Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1130

Microsoft Azure Sphere Littlefs truncate information disclosure vulnerability

SEPTEMBER 23, 2020

CVE NUMBER

None

SUMMARY

An information disclosure vulnerability exists in the Littlefs filesystem functionality of Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.06. A specially crafted set of syscalls can cause an uninitialized read, resulting leakage of information. An attacker can use syscalls to trigger this vulnerability.

CONFIRMED VULNERABLE VERSIONS

The versions below were either tested or verified to be vulnerable by Talos or confirmed to be vulnerable by the vendor.

Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.06

PRODUCT URLS

Azure Sphere - https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/services/azure-sphere/

CVSSV3 SCORE

7.1 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:L/PR:N/LII:N/S:C/C:H/I:N/A:N

CWE

CWE-908 - Use of Uninitialized Resource

DETAILS

Microsoft's Azure Sphere is a platform for the development of internet-of-things applications. It features a custom SoC that consists of a set of cores that run both high-level and real-time applications, enforces security and manages encryption (among other functions). The high-level applications execute on a custom Linux-based OS, with several modifications to make it smaller and more secure, specifically for IoT applications.

One of the optional features that Azure sphere grants to application developers is MutableStorage, an extremely fundamental feature for most applications. It should be noted before proceeding that this vulnerability would not apply to applications that only use read-only asxipfs storage, however we think it is fair to assume that most applications are going have mutable storage, and thus an issue worth looking at. To define an application with mutable storage, the we take an app_manifest.json from the Azure Sphere documentation:

```
{
    "SchemaVersion": 1,
    "Name": "M13620App_Mutable_Storage",
    "ComponentId": "y6tfee77-0c2c-4433-827b-e778024a04c3",
    "EntryPoint": "/bin/app",
    "CndArgs": [],
    "AllowedConnections": [],
    "AllowedConnections": [],
    "AllowedIdpServerPorts": [],
    "MutableStorage": { "SizeKB": 8 },
    "Gpio": [],
    "Uart": [],
    "Wificonfig": false,
    "NetworkConfig": false,
    "SystemTime": false
}
}
```

As long as the MutableStorage is set, a folder on the device to be created at /mnt/config/<ComponentID> in which a file descriptor to the application data can be opened and closed with the Storage_OpenMutableFile and Storage_DeleteMutableFile functions. Materially, these functions just wrappers for open and close on the fore mentioned /mnt/config/<ComponentID> folder, so there's nothing too complex with these functions in particular.

Examining this process closer, we see that the /mnt/config/<ComponentID> lives on a "littlefs" partition at /mnt/config/ which, at least for userland Linux, is the only place mutable data can be stored and backed by a disk looking like so:

```
/dev/mtdblock1 on /mnt/config type littlefs (rw,noexec,noatime)

Filesystem Size Used Available Use% Mounted on
/dev/mtdblock1 512.0K 48.0K 464.0K 9% /mnt/config
```

Important to note that a lot of data is actually taken up by even a 0x4 bytes file, due to littlefs' underlying implementation and features (e.g. internal file commits and backups), which is something that developers will discover pretty quickly. Regardless, it's worth noting sys_open and sys_write are not the only methods of hitting littlefs quota code, any kernel driver code that edits the disk will appropriately hit this code. For our purposes we examine the kernel driver's file_inode_operations.setattr method littlefs_file_setattr:

```
static int littlefs_file_setattr(struct dentry *dentry, struct iattr *iattr)
{
    struct inode *inode = d_inode(dentry);
        struct littlefs_sb_info *c = LITTLEFS_SB_INFO(inode->i_sb);
        struct littlefs_inode_info *f = LITTLEFS_INODE_INFO(inode);
    int error = 0;

    if (!f) {
        return -ENOENT;
    }

    error = setattr_prepare(dentry, iattr); // permission and sizefit checks
    if (error)
        return error;

    if (iattr->ia_valid & ATTR_UID) { // [1]
        // [...]
    }

    if (iattr->ia_valid & ATTR_SIZE) { // [2]
        // [...]
    }
}
```

Of note for littlefs' file attributes, there's no xattrs and only S_IFREG regular files and S_IFDIR directories can be created. This particular littlefs_file_setattr only applies to files, not directories, and only really cares about two types of attributes, ATTR_UID [1] and ATTR_SIZE [2]. Since we'd need SYS_CAP_CHMOD in order to change the ATTR_UID to a user id that wasn't ours, we ignore that and instead focus on ATTR_SIZE. The only way to hit this ATTR_SIZE case is with the syscall truncate, which allows one to change the size of a file, positively or negatively to an arbitrary length, and if extended, the file should zero-extend. To elaborate, a simple set of shell commands:

```
/mnt/config/d940e448-10b8-4b85-ac5f-69e6a6e4efc6
> ls
> touch asdf
> echo boop > asdf
> ls -la
total 1
drwx----
                2 1007
                            1007
                                               0 Jan 1 1970 .
drwx--x--x
                                               0 Jan 1 05:09 ..
5 Jan 1 05:11 asdf
                            appman
1007
             5 sys
1 1007
> truncate -s $(( 0x10000 )) ./asdf
> ls -la
total 1
drwx-----
drwx--x--x
               2 1007
                                               0 Jan 1 1970 .
0 Jan 1 05:09 ..
                5 sys
                            appman
               1 1007
                            1007
                                           65536 Jan 1 05:11 asdf
-rw-r--r--
```

As one might expect, when we truncate the file to 0x10000 in length, it appropriately grows to that corresponding amount. But what happens when we cat out the file?

Rather rudely, bytes have shown up in the file, so let us delete the file and try again:

Again bytes materialize out of ether, so now the task is to track down where these bugs came from. Since this happens with both standard busybox tools and the code below, it's hopefully not user error:

```
int fd = open(fname, 0_CREAT | 0_RDWR, 00666);

if (fd < 0){
    perror("open");
    return -1;
}
printf("[^_] Wrote 0x%x bytes to %s!\n",write(fd,"boop",4),fname);
close(fd);

if (truncate(fname,truncsize) < 0){
    perror("[x.x] Truncate");
    return -1;
}
hexdump_file(fname);
remove(fname);</pre>
```

After a large amount of unsuccessful kernel debugging in the littlefs codebase, the following was finally found:

So, before we actually exit the copy_page_to_iter function, we end up writing back to the buffer from our sys_read syscall. Examining the next function up, do_generic_file_read, we see the following comments:

```
page_ok:
    /*
    * i_size must be checked after we know the page is Uptodate.
    * *
    * Checking i_size after the check allows us to calculate
    * the correct value for "nr", which means the zero-filled
    * part of the page is not copied back to userspace (unless
    * another truncate extends the file - this is desired though).
    */

[...]

/*
    * Ok, we have the page, and it's up-to-date, so
    * now we can copy it to user space...
    */

ret = copy_page_to_iter(page, offset, nr, iter); // #! path to write
    offset += ret;
```

If we look further down to see where the littlefs code starts, we can see the readpage: label, where we would expect to normally end up:

```
readpage:

/*

* A previous I/O error may have been due to temporary

* failures, eg. multipath errors.

* PG_error will be set again if readpage fails.

*/

ClearPageError(page);

/* Start the actual read. The read will unlock the page. */
error = mapping->a_ops->readpage(filp, page);

// where the lfs_code is
```

All this implying that we are indeed grabbing a cached page out of memory before anything else, since the only way to hit the page_ok label and subsequently copy_page_to_iter is like

If we look at the address_space structure before hitting find_get_page, we can see the following relevant fields:

```
struct address_space {
    struct inode *host = 0xc1c07270
    struct inode *host = 0xc1c07270
    struct radix_tree_root page_tree = {gfp_mask = 0x2180020, rnode = 0xc1fca930};
    spinlock_t tree_lock = {{rlock = {raw_lock = {NO data fields>}}}};
    atomic_t i_mmap_writable = {counter = 0x0};
    struct rb_root i_mmap = {rb_node = 0x0};
    struct rw_semaphore i_mmap_rwsem = {count = {counter = 0x0}, wait_list = {next = 0xc1c07354, prev = 0xc1c07354}, wait_lock = {raw_lock = {<NO data fields>}}};
    unsigned long nrpages = 0x1;  // [1]
    unsigned long nrpages = 0x1;  // [1]
    unsigned long writeback_index = 0x0;
    const struct address_space_operations *a_ops = 0xc040a1b8;
    unsigned long flags = 0x0;
    spinlock_t private_lock = {{rlock = {raw_lock = {<NO data fields>}}}};
    gfp_t gfp_mask = 0x24200ca;
    struct list_head private_list = {next = 0xc1c07374, prev = 0xc1c07374};
    void *private_data = 0x0;
} *
```

Of most relevance is the fact that there's currently a page in the page cache at [1], and if we continue past find_get_page(mapping, 0x0), we can see it gets returned to us:

```
[~.~]> p *page

$33 = {flags = 8, {mapping = 0xc1c07474, s_mem = 0xc1c07474, compound_mapcount = {counter = -1044351884}},

{index = 0, freelist = 0x0},

{counters = 4294967295, {{{mapcount = {counter = -1}}, active = 4294967295, {inuse = 65535, objects = 32767, frozen = 1},

units = -1}, _refrount = {counter = 3}}},

{lru = {next = 0x100, prev = 0x200}, pgmap = 0x100, {next = 0x100, pages = 512, pobjects = 0},

callback_head = {next = 0x100, func = 0x200}, {compound_head = 256, compound_dtor = 512, compound_order = 0}},

{private = 0, slab_cache = 0x0}, mem_cgroup = 0xc1802200}
```

Looking at the flags, 0x8 is PG_uptodate, so we pass the checks further on and continue down to the page_ok label, and subsequent writing of this page back to userland, resulting in an information leak.

So where does this page come from and why is it in the page cache? If we look back to the original proof-of-concept causing the issue:

```
int fd = open(fname, O_CREAT | O_RDWR, 00666);

if (fd < 0){
    perror("open");
    return -1;
}

printf("[^_^] Wrote 0x%x bytes to %s!\n",write(fd,"boop",4),fname);

close(fd);

if (truncate(fname,truncsize) < 0){
    perror("[x.x] Truncate");
    return -1;
}
hexdump_file(fname);
remove(fname);</pre>
```

There's actually a 4-byte write at [1] before the truncation that doesn't seem important, but it indeed is:

```
[-.-]> bt //vv[1]vv #0 add_to_page_cache_tru (page=0xc1fca444, mapping=0xc1c0a0cc, offset=0, gfp_mask=54657226) at mm/filemap.c:731 #1 0xc0167420 in pagecache_get_page (mapping=0xc1fca444, offset=3250626764, fgp_flags=0, gfp_mask=54657226) at mm/filemap.c:1251 #2 0xc0168bdc in grab_cache_page_write_begin (mapping=<optimized out>, index=<optimized out>, flags=<optimized out>) at mm/filemap.c:2685 #3 0xc020e85a in littlefs_write_begin (filp=<optimized out>, mapping=<optimized out>, pos=<optimized out>, len=4, flags=0, page=0xc0f3fe2c, fsdata=0xc0f3fe30 at fs/littlefs/inode.c:794 #4 0xc0168c78 in generic_perform_write (file=0xc0f3dc00, i=0xc0f3fe80, prom=0xc0f3fe80) at mm/filemap.c:2741 #5 0xc0168df8 in __generic_file_write_iter (iocb=0xc0f3ff00, from=0xc0f3fe80) at mm/filemap.c:2866 #6 0xc0168f4a in generic_file_write_iter (iocb=0xc0f3ff00, from=0xc0f3fe80) at mm/filemap.c:2866 #6 0xc0168f4a in generic_file_write_iter (iocb=0xc0f3ff00, from=0xc0f3fe80) at mm/filemap.c:2804 #7 0xc0195dee in new_sync_write (pops=<optimized out>, len=<optimized out>, len=<optimized out>, interval out>, filp=<optimized out>) at fs/read_write.c:501 #8 _vfs_write (file=0xc1fca444, p=<optimized out>, count=<optimized out>, pos=0xc0f3ff78) at fs/read_write.c:514 #9 0xc0195f74 in vfs_write (file=0xc0f3dc00, buf=0x25fecea4 "boop", count=<optimized out>) at fs/read_write.c:610 #11 Sys_write (fdd<optimized out>, buf=637456036, count=4) at fs/read_write.c:602 #12 <signal handler called> #13 0xbeed4cec in ?? ()
```

At [1], we can see this original page getting added into the Iru cache, and at [2], this is because a call to pagecache_get_page couldn't find a valid page, hits __page_cache_alloc and then adds the new page with add_page_to_cache_lru. Due to time constraints for the Azure Sphere challenge, we have not ascertained the reason why this page is never cleared in the course of this code path, however it does seem possible for this page to contain information from other processes, making the information leak actually useful.

TIMELINE

2020-07-24 - Vendor Disclosure 2020-10-06 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith >_>, Claudio Bozzato and Dave McDaniel of Cisco Talos.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2020-1139 TALOS-2020-1120

