

[chromium](#) ▾[New issue](#)

Open issues ▾

[Sign in](#)

☆ Starred by 4 users

Owner:carlosil@chromium.org**CC:**mkwst@chromium.org
adetaylor@chromium.org
carlosil@chromium.org
amyressler@chromium.org
mas...@chromium.org**Status:**Fixed (*Closed*)**Components:**[Blink>HTML>Parser](#)**Modified:**

Jul 29, 2022

Backlog-Rank:

Editors:

EstimatedDays:

NextAction:

OS:[Linux](#), [Android](#), [Windows](#), [Chrome](#), [Mac](#), [Fuchsia](#), [Lacros](#)**Pri:**

2

Type:[Bug-Security](#)

reward-500

Security_Severity-Low

allpublic

reward-inprocess

CVE_description-submitted

external_security_report

FoundIn-98

Security_Impact-Extended

Release-0-M101

CVE-2022-1498

Issue 1297138: Security: leak user html content using Dangling Markup injection when http upgrade to https

Reported by ohseu...@gmail.com on Mon, Feb 14, 2022, 10:32 AM EST

 Code

This template is **ONLY** for reporting security bugs. If you are reporting a Download Protection Bypass bug, please use the "Security - Download Protection" template. For all other reports, please use a different template.

Please **READ THIS FAQ** before filing a bug: <https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+HEAD/docs/security/faq.md>

Please see the following link for instructions on filing security bugs:
<https://www.chromium.org/Home/chromium-security/reporting-security-bugs>

Reports may be eligible for reward payments under the Chrome VRP:
<http://g.co/ChromeBugRewards>

NOTE: Security bugs are normally made public once a fix has been widely deployed.

VULNERABILITY DETAILS

<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=680969>

According to the above report, it can be seen that chrome blocked attacks such as Dangling Markup injection. Of course, it is blocked in the following situations.

victim Server url protocol - attacker's url protocol

https -> https

http -> https

http -> http

But, i found html content was leaked through the img tag in the following situation.

victim server url protocol - attacker's url protocol

https -> http

poc

```
<html>
  <body>
    
  </body>
</html>
```

When the attacker's url protocol is upgraded to https, the user html content is leaked by bypassing the patch. In addition to img tags, it was also possible with audio and video tags, and there may be more possible tags.

in addition to img tags, it was also possible with audio and video tags, and there may be more possible tags. This allows attackers to get personal information by leaking the user's content when script is unavailable due to security elements such as csp.

VERSION

Chrome Version: 98.0.4758.82 (Official Build) (64-bit)

Operating System: Windows 10

REPRODUCTION CASE

1. Access https://ssrf.kr/crbug_test.html
2. Access <https://requestbin.com/r/en87sf22sedq7> and check html content has been leaked

CREDIT INFORMATION

Externally reported security bugs may appear in Chrome release notes. If this bug is included, how would you like to be credited?

Reporter credit: SeungJu Oh (@real_as3617)

crbug_test.html

159 bytes [View](#) [Download](#)

[Comment 1](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Mon, Feb 14, 2022, 10:34 AM EST

Labels: external_security_report

[Comment 2](#) by adetaylor@google.com on Mon, Feb 14, 2022, 2:06 PM EST

Status: Assigned (was: Unconfirmed)

Owner: mkwst@chromium.org

Labels: FoundIn-98 Security_Severity-Low OS-Android OS-Chrome OS-Fuchsia OS-Linux OS-Mac OS-Windows OS-Lacros

Components: Blink>HTML>Parser

Thanks for the report.

I can reproduce this on M98.

Trying to work out severity: It looks like the original dangling markup injection was put in place in [issue-680970](#) and this wasn't claimed to be a security fix per-se. However [issue-766592](#) was a bypass and was graded Security_Severity-Low, so that's what I'll do here.

[mkwst@](#), would you take this one and figure out if we should fix it, and if so, who is the right person?

[Comment 3](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Mon, Feb 14, 2022, 2:10 PM EST

Labels: Security_Impact-Extended

[Comment 4](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Tue, Feb 15, 2022, 1:28 PM EST

Labels: -Pri-3 Pri-2

Setting Pri-2 to match security severity Low. If this is incorrect, please reset the priority. Sheriffbot won't make this change again.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

[Comment 5](#) Deleted

[Comment 6](#) by [ohseu...@gmail.com](#) on Sun, Feb 20, 2022, 12:01 AM EST

After submitting the report, I checked more about what things were possible.

<https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=1039885> - My payload seems that the patch of this report can also be bypassed.

Perhaps it is possible to bypass almost all patches unless it is a special case.

Also, the security severity of this report was medium. Can my report's severity go up?

[Comment 7](#) by [mkwst@google.com](#) on Mon, Feb 21, 2022, 2:25 AM EST

Owner: carlosil@chromium.org

Cc: mkwst@chromium.org

+carlosil@ as we ought to be blocking this request in

https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:third_party/blink/renderer/core/loader/base_fetch_context.cc;drc=f7f2dcfbd24f7ee74a0b306043bc757da65f64a6;l=676. Perhaps we're losing the `potentiallyDanglingMarkup` flag somewhere when creating the ResourceRequest, and copying the URL at

https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:third_party/blink/renderer/core/loader/mixed_content_checker.cc;drc=f7f2dcfbd24f7ee74a0b306043bc757da65f64a6;l=898? If so, that might imply that this is also broken for `upgrade-insecure-requests`.

[Comment 8](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Thu, Feb 24, 2022, 9:48 PM EST

Yeah, taking a look at this, the `url.SetProtocol("https")` call causes `IsPonentiallyDanglingMarkup` to become false, so this affects both `upgrade-insecure-requests` and `autoupgrades`. I'm not sure how this is happening since it seems it should be carried over in `DoCanonicalizeStandardUrl`

(https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:url/url_canon_stdurl.cc;drc=dc3638e64423b1d2d5e3323b419028ab631f3923;l=108). I'll take a deeper look at this tomorrow.

[Comment 9](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Fri, Feb 25, 2022, 8:38 PM EST

So it seems the issue is the flag is lost before we even get to `DoCanonicalizeStandardUrl`, it's last kept in the 'parsed' parameter passed to `DoReplaceComponents`

(https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:url/url_util.cc;drc=d2f8618e737ac7722fe6e4b0d785f51fc5f2aed2;l=380), but `parsed` is not used again (except for checking the scheme validity), so the value is not copied to `out_parsed`.

Adding a

```
if (parsed.potentially_dangling_markup) {  
    out_parsed->potentially_dangling_markup = true;  
}
```

check to `DoReplaceComponents` fixes this, but I need to make sure this is appropriate (since technically `DoReplaceComponents` can remove the dangling markup, so it's not always appropriate to inherit the flag).

This means that the potentially dangling markup flag is lost on any code that calls `DoReplaceComponent`, not just mixed content checker.

[Comment 10](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Mon, Mar 7, 2022, 8:08 PM EST

Mike: Would it make sense to just add a check equivalent to

https://source.chromium.org/chromium/chromium/src/+main:url/url_canon_etc.cc;drc=e2cba64c183ae17816143ee344e6f7c81451555a;l=62

after `DoReplaceComponents` replaces the components? That would catch this case, while still covering the case where the component replacement added or removed the potentially dangling markup. LMK what you think.

[Comment 11](#) by mkwst@google.com on Tue, Mar 8, 2022, 1:51 AM EST

Thanks for following up on this, Carlos!

I think the change you've suggested to `DoReplaceComponents` sounds reasonable. You're correct to suggest that it's possible that the replacement operation could remove the thing that was a problem in the first place, but I think that kind of check would require a little more work than is worthwhile. I'm also hard-pressed to think of cases in which it would break something we didn't want to break.

If you'd like to dig into the more complex approach (which I think would check to see whether the path, query, or ref was being replaced, and then perform the check you've suggested on the replaced content), I'll happily review it. But I think the simpler solution is safer (insofar as it fails closed), and simpler to reason about.

[Comment 12](#) by mkwst@google.com on Thu, Mar 10, 2022, 2:19 AM EST

Cc: carlosil@chromium.org

~~Issue 1304166~~ has been merged into this issue.

[Comment 13](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Tue, Mar 15, 2022, 6:29 PM EDT

Sorry, I got a bit busy and this fell behind on this. Re #11: In that case I'm happy to go with the simpler solution and add the set the flag in `out_parsed`. I'll put together a CL and send it over. Thanks for checking!

[Comment 14](#) by [Git Watcher](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 3:40 AM EDT

The following revision refers to this bug:

<https://chromium.googlesource.com/chromium/src/+/-/f96e7cfcff0c8a75b314b05382c53bbf92c0bf4e>

commit [f96e7cfcff0c8a75b314b05382c53bbf92c0bf4e](#)

Author: Carlos IL <carlosil@chromium.org>

Date: Wed Mar 16 07:38:59 2022

Carry over potentially dangling markup flag for scheme only replacements

Prior to this change, the potentially dangling markup flag was being carried over only in `DoCanonicalizeStandardURL`, but this failed for scheme-only replacements (since the old parsed URL is not passed to `DoCanonicalize` for those). This adds a check for the flag directly in `DoReplaceComponent` that covers scheme only replacements.

~~Bug: 1297138~~

Change-Id: [I120682b6ee094e7aebb614754855c3e1db2b5544](#)

Reviewed-on: <https://chromium-review.googlesource.com/c/chromium/src/+/-/3527120>

Auto-Submit: Carlos IL <carlosil@chromium.org>

Reviewed-by: Mike West <mkwst@chromium.org>

Commit-Queue: Mike West <mkwst@chromium.org>

Cr-Commit-Position: refs/heads/main@{#981520}

[modify] https://crrev.com/f96e7cfcff0c8a75b314b05382c53bbf92c0bf4e/url/url_util.cc

[modify] https://crrev.com/f96e7cfcff0c8a75b314b05382c53bbf92c0bf4e/url/url_util_unittest.cc

[Comment 15](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 12:28 PM EDT

~~Issue 1304335~~ has been merged into this issue.

[Comment 16](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 12:32 PM EDT

Status: Fixed (was: Assigned)

[Comment 17](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 12:42 PM EDT

Labels: reward-topanel

[Comment 18](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Mar 16, 2022, 1:42 PM EDT

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityTeam Restrict-View-SecurityNotify

[Comment 19](#) by ohseu...@gmail.com on Tue, Mar 22, 2022, 9:56 PM EDT

Thank you for the quick patch! Can I get a CVE ID?

[Comment 20](#) by carlosil@chromium.org on Wed, Mar 23, 2022, 6:49 PM EDT

Cc: adetaylor@chromium.org amyressler@chromium.org

Thanks for the report. I believe CVE decisions are made by the VRP panel. cc'ing [adetaylor](mailto:adetaylor@chromium.org) and [amyressler](mailto:amyressler@chromium.org) to confirm.

[Comment 21](#) by amyressler@chromium.org on Wed, Mar 23, 2022, 11:00 PM EDT

Thanks for tagging me in [carlosil@](mailto:carlosil@chromium.org).

CVEs aren't part of the VRP process or distributed by the panel, but are instead allocated for externally discovered issues in Stable or Extended Stable, when the patch is included in a Stable channel release. CVE IDs must be tied to a public artifact, so we can only allocate them then as the Stable channel release notes (<https://chromereleases.googleblog.com/>) are available to be that artifact.

The CVE ID will be allocated directly to this bug report at that time.

[Comment 22](#) Deleted

[Comment 23](#) by amyressler@google.com on Mon, Apr 11, 2022, 1:06 PM EDT

Labels: -reward-topanel reward-unpaid reward-500

*** Boilerplate reminders! ***

Please do NOT publicly disclose details until a fix has been released to all our users. Early public disclosure may cancel the provisional reward. Also, please be considerate about disclosure when the bug affects a core library that may be used by other products. Please do NOT share this information with third parties who are not directly involved in fixing the bug. Doing so may cancel the provisional reward. Please be honest if you have already disclosed anything publicly or to third parties. Lastly, we understand that some of you are not interested in money. We offer the option to donate your reward to an eligible charity. If you prefer this option, let us know and we will also match your donation - subject to our discretion. Any rewards that are unclaimed after 12 months will be donated to a charity of our choosing.

Please contact security-vrp@chromium.org with any questions.

[Comment 24](#) by amyressler@chromium.org on Mon, Apr 11, 2022, 2:33 PM EDT

Hello, SeungJu. Thank you for this report. Given the relatively minimal impact of this issue we wanted to provide a thank you reward for this report. A member of our finance team will be in touch with you soon to arrange payment. We appreciate your efforts and taking the time to report this issue to us.

[Comment 25](#) by ohseu...@gmail.com on Mon, Apr 11, 2022, 9:33 PM EDT

Thank you for Reward!

[Comment 26](#) by amyressler@google.com on Tue, Apr 12, 2022, 9:16 PM EDT

Labels: -reward-unpaid reward-inprocess

[Comment 27](#) by amyressler@chromium.org on Mon, Apr 25, 2022, 8:43 PM EDT

Labels: Release-0-M101

[Comment 28](#) by amyressler@google.com on Tue, Apr 26, 2022, 4:32 PM EDT

Labels: CVE-2022-1498 CVE_description-missing

[Comment 29](#) by [sheriffbot](#) on Wed, Jun 22, 2022, 1:31 PM EDT

Labels: -Restrict-View-SecurityNotify allpublic

This bug has been closed for more than 14 weeks. Removing security view restrictions.

For more details visit <https://www.chromium.org/issue-tracking/autotriage> - Your friendly Sheriffbot

[Comment 30](#) by amyressler@google.com on Tue, Jul 26, 2022, 5:37 PM EDT

Labels: CVE_description-submitted -CVE_description-missing

[Comment 31](#) by amyressler@chromium.org on Fri, Jul 29, 2022, 5:26 PM EDT

Labels: -CVE_description-missing --CVE_description-missing

[About Monorail](#)

[User Guide](#)

[Release Notes](#)

[Feedback on Monorail](#)

[Terms](#)

[Privacy](#)