Jump to bottom New issue

Integer Overflow in num_images #1338



⊘ Closed NigelX opened this issue on Mar 24, 2021 · 10 comments · Fixed by #1395

```
NigelX commented on Mar 24, 2021 • edited •
Hello openjpeg2 team,
I found an integer overflow vulnerability in the command line options.
   -ImgDir
If there are many files in the imgdir directory The number of files read by opj_compress will overflow.
openipeg2(tested with revision * master 0bda718 ).
run commd
   ./opj_compress -ImgDir testcase/ -OutFor outcase/t.jp2
asan info
   Folder opened successfully
   UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer:DEADLYSIGNAL
==1852564==ERROR: UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x000001183310 (pc 0x7ffff764cefa bp 0x0000000fffff sp 0x7ffffff3988 T1852564)
   ==1852564==The signal is caused by a WRITE memory access.

#0 0x7ffff764cefa /build/glibc-eXitMB/glibc-2.31/string/../sysdeps/x86_64/multiarch/strcpy-avx2.S:630
        #1 0x42d9a5 in load_images /home/test/Downloads/openjpeg/src/bin/jp2/opj_compress.c:598:9

#2 0x429366 in main /home/test/Downloads/openjpeg/src/bin/jp2/opj_compress.c:1924:13

#3 0x7ffff74e70b2 in _libc_start_main /build/glibc-eX1tMB/glibc-2.31/csu/../csu/libc-start.c:308:16
        #4 0x408c7d in _start (/home/test/Downloads/openjpeg/fast_build64/bin/opj_compress+0x408c7d)
   UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer can not provide additional info.

SUMMARY: UndefinedBehaviorSanitizer: SEGV /build/glibc-eXItMB/glibc-2.31/string/../sysdeps/x86_64/multiarch/strcpy-avx2.S:630
    ==1852564==ABORTING
       (img_fol.set_imgdir == 1) {
         num_images = get_num_images(img_fol.imgdirpath);
dirptr = (dircnt_t*)malloc(sizeof(dircnt_t));
              dirptr->filename_buf = (char*)malloc(num_images * OPJ_PATH_LEN * sizeof(
              char));
dirptr->filename = (char**) malloc(num_images * sizeof(char*));
               if (!dirptr->filename_buf) {
               for (i = 0; i < num_images; i++) {
    dirptr->filename[i] = dirptr->filename_buf + i * OPJ_PATH_LEN;
           (load_images(dirptr, img_fol.imgdirpath) == 1) {
  ret = 0;
               goto fin;
           f (num_images == 0) {
   fprintf(stdout, "Folder is empty\n");
When num_images is equal to 1048576, multiplying with OPJ_PATH_LEN will produce an overflow result of 0
poc.zip
HX from Topsec alpha Security Team
( 1 1)
```

carnil commented on Apr 14, 2021

This appears to have been assigned CVE-2021-29338



Abhishek-sin commented on Apr 26, 2021

Is there any manual fix we can use/apply here till we get an patch update ??

stevebeattie commented on May 19, 2021

It looks like the pull request #1346 is intended to cover this issue as well; I believe Alpine Linux has already released an update for the issue with an earlier iteration of the proposed pull request.

tony -- commented on Jun 29, 2021 #1346 was replaced with f0629cb . Does that mean CVE-2021-29338 is fixed in master, @rouault? Collaborator rouault commented on Jun 29, 2021 #1346 was replaced with f0629cb. Does that mean CVE-2021-29338 is fixed in master, @rouault? I don't think so. I don't see f0629cb changing the code path pointed above baparham mentioned this issue on Dec 21, 2021 opj_{compress,decompress,dump}: fix possible buffer overflows in path manipulation functions #1346 (1; Closed) baparham commented on Jan 12 Contributor now that we might be back after some time away, I'll ping again but over in this issue. @rouault or @kaniini are there any plans or PRs in the works to fix this cve since it was not included in Collaborator rouault commented on Jan 12 are there any plans or PRs in the works to fix this cve since it was not included in f0629cb and #1346 wasn't merged fully? if you believe there's something left to fix, please issue a pull request to fix it. That's the effective way to make changes happen Contributor baparham commented on Jan 12 I understand and agree, but I am not really good at c these days. Since you and @kaniini have previously made changes in this area, I figured either of you two would be the quickest at making such a PR, and ensuring that it actually is correct. A PR from me would basically be trying to copy and paste code from @kaniini where it fits the latest master code, which seems inappropriate and Either way, it sounds like the answer is no, so I'll try and cobble something together (just what you want to hear when fixing a CVE :-)) and see if the CI and reviewers like it. baparham added a commit to baparham/openiped that referenced this issue on Jan 12 Fix integer overflow in num_images ... f0727df Fix integer overflow in num_images #1395 **\$** Merged baparham commented on Jan 12 Is it possible to confirm that this issue doesn't affect the lib code? I'm not really sure how they are intertwined, but for example, pdfium in the chromium project seems to just makes use of the code under lib (reference) which to me seems to indicate that it is not vulnerable to this CVE. thoughts? rouault commented on Jan 12 Collaborator Is it possible to confirm that this issue doesn't affect the lib code? if the code source changes are in src/bin/ only, it means that it affects only the utilities Rouault closed this as completed in #1395 on Jan 12 rouault pushed a commit that referenced this issue on Jan 12 🌑 opj_compress/opj_uncompress: fix integer overflow in num_images (#1395) ... 💭 ✓ 79c7d7a **Ç**³ **kraj** pushed a commit to YoeDistro/meta-openembedded that referenced this issue on Feb 15 • openjpeg: fix CVE-2021-29338 ... **kraj** pushed a commit to YoeDistro/meta-openembedded that referenced this issue on Feb 15 (1) openjpeg: fix CVE-2021-29338 ... a847d84 **Ç**³ **kraj** pushed a commit to YoeDistro/meta-openembedded that referenced this issue on Feb 16) openjpeg: fix CVE-2021-29338 ...

halstead pushed a commit to openembedded/meta-openembedded that referenced this issue on Feb 23

fb00512

Milestone No milestone

Development

Development

Successfully merging a pull request may close this issue.

Fix integer overflow in num_images

.

7 participants

