

Issue 2077: udisks + Linux kernel: udisks permits users to mount romfs, romfs leaks uninit memory to userspace

Reported by jannh@google.com on Thu, Aug 13, 2020, 4:57 PM EDT

Code

1 of 13

Introduction

This bug report describes a combination of two issues; together, they allow an attacker who runs in the context of an "active session on a local console" (in policykit/logind parlance) to leak pages of unnitialized memory to userspace. That's not particularly severe, but I think it points to an interesting disparity of assumptions about security guarantees.

udisks

Several Linux distributions ship a DBus service called "udisks" (https://www.freedesktop.org/wiki/Software/udisks/) in their default configuration. Its APIs are gated using polkit checks, based on the policy https://github.com/storaged-project/udisks/blob/master/data/org.freedesktop.UDisks2.policy.in. Any action in that policy with "https://github.com/storaged-project/udisks/blob/master/data/org.freedesktop.UDisks2.policy.in. Any action in that policy with "https://github.com/storaged-project/udisks/blob/master/data/org.freedesktop.UDisks2.policy.in.

Any action in that policy with "callow_active>yes</allow_active>" can be performed by any process running inside an "active session on a local console". In a server setting, that applies to almost no processes; but in the context of a desktop system, all the processes a locally-signed-in user launches have this level of access.

In particular, udisks allows such processes to set up new loop devices and mount them. udisks restricts mount options and flags (e.g. enforcing that the mount is nosuid and nodev), but happily mounts even very esoteric file system types, as long as the kernel supports them.

As an example, you could mount a filesystem image like this:

udisksctl loop-setup -f fs.img udisksctl mount -b /dev/disk/by-label/0123456789abcde

romfs

I started browsing through random file systems that the kernel supports, looking for simple security bugs to demonstrate that the ability to mount arbitrary file systems is dangerous.

romfs is a very minimal read-only file system. It has a helper romfs_dev_read() for reading data from the underlying block device (or MTD). The helper returns zero on success, or negative values on failure.

romfs_dev_read() silently truncates the caller-specified length based on

the filesystem size stored in the superblock

This means that, by crafting a filesystem whose last inode is a file of size 0x1000, but whose data actually starts one byte before romfs_maxsize(sb), we can make romfs_readpage() believe that romfs_dev_read() will fill the entire page (0x1000 bytes) while it actually only fills the first byte. This leaves the remaining 0xfff bytes uninitialized.

Putting it together

I have attached a PoC that, on a Debian 10 system (that's the current Debian stable), spits out a hexdump of uninitialized memory every time it is executed. Usaqe:

user@deb10:~/romfs-udisk\$ gcc -o romfs-test romfs-test.c user@deb10:~/romfs-udisk\$./romfs-test creating romfs image.. creating loop device.. Mapped file romfs.img as /dev/loop2. mounting loop device. Mounted /dev/loop2 at /media/user/0123456789abcde1. testing access... total 0 -rw-r--r-- 1 root root 4096 Jan 1 1970 foobar dumping file contents... 00000000 58 5c 19 ec e8 55 00 00 ff ff ff ff ff ff ff ff X\...U....... 00000010 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 30 ad 16 ec e8 55 00 00 |......0...U..| 00000020 1d 54 19 ec e8 55 00 00 02 00 00 0f ff ff ff |.T...U.......| 00000030 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 b0 72 16 ec e8 55 00 00 |......r...U..|00000040 7e 72 18 ec e8 55 00 00 02 00 00 0f ff ff ff |~r...U.......... [...]
00000fe0 42 5c 19 ec e8 55 00 00 b7 02 18 ec e8 55 00 00 |B\...U......U..| 00000ff0 30 56 19 ec e8 55 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 |0V...U.......... 00001000 removing mount.. Unmounted /dev/loop2. tearing down loop device user@deb10:~/romfs-udisk\$

(It doesn't work on Ubuntu 20.04.1 because they enable automatic heap zeroing.)

Conclusion

As far as I know, so far, Linux file system maintainers have not treated kernel memory safety bugs reachable by malicious file system images as security issues, based on the assumption that an attacker would not typically be able to convince the kernel to mount their malicious images. This bug is just one example of such a bug, and I would not be surprised at all if you could find some memory corruption that can be used to get root privileges with more effort.

With udisks added to the mix, that assumption no longer holds.

While the romfs bug should probably be fixed, I think udisks should also avoid exposing all this extra kernel attack surface to non-root users. If it is necessary to allow such users to mount file system images and such, one solution would be to use libguestfs (https://libguestfs.org/), which will run the file system driver inside a QEMU guest and expose the file system to the host through FUSE.

This bug is subject to a 90 day disclosure deadline. After 90 days elapse, the bug report will become visible to the public. The scheduled disclosure date is 2020-11-11. Disclosure at an earlier date is possible if agreed upon by all parties.

(This language is not intended to restrict when you can ship a fix or announce the bug publicly; it only limits when we publish our copy of the bug report.)

romfs-test.c

Comment 1 by jannh@google.com on Thu, Oct 1, 2020, 10:56 PM EDT Project Member

Status: Fixed (was: New) Labels: -Restrict-View-Commit

Upstream Linux fixed the infoleak (https://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/forvalds/linux.git/commit/?id=2935e0a3cec1ffa558eea90db6279cff83aa3592) in:

v5.9-rc2 v5.8.4 v5.4.61 v4.19.142 v4.14.195 v4.9.234 while the udisks folks see the udisks part of this as more of a hardening opportunity than a security bug. I'm marking this as fixed, but there are likely more kernel issues in that area that could be exploited through udisks.

Comment 2 by marcu...@googlemail.com on Fri, Oct 2, 2020, 5:36 AM EDT

is google requesting the CVE?

(Mitre does not allow requesting CVEs for google found isues, as this overlaps with the Google CNA.)

Comment 3 by yasho...@gmail.com on Fri, Oct 2, 2020, 6:52 AM EDT

Its's Fixed , great learning thanks

Comment 4 by jannh@google.com on Fri, Oct 2, 2020, 12:44 PM EDT Project Member

@marcusmeissner: Ugh, I hadn't realized that this was Google's turf by MITRE rules. That kinda sucks. While I don't particularly like assigning CVE identifiers to issues I find - because I fear that CVEs will not only be used to track patch propagation, but will also be abused to fast-track fixes for bugs I reported more than e.g. memory corruption fixes in response to syzkaller reports -, I guess a situation where distros aren't allowed to assign CVEs to these issues also sucks.

I'll try to make some time soon-ish to look at this more (and will probably obtain some CVEs for this and some other older issues from Google' CNA, or something like that).

Comment 5 by jannh@google.com on Mon, Nov 16, 2020, 3:33 PM EST Project Member

Labels: Fixed-2020-Aug-26

Comment 6 by jannh@google.com on Tue, Dec 1, 2020, 9:55 AM EST Project Member

Labels: CVE-2020-29371

About Monorail User Guide Release Notes Feedback on Monorail Terms Privacy