

New issue

[Jump to bottom](#)

NullPointer in actions.c:701:7 #4



NigelX opened this issue on Feb 25, 2021 · 4 comments

Assignees



NigelX commented on Feb 25, 2021 • edited

Project: exif

system: ubuntu 20.04

Fuzzer: afl_exif_out_xml

[poc.zip](#)

Command:

```
./exif poc.jpeg -x
```

asan

AddressSanitizer:DEADLYSIGNAL

```
=====
==3675403==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x000000000000 (pc 0x0000004cd901 bp 0x7ffd419c2ce0 sp 0x7ffd419c2300 T0)
==3675403==The signal is caused by a WRITE memory access.
==3675403==Hint: address points to the zero page.
#0 0x4cd901 in escape_xml /home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/actions.c:701:7
#1 0x4cd48a in show_entry_xml /home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/actions.c:723:26
#2 0x7f0f5af7fedd in exif_content_foreach_entry /home/hx_server/target/libexif/libexif/exif-content.c:225:3
#3 0x7f0f5af85b71 in exif_data_foreach_content /home/hx_server/target/libexif/libexif/exif-data.c:1168:3
#4 0x4cc512 in action_tag_list_xml /home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/actions.c:745:3
#5 0x4cf155 in main /home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/main.c:474:4
#6 0x7f0f5ad430b2 in __libc_start_main /build/glibc-eX1tMB/glibc-2.31/csu/../csu/libc-start.c:308:16
#7 0x41d7cd in _start (/home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/exif+0x41d7cd)

AddressSanitizer can not provide additional info.
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: SEGV /home/hx_server/target/libexif/ASAN_exif/exif/actions.c:701:7 in escape_xml
==3675403==ABORTING
```

gdb

```
Program received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault.
0x00000000004cd901 in escape_xml (text=<optimized out>) at actions.c:701
701         *out = '\x0'; /* NUL terminate the string */
```

[Legend: Modified register | Code | Heap | Stack | String]

```
----- registers -----
$rax : 0x0
$rbx : 0x0
$rcx : 0x40
$rdx : 0x0
$rsi : 0x00007fffffffcc20 → 0x00007fffffffdd560 → 0x0000000000000000
$rdi : 0x00007fffffffdd600 → 0x00007fffffffdd760 → 0x00007fffffffde240 → 0x0000000000000000
$rip : 0x00000000004cd901 → 0x34030000dc000000
$rd1 : 0x00007fffffffcc60 → 0x0000000000000000
$rip : 0x00000000004cd901 → <escape_xml+737> mov BYTE PTR [rbx], 0x0
$r8 : 0x11
$r9 : 0xfffffff800008678
$r10 : 0x00007fff7d7fe56 → 0x007970636e727473 ("strncpy?")
$r11 : 0x0000000000482650 → <strncpy+0> push rbp
$r12 : 0x00007fffffffdd0e0 → "Manufacturen"
$r13 : 0x0000000000515888 → 0x00007fff7d916a0 → 0x00000000fbad2a84 → 0x0000000000000000
$r14 : 0x00007fffffffcc60 → 0x0000000000000000
$r15 : 0x00007fffffff988 → 0x0000000000000000
$eflags: [ZERO carry PARITY adjust sign trap INTERRUPT direction overflow RESUME virtualx86 identification]
$cs: 0x0033 $ss: 0x002b $ds: 0x0000 $es: 0x0000 $fs: 0x0000 $gs: 0x0000
```

```
----- stack -----
0x00007fffffffcc20|+0x0000: 0x00007fffffffdd560 → 0x0000000000000000 ← $rsp
0x00007fffffffcc28|+0x0008: 0x00007fff7d916a0 → 0x00000000fbad2a84 → 0x0000000000000000
0x00007fffffffcc30|+0x0010: 0x00007fffffff988 → 0x0000000000000000
0x00007fffffffcc38|+0x0018: 0x00000000004cd48b → <show_entry_xml+1131> mov rdi, rax
0x00007fffffffcc40|+0x0020: 0x0000000041b58ab3
0x00007fffffffcc48|+0x0028: 0x00000000004eb372 → "2 32 1024 5 v:709 1184 1024 5 t:709"
0x00007fffffffcc50|+0x0030: 0x00000000004cd020 → <show_entry_xml+0> lea rsp, [rsp-0x98]
0x00007fffffffcc58|+0x0038: 0x0000619000000980 → 0x0000000000000000
```

```
----- code:x86:64 -----
0x4cd8f7 <escape_xml+727> mov     al, BYTE PTR [rax+0x7fff8000]
0x4cd8fd <escape_xml+733> test    al, al
0x4cd8ff <escape_xml+735> jne     0x4cd950 <escape_xml+816>
→ 0x4cd901 <escape_xml+737> mov     BYTE PTR [rbx], 0x0
0x4cd904 <escape_xml+740> mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rip+0x8edd15] # 0xdbb620 <escape_xml.escaped>
0x4cd90b <escape_xml+747> jmp     0x4cd934 <escape_xml+788>
0x4cd90d <escape_xml+749> mov     rdi, QWORD PTR [rip+0x8edd0c] # 0xdbb620 <escape_xml.escaped>
0x4cd914 <escape_xml+756> call    0x495c10 <free>
0x4cd919 <escape_xml+761> mov     QWORD PTR [rip+0x8edcfc], 0x0 # 0xdbb620 <escape_xml.escaped>
```


```
----- source:actions.c+701 -----
696             default:
697                 *out = *text;
698                 break;
699             }
700         }
→ 701         *out = '\x0'; /* NUL terminate the string */
702         return escaped;
703     }
```

```
784
785 static void
786 show_entry_xml (ExifEntry *e, void *data)

----- threads -----
[ #0] Id 1, Name: "exif", stopped 0x4cd901 in escape_xml (), reason: SIGSEGV

----- trace -----
[ #0] 0x4cd901 → escape_xml(text=<optimized out>)
[ #1] 0x4cd48b → show_entry_xml(e=<optimized out>, data=<optimized out>)
[ #2] 0x7ffff7e08ede → exif_content_foreach_entry(content=0x603000000160, func=0x4cd020 <show_entry_xml>, data=0x7fffffd68c)
[ #3] 0x7ffff7e0eb72 → exif_data_foreach_content(data=0x60600000021e0, func=0x0, user_data=0x7fffffd68c)
[ #4] 0x4cc513 → action_tag_list_xml(ed=0x7fffffcc60, p={
    tag = 65535,
    ifd = EXIF_IFD_COUNT,
    machine_readable = 0x0,
    use_ids = 0x0,
    width = 0x50,
    fin = 0x602000000250 "poc.jpeg",
    set_value = 0x0,
    set_thumb = 0x0
})
[ #5] 0x4cf156 → main(argc=<optimized out>, argv=<optimized out>)
```

HX from Topsec alpha Security Team

 **msmeissn** closed this as completed in [f6334d9](#) on Feb 25, 2021

 **msmeissn** self-assigned this on Feb 25, 2021

msmeissn commented on Feb 25, 2021

Contributor

i added a null length string check to avoid dereferencing NULL ptr.

msmeissn commented on Apr 12, 2021

Contributor

I currently would not classify this as security issue.

- the crash is caused by a NULL ptr deref, so no other memory corruption
- the output of exif is not corrupted for followup issues
- exif the program terminates anyway, so it is not causing a denial of service.

So I am currently not seeing security impact and would not request a CVE.

carnil commented on Apr 14, 2021

I currently would not classify this as security issue.

- * the crash is caused by a NULL ptr deref, so no other memory corruption
- * the output of exif is not corrupted for followup issues
- * exif the program terminates anyway, so it is not causing a denial of service.

So I am currently not seeing security impact and would not request a CVE.

@**msmeissn** it looks still someone has requested a CVE, it has <https://cve.mitre.org/cgi-bin/cvename.cgi?name=CVE-2021-27815> (shoudlt it then be disputed?)

msmeissn commented on Apr 15, 2021

Contributor

I at least filed a description update. I think availability impact can be classified low, so we can leave it valid.

Availability impact: Low
Confidentiality impact: None
Integrity impact: None

Assignees

 **msmeissn**

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

No branches or pull requests

3 participants

