

Technical Advisory Council (TAC) Meeting

July 9, 2020



CONFIDENTIAL COMPUTING
CONSORTIUM

The Confidential Computing Consortium

A community focused on open source licensed projects securing DATA IN USE & accelerating the adoption of confidential computing through open collaboration

Every member is welcome; every project meeting our criteria is welcome.
We are a transparent, collaborative community.

We as members, contributors, and leaders pledge to make participation in our community a harassment-free experience for everyone.

Antitrust Policy Notice

- › Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.
- › Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrove of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.

Agenda

1. Welcome, Roll call
2. Approval of minutes
3. Action item review
4. ~~Keystone project submission~~ (deferred to next meeting)
5. TAC whitepaper
6. Review github issues / pull requests for next steps
7. Any other business

Roll Call of TAC Voting Representatives

Quorum requires 5 or more voting reps:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Representative</u>	<u>Email</u>
Accenture	Giuseppe Giordano	giuseppe.giordano@accenture.com
Alibaba	Xiaoning Li	xiaoning.li@alibaba-inc.com
ARM	Grant Likely	grant.likely@arm.com
Facebook	Jinsong Yu / Shankaran	jinsongyu@fb.com
Google	Brandon Baker	bsb@google.com
Huawei	Zhipeng (Howard) Huang	huangzhipeng@huawei.com
Intel	Simon Johnson	simon.p.johnson@intel.com
Microsoft	Dave Thaler(*)	dthaler@microsoft.com
Oracle	John Haxby	john.haxby@oracle.com
Red Hat/IBM	Mike Bursell	mbursell@redhat.com

*TAC chair

Approval of TAC Minutes from June 25 telechat

<https://lists.confidentialcomputing.io/g/main/files/TAC/Meetings/2020/06-June/CCC%20TAC%20Minutes%202020-06-25.pdf>

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the June 25, 2020 meeting of the Technical Advisory Council meeting of the Confidential Computing Consortium as distributed to the members of the TAC in advance of this meeting are hereby adopted and approved.

Action Item Review

1. [Mike] Ensure that a TAC budget line item for 1 Zoom account for OE SDK
2. [Xiaoning] Send Dave or Stephano your GitHub ID so that we can add reviewers to our GitHub issues / pull requests
3. [Mike/Brandon/Simon/Jethro] Continue to create text for an in-depth TAC whitepaper (ON AGENDA)
4. [ALL] Please see the link to the TAC whitepaper, pick a section you feel comfortable helping with, and add your name. Also, feel free to add text where no one has signed up.
5. [Aeva/Mike] For the Chat Comparison [spreadsheet](#), define some of the comparison items that might be confusing in a markdown document in the github repo
6. [All] Review pending pull requests

TAC Whitepaper

- <https://docs.google.com/document/d/17PhrIXvFJsAlJryYjpezmfdsI1BSB1x1tE2FTbriHyc/edit?ts=5ec71ac7>
 - The “Copy Graveyard” from the Outreach whitepaper may have some text that could be used
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1JxOIHB2MsUTJzsiLSH04a-QMhHZfLzeaiVRNX9kD6ng/edit?ts=5ea23d21#>
 - Pull Request #[42](#) (Create tee attributes.md) also has text

Github PR's and issues

<https://github.com/confidential-computing/governance/pulls>

<https://github.com/confidential-computing/governance/issues>

Any other business

Summer meeting schedule

keystone review

OSS virtual Dublin is last week of October

TAC workflow: too much, too little, different tasks?

What should we be doing differently?

Anonymous survey?

- are we going in the right direction
- are we doing a good job of getting there
- suggestions for improvement
- any items we should be doing and aren't yet
- are members getting their expected value

- Regulatory compliance (banking, govt, etc)
- Coordination with other bodies beyond IETF, HE.org
 - E.g., TrustedFirmware.org, TCG, FIDO, UN Handbook group, ...