



chromium ▾

New issue

Open issues ▾

Search chromium issues...

Sign in

☆ Starred by 9 users

Owner: davi...@chromium.org

CC: falken@chromium.org
davi...@chromium.org
jochen@chromium.org
mkwst@chromium.org
yhirano@chromium.org
adetaylor@chromium.org
dom@chromium.org
domenic@chromium.org
kaustubhag@chromium.org
futhark@chromium.org
lukasza@chromium.org
bashi@chromium.org

Status: Fixed (Closed)

Components: Blink>SecurityFeature>Referrer

Modified: Jun 11, 2021

Backlog-Rank: ----

Editors: ----

EstimatedDays: 1

NextAction: ----

OS: Linux, Android, Windows, Chrome, Mac

Pri: 1

Type: Bug-Security

Hotlist-Merge-Review
reward-500
Needs-Feedback
Security_Impact-Stable
Security_Severity-Medium
allpublic
reward-inprocess
CVE_description-submitted
M-89
Target-88
Target-89
Merge-Rejected-88
merge-merged-4240
merge-merged-86
LTR-Merged-86
LTS-Security-86
Release-0-M89

Issue 1158010: Security: Referrer Header Spoofing Vulnerability via <base> tags

Reported by techn...@gmail.com on Fri, Dec 11, 2020, 5:53 PM EST

Code

VULNERABILITY DETAILS

While doing some analysis it was observed that when a <base> tag is set to a page and a css request is being made to a page, Chrome browser makes use of the url in base tag as a referrer header value , this is only valid in case a base header is set , in case the header is absent a plain request is made to the url for css call.

This was only observed with chrome and other browsers set the referrer to URI requesting the resource.

For example in below code, chrome doesnt set any referrer header automatically when making request to x.burpcollaborator.net .

```
<html><head>
  <title>Test</title>
</head>
<style>
  @import 'https://x.burpcollaborator.net/x';<!--change this to any address of your choice and verify the referrer header!-->
</style>
</head>
<body>
test
</body></html>
```

However in below code a referrer header is set to google.com in case base header is set , it can be set to any value

```
<html><head>
  <title>Test</title>
  <base href="https://www.google.com/">
</head>
<style>
  @import 'https://x.burpcollaborator.net/x';<!--change this to any address of your choice and verify the referrer header!-->
</style>
</head>
<body>
test
</body></html>
```

VERSION

Chrome Version: Latest (83.0.4103.61)
Operating System: Windows, Mac , Android

REPRODUCTION CASE

Please use below code and save it as html and open via chrome

CREDIT INFORMATION

Externally reported security bugs may appear in Chrome release notes. If

this bug is included, how would you like to be credited?

Reporter credit: Ashish Gautam Kamble

Comment 1 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Dec 11, 2020, 5:55 PM EST Project Member

Labels: reward-potential

Comment 2 by [wfh@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 8:14 PM EST Project Member

Cc: davi...@chromium.org kaustubhag@chromium.org mkwst@chromium.org

Labels: Needs-Feedback OS-Android OS-Chrome OS-Linux OS-Mac OS-Windows Pri-2

Components: Blink>SecurityFeature>Referrer

Thanks for your report. This might be a spec issue rather than a security issue. I'm unsure how an attacker could use this to endanger users, since they would already have to control the website in order to set the base tag.

Can you elaborate on how this might be leveraged into an attack? In the meantime, I will add some additional developers on this issue for their comment.

Comment 3 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 8:55 PM EST Project Member

Blockedon: 1158645

Comment 4 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 9:47 PM EST Project Member

Cc: lukasza@chromium.org

Hi, thanks: I was able to verify

```
<base href="https://www.google.com">
<style>
  @import 'https://www.flickr.com/picture.jpg';
</style>
```

hosted on a non-google domain resulted in a [google.com](#) referrer.

Without the <base> tag, the request had no referrer (rather than a referrer of the initiating origin, which was what I expected).

This behavior seems to come from the following code:

- CSSParserContext's constructors look for a base URL (for instance from Document::BaseURL) and then set CSSParserContext::referrer_ from this value. [1]

- StyleRuleImport then uses this value when populating fetching arguments. [2]

[1]:
https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+master:third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/parser/css_parser_context.cc;l=125;drc=ec0e29ae0cc41412b3c0594f1d94ceaa3d9e3870;bpv=1;bpt=1

[2]:
https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+master:third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/style_rule_import.cc;l=152;drc=ec0e29ae0cc41412b3c0594f1d94ceaa3d9e3870;bpv=1;bpt=1?q=cssparscontext:referrer&ss=chromium%2Fchromium%2Fsrc

I think this is a one-line fix and have written up [crrev.com/c/2592447](#).

Mike is better equipped than me to assess whether there's a security impact.

Comment 5 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 9:48 PM EST Project Member

(Lukasz, I initially added you because I was curious if this could lead to initiator mismatches, but I think the answer is probably not: we just use this information to set the referrer, not the initiator.)

Comment 6 by [wfh@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 9:50 PM EST Project Member

Labels: Security_Severity-Low Security_Impact-Stable

Comment 7 by [wfh@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 9:51 PM EST Project Member

Status: Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)

Owner: davi...@chromium.org

Cc: -davi...@chromium.org

Labels: -Security_Severity-Low Security_Severity-Medium

assigning as per [comment #4](#). I've given it Medium severity for now.

Comment 8 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Dec 14, 2020, 11:48 PM EST Project Member

Owner: falken@chromium.org

Cc: falken@chromium.org

-> falken

Matt, I noticed you've submitted a change or two dealing with CSS fetching and particularly the base URL.

Could you please take a quick look at this report and help confirm whether the behavior described in the report is WAI, or whether it needs a fix (e.g. [crrev.com/c/2592447](#))?

Thanks!

Comment 9 by [falken@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 1:17 AM EST Project Member

Cc: domenico@chromium.org yhirano@chromium.org

Looping in also yhirano@ who has more referrer experience, and domenico@ who has commented on the github issue.

I don't have a very quick answer. The two signals I'd look at are how other browsers behaves and what the spec says.

As for what the spec says, this is a bit hard to chase down. From what I see, it looks like the base URL should not affect Referrer.

1) I don't actually see where the CSS spec says to fetch @import URLs:

<https://drafts.csswg.org/css-cascade-4/#at-ruledef-import>

2) I assume it should do something similar to <link rel="stylesheet">. This seems to go here:

<https://html.spec.whatwg.org/multipage/semantics.html#default-fetch-and-process-the-linked-resource>

although there is a TODO and issue to use CSSOM's fetching steps instead:

<https://github.com/whatwg/html/issues/968>

<https://drafts.csswg.org/cssom/#fetching-css-style-sheets>

3) Looking at the Fetch spec, it appears to use the document URL rather than the document base URL for the referrer:

"If request's referrer is not "no-referrer", set request's referrer to the result of invoking determine request's referrer. [REFERRER]" at <https://fetch.spec.whatwg.org/#main-fetch>

<https://w3c.github.io/webappsec-referrer-policy/#determine-requests-referrer>

I don't see anything that says to use base URL as the referrer anywhere.

So I think changing to use the document URL is indeed correct. It'd be good to see if other browsers do this too. It'd be especially interesting to see when the referrer code was written, if it came from WebKit days or was intentionally added later.

Comment 10 by [falken@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 1:29 AM EST Project Member

Cc: [bashi@chromium.org](#) [jochen@chromium.org](#)

+bashi@ who seems to have added the original change (but is likely ooo, +jochen as the reviewer):

<https://codereview.chromium.org/314893003> for <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=380457>

This says "For css resources specified in stylesheets, their referrer should be set to the stylesheet's URL, not the document's URL." so this was intentional, but maybe base URL and stylesheet URL are different?

Comment 11 by [mkwst@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 2:00 AM EST Project Member

Cc: [dom@chromium.org](#)

1. Unless something has changed in the last year or so, fetching for CSS is not yet well-defined. <https://w3c.github.io/webappsec-referrer-policy/#integration-with-css> hand-waves at the problem in ways that we considered good-enough while writing it, but it would be excellent for someone (not it!) to actually hammer out the integration. dom@ might be interested.

2. Regardless of spec text, "<base>" should not influence the referrer. The text above points to what that change was supposed to achieve: the stylesheet's URL should be used as the referrer, not the document's URL. In this case, though, the stylesheet is inline in the document, so we do want to use the document's URL.

This does have security implications, insofar as some sites use 'referrer' as an access-control measure: it shouldn't be possible for a site to forge cross-origin 'referrer' headers. I'm not sure whether it's possible to send CORS-enabled requests from stylesheets (perhaps fonts?), but it would be interesting to verify that the 'Origin' header and the 'Sec-Fetch-Site' headers are behaving correctly as well, as I have vague recollections of those being wrapped up in the same data source as the referrer. TL;DR: Medium severity feels right.

Comment 12 by [jochen@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 10:21 AM EST Project Member

yeah, the intention is that if it's an inline stylesheet, the document's referrer should be used, but if it's an standalone stylesheet, that stylesheet's base URL (well, whatever it's called, it's not defined in CSS, but it should be a thing that can't be overwritten) should be used

Comment 13 by [lukasza@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 11:37 AM EST Project Member

RE: #c11: mkwst@: interesting to verify that the 'Origin' header and the 'Sec-Fetch-Site' headers are behaving correctly

Both 'Origin' and 'Sec-Fetch-Site' are based on network::ResourceRequest::request_initiator (soon-to-be-verified everywhere against 'request_initiator_site_lock' and so unspoofable/protected against compromised renderers).

OTOH, (based on #c12) it seems the 'Referer' header can mismatch 'request_initiator_site_lock' in the following scenario:

```
a.com/main.html: <link rel="stylesheet" href="https://b.com/style.css">
b.com/style.css: @import url("https://c.com/nested.css");
```

Here, the fetch for c.com/nested.css will use 'Sec-Fetch-Site' based on request_initiator=a.com (all requests within the frame use the same URLLoaderFactory), but (based on #c12) 'Referer' should be based on b.com/style.css (IUC) - the latter is incompatible with 'request_initiator_origin_lock'.

So, if I understood the above correctly, then we get the following conclusion: 'Referer' should not be used as an access-control measure / security mechanism (because it is not secure against compromised renderers).

Comment 14 by [mkwst@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 11:50 AM EST Project Member

> Both 'Origin' and 'Sec-Fetch-Site' are ... unspoofable

Excellent, thanks Lukas!

> it seems the 'Referer' header can mismatch 'request_initiator_site_lock' in the following scenario: ... 'Referer' should not be used as an access-control measure

Correct. It shouldn't be used; other mechanisms are more robust. "Should", however, has little impact on "does". :) It would be ideal for us to limit the scenarios in which this mechanism has unexpected values to those that require actively corrupting the renderer. (So, I could live with "Low" severity instead of "Medium", but it's still a bug we ought to fix.)

Comment 15 by [sheriffbot](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 1:03 PM EST Project Member

Labels: Target-88 M-88

Setting milestone and target because of Security_Impact=Stable and medium severity.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 16 by [sheriffbot](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 1:39 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -Pri-2 Pri-1

Setting Pri-1 to match security severity Medium. If this is incorrect, please reset the priority. Sheriffbot won't make this change again.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 17 by [falken@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Dec 15, 2020, 9:04 PM EST Project Member

Cc: [davi...@chromium.org](#)

Comment 18 by [mkwst@google.com](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 4:30 AM EST Project Member

[Issue 1163000](#) has been merged into this issue.

Comment 19 by [est...@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 11:59 AM EST Project Member

(I left a comment on [issue 1163000](#) about why I initially removed security labels, since that seems to have caused some confusion.)

Comment 20 by [falken@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 12:01 PM EST Project Member

Owner: [davi...@chromium.org](#)

davidvc: would you like to own this again?

Comment 21 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 12:05 PM EST Project Member

Status: Started (was: Assigned)

EstimatedDays: 1

I'll take a look at the failing tests and try to get this in today.

Comment 22 by [techn...@gmail.com](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 12:33 PM EST

will a CVE be assigned for this ?

Comment 23 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Wed, Dec 16, 2020, 10:31 PM EST Project Member

CL in review (crrev.com/c/2592447)

Comment 24 by [falken@chromium.org](#) on Thu, Dec 17, 2020, 12:50 AM EST Project Member

Cc: [futhark@chromium.org](#)

adding futhark@ for review context

Comment 25 by [techn...@gmail.com](#) on Mon, Dec 21, 2020, 4:10 PM EST
will a CVE be assigned for this ?

Comment 26 by [techn...@gmail.com](#) on Mon, Dec 21, 2020, 4:11 PM EST
or i will have to apply for a cve

Comment 27 by [adetaylor@google.com](#) on Wed, Dec 23, 2020, 11:48 AM EST Project Member
A CVE will be assigned when we release the fix.

Comment 28 by [bugdroid](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 11:07 AM EST Project Member

Status: Fixed (was: Started)

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da>

commit [0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da](#)

Author: David Van Cleve <[davidvc@chromium.org](#)>

Date: Fri Jan 08 16:06:24 2021

css: Make fetches from inline CSS use the document's URL as referrer

Right now, fetches from inline CSS use the inline CSS's base URL instead of the URL from the context that embeds the inline CSS: for instance, loading a [source-site.com](#) page with the following code

```
<base href="https://other-site.com">
<style type="text/css"> @import("best-sheet.com") </style>
```

should lead to the [best-sheet.com](#) sheet getting fetched with a [source-site.com](#) referrer, but it will currently provide an [other-site.com](#) referrer. However, if the imported sheet from [best-sheet.com](#) makes more nested fetches, those nested requests should use [best-sheet.com](#) as the basis for their referrers (as they do currently).

This CL updates CSSParserContext's referrer setting logic to roughly do the following:

- inline CSS: use the embedding document's URL as the referrer, or, for srcdoc iframes, walk up the frame tree until hitting a non-srcdoc frame
- requests from fetched stylesheets: just as currently, use the fetched sheet's URL as the basis for constructing the referrer

This seemed like it required refactoring CSSParserContext slightly because there are constructors that take both a Document and a base URL, and it's not obvious from the constructor signature whether the Document or the base URL should be the one that provides the referrer. To resolve this ambiguity, the refactor updates these CSSParserContext constructors to take caller-provided Referrer objects.

Change-Id: If5a99d8057dff5e771e821d0e1f605566e28ff1d

~~Fixed-4458546, 4458946~~

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+2592447>

Reviewed-by: Rune Lillesveen <[futhark@chromium.org](#)>

Reviewed-by: Matt Falkenhagen <[falken@chromium.org](#)>

Commit-Queue: David Van Cleve <[davidvc@chromium.org](#)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#841509}

[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/parser/css_parser_context.h
[add] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/web_tests/external/wpt/referrer-policy/css-integration/image/inline-style-with-differentorigin-base-tag.tentative.html
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/css_style_sheet.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/html/track/vtt/vtt_parser.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/selector_query.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/html/link_style.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/dom/processing_instruction.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/style_rule_import.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/web_tests/TestExpectations
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/web_tests/http/tests/css/resources/referrer-check.php
[add] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/web_tests/external/wpt/referrer-policy/css-integration/svg/inline-style-with-differentorigin-base-tag.tentative.html
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/selector_query_test.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/parser/css_parser_context.cc

Comment 29 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 12:43 PM EST Project Member

Labels: reward-topanel

Comment 30 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 1:59 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotify

Comment 31 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 2:25 PM EST Project Member

Labels: Merge-Request-88

This is sufficiently serious that it should be merged to beta. But I can't see a Chromium repo commit here, so you will need to investigate what - if anything - needs to be merged to M88. Is there a fix in some other repo which should be merged? Or, perhaps this ticket is a duplicate of some other ticket which has the real fix: please track that down and ensure it is merged appropriately.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 32 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 2:26 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Request-88 Merge-Review-88 Hotlist-Merge-Review

This bug requires manual review: We are only 10 days from stable.

Before a merge request will be considered, the following information is required to be added to this bug:

1. Does your merge fit within the Merge Decision Guidelines?
- Chrome: https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src.git/+master/docs/process/merge_request.md#when-to-request-a-merge
- Chrome OS: <https://goto.google.com/cros-release-branch-merge-guidelines>
2. Links to the CLs you are requesting to merge.

3. Has the change landed and been verified on ToT?
4. Does this change need to be merged into other active release branches (M-1, M+1)?
5. Why are these changes required in this milestone after branch?
6. Is this a new feature?
7. If it is a new feature, is it behind a flag using finch?

Chrome OS Only:

8. Was the change reviewed and approved by the Eng Prod Representative? See Eng Prod ownership by component: <http://go/cros-engprodcomponents>

Please contact the milestone owner if you have questions.

Owners: govind@ (Android), bindusuvama@ (iOS), marinakz@ (ChromeOS), srinivassista @ (Desktop)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 33 by [davi...@chromium.org](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 4:54 PM EST Project Member

Cc: adetaylor@chromium.org

+adetaylor: Hi Adrian! Is a medium-severity bug worth merging? The fix is relatively simple, but not totally trivial, and it's in some finicky, old code I'm not too familiar with.

Comment 34 by [adetaylor@chromium.org](#) on Fri, Jan 8, 2021, 6:50 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -Merge-Review-88 Merge-Rejected-88

Per policy yes, for externally reported medium severity bugs we do merge them back to both beta and stable. But if there's any doubt at all about stability risks, we don't, so I'll adjust labels thusly.

Comment 35 by [techn...@gmail.com](#) on Tue, Jan 12, 2021, 11:30 AM EST

Hi [@adetaylor@chromium.org](#) . Will this be patched in upcoming chrome release

Comment 36 by [adetaylor@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Jan 12, 2021, 12:13 PM EST Project Member

This will be released in Chrome 89 - due for release in March - <https://chromiumdash.appspot.com/schedule>

Comment 37 by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Jan 14, 2021, 4:22 PM EST Project Member

Labels: external_security_report

Comment 38 by [adetaylor@google.com](#) on Wed, Jan 20, 2021, 7:01 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -reward-potential

Comment 39 by [amyressler@google.com](#) on Wed, Jan 20, 2021, 7:10 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -reward-topanel reward-unpaid reward-500

*** Boilerplate reminders! ***

Please do NOT publicly disclose details until a fix has been released to all our users. Early public disclosure may cancel the provisional reward. Also, please be considerate about disclosure when the bug affects a core library that may be used by other products. Please do NOT share this information with third parties who are not directly involved in fixing the bug. Doing so may cancel the provisional reward. Please be honest if you have already disclosed anything publicly or to third parties. Lastly, we understand that some of you are not interested in money. We offer the option to donate your reward to an eligible charity. If you prefer this option, let us know and we will also match your donation - subject to our discretion. Any rewards that are unclaimed after 12 months will be donated to a charity of our choosing.

Please contact security-vp@chromium.org with any questions.

Comment 40 by [amyressler@google.com](#) on Wed, Jan 20, 2021, 7:46 PM EST Project Member

Congratulation, Ashish - the VRP Panel has decided to award you \$500 for this report. A member of our finance team will be in touch with you soon to arrange payment. Thank you for your work and nice job!

Comment 41 by [amyressler@google.com](#) on Thu, Jan 21, 2021, 1:50 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -reward-unpaid reward-inprocess

Comment 42 by [vakh@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Jan 25, 2021, 6:12 PM EST Project Member

~~Issue 4470295~~ has been merged into this issue.

Comment 43 by [adetaylor@google.com](#) on Fri, Feb 26, 2021, 1:08 PM EST Project Member

Labels: Release-0-M89

Comment 44 by [adetaylor@google.com](#) on Mon, Mar 1, 2021, 7:27 PM EST Project Member

Labels: CVE-2021-21174 CVE_description-missing

Comment 45 by [vsavu@google.com](#) on Wed, Mar 3, 2021, 5:40 AM EST Project Member

Labels: LTS-Merge-Request-86

Comment 46 by [vsavu@google.com](#) on Wed, Mar 3, 2021, 6:01 AM EST Project Member

Labels: LTS-Security-86

Comment 47 by [gianluca@google.com](#) on Wed, Mar 3, 2021, 10:36 AM EST Project Member

Labels: LTS-Merge-Approved-86

Comment 48 by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Mar 3, 2021, 12:22 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -M-88 Target-89 M-89

Comment 49 by [achuith@chromium.org](#) on Thu, Mar 4, 2021, 10:42 AM EST Project Member

Labels: -LTS-Merge-Request-86

Comment 50 by [Git Watcher](#) on Thu, Mar 4, 2021, 11:51 AM EST Project Member

Labels: merge-merged-4240 merge-merged-86

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765>

commit [e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765](#)

Author: David Van Cleve <davidvc@chromium.org>

Date: Thu Mar 04 16:50:46 2021

css: Make fetches from inline CSS use the document's URL as referrer

Right now, fetches from inline CSS use the inline CSS's base URL instead of the URL from the context that embeds the inline CSS: for

instance, loading a [source-site.com](#) page with the following code

```
<base href="https://other-site.com">
<style type=text/css> @import("best-sheet.com") </style>
```

should lead to the [best-sheet.com](#) sheet getting fetched with a [source-site.com](#) referrer, but it will currently provide an [other-site.com](#) referrer. However, if the imported sheet from [best-sheet.com](#) makes more nested fetches, those nested requests should use [best-sheet.com](#) as the basis for their referrers (as they do currently).

This CL updates CSSParserContext's referrer setting logic to roughly do the following:

- inline CSS: use the embedding document's URL as the referrer, or, for srcdoc iframes, walk up the frame tree until hitting a non-srcdoc frame
- requests from fetched stylesheets: just as currently, use the fetched sheet's URL as the basis for constructing the referrer

This seemed like it required refactoring CSSParserContext slightly because there are constructors that take both a Document and a base URL, and it's not obvious from the constructor signature whether the Document or the base URL should be the one that provides the referrer. To resolve this ambiguity, the refactor updates these CSSParserContext constructors to take caller-provided Referrer objects.

(cherry picked from commit [0b1539fcb923056624d4adc84b88140d367d92da](#))

Change-Id: If5a99d8057dff5e771e821d0e1f605566e28ff1d
~~Fixed-4159645, 4159040~~
Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+2592447>
Reviewed-by: Rune Lillesveen <luthark@chromium.org>
Reviewed-by: Matt Falkenhagen <falken@chromium.org>
Commit-Queue: David Van Cleve <davidvc@chromium.org>
Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/master@{#841509}
Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+2731576>
Reviewed-by: Achuth Bhandarkar <achuth@chromium.org>
Commit-Queue: Victor-Gabriel Savu <vsavu@google.com>
Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4240@{#1558}
Cr-Branched-From: f297677702651916bbf65e59cd4bbd4ce57d1ee-refs/heads/master@{#800218}

[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/css_style_sheet.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/parser/css_parser_context.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/parser/css_parser_context.h
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/selectors_query.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/selectors_query_test.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/css/style_rule_import.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/dom/processing_instruction.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/html/link_style.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/renderer/core/html/track/vtt/vtt_parser.cc
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/web_tests/TestExpectations
[add] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/web_tests/external/wpt/referrer-policy/css-integration/image/inline-style-with-differentorigin-base-tag.tentative.html
[add] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/web_tests/external/wpt/referrer-policy/css-integration/svg/inline-style-with-differentorigin-base-tag.tentative.html
[modify] https://crrev.com/e1505713dc313c6666b65b073bc7da9cfa1bf765/third_party/blink/web_tests/http/tests/css/resources/referrer-check.php

Comment 51 by [vsavu@google.com](#) on Mon, Mar 8, 2021, 11:15 AM EST Project Member
Labels: -LTS-Merge-Approved-86 LTR-Merged-86

Comment 52 by [amyressler@google.com](#) on Tue, Mar 9, 2021, 12:58 PM EST Project Member
Labels: -CVE_description-missing CVE_description-submitted

Comment 53 by [sheriffbot](#) on Fri, Jun 11, 2021, 1:52 PM EDT Project Member
Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityNotify allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot