Owner:

CC:

☆ Starred	by	3	users
-----------	----	---	-------

dsv@chromium.org

U yangguo@chromium.org rdevl...@chromium.org

sigurds@chromium.org

dsv@google.com

Status: Fixed (Closed)

Components: Platform>DevTools Platform>Extensions

Modified: Aug 1, 2022

Backlog-Rank:

Editors:

EstimatedDays:

NextAction:

OS: Windows

Pri:

Bug-Security Type:

M-100

reward-3000

Security_Severity-Medium

allpublic

reward-inprocess

CVE_description-submitted

Target-88

Target-85

Target-86

Target-87

Target-89

Target-90

FoundIn-84

Target-91

Target-92

external_security_report

Target-94

Target-93

external_security_bug

Target-96

Target-98

Target-100

Issue 1116450: Security: Extensions can capture contents of local files using

Page.captureScreenshot with fromSurface set to false

Reported by derce...@gmail.com on Fri, Aug 14, 2020, 10:17 AM EDT



VULNERABILITY DETAILS

When using the chrome.debugger API, one of the methods an extension can call is Page.captureScreenshot. That method allows a screenshot of the frame being debugged to be captured.

When the fromSurface parameter passed to that method is set to false, the screenshot is captured from the view, rather than the surface. One consequence of that is that any content drawn on top of the debugged frame will be captured in the screenshot.

An extension can use that fact to capture the contents of local files.

VERSION

Chrome Version: Tested on 84.0.4147.125 (stable) and 86.0.4233.0 (canary)

Operating System: Windows 10, version 1909

REPRODUCTION CASE

- 1. Install the attached extension. Ensure that "Allow access to file URLs" isn't checked.
- 2. Once installed, the extension will download local file.html.
- 3. Once the download has completed, the extension will open local file.html in a new tab.
- 4. local_file.html contains two subframes: one that loads file:///c:/ and another that loads iframe.html from within the extension. Because the second frame has an absolute position, it will be drawn underneath the first frame.
- 5. The extension will then attach the debugger to iframe.html and call Page.captureScreenshot with fromSurface set to false.
- 6. Once the extension has received the screenshot data, it will make the following call:

chrome.tabs.create({url: "data:image/png;base64," + screenshotData});

The resulting tab should show that the contents of the file:///c:/ frame have been captured. This is true even though the file:///c:/ frame is a sibling of the captured frame and not contained within it.

This issue is similar to issue 1116444, which also uses Page.captureScreenshot.

CREDIT INFORMATION

Reporter credit: David Erceg

background.js

2.8 KB View Download

iframe.html

100 bytes View Download

iframe.js

187 bytes View Download

local file.html

1.1 KB View Download

manifest.json

323 bytes View Download

Comment 1 by vakh@chromium.org on Sat, Aug 15, 2020, 3:56 AM EDT Project Member

Status: Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)

Owner: caseq@chromium.org

Cc: rdevl...@chromium.org yangguo@chromium.org sigurds@chromium.org **Labels:** Security Impact-Stable Security Severity-Medium OS-Windows

Components: Platform>Extensions Platform>DevTools

1116444, 1116450 are similar to 1113565 so assigning to caseq@ and adding some other folks as well.

Comment 2 by sheriffbot on Sat, Aug 15, 2020, 2:12 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: Target-85 M-85

Setting milestone and target because of Security Impact=Stable and medium severity.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 3 by sheriffbot on Sat, Aug 15, 2020, 2:48 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: Pri-1

Setting Pri-1 to match security severity Medium. If this is incorrect, please reset the priority. Sheriffbot won't make this change again.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 4 by sheriffbot on Fri, Aug 28, 2020, 1:37 PM EDT Project Member

caseq: Uh oh! This issue still open and hasn't been updated in the last 14 days. This is a serious vulnerability, and we want to ensure that there's progress. Could you please leave an update with the current status and any potential blockers?

If you're not the right owner for this issue, could you please remove yourself as soon as possible or help us find the right one?

If the issue is fixed or you can't reproduce it, please close the bug. If you've started working on a fix, please set the status to Started.

Thanks for your time! To disable nags, add the Disable-Nags label.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 5 by sheriffbot on Fri, Sep 11, 2020, 1:37 PM EDT Project Member

caseq: Uh oh! This issue still open and hasn't been updated in the last 28 days. This is a serious vulnerability, and we want to ensure that there's progress. Could you please leave an update with the current status and any potential blockers?

If you're not the right owner for this issue, could you please remove yourself as soon as possible or help us find the right one?

If the issue is fixed or you can't reproduce it, please close the bug. If you've started working on a fix, please set the status to Started.

Thanks for your time! To disable nags, add the Disable-Nags label.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

Comment 6 by sheriffbot on Wed, Oct 7, 2020, 1:37 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-85 M-86 Target-86

Comment 7 by sheriffbot on Fri, Oct 30, 2020, 6:46 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: reward-potential

Comment 8 by sheriffbot on Wed, Nov 18, 2020, 12:22 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -M-86 M-87 Target-87

Comment 9 by sheriffbot on Wed, Jan 20, 2021, 12:22 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -M-87 Target-88 M-88

Comment 10 by adetaylor@google.com on Wed, Jan 20, 2021, 6:56 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -reward-potential external_security_report

Comment 11 by sheriffbot on Wed, Mar 3, 2021, 12:22 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -M-88 Target-89 M-89

Comment 12 by sheriffbot on Wed, Mar 10, 2021, 8:04 PM EST Project Member

Labels: reward-potential

Comment 13 by zhangtiff@google.com on Wed, Mar 17, 2021, 7:12 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -reward-potential external_security_bug

Comment 14 by sheriffbot on Thu, Apr 15, 2021, 12:23 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-89 M-90 Target-90

Comment 15 by sheriffbot on Wed, May 26, 2021, 12:24 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-90 M-91 Target-91

Comment 16 by adetaylor@google.com on Thu, Jul 8, 2021, 4:33 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: FoundIn-84

Comment 17 by sheriffbot on Thu, Aug 5, 2021, 1:43 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Security_Impact-Stable Security_Impact-Extended

Comment 18 by sheriffbot on Fri, Aug 6, 2021, 12:23 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Security_Impact-Extended

Comment 19 by sheriffbot on Fri, Aug 6, 2021, 12:28 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: Security_Impact-Extended

Comment 20 by sheriffbot on Fri, Aug 6, 2021, 1:30 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Security_Impact-Extended Security_Impact-Stable

Comment 21 by sheriffbot on Sat, Aug 7, 2021, 12:23 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-91 Target-92 M-92

Comment 22 by sheriffbot on Mon, Aug 16, 2021, 1:14 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Security_Impact-Stable Security_Impact-Extended

Comment 23 by sheriffbot on Sat, Sep 11, 2021, 12:23 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-92 M-93 Target-93

Comment 24 by caseq@chromium.org on Mon, Sep 13, 2021, 11:16 AM EDT Project Member

Status: Duplicate (was: Assigned)

This had the same underlying cause as Issue 1116444 and has been addressed along with that one in https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+/2584806

The exploit currently fails with:

Unchecked runtime.lastError: {"code":-32000,"message":"Command can only be executed on top-level targets"}

Comment 25 by caseq@chromium.org on Mon, Sep 13, 2021, 11:16 AM EDT Project Member

Mergedinto: 1116444

Comment 26 by derce...@gmail.com on Mon, Sep 13, 2021, 11:36 PM EDT

I don't think this issue is a duplicate. While the original demonstration extension does now fail because it's attempting to call Page.captureScreenshot for a nested frame, it's simple enough to adjust the extension so that it calls Page.captureScreenshot on a top-level frame, but still captures the contents of a local file.

I've attached an updated extension here that demonstrates that. To test:

- 1. Install the attached extension. Ensure that "Allow access to file URLs" isn't checked.
- 2. Once installed, the extension will open two new tabs: one containing manifest.json and one containing file:///c:/.
- 3. The extension will then attach the debugger to the manifest.json tab and call Page.captureScreenshot with fromSurface set to false.
- 4. Immediately after making that call, the extension will mark the file:///c:/ tab as active.
- 5. Once the extension has received the screenshot data, it will make the following call:

chrome.tabs.create({url: "data:image/png;base64," + screenshotData});

The resulting tab should show that the contents of the file:///c:/ tab have been captured (along with the rest of the browser window). This is true even though the extension isn't attached to the file:///c:/ tab.

background.js

2.5 KB View Download

manifest.json

247 bytes View Download

Comment 27 by derce...@gmail.com on Mon, Sep 13, 2021, 11:40 PM EDT

I think the specific reason the behavior described in the previous comment occurs is because there's a delay (of 1/6th of a second) when capturing a screenshot from the view:

https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+/master:content/browser/renderer_host/render_widget_host_impl.cc; l=3356;drc=279d90cbebb0be2bff181c693e6c06cb4ac0f3e8

During that delay, if another tab is made active, the screenshot will ultimately show the contents of that tab, rather than the tab being debugged.

I think the fact that the screenshot is captured from the OS-level window is also part of it, since it means that whatever is shown within that window at the time is what will be captured, regardless of whether that's the original tab or another tab.

Comment 28 by ajgo@google.com on Thu, Sep 16, 2021, 2:31 PM EDT Project Member

Status: Available (was: Duplicate)

caseq: (security marshal here) could you re-evaluate if this is a duplicate following comment 27 - thanks

Comment 29 by caseq@chromium.org on Thu, Sep 16, 2021, 6:54 PM EDT Project Member

Status: Assigned (was: Available)

Thanks for the new exploit, David, I'll take a look into this!

Comment 30 by sheriffbot on Wed, Sep 22, 2021, 12:24 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-93 Target-94 M-94

Comment 31 by sheriffbot on Mon, Nov 15, 2021, 12:24 PM EST Project Member

Labels: -M-94 Target-96 M-96

Comment 32 by sheriffbot on Wed, Feb 2, 2022, 12:24 PM EST

Project Member

Labels: -M-96 M-98 Target-98

Comment 33 by sheriffbot on Wed, Mar 30, 2022, 12:24 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -M-98 M-100 Target-100

Comment 34 by dsv@chromium.org on Wed, Apr 20, 2022, 11:59 AM EDT Project Member

I wonder what use case do chrome extensions have to capture screenshots not from the surface? Can we only allow capturing from the surface for extensions?

Comment 35 by dsv@chromium.org on Fri, Apr 22, 2022, 9:44 AM EDT Project Member

Owner: dsv@chromium.org

The following revision refers to this bug:

https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+/2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df

commit 2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df

Author: Danil Somsikov <dsv@chromium.org>

Date: Mon Apr 25 12:18:01 2022

Only allow capturing screenshots from surface for chrome extensions.

Bug: 1116450

Change-Id: Ia4e081dbd44e0d3e2f85248b9e4ec9306e3ceb72

Reviewed-on: https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+/3599349

Reviewed-by: Andrey Kosyakov <caseq@chromium.org> Auto-Submit: Danil Somsikov <dsv@chromium.org> Commit-Queue: Danil Somsikov <dsv@chromium.org> Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#995663}

[modify]

https://crrev.com/2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df/content/browser/devtools/protocol/page_handler.cc [modify]

https://crrev.com/2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df/content/browser/devtools/protocol/devtools_protocol_browsertest.cc

[modify] https://crrev.com/2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df/content/browser/devtools/protocol/page_handler.h [modify]

https://crrev.com/2e8037c888191e014f208393af3a5bf5da4f83df/content/browser/devtools/render_frame_devtools_agent_host.cc

Comment 37 by dsv@chromium.org on Mon, Apr 25, 2022, 8:26 AM EDT Project Member

Status: Fixed (was: Assigned)

Comment 38 by sheriffbot on Mon, Apr 25, 2022, 12:42 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: reward-topanel

Comment 39 by sheriffbot on Mon, Apr 25, 2022, 1:43 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotify

Comment 40 by amyressler@google.com on Fri, May 6, 2022, 11:19 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: -reward-topanel reward-unpaid reward-3000

*** Boilerplate reminders! ***

Please do NOT publicly disclose details until a fix has been released to all our users. Early public disclosure may cancel the provisional reward. Also, please be considerate about disclosure when the bug affects a core library that may be used by other products. Please do NOT share this information with third parties who are not directly involved in fixing the bug. Doing so may cancel the provisional reward. Please be honest if you have already disclosed anything publicly or to third parties. Lastly, we understand that some of you are not interested in money. We offer the option to donate your reward to an eligible charity. If you prefer this option, let us know and we will also match your donation - subject to our discretion. Any rewards that are unclaimed after 12 months will be donated to a charity of our choosing.

Please contact security-vrp@chromium.org with any questions.

Comment 41 by amyressler@chromium.org on Fri, May 6, 2022, 11:36 AM EDT Project Member

Thank you for this report, David! Due to the mitigations of this issue requiring an installed extension and the user gesture required to trigger this information leak issue, the VRP Panel has decided to award you \$3,000 for this report. Thank you for another detailed report and taking the time to report this issue to us - including catching it still reproduced and providing a secondary POC!

Comment 42 by amyressler@google.com on Fri, May 6, 2022, 9:39 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -reward-unpaid reward-inprocess

Comment 43 by amyressler@chromium.org on Tue, Jun 21, 2022, 11:53 AM EDT Project Member

Labels: Release-0-M103

Comment 44 by amyressler@google.com on Tue, Jun 21, 2022, 12:56 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: CVE-2022-2160 CVE_description-missing

Comment 45 by amyressler@chromium.org on Fri, Jul 29, 2022, 5:46 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -CVE_description-missing CVE_description-submitted

Comment 46 by sheriffbot on Mon, Aug 1, 2022, 1:31 PM EDT Project Member

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityNotify allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage - Your friendly Sheriffbot

About Monorail User Guide Release Notes Feedback on Monorail Terms Privacy