



# formation attr allowing javascript in Cleaner() if safe\_attrs\_only is set

Bug #1888153 reported by [Kevin Chung](#) on 2020-07-19

This bug affects 1 person

256

Affects	Status	Importance	Assigned to	Milestone
<a href="#">lxml</a>	Fix Released	Medium	Unassigned	<a href="#">lxml 4.6.3</a>

## Bug Description

```
Python : sys.version_info(major=3, minor=8, micro=3, releaselevel='final',
serial=0)
lxml.etree : (4, 5, 2, 0)
libxml used : (2, 9, 10)
libxml compiled : (2, 9, 10)
libxslt used : (1, 1, 34)
libxslt compiled : (1, 1, 34)
```

The following script creates a form with a button with formation which still allows XSS through when clicking the button.

```
...
from lxml.html.clean import Cleaner
cleaner = Cleaner(
    forms=False,
    safe_attrs_only=False,
)
cleaner.clean_html("""<form id="test"></form><button form="test"
formation="javascript:alert(1)">X</button>""")
...
```

However, this same kind of idea doesn't apply to action on the form which is somewhat equivalent:

```
...
In [1]: cleaner.clean_html("""<form id="test"></form><button form="test"
formation="javascript:alert(1)">X</button>""")
Out[1]: '<div><form id="test"></form><button form="test" formation=
"javascript:alert(1)">X</button></div>'

In [2]: cleaner.clean_html("""<form id="test" action="javascript:alert(1)
"></form><button form="test" type="submit">X</button>""")
Out[2]: '<div><form id="test" action=""></form><button form="test"
type="submit">X</button></div>'
...
```

safe\_attrs\_only is an unsafe setting to disable but it seems to respect the javascript setting so I would argue that formation should be added to the list of attributes that are removed by the javascript setting.

### Report a bug

This report contains **Public Security** information

Everyone can see this security related information.

You are [not directly subscribed to this bug's notifications](#).

[Edit bug mail](#)

### Other bug subscribers

[Subscribe someone else](#)

### Notified of all changes

[Kevin Chung](#)

### May be notified

[Esha Wang](#)  
[Gary Zhao](#)  
[Henning Janßen](#)  
[KeithSloan](#)  
[Ravikant](#)  
[scoder](#)

## CVE References

[2021-28957](#)

<a href="#">Kevin Chung (kchung)</a> wrote on 2020-07-19:	#1
I believe the issue is that lxml isn't treating a button as a "link" and not running formation through <code>doc.rewrite_links()</code> <a href="https://github.com/lxml/lxml/blob/34aa8896f99f93a43f3c61fc66beb459ce163acd/src/lxml/html/clean.py#L300">https://github.com/lxml/lxml/blob/34aa8896f99f93a43f3c61fc66beb459ce163acd/src/lxml/html/clean.py#L300</a> From there <code>iterlinks()</code> is called which doesn't see a button as a link. I'm not sure if you would want to modify <code>iterlinks()</code> or add a special case for button in the <code>clean</code> function.	
<a href="#">Kevin Chung (kchung)</a> wrote on 2020-07-19:	#2
A similar issue exists with: <code>&lt;input type="submit" formation="javascript:alert(1)"&gt;</code>	
<a href="#">scoder (scoder)</a> wrote on 2020-07-29:	#3
Thanks for the report. This seems worth fixing. If "formation" is not currently looked at for links, then it should be. PR welcome.  Changed in lxml: <b>importance:</b> Undecided → Medium <b>status:</b> New → Confirmed	
<a href="#">Kevin Chung (kchung)</a> wrote on 2021-03-20:	#4
PR created: <a href="https://github.com/lxml/lxml/pull/316">https://github.com/lxml/lxml/pull/316</a> Also requested a CVE from Mitre.	
<a href="#">Kevin Chung (kchung)</a> wrote on 2021-03-21:	#5
This has been allocated CVE-2021-28957.	
<a href="#">scoder (scoder)</a> on 2021-03-21	
Changed in lxml: <b>milestone:</b> none → 4.6.3	

<b>status:</b> Confirmed → Fix Committed
<a href="#">scoder (scoder)</a> on 2021-03-21
<b>information type:</b> Private Security → Public Security
<a href="#">scoder (scoder)</a> on 2021-03-21
Changed in lxml: <b>status:</b> Fix Committed → Fix Released

To post a comment you must [log in](#).

[See full activity log](#)