Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1048

F2fs-Tools F2fs.Fsck init_node_manager Information Disclosure Vulnerability

OCTOBER 14, 2020

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2020-6106

Summary

An exploitable information disclosure vulnerability exists in the init_node_manager functionality of F2fs-Tools F2fs.Fsck 1.12 and 1.13. A specially crafted filesystem can be used to disclose information. An attacker can provide a malicious file to trigger this vulnerability.

Tested Versions

F2fs-Tools F2fs.Fsck 1.12 F2fs-Tools F2fs.Fsck 1.13

Product URLs

https://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/jaegeuk/f2fs-tools.git

CVSSv3 Score

4.4 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:L/PR:H/UI:N/S:U/C:H/I:N/A:N

CWE

CWE-131 - Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

Details

The f2fs-tools set of utilities is used specifically for creating, checking and fixing f2fs (Flash-Friendly File System) files, a file system that has been replacing ext4 more recently in embedded devices, as it was crafted with eMMC chips and sdcards in mind. Fsck.f2fs more specifically is the file-system checking binary for f2fs partitions, and is where this vulnerability lies.

One of the features of the f2fs filesystem is the NAT section, which is an array of f2fs_nat_entry structs:

```
struct f2fs_nat_entry {
    __u8 version;    /* latest version of cached nat entry */
    __le32 ino;    /* inode number */
    __le32 block_addr;    /* block address */
} __attribute__((packed));
```

These f2fs_nat_entry structs allows for extremely quick lookup of block addresses (i.e. physical location on disk), given either a nid (which is the index into the f2fs_nat_entry array), or an inode. One of the steps taken during the f2fs.fsck process is validating this NAT section, including the bitmap that is used for representing the validity of any given nat entry within the nat entry table. This functionality is implemented by the init_node_manager function:

```
int init_node_manager(struct f2fs_sb_info *sbi)
{
    struct f2fs_super_block *sb = F2FS_RAW_SUPER(sbi); // [1]
    struct f2fs_checkpoint *cp = F2FS_CKPT(sbi); // [2]
    struct f2fs_mm_info *mm_i = NM_I(sbi); // [3]
    unsigned char *version_bitmap;
    unsigned int nat_segs;

    // [...]

    nm_i->bitmap_size = __bitmap_size(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [4] // le32_to_cpu(ckpt->nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize);

    if (!nm_i->nat_bitmap = malloc(nm_i->bitmap_size);
    if (!nm_i->nat_bitmap)
        return -ENOMKEM;
    version_bitmap = __bitmap_ptr(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [5] // &ckpt->sit_nat_version_bitmap (or *offset); approx.

    if (!version_bitmap)
        return -EFAULT;

    /* copy version bitmap */
    memcpy(nm_i->nat_bitmap, version_bitmap, nm_i->bitmap_size); //[6]
    return f2fs_init_nid_bitmap(sbi);
}
```

It's important to note that most of the fields for generating the nat_bitmap stored in the f2fs_nm_info object at [3] come from the f2fs_super_block at [1] and the f2fs_checkpoint at [2]. These structs are taken directly from the filesystem and are run through a good deal of checking after being read from disk. Keeping on, at [4], we can see the size of our nat bitmap be directly taken from our f2fs_checkpoint object, which is then malloc'ed. The source of the memcpy at [6] is generated from the __bitmap_ptr function at [5] which is as follows:

The most important parts are shown above, we only really care about what the return value is. As shown, all possible code paths are offsets from the f2fs_checkpoint object at [1], which is the same f2fs_checkpoint talked about in the init_node_manager function. Furthermore, with the exception of [2], all return values also base from the sit_nat_version_bitmap member of our f2fs_checkpoint (offset +0xc0). The next step is to see exactly what this member is:

At [1], the most important field for this function is read in, the cp_payload, which comes directly from the f2fs_superblock and the underlying disk. There is a check on it right below, the so the max cp_payload size is 0x5. The f2fs_checkpoint object mentioned before is actually malloc'ed at [2], in the total size being cp_blks * 0x1000. Thus, the only sizes we can have for our f2fs_checkpoint object are 0x1000, 0x2000, 0x3000, 0x4000, and 0x5000. The contents are read in from the blocks directly after the f2fs_checkpoint at [3], and then copied to our malloc'ed buffer at [4]. Looking at the actual f2fs_checkpoint struct now:

The only things that really matter are [1] the size of the nat bitmap nat_ver_bitmap_size, and the sit_nat_version_bitmap at [2], since if readers will recall, those were the members used during the initialization of the node manager. Coming full circle:

```
int init_node_manager(struct f2fs_sb_info *sbi){
    //[...]

nm_i->bitmap_size = __bitmap_size(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [1] // le32_to_cpu(ckpt->nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize);

nm_i->nat_bitmap = malloc(nm_i->bitmap_size);
    if (!nm_i->nat_bitmap)
        return -ENOMkEM;

version_bitmap = __bitmap_ptr(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [2] // &ckpt->sit_nat_version_bitmap (or *offset); approx.

if (!version_bitmap)
    return -EFAULT;

/* copy version bitmap */
    memcpy(nm_i->nat_bitmap, version_bitmap, nm_i->bitmap_size); //[3]
    return f2fs_init_nid_bitmap(sbi);
```

To populate the nm_i->nat_bitmap at [3], the size utilized comes directly from ckpt->nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize at [1]. Also important to reiterate, the pointer at [2] that we read from corresponds directly with the 0x1000-0x5000 size chunk allocated within get_valid_checkpoint. So now the question becomes, where does the ckpt->nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize come from and what constraints does it have? As shown by "cscope", there's not really that many mentions in the first place:

Looking through all the above examples, the only real validation on the nat_bitmap_size field is appropriately in the sanity_check_ckpt function:

```
int sanity_check_ckpt(struct f2fs_sb_info *sbi){
    sit_segs = get_sb(segment_count_sit);
    fsmeta += sit_segs;
    nat_segs = get_sb(segment_count_nat); // [1]
    fsmeta *= nat_segs;

// [...]

sit_bitmap_size = get_cp(sit_ver_bitmap_bytesize);
    nat_bitmap_size = get_cp(nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize);

if (sit_bitmap_size != ((sit_segs / 2) << log_blocks_per_seg) / 8 ||
        nat_bitmap_size != ((nat_segs / 2) << log_blocks_per_seg) / 8) { //[2]
        MSG(0, "\twrong bitmap size: sit(%u), nat(%u)\n",
        sit_bitmap_size, nat_bitmap_size);
    return 1;
}

[...]</pre>
```

So in the end, the only real checking [2] is to make sure that the sit_ver_bitmap_bytesize corresponds correctly to the superblock's segment_count_nat member at [1]. Next we examine the segment_count_nat field for its constraints:

At [1], we can see there's one check to make sure that the previous SIT section lines up with our nat section, and at [2], we can see that the end of the nat section is also checked to see if it's lined up correctly against the SSA section. Aside from that, there's no check on the size of the NAT segment itself. Back to init_node_manager for emphasis:

```
int init_node_manager(struct f2fs_sb_info *sbi){
    //[...]

nm_i->bitmap_size = __bitmap_size(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [1] // le32_to_cpu(ckpt->nat_ver_bitmap_bytesize);

nm_i->nat_bitmap = malloc(nm_i->bitmap_size);
    if (!nm_i->nat_bitmap)
        return -ENOMKEM;
    version_bitmap = __bitmap_ptr(sbi, NAT_BITMAP); // [2] // &ckpt->sit_nat_version_bitmap (or *offset); approx.
    if (!version_bitmap)
        return -EFAULT;

/* copy version bitmap */
    memcpy(nm_i->nat_bitmap, version_bitmap, nm_i->bitmap_size); //[3]
    return f2fs_init_nid_bitmap(sbi);
```

Since the nm_i->bitmap_size variable [1] is not really meaningfully limited in magnitude, and also since we know the size of our version_bitmap heap chunk [2] as 0x1000,0x2000,0x3000,0x4000, or 0x5000, the out of bounds heap read at [3] becomes apparent, allowing us to propagate our nm_i->nat_bitmap field with out of bounds heap data for further use.

Additional note on the exploitation on Android:

In Google Pixel 3 running Android 10, the f2fs filesystem is used for the /data partition, and, due to the fstab configuration, f2fs.fsck is a always executed on boot on the /data partition. Moreover, since full-disk encryption has been deprecated in favor of file-based encryption, it is possible to corrupt metadata in a reproducible manner. This means that a vulnerability in f2fs.fsck would allow an attacker to gain privileges in its context during boot, which could be the first step to start a chain to maintain persistence on the device, bypassing Android verified boot. Such an attack would require either physical access to the Android device, or a temporary root access in a context that allows to write to block devices from the Android OS.

Crash Information

Program received signal SIGSEGV, Segmentation fault. 0x00007f6eda62206f in ?? () from /lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6

```
[^ ^] SIGSEGV
************************
************************
      : 0x7f6ed9a76010 | r13[S] : 0x7ffc94046b70
                   rbx
      : 0x0
      · 0x102490
      : 0x102490
: 0x1200c0
: 0x5581585f3000
: 0x7f6ed9a93c40
: 0x7ffc940468c0
: 0x7ffc94046868
rsi[H]
rdi
rbp[S]
rsp[S]
       0xffffffffffffff
0x5581586f5490
0x22
                         | fs
                          | gs
r10
      : 0x22
                                   : 0x0
                                  : 0x7f6edb2fa840
r11 : 0x9 | fs_base : 0x7f6edb2fa840 r12 : 0x558157cc7800 (_start) | gs_base : 0x0
[o.o]> x/10gx 0x5581585f3000
0x5581585f3000: Cannot access memory at address 0x5581585f3000
```

Timeline

2020-05-08 - Vendor Disclosure 2020-07-02 - 60 day follow up 2020-07-20 - 90 day follow up 2020-10-14 - Zero day public release

CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith >_> of Cisco Talos

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

