Jump to bottom New issue

Memory Leak in crossbeam-queue ArrayQueue? (Latest git only, ver0.2.3 is not effected) #539

~Yoshi

○ Closed YoshikiTakashima opened this issue on Aug 3, 2020 · 6 comments

bug crossbeam-channel crossbeam-queue Labels

YoshikiTakashima commented on Aug 3, 2020 I'm Yoshi, a PhD student at CMU. We are currently evaluating a project that aims to automatically synthesize test cases for Rust libraries. We ran the synthesized test cases with Miri, and it reports what appears to be a memory leak. The minimum example is: let x_1 = ArrayQueue::<i32>::new(1); }//destruct x_1 error: Undefined Behavior: incorrect layout on deallocation: allocation has size 64 and alignment 8, but gave size 16 and alignment 8 It looks like the drop destructor is not erasing enough memory. You can also catch this with by running cargo miri test inside crossbeam-queue. One of your manually written test cases (capacity) will induce the same behavior. The first commit to have this issue is 2180b820c846e5e6f2f11ccd1238198a5861dc08. You can confirm this by running cargo miri test on 1 commit before. It will not terminate because Miri is extremely slow, but you can see that it gets past $\mbox{ \ capacity }$ test case. As for the root cause, I suspect that the drop function needs to be modified to match the changes to new, but I am yet to get a PR up. In case this is intended behavior, or you would prefer if I focused on other parts of the code, please let me know. Thanks

```
Contributor
cynecx commented on Aug 3, 2020 • edited ▼
Yes, I just realized that the changes in #500 are not correct in terms of equivalence compared to the original code behavior.
The PR (#500) replaces:
  let mut v = Vec::<Slot<T>>::with_capacity(cap);
with
  let mut v: Vec<Slot<T>> = (0..cap)
      stamp: AtomicUsize::new(i).
              msg: UnsafeCell::new(MaybeUninit::uninit()),
      })
      .collect();
The latter does not exactly allocate cap slot entries as with_capacity would do, instead with cap=1 the current Vec + collect implementation would allocate 4 entries. When the drop
implementation [1] would run, it would try to reconstruct the Vec with the cap as capacity although it is not the correct capacity, hence causing the memory leak.
[1]:
   crossbeam/crossbeam-queue/src/array_queue.rs
Lines 426 to 429 in 5a68889
   426
           // Finally, deallocate the buffer, but don't run any destructors.
  427
           unsafe {
   428
               Vec::from_raw_parts(self.buffer, 0, self.cap);
```

```
caelunshun commented on Aug 3, 2020 • edited 🕶
```

Contributor

This is fixed with #533. Note th

cynecx commented on Aug 3, 2020 • edited 🔻 Contributor @caelunshun Ah I didn't see that PR. since Vec::with_capacity doesn't guarantee that exact capacity.

The std doc says: "The vector will be able to hold exactly capacity elements without reallocating. If capacity is 0, the vector will not allocate.". Ährm, doesn't that kinda say that the capacity of the Vec will be exactly cap?

Contributor caelunshun commented on Aug 3, 2020 Ah, looks like I was mistaken there. You're right, then; this issue was introduced in #500. Contributor cynecx commented on Aug 3, 2020 @caelunshun Mhh. Maybe a simpler fix for #500 would have been something like: // Instead of let mut v = Vec::<Slot<T>>::with_capacity(cap); // do this let mut v = Vec::<MaybeUninit<Slot<T>>>::with_capacity(cap); So that the actual initialization loop can be preserved. Author YoshikiTakashima commented on Aug 3, 2020 Hi @cynecx, @caelunshun. Thank you for the quick response. I'll merge #533 into my fork and see if I can find more interesting issues. Thank you for the help! YoshikiTakashima closed this as completed on Aug 3, 2020 Ç∄ 👔 taiki-e mentioned this issue on Sep 6, 2020 Change crossbeam-channel's license to MIT OR Apache-2.0 #537 (№ Merged) This was referenced on Oct 9, 2020 Run Miri on CI #578 Merged
 Me Add advisory for UB in crossbeam-channel 0.4.3 rustsec/advisory-db#425 (№ Merged) taiki-e added bug crossbeam-channel crossbeam-queue labels on Nov 4, 2020 Ç3 CjS77 added a commit to tari-project/broadcast_channel that referenced this issue on Jun 7 bug: update crossbeam-channel ... X 659ca76 CjS77 mentioned this issue on Jun 7 bug: update crossbeam-channel tari-project/broadcast_channel#4 **\$** Merged $\begin{tabular}{ll} ζ & \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{CjS77} added a commit to tari-project/broadcast_channel that referenced this issue on Jun 7 \\ \end{tabular}$ bug: update crossbeam-channel ... X 60f9e2c Ç₫ CjS77 added a commit to tari-project/broadcast_channel that referenced this issue on Jun 7 bug: update crossbeam-channel ... ✓ eaa8b1a $\[\Box \]$ CjS77 added a commit to tari-project/broadcast_channel that referenced this issue on Jun 7 bug: update crossbeam-channel (#4) ... ✓ 8aa6dd2 Assignees Labels bug crossbeam-channel crossbeam-queue Milestone No milestone Development

No branches or pull requests

4 participants



