

Issue 9202 - Should limit depth of nested filters

Status: VERIFIED FIXED

Alias: None

Product: OpenLDAP

Component: slapd (show other issues)

Version: unspecified

Hardware: All All

Importance: --- normal

Target Milestone: 2.4.50

Assignee: OpenLDAP project

URL:

Keywords:

Depends on:

Blocks:

Reported: 2020-04-06 00:14 UTC by Howard Chu

Modified: 2021-09-11 10:13 UTC (History)

CC List: 0 users

See Also:

Attachments	
<a href="#">fix</a> (2.85 KB, patch) 2020-04-16 00:09 UTC, Howard Chu	<a href="#">Details</a>
<a href="#">test program</a> (1.27 KB, text/x-csrc) 2020-04-28 02:44 UTC, Ryan Tandy	<a href="#">Details</a>
<a href="#">Add an attachment</a> (proposed patch, testcase, etc.)	

Note

You need to [log in](#) before you can comment on or make changes to this issue.

Howard Chu2020-04-06 00:14:37 UTC

Description

The Samba team contacted us for input on a bug that's been reported to them; an LDAP request with a very deeply nested filter (e.g. 10000 ORs) can cause a stack overflow and crash their server.

[https://bugzilla.samba.org/show\\_bug.cgi?id=14334](https://bugzilla.samba.org/show_bug.cgi?id=14334)

While this particular message doesn't crash slapd, it would be possible to overflow slapd's stack using a message with over 38000 nested ORs. The message with 10000 ORs is only 39KB, so our sockbuf\_max\_incoming\_limit doesn't protect against it. We will patch slapd to limit the depth of nested filters, and publish this bug concurrently with Samba team publishing theirs.

Howard Chu2020-04-16 00:09:46 UTC

Comment 1

Created [attachment 710](#) [\[details\]](#)

fix

Ryan Tandy2020-04-16 01:04:05 UTC

Comment 2

I verified the issue and patch using ldapsearch:

```
ldapsearch -H ldap://:9000 -x -b dc=example,dc=com -LLL "$(python3 -c 'n=8000; print("(" * n + "(objectClass=*)" + ")" * n)')"
```

Quanah Gibson-Mount2020-04-28 02:35:18 UTC

Comment 3

CVE-2020-10704

Ryan Tandy2020-04-28 02:44:16 UTC

Comment 4

Created [attachment 722](#) [\[details\]](#)

test program

Here's a better test program, not constrained by command line argument length limits.

Quanah Gibson-Mount2020-04-28 14:02:21 UTC

Comment 6

```
master:
  • d38d48fc
by Howard Chu at 2020-04-28T13:58:15+00:00
ITS#9202 limit depth of nested filters

re24:
  • 98464c11
by Howard Chu at 2020-04-28T13:59:57+00:00
ITS#9202 limit depth of nested filters
```

Salvatore Bonaccorso2020-04-28 16:59:12 UTC

Comment 7

(In reply to Quanah Gibson-Mount from [comment #3](#))

> CVE-2020-10704

FWIW: Note that there is a dedicated CVE id for this issue in OpenLDAP, which is CVE-2020-12243.

Michael Ströder2020-04-28 17:05:19 UTC

Comment 8

Please add the CVE-Id to CHANGES so downstream packagers take note of it.

Quanah Gibson-Mount2020-04-28 17:20:19 UTC

Comment 9

(In reply to Michael Ströder from [comment #8](#))

> Please add the CVE-id to CHANGES so downstream packagers take note of it.

That's currently not a tracked item in the format of the CHANGES file.

I have been thinking of adding a customized field to bugzilla to track CVEs (we did that at a prior job I worked at).

We may want to consider a format change for RE25 to allow for CVEs in the CHANGES file as well.

[Format For Printing](#) - [XML](#) - [Clone This Issue](#) - [Top of page](#)