# Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) in kevinpapst/kimai2



## Description

CSRF related to duplicate action. (the duplication occurs first before redirecting to edit form)

## **Proof of Concept**

GET /en/admin/teams/{id}/duplicate
GET /en/admin/project/{id}/duplicate

#### Impact

This vulnerability is capable of tricking admin users to duplicate teams

### Note

This is probably all the unprotected endpoints for duplicate action vulnerable to CSRF, there may be more, but this is what I have found while looking through the files.

### Occurrences

♣ ProjectController.php L427L432
duplicate project backend
R TeamController.php L87L102
duplicate team backend
actions.html.twig L1L15
duplicate team frontend
TeamSubscriber.php L36L39
duplicate team subscriber
🖰 actions.html.twig L1L15
duplicate project frontend

#### CVE

CVE-2021-3976

(Published

# Vulnerability Type

CWE-352: Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF

## Severity

Medium (4.3

#### Visibilit

# Status

Fixed

## Found by



Fixed by



This report was seen 317 times

We are processing your report and will contact the <b>kevinpapst/kimai2</b> team within 24 hours. a year ago
haxatron modified the report a year ago
We have contacted a member of the <b>kevinpapst/kimai2</b> team and are waiting to hear back a year ago
Kevin Papst validated this vulnerability ayear ago
haxatron has been awarded the disclosure bounty 🗸
The fix bounty is now up for grabs
Kevin Papst marked this as fixed with commit b28e9c a year ago
Kevin Papst has been awarded the fix bounty ✓
This vulnerability will not receive a CVE 🗶
actions.html.twig#L1L15 has been validated ✓
ProjectController.php#L427L432 has been validated   ✓
actions.html.twig#L1L15 has been validated 🗸
TeamSubscriber.php#L36L39 has been validated   ✓
TeamController.php#L87L102 has been validated ✓
Kevin Papst ayearago Maintainer
Thanks @haxatron, I found and fix two more duplicate actions with the same problem :-)
Kevin Papst a year ago Maintainer
Credits, see new release https://github.com/kevinpapst/kimai2/releases/tag/l.16.2
haxatron ayear ago Researcher
Thanks, but I think the two other duplicate actions did not duplicate the object before redirecting to the form unlike duplicate project and team I have reported here, so there was no need for the CSRF protection on the two other duplicate actions. :-)
Kevin Papst ayearago Maintainer
Yeah, that was a late night mistake and is already reverted having two CSRF protections on one form is probably too much :D
Jamie Slome a year ago Admin
CVE published! 🌺
Sign in to join this conversation

2022 © 418sec

huntr part of 418sec
home company

leaderboard team

FAO

contact u

terms

privacy policy