

New issue

Jump to bottom

VecDeque: length 0 underflow and bogus values from pop_front(), triggered by a certain sequence of reserve(), push_back(), make_contiguous(), pop_front() #79808



Assignees

Labels A-collections C-bug I-unsound P-critical T-libs T-libs-api

```
ayourtch commented on Dec 7, 2020 • edited ▼
This is my first bug report, so please correct me s if I miss anything :-)
I use VecDeque in a toy application (https://github.com/ayourtch/flex-sftp-server) which is handling external reads in a loop, and does possible partial handling of the input.
My pattern is I call reserve(N) before push_back() N times, then make_contiguous(), then doing some pop_front(). I noticed the app was behaving badly, and was able to generate a stand-alone test
case which shows the bug.
The code is pretty boring and repetitive, so I put it at https://github.com/ayourtch/deg-bug/ to avoid spamming here.
Just issue "cargo run" after cloning out that code, and look for the word "BUG" within the terminal output:
According to the docs, pop_front() on an empty VecDeque should return None
Instead, this happens:
  pop: Some(75)
  pop: Some(6e)
  pop: Some(74)
  pop: Some(75)
  deq len: 0
  pop: Some(2f)
BUG ^^^
  deq len: 31
  pop: Some(75)
pop: Some(62)
  pop: Some(75)
pop: Some(6e)
If I set the boolean "do_reserve" to be false, thus getting rid of all the reserve() calls, I get the expected behavior:
  pop: Some(75)
pop: Some(6e)
  pop: Some(74)
pop: Some(75)
  dea len: 0
  deq len: 0
  pop: None
  pop: None
  pop: None
  pop: None
The same happens if I set "do_make_contiguous" to false as well - so calling both functions is a prerequisite to trigger the bug.
The same thing happens in debug and release builds.
The below is the version I found it in, but thanks a lot to Steve Klabnik for also testing it on nightly and getting the same buggy behavior.
rustc --version --verbose:
  rustc 1.48.0 (7eac88abb 2020-11-16)
  binary: rustc
  commit-hash: 7eac88abb2e57e752f3302f02be5f3ce3d7adfb4
  host: x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu
   release: 1.48.0
  LLVM version: 11.0
```

syourtch added the C-bug label on Dec 7, 2020

naim94a commented on Dec 7, 2020

I created a minimal sample:

```
use std::collections::VecDeque;
   fn ab(dq: &mut VecDeque<i32>, sz: usize) {
       for i in 0..sz {
          dq.push_back(i as _);
       dq.make_contiguous();
for _ in 0..sz {
           dq.pop_front();
   fn main() {
   let mut dq = VecDeque::with_capacity(2);
      ab(&mut dq, 2);
ab(&mut dq, 3);
       dbg!(dq.len()); // this is zero
       dbg!(dq.pop_front()); // uaf+double frees
 9 6
on Dec 7, 2020
rustbot added the I-prioritize label on Dec 7, 2020
                                                                                                                                                                               Member
camelid commented on Dec 7, 2020
 Hmm, if it double-frees then why does Miri not catch it?
Camelid added P-critical and removed I-prioritize labels on Dec 7, 2020
camelid commented on Dec 7, 2020
                                                                                                                                                                               Member
 Assigning P-critical and removing I-prioritize as discussed in the prioritization working group.
camelid added the T-libs-api label on Dec 7, 2020
 leonardo-m commented on Dec 7, 2020
 Some time ago I've read somewhere that Rust stdlib VecDeque is cursed. I didn't believe them. I'm changing opinion... -.-
 (<del>c</del> 6)
 naim94a commented on Dec 7, 2020
Hmm, if it double-frees then why does Miri not catch it?
 This specific case doesn't double free (i32::drop is a noop), using a Box might have been a better example...
                                                                                                                                                                               Member
camelid commented on Dec 7, 2020
 Still, this is memory-unsafe, right?
naim94a commented on Dec 7, 2020
 Yes, It's still a use-after-free regardless
 1
                                                                                                                                                                             Sign in to view
This comment has been minimized.
A lcnr self-assigned this on Dec 7, 2020
camelid commented on Dec 7, 2020 • edited •
                                                                                                                                                                               Member
 Yes, It's still a use-after-free regardless
 @naim94a Based on my conversation with lcnr, jonas-schievink, and others on Zulip, it seems that using make_contiguous with copy types is not UB (it still exhibits a bug though!) because copy
types aren't freed. It is UB with non-copy types though (e.g. _{\mbox{\footnotesize Box}} ).
Contraction of this issue on Dec 7, 2020
    fix soundness issue in <code>make_contiguous #79814</code>
```

Merged
 Me

bors closed this as completed in d32c320 on Dec 10, 2020