Jump to bottom New issue

Heap overflow in get_ipv6_next() #576



○ Closed 14isnot40 opened this issue on May 8, 2020 · 4 comments

6 Assignees [1] 4.3.3 Projects **\$\Phi\quad 4.3.3** Milestone

14isnot40 commented on May 8, 2020

A heap-based buffer overflow was discovered in tcprewrite binary, during the get_c operation. The issue is being triggered in the function get_ipv6_next() at common/get.c.

To Reproduce

Steps to reproduce the behavior:

- 1. Compile topreplay according to the default configuration
- 2. execute command

tcprewrite -i \$poc -o /dev/null --fuzz-seed=42

poc can be found here.

Expected behavior

An attacker can exploit this vulnerability by submitting a malicious pcap that exploits this issue. This will result in a Denial of Service (DoS) and potentially Information Exposure when the application

Screenshots

ASAN Reports

```
==34195==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address 0x63100001080e at pc 0x00000042bd74 bp 0x7ffd8b9eada0 sp 0x7ffd8b9ead90
READ of size 4 at 0x63100001080e thread T0
#0 0x42bd73 in get_ipv6_next /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/common/get.c:454
    #1 0x42bfcc in get_ipv6_14proto /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/common/get.c:540
#2 0x42bfb9 in get_ipv6_14proto /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/common/get.c:531
    #3 0x4134c2 in do checksum /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/tcpedit/checksum.c:63
    #4 0x40b383 in fix_ipv4_checksums /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/tcpedit/edit_packet.c:74 #5 0x4079c2 in tcpedit_packet /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/tcpedit/tcpedit.c:354
    #6 0x405050b in rewrite_packets //home/test/Desktop/evaulation/ttpreplay/src/ttprewrite.c:291
#7 0x404e13 in main //home/test/Desktop/evaulation/ttpreplay/src/ttprewrite.c:130
#8 0x7f9fd6a0e82f in _libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x2082f)
    #9 0x402688 in _start (/usr/local/bin/tcprewrite+0x402688)
0x63100001080e is located 1 bytes to the right of 65549-byte region [0x631000000800,0x63100001080d)
allocated by thread TO here:
    #0 0x7f9fd72b2602 in malloc (/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libasan.so.2+0x98602)
    #1 0x42c8e9 in _our_safe_malloc /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/common/utils.c:50
    #2 0x40551e in rewrite_packets /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/tcprewrite.c:249 #3 0x404e13 in main /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/tcprewrite.c:130
    #4 0x7f9fd6a0e82f in __libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x2082f)
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow /home/test/Desktop/evaulation/tcpreplay/src/common/get.c:454 get_ipv6_next
Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
  Addressable: 00
Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
  Heap left redzone:
  Heap right redzone:
  Freed heap region:
Stack left redzone:
  Stack mid redzone:
  Stack right redzone:
  Stack partial redzone:
Stack after return:
  Stack use after scope:
  Global redzone:
Global init order:
  Poisoned by user:
  Container overflow:
  Array cookie:
  Intra object redzone:
  ASan internal:
==34195==ABORTING
```

Debug

```
Program received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault.
  0x000000000410025 in get_ipv6_next (exthdr=0x663ff6, len=0x8) at get.c:454
454 maxlen = *((int*)((u_char *)exthdr + len));
  [ Legend: Modified register | Code | Heap | Stack | String ]
                                                                                                                                                    - registers
  $rax
            0x0000000000663ff6 -> 0x00000000000000000
  $rbx
$rcx
            0x10080a0000000001
  $rdx
            0x00007fffffffd8a8 \rightarrow 0x0000000000410207 \rightarrow \mbox{\em get_ipv6\_14proto+87> test rax, rax}
  $rsp
  $rbp
            0x8
  $rsi
          : 0x8
  $rdi
$rip
            $r8
            0xe
  $r9
            0x34
  $r10
          : 0x8
  $r11
$r12
          : 0x1
: 0x1008080000000001
  $r13
            0x1
          : 0x200000000000
  $r14
  $r15
            0x1
  $eflags: [CARRY parity ADJUST zero SIGN trap INTERRUPT direction overflow RESUME virtualx86 identification]
$cs: 0x0033 $ss: 0x002b $ds: 0x0000 $es: 0x0000 $fs: 0x0000 $gs: 0x0000

      0x00007fffffffd3d0|+0x0028: 0x0000000000031550
      + 0x00000000000000000

      0x00007fffffffd3d8|+0x0030: 0x0000000000406d55
      + 0x000000000000000

      0x00007fffffffd8e0|+0x0038: 0x000000000031e10
      + 0x0000000000031550
      + 0x0000000000000001

        0x410014 <get_ipv6_next+20> add BYTE PTR [rax+0x63], cl
        0x410017 <get_ipv6_next+23> test BYTE PTR [rax-0x2d], 0xe2
       0x410037 <get_ipv6_next+55> and rcx, rdx 
0x41003a <get_ipv6_next+58> jne 0x410080 <get_ipv6_next+128>
                                                                                                                                           - source:get.c+454 -
                    int extlen = 0;
int maxlen;
      450
      451
452
                   void *ptr;
assert(exthdr);
      453
      454
                   maxlen = *((int*)((u_char *)exthdr + len));
      455
      456
                   dbgx(3, "Jumping to next IPv6 header. Processing 0x%02x", exthdr->ip_nh);
      457
                   switch (exthdr->ip_nh) {
/* no further processing */
      459
                    case TCPR_IPV6_NH_NO_NEXT:
  [#0] Id 1. Name: "tcprewrite", stopped, reason: SIGSEGV
  [#0] 0x410025 → get_ipv6_next(exthdr=0x663ff6, len=0x8)
   [#1] 0x410207 → get_ipv6_14proto(ip6_hdr=0x633c4e, len=<optimized out>)
  [#2] 0x406d56 → do_checksum(tcpedit=0x631550, data=0x633c4e "b\240", proto=0x0, len=0x80)
[#3] 0x404988 → fix_ipv4_checksums(tcpedit=0x631550, pkthdr=<optimized out>, ip_hdr=0x633c4e)
[#4] 0x403407 → tcpedit_packet(tcpedit=0x631550, pkthdr=0x7ffffffd9b8, pktdata=0x61fc78 <pktdata_buff>, direction=TCPR_DIR_C2S)
  [#5] 0x402d06 → rewrite_packets(tcpedit=0x631550, pin=0x621290, pout=0x632a00)
  [#6] 0x402151 → main(argc=<optimized out>, argv=<optimized out>)
System (please complete the following information):

    OS version : Ubuntu 16.04

• Tcpreplay Version : 4.3.2/master branch
```

R fklassen self-assigned this on May 8, 2020

```
Carnil commented on May 8, 2020

This issue appears to have been assigned CVE-2020-12740
```

```
bsmojver commented on May 13, 2020

@fklassen Is there a patch to fix this?
```

```
fklassen commented on May 14, 2020

@fklassen Is there a patch to fix this?

Expect a patch within 2 weeks.
```

- fklassen added this to To do in 4.3.3 via (automation) on Jun 1, 2020
- ⇔ fklassen added this to the 4.3.3 milestone on Jun 1, 2020
- fklassen moved this from To do to In progress in 4.3.3 on Jun 1, 2020

