# Server-Side request forgery in New-Subscription feature of the calendar app

Share: **f y** in **Y** o

TIMELINE



Oct 24th (4 ye

8.5 High CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:L/UI:N/S:C/C:H/I:L/A:N

### Description

The "New Subscription" functionality of the official Calendar app allows authenticated users to direct the server to perform arbitrary external requests, and then displays the full response to the user. The requests can be directed to external websites as well as the internal network.

An attacker can use this vulnerability to exfiltrate data from the internal network that is not protected by other mechanisms, or to perform actions in the name of server in the internal network which do not contain other protection mechanisms (reduced security is common in internal networks).

An attacker can also use this issue to scan the internal network as well as external websites for further vulnerabilities.

A user account is required, but it does not require extended permissions.

#### POC

GET /nextcloud/nextcloud/index.php/apps/calendar/v1/proxy?url=http%3A%2F%2Flocalhost%2Fsecret HTTP/1.1

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

[...]

secret

The "secret" file is an example of a file located in the internal network that is not protected by further authentication. Its content is displayed in full and as-is (the s is true for more complex files).

#### Solution

The given URL should be verified before making a request. If it is pointing to a private address, the request should not be performed. To reduce the impact of poter misuse of the host as a scanner, requests could be throttled.

Additionally, the impact of the issue could be reduced by processing the response server-side instead of client-side. Instead of displaying the entire HTTP respon the client, the response could be parsed and validated to ensure that it is in a calendar format. This way, non-calendar/ics information from internal networks wou leak to an attacker.

## Impact

 $exfiltrate\ data\ from\ the\ internal\ network\ and\ perform\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ internal\ network\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ internal\ network\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ internal\ network\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ internal\ network\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ internal\ network\ actions\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ in\ the\ name\ of\ the\ server\ in\ the\ in\ the\ name\ of\ name\$ 

οτ: posted a comment.
Thanks a lot for reporting this potential issue back to us!

Oct 24th (4 ye

Our security team will take a look at this issue as soon as possible. We will reply to your report within 72 hours, usually much faster. For obvious reasons we'd like to you to not disclose this issue to any other party.

Ai @foobar7,

Oct 24th (4 ye

 $\label{eq:Good_catch} \textbf{Good_catch. I'm in contact with out calendar engineers to see how we can fix this.}$ 

 $There is already work on the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendars server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache calendary server side: \\https://github.com/nextcloud/server/pull/10059/files for the way to cache cache$ 

 $A possible \ quick \ fix \ (that \ might \ do \ the \ trick). \ If \ check \ the \ actual \ response \ type \ of \ the \ proxy \ request.$ 

Also filtering out localhost as server would make sense.

We'll look into this and get back to you.

Cheers,

--Roeland

O- nickvergessen Nextcloud staff changed the status to • Triaged.

Oct 24th (4 ye

hi @rullzer,

Oct 24th (4 ye

thanks for the very quick response!

Checking the response type sounds like a good solution to severely reduce the impact. And filtering out private network ranges is a good additional defense if you use-cases allow for it (just the type filter would still allow (port-)scans of internal networks, stealing of internal calendars, and some other (less likely) attacks).

Best,

Foobar7



OODai i

PS: I forgot to mention this in my initial report, but owncloud is affected by this as well. You might want to coordinate the release of a fix with them or hold back detinformation in the release notes.

bobar7 posted a comment.

Nov 29th (4 ye

yi: They had trouble reproducing this over at owncloud because of a failing CSRF check. I rechecked it at nextcloud and could confirm that simply visiting the URL in the initial report doesn't seem to work.

I'm glad that you were still able to reproduce it, but for completeness sake: You can initiate the correct request - including a CSRF token - in the web interface: In the sake in the s

- · Open the calendar -> click on "New Subscription"
- Enter the URL -> click on "Create"
- This will lead to an error message in the web interface, but the request will have been sent, and a response will be received. You can read the response using an interception proxy (eg Burp).

You can alternatively use the attached python script which sends the correct request (including CSRF token) and returns the contents of the internal file.

1 attachment:

F383116: nextcloud ssrf.py



Dec 4th (4 ye

 $Sorry for the delay. We had a company hackweek and are very busy with the upcomming releases. \\ I'll check on your other tickets as well. \\$ 

This should be fixed with the latest calendar release (1.6.4). Could you verify that?

Cheers,

--Roeland



Dec 6th (4 ye

thanks for getting back to me on this!

I can confirm that the fix severely reduces the impact of the issue. I couldn't bypass it to read any files that do not start with BEGIN:VCALENDAR or BEGIN:VCARD (an otherwise parse properly; the extension of the file doesn't matter, but it's highly unlikely that non-vcalendar/vcard files would start with these strings).

It is still possible to perform a portscan of the internal network and to perform other requests to the internal network from the given server (see eg here) though. ICS files could of course still be taken from the internal network.

Because of this, I would still suggest to additionally filter out private network ranges - and optionally provide a configuration option (with a security notice in the documentation) to enable it if absolutely required.

Best,

Foobar7



Dec 18th (4 y

Sorry for the delay here. I was traveling with limited internet access.

I'll talk with our dav/calendar developers to discuss this further.

Cheers.

--Roeland



Jan 10th (4 ye

So the background job already does this internal network test:

https://github.com/nextcloud/server/blob/master/apps/dav/lib/BackgroundJob/RefreshWebcalJob.php#L227L239

But lets see if we can add it to calendar directly as well

Cheers,

--Roeland



Updated Jan 10th (4 ye

reusing existing code sounds good to me :)

The code may need some adapting though, I found at least two bypasses.

[http://[::]/] is accepted by the filter, and will be retrieved as valid localhost URL. So with the above exploit and a secret.ics file hosted at localhost, the following will retrieve the file even with the filter in place:

python nextcloud\_ssrf.py http://192.168.0.104/nextcloud/nextcloud/ admin [pass] http://[::]/secret.ics

 $Casing \ can \ also \ be \ used \ to \ by pass \ the \ filter \ and \ eg \ \underline{\quad http://LocalHost/\quad} will \ be \ retrieved \ by \ the \ calendar \ app:$ 

 $python\,next cloud\_ssrf.py\,http://192.168.0.104/next cloud/next cloud/admin\,[pass]\,http://LocalHost/secret.ics$ 



any update on this?

Best, Foobar7



Mar 19th (4 ye

reb 19th (4 ye)

I set a preliminary disclosure date for April the 23rd for the same issue in ownCloud.

As the issues can be exploited using the same POC, I wanted to let you know in case you are planning to add the internal network check from the background job t calendar as well.

If you need a bit more time to release a full fix, please let me know an approximate timeline and I'll postpone the disclosure accordingly.

Best.

Foobar7



Apr 17th (4 ye

I'd like to remind you of the public disclosure date next week, April the 23rd.

If you have an approximate timeline for the development of the remainder of the fix, please let me know before then and I'll postpone the disclosure accordingly.

Best, Foobar7



Updated Apr 24th (4 ye

the owncloud team asked for an extension, so I'm postponing the disclosure. I'll keep you posted.

It would still be good for me to know if you are planning on further working on this issue (in which case an ETA would be appreciated), or if you want to keep the star is (in which case I would not object to marking the report as resolved, but would ask you to hold off on disclosure for now). If you are not sure yet, an ETA on when y will make a decision would also be appreciated.

Best,

Foobar7

georgehrke posted a comment.
Hi @foobar7.

Apr 24th (4 ye

I'm sorry for the late response and the rather suboptimal communication for this issue.

We backported the fix from the Nextcloud server to the Calendar app.

It is available on the master and stable 1.6 branches:

- $\bullet \quad master: https://github.com/nextcloud/calendar/commit/0cdb36e7b3c726bc0c758f6501becb319af1c3ca$
- $\bullet \quad stable 1.6: https://github.com/nextcloud/calendar/commit/f6d5acf744558e1c309a41da02805bc3ad5f64bb$

 $Both \ have \ been \ released \ in \ calendar \ versions \ 1.7.0 \ (Next cloud \ 16) \ and \ 1.6.5 \ (Next cloud \ 14/15) \ respectively.$ 

This covers all Nextcloud versions currently supported.

Please let us know how you'd like to be credited in our official advisory. We require the following information:

- Name / Pseudonym
- Email address (optional)
- Website (optional)
- Company (optional)



Updated Apr 24th (4 ye

thanks for getting back to me on this!

The fix looks mostly OK to me. You still have the problem that the filter can be bypassed (see this comment), but I would consider that to be a separate issue as it's affecting code taken from core (though it now also affects the calendar app).

Do you want me to open a separate report for this so that you can keep track of it?

I'm not sure if you coordinate disclosure with owncloud, but if possible, I'd like to give them a heads-up about your advisory.

Would it be possible to hold off on it to give them time to fix the issue as well? If so, do you want to set a specific date?

If you want to fix the filter by pass, it might also be a good idea to hold off on the advisory until that is fixed as well.

You can credit me as:

Name: Tim Coen

Website: https://security-consulting.icu/blog/

