

0	d	C	KE	Į	S	to	M	

Home Files News About Contact &[SERVICES_TAB]

Add New

Search .

Cisco RV Authentication Bypass / Code Execution

Posted Apr 20, 2021

Cisco RV-series routers suffer from an authentication bypass vulnerability. The RV34X series are also affected by a command injection vulnerability in the sessionid cookie, when requesting the /upload endpoint. A combination of these issues would allow any person who is able to communicate with the web interface to run arbitrary system commands on the router as the www-data user. Vulnerable versions include RV16X/RV26X versions 1.0.01.02 and below and RV34X versions 1.0.03.20 and below.

tags | exploit, web, arbitrary, bypass systems | cisco

Related Files

Share This

Like

TWO

LinkedIn Reddit

Digg StumbleUpon

Change Mirror Download IoT Inspector Research Lab Security Advisory IOT-20210414-0 title: Cisco RV series Authentication Bypass and Remote Command Execution The RV series devices are Cisco's line of small business routers with extra functionality, including VPN and other security measures. Vulnerability overview/description: All Clasco RV-series routers suffer from an authentication bypass vulnerability. The RV34X series are also affected by a command injection vulnerability in the sessionid cookie, when requesting the /upload endpoint. A combination of these issues would allow any person who is able to communicate with the web interface to run arbitrary system commands on the router as the www-data user. CVE-2021-1472: /upload Authentication Bypass Vulnerability While Cisco has noted that this issue also affects the RV160, I will provide a RCA for only the RV34X series here. The RV340 web interface is served by nginx on port 443. The nginx configuration (found in files in /etc/nginx) is such that requests made to the web interface UNIS /wpload, /form-file-upload and /api/operations/ciscosb-file:form-file-upload are all proxied to a CGI binary called upload.cgi. Depending on which URI is requested, the behaviour of the binary will be slightly different. While some attempt was introduced in recent firmware revisions to prevent unauthenticated access to the functionality available at the /upload endpoint, the authentication check is incomplete. An attacker simply has to pass any generic Authorization header as part of the request to bypass the authorization check. This can be seen in web.upload.conf: if (\$http_authorization != "") {
 set \$deny "0"; if (-f /tmp/websession/token/\$cookie_sessionid) {
 set \$deny "0"; As can be seen, the Sdeny is set to 0 if the Scookie_sessionid is valid (i.e. that the authorization file exists on the system). But it also set to 0 if the Shttp_authorization value (i.e. the Authorization header) is not blank. Therefore, passing any value to an Authorization header can allow an attacker access to the 'upload endpoint. CVE-2021-1473: /upload sessionid Command Injection Remote Code Execution Within the main() function in upload.cgi, the HTTP_COXIE environmental variable is read, and the value from the sessionid cookie is extracted using a simple series of strok_r and strett. This specific sessionid-reading logic is notable because, due to the strok_r acid, tie's not possible to use '7' characters in any injection, as I will prematurely terminate the injection acting. In pseudocode, it looks like this. if (NTTP_CONTE != (char *)0x0) {
 StrBufSetStr(cookie, MTTP_COOKIE);
 cookie = StrBufToStr(cookie),
 cookie = strtbx_(cookie, "z", &saveptr);
 while (cookie != 0x0) {
 cookie = strtox_(cookie, "z", &saveptr);
 if (cookie != 0x0) {
 sessionid cookie, "sessionid=");
 if (cookie != 0x0) {
 sessionid_cookie_value = pathparam_ + 10;
 }
} Because our HTTP request is made to the /upload URI, the main() function in upload.cgi calls a function at 000124a4, which I've named handle upload(). This function takes a pointer to the sessionid cookle value as its first argument. It also takes several other arguments, each of which are populated by the multipart request parsing that takes place in the main() function. The names I've given these arguments roughly align with the names of the parameters that this multipart ingesting logic looks for. (Depending on what string is passed as the pathparam parameter, slightly different code paths will be taken, which means that slightly different checks must be bypassed to be able to reach the vulnerable code. In this example, I am using a request with the pathparam set to "Configuration", so the pseudocode



Su	Мо	Tu	We	Th	Fr
Sa					
				1	2
3					
4	5	6	7	8	9
10					
11	12	13	14	15	16
17					
18	19	20	21	22	23
24					
25	26	27	28	29	30
31					

Red Hat 180 files Debian 24 files LiquidWorm 23 files malvuln 12 files nu11secur1ty 10 files Gentoo 9 files Google Security Research 8 files T. Weber 4 files Julien Ahrens 4 files

File Tags File Archives December 2022 Advisory (79,733) Arbitrary (15,694) October 2022 BBS (2.859) September 2022 Bypass (1,619) August 2022 July 2022 CGI (1,018) Code Execution (6,924) June 2022 Conference (673) May 2022 Cracker (840) April 2022 CSRF (3,290) March 2022 DoS (22.601) February 2022 Encryption (2,349) January 2022 Exploit (50,358) Older File Inclusion (4,165) File Upload (946) Systems Firewall (821) AIX (426) Info Disclosure (2,660) Apple (1,926) Intrusion Detection (867) BSD (370) CentOS (55) JavaScript (820) Cisco (1.917) Kernel (6,290) Debian (6,634) Local (14.201) Fedora (1,690) Magazine (586) FreeBSD (1.242) Overflow (12,418) Gentoo (4.272) Perl (1.418) HPUX (878) PHP (5.093) iOS (330) Proof of Concept (2,291) iPhone (108) Protocol (3,435) IRIX (220) Python (1.467) Juniper (67) Remote (30.043) Linux (44,294) Mac OS X (684) Ruby (594) Mandriva (3.105) Scanner (1.631) Security Tool (7,776) OpenBSD (479) Shell (3,103) RedHat (12.448) Shellcode (1,204) Slackware (941) Sniffer (886) Solaris (1,607)

```
I'm showing reflects this.)
  Within handle_upload(), a curl command is constructed with a call to sprintf, the resulting buffer of which is then passed directly to popen:
 ret = stromp(pathparam, "Configuration");
if (ret == 0) {
   config jason = upload Configuration_json(destination,fileparam);
   if (config jason != 0) {
      post_data = jason.object_to_json.string(config_json);
      post_data = jason.object_to_json.string(config_json);
      post_inter(command buf, "curl %s =-cookie \"sessionid=%s\" -X FOST -H \"Content-Type: application/json\" -
      d\"absorb = (sompro_cut), assionid = (sompro_cut
  The sessionid cookie value that we have passed in our request is passed directly into this sprintf() call. With a crafted sessionid value, we would therefore be able to inject arbitrary commands into this command unterfer. This will run the command with the privileges of the upload.cgi process which, in this case, is www-data.
  Vulnerable / tested versions:
  Cisco RV16X, RV26X and RV34X series devices.
  Apply Cisco-supplied patch. For RV16X/26X, 1.0.01.03. For RV34X, 1.0.03.21.
  Advisory URL:
  https://www.iot-inspector.com/blog/advisory-cisco-rv34x-authentication-bypass-remote-command-execution/
 2021-01-02: Initial disclosure made to Cisco PSIRT.
2021-01-07: Confirmation of receipt of disclosure from Cisco PSIRT.
2021-01-27: Confirmation that issue is valid from Cisco PSIRT.
2021-02-21: Update from Cisco PSIRT.
2021-02-21: Update from Cisco PSIRT of timeline update and CVE IDs.
2021-03-23: Cisco PSIRT respond giving us timeline and CVE IDs.
2021-03-23: Cisco release advisory.
  The IoT Inspector Research Lab is an integrated part of IoT Inspector.
 IoT Inspector is a platform for automated security analysis and compliance checks of IoT firmware. Our mission is to secure the Internet of Things. In order to discover vulnerabilities and vulnerability patterns within IoT devices and to further enhance automated identification that allows for scalable detection within IoT Inspector, we conduct excessive security research in the area of IoT.
  Whenever the IoT Inspector Research Lab discovers vulnerabilities in IoT firmware, we aim to responsibly disclose relevant information to the vendor of the affected IoT device as well as the general public in a way that minimizes potential harm and encourages further security analyses of IoT systems.
    You can find our responsible disclosure policy here:
https://www.iot-inspector.com/responsible-disclosure-policy/
  Interested in using IoT Inspector for your research or product?
  Mail: research at iot-inspector dot com
Web: https://www.iot-inspector.com
Blog: https://www.iot-inspector.com/blog/
Twitter: https://twitter.com/iotinspector
  EOF T Shiomitsu / @2021
```

Login or Register to add favorites

News by Month

Files by Month

File Tags

File Directory

packet storm © 2022 Packet Storm. All rights reserved.

Site Links About Us

History & Purpose

Contact Information

Terms of Service
Privacy Statement
Copyright Information

Hosting By

Rokasec

Follow us on Twitter



SUSE (1,444)

UNIX (9,158) UnixWare (185)

Windows (6,511) Other

Spoof (2,166)

TCP (2.379)

UDP (876)

Virus (662) Vulnerability (31,132) Web (9,357) Whitepaper (3,729)

x86 (946) XSS (17,494)

SQL Injection (16,101) Ubuntu (8,199)