

# National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Gulf Environmental Benefit Fund Quarterly Progress Report

2021-10-21

## Contents

0.1	General statement of project status and implementation. . . . .	1
0.2	Submission schedule for payment requests. . . . .	5
0.3	Any other information necessary for NFWF's evaluation of the Project's progress as measured against the Project Description, Budget, and Project schedule. . . . .	5
0.4	Project products and deliverables produced during the applicable reporting period. . . . .	6
<b>1</b>	<b>Water Quality Quarterly Figures</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>River Discharge Figures</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>River Discharge Heatmaps</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Oyster Landings Figures</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Quarterly Windrose</b>	<b>23</b>

Easygrants ID: 54029

Project Title: Recovery and Resilience of Oyster Reefs in the Big Bend of Florida

Organization: University of Florida

Project Term: 12/01/2016 - 11/30/2024

Reporting Quarter: Ending September 2021

### **0.1 General statement of project status and implementation.**

This report includes work accomplished during July through September 2021.

Implementation continues to be mostly smooth, and our project's monitoring phase is progressing in a timely fashion.

To date, this project is on track both in budget and within the timeline.

#### **i. Updates on individual tasks.**

##### **Task 1. Planning and Permitting**

A. Work performed on Task 1.

All work on this task was completed by January of 2018.

B. Performance of Task 1 as against the Task 1 Budget.

All subtasks in Task 1 have been completed on schedule, or ahead of schedule, and we remain within budget.

C. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 1.

We currently have no existing or anticipated problems with completion of Task 1 and consider this part of the project completed.

## **Task 2. Develop Adaptive Monitoring Plan**

A. Work performed on Task 2.

The Adaptive Management Plan for this project should be seen at minimum as a living document and an active, constant process.

An updated version of the Adaptive Management Plan, and Biological Sampling Plan, were completed in June 2020. The elevation and biological sampling plans continue to evolve as we have now completed the second winter season of postconstruction oyster sampling, and ongoing water quality sampling. For example, the variability in oyster counts observed in each strata (substrate, harvest status, location) collected in each winter sampling period is incorporated into our estimate of numbers samples required to achieve a known power of detecting change of particular magnitude for each upcoming sampling period (i.e., Winter 2021-2022). This sampling effort is then updated mid-winter sampling season based on observed count data collected during the first half of the winter sampling. Details of this adaptive approach are included in a peer-reviewed publication.

Moore JF, Pine III WE. 2021. Bootstrap methods can help evaluate monitoring program performance to inform restoration as part of an adaptive management program. PeerJ 9:e11378 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11378>

We have also provided a draft of our proposed winter 2021-2022 sampling plan as an appendix.

B. Progress made towards Task 2 milestones.

Updating of adaptive management plan, biological sampling plan, and work on updating elevation sampling plan.

C. Performance of Task 2 as against the Task 2 Budget.

Task 2 appears to be well within the timeline and budget as set out in the proposal.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 2.

We do not anticipate any problems with implementation of Task 2.

## **Task 3. Preconstruction Monitoring**

A. Work performed on Task 3.

All phases of preconstruction monitoring have been completed as of December 2018.

B. Progress made towards Task 3 milestones.

All subtasks within Task 3 have been completed.

C. Performance of Task 3 as against the Task 3 Budget.

This task appears to be well within budget and the schedule of spending as identified in the proposal.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 3.

This task is completed.

#### **Task 4. Construction**

A. Work performed on Task 4.

All construction activities were completed by November of 2018, so no new work is reported here on construction activities.

B. Progress made towards Task 4 milestones.

All aspects of reef construction were completed as of November 30, 2018.

C. Performance of Task 4 as against the Task 4 Budget.

This task has been completed on schedule, and within budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 4.

No problems are anticipated with the implementation of Task 4, which is complete.

#### **Task 5. Post-Construction Monitoring**

Work performed on Task 5.

A. We have continued the water quality sampling program, collecting continuous information and downloading sondes every two weeks (see Sections 2 - 5). We have a relational database to track these data and we continue work to develop relationships between water quality data, survey information, and oyster populations (Task 7). Water quality information is publicly available and summarized on the website <https://lcoysterproject.github.io/oysterproject/>. We created a new Zenodo repository and posted a copy of all oyster count data as described in our data management plan.

[https://zenodo.org/communities/uf\\_ifas\\_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20](https://zenodo.org/communities/uf_ifas_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20)

This repository will also store code used to complete analyses of various aspects of this project. This is an ongoing effort.

B. Progress made towards Task 5 milestones. . Postconstruction biological sampling of oyster density and size on all study reefs completed for each winter sampling period. Data entered, summarized, and analyses complete (updates provided in last quarterly report). Sampling effort for winter 2021-2022 is determined (see appendix).

. GF Young Surveyors completed post-construction elevation sampling in winter/spring 2020-2021. We have received this data and are processing the survey results at this time.

C. Performance of Task 5 as against the Task 5 Budget. This task is within schedule and budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 5. We do not anticipate any major problems with the implementation of Task 5.

#### **Task 6. Outreach and Education** Work performed on Task 6.

##### ***Subtask 6.1. Preconstruction outreach and education***

Since construction has been completed there is no new information to report.

**Subtask 6.2. Post-construction education and outreach** A paper brochure about the project continues to be distributed to the public via the local hardware and tackle shops, marinas, the Cedar Key Chamber of Commerce, and UF/IFAS Nature Coast Biological Station during the reporting period.

We maintain two public educational displays about the Lone Cabbage restoration project at high traffic boat ramps in Cedar Key and Suwannee.

We continue to update public information about the project primarily through the various electronic and social media produced by the Nature Coast Biological Station in Cedar Key <https://ncbs.ifas.ufl.edu/>. The public can view data for water quality, oyster landings, and river discharge via the project Shiny App available here <https://lcroysterproject.github.io/oysterproject/>

Lone Cabbage Reef Restoration staff participated in the annual University of Florida Nature Coast Biological Station open house in Cedar Key, Florida on October 15. The purpose of the open house was to showcase some of the research that is happening in the Big Bend region of Florida. Staff spent time with the public to explain how local research is helping to inform resource management and support the local community. Handouts and a display were created for the Lone Cabbage project to educate visitors about the accomplishments of the ongoing oyster restoration project and to address the status of oyster fisheries in the Big Bend region.

A. Progress made towards Task 6 milestones.

Regular posting of information on NCBS website, social media outlets, and newsletter.

B. Performance of Task 6 as against the Task 6 Budget.

This task appears to be within the budget proposed and on schedule.

C. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 6.

We do not anticipate any problems with the implementation of Task 6.

## **Task 7. Data Management**

A. Work performed on Task 7.

We continue to work with the UF Library Academic Resource Computing (LARC) team for technical assistance related to data storage for water quality, oyster count, and elevation data. By maintaining modern data collection and storage systems this facilitates our ability to rapidly analyze data and inform project decision making.

B. Progress made towards Task 7 milestones.

Database efforts for water quality and oyster count data are in place and operational. Effort continues to integrate survey information from GF Young and others (pre and post-construction) into a common framework. We created a Zenodo repository for oyster count data.

[https://zenodo.org/communities/uf\\_ifas\\_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20](https://zenodo.org/communities/uf_ifas_oysterproject/?page=1&size=20)

C. Performance of Task 7 as against the Task 7 Budget.

We are currently proceeding as scheduled for Task 7 and are within budget.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 7.

None anticipated.

#### **Task 8. Project Administration**

A. Work performed on Task 8.

##### ***Subtask 8.1. Tracking expenditures***

Generally, the budget is well within the calendar schedule and on track for completion of all tasks. We reconcile budget vs. expenditure every six months and review project status and progress. We have worked closely with NFWF to re-budget personnel costs following the retirement of Peter Frederick.

***Subtask 8.2. Managing staff*** Two graduate students are supported in full or part by this award. Brad Ennis is the project Research Coordinator. Bill Pine has expanded his project duties to include responsibilities previously completed by Peter Frederick. Several hourly technicians assist with the project in an as-needed basis primarily with field sampling efforts.

***Subtask 8.3. Coordination*** Coordination has been carried out through as-needed meetings and communications among the three principal investigators, graduate students, research coordinator, student OPS workers, and the UF Libraries data management group (LARC).

Previous and continuing coordination activities with projects external to this one have included coordination with county plans for additional oyster restoration activities (Sturmer, Pine), coordination with research planned for the Lower Suwannee National Wildlife Refuge hydrological restoration project (Allen), antipoaching activities and oyster management by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (Frederick, Pine, Sturmer), coordination with sampling of fishes on the Lone Cabbage Reef by Nature Coast Biological Station and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (Allen), coordination with aquaculture activities in the area (Sturmer), coordination with Florida's Oyster Integrated Mapping and Monitoring Project (OIMMP, Pine and Ennis), participation in oyster research and restoration planning efforts by other entities in the region (FWC, TNC, UF, Sturmer, Pine, Allen).

***Subtask 8.4. Reporting*** During this period we have submitted requests for reimbursement for the October 2021 reporting period, and have completed the quarterly report for the October reporting period.

B. Progress made towards Task 8 milestones.

. Fiscal reports and requests for reimbursement completed. . Quarterly report completed through September 2021. . Management and training graduate students and OPS student workers. . Internal coordination of this project among staff and PI's, four UF departments, Nature Coast Biological Station.

C. Performance of Task 8 as against the Task 8 Budget.

This Task appears to be within the Task 8 budget, and on schedule.

D. Existing or anticipated problems with implementation of Task 8.

None, efforts are continuing as planned.

#### **0.2 Submission schedule for payment requests.**

UF has submitted payment requests quarterly and we plan to continue this schedule.


#### **0.3 Any other information necessary for NFWF's evaluation of the Project's progress as measured against the Project Description, Budget, and Project schedule.**

We do not have any other information that might help NFWF evaluate the project's progress. We have tentatively planned a site visit by NFWF staff for December 2021 and look forward to meeting with staff in person to view the Lone Cabbage Reef projects.

#### 0.4 Project products and deliverables produced during the applicable reporting period.


See attached or in Uploads for this report.

### 1 Water Quality Quarterly Figures




**Figure 2-1.** Salinity and river discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The right column of figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The left column of figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary

y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.




**Figure 2-2.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The right column of figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The left column of figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region). Missing river discharge or temperature values are due to corrupt readings or missing

equipment.




**Figure 2-3.** Salinity and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.






**Figure 2-4.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. Each graph represents a sensor location, with top of page as north, and right of page as east. The figures (Sites 4-6) represent the western side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.



**Figure 2-5.** Salinity and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. The figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Salinity (ppt, parts per thousand), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean salinity values (black line) are depicted using a 95% confidence interval (grey shaded region). Missing river discharge or salinity values are due to corrupt readings or missing equipment.




**Figure 2-6.** Temperature and discharge data collected from autonomous sensors from the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site near Suwannee, FL. The figures (Sites 1-3) represent the eastern side of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration site. The primary y-axis is Temperature (C, Celsius), and the secondary y-axis is Suwannee River discharge (CFS, cubic feet per second) measured at USGS Wilcox station 02323500 on the Suwannee River. River discharge is graphed as a daily mean in the light blue filled shape near the bottom of each graph. Daily mean temperature values (orange line) are depicted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded orange region).

## 2 River Discharge Figures


For all of the figures within this chapter, the areas of color represent percentiles where each percentile is a value on a scale of one hundred that indicates the percent of a distribution that is equal to or below it. For example, on the map of daily streamflow conditions a river discharge at the 90th percentile is equal

to or greater than 90 percent of the discharge values recorded on this day of the year during all years that measurements have been made. In general, a percentile greater than 75 is considered above normal, a percentile between 25 and 75 is considered normal, and a percentile less than 25 is considered below normal. The percentiles are based on the period of record for this gauge station.


These data are retrieved via the `waterData` package in R made available by U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). These data are collected at the USGS 02323500 Suwannee River station near Wilcox, Florida. This site is located in Levy County, Florida (latitude 29.58968 and longitude -82.93651 in degrees).




**Figure 3-1.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2005-2021 (solid black line).



**Figure 3-2.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2010-2021 (solid black line).



**Figure 3-3.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2007-2012 (solid black line) representing the years preceding observed extreme low discharge conditions 2010-2012.




**Figure 3-4.** River discharge (by convention CFS, y axis) from the USGS Wilcox, Florida gauge (USGS 02322500) for the years 2013-2021 (solid black line) representing the years since 2010-2012 low flow conditions including the initiation of the Lone Cabbage Reef restoration project.




### 3 River Discharge Heatmaps


Suwannee River discharge is known to influence salinity in Suwannee Sound (Orlando et al. 1993) and lags between Suwannee River discharge and oyster counts have been observed (Moore et al. 2020). River discharge is essentially a second “treatment” in this restoration project (after the rebuilding of the reef) because it is the freshwater from the Suwannee River that Lone Cabbage Reef is thought to detain thus possibly promoting lower salinity. River discharge patterns in the Suwannee River basin may be changing over decadal scales due to changing climate, as is hypothesized for large rivers in the Gulf of Mexico (Neupane et al. 2019). For the period of record for the USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) which begins in October 1930, we created a “heat map” that demonstrates for each month and year the deviation in river discharge (as a percentage) from the period of record average.



**Figure 5-1.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02322500) for the period of record measured as deviation from the average by month for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with +/- 10%) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.



**Figure 5-2.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) for 2005-2021 measured as deviation from the average by month for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with +/- 10%) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.



**Figure 5-3.** Heat map of Suwannee River deviations in mean daily discharge by year and month from USGS Wilcox gauge (02323500) for 2018-2021 measured as deviation from the average by month for period of record. White color for a given month and year is a month when river discharge is similar (with +/- 10%) to the period of record average, while blue to dark blue colors represent increasing discharge levels deviating as a percentage from the long-term average. Red to dark red colors conversely equal increasingly low discharge levels (below the period of record average). The black colors are months when data are not available.


## 4 Oyster Landings Figures

This data set is manually updated by the oyster landings data located here: <https://public.myfwc.com/FWRI/PFDM/ReportCreator.aspx>. The Commercial Fisheries Landings Summaries allows the user to select the date year range and oysters (as the Species).


The Suwannee counties used in these figures are TAYLOR, DIXIE, and LEVY.

The Apalachicola counties used in these figures are FRANKLIN and WAKULLA.


The State of Florida data are all of the counties in Florida where oysters are landed, and this is selected in the FWC Commercial Fisheries Landings Summaries website.



**Figure 6-1.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange), and the State of Florida (pink) for years 1986 to 2021.




**Figure 6-2.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange) for years 2012 to 2021.




**Figure 6-3.** Figure of oyster landings (lbs), total trips, and cost per unit effort (CPUE) for Apalachicola (blue line), Suwannee Sound (orange) for years 2015 to 2021.

## 5 Quarterly Windrose


A



B



C



**Figure 7-1.** A wind rose visualizes the frequency of winds blowing from a specific direction of a desired Date Range. The data used for this figure were collected via the `rnoaa` R Package at station CDRF1 (Cedar Key, Florida). The legend represents the wind speed ranging from low (2-4 m/s) to high (18-20 m/s) wind speeds. The cardinal directions on the outer part of the wind rose indicate the direction of the wind. The Frequency is displayed as the lowest to highest percentage frequency of a wind speed occurring in a given direction, by the size of the wind magnitude polygon. Wind data are updated periodically through USGS (monthly basis). A) Windrose from July 1, 2021 to July 31, 2021, B) Windrose from August 1, 2021 to August 31, 2021, C) Windrose from September 1, 2021 to September 30, 2021.