Talos Vulnerability Report

TALOS-2020-1032

BIMx Desktop Viewer Resource Parsing Integer Overflow Vulnerability

NOVEMBER 6, 2020

CVE NUMBER

CVE-2020-6099

SUMMARY

An exploitable code execution vulnerability exists in the file format parsing functionality of Graphisoft BIMx Desktop Viewer 2019.2.2328. A specially crafted file can cause a heap buffer overflow resulting in a code execution. An attacker can provide a malicious file to trigger this vulnerability.

CONFIRMED VULNERABLE VERSIONS

The versions below were either tested or verified to be vulnerable by Talos or confirmed to be vulnerable by the vendor.

Graphisoft BIMx Desktop Viewer 2019.2.2328

PRODUCT URLS

BIMx Desktop Viewer - https://www.graphisoft.com/downloads/bimx/bimx_desktop.html

CVSSV3 SCORE

8.8 - CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:U/C:H/I:H/A:H

CWE

CWE-680 - Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow

DETAILS

BIMx Desktop Viewer allows for models created by Graphisoft ArchiCad to be shared and viewed by anyone. With Desktop Viewer, clients can view their prospective models without the need of having to install the entire suite of tools needed to create the model itself.

The modules used in this vulnerability are below:

```
00007ff77c5f0000 00007ff77c818000 BIMx (deferred)

Image path: BIMx.exe

Image name: BIMx.exe

Timestamp: Wed Jun 5 08:09:29 2019 (5CF7BF09)

CheckSum: 00000000

ImageSize: 00228000

File version: 2019.2.2328.0

Product version: 2019.2.2328.0
```

The BIMx file format is composed of a variety of resource files which are read and written to disk before processed. To begin processing a given resource file, a 520 byte chunk is read from the file.

```
bimx+55c20
.text:0000000000055C20 mov r9, r14 ; Input File Stream
.text:000000000055C23 mov edx, 208h ; Number of elements to read
.text:00000000000055C28 mov r8d, 1 ; Size of each elements
.text:00000000000055C2E lea rcx, [rbp+3F0h+var_470]; Output buffer
.text:00000000000055C32 call cs:fread ; Call fread
```

This file chunk contains the name of the resource along with the number of bytes contained in this resource. This chunk looks like the following struct:

```
struct ResourceHeader {
   name: [u8; 512],
   offset_to_struct: u32,
   length_of_data: u32,
}
```

The application then allocates enough memory to fill with the resource bytes. Along with the resource bytes, themselves, the allocation can also contain an attribute or note of what the allocation is for.

```
bimx+78572
.text:0000000000078572 inc rbx ; Increment note pointer
.text:0000000000078575 cmp byte ptr [rdx+rbx], 0 ; Check if we found the end of the note
.text:00000000000878579 jnz short loc_78572 ; Continue incrementing
```

The application then calculates the length of the note and then adds that to the found number of bytes for this resource for the final allocation.

```
bimx+78589
.text:0000000000078589 lea
.text:000000000007858C cdq
.text:000000000007858D and
                                            eax, [rbx+6]
                                            edx, 0Fh
.text:000000000078590
.text:000000000078593
                                            edi, [rdx+rax]
                                 sar
                                            edi,
.text:0000000000078596
                                 inc
                                            edi
                                           edi, 4
ecx, [rdi*r15] ; Final add of resource bytes and note length
rcx, ecx
.text:000000000078598
.text:00000000007859B
                                shl
lea
                                movsxd
.text:000000000007859F
.text:00000000000785A2 call
                                            cs:__imp_malloc
```

Assuming there is no problem with the allocation, the entire allocation is set to 0 and then filled with the resource bytes.

```
bimx+785b0
.text:00000000000785B0
.text:00000000000785B3
                                                        movsxd rdi, edi
mov edx, 0AAh
mov r8, rdi
                                                                                           ; Memset the note bytes to 0xaa
                                                                                           ; Allocation note size
.text:00000000000785B8
.text:00000000000785BB
.text:000000000000785C0
                                                                    [rsp+38h+arg_0], rbp
                                                                  rcx, r14 ; void * memset
rbp, [rdi+r14] ; Address after the allocation note r8. r15 ; Number of resource bytes
                                                        mov
.text:0000000000785C3
.text:0000000000785C8
                                                        call
lea
                                                                   r8, r15
rcx, rbp
edx, edx
.text:0000000000785CC
                                                        mov
mov
.text:00000000000785CF
.text:00000000000785D2
                                                        xor
                                                                                          ; Fill with 0
.text:00000000000785D4
                                                        call
                                                                   memset
                                                                   edi, bl
rdx, rsi
text:000000000000785D9
                                                        movzx
.text:00000000000785DC
                                                        mov
                                                                                           ; Allocation note
                                                                   r8d, edi
rcx, r14
memcpy
                                                                                          ; Allocation note length ; New allocation
.text:00000000000785DF
                                                        mov
.text:00000000000785E2
.text:00000000000785E5
```

It is possible for an attacker to overflow the malloc allocation size after adding the resource length. This will result in an allocation that is smaller than requested. Since the allocation is smaller than expected, the memset and the memcpy cause an out of bounds write on a heap buffer, potentially resulting in a code execution.

Crash Information

(1bd9c.1bda4): Access violation - code c0000005 (first chance) First chance exceptions are reported before any exception handling. This exception may be expected and handled. ***
WARNING: Unable to verify checksum for BIMx.exe VCRUNTIME140!memset repmovs+0x9: 00007ffb'd8f91689 f3aa rep stos byte ptr [rdi]

TIMELINE

2020-03-26 - Initial contact

2020-03-31 - Vendor disclosure

2020-06-30 - 90 day notice

2020-07-03 - Vendor advised reports filtered as spam

2020-07-07 - Issued copy of reports & vendor confirmed

2020-07-28 - Vendor advised new version will address issue mid September

2020-09-15 - Follow up with vendor; no response

2020-11-06 - Public Release

CREDIT

Discovered by Cory Duplantis of Cisco Talos.

/ULNERABILITY REPORTS PREVIOUS REPORT NEXT REPORT

TALOS-2020-1007 TALOS-2020-1154

