## Follow @Openwall on Twitter for new release announcements and other news [<prev] [next>] [day] [month] [year] [list]

bringing security into open environments

Date: Tue, 8 Jun 2021 08:53:42 +0800
From: Lin Horse <kylin.formaline...il.com>
To: oss-Security@...ts.openwall.com
Subject: CVE-2021-3573: UAF in hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl() function Our team (BlockSec) found an UAF vulnerability in function hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl(). It can allow attackers to corrupt kernel heaps (kmalloc-8k to be specific) and adopt further exploitations. =\*=\*=\*=\*=\*=\*= BUG DETAILS =\*=\*=\*=\*=\*=\*= >>>>>> background knowledge <<<<< The hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl() function is in charge of five HCI commands. struct hci\_dev \*hdev = hci\_pi(sk)->hdev; // { 1 } if (!hdev) return -EBADFD; /\* .... \*/ switch (cmd) { case HCISETRAW: case HCIGETCONNINFO: case HCIGETAUTHINFO: case HCIBLOCKADDR: case HCIUNBLOCKADDR: return -ENOIOCTLCMD; As you can see, the biggest difference between functions hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl() and hci\_sock\_ioctl() is that the former one will derïve the hci\_dev struct through hci\_pi(sk)->hdev. (as code mark { 1 } In other words, the bind() syscall needs to be called before the hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl() to write this struct. The hdev is obtained through hci\_dev\_get(), which based on the counter. static int hci sock bind(struct socket \*sock, struct sockaddr \*addr, int addr\_len) switch (haddr.hci\_channel) {
case HCI\_CHANNEL\_RAW: hdev = hci\_dev\_get(haddr.hci\_dev); // { 2 } hci pi(sk)->hdev = hdev; >>>>>> bug iteself <<<<< The bug itself is about the UAF of hdev and the root cause is the race When the HCI device detaches from the kernel, the function hoi unregister dev() will be called. This function will call hci sock dev event(hdev, HCI DEV UNREG) to inform all sockets that this device is going to be removed. The core logic is presented below. void hci\_sock\_dev\_event(struct hci\_dev \*hdev, int event) if (event == HCI\_DEV\_UNREG) {
 struct sock \*sk; /\* Detach sockets from device \*/
read lock(&hci\_sk\_list.lock);
sk\_for each(sk, &hci\_sk\_list.head) {
 bh\_lock\_sock\_nested(sk);
 if (hci\_pi(sk)->hdev == hdev) {
 hci\_pi(sk)->hdev = NULL;
 sk->sk\_err = EPIPE;
 sk->sk\_state = BT\_OPEn;
 sk->sk\_state\_change(sk); hci\_dev\_put(hdev); bh\_unlock\_sock(sk); read\_unlock(&hci\_sk\_list.lock); That is, the  $hci\_sock\_dev\_event()$  function will release the hdev from the bounded sockets, all at once. Therefore, one question arises: Is there any possibility that the hci sock dev event() in detaching routine take places and release the hdev while the  $hc\bar{i}$ -sock\_bound\_ioctl() is still working? Unfortunately, the answer is YES. The hci\_sock\_dev\_event() can release the hdev and cause the UAF in function hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl(). This race can be shown below. hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl thread hci\_sock\_dev\_event thread if (!hdev) return -EBADFD;

> hci pi(sk)->hdev = NULL; hci\_dev\_put(hdev);

// UAF, for example
hci\_dev\_lock(hdev);

```
=*=*=*=*=*=*= BUG EFFECTS =*=*=*=*=*=*=
 There are four different types of functions will be called from the vulnerable \mbox{hci\_sock\_bound\_ioctl}() .
  * hci_get_conn_info()
* hci_get_auth_info()
* hci_sock_blacklist_add()
* hci_sock_blacklist_del()
 All these functions can have different effects when the UAF of hdev happens. For example, the hoi sock blacklist add() will allow the attacker to write arbitrary 6 bytes to any place if the released hdev-bblacklist can
  be sprayed.
  static int hci sock blacklist add(struct hci dev *hdev, void user *arg)
                    bdaddr_t bdaddr;
int err;
                  if (copy_from_user(&bdaddr, arg, sizeof(bdaddr)))
    return -EFAULT;
               err = hci_bdaddr_list_add(&hdev->blacklist, &bdaddr, BDADDR_BREDR);
// the user controlled bdaddr will be insert to list
                   hci_dev_unlock(hdev);
 In a nutshell, the UAF of hdev can easily crash the kernel. It can also be the weapon of skillful hackers (with CAP_NET_ADMIN privilege). Below we provide the report from KASan.
                   12.664161] BUG: KASAN: use-after-free in mutex lock+0xa9/0x130
12.6643731] Write of size 8 at addr ffff88800c2ba010 by task exp/125
12.665731] CPU: 0 PID: 125 Comm: exp Not heir:
                  12.6631661
| 12.664161] BUG: KASAN: use-after-free in mutex lock+loxa9/0x130 | 12.664837] Write of size 8 at addr ffff88800c2ba010 by task exp/125 | 12.665551] CPU: 0 PID: 125 Comm: exp Not tainted 5.11.11+ #8 | 12.665551] CPU: 0 PID: 125 Comm: exp Not tainted 5.11.11+ #8 | 12.666378] Hardware name: QEMU Standard PC (i440FX + PIIX, 1996), BIOS 1.10.2-lubuntul 04/01/2014 | 12.667372] Call Trace: | 12.66637372] Call Trace: | 12.667661] dump_stack+lox1b9/0x22e | 12.668068] ? show resp print info+0x12/0x12 | 12.668563] ? log buf vmcoreinfo setup+0x45d/0x45d | 12.669114] print_address_description+0x7b/0x3a0 | 12.670984] ? mutex_lock+loxa9/0x130 | 12.670984] ? mutex_lock+loxa9/0x130 | 12.670984] Rasan_report+0x47/0x60 | 12.670984] check_memory_region+0x2e2/0x330 | 12.671379] mutex_lock+loxa9/0x130 | 12.671379] mutex_lock+loxa9/0x130 | 12.673206] ? copy_user_generic_string+0x11/0x40 | 12.672206] ? copy_user_generic_string+0x11/0x40 | 12.673206] ? hoi_get_conn_info+0x630/0x630 | 12.673269] ? release_sock+0x155/0x1b0 | 12.673696] ? release_sock+0x155/0x1b0 | 12.673696] ? release_sock+0x155/0x1b0 | 12.673692] ? hoi_sock_gename+0x1d0/0x1d0 | 12.673692] ? hoi_sock_gename+0x1d0/0x1d0 | 12.673692] ? hoi_sock_gename+0x1d0/0x1d0 | 12.673692] ? hoi_sock_gename+0x1d0/0x1d0 | 12.673693] ? is32_compat_sys_ioct1+0xc00/0xc00 | 12.673693] ? sock_do_loct1+0x4a6/0x10 | 12.673696] ? sock_goli+0xd0/0x400 | 12.673696] ? sock_goli+0xd0/0x400 | 12.673697] ? sock_show_fdinfo+0xb0/0xb0 | 12.673697] ? sock_show_fdinfo+0xb0/0xb0 | 12.673697] ? sock_show_fdinfo+0xb0/0xb0 | 12.673697] ? sock_poli+0x400/0x400 | 12.673686] ? sock_poli+0x400/0x400 | 12.673697] 
      [ 12.685111] RAX: fffffffffffffda RBX: 00005623b3401c10 RCX: 0007f89a2384247
                    12.685918] RDX: 00007f89a249e000 RSI: 00000000800448d7 RDI:
      [ 12.686752] RBP: 00007ffd49ce45c0 R08: 000000000000001 R09:
                    12.687561] R10: 00000000000000 R11: 00000000000246 R12:
      00005623b3400d50
                    12.688367] R13: 00007ffd49ce46b0 R14: 00000000000000 R15:
                  | 12.63301| entry_SYSCALL_64_after_hwframe+0x44/0xa9 |
| 12.633010| entry_SYSCALL_64_after_hwframe+0x44/0xa9 |
| 12.633733| Freed by task 126: |
| 12.634115| kasan_set_track+0x3d/0x70 |
| 12.635531| kasan_set_free_info+0x1f/0x40 |
| 12.635551| kasan_set_free_info+0x1f/0x40 |
| 12.635551| kasan_set_free_info+0x1f/0x40 |
| 12.635551| kasan_set_free_info+0x1f/0x40 |
| 12.636731| kobject_put+0x194/0x20 |
| 12.636731| kobject_put+0x194/0x20 |
| 12.637681| tty_ldisc_hangup+0x4d7/0x6d0 |
| 12.638169| tty_release+0x8d08/0x10e0 |
| 12.638169| tty_release+0x8d08/0x10e0 |
| 12.638169| tty_release+0x8d08/0x10e0 |
| 12.638169| exit_to_user_mode=vbx2d/0x40 |
| 12.638169| exit_to_user_mode=vbx2d/0x40 |
| 12.700338| syscall_exit_to_user_mode=vbx2d/0x40 |
| 12.700338| syscall_exit_to_user_mode=vbx2d/0x40 |
| 12.7004441| entry_SYSCALL_64_after_hwframe+0x44/0xa9 |
| 12.7014541| entry_SYSALL_64_after_hwframe+0x44/0xa9 |
| 12.7014541| entry_SYSALL_64_after_hwframe+0x44/
```

It is worth mentioning that the attacker can stably control and trigger this race with userfaultfd primitive, which will be discussed later.

```
12.706633] tty_port_default_receive_buf+0x6a/0x90
12.707172] flush_to_ldisc+0x2e8/0x510
12.707630] process_one_work+0x6df/0xf80
12.708112] worker_thread+0xac1/0x1340
12.708572] kthread+0x2xfc/0x320
       12.706633]
12.707172]
12.707630]
12.708112]
12.708572]
12.708937]
12.709337]
12.709543]
                            ret_from_fork+0x22/0x30
                        Second to last potentially related work creation:
kasan save stack+0x27/0x50
kasan record aux stack+0xbd/0xe0
insert work+0x4f70x340
queue work+0x9cc/0xdb0
queue work+0x9cc/0xdb0
hci revent packet+0x1bce1/0x23430
hci revent packet+0x1bce1/0x23430
hci revork+0x2a8/0x780
process one work+0x6df/0xf80
worker Thread+0xac1/0x1340
kthread+0x2fc/0x320
ret_from_fork+0x22/0x30
        12.709543]
12.710169]
12.710627]
12.711111]
12.711532]
12.711983]
12.712392]
12.712908]
             714610
[ 12.715012] The buggy address belongs to the object at ffff88800c2ba000 [ 12.715186] The buggy address belongs to the oache kmalloc-8k of size 8192 [ 12.715629] The buggy address is located 16 bytes inside of 12.715629] 8192-byte region (ffff88800c2ba000, ffff88800c2bc000) [ 12.717930] The buggy address belongs to the page: 12.718485] page: [ ptrval ] refcount:1 mapcount:0 mapping:0000000000000000000 index:0x0 pfn:0xc2b8 [ 12.719519] head: [ ptrval ] ) order:3 compound_mapcount:0 compound_pincount:0
 [ 12.723961] ffff88800c2b9f00: feefe feefe feefe feefe feefe feefe feefe
12.727784] Disabling lock debugging due to kernel taint
=*=*=*=*=*=*= BUG REPRODUCE =*=*=*=*=*=*=
As above introduced, this race condition is highly controllable. This is because the four related functions all call copy_from_user() function after the check of hidev.
static int hci_sock_blacklist_add(struct hci_dev *hdev, void __user *arg)
      if (copy_from_user(&bdaddr, arg, sizeof(bdaddr)))
    return -EFAULT;
static int hci_sock_blacklist_del(struct hci_dev *hdev, void __user *arg)
      if (copy_from_user(&bdaddr, arg, sizeof(bdaddr)))
    return -EFAULT;
int hci_get_conn_info(struct hci_dev *hdev, void __user *arg)
      struct hci_conn_info_req req;
struct hci_conn info ci;
struct hci_conn* *conn;
char _user *ptr = arg + sizeof(req);
       if (copy_from_user(&req, arg, sizeof(req)))
    return -EFAULT;
int hci_get_auth_info(struct hci_dev *hdev, void __user *arg)
       struct hci_auth_info_req req;
struct hci_conn *conn;
      if (copy_from_user(&req, arg, sizeof(req)))
    return -EFAULT;
That is, we can adopt userfaultfd to stop these functions and then call the detach routine to release the hdev object. After the hdev is already freed, we handle the page fault from copy from user() can let these functions cause UAF. (attacker can further spray the heap during this window)
The provided POC code can be used to prove the feasibility.
=*=*=*=*=*=*= Bug FIX =*=*=*=*=*=*=
The adopted patch is presented at <a href="https://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/bluetooth/bluetooth.git/commit/?id=e305509e678b3a4af2b3cfd410f409f7cdaabb52">https://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/bluetooth/bluetooth.git/commit/?id=e305509e678b3a4af2b3cfd410f409f7cdaabb52</a>
In short, this patch replaces the lock to the correct one for serialization
=*=*=*=*=*=*= Timeline =*=*=*=*=*=
2021-05-30: Bug reported to security@...nel.org and
linux-distros@...openwall.org
2021-05-31: Patch is adopted into Bluetooth tree
2021-06-01: CVE-2021-3573 is assigned
=*=*=*=*=*=*=*= Credt =*=*=*=*=*=*=*=
LinMa@...ckSec Team
syzkaller of course
Best Regards
Content of type "text/html" skipped
```

Download attachment "POC.zip" of type "application/zip" (6574 bytes)

Please check out the Open Source Software Security Wiki, which is counterpart to this mailing list.

Confused about mailing lists and their use? Read about mailing lists on Wikipedia and check out these guidelines on proper formatting of your messages.

OPENWALL A OPENVZ