

Code Issues 5k+ \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Pull requests 961 Actions Projects 27 Wiki

New issue Jump to bottom

torch.jit.annotations.parse_type_line is not safe (command injection) even it seems already deprecated. #88868







In torch.jit.annotations, it looks like there are some functions that are deprecated, but still retain code, which may lead to some backdoors, especially since some of these functions still use eval while implementing.

But now I'm not sure if there are some features (jit decorator) in some version of pytorch are still using this function <code>parse_type_line</code> or <code>get_signature</code> which calls <code>parse_type_line</code>, if so, it can cause RCE, if not, maybe someone can also leave a backdoor by calling this function while writing code and share it to the people.

import torch

Describe the bug

torch.jit.annotations.parse_type_line('# type: __import__("os").system("ls") -> 234', None, 1)

Versions

master

cc @ezyang @gchanan @zou3519 @EikanWang @jgong5 @wenzhe-nrv @sanchitintel

Smalfet added triage review topic: security labels 21 days ago

malfet commented 19 days ago • edited ▼

Marking for triage review(and not assigning oncall: jit yet otherwise it will disappear to the void) to discuss what to do with those kinds of security issues, which, in my opinion, is pretty minor: if one have an access to local Python runtime they can do anything they want.

Lyutoon commented 19 days ago • edited •

Author

Marking for triage review(and not assigning oncall: jit yet otherwise it will disappear to the void) to discuss what to do with those kinds of security issues, which is in my opinion is pretty minor: if one have an access to local Python runtime they can do anything they want.

Yes, this seems not a very urgent bug, but we still need to pay attention to these dangerouse functions such as eval. And in CVE-2822-8845, this bug is also caused by using eval to parse the args so it leads to code injection, and it seems also must have an access to local python. To be honest, I don't know how people think about these kind of problems, but we need to pay more attention: p. So we need a discuss about it whether it can be considered as a big security problem.

malfet added the high priority label 19 days ago

A malfet self-assigned this 19 days ago

malfet commented 19 days ago

Contributor

We should have a doc marking unsafe function and safe versions of the same. And also, probably should not use eval, if possible.

albanD added oncall: jit and removed triage review labels 19 days ago

malfet added triage review and removed triage review labels 19 days ago

Lyutoon commented 19 days ago

Author

We should have a doc marking unsafe function and safe versions of the same. And also, probably should not use eval, if possible.

That's right, there was also a cve (https://github.com/tensorflow/blob/master/tensorflow/security/advisory/tfsa-2022-060.md) in tensorflow that used eval in saved_model_cli and caused code injection. Also I've found that PaddlePaddle has also eval problems (https://github.com/PaddlePaddle/Paddle/blob/develop/security/advisory/pdsa-2022-002.md). But sometimes, if we do not use eval, the code will become much more complex. Maybe developers can just add a critical check about the parameters of the function to avoid this problem easily (if possible).



