Heap-based Buffer Overflow in vim/vim



Reported on Jan 8th 2022

Description

Heap-buffer-overflow in vim

Command

./vim -u NONE -X -Z -e -s -S minpoc -c :qa!

Proof of Concept

minpoc is here. #bt

```
Program received signal SIGABRT, Aborted.
__GI_raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:50
       ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c: No such file or directory.
LEGEND: STACK | HEAP | CODE | DATA | RWX | RODATA
RAX 0x0
RCX 0x7ffff787718b (raise+203) ← mov rax, qword ptr [rsp + 0x108]
RDX 0x0
RDI 0x2
RSI 0x7fffffffbee0 ← 0x0
 R8
     0x0
     0x7fffffffbee0 ∢- 0x0
R9
R10 0x8
R11 0x246
R12 0x7fffffffc150 → 0x805870 ← 0x7f00367074 /* 'tp6' */
 R13 0x10
    0x7ffff7ffb000 ← 0x6c6c616d00001000
R14
                                                           Chat with us
R15 0x1
 RRP
     0x7fffffffc230 ← 0x2de
```

0

```
0A/11111110230 - 0A200
RSP 0x7fffffffbee0 ← 0x0
RIP 0x7ffff787718b (raise+203) ← mov rax, qword ptr [rsp + 0x108]
► 0x7ffff787718b <raise+203>
                                       rax, qword ptr [rsp + 0x108]
                                mov
  0x7ffff7877193 <raise+211>
                                      rax, qword ptr fs: [0x28]
                                xor
  0x7ffff787719c <raise+220>
                                      raise+260
                                jne
                                                               <raise+26(
   \downarrow
  0x7ffff78771c4 <raise+260>
                                call
                                      stack chk fail
  0x7ffff78771c9
                                       dword ptr [rax]
                                nop
  0x7ffff78771d0 <killpg>
                                endbr64
  0x7ffff78771d4 <killpg+4>
                               test edi, edi
  0x7ffff78771d6 <killpg+6>
                                js
                                     killpg+16
                                                               <killpg+16
  0x7fffff78771d8 <killpg+8>
                                      edi
                              neg
  0x7ffff78771da <killpg+10>
                                      kill
                                                          <kill>
                                jmp
  0x7ffff78771df <killpg+15>
                                nop
00:0000 rsi r9 rsp 0x7ffffffbee0 ← 0x0
01:0008
                   0x7ffffffbee8 → 0x663998 (check termcode+72) ← cmp
02:0010
                   0x7ffffffbef0 <- 0x40 /* '@' */
                   0x7ffffffbef8 ← 0x7000000101
03:0018
04:0020
                   0x7fffffffbf00 <- 0x8
                   0x7fffffffbf08 ∢- 0x1
05:0028
                   06:0030
                   0x7fffffffff18 ← 0x770000007c /* '|' */
07:0038
▶ f 0 0x7fffff787718b raise+203
  f 1 0x7ffff7856859 abort+299
  f 2 0x7ffff78c13ee libc message+670
  f 3 0x7ffff78c947c
  f 4 0x7ffff78cc83a int malloc+3146
  f 5
        0x7ffff78ce2d4 malloc+116
  f 6
              0x4063a7 lalloc+87
              0x40634a alloc+26
  f 7
pwndbg>
                                                              Chat with us
pwndbg> bt
#0 GI raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:
```

```
#1
#2
   0x00007fffff78c13ee in __libc_message (action=action@entry=do_abort, fmt
#3
   0x00007ffff78c947c in malloc printerr (str=str@entry=0x7fffff79e9556 "malloc printerr")
   0x00007ffff78cc83a in _int_malloc (av=av@entry=0x7ffff7a1cb80 <main_are
#4
   0x00007ffff78ce2d4 in GI libc malloc (bytes=734) at malloc.c:3058
#5
   0x0000000004063a7 in lalloc (size=734, message=1) at alloc.c:248
#6
#7
   0x000000000040634a in alloc (size=734) at alloc.c:151
#8
   0x000000000561418 in block insert (oap=0x7fffffffc7c8, s=0x8d0dc0 "HI4
   0x00000000056116e in op insert (oap=0x7fffffffc7c8, count1=1) at ops.
#10 0x0000000005663cc in do pending operator (cap=0x7fffffffc758, old_col=
#11 0x00000000054f207 in normal cmd (oap=0x7fffffffc7c8, toplevel=1) at no
#12 0x0000000004aa97a in exec normal (was typed=0, use vpeekc=0, may use t
#13 0x0000000004aa81b in exec normal cmd (cmd=0x805355 "0r\te\026\067QG4Q/
#14 0x0000000004aa73c in ex_normal (eap=0x7fffffffcb38) at ex_docmd.c:8516
#15 0x0000000004a1535 in do one cmd (cmdlinep=0x7fffffffd3d8, flags=7, cst
#16 0x00000000049e6e2 in do cmdline (cmdline=0x805220 "00", fgetline=0x5fe
#17 0x0000000005fe817 in do source (fname=0x7fd963 "/home/zxq/CVE testing/
#18 0x00000000005fdbc6 in cmd source (fname=0x7fd963 "/home/zxq/CVE testing
#19 0x0000000005fdadc in ex source (eap=0x7fffffffd798) at scriptfile.c:10
#20 0x0000000004a1535 in do one cmd (cmdlinep=0x7fffffffe038, flags=11, cs
#21 0x000000000049e6e2 in do cmdline (cmdline=0x7fd900 "so /home/zxq/CVE te
#22 0x00000000049f334 in do_cmdline_cmd (cmd=0x7fd900 "so /home/zxq/CVE_te
#23 0x0000000000728903 in exe_commands (parmp=0x7e8a58 <params>) at main.c:
#24 0x000000000072795a in vim main2 () at main.c:774
#25 0x0000000007252c1 in main (argc=11, argv=0x7fffffffe238) at main.c:420
#26 0x00007ffff78580b3 in __libc_start_main (main=0x724d60 <main>, argc=11,
#27 0x0000000000040617e in start ()
```

CVE

CVE-2022-0261 (Published)

Vulnerability Type

CWE-122: Heap-based Buffer Overflow

Severity

None (0)

Chat with us

Visibility Public

Status

Fixed

Found by



zfeixq
@zfeixq
unranked v

Fixed by



Bram Moolenaar

@brammool

maintainer

This report was seen 1,098 times.

We are processing your report and will contact the vim team within 24 hours. a year ago

We have contacted a member of the vim team and are waiting to hear back a year ago

Bram Moolenaar a year ago

Maintainer

This POC is much too long. Please reduce it to the minimal needed to reproduce the issue.

We have sent a follow up to the vim team. We will try again in 7 days. 10 months ago

zfeixq 10 months ago

Researcher

POC is here.

zfeixq modified the report 10 months ago

zfeixq modified the report 10 months ago

Chat with us

Bram Moolenaar validated this vulnerability 10 months ago

zfeixq has been awarded the disclosure bounty ✓

The fix bounty is now up for grabs

Bram Moolenaar 10 months ago

Maintainer

Thanks for the new POC, I can reproduce the problem. I'll make a fix.

Bram Moolenaar 10 months ago

Maintainer

Found a simpler way to reproduce the problem. With the fix it is in patch 8.2.4120.

Bram Moolenaar marked this as fixed in 8.2 with commit 9f8c30 10 months ago

Bram Moolenaar has been awarded the fix bounty 🗸

This vulnerability will not receive a CVE x

Sign in to join this conversation

2022 @ /18500

huntr part of 418sec

home

hacktivity

leaderboard

company

about

team

Chat with us

FAQ

contact us

terms

privacy policy