

[New issue](#)[Jump to bottom](#)

Add check in `BeanDeserializer._deserializeFromArray()` to prevent use of deeply nested arrays [CVE-2022-42004] #3582

✓ Closed

cowtowncoder opened this issue on Aug 23 · 23 comments

Labels

2.14 CVE

Milestone

📌 2.13.4

cowtowncoder commented on Aug 23 · edited ▾

Member

Fix included in

- 2.13.4
- 2.12.7.1 micro-patch (jackson-bom 2.12.7.20221012)

(note: found by oss-fuzz, see: <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/oss-fuzz/issues>)



Currently feature `DeserializationFeature.UNWRAP_SINGLE_VALUE_ARRAYS` is supported by most types, and deserializers tend to implement support using recursion, effectively allowing multiple nested layers of JSON Arrays to be unwrapped.

This is not a feature to support but just an implementation detail; ideally we should only allow a single JSON Array to wrap a value.

I think I have removed ability for deeper nesting from some other types so there may be some prior art.

  cowtowncoder added `to-evaluate` 2.14 and removed `to-evaluate` labels on Aug 23 cowtowncoder added a commit that referenced this issue on Aug 23Add a (failing) test for [#3582](#)✗ 35de19e

  cowtowncoder added the **has-failing-test** label on Aug 23

  cowtowncoder changed the title ~~Add check in BeanDeserializer._deserializeFromArray() to try to prevent use of deeply nested arrays~~ Add check in BeanDeserializer._deserializeFromArray() to prevent use of deeply nested arrays on Aug 23

 cowtowncoder closed this as completed in [0631835](#) on Aug 23

  cowtowncoder added this to the **2.14.0** milestone on Sep 3

  cowtowncoder mentioned this issue on Sep 5

Add check in primitive value deserializers to avoid deep wrapper array nesting wrt UNWRAP_SINGLE_VALUE_ARRAYS [CVE-2022-42003] #3590

 Closed

henryrneh commented on Sep 9

Hello dear @cowtowncoder,

I am Henry from Code Intelligence. First of all thank you for your quick fixes of this issue!

<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/oss-fuzz/issues/detail?id=50490>

Is this issue regarded as a security issue? If yes, we are thinking about applying CVE for it, so the community knows about it and will update to the latest version of jackson-databind.

Thank you for your fixes and support for OSS-Fuzz!

Best regards,
Henry

cowtowncoder commented on Sep 9

Member

Author

@henryrneh I think it is reasonable to file a CVE for this, although one caveat is that it is only applicable if users enable specific `DeserializationFeature` and not with vanilla (default) setting of `ObjectMapper`. So that should probably at least be reflect in applicability -- I do not have any statistics of how common enabling this feature is but it probably is minority of usage.

 4

henryrneh commented on Sep 9

@**cowtowncoder** thanks for your reply and info! We will communicate the information and handle the application to Google. Many thanks!

DavidKorczynski commented on Sep 12

This issue was found by a fuzzer written by the Ada Logics team and is part of an ongoing security assessment. @**henryrneh** can you please ensure the issues you report are found by the fuzzers written by your team (<https://github.com/google/oss-fuzz/blob/master/projects/jackson-core/JsonFuzzer.java> and <https://github.com/google/oss-fuzz/blob/master/projects/jackson-databind/ObjectReaderFuzzer.java>) then we'll take care of those from our fuzzers.

henryrneh commented on Sep 13

I already canceled the application. We will do our best to try not to apply CVEs for fuzz targets written by AdaLogics, however we will need some assistance or notification by you to know who wrote which fuzz target, because OSS-Fuzz is not designed to support this, maybe you can use some special prefix in the fuzz target name so it's more obvious for us so we can filter it out?

DavidKorczynski commented on Sep 13

| We will do our best to try not to apply CVEs for fuzz targets written by AdaLogics

Great, thanks!

| we will need some assistance or notification by you to know who wrote which fuzz target

Do the links I provided above suffice?

henryrneh commented on Sep 14 • edited ▼

Thank you that works! In the future when AdaLogics add a new fuzz target please let us know or add some prefix to the name, so this will not happen again



DavidKorczynski commented on Sep 14


Thank you that works! In the future when AdaLogics add a new fuzz target please let us know or add some prefix to the name, so this will not happen again

sounds good -- I'll also send over an email after the assessment so you can see details about the findings we got using Jazzer



henryrneh commented on Sep 14

Great, thank you!

  ws-on-ws bot mentioned this issue on Oct 2

jackson-databind-2.13.3.jar: 2 vulnerabilities (highest severity is: 7.5) whitesource/agents#85

 Open

  github-actions bot mentioned this issue on Oct 2

Denial of Service (DoS) SNYK-JAVA-COMFASTERXMLJACKSONCORE-3038424 RADAR-base/radar-jersey#65

 Closed

  ws-ghe bot mentioned this issue on Oct 4

s3-2.13.10.jar: 15 vulnerabilities (highest severity is: 7.5) kyalwss/Test_for_yahoo#2

 Open

 eperret added a commit to eperret/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 4

 fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson ...

✗ 24b6caf

  eperret mentioned this issue on Oct 4

fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson salesforce/omakase#36

 Merged

 eperret added a commit to salesforce/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 4



fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson (#36) ...

✓ 5222837

 eperret added a commit to eperret/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 4



fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson ...

6334dcf

 ws-ghe bot mentioned this issue on Oct 4

s3-2.13.10.jar: 15 vulnerabilities (highest severity is: 7.5) kyalwss/Test-00101604#2


 Open

 eperret added a commit to eperret/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 5



fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson ...

e17c652

 cowtowncoder changed the title ~~Add check in BeanDeserializer._deserializeFromArray() to prevent use of deeply nested arrays~~ Add check in BeanDeserializer._deserializeFromArray() to prevent use of deeply nested arrays [CVE-2022-42004] on Oct 5

 cowtowncoder added the CVE label on Oct 5

4 hidden items

[Load more...](#)

 cowtowncoder added a commit that referenced this issue on Oct 5



Add CVE markers for #3582, #3590

450a2d9

 eperret added a commit to eperret/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 5



fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson ...

4605a42

 eperret added a commit to eperret/omakase that referenced this issue on Oct 5



fix: updated version of com.fasterxml.jackson ...

7192eda

 dersvenhesse mentioned this issue on Oct 7

Vulnerabilities found in jackson-databind v2.13.4 & v2.13.3 #3616

🔒 Closed

🔗  **abstractj** mentioned this issue on Oct 10

[CVE-2022-42004]- Denial of Service (DoS) vulnerability in
com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind keycloak/keycloak#14833

🔒 Closed

wakingrufus commented on Oct 11

Is there any plan to backport this fix to 2.12 for those of us using jersey1 still?



cowtowncoder commented on Oct 11

Member

Author

@wakingrufus Very unlikely unless it turns out impossible to upgrade to Jackson 2.13.x and there is a lot of usage. I'd rather help resolve issues, if any, for Jackson upgrade (meaning 2.13.x fixes to let Jersey 1.x use it if there is something blocking that -- not aware of anything as of now).

wakingrufus commented on Oct 11

@wakingrufus Very unlikely unless it turns out impossible to upgrade to Jackson 2.13.x and there is a lot of usage. I'd rather help resolve issues, if any, for Jackson upgrade (meaning 2.13.x fixes to let Jersey 1.x use it if there is something blocking that -- not aware of anything as of now).

The issue is this change: [FasterXML/jackson-jaxrs-providers#134](#)

cowtowncoder commented on Oct 11


Member

Author

Ah. Ok, that is good to know and yes, would prevent clean upgrade.
I think it is actually quite possible that 2.13.x of core components (jackson-annotation, jackson-core, jackson-databind) would work ok with jackson-jaxrs-json-provider 2.12.x but that is not a recommended configuration as a general rule.

Question then is... how widely is Jersey 1.x still used? Do actively maintained framework have strong dependency on it?

I guess I'd be open to backporting via separate issue filed by someone who needs that; and that can then be processed as separate from this discussion.

 **cesarhernandezgt** pushed a commit to cesarhernandezgt/jackson-databind that referenced this issue on Oct 11

 Fix [FasterXML#3582](#) ...

a74038b

cesarhernandezgt commented on Oct 11

Contributor

I just pushed a backport for [#3590](#) and Fix [#3582](#) via PR: [#3622](#)
It's my first contribution to this project so CLA was just sent :).



wakingrufus commented on Oct 11

Ah. Ok, that is good to know and yes, would prevent clean upgrade.
I think it is actually quite possible that 2.13.x of core components (jackson-annotation, jackson-core, jackson-databind) would work ok with `jackson-jaxrs-json-provider` 2.12.x but that is not a recommended configuration as a general rule.

Question then is... how widely is Jersey 1.x still used? Do actively maintained framework have strong dependency on it?

I guess I'd be open to backporting via separate issue filed by someone who needs that; and that can then be processed as separate from this discussion.

The only reason I'm not using `jackson-jaxrs-json-provider` 2.12.x is that Jackson libraries seem to have a transitive reference to the BOM now which, in gradle, prevents a mixed version scenario (probably for the best).

As for what is using Jersey 1, it is an internal framework in my org. We are working on upgrading it, but I was just wondering if the backport is planned because we would use it in the meantime

Thanks!



  **cesarhernandezgt** mentioned this issue on Oct 12

Backport Fix #3590 and Fix #3582 to 2.12 branch #3623

 Closed

cesarhernandezgt commented on Oct 12

Contributor

I just pushed a backport for [#3590](#) and Fix [#3582](#) via PR: [#3622](#)

Yesterday I forgot to create the GitHub issue, here it's is: [#3623](#)

 **cowtowncoder** pushed a commit that referenced this issue on Oct 12

 backport [Fix #3590](#) and [Fix #3582](#) ([#3622](#))

cd09097

cowtowncoder commented on Oct 12

Member

Author

Thanks to [@cesarhernandezgt](#) 's help, there is now `jackson-databind 2.12.7.1`; and matching `jackson-bom version 2.12.7.20221012` . In addition to original fix going in 2.13.4.

 **debora-ito** mentioned this issue on Oct 13

jackson-databind before 2.14.0-rc1 has security vulnerability CVE-2022-42003 [aws/aws-sdk-java#2861](#)

 Closed

 **cxronen** mentioned this issue on Oct 12

CVE-2022-42004 @ Maven-com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind-2.0.4
[cxronen/BookStore_VSCode#230](#)

 Open

 **github-actions** bot mentioned this issue on Oct 17

Denial of Service (DoS) SNYK-JAVA-COMFASTERXMLJACKSONCORE-3038424 [RADAR-base/RADAR-Rest-Source-Auth#203](#)

 Closed

chadlwilson commented on Oct 18

FYI, I have contacted NVD to get the "known affected software configurations" on <https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2022-42004> updated to reflect the backport/micro-patch in `2.12.7.1` . The CVE linked to [#3590](#) has also now been updated to reflect the backport of that particular fix.

 **uap-universe** mentioned this issue on Oct 19

Update dependency to jackson library due to CVE-2022-42003 and CVE-2022-42004
[auth0/java-jwt#624](#)

🔒 Closed

chadlwilson commented on Oct 19

And <https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2022-42004> is now updated - this one was much quicker!



cowtowncoder commented on Oct 22

Member

Author

Big thank you **@chadlwilson**! I appreciate this and I am sure everyone with a Jackson dependency & sec scanning system does so too. :)



🔗  **github-actions** bot mentioned this issue on Oct 24

Denial of Service (DoS) SNYK-JAVA-COMFASTERXMLJACKSONCORE-3038424 RADAR-base/radar-app-config#31

🔒 Closed

jensborrmann commented 23 days ago

Is it also possible to have the information in mvnrepository (e.g. <https://mvnrepository.com/artifact/com.fasterxml.jackson.core/jackson-databind/2.12.7.1>) fixed?

chadlwilson commented 23 days ago

@jensborrmann I've no idea how mvnrepository gets CVE data, links CPEs to artifacts or keeps it in sync. (Or don't as the case may be?) As convenient as it is, mvnrepository is to my knowledge a closed source and commercial operation which publishes no information about how it works/data sources so as a non-community initiative I've not much personal interest spending time helping fix potentially proprietary data (could go away any day, so not in the public good).

There is a contact us link if you want to try your lucking sending an email though...

jensborrmann commented 23 days ago

@chadlwilson : Thanks for the quick reply!

I reached out to mvnrepository and will let you know if I will find out something of relevance.



cxronen mentioned this issue 23 days ago

CVE-2022-42004 @ Maven-com.fasterxml.jackson.core:jackson-databind-2.9.10.7
cxronen/AST_BookStore#245

Open

adakeles mentioned this issue 17 days ago

Match compile and runtime versions of jackson library dependency. auth0/java-jwt#639

Open

sonnyhcl mentioned this issue 11 days ago

[GHSA-rgv9-q543-rqg4] Uncontrolled Resource Consumption in FasterXML jackson-databind github/advisory-database#825

Merged

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

2.14 CVE

Projects

None yet

Milestone

2.13.4

Development

No branches or pull requests

7 participants

/ participants

