## Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1117

## Microsoft Azure Sphere asynchronous ioctl denial-of-service vulnerability

JULY 31, 2020

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2020-35609

Summary

A denial-of-service vulnerability exists in the asynchronous ioctl functionality of Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.05. A sequence of specially crafted ioctl calls can cause a denial of service. An attacker can write a shellcode to trigger this vulnerability.

Tested Versions

Microsoft Azure Sphere 20.05

Product URLs

https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/services/azure-sphere/

CVSSv3 Score

7.1 - CVSS:3.0/AV:L/AC:L/PR:N/UI:N/S:C/C:N/I:N/A:H

CWE

CWE-400 - Uncontrolled Resource Consumption

Details

Microsoft's Azure Sphere is a platform for the development of internet-of-things applications. It features a custom SoC that consists of a set of cores that run both high-level and real-time applications, enforces security and manages encryption (among other functions). The high-level applications execute on a custom Linux-based OS, with several modifications to make it smaller and more secure, specifically for IoT applications.

The /dev/pluton kernel driver facilitates communication between the normal Linux kernel running on Cortex A7 and the Pluton subsystem on the Cortex M4, which is accessible by any user on the system. It implements very few functions (open, read, poll, close, and ioctl), but provides a decent amount of ioctl requests for interacting with Pluton:

```
#define PLUTON_GET_SECURITY_STATE _IOWR('p', 0x01, struct azure_sphere_get_security_state_result)
#define PLUTON_GENERATE_CLIENT_AUTH_KEY _IOWR('p', 0x06, uint32_t)
#define PLUTON_COMMIT_CLIENT AUTH_KEY _IOWR('p', 0x07, uint32_t)
#define PLUTON_GET_TENANT_PUBLIC_KEY_IOWR('p', 0x08, struct azure_sphere_ecc256_public_key)
#define PLUTON_PROCESS_ATTESTATION_IOWR('p', 0x09, struct azure_sphere_attestation_command)
#define PLUTON_SIGN_WITH_TENANT_ATTESTATION_KEY_IOWR('p', 0x04, struct azure_sphere_ecdsa256_signature)
#define PLUTON_SET_POSTCODE_IOWR('p', 0x08, uint32_t)
#define PLUTON_GET_BOOT_MODE_FLAGS_IOWR('p', 0x06, struct azure_sphere_boot_mode_flags)
#define PLUTON_IS_CAPABILITY_ENABLED_IOWR('p', 0x06, struct azure_sphere_is_capability_enabled)
#define PLUTON_GET_ENABLED_CAPABILITIES_IOR('p', 0x06, struct azure_sphere_get_enabled_capabilities)
#define PLUTON_SET_MANUFACTURING_STATE_IOW('p', 0x06, struct azure_sphere_manufacturing_state)
#define PLUTON_GET_MANUFACTURING_STATE_IOW('p', 0x10, struct azure_sphere_manufacturing_state)
#define PLUTON_GET_MANUFACTURING_STATE_IOW('p', 0x10, struct azure_sphere_manufacturing_state)
#define PLUTON_DECODE_CAPABILITIES_IOR('p', 0x11, struct azure_sphere_decode_capabilities_command)
```

Out of these pluton ioctls, we just need to pick one that we have the capabilities for, since most of these ioctls are protected by specific AZURE\_SPHERE\_CAP\_\* capabilities. While this vulnerability has also been triggered with PLUTON\_DECODE\_CAPABILITIES, for this writeup let's choose PLUTON\_SIGN\_WITH\_TENANT\_ATTESTATION\_KEY:

```
struct azure_sphere_ecdsa256_signature {
    uint8_t R[32];
              uint8_t S[32];
};
 \texttt{\#define PLUTON\_SIGN\_WITH\_TENANT\_ATTESTATION\_KEY \_IOWR('p', \ 0x0A, \ struct \ azure\_sphere\_ecdsa256\_signature) } 
///
/// PLUTON_SIGN_WITH_TENANT_ATTESTATION_KEY message handler
/// @arg - ioctl buffer
/// @data - file data for FD
/// @async - is the FD in async mode
/// @returns - 0 for success
int \ pluton\_sign\_with\_tenant\_attestation\_key(void \ \_user \ \star arg, \ struct \ pluton\_file\_data \ \star data, \ bool \ async) \ \{ bool \ async \ \} \ \{ bool \ 
                struct azure sphere task cred *tsec;
               struct azure_sphere_sign_with_tenant_key request;
struct azure_sphere_ecdsa256_signature signature;
              // no runtime permission check
ret = copy_from_user(&request.digest, arg, sizeof(request.digest));
if (unlikely(ret)) {
                // copy out the tenant id
                                         current->cred->security:
               memcpy(&request.tenant_id, tsec->daa_tenant_id, sizeof(request.tenant_id));
                ret = pluton_send_mailbox_message(SIGN_WITH_TENANT_ATTESTATION_KEY,
                             6request, sizeof(request), &signature, sizeof(signature), 0, sizeof(signature), data, async); // [1]
               // no data sent back on err if (!ret) {
                            ret = copy_to_user(arg, &signature, sizeof(signature));
               return ret;
}
```

Generally all the Pluton ioctls follow a specific pattern like this, so it's not really worth diving too deeply. The main part we actually care about (which is still common to each pluton ioctl) is the pluton\_send\_mailbox\_message function at [1]. This function ends up sending a formatted message to the Pluton chip over a shared ring buffer. When Pluton is done processing, it utilizes the same shared buffer to send a message back to the Linux kernel, as one might expect.

An important thing to note though is that this can be done either synchronously or asynchronously, as shown by the bool async flag, which is determined by how the user opens up /dev/pluton, either with 0\_ASYNC or not. For the purposes of this writeup, we don't really care about synchronous requests, since the vulnerability only triggers with asynchronous requests. Thus, let's follow the asynchronous code path:

The main difference between the synchronous and asynchronous pluton ioctls (aside from the synchronicity), is the backing of the data: while the synchronous request eventually does copy\_to\_user back into the ioctl buffer, in the asynchronous request there's an allocation of sizeof(azure\_sphere\_ecdsa256\_signature) (0x40 bytes) made [1].

Assuming that the request completes and is successful, the pluton driver will allow this allocation to be read in driver's read function: pluton\_read. After allocation and setting up the pluton\_file\_data structure correctly, we see the allocated buffer sent at [2]. For a quick insight into the pluton\_file\_data:

```
// Data attached to a /dev/pluton fd
struct pluton_file_data {
    // Wait queue for poll
    wait_queue_head_t waitqueue;
    // Lock for async operations
    struct mutex mutex;
    // Pending data to read
    void *data;
    // Size of pending data
    size_t data_len;
    // Offset to copy data to
    size_t data_offset;
    // Is data ready for read
    bool data_ready;
    // List pointer
    struct list_head list;
};
```

Continuing on, pluton\_remote\_api\_send\_command\_to\_m4\_async is just a wrapper for pluton\_remote\_api\_send\_command\_to\_m4, but we don't really care since that's more ring-buffer based code. Regardless, with all the appropriate context covered, the actual vulnerability: upon quickly sending a continuous stream of asynchronous /dev/pluton ioctl requests, a hardware watchdog reset occurs because of an infinite loop in the Pluton ring buffer code. The repeated asynchronous ioctls cause the M4 to A7 ring buffer to fill up completely, and thus when a new empty buffer is sought out by the /dev/pluton kernel driver, it never returns and the device reboots, resulting in a denial of service.

Crash Information

By connecting to one of the board's UART, while sending asynchronous ioctl request repeatedly, it's possible to see the device rebooting:

```
[PLUTON] !! ERROR: Key not initialized
[PLUTON] !! ERROR (Key not initialized
[PLUTON] !! ERROP (IBL] BOOT: 70e00000/0000008/01070000

G=...
D=...,N=...
[PLUTON] Logging initialized
[PLUTON] Booting HLOS core
```

Timeline

2020-07-02 - Vendor Disclosure 2020-07-31 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Lilith >\_>, Claudio Bozzato and Dave McDaniel of Cisco Talos.

VULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2020-1093 TALOS-2020-1118

