

[New issue](#)[Jump to bottom](#)

# The libarchive lib exist a READ memory access Vulnerability #1672

Closed icycityone opened this issue on Feb 25 · 47 comments

icycityone commented on Feb 25 • edited ▼

hello,when i use libfuzzer to write code to call archive\_read\_data function,i find a READ memory access Vulnerability.see the picture! The lzma\_decode function crashed when decode my testcase.

```
=====
==63187==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x632000030000 (pc 0x0000006d62b6 bp 0x0000000013be sp 0x7ffdd0851690 T0)
==63187==The signal is caused by a READ memory access.
#0 0x6d62b5 in lzma_decode liblzma_la-lzma_decoder.o
#1 0x6d5532 in decode_buffer liblzma_la-lz_decoder.o
#2 0x6d2e84 in alone_decode liblzma_la-alone_decoder.o
#3 0x6d2898 in lzma_Code (/home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/out/libarchive_fuzzer+0x6d2898)
#4 0x581e97 in zip_read_data_zipx_lzma_alone.isra.9 /home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/libarchive/libarchive/archive_re
ad_support_format_zip.c:1864
#5 0x582387 in archive_read_format_zip_read_data /home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/libarchive/libarchive/archive_read_
support_format_zip.c:2999
#6 0x555663 in archive_read_data /home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/libarchive/libarchive/archive_read.c:841
#7 0x555663 in archive_read_data /home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/libarchive/libarchive/archive_read.c:841
#8 0x555663 in archive_read_data /home/nj/mytest/libarchive_libarchive_fuzzer/libarchive/libarchive/archive_read.c:841
=====
```

kientzle commented on Feb 25

Contributor

Can you share the test case? That would help us to identify and fix this issue. It would also help if you could provide other details: What system were you using? What version of libarchive? What version of liblzma?



icycityone commented on Feb 25

Author

hello,the crash testcase see the attachments.  
The environment list below:  
ubuntu 18  
libfuzzer  
liblzma 5.2.2&nbsp; libarchive3.6.0  
...

icycityone commented on Feb 25

Author

My github name is icycityone.I can not send mail by qq mail.This is my new mail.

hello,the crash testcase see the attachments.

The environment list below:

ubuntu 18

libfuzzer

liblzma 5.2.2 libarchive3.6.0

...

**mmatuska** commented on Feb 26

Member

@icycityone what we need is a sample test file to reproduce the vulnerability

✉ **icycityone** commented on Feb 26

Author

hello,the testcase 、 source file 、 crash file see the attachments。 Besides, i have Submit cve aim at this question

...

**mmatuska** commented on Feb 26

Member

I am sorry, but I don't see any attachments to this issue. If you want you can mail the testcase to me directly at [martin@matuska.org](mailto:martin@matuska.org)

**icycityone** commented on Feb 26

Author

I am sorry, but I don't see any attachments to this issue. If you want you can mail the testcase to me directly at [martin@matuska.org](mailto:martin@matuska.org)

you can see the next mail .

**icycityone** commented on Mar 1

Author

hello,do you received the message

**mmatuska** commented on Mar 5

Member

No, I didn't get an e-mail with the sample nor it is attached to this issue.

✉ icycityone commented on Mar 5

Author

oh i am sorry,my emai may be go wrong.can you see the attachedments now.  
...

mmatuska commented on Mar 6

Member

Hi, I got your testcase. I am unable to reproduce the error with contrib/archivetest.  
Try to compile contrib/archivetest.c with CFLAGS="-g -fsanitize=address" and test the file.

✉ icycityone commented on Mar 6

Author

you should give a curpus when i work tomorrow i will send you it.and the compile file  
...

mmatuska commented on Mar 6

Member

Just to note, the archivetest output from reading your sample looks like this:

```
$ ./archivetest -f crash-58af2238755ec09600f15fed6e3e606c09638f42
Data source: filename: crash-58af2238755ec09600f15fed6e3e606c09638f42
Filter: none
Format: ZIP 0.7 (lzma)
Entry 1: ARCHIVE_OK, pathname unreadable, data: OK
Entry 2: ARCHIVE_OK, pathname unreadable, data: OK
Entry 3: ARCHIVE_OK, pathname unreadable, data: OK
Entry 4: ARCHIVE_OK, pathname unreadable, data: OK
Entry 5: fatal error reading header
Last return code: ARCHIVE_FATAL (-30)
Error string: Truncated ZIP file data
```

I got no errors from ASAN.

✉ icycityone commented on Mar 6

Author

if you compile with the needed lib .my computer is not by hand .i cannot seethe detail now  
...

✉ icycityone commented on Mar 6

Author

step 1:

step 2:

step 3

step4

or

...

Author

...

Member

Author

...

✉ **icycityone** commented on Mar 10

Author

ok, can i apply for cve on this subject ?

...

✉ **mmatuska** commented on Mar 10

Member

Well, the actual invalid read happens in liblzma. We have to find out if there is a mistake in libarchive by wrongly calling liblzma or if there is an error in liblzma. A CVE against libarchive with a bug in liblzma would be counterproductive.

10.03.2022 15:20:23 icycityone \*\*\*@\*\*\*.\*\*\*>:

...

✉ **icycityone** commented on Mar 10

Author

You mean i can file cVE against Liblzma if the problem is caused by Liblzma. Have you identified which caused it

thanks.

...

✉ **icycityone** commented on Mar 11

Author

You mean i can file cVE against Liblzma if the problem is caused by Liblzma. Have you identified which caused it

thanks.

...

✉ **icycityone** commented on Mar 14

Author

hello,Have you identified which caused it thanks.

...

**JiaT75** commented on Mar 18

Contributor

@mmatuska @icycityone can either of you provide the crashfile in this thread or to my email [jjat0218@gmail.com](mailto:jjat0218@gmail.com)? I have been working with liblzma quite a bit recently and I can help determine if this is a libarchive or liblzma problem

hello,i have discussed with security engineer from liblzma,the vulnerability may caused by zipx\_lzma\_alone\_init().if you have time,please debug to check it.look forward to you reply thanks.  
The Message records bellow:

On 2022-03-18 jun ma wrote:

> oh, thanks for your reply , i have debuged it using gdb and discussed  
> with security&nbsp;engineer from libarchive,it  
>  
> high possibility caused by liblzma.when you have time, hope you can  
> take some time to have a look.thanks!

The problem is that lzma\_code() is called with lzma\_stream.avail\_in set to 18446744073709551607 which equals -9 when interpret as a signed value. That is, it's a bug in libarchive. I attached a patch that adds a few fprintf() calls to libarchive which show how the incorrect value comes from zipx\_lzma\_alone\_init() but I didn't debug how to fix it.  
Here is the output:

```
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1607: init
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1728: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1859: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1, bytes_avail = 94559
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1916: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1, to_consume = 1
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1607: init
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1728: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1859: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1, bytes_avail = 94501
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1916: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = 1, to_consume = 1
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1607: init
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1728: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = -9
DEBUG: libarchive/archive_read_support_format_zip.c:1859: zip->entry_bytes_remaining = -9, bytes_avail = 94443
```

Can you forward the above information to libarchive developers?

Thank you for your effort to look for bugs and reporting them!

Two minor things for the future:

I understand that sending the bad .zip file directly as an attachment doesn't work for some destinations because antivirus products can block

such emails (GMail does). I could extract the .rar with 7z from p7zip so it wasn't a problem for me, but in general free software developers prefer exchanging information using fully open formats like .zip, .7z, or .tar + some compressor. .zip with its very old (and insecure) encryption is supported widely. I tested this with GMail:

```
&nbsp; &nbsp; zip -e badfile.zip badfile.bin&nbsp; # password set to 123456
```

This worked. Without encryption it was rejected. I think the filename inside the encrypted .zip must be something like .bin instead of .zip since filenames aren't encrypted.

The second thing is that I did receive the email with the subject crash-58af2238755ec09600f15fed6e3e606c09638f42 but I wasn't on my computer during those days, so I was slow to reply, sorry. (My email provider doesn't block attachments as easily as GMail does.) The email contained a 46-megabyte attachment (base64-encoded size) which I suppose was the test program binary. That is a fairly big file to attach and most people (me included) won't run binaries received in email.

Again, thanks for your help in finding and reporting bugs!

...



  JiaT75 mentioned this issue on Mar 22

**Moved negative check for input byte count to after min calculation #1682**

 Closed

kientzle commented on Mar 23

Contributor

The analysis above points to a bug in `zipx_lzma_alone_init`. In line 1678, we read 9 bytes from the entry

```
if((p = __archive_read_ahead(a, 9, NULL)) == NULL) {
```

At line 1720, after successfully parsing the 9-byte header and initializing the decompressor, we subtract 9 bytes from the entry size:

```
__archive_read_consume(a, 9);  
zip->entry_bytes_remaining -= 9;
```

I believe this is where the -9 is coming from in the trace above. I believe the bug is that in line 1678 above, we read 9 bytes *even if there weren't 9 bytes in the entry*.

So I believe the correct answer is to change the test in line 1678 to ensure that there are in fact 9 bytes available in the entry before we try to read those 9 bytes:

```
/* Read magic1,magic2,lzma_params from the ZIPX stream. */
if(archive->entry_bytes_remaining < 9 || (p = __archive_read_ahead(a, 9, NULL)) == NULL) {
    archive_set_error(&a->archive, ARCHIVE_ERRNO_FILE_FORMAT,
        "Truncated lzma data");
    return (ARCHIVE_FATAL);
}
```

icycityone commented on Mar 23

Author

so the bug is certainly caused by libarchive not by liblzma. Can i apply for cve on this subject @kientzle

 mmatuska pushed a commit to mmatuska/libarchive that referenced this issue on Mar 24



ZIP reader: fix out-of-bounds read in zipx\_lzma\_alone\_init() ...

✗ 855f83c

kientzle commented on Mar 24

Contributor

@icycityone Yes, please go ahead and apply for a CVE.

Has anyone verified that my suggested change above fixes this issue?

icycityone commented on Mar 24

Author

hello,thanks for your reply.Is it possible to pass this commit by <https://cveform.mitre.org/> or submit by other means. And how long will it take to get the reply. Look forward to your reply. @kientzle



mmatuska closed this as completed in cfaa281 on Mar 25

mmatuska commented on Mar 25

Member

I have submitted the patch. Btw. is a out-of-bounds read that does nothing actually eligible for a CVE? The application will read some bytes beyond the boundary but it will always die and stop processing.



✉ **icycityone** commented on Mar 25

Author

oh thank to your reply. I have apply a cve after all i have take a lot of time to test.&nbsp;...

**mmatuska** commented on Mar 26

Member

Linking with OSS-Fuzz issue 38766 that got resolved by the fix:  
<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/oss-fuzz/issues/detail?id=38766#c4>

**risicle** commented on Apr 2

@**mmatuska** the process will only be killed if the read is off the edge of a page boundary and the next page is not readable. That still leaves up to 4095 bytes that could be read unimpeded, even if the next page is unreadable. OOB reads can still be very serious if, for example, the process also has credentials in its address space.

**mmatuska** commented on Apr 3

Member

@**risicle** the data read here is treated in libarchive as "external data", so libarchive will erroneously consider the data to be from the archive and interpret the rest of the 9-byte header. All subsequent reads (based or not based on the header data received) will fail on the empty entry\_bytes\_remaining.

**mcandre** commented on Apr 7

When can we tag and release a new, patched version?  
Will fixes be backported to earlier libarchive release series, too?

🔗 **jpuhlman** pushed a commit to MontaVista-OpenSourceTechnology/poky that referenced this issue on Apr 8



**CVE-2022-26280** libarchive: out of bounds in zipx\_lzma\_alone\_init ...

48f1f5c



**CamberLoid** mentioned this issue on Apr 14

**libarchive: security update to 3.6.1** AOSC-Dev/aosc-os-abbs#3931

🔗 Merged



10 tasks

 **praharsh23** added a commit to praharsh23/libarchive that referenced this issue on May 3



Verify fix for [libarchive#1672](#)

✗ 0f4b585

**carnil** commented on May 6

[CVE-2022-28066](#) appears to have been assigned to this issue.

**Cristian-Bejan** commented on May 9

Any reason as to why 2 CVE ([CVE-2022-26280](#) [CVE-2022-28066](#)) have been assigned to this issue?

 **carnil** commented on May 9

I suspect this was assigned then by error. Asked MITRE if one of both should be rejected.

 **icycityone** commented on May 9

Author

oh the cve is submited by me  
...

 **icycityone** commented on May 9

Author

i have submited twice.May they have not cheched it  
...

**Cristian-Bejan** commented on May 9

@carnil Thank you! My understanding is that these are both OOB with a DoS impact and [37d6aff](#) fixes them. Is the root cause the thing that makes them apart?

**Cristian-Bejan** commented on May 9

@icycityone That might be the case. You submitted different descriptions so I'm assuming they thought it's a different vulnerability.

icycityone commented on May 9

Author

i have not noticed this since i received the reply from MITRE.I recived the cve numbers is 28260.

icycityone commented on May 9

Author

@icycityone That might be the case. You submitted different descriptions so I'm assuming they thought it's a different vulnerability.

maybe,because this is my first time to submit,so to ensure they recevied,i have sumbmited twice

srcn commented on May 11

@icycityone: regarding to CVE reporting,

1. could you please give detailed affected previous release versions in report? something like from version 3.4.0 to 3.6.0? that will help NVD to populate CPE list. It will be even better if providing enumerated all affected released versions.
2. Definitely suggest to keep one CVE open, suggest to close [CVE-2022-28066](#) and keep [CVE-2022-26280](#), because [CVE-2022-26280](#) already have CVSS score assigned.

✉ icycityone commented on May 12

Author

oh i am busy those days and have no time to test other versions.ANd i have searched but did not know how to cancel the cve

...

✉ carnil commented on May 12

Requesting for REJECT of a CVE can be done via <https://cveform.mitre.org/> -> Select a request type -> Request an update to an existing CVE entry -> Type of update requested -> Rejection.

🗨️  mfrw mentioned this issue on Jun 13

**libarchive: bump version to 3.6.1 to address CVE-2022-26280** microsoft/CBL-Mariner#3154

🔗 Merged

📋 12 tasks

Assignees

No one assigned

---

Labels

None yet

---

Projects

None yet

---


Milestone

No milestone

---

Development

Successfully merging a pull request may close this issue.

 **Moved negative check for input byte count to after min calculation**  
JiaT75/libarchive

---

9 participants

