



# CISC/CMPE 327 Software Quality Assurance

Queen's University, 2019–fall

## Lecture #18 Continuous & Regression Testing

# Continuous Testing

- Today, we look at the role of testing in **software maintenance**, and the need for **continuous** testing methods
- We'll look at:
  - Software **maintenance** and **evolution**
    - **Corrective**, **adaptive**, and **perfective** maintenance
  - Continuous testing methods
    - Maintaining **functionality**, **failure**, **operational** test suites
    - Regression testing

# Evolution = Software Maintenance

- Maintenance is the phase of development in which the software is in production day to day by **real users**
- For successful software, this is almost all of its lifetime, and the software **evolves** in response to observed failures and new requirements
- Usual estimate is that **up to 85%** of the total software effort is in **maintenance**
- Three kinds of maintenance:
  - **Corrective, adaptive, and perfective**

# Corrective Maintenance

- **Corrective** maintenance is concerned with fixing reported failures (errors) observed in the software
- Three varieties:
  - Coding errors: typically easy and inexpensive to correct since they are confined within one unit
  - Design errors: more expensive since they may involve changes to several units
  - Requirements errors: most expensive since they often involve extensive system redesign (re-architecting) to correct

# Adaptive Maintenance

- **Adaptive** maintenance involves changing the software to work in some **new environment**:
  - new platform
  - new operating system
  - new web browser
- Characterized by no change in **functionality**, just a move to the new environment

# Perfective Maintenance

- Implementing **new or changed functionality** due to changes in requirements
- Normally generated either by users (customers) of the software
  - e.g., need to handle a new **transaction** or a new kind of bank card or service
- Or by changes in the business environment the software operates in
  - e.g., changes to tax laws, new information **interchange** formats, **competition** from other businesses, etc.

# (Not an actual quiz)

- Corrective, Adaptive, Perfective?

switching from OS X to Windows because your former teammate, who had filibustered you into using OS X, dropped the course

# (Not an actual quiz)

- Corrective, Adaptive, Perfective?

fixing a bug that let anyone view a “friends only”  
Facebook post



# (Not an actual quiz)

- Corrective, Adaptive, Perfective?

adding a feature called “Friends Groups” so that changing a “Group” **retroactively** affects who can see old posts

# Maintenance Testing

- In practice, about 65-70% of maintenance is perfective, 15-20% adaptive, and 15-20% corrective
- In all three cases, but particularly for perfective maintenance, the biggest risk associated with maintenance is that some existing functionality is broken by the changes
- This is understandable - software typically has complex and intricate relationships between parts, so changing any one part often runs the risk of unexpected effects on the rest

# Maintenance Testing

- Moreover, as time goes on, the software is often maintained by programmers not involved in the original design and development
  - More focussed on the **changes** than the **whole product**
- For this reason, testing has an even **more important** role in quality assurance in the maintenance phase than it does in initial development and delivery
  - Helps to make sure that changes have not **broken anything**

# Continuous Testing Methods

- Testing as a Maintenance Activity
  - Thus testing is not a one-time thing - we're never "done" testing
  - As software is maintained, if we are to maintain consistent **quality**, we must continue testing: both the **old** existing functionality, and the **new** introduced functionality
  - Hence, **XP** calls for **continuous** testing (“every day”)
  - At a minimum, we must **re-test** thoroughly after every set of changes, before the changed software is released

# Test Suites

- Most projects maintain **test suites**, sets of tests to be run on every release of the software
- Maintained in **parallel** with the software - often at **least** as much effort as coding the software itself!
  - As we have already seen, **automation** is essential to make this practical

# Kinds of Test Suites

- Three related kinds of continuous tests are normally performed and maintained **continuously** in software maintenance
- **Functionality** (or acceptance) tests, **failure** tests, and **operational** tests

# Continuous Functionality Testing

- We have already seen **functionality** and **acceptance** testing suites (you've built one!)
- When used **continuously** over the evolution of the software, we **maintain** the functionality tests by:
  - Add a **new feature**  $\Rightarrow$  add **new tests** for that feature
  - Recall that in **XP**, we **must** have these new tests, since they form the **specification** for the new software capabilities
  - Every time a **feature** is changed or extended, we change/extend the corresponding functionality **tests**

# Failure Suites

- **Failure tests** are suites of examples that have been observed to cause a failure of the software in the past
- To be effective, failure tests must be **maintained** over the evolution of the software by:
  - Before correcting any observed failure, create a "**failure test**" that causes it
  - Becomes the **specification** of the fix - the changed software must at a minimum correct the error for the test
  - The failure test must **cause** the error in the old software and **not cause** the error in the new software
- All these tests go in a **failure test suite**, re-run on all future versions of the software to ensure that the failure doesn't **reappear**



# Operational Testing

- There's No Substitute for the Real Thing
  - Operational tests are **actual production runs** observed in the use of the software
    - e.g., for a banking **Front End**, all of the transactions done at one or more bank terminals over a **whole real day** of operation
    - e.g., for a banking **Back Office**, all of the Transaction Summary Files from a set of **real front ends**

# Operational Testing

- There's No Substitute for the Real Thing
  - Operational test suites must be created **early** in the **production life** by sampling actual production runs
    - e.g., **instrumenting** a bank machine to capture the actual transactions from customers over a day
  - Must be **updated** to add new real operational tests each time significant new or changed features are added to the software
  - These tests form a **sanity check** on the software to make sure that when we are about to release a new version, it will not only still run our artificial tests but will also still handle real customer input
    - Could be **embarrassing** otherwise!

# Regression Testing

- Comprehensive Continuous Testing
  - Regression testing: an automated continuous testing strategy, whose purpose is to make sure that the software does not "regress" - that is, become less effective than it has been in the past
  - Regression test suites are normally comprehensive, including three major components
    - Functionality tests, failure tests, operational tests

# Regression Testing

- Comprehensive Continuous Testing
  - **Functionality** tests, to make sure that we still meet the basic requirements
  - **Failure** tests, to make sure that we haven't recreated a past failure
  - **Operational** tests, to make sure that we can still process real production runs
  - Each of these is maintained, either together or separately, as previously described

# Summary

- Continuous Testing
  - Software maintenance, consisting of **corrective**, **adaptive**, and **perfective** steps, is the longest phase of software development
  - Continuous testing is **essential** to maintain quality during software maintenance
  - Regression testing combines **functionality**, **failure**, and **operational** testing in an automated continuous testing framework
- **Reference:** Sommerville Ch. 8