

9 imap: StartTLS stripping attack (CVE-2016-0772).

Share:     

TIMELINE



aghook submitted a report to Ruby.

Apr 28th (2 ye

`net/imap` does not seem to raise an exception when the remote end (imap server) fails to respond with `tagged_response` (NO/BAD) or `OK` to an explicit call of `imap.starttls`. This may allow a malicious MITM to perform a starttls stripping attack if the client code does not explicitly set `usessl = true` on `initialize` w it is disabled by default: it is rarely done as one might expect that `starttls` raises an exception when starttls negotiation fails (like when using `usessl` on a serve does not support it or when it fails to negotiate tls due to an ssl exception/cipher mismatch/auth fail).

The vulnerable code:

Code 433 Bytes

Wrap lines Copy Dow

```
1 def starttls(options = {}, verify = true)
2   send_command("STARTTLS") do |resp|
3     if resp.kind_of?(TaggedResponse) && resp.name == "OK"
4       begin
5         # for backward compatibility
6         certs = options.to_str
7         options = create_ssl_params(certs, verify)
8       rescue NoMethodError
9       end
10      start_tls_session(options)
11    end # ---- End of handling :)
12  end
13 end
```

PoC

For instance, we have the following client code:

Code 247 Bytes

Wrap lines Copy Dow

```
1 require 'net/imap'
2
3 imap = Net::IMAP.new('0.0.0.0', 9999)
4 imap.starttls
5 imap.login('myLOGIN', 'myPASSWORD') # test login
6 #imap.authenticate('LOGIN', 'joe_user', 'joes_password') # test auth
7 imap.disconnect
```

Start the proxy: `python striptls.py -l 0.0.0.0:9999 -r imap.yandex.ru:143 -x IMAP.StripWithError`

(See `striptls.py` in attachments).

Proxy output:

Code 1.10 KiB

Wrap lines Copy Dow

```
1 $ python striptls.py -l 0.0.0.0:9999 -r imap.yandex.ru:143 -x IMAP.StripWithError
2 2021-04-28 18:43:27,286 - INFO - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> client ('127.0.0.1', 39154) has connected
3 2021-04-28 18:43:27,286 - INFO - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> connecting to target ('imap.yandex.ru', 143)
4 2021-04-28 18:43:27,347 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> [client] <= [server] '* OK Yandex IMAP4rev1 at myt3-8d2078fede5a.gcloud-c.yan
5 2021-04-28 18:43:27,348 - DEBUG - <RewriteDispatcher - changed mangle: __main__.StripWithError new: True>
6 2021-04-28 18:43:27,348 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0001 STARTTLS\r\n'
7 2021-04-28 18:43:27,349 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> [client] <= [server][mangled] 'RUBY0001 BUG unhandled command\r\n'
8 2021-04-28 18:43:27,349 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> [client] => [server][mangled] None
9 2021-04-28 18:43:27,349 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3c10> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0002 LOGIN myLOGIN myPASSWORD\r\n'
10 ...
```

As you can see, `starttls` did not return any error to the client and `LOGIN` authentication started.

`AUTH` is the same:

Code 2.07 KiB

Wrap lines Copy Dow

```
1 2021-04-28 18:47:00,579 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0001 STARTTLS\r\n'
2 2021-04-28 18:47:00,579 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] <= [server][mangled] 'RUBY0001 BUG unhandled command\r\n'
3 2021-04-28 18:47:00,579 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server][mangled] None
4 2021-04-28 18:47:00,579 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0002 AUTHENTICATE'
5 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] ' LOGIN\r\n'
6 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] <= [server][mangled] '+\r\n'
7 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server][mangled] None
8 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] 'am9lX3VzZXI=\r\n'
9 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] <= [server][mangled] '+ UGFzc3dvcnQ6\r\n'
10 2021-04-28 18:47:00,580 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server][mangled] None
11 2021-04-28 18:47:00,581 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] 'am9lc19wYXNkd29yZA==\r\n'
12 2021-04-28 18:47:00,581 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] <= [server][mangled] '+ UGFzc3dvcnQ6\r\n'
```

```

16 2021-04-28 18:47:00,581 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server][mangled] None
17 2021-04-28 18:47:00,582 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] 'am91c19wYXNzd29yZA=='
18 2021-04-28 18:47:00,582 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] => [server] '\r\n'
19 2021-04-28 18:47:00,635 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7fd5850b3dd0> [client] <= [server] 'RUBY0002 BAD Command syntax error. sc=PlERNJ32YGk1_28154

```

I set the same CVSS as [CVE-2016-0772](#) has.

Impact

Allows man-in-the-middle attackers to bypass the TLS protections by leveraging a network position between the client and the registry to block the StartTLS command, aka a "StartTLS stripping attack."

1 attachment:

F1281885: [striptls.py](#)



ame (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

Apr 28th (2 ye

Thank you. @shugo What do you think?



shugo (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

Apr 28th (2 y

Thanks for your report.

I'd like to handle this issue as a vulnerability.



shugo (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

Apr 29th (2 ye

@chinarulezzz Could you try the following fix?

It raises an Net::IMAP::UnknownResponseError with striptls.py on my box.

Code 2.22 KiB

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1  commit ee82fc874a10f76f6e83dc4026a96a805aa0713c
2  Author: Shugo Maeda <shugo@ruby-lang.org>
3  Date:   Fri Apr 30 08:51:27 2021 +0900
4
5      Fix StartTLS stripping vulnerability
6
7      Reported by Alexandr Savca in https://hackerone.com/reports/1178562
8
9  diff --git a/lib/net/imap.rb b/lib/net/imap.rb
10 index d3f2e25..f9c2822 100644
11 --- a/lib/net/imap.rb
12 +++ b/lib/net/imap.rb
13 @@ -1315,12 +1315,14 @@ module Net
14     end
15     resp = @tagged_responses.delete(tag)
16     case resp.name
17 +   when /\A(?:OK)\z\ni
18 +     return resp
19     when /\A(?:NO)\z\ni
20       raise NoResponseError, resp
21     when /\A(?:BAD)\z\ni
22       raise BadResponseError, resp
23     else
24       return resp
25 +   raise UnknownResponseError, resp
26     end
27   end
28
29 @@ -4121,6 +4123,10 @@ module Net
30     class ByeResponseError < ResponseError
31     end
32
33 +   # Error raised upon an unknown response from the server.
34 +   class UnknownResponseError < ResponseError
35 +   end
36 +
37     RESPONSE_ERRORS = Hash.new(ResponseError)
38     RESPONSE_ERRORS["NO"] = NoResponseError
39     RESPONSE_ERRORS["BAD"] = BadResponseError
40 diff --git a/test/net/imap/test_imap.rb b/test/net/imap/test_imap.rb
41 index 4fb9f74..f29fa1f 100644
42 --- a/test/net/imap/test_imap.rb
43 +++ b/test/net/imap/test_imap.rb
44 @@ -127,6 +127,16 @@ class IMAPTest < Test::Unit::TestCase
45     imap.disconnect
46   end
47 end
48 +
49 + def test_starttls_stripping

```

```

53 +         imap.starttls(:ca_file => CA_FILE)
54 +     end
55 +     imap
56 + end
57 + end
58 end
59
60 def start_server
61 @@ -883,6 +893,27 @@ EOF
62     end
63 end
64
65 + def starttls_stripping_test
66 +     server = create_tcp_server
67 +     port = server.addr[1]
68 +     start_server do
69 +         sock = server.accept
70 +         begin
71 +             sock.print("* OK test server\r\n")
72 +             sock.gets
73 +             sock.print("RUBY0001 BUG unhandled command\r\n")
74 +             ensure
75 +                 sock.close
76 +                 server.close
77 +             end
78 +         end
79 +         begin
80 +             imap = yield(port)
81 +             ensure
82 +                 imap.disconnect if imap && !imap.disconnected?
83 +             end
84 +         end
85 +     end
86     def create_tcp_server
87         return TCPServer.new(server_addr, 0)
88     end

```

I don't remember why a block is given to send_command even though it handles only tagged OK response, but I don't fix it to keep the patch simple.



highhook posted a comment.

Apr 30th (2 ye

ashugo Tested and LGTM.

P.S.

I don't remember why a block is given to send_command even though it handles only tagged OK response, but I don't fix it to keep the patch simple.

Yeah. And if you plan to fix it in the future, it seems that the second check of resp.name is redundant in the following procedure, since an exception is thrown in the case of a non-OK resp?

Code 154 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1 def starttls(options = {}, verify = true)
2   send_command("STARTTLS") do |resp|
3     if resp.kind_of?(TaggedResponse) && resp.name == "OK" # <-

```



highhook posted a comment.

Apr 30th (2 ye

Nope. I was wrong. It seems that the client sends to the server encrypted data and *after* that thrown the `UnknownResponseError` :

Code 2.35 KiB

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1 2021-04-30 11:24:58,984 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7f214296e090> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0001 STARTTLS\r\n'
2 2021-04-30 11:24:58,985 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7f214296e090> [client] <= [server][mangled] 'RUBY0001 BUG unhandled command\r\n'
3 2021-04-30 11:24:58,985 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7f214296e090> [client] => [server][mangled] None
4 2021-04-30 11:24:58,987 - DEBUG - <Session 0x7f214296e090> [client] => [server] '\x16\x03\x01\x02\x00\x01\x00\x01\xfc\x03\x03P\x9a\x8b=^?\';
5 2021-04-30 11:25:29,019 - WARNING - <Session 0x7f214296e090> terminated.

```



ashugo (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

Apr 30th (2 ye

achinarulezzz

Yeah. And if you plan to fix it in the future, it seems that the second check of resp.name is redundant in the following procedure, since an exception is thrown in the case of a non-OK resp?

Yes, I'll fix it as follows:

Code 347 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1 def starttls(options = {}, verify = true)
2   resp = send_command("STARTTLS")
3   begin
4     # for backward compatibility

```

```
8     end
9     start_tls_session(options)
10    resp
11    end
```

Nope. I was wrong. It seems that the client sends to the server encrypted data and *after* that thrown the `UnknownResponseError` :

I couldn't reproduce it.
I got the following result:

Code 1.56 KiB [Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```
1 2021-04-30 17:47:16,254 - INFO - <Proxy 0x10d307d50 listen=('0.0.0.0', 9999) target=('imap.yandex.ru', 143)> ready.
2 2021-04-30 17:47:16,254 - DEBUG - * added vector (port:143 , proto: IMAP): <class __main__.StripWithError at 0x10d309a78>
3 2021-04-30 17:47:16,254 - INFO - <RewriteDispatcher ssl/tls_intercept=False vectors={143: set([<class __main__.StripWithError at 0x10d309a78>])}>
4 2021-04-30 17:47:26,092 - DEBUG - <ProtocolDetect 0x10d3913d0 protocol_id=PROTO_IMAP len_history=0> - protocol detected (target port)
5 2021-04-30 17:47:26,093 - INFO - <Session 0x10d391390> client ('127.0.0.1', 51955) has connected
6 2021-04-30 17:47:26,093 - INFO - <Session 0x10d391390> connecting to target ('imap.yandex.ru', 143)
7 2021-04-30 17:47:26,730 - DEBUG - <Session 0x10d391390> [client] <= [server] '* OK Yandex IMAP4rev1 at myt3-e294cef8d474.qcloud-c.yandex.net'
8 2021-04-30 17:47:26,730 - DEBUG - <RewriteDispatcher - changed mangle: __main__.StripWithError new: True>
9 2021-04-30 17:47:26,731 - DEBUG - <Session 0x10d391390> [client] => [server] 'RUBY0001 STARTTLS\r\n'
10 2021-04-30 17:47:26,731 - DEBUG - <Session 0x10d391390> [client] <= [server][mangled] 'RUBY0001 BUG unhandled command\r\n'
11 2021-04-30 17:47:26,732 - DEBUG - <Session 0x10d391390> [client] => [server][mangled] None
12 2021-04-30 17:47:26,733 - WARNING - session.close(): Exception: error(57, 'Socket is not connected')
13 2021-04-30 17:47:26,733 - WARNING - <Session 0x10d391390> terminated.
```

Have you changed anything in PoC?



ghook posted a comment.

Apr 30th (2 ye

Have you changed anything in PoC?

No. But I don't fixed `starttls` as you. Just removed `resp.name == "OK"` :

Code 408 Bytes [Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```
1 def starttls(options = {}, verify = true)
2   send_command("STARTTLS") do |resp|
3     if resp.kind_of?(TaggedResponse) && resp.name == "OK"
4       begin
5         # for backward compatibility
6         certs = options.to_str
7         options = create_ssl_params(certs, verify)
8       rescue NoMethodError
9       end
10      start_tls_session(options)
11    end
12  end
13 end
```

That's a lousy fix, yeah :)

With your patch i got the same result as you (couldn't reproduce it too). All is fine.



hugo (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

Apr 30th (2 ye

No. But I don't fixed `starttls` as you.

Ah, I see.

So, I'll fix the vulnerability without the fix of the `starttls` method (the first patch in <https://hackerone.com/reports/1178562#activity-11572411>), and fix `starttls` as the security fix releases.



hugo (Ruby staff) posted a comment.

May 6th (2 ye

CVE-2021-32066 has been assigned:

[Suggested description]
An issue was discovered in Ruby through 2.6.7, 2.7.x through 2.7.3, and 3.x through 3.0.1. Net::IMAP does not raise an exception when StartTLS fails with an unknown response, which might allow man-in-the-middle attackers to bypass the TLS protections by leveraging a network position between the client and the registry to block the StartTLS command, aka a "StartTLS stripping attack."
[Vulnerability Type]
Missing SSL Certificate Validation
[Vendor of Product]
the Ruby community
[Affected Product Code Base]
Ruby - 3.0.1 or before

[Affected Component]

Net::IMAP

[Attack Type]

Remote

[Impact Information Disclosure]

true

[Attack Vectors]

To exploit vulnerability, a user must connect to a malicious MITM IMAP server.

[Reference]

<https://hackerone.com/reports/1178562>

[Has vendor confirmed or acknowledged the vulnerability?]

true

[Discoverer]

Alexandr Savca

Use [CVE-2021-32066](#).

--

CVE Assignment Team


M/S M300, 202 Burlington Road, Bedford, MA 01730 USA

[A PGP key is available for encrypted communications at

https://cve.mitre.org/cve/request_id.html]

hsbt (Ruby staff) updated CVE reference to [CVE-2021-32066](#). May 6th (2 ye

hsbt (Ruby staff) changed the status to **Triaged**. May 10th (2 ye

 hugo (Ruby staff) closed the report and changed the status to **Resolved**. Jul 7th (about 1 y

We have released new versions of Ruby and have published the vulnerability.

<https://www.ruby-lang.org/en/news/2021/07/07/starttls-stripping-in-net-imap/>

Thank you.

shugo (Ruby staff) requested to disclose this report. Jul 7th (about 1 y

The Internet Bug Bounty rewarded sighook with a **\$500** bounty. Jul 7th (about 1 y

sighook agreed to disclose this report. Jul 8th (about 1 y

This report has been disclosed. Jul 8th (about 1 y