Jump to bottom New issue

SEGV in function dwarf::cursor::uleb128 at dwarf/internal.hh:154 #50

⊙ Open xiaoxiongwang opened this issue on Aug 15, 2020 · 1 comment

```
xiaoxiongwang commented on Aug 15, 2020 • edited 🕶
Tested in Ubuntu 16.04, 64bit.
 The tested program is the example program dump-tree.
 The testcase is dump_tree_segv.
Luse the following command:
    /path-to-libelfin/examples/dump-tree dump tree segv
and get:
    Segmentation fault (core dumped)
I use valgrind to analysis the bug and get the below information (absolute path information omitted):
    valgrind /path-to-libelfin/examples/dump-tree dump_tree_segv ==21176== Memcheck, a memory error detector ==21176== Copyright (C) 2002-2015, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al. =21176== Using Valgrind-3.11.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info ==21176== Command: /path-to-libelfin/examples/dump-tree dump_tree_segv
     ==21176==
==21176== Invalid read of size 1
                      Invalid read or size 1
at 0x431161: duebiz8 (internal.hh:154)
by 0x431161: dwarf::die::read(unsigned long) (die.cc:35)
by 0x448698: dwarf::value::as_reference() const (value.cc:215)
by 0x444852: dwarf::vs_string[abi:cxx1](dwarf::value const&) (value.cc:324)
by 0x404A3B: dump_tree(dwarf::die const&, int) (dump-tree.cc:19)
    ==21176==
     ==21176==
    ==21176==
     ==21176==
                        by 0x4035C1: dump_tree (dump-tree.cc:21)
     ==21176== by 0x4035C1: main (dump-tree.cc:43) ==21176== Address 0x5b02809b is not stack'd, malloc'd or (recently) free'd
    ==21176==
     ==21176==
==21176== Process terminating with default action of signal 11 (SIGSEGV)
     ==21176== Access not within mapped region at address 0x5B02809B
==21176== at 0x431161: uleb128 (internal.hh:154)
    ==21176== by 8x431161: dwarf::die::read(unsigned long) (die.cc:35)
by 8x438698: dwarf::value::as_reference() const (value.cc:215)
by 8x446822: dwarf::vo_string[abi:cxx11](dwarf::value const&) (value.cc:324)
by 8x464838: dump_tree(dwarf::de_const&, int) (dump-tree.cc:19)
     ==21176== by 0x4035C1: dump_tree (dump-tree.cc:21) ==21176== by 0x4035C1: main (dump-tree.cc:43)
    ==21176== If you believe this happened as a result of a stack
==21176== overflow in your program's main thread (unlikely but
==21176== possible), you can try to increase the size of the
     ==21176== main thread stack using the --main-stacksize= flag.
==21176== The main thread stack size used in this run was 8388608.
     --- <0>
     <br/>
<br/>
DW_TAG_compile_unit
              DW AT produce
              DW_AT_language 4 byte block: cb 0 0 0
              DW_AT_name
              DW_AT_comp_dir
              DW AT low pc 0x0
             DW_AT_high_pc 0x1500000000000000
DW_AT_stmt_list <line 0x0>
      <2d> DW_TAG_base_type
 DW_AT_byte_size 0x8
               DW_AT_encoding 0x7
DW_AT_name long unsigned int
      <34> DW_TAG_base_type
               DW_AT_byte_size 0x1
DW_AT_encoding 0x8
               DW_AT_name
      <3b> DW TAG base type
               DW_AT_byte_size 0x2
DW_AT_encoding 0x7
               DW AT name
      <42> DW_TAG_base_type
               DW_AT_byte_size 0x4
DW_AT_encoding 0x7
               DW AT name
               DW_AT_byte_size 0x1
               DW_AT_encoding 0x6
DW_AT_name
      DW_AT_encoding 0x5
               DW_AT_name
      DW_AT_encoding 0x5
DW_AT_name int
<5e> DW_TAG_base_type
              DW_AT_byte_size 0x8
DW_AT_encoding 0x5
               DW AT name
      <65> DW_TAG_base_type
```

```
DW_AT_byte_size 0x8
     DW_AT_encoding 0x7
DW_AT_name
<6c> DW_TAG_base_type
              DW_AT_byte_size 0x1
DW_AT_encoding 0x6
              DW AT name
     <73> DW_TAG_subprogram
             DW AT external true
              DW_AT_name
DW_AT_decl_file 0x1
              DW_AT_decl_line 0x3
    ==21176==
    ==21176== HEAP SUMMARY:
   ==21176== in use at exit: 81,552 bytes in 68 blocks
==21176== total heap usage: 182 allocs, 114 frees, 92,963 bytes allocated
    ==21176==
    ==21176== LEAK SUMMARY:
   ==21176== CEAN SOUTHWRY:
==21176== definitely lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==21176== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==21176== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==21176== still reachable: 81,552 bytes in 68 blocks
    ==21176== suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==21176== Rerun with --leak-check=full to see details of leaked memory
    ==21176==
    ==21176== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -
    ==21176== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
    Segmentation fault (core dumped)
I use {\bf AddressSanitizer} to build ffjpeg and running it with the following command:
   /path-to-libelfin/examples/dump-tree dump_tree_segv
This is the ASAN information (absolute path information omitted):
    /path-to-libelfin-address/examples/dump-tree dump_tree_segv
    ASAN:SIGSEGV
    ==21215==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x7f519be3409b (pc 0x000000417cb5 bp 0x7ffddf8f6830 sp 0x7ffddf8f6730 T0)
         #0 0x417cb4 in dwarf::cursor::uleb128() /path-to-libelfin-address/dwarf/internal.hh:154 #1 0x417cb4 in dwarf::die::read(unsigned long) /path-to-libelfin-address/dwarf/die.cc:35
         #1 0x47/CD4 in OwarT::Ole::read(Unisigned Long) /pdcfn-to-libelfin-address/dwarf/Ole.cc:35
#2 0x4225711 in dwarf::to_string[abi:cxx11](dwarf::value const8) /path-to-libelfin-address/dwarf/value.cc:324
#4 0x403acc in dump_tree(dwarf::die const8, int) /path-to-libelfin-address/examples/dump-tree.cc:19
#5 0x403bac in dump_tree(dwarf::die const8, int) /path-to-libelfin-address/examples/dump-tree.cc:21
#6 0x403361 in main /path-to-libelfin-address/examples/dump-tree.cc:43
          #7 0x7f514331582f in __libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x2082f)
         #8 0x403878 in _start (/path-to-libelfin-address/examples/dump-tree+0x403878)
    AddressSanitizer can not provide additional info.
   SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: SEGV /path-to-libelfin-address/dwarf/internal.hh:154 dwarf::cursor::uleb128() ==21215==ABORTING
An attacker can exploit this vulnerability by submitting a malicious elf file that exploits this bug which will result in a Denial of Service (DoS).
( 1 1 )
```

fgeek commented on Aug 6, 2021

CVE-2020-24822 has been assigned for this issue.

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects None yet

Milestone No milestone

Development

No branches or pull requests

2 participants

