Jump to bottom New issue

Regex DOS fixes #387

_emacs_oneliner_vars_pat:

=== DISCLOSURE TIMELINE ===

-*-\s*(?:(\S[^\r\n]*?)([\r\n]\s*)?)?-*-

% Merged) nicholasserra merged 3 commits into master from regex-dos ☐ on Jan 20, 2021

Conversation 2

Commits 3

Checks 0

Files changed 1



```
nicholasserra commented on Jan 20, 2021
 Regex DOS vulnerability report via @b-c-ds
 · Fixes two regex via proposed patches

    Band aid on fenced-code-block DOS by limiting the amount of spaces after language specification. Passes tests and common usage.

Full report with example fixes:
    Doyensec Vulnerability Advisory
      * Regular Expression Denial of Service (REDoS) in python-markdown2
      * Affected Product: markdown2 >= 1.0.1.18
     * Vendor: https://github.com/trentm
        Severity: Low
     * Vulnerability Class: Denial of Service
       * Status: Open
      * Author(s): Ben Caller (Doyensec)
     The python package markdown2 processes markdown using regular expressions which are vulnerable to Regular Expression Denial of Service (REDoS).
    If an attacker provides a malicious string, it can make markdown2 get stuck processing for a very long time.
    The three vulnerable regular expressions are found in markdown2.py. They are used only when specific extras or options are enabled.
     Line 894: self.regex_defns
     Pattern:
                                [\#(\w+)\s^* \ \# \ the \ counter. \ Open \ square \ plus \ hash \ plus \ a \ word \ \1
                                ([^{\}]^*)\] # The rest of the text up to the terminating ] \4
    Repeated character: \s
Example: '[#a' + ' ' * 3456
    Enabled with the 'numbering' extra.

The section \s*([^@]*)\s* contains three infinitely repeating groups which all match space character, so a long string of spaces can cause catastrophic backtracking.
     The complexity is cubic, so doubling the length of the malicious string of spaces makes processing take 8 times as long.
     Line 1927: _fenced_code_block_re
    Pattern:
(?:\n+|\A\n?)
                         (.*?)
                            ``[ \t]*\n
                                                                                  # closing fence
    Repeated character: \n
Example: '``' + '\n' * 3456
Enabled with the 'fenced-code-blocks' extra.
     As the ([\w+-]+)? group is optional, the section \s*?([\w+-]+)?\s*?\n(.*?) contains three infinitely repeating groups which all match the new-line character.
    Cubic complexity.
    Pattern: -\*-\s*([^\r\n]*?)\s*-\*-
Repeated character: \x20, \x09, \xa0
Example: '-*-' + ' ' * 3456
    Enabled when `use_file_vars' is True. The section \s*([^{r_n}]^2)s* contains three parts which all match spaces and tabs, so a long string of spaces can cause backtracking.
    Cubic complexity.
     === REPRODUCTION STEPS ===
     Running any of these commands will take a while to process at 100% CPU.
    Doubling the length of the repeating section will make processing take 8 times as long.
     \verb| markdown2.markdown('[\#a' + ' ' * 3456, extras=['numbering'])| \\
    markdown2.markdown('``' + '\n' * 3456, extras=['fenced-code-blocks'])
     markdown2.markdown('-*-' + ' ' * 3456, use_file_vars=True)
     === REMEDIATION ===
    Fix the three vulnerable regexes.
     Potential fixes:
         \label{eq:common_reg} \textbf{regex\_defns: I'd recommend replacing } \textbf{s*([^@]*)} \textbf{s* with ([^@]*) and then running .strip() on the result } \textbf{s.s.} \textbf{s.
             text_before = match.group(2).strip()
        _fenced_code_block_re is pretty hard. Line 2 could be something like:
         ^``((?\\n)\s)*?([\w+-]+((?!\n)\s)*)?\n using negative lookahead so the \s matches all spaces except newlines
          and moving the second \s inside group 1 so it only matches if a language is chosen.
```

2021-01-18: Vulnerability disclosed via email to maintainers Doyensec (www.doyensec.com) is an independent security research and development company focused on vulnerability discovery and remediation. We work at the intersection of software development $% \left(1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\}$ and offensive engineering to help companies craft secure code. Copyright 2021 by Doyensec LLC. All rights reserved. Permission is hereby granted for the redistribution of this advisory, provided that it is not altered except by reformatting it, and that due credit is given. Permission is explicitly given for insertion in vulnerability databases and similar, provided that due credit is given. The information in the advisory is believed to be accurate at the time of publishing based on currently available information, and it is provided as-is, as a free service to the community by Doyensec LLC. There are no warranties with regard to this information, and Doyensec LLC does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect, or consequential loss or damage arising from use of, or reliance on, this information. Thanks Ben! -O- Regex DOS fixes × 96dff22 nicholasserra added the Bug label on Jan 20, 2021 -O-

Pretty comment alignment X e1954d3 b-c-ds commented on Jan 20, 2021 Thanks. The change looks good! The _fenced_code_block_re is better but it is still quadratic because of \s*?\n(.*?) . It would only be a problem on super long strings of newlines now. If you were able to do the same trick of changing \s* into \s{0,99} it should prevent that issue. Up to you. nicholasserra commented on Jan 20, 2021 Collaborator Author Yup, it's definitely a band aid, but in manual testing it was at least returning results quickly instead of hanging forever:P Now that I look again, the second \s* is normally not used either, as the common syntax is to immediately newline, so 99 might even be overkill. Could probably just do another {0,2} to handle that and pass tests and common usage. Will experiment and maybe push another patch. -O-
Be forgiving ✓ c4b4ccb nicholasserra merged commit 7b65126 into master on Jan 20, 2021 View details 2 checks passed ဖြူ nicholasserra deleted the regex-dos branch 2 years ago Assignees Labels Bug Projects None yet Milestone No milestone Development Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues. None yet

2 participants