

CODESYS V3 Unauthenticated Webserver Memory Leak DoS

High

← View More Research Advisories

Synopsis

A memory leak condition exists in CODESYSControlService.exe (file version 3.5.15.40) due to failure to free heap-based memory buffers when handling a layer 7, SRV_VISU_REGISTERCLIENT request sent to web server URL endpoint /WebVisuV3. An unauthenticated, remote attacker can exploit this issue, via a series of specially crafted HTTP requests, to increase memory usage in the process which can potentially result in denial of service of the CODESYS V3 runtime system.

In the SRV_VISU_REGISTERCLIENT request, the attacker can specify more than one binary tags. The CmpVisuServer component in the runtime allocates a buffer from the heap to store certain data in a binary tag and stores the buffer pointer in a field of some structure:

```
__wibu00:0053FB9E
                             ecx, [ebp+arg_pOut]
__wibu00:0053FBA1
                     imul edx, [ecx+ST14.nItems], 2Ch
__wibu00:0053FBA5
                     push
__wibu00:0053FBA6
                     nush
                             offset aCmpvisuserver; "CmpVisuServer"
__wibu00:0053FBAB
                             SysMemAllocData
                     call
__wibu00:0053FBB0
                             esp, 0Ch
                     add
__wibu00:0053FBB3
                             ecx, [ebp+arg_pOut]
                     mov
__wibu00:0053FBB6
                             [ecx+ST14.pItems], eax
```

When a subsequent binary tag is processed, another memory buffer is allocated but its pointer is stored in the same location, overwriting the pointer for the previously allocated buffer. When the processing of the SRV_VISU_REGISTERCLIENT request is done, only the last buffer is freed:

```
_wibu00:0053D361 mov eax, [ebp+ST14.pItems]
_wibu00:0053D367 push eax
_wibu00:0053D368 push offset aCmpvisuserver ; "CmpVisuServer"
_wibu00:0053D360 call SysMemFreeData
```

The issue can be summed up as:

```
for (i = 0; i < num_binary_tags; i++)
{
    st14->pItems = SysMemAllocData(...,nItems * 0x2C,...);
}
...
SysMemFreeData(...,st14->pItems);
```

The SRV_VISU_REGISTERCLIENT request is carried in an HTTP header and it appears there is a maximum size (i.e., 48K) for HTTP headers. So the attacker may need to send a large of number requests in order to leak memory to a point where CODESYS runtime components may no longer able to allocate memory for their respective

It appears that CODESYSControlService.exe employs some sort of memory garbage collection system, where allocated memory that is no longer in use can be freed. However, the attacker is still able to cause memory allocation failure as indicated in the log file:

```
2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000054, 2, 0, 9, !!!! Warning: VisuInfoTuple not found for RegisterClient, ExtId: 376465, Application=APP 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000054, 2, 0, 9, !!!! Warning: VisuInfoTuple not found for RegisterClient, ExtId: 376467, Application=APP 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 9, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x00000057, 4, 0, 0, **** ERROR: Allocation of clienttags failed 576 2020-04-28T22:37:46Z, 0x0000057, 4, 0, 0, 0x0000057,
```

Proof of Concept

codesys_v3_webserver_memory_leak_dos_tra_2020_46.py

Solution

Upgrade to V3.5.16.10.

Additional References

Disclosure Timeline

04/28/2020 - Vulnerability discovered

 $05/01/2020 - Vulnerability\ reported\ to\ CODESYS.\ 90-day\ date\ is\ July\ 30,\ 2020.$

05/07/2020 - CODESYS acknowledges. Asks how we would like to be credited.

05/07/2020 - Tenable replies and provides Python poc again.

05/07/2020 - CODESYS acknowledges.

05/21/2020 - Tenable asks for an update.



06/22/2020 - Tenable thanks CODESYS.

07/22/2020 - CODESYS notifies Tenable of their security advisory.

All information within TRA advisories is provided "as is", without warranty of any kind, including the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, and with no guarantee of completeness, accuracy, or timeliness. Individuals and organizations are responsible for assessing the impact of any actual or potential security vulnerability.

Tenable takes product security very seriously. If you believe you have found a vulnerability in one of our products, we ask that you please work with us to quickly resolve it in order to protect customers. Tenable believes in responding quickly to such reports, maintaining communication with researchers, and providing a solution in short order.

For more details on submitting vulnerability information, please see our Vulnerability Reporting Guidelines page.

If you have questions or corrections about this advisory, please email advisories@tenable.com

Risk Information

CVE ID: CVE-2020-15806

Tenable Advisory ID: TRA-2020-46
CVSSv2 Base / Temporal Score: 7.8 / 6.1
CVSSv2 Vector: AV:N/AC:L/Au:N/C:N/I:N/A:C
CVSSv3 Base / Temporal Score: 8.6 / 7.7

CVSSv3 Vector: CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:H

Affected Products:

 $All\ CODESYS\ V3\ runtime\ systems\ in\ all\ versions\ before\ V3.5.16.10.\ Please\ see\ the\ CODESYS\ advisory\ for\ more\ specific\ information\ on\ affected\ systems.$

Risk Factor: High

Advisory Timeline

07/22/2020 - Advisory published.

FEATURED PRODUCTS

Tenable One Exposure Management Platform

Tenable.cs Cloud Security

Tenable.io Vulnerability Management

Tenable.io Web App Scanning

Tenable.asm External Attack Surface

Tenable.ad Active Directory

Tenable.ot Operational Technology

Tenable.sc Security Center

Tenable Lumin

Nessus

ightarrow View all Products

FEATURED SOLUTIONS

Application Security

Building Management Systems

Cloud Security Posture Management

Compliance

Exposure Management

Finance

Healthcare

IT/OT

Ransomware

State / Local / Education

US Federal

Vulnerability Management

Zero Trust

→ View all Solutions

CUSTOMER RESOURCES

Resource Library

Community & Support

Customer Education

Tenable Research

Cyber Exposure Fundamentals System Status CONNECTIONS Blog Contact Us Careers Investors Events Media

Otenable

Privacy Policy Legal 508 Compliance © 2022 Tenable®, Inc. All Rights Reserved

