

## Memory leak in client induced by malicious server(s) without CURVE/ZAP

(Low) bluca published GHSA-wfr2-29gj-5w87 on Sep 7, 2020

Package	
libzmq	
Affected versions	Patched versions
<= 4.3.2	4.3.3

```
Description
 Impact
 Client connecting to compromised servers without CURVE/ZAP
  Patches
  #3918
 Workarounds
  No workarounds
  References
  Found thanks to oss-fuzz:
  https://bugs.chromium.org/p/oss-fuzz/issues/detail?id=22037
  https://bugs.chromium.org/p/oss-fuzz/issues/detail?id=22123
  For more information
  When a pipe processes a delimiter and is already not in active state but still has an unfinished message, the message is leaked
  The following input from a server causes the leak to appear ~70% of the time when running test_connect_null_fuzzer under valgrind:
    The following reproduces 100% of the time:
    ==31504== Memcheck, a memory error detector
    ==31504== Copyright (C) 2002-2017, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
    ==31504== Using Valgrind-3.15.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
    ==31504== Command: tests/.libs/test_connect_null_fuzzer
    ==31504==
    tests/test_connect_null_fuzzer.cpp:141:test_connect_null_fuzzer:PASS
    1 Tests 0 Failures 0 Ignored
    ==31504==
    ==31504== HEAP SUMMARY:
    ==31504==
                   in use at exit: 18,160 bytes in 1 blocks
    ==31504== total heap usage: 76 allocs, 75 frees, 169,230 bytes allocated
    ==31504==
    ==31504== 18,160 bytes in 1 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 1
    ==31594== at 0x483577F: malloc (in /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/valgrind/vgpreload_memcheck-amd64-linux.so)
==31594== by 0x48DC506: zmq::shared_message_memory_allocator::allocate() (decoder_allocators.cpp:84)
    ==31504==
                  by 0x48CE1D9: zmq::decoder_base_t<zmq::v2_decoder_t, zmq::shared_message_memory_allocator>::decoder_base_t(unsigned long) (decoder.hpp:66) by 0x48CDA60: zmq::v2_decoder_t::v2_decoder_t(unsigned long, long, bool) (v2_decoder.cpp:47)
     ==31504==
                  by 0x48ELA3F: zmq::zmtp_engine_t::handshake_V2_0() (zmtp_engine.cpp:344)
by 0x48E0C99: zmq::zmtp_engine_t::handshake() (zmtp_engine.cpp:134)
by 0x48E0C90: zmq::stream_engine_base_t::in_event_internal() (stream_engine_base.cpp:253)
    ==31504==
    ==31504==
==31504==
    ==31504==
==31504==
                  by 0x48BECDB: zmq::stream_engine_base_t::in_event() (stream_engine_base.cpp:243) by 0x48E0B8C: zmq::zmtp_engine_t::plug_internal() (zmtp_engine.cpp:116)
                  by 0x48BEB03: zmq:::sream_engine_base_tr:plug(zmq::io_thread_t*, zmq::session_base_t*) (stream_engine_base.cpp:196)
by 0x48BC0A7: zmq::session_base_tr:process_attach(zmq::i_engine*) (session_base.cpp:417)
by 0x48B0051: zmq::object_tr::process_command(zmq::command_t const&) (object.cpp:97)
    ==31504==
    ==31504==
    ==31504==
     ==31504==
    ==31504== LEAK SUMMARY:
    ==31504== definitely lost: 18,160 bytes in 1 blocks
==31504== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==31504== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
    ==31504==
                 still reachable: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
    ==31504==
                         suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
    ==31504== For lists of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -s
    ==31504== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

Severity			
Severity			
CVE ID			

The leak scales with the number of servers, which makes it worth a low severity advisory. Probably very hard to exploit in a real application, since you'd need to trick the client into connecting

Weaknesses

No known CVE

to multiple servers.

No CWEs