



chromium ▾

New issue

Open issues ▾

🔍 Search chromium issue ▾ ⚙️

Sign in

★ Starred by 2 users

Owner:

[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)

CC:

🕒 [steveanton@chromium.org](mailto:steveanton@chromium.org)  
[tommi@chromium.org](mailto:tommi@chromium.org)  
[guidou@chromium.org](mailto:guidou@chromium.org)  
[hbos@chromium.org](mailto:hbos@chromium.org)  
[hta@chromium.org](mailto:hta@chromium.org)  
[orphis@chromium.org](mailto:orphis@chromium.org)  
[mbonadei@chromium.org](mailto:mbonadei@chromium.org)

Status:

Fixed (*Closed*)

Components:

[Blink>WebRTC>Perf](#)

Modified:

Jul 29, 2022

Backlog-Rank:

----

Editors:

----

EstimatedDays:

----

NextAction:

----

OS:

[Linux, Windows](#)

Pri:

1

Type:

[Bug-Security](#)

[Hotlist-Merge-Review](#)  
[Security\\_Impact-Stable](#)  
[Arch-x86\\_64](#)  
[Security\\_Severity-High](#)  
[allpublic](#)  
[reward-inprocess](#)  
[reward-6000](#)  
[Via-Wizard-Security](#)  
[CVE\\_description-submitted](#)  
[external\\_security\\_report](#)  
[M-99](#)  
[Target-99](#)  
[FoundIn-99](#)  
[Merge-NA-99](#)  
[merge-merged-4896](#)  
[merge-merged-100](#)  
[Release-0-M100](#)  
[CVE-2022-1133](#)

## Issue 1305776: AddressSanitizer: use-after-poison in

**blink::WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::InitializeOnTaskRunner** `webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc:36`

Reported by [m.coo...@gmail.com](mailto:m.coo...@gmail.com) on Sat, Mar 12, 2022, 10:35 PM EST

 Code

UserAgent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/99.0.4844.0 Safari/537.36

Steps to reproduce the problem:

#Reproduce

The problem was found by my fuzzer running on CF(CC Security team for access permission

<https://clusterfuzz.com/testcase-detail/6544221896769536>),

But because it cannot be reproduced stably, CF does not automatically report.

By manual review, it is found that the window time triggered by the vulnerability is very short, so it cannot be reproduced stably.

I will give a detailed vulnerability analysis later.

What is the expected behavior?

What went wrong?

Type of crash

render tab

#Analysis

The issue was introduced by CL(<https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3472847>)

1. PeerConnectionDependencyFactory is on-heap object, and there is a member WebrtcVideoPerfReporter is off-heap object.[3,4]
2. When PeerConnectionDependencyFactory is constructed[2], the WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::Initialize will be called[1], and eventually a new task will be created using WebrtcVideoPerfReporter's weak\_this\_ as this and post to task\_runner\_[1].
3. Because PeerConnectionDependencyFactory is an on-heap object, the destructor will not be called immediately when PeerConnectionDependencyFactory is GCed, so the weak\_this\_ of WebrtcVideoPerfReporter will not be reset, resulting in UAP.
4. PeerConnectionDependencyFactory uses CleanupPeerConnectionFactory to do some cleanup[5], so we can invalidate WebrtcVideoPerfReporter's weak\_this\_ here to fix the problem, I'll provide a patch ASAP.

...

```
//third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc:21
```

```
void WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::Initialize(  
    scoped_refptr<base::SingleThreadTaskRunner> task_runner,  
    mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebrtcVideoPerfRecorder>  
        perf_recorder) {  
    task_runner_ = task_runner;  
    task_runner_ ->PostTask(  
        FROM_HERE,  
        base::BindOnce(&WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::InitializeOnTaskRunner,  
            weak_this_, std::move(perf_recorder)));  
    }  
}
```

```
//third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc:105
```

```
//third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc:405
PeerConnectionDependencyFactory::PeerConnectionDependencyFactory(
    : public GarbageCollected<PeerConnectionDependencyFactory>,
      public Supplement<ExecutionContext>,
      public ExecutionContextLifecycleObserver {
    USING_PRE_FINALIZER(PeerConnectionDependencyFactory,
                        CleanupPeerConnectionFactory);
...CUT...
    webrtc_video_perf_reporter_.Initialize(
        context.GetTaskRunner(TaskType::kInternalMedia),
        std::move(perf_recorder));
}
```

```
//third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.h:58
class MODULES_EXPORT PeerConnectionDependencyFactory
    : public GarbageCollected<PeerConnectionDependencyFactory>,
      public Supplement<ExecutionContext>,
      public ExecutionContextLifecycleObserver {
    USING_PRE_FINALIZER(PeerConnectionDependencyFactory,
                        CleanupPeerConnectionFactory);
```

```
public:
...CUT...
    WebrtcVideoPerfReporter webrtc_video_perf_reporter_;

    bool encode_decode_capabilities_reported_ = false;
```

```
    THREAD_CHECKER(thread_checker_);
};
```

```
class MODULES_EXPORT WebrtcVideoPerfReporter {
public:
...CUT...

    base::WeakPtr<WebrtcVideoPerfReporter> weak_this_;
    scoped_refptr<base::SingleThreadTaskRunner> task_runner_;
    mojo::Remote<media::mojom::blink::WebrtcVideoPerfRecorder> perf_recorder_;
    base::WeakPtrFactory<WebrtcVideoPerfReporter> weak_factory_{this};
};
```

#Patch

PeerConnectionDependencyFactory uses CleanupPeerConnectionFactory to do some cleanup[5], so we can invalidate WebrtcVideoPerfReporter's weak\_this\_ here to fix the problem, I'll provide a patch ASAP.

#asan

```
=====
==1==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: use-after-poison on address 0x7ea500ed43b8 at pc 0x55695ce10735 bp 0x7ffc53a912b0
sp 0x7ffc53a912a8
READ of size 8 at 0x7ea500ed43b8 thread T0 (chrome)
SCARINESS: 33 (8-byte-read-use-after-poison)
```

```
#0 0x55695ce10734 in is_valid mojo/public/cpp/system/handle.h:167:34
#1 0x55695ce10734 in CloseIfNecessary mojo/public/cpp/system/handle.h:138:17
#2 0x55695ce10734 in operator* mojo/public/cpp/system/handle.h:92:7
```

```

#2 0x55695ce10734 in operator= mojo/public/cpp/system/nandle.n:93:/
#3 0x55695ce10734 in mojo::internal::InterfacePtrStateBase::Bind(mojo::internal::PendingRemoteState*,
scoped_refptr<base::SequencedTaskRunner>) mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/interface_ptr_state.cc:64:11
#4 0x55696ddb68c6 in Bind mojo/public/cpp/bindings/lib/interface_ptr_state.h:195:28
#5 0x55696ddb68c6 in Bind mojo/public/cpp/bindings/remote.h:277:21
#6 0x55696ddb68c6 in Bind mojo/public/cpp/bindings/remote.h:262:5
#7 0x55696ddb68c6 in
blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter::InitializeOnTaskRunner(mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReco
rder>) third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc:36:18
#8 0x55696ddb756f in void base::internal::FunctorTraits<void (blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter::*)
(mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfRecorder>), void>::Invoke<void
(blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter::*)(mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfRecorder>),
base::WeakPtr<blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter>, mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfRecorder>
>(void (blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter::*)(mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfRecorder>),
base::WeakPtr<blink::WebRtcVideoPerfReporter>&&,
mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebRtcVideoPerfRecorder>&&) base/bind_internal.h:542:12
#9 0x55695c2d2123 in Run base/callback.h:142:12
#10 0x55695c2d2123 in base::TaskAnnotator::RunTaskImpl(base::PendingTask&)
base/task/common/task_annotator.cc:135:32
#11 0x55695c31472d in RunTask<(lambda at
../base/task/sequence_manager/thread_controller_with_message_pump_impl.cc:387:29)>
base/task/common/task_annotator.h:74:5
#12 0x55695c31472d in
base::sequence_manager::internal::ThreadControllerWithMessagePumpImpl::DoWorkImpl(base::sequence_manager::Lazy
Now*) base/task/sequence_manager/thread_controller_with_message_pump_impl.cc:385:21
#13 0x55695c313e24 in base::sequence_manager::internal::ThreadControllerWithMessagePumpImpl::DoWork()
base/task/sequence_manager/thread_controller_with_message_pump_impl.cc:290:41
#14 0x55695c315411 in non-virtual thunk to
base::sequence_manager::internal::ThreadControllerWithMessagePumpImpl::DoWork()
base/task/sequence_manager/thread_controller_with_message_pump_impl.cc:0
#15 0x55695c1c9506 in base::MessagePumpDefault::Run(base::MessagePump::Delegate*)
base/message_loop/message_pump_default.cc:38:55
#16 0x55695c315ad7 in base::sequence_manager::internal::ThreadControllerWithMessagePumpImpl::Run(bool,
base::TimeDelta) base/task/sequence_manager/thread_controller_with_message_pump_impl.cc:497:12
#17 0x55695c24b129 in base::RunLoop::Run(base::Location const&) base/run_loop.cc:141:14
#18 0x5569709dd93c in content::RendererMain(content::MainFunctionParams) content/renderer/renderer_main.cc:290:16
#19 0x55695b0bbdd8 in content::RunZygote(content::ContentMainDelegate*)
content/app/content_main_runner_impl.cc:611:14
#20 0x55695b0bd8d3 in content::RunOtherNamedProcessTypeMain(std::__1::basic_string<char,
std::__1::char_traits<char>, std::__1::allocator<char> > const&, content::MainFunctionParams,
content::ContentMainDelegate*) content/app/content_main_runner_impl.cc:693:12
#21 0x55695b0bf67f in content::ContentMainRunnerImpl::Run() content/app/content_main_runner_impl.cc:1044:10
#22 0x55695b0b91c8 in content::RunContentProcess(content::ContentMainParams, content::ContentMainRunner*)
content/app/content_main.cc:407:36
#23 0x55695b0b98ac in content::ContentMain(content::ContentMainParams) content/app/content_main.cc:435:10
#24 0x55694d5b11d6 in ChromeMain chrome/app/chrome_main.cc:176:12
#25 0x7f988ecaf82f in __libc_start_main /build/glibc-LK5gWL/glibc-2.23/csu/libc-start.c:291
Address 0x7ea500ed43b8 is a wild pointer inside of access range of size 0x000000000008.
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: use-after-poison (/mnt/scratch0/clusterfuzz/bot/builds/chrome-test-builds_media_linux-
release_eb660d5ee526c9c1c1608a71fcbe7a713c490533/revisions/asan-linux-release-980233/chrome+0x1a4ed734)
(BuildId: e7a6964bf90a878e)

```

Shadow bytes around the buggy address:

```

0x0fd5201d2820: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7
0x0fd5201d2830: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7

```

0x0fd5201d2830: f7 f7 00 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d2840: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d2850: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d2860: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 00 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
=>0x0fd5201d2870: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7[f7]f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 00 f7  
0x0fd5201d2880: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d2890: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 00 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d28a0: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d28b0: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7  
0x0fd5201d28c0: f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7 f7

Shadow byte legend (one shadow byte represents 8 application bytes):

Addressable: 00

Partially addressable: 01 02 03 04 05 06 07

Heap left redzone: fa

Freed heap region: fd

Stack left redzone: f1

Stack mid redzone: f2

Stack right redzone: f3

Stack after return: f5

Stack use after scope: f8

Global redzone: f9

Global init order: f6

Poisoned by user: f7

Container overflow: fc

Array cookie: ac

Intra object redzone: bb

ASan internal: fe

Left alloca redzone: ca

Right alloca redzone: cb

==1==ABORTING

Did this work before? N/A

Chrome version: 99.0.4844.0 Channel: n/a

OS Version: 10.0

**clusterfuzz-testcase-6544221896769536.zip**

154 KB [Download](#)

**asan.txt**

5.9 KB [View](#) [Download](#)

[Comment 1](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Sat, Mar 12, 2022, 10:35 PM EST

**Labels:** external\_security\_report

[Comment 2](#) by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Mar 14, 2022, 4:55 PM EDT

**Labels:** Restrict-View-SecurityEmbargo

setting RV-SE at reporting researcher's request

[Comment 3](#) by [bookholt@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Mar 14, 2022, 6:12 PM EDT

**Status:** Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)

**Owner:** kron@chromium.org

**Cc:** hbos@chromium.org hta@chromium.org orphis@chromium.org steveanton@chromium.org tommy@chromium.org guidou@chromium.org

**Labels:** Security\_Severity-High FoundIn-99 OS-Linux

**Components:** Blink>WebRTC>Perf

@kron, PTAL.

I'm not able to reproduce using the provided POC with a 99.0.4844.51 ASAN Linux build. However, PTAL due to potential for high severity security impact. The specific root cause analysis provided by the reporter should help reduce review energy.

Assigning severity High per history of UAF in sandboxed processes leading to RCE.

[Comment 4](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Mon, Mar 14, 2022, 6:14 PM EDT

**Labels:** Security\_Impact-Stable

[Comment 5](#) by [m.coo...@gmail.com](#) on Mon, Mar 14, 2022, 11:34 PM EDT

My fix is to invalidate the WeakPtrs of WebrtcVideoPerfReporter object when the PeerConnectionDependencyFactory object is about to be GCed.

...

```
diff --git a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc
b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc
index 7f756c5938..1335f5bfef 100644
```

```
--- a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc
+++ b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc
@@ -893,6 +893,7 @@ void PeerConnectionDependencyFactory::ContextDestroyed() {
```

```
void PeerConnectionDependencyFactory::CleanupPeerConnectionFactory() {
  DVLOG(1) << "PeerConnectionDependencyFactory::CleanupPeerConnectionFactory()";
```

```
+ webrtc_video_perf_reporter_.Shutdown();
  socket_factory_ = nullptr;
  pc_factory_ = nullptr;
  if (network_manager_) {
```

```
diff --git a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc
b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc
index 4b56ce341b..bd5ae201a1 100644
```

```
--- a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc
+++ b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc
@@ -18,6 +18,10 @@ WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::~WebrtcVideoPerfReporter() {
  DCHECK(!task_runner_ || task_runner_ ->RunsTasksInCurrentSequence());
}
```

```
+void WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::Shutdown(){
+ weak_factory_.InvalidateWeakPtrs();
+}
+
```

```
void WebrtcVideoPerfReporter::Initialize(
  scoped_refptr<base::SingleThreadTaskRunner> task_runner,
```

```
  mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebrtcVideoPerfRecorder>
```

```
diff --git a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.h
b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.h
```

```

b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.n
index b353a48605..b48c3b341f 100644
--- a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.h
+++ b/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.h
@@ -32,6 +32,7 @@ class MODULES_EXPORT WebrtcVideoPerfReporter {
    void StoreWebrtcVideoStats(const StatsCollector::StatsKey& stats_key,
                               const StatsCollector::VideoStats& video_stats);

+ void Shutdown();
private:
    void InitializeOnTaskRunner(
        mojo::PendingRemote<media::mojom::blink::WebrtcVideoPerfRecorder>
...

```

#### Fixpatch.diff

2.3 KB [View](#) [Download](#)

[Comment 6](#) by [kron@chromium.org](#) on Tue, Mar 15, 2022, 11:57 AM EDT

I have not been able to reproduce this myself. I'm still waiting to get access to the clusterfuzz test.

The patch looks reasonable although I'm not convinced that the explanation in 3. is correct.

I've prepared a CL based on the patch in [Comment #5](#) with some additions,  
<https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3525393>

[Comment 7](#) by [m.coo...@gmail.com](#) on Tue, Mar 15, 2022, 12:13 PM EDT

re [#c06](#)

The test sample CF will be deleted after one week(Deletion: Will be auto-deleted on Sat, Mar 19, 2022 if flaky crash no longer seen),

if you need access to the CF report for confirmation, please contact the security team as soon as possible to associate the CF report with the issue

"The patch looks reasonable although I'm not convinced that the explanation in 3. is correct."

[https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+67.0.3396.62/third\\_party/blink/renderer/platform/heap/BlinkGCDesign.md#sweeping-phase](https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+67.0.3396.62/third_party/blink/renderer/platform/heap/BlinkGCDesign.md#sweeping-phase)

Step 4-2. The thread invokes pre-finalizers. At this point, no destructors have been invoked. Thus the pre-finalizers are allowed to touch any other on-heap objects (which may get destructed in this sweeping phase).

You can also add debug log observations at CleanupPeerConnectionFactory and WebrtcVideoPerfReporter destructor.

[Comment 8](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 12:47 PM EDT

**Labels:** M-99 Target-99

Setting milestone and target because of high severity.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

[Comment 9](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 1:07 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Pri-2 Pri-1

Setting Pri-1 to match security severity High. If this is incorrect, please reset the priority. Sheriffbot won't make this change

again.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 10** by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 7:22 PM EDT

**Status:** Fixed (was: Assigned)

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a>

commit [7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a](#)

Author: Johannes Kron <[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)>

Date: Wed Mar 16 23:21:55 2022

Force clean up before destruction of WebrtcVideoPerfReporter

~~Fixed: chromium:1305776~~

Change-Id: Iac432821447269fb78fb076bd1345157a5e928bf

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3525393>

Reviewed-by: Henrik Boström <[hbos@chromium.org](mailto:hbos@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Johannes Kron <[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#981896}

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webRTC\\_video\\_perf\\_reporter.cc](https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webRTC_video_perf_reporter.cc)

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webRTC\\_video\\_perf\\_reporter.h](https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webRTC_video_perf_reporter.h)

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer\\_connection\\_dependency\\_factory.cc](https://crrev.com/7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc)

**Comment 11** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Mar 17, 2022, 12:42 PM EDT

**Labels:** reward-topanel

**Comment 12** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Mar 17, 2022, 1:37 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotifyWebRTC

**Comment 13** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Mar 17, 2022, 2:08 PM EDT

**Labels:** Merge-Request-100 Merge-Request-99

Requesting merge to stable M99 because latest trunk commit (981896) appears to be after stable branch point (961656).

Requesting merge to beta M100 because latest trunk commit (981896) appears to be after beta branch point (972766).

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 14** by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Thu, Mar 17, 2022, 3:12 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Merge-Request-99 merge-na-99

since this fix just landed < 24 hours ago, let's get this a bit more bake time in canary



in the meantime, merge-na-99 as there are not further planned releases of M99 stable

**Comment 15** by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Mar 17, 2022, 7:24 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Merge-Request-100 Hotlist-Merge-Review Merge-Review-100

Merge review required: M100 is already shipping to beta.

Please answer the following questions so that we can safely process your merge request:

1. Why does your merge fit within the merge criteria for these milestones?
  - Chrome Browser: <https://chromiumdash.appspot.com/branches>
  - Chrome OS: <https://goto.google.com/cros-release-branch-merge-guidelines>
2. What changes specifically would you like to merge? Please link to Gerrit.
3. Have the changes been released and tested on canary?
4. Is this a new feature? If yes, is it behind a Finch flag and are experiments active in any release channels?
5. [Chrome OS only]: Was the change reviewed and approved by the Eng Prod Representative?  
<https://goto.google.com/cros-engprodcomponents>
6. If this merge addresses a major issue in the stable channel, does it require manual verification by the test team? If so, please describe required testing.

Please contact the milestone owner if you have questions.

Owners: govind (Android), harrysouders (iOS), dgagnon (ChromeOS), srinivassista (Desktop)

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

**Comment 16** by [amyressler@chromium.org](#) on Mon, Mar 21, 2022, 2:28 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Merge-Review-100 Merge-Approved-100

M100 merge approved, please merge this fix into branch 4896 ASAP / NLT 3pm PDT today so this fix can be included in M100 stable cut

**Comment 17** by [srinivassista@google.com](#) on Mon, Mar 21, 2022, 6:16 PM EDT

I have CP'ed to M100 here and run it through dry-run CQ,

<https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3540973>

Please help land it asap. kron@

**Comment 18** by [Git Watcher](#) on Mon, Mar 21, 2022, 7:36 PM EDT

**Labels:** -merge-approved-100 merge-merged-4896 merge-merged-100

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6>

commit [140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6](#)

Author: Johannes Kron <[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)>

Date: Mon Mar 21 23:35:04 2022

Force clean up before destruction of WebRTCVideoPerfReporter

(cherry picked from commit [7b04461342e737c2ff8d76bac719265bc84eda5a](#))

Fixed: [chromium:1205770](#)

fixed: chromium:1305770

Change-Id: Iac432821447269fb78fb076bd1345157a5e928bf

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3525393>

Reviewed-by: Henrik Boström <[hbos@chromium.org](mailto:hbos@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Johannes Kron <[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)>

Cr-Original-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#981896}

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+3540973>

Bot-Commit: Rubber Stamper <[rubber-stamper@appspot.gserviceaccount.com](mailto:rubber-stamper@appspot.gserviceaccount.com)>

Reviewed-by: Johannes Kron <[kron@chromium.org](mailto:kron@chromium.org)>

Commit-Queue: Srinivas Sista <[srinivassista@chromium.org](mailto:srinivassista@chromium.org)>

Owners-Override: Srinivas Sista <[srinivassista@chromium.org](mailto:srinivassista@chromium.org)>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/branch-heads/4896@{#764}

Cr-Branched-From: [1f63ff4bc27570761b35ffbc7f938f6586f7bee8](#)-refs/heads/main@{#972766}

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc\\_video\\_perf\\_reporter.cc](https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.cc)

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc\\_video\\_perf\\_reporter.h](https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/webrtc_video_perf_reporter.h)

[modify]

[https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third\\_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer\\_connection\\_dependency\\_factory.cc](https://crrev.com/140afbd23488af71aa535ce88e04c71ff772acf6/third_party/blink/renderer/modules/peerconnection/peer_connection_dependency_factory.cc)

Comment 19 by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Mon, Mar 28, 2022, 5:31 PM EDT

**Labels:** Release-0-M100

Comment 20 by [amyressler@google.com](mailto:amyressler@google.com) on Tue, Mar 29, 2022, 1:14 PM EDT

**Labels:** CVE-2022-1133 CVE\_description-missing

Comment 21 by [amyressler@google.com](mailto:amyressler@google.com) on Thu, Mar 31, 2022, 5:14 PM EDT

**Labels:** -reward-topanel reward-unpaid reward-6000

\*\*\* Boilerplate reminders! \*\*\*

Please do NOT publicly disclose details until a fix has been released to all our users. Early public disclosure may cancel the provisional reward. Also, please be considerate about disclosure when the bug affects a core library that may be used by other products. Please do NOT share this information with third parties who are not directly involved in fixing the bug. Doing so may cancel the provisional reward. Please be honest if you have already disclosed anything publicly or to third parties. Lastly, we understand that some of you are not interested in money. We offer the option to donate your reward to an eligible charity. If you prefer this option, let us know and we will also match your donation - subject to our discretion. Any rewards that are unclaimed after 12 months will be donated to a charity of our choosing.

Please contact [security-vrp@chromium.org](mailto:security-vrp@chromium.org) with any questions.

\*\*\*\*\*

Comment 22 Deleted

Comment 23 by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Thu, Mar 31, 2022, 5:26 PM EDT

(deleted the above comment as I conflated two separate responses into one)

Congratulations! The VDP Panel has decided to award you \$5,000 for this report + \$4,000 patch bonus. Thank you for all

Congratulations! The VXP Panel has decided to award you \$5,000 for this report + \$1,000 patch bonus. Thank you for all your efforts from the reporting of this bug and the extra analysis from RCA through to the fix.

(as discussed off bug, removing the RV-SE label -- please feel free to reach out directly if this is an issue)

[Comment 24](#) by [amyressler@google.com](mailto:amyressler@google.com) on Fri, Apr 1, 2022, 3:57 PM EDT

**Labels:** -reward-unpaid reward-inprocess

[Comment 25](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Thu, Jun 23, 2022, 1:31 PM EDT

**Labels:** -Restrict-View-SecurityNotifyWebRTC allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

[Comment 26](#) by [amyressler@google.com](mailto:amyressler@google.com) on Fri, Jul 22, 2022, 7:36 PM EDT

**Labels:** CVE\_description-submitted -CVE\_description-missing

[Comment 27](#) by [amyressler@chromium.org](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) on Fri, Jul 29, 2022, 5:26 PM EDT

**Labels:** -CVE\_description-missing --CVE\_description-missing

[About Monorail](#)

[User Guide](#)

[Release Notes](#)

[Feedback on Monorail](#)

[Terms](#)

[Privacy](#)