

New issue

[Jump to bottom](#)

heap-buffer-overflow in function ok_jpg_generate_huffman_table() at ok_jpg.c:403 #11

Closed NISL-SecurityGroup opened this issue on Mar 5, 2021 · 1 comment

NISL-SecurityGro... commented on Mar 5, 2021 • edited

Version

dev version, git clone <https://github.com/brackeen/ok-file-formats.git>

Environment

Ubuntu 18.04, 64bit

Testcase

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "ok_jpg.h"
#include "ok_jpg.c"

int main(int _argc, char **_argv) {
    FILE *file = fopen("_argv[1]", "rb");
    ok_jpg_image = ok_jpg_read(file, OK_JPG_COLOR_FORMAT_RGBA);
    fclose(file);
    if (image.data) {
        printf("Got image! Size: %li x %li\n", (long)image.width, (long)image.height);
        free(image.data);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Command

```
$ gcc -g -o main main.c ok_jpg.h
$ ./main heap-buffer-overflow-1.jpg
```

Result

Got image! Size: 0 x 0

Although the results of the running are correct, when I used our vulnerability detection tool to detect, I found that a heap buffer overflow occurred in line 403. Looking Description for a detailed description.

Description

When I used gdb for debugging with the following command :

```
(gdb) b 1989
(gdb) p decoder
$1 = (ok_jpg_decoder *) 0x55555575e490
(gdb) p sizeof(ok_jpg_decoder)
$2 = 52376
```

Obtaining the start address and size of the **decoder** with the help of the above command , which explaining that the valid address range of the **decoder** is in [0x55555575e490,0x55555576b128].

```
(gdb) b 403
(gdb) p &huff->code[j - 1]
$2 = (uint16_t *) 0x55555576b2d2
```

It can be analyzed from the code context that **huff** points to decoder , and the address 0x55555576b2d2 which is accessed by **huff** is not in the valid range of [0x55555575e490,0x55555576b128]. So heap buffer overflow occurs in function ok_jpg_generate_huffman_table() at ok_jpg.c:403.

Note: You can use ASAN for more direct verification.

Poc

Poc file is [this](#).

brackeen added a commit that referenced this issue on Mar 6, 2021


ok_jpg: Fix invalid DHT (#11)

a9cc171

brackeen commented on Mar 6, 2021

Owner

Thanks for the report, @NISL-SecurityGroup. This is now fixed.

 **brackeen** closed this as completed on Mar 6, 2021

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

No branches or pull requests

2 participants

