huntr

Buffer Over-read in hpjansson/chafa



✓ Valid) Reported on Apr 29th 2022

Description

Buffer Over-read in hpjansson/chafa at xwd-loader.c:185

Build

```
export CFLAGS="-g -00 -lpthread -fsanitize=address"
export CXXFLAGS="-g -00 -lpthread -fsanitize=address"
export LDFLAGS="-fsanitize=address"

./autogen.sh
./configure --disable-shared
make
```

POC

```
./tools/chafa/chafa ./poc.png
```

poc.png

Asan

1

```
#3 0x4d956a in run generic /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/chafa.c:16
  #4 0x4d8e1c in run /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/chafa.c:1790:12
  #5 0x4cf5ba in run_all /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/chafa.c:1847:2
  #6 0x4cc8ef in main /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/chafa.c:1891:11
  #7 0x7ffff67ab0b2 in libc start main /build/qlibc-sMfBJT/qlibc-2.31/c
  #8 0x42036d in start (/home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/chafa+0x42036c
Address 0x7fffffffd284 is located in stack of thread T0 at offset 132 in fr
  #0 0x4eb11f in Load header /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tools/chafa/xwd-loader
 This frame has 1 object(s):
  [32, 132) 'in' (line 173) <== Memory access at offset 132 overflows thi
HINT: this may be a false positive if your program uses some custom stack t
    (longjmp and C++ exceptions *are* supported)
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: stack-buffer-overflow /home/fuzz/fuzz/chafa/tool
Shadow bytes around the buggy address:
 0x10007fff7a40: f1 f1 f1 f1 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
=>0x10007fff7a50:[04]f3 f3 f3 f3 f3 f3 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
 Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):
 Addressable:
                 00
 Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07
 Heap left redzone:
                   fa
 Freed heap region:
                   fd
 Stack left redzone:
                   f1
 Stack mid redzone:
                   f2
 Stack right redzone:
                   f3
 Stack after return:
                   f5
 Stack use after scope:
                   f8
 Global redzone:
                   f9
                                             Chat with us
 Global init order:
                   f6
 Poisoned by user:
                   f7
```

Container overtlow: †С Array cookie: ас Intra object redzone: bb

ASan internal: fe Left alloca redzone: ca Right alloca redzone: cb Shadow gap: CC

==599666==ABORTING



Impact

This vulnerability is capable of causing a denial of service (crash).

Occurrences

C xwd-loader.c L185

CVE

Vulnerability Type

Severity

Medium (5.5)

Registry

Affected Version

Visibility

Status

Found by

Chat with us

TDHY ICS Security



This report was seen 522 times.

We are processing your report and will contact the **hpjansson/chafa** team within 24 hours. 7 months ago

We have contacted a member of the **hpjansson/chafa** team and are waiting to hear back 7 months ago

Hans 7 months ago Maintainer

Good find, thanks. Despite GLib's convention of macro identifiers being uppercase, g_ntohl() is actually a macro. It has multiple implementations, of which one is selected based on the compilation environment: If **OPTIMIZE** is defined and the target is i386 or x86_64, an optimized version is used that evaluates its argument only once. Otherwise a generic implementation is used that evaluates the argument several times, causing the pointer to be incremented repeatedly.

This bug will manifest in unoptimized builds and on non-x86 platforms.

I'll have a fix shortly.

Hans 7 months ago Maintainer

Should be __OPTIMIZE__ above.

We have sent a follow up to the hpjansson/chafa team. We will try again in 7 days. 7 months ago

Hans Petter Jansson validated this vulnerability 7 months ago

TDHX ICS Security has been awarded the disclosure bounty 🗸

The fix bounty is now up for grabs

The researcher's credibility has increased: +7

Chat with us

Hans Petter Jansson marked this as fixed in 1.10.3 with commit 56fabf 7 months ago

The fix bounty has been dropped 🗶	
This vulnerability will not receive a CVE 🗶	
xwd-loader.c#L185 has been validated ✓	
TDHX 5 months ago	Researcher
@admin can we get a CVE for this? This project is distributed as packages in majo Debian and such.	r linux distros -
Jamie Slome 5 months ago	Admin
We sure can - I just require the permission of the maintainer to assign and publish	n a CVE.
@hpjansson - are you happy for me to assign and publish a CVE for this report?	
Hans 5 months ago	Maintainer
Be my guest :-)	
Jamie Slome 5 months ago	Admin
Sorted:)	
Sign in to join this conversation	
2022 © 418sec	

part of 418sec

Chat with us

huntr

.

home compa

hacktivity about

leaderboard team

FAO

contact us

terms

privacy policy