

National Fish and Wildlife Foundation  
Gulf Environmental Benefit Fund  
Quarterly Progress Report

2023-07-26

**Contents**

0.1	General statement of project status and implementation. . . . .	2
0.2	Submission schedule for payment requests. . . . .	5
0.3	Any other information necessary for NFWF's evaluation of the Project's progress as measured against the Project Description, Budget, and Project schedule. . . . .	5
0.4	Project products and deliverables produced during the applicable reporting period. . . . .	6
<b>1</b>	<b>Water Quality Quarterly Figures</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>River Discharge Figures</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Transect Report</b>	<b>18</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	18
3.2	Current Sampling (progress) . . . . .	18
<b>4</b>	<b>River Discharge Heatmaps</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Oyster Landings Figures</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Quarterly Windrose</b>	<b>39</b>

Easygrants ID: 54029

Project Title: Recovery and Resilience of Oyster Reefs in the Big Bend of Florida

Organization: University of Florida

Project Term: 12/01/2016 - 11/30/2024

Reporting Quarter: Ending July 2023

## **0.1 General statement of project status and implementation.**

This report includes work accomplished from April 2023 through July 2023. We are writing the final project report following the guidelines provided by NFWF.

To date, this project is within budget and timeline.

### **ii. Updates on individual tasks.**

#### **Task 1. Planning and Permitting**

##### **A. Work performed on Task 1.**

All work on this task was completed by January of 2018.

##### **B. Performance of Task 1 as against the Task 1 Budget.**

All subtasks in Task 1 have been completed on schedule or ahead of schedule, and we remain within budget.

##### **C. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 1.**

We consider this part of the project completed.

#### **Task 2. Develop Adaptive Monitoring Plan**

##### **A. Work performed on Task 2.**

At a minimum, the Adaptive Management Plan for this project should be seen as a living document and an active, constant process.

We are using the Adaptive Management Plan as a guide for completing the final report.

We have followed an adaptive framework for all sampling. Details of this adaptive approach are included in a peer-reviewed publication. Moore JF and WE Pine III. 2021. Bootstrap methods can help evaluate monitoring program performance to inform restoration as part of an adaptive management program. PeerJ 9:e11378 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11378>

##### **B. Progress made towards Task 2 milestones.**

We are using the Adaptive Management Plan to guide completion of the final report.

##### **C. Performance of Task 2 as against the Task 2 Budget.**

Task 2 appears to be within the timeline and budget set out in the proposal.

##### **D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 2.**

We do not anticipate any problems with implementation of Task 2.

#### **Task 3. Preconstruction Monitoring**

##### **A. Work performed on Task 3.**

All phases of preconstruction monitoring have been completed as of December 2018.

B. Progress made towards Task 3 milestones.

All subtasks within Task 3 have been completed.

C. Performance of Task 3 as against the Task 3 Budget.

This task appears to be well within budget and the schedule of spending as identified in the proposal.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 3.

This task is completed.

#### **Task 4. Construction**

A. Work performed on Task 4.

All construction activities were completed by November of 2018, so no new work is reported here on construction activities.

B. Progress made towards Task 4 milestones.

All aspects of reef construction were completed as of November 30, 2018.

C. Performance of Task 4 as against the Task 4 Budget.

This task has been completed on schedule, and within budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 4.

No problems are anticipated with the implementation of Task 4, which is complete.

#### **Task 5. Post-Construction Monitoring**

A. Work performed on Task 5.

We continued the water quality sampling program until March 9, 2023 and then discontinued the program by retrieving the array. The decommissioning of the water quality array occurred several weeks after the last winter monitoring sample. Data have been uploaded into the relational database used to track these data. We have been working through a final QA/QC of the water quality data and we have received the last sampled from the LakeWatch lab. We continuously work to develop relationships between water quality data, survey information, and oyster populations (Task 7). Water quality information is publicly available and summarized on the website <https://lcroysterproject.github.io/oysterproject/>. We created a Zenodo repository and posted a copy of all oyster count data to this repository as described in our data management plan.

[http://zenodo.org/communities/uf\\_ifas\\_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20](http://zenodo.org/communities/uf_ifas_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20)

This repository will also store code used to complete analyses of various aspects of this project. This is an ongoing effort.

B. Progress made towards Task 5 milestones.

. Postconstruction biological sampling of oyster density and size on all study reefs completed for each winter sampling period. Data for the current (winter 2022-2023) sampling period have been entered and summarized, and preliminary results are included as an Appendix.

. GF Young Surveyors completed post-construction elevation sampling in winter/spring 2020-2021. We have received this data and are currently processing the survey results. While working on the survey data

this quarter, we identified anomalies and incomplete records in some geospatial information. We worked extensively to identify and correct these anomalies, which necessitated hand-checking of spatial coordinates. Errors were corrected, and we can now resume working on the geospatial aspects of the project.

. Extreme heat, very high water temperatures (30 C or more), and an extended period of low river discharge followed by increasing discharge levels in late June led to some concern over water quality conditions causing oyster mortalities this quarter. We worked with our commercial fishing cooperators to check oyster status at a small number of our sites, and unusual mortality patterns were not observed (photos in appendix).

. The Appendix report identifies all sampling completed during winter 2022-2023 and we have completed QA/QC of these data through ongoing analyses. We also note that winter 2022-2023 was the first period when the restored sites had higher counts than the unrestored sites.

C. Performance of Task 5 as against the Task 5 Budget. This task is within schedule and budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 5. We completed field work for Nathan Rogers degree program. We have worked with commercial oyster harvesters to provide spot checks on oyster population status during extreme heat. Project PI Bill Pine contracted Covid-19 in July and had to take sick leave. This caused some delays during this quarter with report writing.

**Task 6. Outreach and Education** Work performed on Task 6.

***Subtask 6.1. Preconstruction outreach and education***

Since construction has been completed there is no new information to report.

***Subtask 6.2. Post-construction education and outreach*** We are working with Florida SeaGrant to develop a story board for the Lone Cabbage Project.

A. Progress made towards Task 6 milestones.

PI Pine presented public seminars to the general public in the town of Cedar Key and to the Cedar Key Oystermens Association.

B. Performance of Task 6 as against the Task 6 Budget.

This task appears to be within the budget proposed and on schedule.

C. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 6.

We do not anticipate any problems with the implementation of Task 6.

**Task 7. Data Management**

A. Work performed on Task 7.

With the help of the UF library team we corrected unexpected errors in the geospatial information collected in 2021-2022.

B. Progress made towards Task 7 milestones.

Database efforts for water quality and oyster count data are in place and operational. Effort continues to integrate GF Young's and others' survey information (pre and post-construction) into a common framework. We created a Zenodo repository for oyster count data.

[https://zenodo.org/communities/uf\\_ifas\\_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20](https://zenodo.org/communities/uf_ifas_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20)

C. Performance of Task 7 as against the Task 7 Budget.

We are currently proceeding as scheduled for Task 7 and are within budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 7.

None anticipated.

### **Task 8. Project Administration**

A. Work performed on Task 8.

#### ***Subtask 8.1. Tracking expenditures***

The project is underspent. The University of Florida Contracts and Grants office has been engaged with NFWF staff on budget status. PI Bill Pine worked with UF Contracts and Grants to reconcile expenditures within the completed tasks that was included in a spreadsheet of expenditures with questions provided by NFWF.

***Subtask 8.2. Managing staff*** Two graduate students (Nate Rogers and Matthew Richardson) are supported in whole or part by this award. Jennifer Moore (former post-doc) is now a contractor and was able to commit some hours in June and July to the project. Challenges persist in paying her for this work. Joe Aufmuth (UF library) worked extensively on the geospatial information and related report components. Staff continue to be supervised by PI Bill Pine, who is working on all aspects of the project.

***Subtask 8.3. Coordination*** Coordinating meetings take place related to project completion as needed. PI Bill Pine meets with staff regularly via video conference and phone calls.

***Subtask 8.4. Reporting*** During this period, we have submitted requests for reimbursement for the July 2023 reporting period. PI Pine is working on the project final report based on input from NFWF.

B. Progress made towards Task 8 milestones.

- . Fiscal reports and requests for reimbursement completed.
- . Quarterly report completed through July 2023.
- . Management and training graduate students.
- . Working on project final report.

C. Performance of Task 8 as against the Task 8 Budget.

This Task appears to be within the Task 8 budget, and on schedule.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 8.

None.

## **0.2 Submission schedule for payment requests.**

UF has submitted payment requests quarterly and we plan to continue this schedule.


## **0.3 Any other information necessary for NFWF's evaluation of the Project's progress as measured against the Project Description, Budget, and Project schedule.**

Project PI Bill Pine plans to separate from University of Florida employment. He is committed to finding a workable solution to complete the project as planned. Discussions have been ongoing between UF and NFWF related to a change in project administration and deliverables, but a plan has not been finalized. The resumption of direct communication between PI Pine and NFWF staff would be beneficial in addressing uncertainties in the level of detail involved in the final report.


#### **0.4 Project products and deliverables produced during the applicable reporting period.**

See the Appendix for recent oyster photos (from J. Beckham) and draft analyses of the oyster count data. Summaries of water quality for the last year are provided in the subsequent sections.

# 1 Water Quality Quarterly Figures




**Figure 1-1.** Salinity and river discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The right column of figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The left column of figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.




**Figure 1-2.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The right column of figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The left column of figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region). Missing river discharge or temperature values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.







**Figure 1-3.** Salinity and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.



**Figure 1-4.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.



**Figure 1-5.** Salinity and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. The figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.




**Figure 1-6.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. The figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region).


## 2 River Discharge Figures

For all of the figures within this chapter, the areas of color represent percentiles where each percentile is a value on a scale of one hundred that indicates the percent of a distribution that is equal to or below it. For example, on the map of daily streamflow conditions a river discharge at the 90th percentile is equal to or greater than 90 percent of the discharge values recorded on this day of the year during all years that measurements have been made. In general, a percentile greater than 75 is considered above normal, a percentile between 25 and 75 is considered normal, and a percentile less than 25 is considered below normal. The percentiles are based on the period of record for this gauge station.


These data are retrieved via the `waterData` package in R made available by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). These data are collected at the USGS 02323500 Suwannee River station near Wilcox, Florida. This site is located in Levy County, Florida (latitude 29.58968 and longitude -82.93651 in degrees).



**Figure 2-1.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 1950-2022 (solid black line).




**Figure 2-2.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2012-2023 (solid black line).



**Figure 2-3.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2008-2013 (solid black line) representing the years preceding observed extreme low discharge conditions 2010-2012.





**Figure 2-4.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2014-2023 (solid black line) representing the years since 2010-2012 low flow conditions including the initiation of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration project.

## 3 Transect Report

### 3.1 Overview

This report provides summary statistics and figures for ongoing transect sampling. The first section of the report focuses on the current sampling (Winter 2022-2023) and how the collected data compare to last year's sampling (Winter 2021-2022). So far 14 days have been sampled this season. The second half of the report gives summaries of all of the data that have been collected since the beginning of the project (2010-05-27). In total, 158 days have been sampled over this entire project.

#### 3.1.1 Definition of Localities and Strata


LOCALITY	LOCATION
BT	Big Trout
CK	Cedar Key
CR	Corrigan's Reef
HB	Horseshoe Beach
LC	Lone Cabbage
LT	Little Trout
NN	No Name

STRATA	DEFINITION
Y_N	Yes Harvest, No Rock
Y_Y	Yes Harvest, Yes Rock
N_N	No Harvest, No Rock
N_Y	No Harvest, Yes Rock
N_PILOT	No Harvest, Pilot Rocks

### 3.2 Current Sampling (progress)

Here, we provide a progress bar showing how much of the sampling has been completed for this season, plus summary tables and plots comparing live counts and density of oysters between this current season and last year. **The current sampling period is period 26, and last year's sampling period is period 24.**


### Field Sites– Strata Progress




STRATA	Meters Completed
Y_N	342.33
Y_Y	871.4
N_N	216.13
N_Y	728.66

**Table 3-1.** - Displaying the total meters surveyed during period 26 per strata.


### 3.2.1 Summary Plots for Periods 20, 22, 24, and 26




**Figure 3-1.-** Calculated live oyster density by locality for periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020), 22 (Winter 2020-2021), 24 (Winter 2021-2022), and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.



**Figure 3-2.-** Calculated dead oyster density by locality for periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020), 21 (Winter 2020-2021), 24 (Winter 2021-2022), and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.




**Figure 3-3.-** Calculated live oyster density by strata for periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020), 22 (Winter 2020-2021), 24 (Winter 2021-2022), and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.




**Figure 3-4.-** Calculated dead oyster density by strata for periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020), 22 (Winter 2020-2021), 24 (Winter 2021-2022), and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.

The following summary plot is calculated in R using the `geom_density` ([https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/reference/geom\\_density.html](https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/reference/geom_density.html)) statistical function in `ggplot`. The `geom_density` function computes and draws kernel density estimates, which is then represented as a smoothed version of a histogram.




**Figure 3-5.-** Calculated live oyster density by periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020) and 22 (Winter 2020-2021) using a probability density function.







**Figure 3-6.-** Calculated dead oyster density by periods 20 (Winter 2019-2020) and 22 (Winter 2020-2021) using a probability density function.




**Figure 3-7.-** Calculated live oyster density by periods 22 (Winter 2020-2021) and 24 (Winter 2021-2022) using a probability density function.




**Figure 3-8.-** Calculated dead oyster density by periods 22 (Winter 2020-2021) and 24 (Winter 2021-2022) using a probability density function.




**Figure 3-9.-** Calculated live oyster density by periods 24 (Winter 2021-2022) and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) using a probability density function with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.



**Figure 3-10-** Calculated dead oyster density by periods 24 (Winter 2021-2022) and 26 (Winter 2022-2023) using a probability density function with the last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.




**Figure 3-11.-** Live and dead oyster count comparison by periods 20 (Winter 2019- 2020), 22 (Winter 2020-2021), 24 (Winter 2021-2022), and 26 (Winter 2022-2023), last sample date of period 26 as 2023-02-06.



**Figure 3-12.-** Live and dead oyster comparison for all periods, last sample date of period 26 is 2023-02-06.

## 4 River Discharge Heatmaps


Suwannee River discharge is known to influence salinity in Suwannee Sound (Orlando et al. 1993) and lags between Suwannee River discharge and oyster counts have been observed (Moore et al. 2020). River discharge is essentially a second “treatment” in this restoration project (after the rebuilding of the reef) because it is the freshwater from the Suwannee River that Lone Cabbage Reef is thought to detain thus possibly promoting lower salinity. River discharge patterns in the Suwannee River basin may be changing over decadal scales due to changing climate, as is hypothesized for large rivers in the Gulf of Mexico (Neupane et al. 2019). For the period of record for the USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) which begins in October 1930, we created a “heat map” that demonstrates for each month and year the deviation in river discharge (as a percentage) from the period of record average.




**Figure 4-1.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02322500) for the period of record measured as deviation from the average by month



for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with  $\pm 10\%$ ) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.



**Figure 4-2.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) for 2005-2023 measured as deviation from the average by month for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with  $\pm 10\%$ ) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.



**Figure 4-3.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) for 2018-2023 measured as deviation from the average by month for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with +/- 10%) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.

## 5 Oyster Landings Figures


This data set is manually updated by the oyster landings data located here: <https://public.myfwc.com/FWRI/PFDM/ReportCreator.aspx>. The Commercial Fisheries Landings Summaries allows the user to select the date year range and oysters (as the Species).

The Suwannee counties used in these figures are TAYLOR, DIXIE, and LEVY.


The Apalachicola counties used in these figures are FRANKLIN and WAKULLA.

The State of Florida data are all of the counties in Florida where oysters are landed, and this is selected in the FWC Commercial Fisheries Landings Summaries website.


Data shown in the plots from the current year are considered provisional and only contain reported data up until this point in the year.



**Figure 5-1.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange), and the State of Florida (pink) for years 1986 to 2023.




**Figure 5-2.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange) for years 2012 to 2023.




**Figure 5-3.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange) for years 2015 to 2023.

## 6 Quarterly Windrose

A



B



**Figure 6-1.** A wind rose visualizes the frequency of winds blowing from a specific direction of a desired Date Range. The data used for this figure were collected via the `rnoaa` R Package at station CDRF1 (Cedar Key, Florida). The legend represents the wind speed ranging from low (2-4 m/s) to high (18-20 m/s) wind speeds. The cardinal directions on the outer part of the wind rose indicate the direction of the wind. The Frequency is displayed as the lowest to highest percentage frequency of a wind speed occurring in a given direction, by the size of the wind magnitude polygon. Wind data are updated periodically through USGS (monthly basis). A) Windrose from May 1, 2023 to May 31, 2022, B) Windrose from June 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023.