

Bug 93027 - ICE: in match_reload, at lra-constraints.c:1060

Status: ASSIGNED

Alias: None

Product: gcc
Component: rtl-optimization (show other bugs)
Version: 10.0

Importance: P3 normal
Target Milestone: ---
Assignee: Vladimir Makarov

URL:
Keywords: ice-on-valid-code, inline-asm, ra

Depends on:
Blocks:

Reported: 2019-12-20 13:00 UTC by 吴建興
Modified: 2021-12-03 03:36 UTC (History)
CC List: 3 users (show)

See Also:
Host:
Target:
Build:
Known to work:
Known to fail:
Last reconfirmed: 2020-01-21 00:00:00

Attachments

Add an attachment (proposed patch, testcase, etc.)

Note
You need to log in before you can comment on or make changes to this bug.

吴建興 2019-12-20 13:00:50 UTC

Description

g++ ./hi.c
INPUT:

int main() {
 int f= 0, w;

 asm volatile(
 ""
 : "+m&l"(f)
 : "0a"(&w)
);
}

OUTPUT:

during RTL pass: reload
./bug.cc: In function 'int main()':
./bug.cc:9:1: internal compiler error: in match_reload, at lra-constraints.c:1060
 9 | }
 | ^
0x2b55f43 match_reload
./../gcc/lra-constraints.c:1058
0x2b9c03f curr_insn_transform
./../gcc/lra-constraints.c:4306
0x2ba4656 lra_constraints(bool)
./../gcc/lra-constraints.c:5009
0x2b102b4 lra(IO FILE*)
./../gcc/lra.c:2437
0x28e6954 do_reload
./../gcc/lra.c:5518
0x28e6954 execute
./../gcc/lra.c:5704

It is probably the same bug in https://gcc.gnu.org/bugzilla/show_bug.cgi?id=84985

Vladimir Makarov 2020-01-08 21:35:33 UTC

Comment 1

Thank you for the report. I've started working on it. As changes in constraint processing needs a lot of testing, I think the patch will be read on Friday or on the next week.

Vladimir Makarov 2020-01-10 20:37:55 UTC

Comment 2

Sorry, I did a mistake in PR number and automatic commits reporting did not work.

Here are the patches fixing the PR:

https://gcc.gnu.org/viewcvs/gcc?view=revision&revision=280133
https://gcc.gnu.org/viewcvs/gcc?view=revision&revision=280135
https://gcc.gnu.org/viewcvs/gcc?view=revision&revision=280136

Vladimir Makarov 2020-01-10 20:45:58 UTC

Comment 3

Author: vmakarov
Date: Fri Jan 10 20:45:19 2020
New Revision: 280138

URL: https://gcc.gnu.org/viewcvs?rev=280138&root=gcc&view=rev
Log:
2020-01-10 Vladimir Makarov <vmakarov@redhat.com>

PR inline-asm/93027
* gcc.target/1386/pr93027.c: Use the right PR number in the test.

Modified:
trunk/gcc/testsuite/ChangeLog
trunk/gcc/testsuite/gcc.target/1386/pr93027.c

CVS Commits 2020-01-23 21:52:33 UTC

Comment 4

The master branch has been updated by Jakub Jelinek <jakub@gcc.gnu.org>:

https://gcc.gnu.org/g:3a26c7b3a3569a5e1b6f0342e50aefe76ad0ec4d

commit r10-6190-g3a26c7b3a3569a5e1b6f0342e50aefe76ad0ec4d
Author: Jakub Jelinek <jakub@redhat.com>
Date: Thu Jan 23 22:50:40 2020 +0100

testsuite: Require lp64 target rather x86_64-*- in pr93027.c. [PR93027]

I've noticed this test failed on x86_64-linux with -m32 or -mx32 testing, the triplet doesn't really say which actual multilib it is, and the test really works with lp64.

2020-01-23 Jakub Jelinek <jakub@redhat.com>

PR inline-asm/93027
* gcc.target/1386/pr93027.c: Require lp64 target rather x86_64-*-.

Jakub Jelinek 2020-02-13 12:19:18 UTC

[Comment 5](#)

So fixed for trunk? GCC 9 seems to ICE on this too in the same spot (but with -fchecking only or --enable-checking=yes), GCC 8 in extract_constraint_insn (but again with checking only).

Alexandre Oliva 2021-12-03 03:36:12 UTC

[Comment 6](#)

FWIW, with or without optimization, this testcase allocates inout f and in &w to the same register in the .reload dump. If the asm code used %0, expecting it to be initially 0 as it should, it would fail:

```
int main (void) {
  int f = 0, w, z;

  asm volatile(
    "movl\t%0, %1"
    : "+m&l"(f), "=g" (z)
    : "0a"(&w)
  );

  if (z)
    __builtin_abort ();

  return 0;
}
```