

CCC TAC minutes 2020-07-09

Attendees -

- Mike Bursell (Red Hat)
- Aeva van der Veen (Microsoft)
- Brandon Baker (Google)
- Dave Thaler (Microsoft, TAC Chair)
- Dan Middleton (Intel)
- FX Marseille - Thales
- Guiseppe Giordano (Accenture)
- Howard Huang
- Jethro Beekman (Fortanix)
- John Haxby (Oracle)
- Liam Coffey (AMD)
- Naveeen Cherukuri (NVidia)
- Partrick Trinkler (CYTEK)
- Richard Searle (Fortanix)
- Roy Hopkins (R3)
- Seth Knox (Fortanix, Outreach Chair)
- Shankaran (Facebook)
- Simon Johnson (Intel)
- Simon Leet (Microsoft)
- Xinxin Fan
- Stephen Walli
- Morgan Akers (JPMC)

Policies read out

Deferring Keystone submission to next meeting (no representatives available)

Meeting declared quorate

Patrick Trinkler introduced himself

John Haxby, Brandon Baker abstained from minutes vote. Minutes approved.

Actions Item Review.

1. [Mike] Ensure that a TAC budget line item for 1 Zoom account for OE SDK
2. [Xiaoning] Send Dave or Stephano your GitHub ID so that we can add reviewers to our GitHub issues / pull requests
3. [Mike/Brandon/Simon/Jethro] Continue to create text for an in-depth TAC whitepaper (ON AGENDA)
4. [ALL] Please see the link to the TAC whitepaper, pick a section you feel comfortable helping with, and add your name. Also, feel free to add text where no one has signed up.
5. [Mike] For the Chat Comparison spreadsheet, define some of the comparison items that might be confusing --- Aeva received Mike's spreadsheet.
6. [All] Review pending pull requests [DONE ... to be removed]

TAC White Paper

Initial framework explanation from Dave for work he has begun.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/17PhrIXvFJsAlJryYjpezmfdsI1BSB1x1tE2FTbriHyc/edit?ts=5ec71ac7>

Discussion on TEE definition. (Jethro's original question in document)

Dave's explanation that the definition is also consistency with IETF definitions.

Desire to add nuance on attributes.(Brendan)

Morgan Akers suggestion to add more definitional context (glossary-like) to level set.

Edit tooling -- If writing new work, please work in edit mode. If editing someone else's work, use suggesting mode.

Seth asks on Outreach discussion on their whitepaper about adding a use case for Blockchain. Current whitepaper is published and there is a sign-up link. Outreach is fine with folks directly linking.

Morgan asks how use cases get added. Use cases should be captured in current Outreach whitepaper.

David intends to tackle threat models in next meeting. Roy looking for guidance on side channels work. Mike B. will begin text in section next week. Analyst slide briefing deck material should be pulled into whitepaper.

Dave has been through the Outreach copy graveyard to see if there was work to pull into this whitepaper. No one has opinions on SDK point. (clarify with Dave)

PRs/Issues

PRs:

PR 46 needs to be handled by governing board. (With 48, 43, ...)

Dave presents PR 58 review on process TAC Github Process

Issues:

16 --

25 -- closed with addition of whitepaper link.

Other Items

Summer Schedule? (Simon)

- Keystone review deadline?
- Whitepaper deadline? (Late October is next LF OSS EU Virtual summit)

Jethro -- Checking in on the group as we come up on the year mark

TAC workflow: too much, too little, different tasks?

What should we be doing differently?

Anonymous survey?

- are we going in the right direction
- are we doing a good job of getting there
- suggestions for improvement

- any items we should be doing and aren't yet
- are members getting their expected value
- futures -- regulatory engagement? Coordination with other bodies (TF.org, IETF)

Action

[Stephano] -- survey for health check across members. And include Outreach and Board.

[Dave] Reference new Steve Riley Gartner TEE definition in TAC Scoping.

Close call at 8:26

From Dave' email

Welcome, Roll call

Approval of minutes

Action item review

Keystone project submission

TAC whitepaper

Review github issues / pull requests for next steps

Any other business

That is, I believe we will have another project submission to review as item #4 if the submission form gets posted before the meeting.

Let me know if there are requests for additions or changes to the agenda.

As a reminder, here's the pending action items to review:

[Mike] Ensure that a TAC budget line item for 1 Zoom account for OE SDK

[Xiaoning] Send Dave or Stephano your GitHub ID so that we can add reviewers to our GitHub issues / pull requests

[ALL] Please see the link to the TAC whitepaper, pick a section you feel comfortable helping with, and add your name. Also, feel free to add text where no one has signed up.

[Mike] For the Chat Comparison spreadsheet, define some of the comparison items that might be confusing

And the following pull requests are in need of reviews:

#57: Split project template questions into groups

#58: Document current TAC github process

#59: Add CODEOWNERS file to auto-add TAC members as PR reviewers