

. . .

```
pfcp_node will be allocated by calling ogs_pfcp_node_add.
 src/smf/pfcp-path.c
     node = ogs_pfcp_node_find(&ogs_pfcp_self()->pfcp_peer_list, &from);
     if (!node) {
         node = ogs_pfcp_node_add(&ogs_pfcp_self()->pfcp_peer_list, &from);
         ogs_assert(node);
         node->sock = data;
         pfcp_node_fsm_init(node, false);
     }
     . . .
pfcp_node is allocated from ogs_pfcp_node_pool and appended to pfcp_peer_list in
ogs_pfcp_node_add .
  lib/pfcp/context.c
 ogs_pfcp_node_t *ogs_pfcp_node_new(ogs_sockaddr_t *sa_list)
 {
     ogs_pfcp_node_t *node = NULL;
     ogs_assert(sa_list);
     ogs_pool_alloc(&ogs_pfcp_node_pool, &node);
     ogs_assert(node);
     memset(node, 0, sizeof(ogs_pfcp_node_t));
     node->sa_list = sa_list;
     ogs_list_init(&node->local_list);
     ogs_list_init(&node->remote_list);
     ogs_list_init(&node->gtpu_resource_list);
     return node;
 }
 ogs_pfcp_node_t *ogs_pfcp_node_add(
         ogs_list_t *list, ogs_sockaddr_t *addr)
 {
```

ogs_pfcp_node_t *node = NULL;

```
ogs_sockaddr_t *new = NULL;

ogs_assert(list);
ogs_assert(addr);

ogs_assert(OGS_OK == ogs_copyaddrinfo(&new, addr));
node = ogs_pfcp_node_new(new);

ogs_assert(node);
memcpy(&node->addr, new, sizeof node->addr);

ogs_list_add(list, node);

return node;
}
```

Instead of freeing the nodes after using or encountering an error, these nodes are freed only after the termination of SMF by calling function <code>ogs_pfcp_context_final</code>.

So making more than 64 pfcp connections will crash the SMF causing DoS.

ogs_pfcp_node_pool

The size of ogs_pfcp_node_pool is defined as 64.

lib/app/ogs-context.c

lib/pfcp/context.c

```
ogs_pool_init(&ogs_pfcp_node_pool, ogs_app()->pool.nf);
```

POC

The vulnerability can be triggered simply by sending more than 64 invalid pfcp packets through different sockets.

```
| 897.6 88.41;37.71; [Frg] 1900; ops_pfq_connect() [17.22.8.1].48384 (_//Lb/pfq/pgth.c.Gl)
| 897.6 88.41;37.73; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.83; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.83; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.83; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.73; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.73; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.73; [cm] 88.000; [cm] 88.000; connect handle PFQ message type(]] (_/.Arc/arf/pfq-sa-ci.240)
| 897.6 88.41;27.73; [cm] 88.000; [
```

Upadate

We have reported this vulnerability to the vendor through email at 19 Sep 2022, but this bug has not been fixed yet.

Acknowledgment

Credit to @ToughRunner,@HenryzhaoH,@leonW7 from Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

Releases

No releases published

Packages

No packages published