# Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2021-1369

# Anker Eufy Homebase 2 pushMuxer processRtspInfo heap buffer overflow vulnerability

OCTOBER 11, 2021

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2021-21940

#### SUMMARY

A heap-based buffer overflow vulnerability exists in the pushMuxer processRtspInfo functionality of Anker Eufy Homebase 2 2.1.6.9h. A specially-crafted network packet can lead to a heap buffer overflow. An attacker can send a malicious packet to trigger this vulnerability.

## CONFIRMED VULNERABLE VERSIONS

The versions below were either tested or verified to be vulnerable by Talos or confirmed to be vulnerable by the vendor.

Anker Eufy Homebase 2 2.1.6.9h

PRODUCT URLS

Eufy Homebase 2 - https://us.eufylife.com/products/t88411d1

CVSSV3 SCORE

10.0 - CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:H/A:H

CWE

CWE-122 - Heap-based Buffer Overflow

### DETAILS

The Eufy Homebase 2 is the video storage and networking gateway that enables the functionality of the Eufy Smarthome ecosystem. All Eufy devices connect back to this device, and this device connects out to the cloud, while also providing assorted services to enhance other Eufy Smarthome devices.

Just as videos captured by Eufycams can be stored in the Eufy Homebase 2 device's extra storage, so too can these videos be viewed after the fact via the RTSP server running on the Eufy Homebase 2, called pushMuxer. A relatively standard RTSP server, it supports the "OPTIONS", "DESCRIBE", "SETUP", "PLAY", "TEARDOWN" and "GET\_PARAMETER" requests. For this advisory we don't care so much about the particular verbs, moreso how the server generically handles RTSP packets.

Before getting into the code we must note that the server is capable of handling 64 different RTSP sessions at a time. Each of these sessions corresponds to a size 0x9a8 RTSPSession structure in the heap. This RTSPSession structure contains useful members that determine: if the session is initialized; the file descriptor to read from; and a nested structure that I've named the RecvPkt. This nested RecvPkt contains the size 0x800 destination buffer of the session's RTSP packets, an offset pointer and the status of the packet, among other things.

With that all in mind, let's start with the ProcessRtspInfo function:

```
00428fe0 int32_t ProcessRtspInfo(int32_t param1, int32_t param2)
// [...]
00429090
                        int32 t loop counter = 0
// [...]
004292f4
004290a8
                        while (zx.d((loop_counter s< 0x40 ? 1 : 0).b) != 0)
                                                                                                  // [1]
                              int32_t loop_counter_m4 = loop_counter << 2
int32_t loop_counter_m11 = (loop_counter_m4 << 2) - loop_counter_m4 - loop_counter
struct RtspSession* RecvPktWrap = (((((loopcounter_m11 << 3) - loopcounter_m11) << 2) + loop_counter) << 3) + 0x91a230</pre>
004290h4
004290d8
// [2]
004290e4
004290f0
                              00429114
00429150
// [...]
004291b0
004291b0
                                         void* recv_buffer_0x800
                                         if (RecvPktWrap->recvpkt.pkt_read_status != 3)
                                               ...cv:rcwrap->recvpkt.pkt_read_status != 3) //[5]
recv_buffer_0x800 = 6RecvPktWrap->recvpkt.recv_buf //[6]
memset(recv_buffer_0x800, 0, 0x800)
004291f0
00429200
004291d8
                                         recv_buffer_0x800 = 6RecvPktWrap->recvpkt.recv_buf[RecvPktWrap->recvpkt.read_ptr]
int32_t recv_ret = RecvData(fd: fd, buffer: recv_buffer_0x800, size: 0x800, buffer_offset: &buffer_offset)
004291d8
00429238
//[7]
00429250
                                         if (recv_ret == 0)
    ProcessRecvData(recvpktwrap: RecvPktWrap, recv_buffer: recv_buffer_0x800, buffer_offset: buffer_offset) //
00429268
[8]
```

As mentioned before, there are 64 different possible RTSPSessions, and the loop at [1] iterates over all of them. The fancy math from [1] to [2] boils down to 0x91a230 + (0x9a8 \* x) whereby x is the current session number. This is where our RtspSession RecvPktWrap points, and it's important to note that these structures are consecutive in heap memory. At [3] and [4], the code just makes sure the session is initialized, and that the file descriptor is valid, before getting to the code we care about starting at [5]. If the RtspSession-

>recvpkt.pkt\_read\_status is not 3, then it's treated like a new RTSP request. The "recv" destination is the top of that 0x800 buffer [6], and the buffer is cleared immediately after. If the pkt\_read\_status is 3 however, then the read destination starts from recvpkt.recv\_buf[recvpkt.read\_ptr], i.e. an offset within the buffer. As we can see at [7] however, the size of

the read never actually changes and is a constant 0x800 bytes every time. If we can manage to get our RtspSession->recvpkt.pkt\_read\_status equal to 0x3, then we will easily overflow and read into the next RtspSession. To save time, there's not any real length checks within RecvData or ProcessRecvData, and we can get recvpkt.pkt\_read\_status to 0x3 by sending an RTSP packet with a valid RTSP verb, but without a "\n'n\n'n" within 0x800 bytes.

Let now us examine the memory of a code flow in which those two conditions are met, with a breakpoint after we have our RtspSession \*RecvPktwrap pointer assigned to the current session:

```
[^.^]> x/20wx $v0 // our RtspSession.
0x91a230:
                    0x00000001
                                        0x00000000
                                                            0×00000000
                                                                                0x00000000 // [9]
                                                                                0x00000000 // [10]
0x000000000
0x91a240:
0x91a250:
                                        0x00000000
0x00000000
                                                            0x004562f0
0x00000000
                    0×00000000
                    0x00000000
0x91a260:
                    0x00000000
                                        0x00000000
                                                            0×00000000
                                                                                0x00000000
```

At [9], we see the RecvPktWrap->init\_flag assigned to 1, and at [10] we see both the recvPktWrap->fd and a less important pointer to the global RTSP server context (RtspSession->gRtspSvrCtx). If we wait until after the RecvData call and look at RtspSession->recvpkt->recv\_buf, we'll see our packet data starting at &RtspSession+0xb8:

```
[^~^]> x/20wx 0x91a230+0xb0
0x91a2d0: 0x00000000
                                   0x00000000
                                                     0x4954504f
                                                                       0x20534e4f
0x91a2e0:
                 0x00737472
                                   0x70ffff00
                                                     0x312f2f3a
                                                                       0x312e3239
                  0x312e3836
                                    0x3435322e
                                                     0x4c56623a
                                                                       0x2e332f43
                                   0x20353532
0x91a300:
                 0x2e393231
   .^]> x/3s 0x91a2d8
                  "OPTIONS rts"
0x91a2d8:
0x91a2e4:
                  "\377\377p://192.168.1.254:bVLC/3.129.255"...
0x91a2e5:
```

There's no processing done on the packet, only validation to make sure it contains a correct RTSP verb, as well as to check and see if there's a \r\n\r\n or not. Assuming there's no \r\n\r\n, the data sits in the buffer and the RecvPktWrap->recvpkt.read\_pointer is set to 0x800. We then iterate over every other RTSP session before coming back to our current one, and on this second ProcessRtspInfo we can finally see the vulnerability in action:

```
Breakpoint 2, 0x76f08704 in recv () from /lib/libpthread.so.0

[x.x]> info reg a0 a1 a2
a0: 0xc
a1: 0x9laad8
a2: 0x800
```

For the arguments of recv(fd, dstbuffer, len), we have a file descriptor of 0xC again and a read destination of 0x91aad8, which is a problem considering that the second RtspSession object lives at 0x91abd8 // (0x91a230 + (x \* 0x9a8)). If we then look at the second RtspSession after this overflow has occurred, we can clearly see that the structure has been completely overflowed by attacker-controlled data:

```
[-.-]> x/40wx $v0
0x91abd8: 0
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x91abe8:
0x91abf8:
                     0x41414141
0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x4444444
0x91ac08:
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x91ac18:
0x91ac28:
                     0x41414141
0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x91ac38:
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x91ac48:
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
                                                                                    0x4444444
0x4444444
0x91ac58:
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
0x91ac68:
                     0x41414141
                                          0x42424242
                                                               0x43434343
                                                                                    0x44444444
```

Turning this overflow into a write-what-where is a rather simple task that could be accomplished in a few different ways. The easiest would be to clear out the second RtspSession so that it's still considered inactive (i.e. the RtspSession->init\_flag is set to 0x0), and then read another set of bytes on the first RtspSession. As long as the first 0x1000 bytes of the RTSP packet do not contain \r\n\r\n, a third pass over the first RtspSession will occur, and since the RtspSession->read\_ptr member is below the read buffer and gets overflowed to an attacker-controlled value on the second pass, the third call to RecvData reads to an address completely under attacker control.

TIMELINE

2021-09-14 - Vendor Disclosure 2021-10-10 - Vendor Patched 2021-10-11 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith >\_> of Cisco Talos.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2021-1361 TALOS-2021-1370