernel_cap_t *caller_caps;
    rcu read lock():
    rcu_read_tock();
cred = current_cred();
child_cred = __task_cred(child);
if (mode & PTRACE_MODE_FSCREDS)
    caller_caps = &cred->cap_effective;
    else
    goto out;
    if (ns_capable(child_cred->user_ns, CAP_SYS_PTRACE))
    ret = -EPERM;
out:
    rcu read unlock():
```

With standard Linux capabilities there is an explicit check at [1] to make sure that the attaching process' capabilities are a subset of the target's capabilities, and if this condition is not met, the attaching process must have CAP\_SYS\_PTRACE in order to attach. On the Azure Sphere device we can never get this CAP\_SYS\_PTRACE capability, however there is no explicit check that verifies an attaching process' Azure Sphere capability is a subset of the target's Azure Sphere capabilities. If they had been implemented in the same field of the standard Linux credential structure, this would not be an issue, but as pointed out in the beginning of the writeup, the Azure Sphere specific capabilities are located cred->security->capabilities. And this fact allows us to attach to another process with more Azure Sphere capabilities (e.g. any other app running), as long as we share the UID with that process (which can be done by chaining TALOS-2020-1131, TALOS-2020-1132, and TALOS-2020-1137):

```
> /mnt/apps/11223344-1234-1234-1234-aabbccddeeff/bin/busybox id uid=1001 gid=1001 groups=12(sys-log),500(ipc-appman-reboot),501(ipc-appman-sideload),502(ipc-appman-cloudload),503(ipc-appman-appstart)
> cat /proc/self/attr/current
CID: 48A22E96-D078-4E34-9D7A-91B3404031DA
TID: [...]
CAPS: 00000343
```

Thus in summary: an attacker can use the ptrace() API to gain execution in another Azure Sphere process and use its Azure Sphere capabilities to access an entirely new set of IOCTL requests for /dev/pluton and all the /dev/security-monitor ioctls that likewise could not be utilized before. As a sample of this, in the Security Monitor's SMAPI alone for capability 0x343:

	ΙN	

2020-07-31 - Vendor Disclosure 2020-08-24 - Public Release

# CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith >\_>, Claudio Bozzato and Dave McDaniel of Cisco Talos.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2020-1128 TALOS-2020-1137