Not patched

# Biometric fingerprint recognition USB3.0 memory (PD065) replay attack vulnerability

(High) bosslabdcu published GHSA-xvrv-w76r-gh28 on Jun 30, 2021

fingertool (Commercial Software)

Description

## Biometric fingerprint recognition USB3.0 memory (PD065) replay attack vulnerability

### **Target**

PD065 v.1.19

#### **Impact**

Through this replay attack, it can be verified that an attacker who does not know the password bypasses the authentication even if an incorrect password is entered. Additionally, a function of deleting a user or adding a new malicious user can be used through a replay attack. Finally, the encrypted data can also be stolen, and the data stored inside safely can be stolen even if the

#### Summary

Storage security technologies such as secure flash memory have emerged for secure storage data.

Such secure technologies include user authentication and access control technologies, and dual user authentication technologies are primarily used.

Password authentication is typical in user authentication technology and is used in conjunction with iris and fingerprint authentication. For this reason, we recently selected Wizflat's DM PD065 secure flash memory, one of the most used secure flash memories, to analyze the vulnerability of replay attacks of the password authentication feature applied to the product.

The security flash memory (DM PD065) uses a total of two user authentication technologies; password authentication and fingerprint authentication.

In other words, a user is identified through their fingerprint and password, and if any information is exposed, the protected data of the authorized user can be accessed maliciously. In password authentication technology, users who use the product set their own passwords.

#### Analysis

The method applied to fingerprint recognition USB, which is an analysis target, entails the input password being transmitted to the \*Authentication Module, and \*Authe compares the registered password with the input password. Additionally, the authentication result (the validity of the password), which is the result of the comparison, is determined and transmitted to the \*management program (Fingertool).

Owing to this method, vulnerabilities of existing password authentication technology, such as the hard-coded password vulnerability in which the password is exposed in the source code as it is, do not appear. However, in this authentication method, the result is transmitted to the \*management program, and the user is authenticated based on the result. This implies that even if a malicious attacker collects the correct (normal) authentication result first and enters an incorrect (abnormal) password, replay attack that can bypass the authentication based on the collected

Considering the vulnerability of the replay attack through the analysis result, the \*Authentication Module is the hardware, the USB in this context, to be analyzed and the authentication result is received by the \*management program. Therefore, to obtain the outcome of receiving the authentication result from the hardware, the analysis was performed using the DeviceloControl function. Because of the function analysis, a total of 8 parameters were in place when calling the DeviceloControl function, and important information among them was stored in the IN Buffer and OutBuffer. In addition, data transferred to the driver was stored in the InBuffer and data transferred from the driver is stored in OutBuffer. Based on this analysis result, after entering the correct password, the data stored in OutBuffer can be recorded, and even if an incorrect password is entered, the recorded data can be forcibly injected. Consequently, it can be confirmed that the authentication was performed normally.

DeviceloControl Function

```
000001CC (window)
ode = 4D004
: 004EEA54
ze = 10850 (67664.)
0004D004
004EER54
00010850
                  UNICODE "w retry 00"
```

correct password

	Her	Hex dump															ASCII
	20	00	99	00	00	00	10	99	00	00	00	00	20	99	00	00	
010EE5C8	0A	99	99	00	50	99	00	00	39	00	99	99	F4	01	99	99	P0?
010EE5D8	99	99	99	99	99	00	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	
010EE5E8	99	99	99	99	99	00	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	
010EE5F8	99	99	99	99	99	00	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	
010EE608	74	65	73	74	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	test

incorrect password

	Hex dump															ASCII	
	20	99	92	99	99	00	10	18	00	99	99	99	99	00	00	00	,.0Þt
010EE5C8	0A	00	00	99	50	99	00	99	30	00	00	99	F4	01	00	00	P0?
010EE5D8	99	99	99	99	99	00	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	00	00	
010EE5E8	70	99	95	99	99	00	99	98	99	99	99	99	24	99	00	00	p.4\$
010EE5F8	00	99	99	99	99	00	99	99	00	00	99	99	99	00	00	00	
010EE608	7A	78	63	31	32	33	99	99	99	00	99	99	99	00	00	00	zжc123

## **Patches**

Not patched

#### Workarounds

Code Obfuscation, Code Encryption, Digital Watermarking etc..

#### Discoverer(s)/Credits

Kyungroul Lee/South Korea/carpedm@mnu.ac.kr Jae hyuk Lee/south korea/gurmggg@cu.ac.kr

## For more information

If you have any questions or comments about this advisory:

- Open an issue in example link to repo
- Email us at boss lab email address



CVSS base metrics Attack vector Local Attack complexity Low Privileges required Low User interaction None Scope Unchanged Confidentiality High Integrity High Availability High

#### CVSS:3.1/AV:L/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

#### CVE ID

CVE-2021-26824

#### Weaknesses

CWE-259 CWE-321 CWE-798

#### Credits

jh1113