## Electronic structure calculations

tuyaux.winak.be/index.php/Electronic\_structure\_calculations

#### **Electronic structure calculations**

Richting	<u>Fysica</u>
Jaar	<u>MFYS</u>

## **Bespreking**

Openboekexamen. Tijdens het jaar moet je een werkje maken met ABINIT om de bandstructuur van een kristal te bepalen.

## **Puntenverdeling**

Komt nog.

#### Examenvragen

## Academiejaar 2019-2020 1ste zit

- 1. Consider an element with one valence electron in a pxpx-orbital. These elements form a two-dimensional square lattice in the x-y plane with lattice constant a.
  - o Draw the Brillouin zone
  - Make a sketch of the crystal wavefunction at the high symmetry points in this twodimensional Brillouin zone (4 points in total)
  - Also make a sketch of the band structure and indicate the Fermi energy
  - Sketch the band structure for the same crystal but with a unit cell with lattice constant 2a in the x-direction and a in the y-direction (thus with two orbitals in the unit cell)
- 2. We have discussed the article

Comparison of a Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot heliumComparison of a Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium

- Fig. 3 shows the magnetic field dependence of the ground-state energy for the state with total angular momentum M=0, both obtained in the Hartree and Hartree-Fock approximation. What is the origin of the difference of approximately 6 meV between both results?
- What is the origin of the energy difference between the Hartree-Fock result and the exact ground-state energy for this M=0 state?
- Explain the physical origin of the observed singlet-triplet transitions?

- 3. A few questions with a brief answer:
  - Correlation effects dominate in small or large quantum dots? Explain.
  - How is it possible to realize a crystal structure relaxation based on the ground-state density alone (thus without knowing derivatives of the wavefunction) in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation?
  - Does the Kohn-Sham approach suffer from self-interaction?
  - Does the Kohn-Sham approach lead to an upper bound of the total energy?
  - What is the use of a k-point shift?
  - What is the effective mass approximation?
  - The Kohn-Sham equation is given by (vergelijking gegeven). Derive an expression,for the total energy in terms of ∑Ni=1εi∑i=1Nεi with N the total numbers of electrons.
- 4. A few questions o k.p theory:
  - Consider the 8x8 Kane Hamiltonian on slide 83. Why is this Hamiltonian not diagonal at Gamma?
  - Is it possible to realize a diagonal k.p Hamiltonian at Gamma?
  - We have defined the Luttinger parameters γ1γ1, γ2γ2 and γ3γ3 in the 6 band Luttinger-Kohn Hamiltonian (leading to H6H6). Suppose we would now also include the two lowest conduction bands. This would lead to an 8 band k.p Hamiltonian H8H8. Which contains the 6 band Luttinger-Kohn Hamiltonian as a sub-block, but with slightly different Luttinger-like parameters γ'1γ1', γ'2γ2' and γ'3γ3'
    - Why do the Luttinger parameters differ from the Luttinger-like parameters?
    - What is the relation between the Luttinger and the Luttinger-like parameters?

#### Academiejaar 2014-2015 2e zit

- 1. We have discussed the article Comparison of a Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium, ... .
  - Show that the Hartree approach suffers from self-interaction.
  - Propose a simple approach to exclude this self-interaction for this two electron problem (without including the exchange interaction).
  - Explain the physical origin of the observed singlet-triplet transitions.
  - Show that only electrons with parallel spins feel the exchange interaction.
  - Why the exchange interaction lowers the total energy?
- 2. The Kohn-Sham equation is given by

```
 (-\hbar22m\nabla2+\text{Vext}(\vec{r}\ )+\text{e}2\vec{\mid} \vec{dr}\ '\rho(\vec{r}\ ')\vec{\mid}\vec{r}\ -\vec{r}\ '|+\delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(\vec{r}\ ))\phi i(\vec{r}\ )=\epsilon i\phi i(\vec{r}\ ) \\  (-\hbar22m\nabla2+\text{Vext}(r\rightarrow)+\text{e}2\vec{\mid} dr\rightarrow'\rho(r\rightarrow')|r\rightarrow -r\rightarrow'|+\delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(r\rightarrow))\phi i(r\rightarrow)=\epsilon i\phi i(r\rightarrow)
```

- Derive the expression for the total energy in terms of the (i(i's).
- Do the Kohn-Shams equations suffer from self-interaction?
- Does the Kohn-Sham approach lead to an upper bound for the total energy?
- 3. Consider the band structure of polyacetylene obtained within the tight-binding approach (with only the pzpz orbitals included).
  - Make a sketch of the crystal wavefunction. Explain with it the degeneracy at the edge of the Brillouin zone.
  - Sketch the bandstructure for the case that one of the two carbon atoms in the unit cell is replaced by a Si atom (without changing the structure).
  - Sketch the bandstructure for the case that both atoms in the unit cell are replaced by Si atoms (without changing the structure).

4. Calculate the matrix element  $12\langle -X-iY\uparrow|H|-X-iY\uparrow\rangle 12\langle -X-iY\uparrow|H|-X-iY\uparrow\rangle$  with  $H=H0+\hbar mk\cdot p+\hbar 4m2c2\nabla VL\times p\cdot \sigma H=H0+\hbar mk\cdot p+\hbar 4m2c2\nabla VL\times p\cdot \sigma$ ,  $1/2-\sqrt{|-X-iY\uparrow\rangle} 1/2|-X-iY\uparrow\rangle$  an eigenfunction of H0H0,  $k=k\vec{e}$  zk= $ke\rightarrow z$  and  $\sigma\sigma$  determined by the Pauli matrices.

5.

- Consider the 8×88×8 Kane Hamiltonian on slide 83. Why this Hamiltonian is not diagonal at ΓΓ?
- Is it possible to realize a diagonal k·pk·p Hamiltonian at ΓΓ?
- 6. Bonus question. We have defined the Kuttinger parameters γ1γ1, γ2γ2 and γ3γ3 in the 6 band Luttinger-Kohn Hamiltonian (leading to H6(γ1,γ2,γ3)H6(γ1,γ2,γ3)). Suppose we would now also include the two lowest conduction bands. This would lead to an 8 band k·pk·p Hamiltonian H8H8

$$H8=((||||H2:\cdots H6(\gamma'1,\gamma'2,\gamma'3)))||||,$$
  
 $H8=(H2\cdots :H6(\gamma 1',\gamma 2',\gamma 3')),$ 

which contains the 6 band Luttiner-Kohn Hamiltonian as a sub-block, but with slightlu=y different Luttinger-like parameters y'1y1', y'2y2' and y'3y3'.

- Why do the Luttinger parameters differ from the Luttinger-like parameters?
- What is the relation between the Luttunger and the Luttinger-like parameters?

#### Academiejaar 2014-2015 1e zit

- 1. Consider an element with one valence electron in a pxpx orbital. These elements form a two-dimensional square lattice in the x—yx—y plane.
  - Make a sketch of the crystal wavefunctions at high symmetry points in the twodimensional Brillouin zone.
  - Sketch the band structure along the paths between these high-symmetry points.
  - Do the same for an electron in a pxpx orbital.
- Consider the following two different structures of polyacetylene:
   Make a sketch of the band structure for both cases. Only take into account the pzpz orbital of the carbon atoms.
- 3. We have discussed the article Comparison of a *Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium*, Phys. Rev. B 47, 2244 (1993) from D. Pfannkuche, V. Gudmundsson, and P. Maksym.
  - Fig. 3 shows the magnetic field dependence of the ground-state energy for the state with total angular momentum M=0M=0, both obtained in the Hartree and the Hartree-Fock approximation. What is the origin of the difference of approximately 6 meV between both results?
  - What is the origin of the energy difference between the Hartree-Fock result and the exact ground-state energy for this M=0M=0 state?
  - Explain the physical origin of the observed singlet-triplet transitions.

- 4. A few questions with a brief answer:
  - Correlation effects dominate in small or large quantum dots? Explain.
  - How is it possible to realize a crystal structure relaxation based on the groundstate density alone (in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation)?
  - What is the 'exchange hole' or 'Fermi hole'?
  - Does the Kohn-Sham approach suffer from self-interaction?
  - Does the Kohn-Sham approach lead to an upper bound of the total energy?
  - What is the use of a k-point shift?
  - What are pseudopotentials and why are they useful?
  - What is the effective mass approximation?
  - The Kohn-Sham equation is given by  $(-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + \text{Vext}(\vec{r}\ ) + \text{e}2\int d\vec{r}\ '\rho(\vec{r}\ ')|\vec{r}\ -\vec{r}\ '| + \delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(\vec{r}\ ))\phi i(\vec{r}\ ) = \epsilon i\phi i(\vec{r}\ ).$   $(-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + \text{Vext}(r\rightarrow) + \text{e}2\int dr\rightarrow'\rho(r\rightarrow')|r\rightarrow -r\rightarrow'| + \delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(r\rightarrow))\phi i(r\rightarrow) = \epsilon i\phi i(r\rightarrow).$

Is the total energy given by  $\Sigma Ni=1\epsilon i \Sigma i=1N\epsilon i$  with NN the total number of electrons?

- Consider the 8×88×8 Kane Hamiltonian on slide 83. Why this Hamiltonian is not diagonal at ΓΓ?
- Is it possible to realize a diagonal k·pk·p Hamiltonian at ΓΓ?
- 5. We have discussed the article *Energy levels of one and two holes in parabolic quantum dots*, Phys. Rev. B 53, 1507 (1996) from F. Pedersen and Y.-C. Chang. In this article, also the hole levels within a GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well are studied.
  - Are the states in figure 1 for k100=0k100=0 pure heavy-hole or light-hole states, or mixed heavy-hole - light-hole states?
  - SUppose now that instead of this 4×44×4 model, Kane's six band Hamiltonian was used to study the hole states in this quantum well (thus including coupling with the split-off band). Would the same states at k100=0k100=0 be pure *heavy-hole* or *light-hole* states, or mixed states?

#### Academiejaar 2013-2014

- 1. Consider an element with one valence electron in a pzpz orbital. These elements form a two-dimensional square lattice in the x—yx—y plane.
  - a. Make a sketch of the crystal wavefunctions at high symmetry points in the twodimensional Brillouin zone.
  - b. Sketch the band structure along the paths between these high-symmetry points.
  - c. Do the same (a and b) for an electron in a NN orbital.
- 2. We have discussed the article Comparison of a *Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium*, Phys. Rev. B 47, 2244 (1993) from D. Pfannkuche, V. Gudmundsson, and P. Maksym.
  - a. Fig. 3 shows the magnetic field dependence of the ground-state energy for the state with total angular momentum M=0M=0, both obtained in the Hartree and the Hartree-Fock approximation. What is the origin of the difference of approximately 6 meV between both results?
  - b. What is the origin of the energy difference between the Hartree-Fock result and the exact ground-state energy for this M=0M=0 state?
  - c. Explain the physical origin of the observed singlet-triplet transitions.

- 3. A few questions with a brief answer.
  - a. What is the configuration interaction method?
  - b. Correlation effects dominate in small or large quantum dots? Explain.
  - c. How is it possible to realize a crystal structure relaxation based on the groundstate density alone (in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation)?
- 4. The Kohn-Sham equation is given by

```
 (-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + \text{Vext}(\vec{r}\ ) + e2\int d\vec{r}\ '\rho(\vec{r}\ ')[\vec{r}\ -\vec{r}\ '] + \delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(\vec{r}\ ))\phi i(\vec{r}\ ) = \epsilon i\phi i(\vec{r}\ ).   (-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + \text{Vext}(r\rightarrow) + e2\int dr\rightarrow'\rho(r\rightarrow')|r\rightarrow -r\rightarrow'| + \delta \text{EXC}[\rho]\delta\rho(r\rightarrow))\phi i(r\rightarrow) = \epsilon i\phi i(r\rightarrow).
```

- a. Derive the expression for the total energy in terms of the (i(i)'s).
- b. Do the Kohn-Shams equations suffer from self-interaction?
- c. Does the Kohn-Sham approach lead to an upper bound for the total energy?
- 5. Calculate the following matrix element on slide 83 explicitly

```
\langle X-iY2-\sqrt{\downarrow} |||H|||X-iY2-\sqrt{\downarrow} \rangle \\ \langle X-iY2\downarrow|H|X-iY2\downarrow \rangle \\  with H=H0+\hbar mk\cdot p+\hbar 4m2c2\nabla VL\times p\cdot \overrightarrow{\sigma}\;, \\ H=H0+\hbar mk\cdot p+\hbar 4m2c2\nabla VL\times p\cdot \sigma\rightarrow, \\
```

 $|X-iY2\downarrow\rangle|X-iY2\downarrow\rangle$  an eigenfunction of H0H0, k=kezk=kez and  $\sigma x=(0110), \sigma y=(0i-i0), \sigma z=(100-1).$ 

$$\sigma x = (0110), \sigma y = (0-ii0), \sigma z = (100-1).$$

- 6. We have discussed the article *Energy levels of one and two holes in parabolic quantum dots*, Phys. Rev. B 53, 1507 (1996) from F. Pedersen and Y.-C. Chang. In this article, also the hole levels within a GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well are studied.
  - a. Are the states in figure 1 for k100=0k100=0 pure *heavy-hole* or *light-hole* states, or mixed *heavy-hole light-hole* states?
  - b. If the same Hamiltonian is used to describe hole states in a quantum wire, are the states at the ΓΓ point in the Brillouin none *heavy-hole* or *light-hole* states, or mixed *heavy-hole light-hole* states?

# Academiejaar 2012-2013 1ste zit

- 1. We have discussed the article Comparison of a Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium, ....
  - Show that the Hartree approach suffers from self-interaction.
  - Propose a simple approach to exclude this self-interaction for this two electron problem (without including the exchange interaction).
  - Explain the physical origin of the observed singlet-triplet transitions.

2.

- Show that only electrons with parallel spins feel the exchange interaction.
- Why the exchange interaction lowers the total energy?
- 3. The Kohn-Sham equation is given by

```
 \begin{array}{l} (-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + Vext(\vec{r}\ ) + e2\int\!\!d\vec{r}\ '\rho(\vec{r}\ ')|\vec{r}\ -\vec{r}\ '| + \delta EXC[\rho]\delta\rho(\vec{r}\ ))\phi i(\vec{r}\ ) = \epsilon i\phi i(\vec{r}\ ) \\ (-\hbar 22m\nabla 2 + Vext(r\rightarrow) + e2\int\!\!dr\rightarrow'\!\rho(r\rightarrow')|r\rightarrow -r\rightarrow'| + \delta EXC[\rho]\delta\rho(r\rightarrow))\phi i(r\rightarrow) = \epsilon i\phi i(r\rightarrow) \end{array}
```

- Derive the expression for the total energy in terms of the εἰεί's.
- Do the Kohn-Shams equations suffer from self-interaction?
- Does the Kohn-Sham approach lead to an upper bound for the total energy?

- 4. Consider the band structure of polyacetylene obtained within the tight-binding approach (with only the pzpz orbitals included).
  - Make a sketch of the crystal wavefunction. Explain with it the degeneracy at the edge of the Brillouin zone.
  - Sketch the bandstructure for the case that one of the two carbon atoms in the unit cell is replaced by a Si atom (without changing the structure).
  - Sketch the bandstructure for the case that both atoms in the unit cell are replaced by Si atoms (without changing the structure).
- 5. Calculate the matrix element  $1/2-\sqrt{\langle X-iY\uparrow|H|X-iY\uparrow\rangle}$   $1/2\langle X-iY\uparrow|H|X-iY\uparrow\rangle$  with H=H0+ $\hbar$ mk·p+ $\hbar$ 4m2c2 $\nabla$ VL×p· $\sigma$ H=H0+ $\hbar$ mk·p+ $\hbar$ 4m2c2 $\nabla$ VL×p· $\sigma$ ,  $1/2-\sqrt{|-X-iY\uparrow\rangle}$  an eigenfunction of H0H0, k=ke zk=ke $\rightarrow$ z and  $\sigma\sigma$  determined by the Pauli matrices.
- 6. We have discussed the article Energy levels of one and two holes in parabolic quantum dots.... In this article, also the hole levels with a GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well are studied.
  - How would you study the hole levels in a GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wire? Write down the equations.
  - For this wire, are the hole levels at the ΓΓ point pure heavy or light hole states?

# Academiejaar 2011-2012 1ste zit

- 1. Beschouw een atoomsoort met een valentie-elektron in een s-orbitaal. Deze atomen vormen een tweedimensionaal vierkantig rooster. Bepaal de bandenstructuur via een tightbindingmodel. Maak een schtes van de bandenstructuur. Duid hieropp ook het Fermi-niveau aan.
- 2. Een aantal vragen met een beknopt antwoord.
  - Wat is de configuration interaction methode?
  - Wat is correlatie-energie? Is deze energiebijdrage positief of negatief?
  - Wat is de universele functionaal, en waarom wordt die universeel genoemd?
  - Welke methode heeft last van zelf-interactie, en waarom?
- De Kohn-Sham vergelijking wordt gegeven door
   (-ħ22m∇2+Vext(r̄)+e2∫dr̄ 'ρ(r̄ ')[r̄ -r̄ ']+δEXC[ρ]δρ(r̄ ))φi(r̄ )
   (-ħ22m∇2+Vext(r→)+e2∫dr→'ρ(r→')|r→-r→']+δEXC[ρ]δρ(r→))φi(r→)=εiφi(r̄ )=εiφi(r→), Leid de uitdrukking af voor de totale energie in functie van de εiεi 's
- 4. We hebben het artikel Comparison of a Hartree, Hartree-Fock, and an exact treatment of quantum-dot helium, ... Besproken. Leg uit waarom er lege vakken optreden in tabel I
- 5. Bereken het matrixelement  $1/2-\sqrt{\langle X-iY\uparrow|H|X-iY\uparrow\rangle}$   $1/2\langle X-iY\uparrow|H|X-iY\uparrow\rangle$  met H=H0+ $\hbar$ mkp+ $\hbar$ 4m2c2 $\nabla$ VL×p $\sigma$ H=H0+ $\hbar$ 0mkp+ $\hbar$ 4m2c2 $\Phi$ H0+ $\Phi$
- 6. We hebben het artikel energy levels of one ant two holes in parabolic quan.... besproken. Zijn de toestanden in figuur 1 voor k100=0 pure heavy-hole of light-hole toestanden, of gemengde heavy-hole-light-hole toestanden?

#### Categorieën:

- Fysica
- MFYS