

Bug 1978621 (CVE-2021-3636) - CVE-2021-3636 openshift: Injected service-ca.crt incorrectly contains additional internal CAs

Keywords: Security

Status: CLOSED ERRATA

Alias: CVE-2021-3636

Product: Security Response

Component: vulnerability

Version: unspecified

Hardware: All

OS: Linux

Priority: medium

Severity: medium

Target: ---

Milestone: ---

Assignee: Red Hat Product Security

QA Contact: liyao

Docs Contact:

URL:

Whiteboard:

Depends On: 1979042 1981655 1981658 1981659 1981660

Blocks: 1978624 1978822

TreeView+ depends on / blocked

Reported: 2021-07-02 10:29 UTC by Przemyslaw Roguski

Modified: 2021-11-03 20:53 UTC (History)

CC List: 22 users (show)

Fixed In Version: openshift 4.8

Doc Type: If docs needed, set a value

Doc Text: It was found in OpenShift, before version 4.8, that the generated certificate for the in-cluster Service CA, incorrectly included additional certificates. The Service CA is automatically mounted into all pods, allowing them to safely connect to trusted in-cluster services that present certificates signed by the trusted Service CA. The incorrect inclusion of additional CAs in this certificate would allow an attacker that compromises any of the additional CAs to masquerade as a trusted in-cluster service.

Clone Of:

Environment:

Last Closed: 2021-07-28 01:08:01 UTC

Attachments (Terms of Use)

Add an attachment (proposed patch, testcase, etc.)

System	ID	Private	Priority	Status	Summary	Last Updated
Red Hat Product Errata	RHSA-2021:2437	0	None	None	None	2021-07-27 22:07:42 UTC

Przemyslaw Roguski 2021-07-02 10:29:30 UTC

Description

The openshift-service-ca service contains unexpected CAs. In OpenShift 4.8 the service-ca.crt file only contains the self-signed certificate of the openshift-service-serving-signer CA certificate. In older versions of OpenShift there were more, additional CAs:

- OU = openshift, CN = kube-apiserver-lb-signer
- OU = openshift, CN = kube-apiserver-localhost-signer
- OU = openshift, CN = kube-apiserver-service-network-signer
- CN = openshift-kube-apiserver-operator_localhost-recovery-serving-signer
- CN = ingress-operator
- CN = *.apps.cluster.example.com
- CN = openshift-service-serving-signer

This means that an attacker who owns the ingress CA can impersonate as any in-cluster service-ca signed process. The issue impacts Confidentiality and Integrity of the OCP cluster. OCP 4.8 is not impacted by this vulnerability.

Sam Fowler 2021-07-13 06:00:41 UTC

Comment 5

Fix:
<https://github.com/openshift/kubernetes/pull/714>

Sam Fowler 2021-07-13 06:08:00 UTC

Comment 6

In Kubernetes 1.21, which OpenShift 4.8 is based on, the method in which service accounts are mounted in pods changed, to now use projected volumes:
<https://kubernetes.io/docs/reference/access-authn-authz/service-accounts-admin/#bound-service-account-token-volume>
OpenShift rewrote the way service-ca.crt is injected into pods in 4.8, and now no longer includes the incorrect additional CAs.

Sam Fowler 2021-07-13 06:13:48 UTC

Comment 7

Below is a comparison of podspecs using the old and new methods of mounting service accounts into pods. In both versions, the injected Service CA will end up in the same filepath, /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount/service-ca.crt.

4.7:

spec:
 containers:
 ...
 volumeMounts:
 - mountPath: /tmp
 name: tmp
 - mountPath: /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount
 name: default-token-5rk4g
 readOnly: true
 ...
 volumes:
 - emptyDir: {}
 name: tmp
 - name: default-token-5rk4g
 secret:
 defaultMode: 420
 secretName: default-token-5rk4g

4.8

spec:
 containers:
 ...
 volumeMounts:
 - mountPath: /tmp
 name: tmp

```
- mountPath: /var/run/secrets/kubernetes.io/serviceaccount
name: kube-api-access-jgdq2
readOnly: true
volumes:
- emptyDir: {}
  name: tmp
- name: kube-api-access-jgdq2
  projected:
    defaultMode: 420
    ...
- configMap:
  items:
    - key: service-ca.crt
      path: service-ca.crt
    name: openshift-service-ca.crt
```

Sam Fowler 2021-07-13 06:16:01 UTC

[Comment 8](#)

In fixed versions of OpenShift, service-ca.crt will contain only a single certificate, for the openshift-service-signer-server. Vulnerable versions will include multiple internal CAs. This can be checked with a command like below:

```
$ openssl crl2pkcs7 -nocrl -certfile service-ca.crt | openssl pkcs7 -print_certs --text -noout | grep 'CN='
Issuer: CN=ingress-operator@1626066148
Subject: CN=ingress-operator@1626066148
Issuer: CN=openshift-service-serving-signer@1626066048
Subject: CN=openshift-service-serving-signer@1626066048
...
```

errata-xmlrpc 2021-07-27 22:07:44 UTC

[Comment 11](#)

This issue has been addressed in the following products:

Red Hat OpenShift Container Platform 4.8

Via RHSA-2021:2437 <https://access.redhat.com/errata/RHSA-2021:2437>

Product Security DevOps Team 2021-07-28 01:08:01 UTC

[Comment 12](#)

This bug is now closed. Further updates for individual products will be reflected on the CVE page(s):

<https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2021-3636>

Richard Theis 2021-09-09 13:12:11 UTC

[Comment 13](#)

Are other OpenShift version 4 releases impacted by this CVE? Based on <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2021-3636>, it sounds like they are with the only resolution requiring version 4.8. Are there any plans to fix previous version?

Rachel A 2021-10-22 14:15:58 UTC

[Comment 14](#)

<https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/CVE-2021-3636>, only documents the fix at 4.8 but that releases before 4.8 are affected, can anyone tell me whether CVE-2021-3636 will be fixed in OpenShift 4.7 and 4.6?

Or is this a similar case to https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1968881 (CVE-2021-33194) where this vulnerability won't be addressed in OpenShift (OCP) 4.7 and 4.6 as both these releases are already in the maintenance support phase?

Sam Fowler 2021-10-24 23:44:29 UTC

[Comment 15](#)

```
(In reply to Rachel A from comment #14)
> https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/CVE-2021-3636, only documents the fix
> at 4.8 but that releases before 4.8 are affected, can anyone tell me whether
> CVE-2021-3636 will be fixed in OpenShift 4.7 and 4.6?
```

OpenShift 4.8.2 is the first released version of OpenShift 4 that has been fixed. Earlier released versions of OpenShift 4 are affected.

```
> Or is this a similar case to
> https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1968881 (CVE-2021-33194)
> where this vulnerability won't be addressed in OpenShift (OCP) 4.7 and 4.6
> as both these releases are already in the maintenance support phase?
```

This CVE is also rated Moderate, like CVE-2021-33194. Even in Full Support phase, only Important and Critical rated vulnerabilities are covered under our support policy:

<https://access.redhat.com/support/policy/updates/openshift>

Richard Theis 2021-10-29 13:02:58 UTC

[Comment 16](#)

Does the support policy prevent such CVEs from being fixed? We are really looking for an answer as to whether we may get a fix or we will never get a fix.

Sam Fowler 2021-11-02 03:29:23 UTC

[Comment 17](#)

```
(In reply to Richard Theis from comment #16)
> Does the support policy prevent such CVEs from being fixed? We are really
> looking for an answer as to whether we may get a fix or we will never get a
> fix.
```

No, it does not prevent them from being fixed. Fixes for Moderate and Low CVEs can be requested by raising a support ticket.

Richard Theis 2021-11-03 20:53:46 UTC

[Comment 18](#)

Thank you. I've opened a support case.

Note

You need to [log in](#) before you can comment on or make changes to this bug.