## Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-0988

## F2fs-tools fsck.f2fs sanity\_check\_area\_boundary code execution vulnerability

APRIL 9, 2020

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2020-6070

Summary

An exploitable code execution vulnerability exists in the file system checking functionality of fsck.f2fs 1.12.0. A specially crafted f2fs file can cause a logic flaw and out-of-bounds heap operations, resulting in code execution. An attacker can provide a malicious file to trigger this vulnerability.

Tested Versions

F2fs-tools-1.12.0

Product URLs

https://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/jaegeuk/f2fs-tools.git

CVSSv3 Score

6.7 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:L/PR:H/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

CWE

CWE-131: Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

Details

The f2fs-tools set of utilities is used specifically for creating, checking and fixing f2fs (Flash-Friendly File System) files, a file system that has been replacing ext4 more recently in embedded devices, as it was crafted with eMMC chips and sdcards in mind. Fsck.f2fs more specifically is the file-system checking binary for f2fs partitions, and is where this vulnerability lies.

At the top level of processing, the first structure read off of the disk (in order to check and fix it), the superblock is read off the disk:

At [0] we read 0x1000 bytes from offset 0x0 of the disk, and if validation of those bytes fail we attempt the same at offset 0x1000 [1]. Looking at the individual reads and validations:

The validate\_super\_block function reads the superblock to the len(0x1000) stack buffer [1], and takes the bottom 0xC00 bytes of that buffer and places it into the sbi->raw\_super object allocated at [2], assuming the bytes pass the superblock validation at [3]. The sanity\_check\_raw\_super has quite a few checks, but they all come directly from the disk itself, so it should suffice to summarize the checks (since they all result in returning -1 or 1 if they fail):

Needless to say, there's quite a few sanity checks on the superblock. For context, all get\_sb function does is pull the named variable out of the superblock struct which will be listed eventually. But quick note before that, the only check we really care about is the sanity\_check\_area\_boundary function [1], which we look at now:

From a higher level, f2fs partitions maintain a set of different areas that serve different purposes. The sanity\_check\_area\_boundary aptly checks all the definitions of these area, given a block addr for the start, and also a size. For the checkpoint area (used for fs restoration), we can see this at [1] and [3] above, likewise for the SIT (Segment Information Table) area (which deals with the validity of individual blocks) we see this at [2] and [4]. Looking at [5] and [6], we can gather that the expectation for the area layout is that the checkpoint area ends where the SIT area begins, and likewise the SIT area ends where the NAT area begins. This sequential layout is expected for all the areas listed above (segment@\_blkaddr, cp\_blkaddr, sit\_blkaddr, nat\_blkaddr, main\_blkaddr).

The vulnerability in question is actually within the above function as well, so to continue on within the same function, but summarized:

```
[...]

if (segment0_blkaddr != cp_blkaddr) {

if (cp_blkaddr + (segment_count_ckpt << log_blocks_per_seg) != sit_blkaddr) {

if (sit_blkaddr + (segment_count_sit << log_blocks_per_seg) != nat_blkaddr) {

if (nat_blkaddr + (segment_count_nat << log_blocks_per_seg) != sas_blkaddr) {

if (ssa_blkaddr + (segment_count_ssa << log_blocks_per_seg) != sas_blkaddr) {

if (ssa_blkaddr + (segment_count_ssa << log_blocks_per_seg) != main_blkaddr) {

if (main_end_blkaddr > seg_end_blkaddr) {

} else if (main_end_blkaddr < seg_end_blkaddr) {

set_s(segment_count, (main_end_blkaddr) -

segment0_blkaddr) >> log_blocks_per_seg);

update_superblock(sb, SB_MASK(sb_addr));

}

return 0;

}
```

At all the labels [1-6] above, a different area boundary is tested, as previously mentioned, and if any of them fail, the entire area superblock fails validation. There is however a very interesting edge case if one provides a superblock such that all of the \*\_blkaddr values and segment\_count\_\* values are all null. The validation for all the above checks will pass since 0 + (0 << X) == 0 for all possible values of X, and we effectively overlay all of our areas on top of each other, leading to a very unstable state.

But what can be done from here? It should be noted there is quite a bit other checks to hit/pass before getting to these exploit vectors, but there are actually quite a few options. One possible exploitation vector (seen in f2/stools 1.12.0):

```
void build_sit_entries(struct f2fs_sb_info *sbi)
{
    struct sit_info *sit_i = SIT_I(sbi);
    struct curseg_info *curseg = CURSEG_I(sbi, CURSEG_COLD_DATA);
    struct f2fs_sit_block *sit_blk;
    struct f2fs_sit_block *sit_blk;
    struct f2fs_sit_entry sit;
    unsigned int i, segno;

sit_blk = calloc(BLOCK_SZ, 1);
    ASSERT(sit_blk);
    for (segno = 0; segno < TOTAL_SEGS(sbi); segno**) { // [2]
        se = 6sit_i->sentries[segno];

    get_current_sit_page(sbi, segno, sit_blk);
    sit = sit_blk->entries[SIT_ENTRY_OFFSET(sit_i, segno)];

    check_block_count(sbi, segno, 6sit); // does literally nothing...
    seg_info_from_raw_sit(se, 6sit); // asdf
}

free(sit_blk);
for (i = 0; i < sits_in_cursum(journal); i**) { // [3] // (journal->n_sits)}
    segno = le32_to_cpu(segno_in_journal(journal, i));
    se = 8sit_i->sentries[segno]; // [4]
    sit = sit_in_journal(journal, i);
    check_block_count(sbi, segno, 6sit); // [6]
}

check_block_count(sbi, segno, 6sit); // [6]
}
```

At [1], due to the unstable state of the overlaid areas, the f2fs\_journal points to un-initialized memory. Assuming that the attacker can manipulate the heap and control the contents where &curseg->sum\_blk points, we skip the loop at [2], due to TOTAL\_SEGS(sbi) == 0, and end up entering a loop at [3] whose iteration count we control.

The loop tries to read from a chunk allocated in build\_sit\_info like so sit\_i->sentries = calloc(TOTAL\_SEGS(sbi) \* sizeof(struct seg\_entry), 1);, which is based on the main\_segments area that was assigned 0x0 back in the sanity checking (#define TOTAL\_SEGS(sbi) (SM\_I(sbi)->main\_segments)).

One interesting thing to note about the main\_segment, is that for the most part, we actually can set this value to something other than 0x0 without failing the sanity checks because it is the last area parsed from our f2fs partition. In summary, the sit\_i->sentries buffer is also completely user controlled, along with which index of the array for this buffer. [5] does absolutely nothing, so we then reach [6]:

```
seg_info_from_raw_sit(struct seg_entry *se, struct f2fs_sit_entry *raw_sit) {
    // #define GET_SIT_VBLOCKS(raw_sit) (le16_to_cpu((raw_sit)->vblocks) & SIT_VBLOCKS_MASK)
    se->valid_blocks = GET_SIT_VBLOCKS(raw_sit);
    memcpy(se->cur_valid_map, raw_sit->valid_map, SIT_VBLOCK_MAP_SIZE);
    se->type = GET_SIT_TYPE(raw_sit);
    se->orig_type = GET_SIT_TYPE(raw_sit);
    se->mtime = le64_to_cpu(raw_sit->mtime);
}
```

Thus, at [1], we write to a user controlled offset within (or outside) a buffer that's also user controlled, along with more writes occurring further in the function, resulting in an out of bounds write on the heap.

```
SUMMARY: Address Sanitizer: SEGV / root/boop/f2fs/actually\_normal\_building/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-tools-1.12.0/f2fs-to
Program received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault.
[ Legend: Modified register | Code | Heap | Stack | String ]
                    - registers -
                            0x0000017dcdcdd430 → 0x0000000000000
0x000007ffe8c0abda0 → 0x00007ffe8c0abd20 → 0xbebebebebebebe
$rax
$rbx
                            $rcx
$rdx
 $rsp
$rpp : 0x00007ffe8c0abcf0 - 0x00007ffe8c0abfc0 - 0x00007ffe8c0ac1e0 - 0x00007ffe8c0ac430 - 0x00007ffe8c0ac6d0 - 0x00000000000000c2340 - <_libc_csu_init+0> push r15
 $rsi
                      : 0x0
$rdi
$rip
$r8
                            0x0
$r9
$r10
                       : 0x0
: 0x0
$r11
                      : 0x1
$r12
$r13
                            0x000000000041b300 → <_start+0> xor ebp, ebp
0x00007ffe8c0ac7b0 → 0x0000000000000000
$r14
                      : 0x0
 seflags: [ZERO carry PARITY adjust sign trap INTERRUPT direction overflow RESUME virtualx86 identification]
 $cs: 0x0033 $ss: 0x002b $ds: 0x0000 $es: 0x0000 $fs: 0x0000 $gs: 0x0000 $cs: 0x0000 $fs: 0x0000 $gs: 0x0000
 0x00007ffe8c0abbd0|+0x0000: 0x01000060000008f0
                                                                                                                                                    ← $rsp
| 0x80007ffe8C0abbd8 | 0x80085 | 0x80007ffe8C0abd21 | 0x80007ffe8C0abbd8 | 0x80017ffe8C0abbd8 | 0x80017ffe8C0abbd8 | 0x80018 | 0x80017ffe8C0abd21 | 0x80017ffe8C0abbd8 | 0x80018 | 0x80018 | 0x80017ffe8C0abd20 | 0x80007ffe8C0abbf8 | 0x80018 | 0x8018 | 0x80017ffe8C0abbf8 | 0x80017ffe8
WORD PTR [rax], cx
0x57de80 <seg_info_from_raw_sit+528> setne sil
0x57de84 <seg_info_from_raw_sit+532> mov rdi,
0x57de87 <seg_info_from_raw_sit+535> and rdi,
- source:mount.c+1617 -
1612 }
1613
1614 void seg_info_from_raw_sit(struct seg_entry *se, 1615 struct f2fs_sit_entry *raw_sit)
 1616 {
1622
[#0] Id 1, stopped 0x57de75 in seg_info_from_raw_sit (), reason: SIGSEGV
```

## Timeline

2020-01-29 - Initial Contact

2020-02-03 - Vendor Disclosure

2020-03-20 - 60+ day follow up

2020-04-08 - Vendor acknowledged; Issue discovered as patched in 1.13 release but not disclosed as a security issue

2020-04-09 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith [-,-] of Cisco Talos.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2019-0897 TALOS-2020-1012

