

5

CVE-2022-30115: HSTS bypass via trailing dot

Share:



TIMELINE



[haxatron1](#) submitted a report to [curl](#).

May 3rd (7 months ago)

curl allows users to load a HSTS cache which will cause curl to use HTTPS instead of HTTP given a HTTP URL for a given site specified in the HSTS cache.

If the trailing dot is used, the HSTS check will be bypassed.

If a user has a preloaded hsts.txt:

Code 150 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Download](#)

```
1 # Your HSTS cache. https://curl.se/docs/hsts.html
2 # This file was generated by libcurl! Edit at your own risk.
3 accounts.google.com "20230503 08:47:52"
```

Doing the following:

Code 48 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Download](#)

```
1 curl --hsts hsts.txt http://accounts.google.com.
```

Will cause accounts.google.com to be loaded over HTTP

Code 221 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Download](#)

```
1 <HTML><HEAD><meta http-equiv="content-type" content="text/html; charset=utf-8">
2 <TITLE>301 Moved</TITLE></HEAD><BODY>
3 <H1>301 Moved</H1>
4 The document has moved
5 <A HREF="http://accounts.google.com/">here</A>.
6 </BODY></HTML>
```

This issue has been raised in other HTTP clients before such as in <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=461481> and

HSTS bypass



[bagder](#) curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Thank you for your report!

We will take some time and investigate your reports and get back to you with details and possible follow-up questions as soon as we can!



[bagder](#) curl staff changed the status to Triaged.

Updated May 3rd (7 months ago)

Confirmed and reproduced. I have a patch pending.



[bagder](#) curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

This flaw also works the other way around, if the trailing dot is in the file but not in the URL.



[bagder](#) curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

This patch seems to solve it for me. I also have two new tests that I've used to verify the patch with.

1 attachment:

F1715391: [0001-hsts-ignore-trailing-dots-when-comparing-hosts-names.patch](#)



[dgustafsson](#) curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Could there be two trailing dots with one still left after this?

Code 95 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Download](#)

```
1 + if(duphost[hlen - 1] == '.')
2 +     /* strip off trailing any dot */
3 +     duphost[--hlen] = 0;
```



[bagder](#) curl staff posted a comment.

Updated May 3rd (7 months ago)

There might be multiple dots since there's nothing that filters away any, but I don't think they are problematic. If there is more than one provided, a normal name resolve will fail:

Code 253 Bytes

[Wrap lines](#) [Copy](#) [Download](#)

```
1 $ curl localhost.
2 [server response]
```

```

6 localhost has address 127.0.0.1
7 localhost has IPv6 address ::1
8 host localhost..
9 host: 'localhost..' is not a legal name (empty label)
10

```



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

A question is what other host name comparisons we have that also are this stupid due to the trailing dot. As [@haxatron1](#) also filed <https://hackerone.com/reports/1553301> which basically has the exact same source => the host name is now stored *with* the trailing dot which it didn't do before 7.82.0.



haxatron1 posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

I can confirm for both cases that after the patch that HSTS now works.

These are only the two scenarios I could find where the trailing dot is causing problems.



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

I'm struggling to find an appropriate CWE, but I think [CWE-319](#) might be close enough:

Cleartext Transmission of Sensitive Information



bagder curl staff updated CVE reference to [CVE-2022-30115](#).

May 3rd (7 months ago)



May 3rd (7 months ago)

bagder curl staff

changed the report title from HSTS bypass via trailing dot to CVE-2022-30115: HSTS bypass via trailing dot.



haxatron1 posted a comment.

Updated May 3rd (7 months ago)

Well, I believe this is similar to <https://curl.se/docs/CVE-2021-22946.html> which was

"Missing Required Cryptographic Step" so that was what I selected initially.

"Cleartext Transmission of Sensitive Information" seems fine though



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Advisory



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Yeah, I don't think the CWE is terribly important so unless someone feels strongly about it, let's just keep this.



haxatron1 posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Details LGTM!



haxatron1 posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

Question, does curl support HPKP (now deprecated in most browsers)? The 2 linked issues also mention that HPKP can be bypassed if a compromised CA issues a certificate for 'example.com.', then when a user connects to <https://example.com>. the pinning will be bypassed. If it exists, it might be worth checking the parsing logic there as well.



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 3rd (7 months ago)

does curl support HPKP

Nope. It supports pinning, but not via HPKP so I don't think there's a host name confusion risk there.



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 4th (7 months ago)

There is a host name check in altsvc.c that looks like it also needs trailing-dot adjustment, but I don't think it has any security impact to miss out on that.



haxatron1 posted a comment.

May 4th (7 months ago)

I don't know if the browsers consider trailing dot for alt-svc or not, but yes the trailing dot should not have any security implications for alt-svc as its not a security feature



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 5th (7 months ago)

I have notified distros [@openwall](#) about this issue now. Set for announcement with the pending release on May 11.



bagder curl staff posted a comment.

May 5th (7 months ago)

I don't know if the browsers consider trailing dot for alt-svc or not.

As it is considered the same host with out without the t-dot in all those other cases it would be very strange to not give alt-svc the same treatment.

release.



[bagder](#) [curl staff](#) closed the report and changed the status to **Resolved**.
Published. This issue is now eligible for a bounty claim from [IBB](#).

May 11th (7 months ago)



[bagder](#) [curl staff](#) requested to disclose this report.

May 11th (7 months ago)



[haxatron1](#) agreed to disclose this report.

May 11th (7 months ago)



This report has been disclosed.

May 11th (7 months ago)



[curl](#) has decided that this report is not eligible for a bounty.

May 13th (7 months ago)

Thanks for your work. The actual monetary reward part for this issue is managed by the [Internet Bug Bounty](#) so the curl project itself therefor sets the reward amount to **zero USD**.
If you haven't already, please submit your reward request to them and refer back to this issue.