

# Lecture 13: Survey of adaptive filtering methods

## Overview

- Basic problems
  - Specify the model to be used (linear, nonlinear, structure)
  - Specify the given data
  - Specify the optimality criterion to be satisfied
  - Specify the parameters to be found
- Fundamental tools
  - Handling the expectation operator
  - Computing the gradient of a given performance criterion
  - Optimal Wiener filter
  - Computing the optimal value of a performance criterion
- Basic algorithmic structures
  - Steepest descent algorithm
  - LMS algorithm
  - LMS variants
  - Frequency-domain LMS

- Levinson – Durbin algorithm
  - Recursive Least Squares algorithm
  - Back-propagation algorithm
- Applications
  - Adaptive noise cancellation
  - Adaptive Channel equalization
  - Adaptive echo cancellation

**Optimum linear Filtering: Problem statement** *Lecture 2*

- Given the set of input samples  $\{u(0), u(1), u(2), \dots\}$  and the set of desired response  $\{d(0), d(1), d(2), \dots\}$
- In the family of filters computing their output according to

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k u(n-k), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

- Find the parameters  $\{w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots\}$  such as to minimize the mean square error defined as

$$J = E[e(n)^2] \quad (2)$$

where the error signal is

$$e(n) = d(n) - y(n) = d(n) - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} w_l u(n-l) \quad (3)$$

□

The family of filters (5) is the family of linear discrete time filters (IIR or FIR).

**Adaptive filtering: Problem statement** *Lecture 3*

- Consider the family of variable parameter FIR filters, computing their output according to

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= w_0(n)u(n) + w_1(n)u(n-1) + \dots w_{M-1}(n)u(n-M+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k(n)u(n-k) = \underline{w}(n)^T \underline{u}(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty \end{aligned}$$

where parameters  $\underline{w}(n)$  are allowed to change at every time step,  $u(t)$  is the input signal,  $d(t)$  is the desired signal and  $\{u(t)$  and  $d(t)\}$  are jointly stationary.

- Given the parameters  $\underline{w}(n) = [w_0(n), w_1(n), w_2(n), \dots, w_{M-1}(n)]^T$ , find an adaptation mechanism  $\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \delta \underline{w}(n)$ , or written componentwise

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(n+1) &= w_0(n) + \delta w_0(n) \\ w_1(n+1) &= w_1(n) + \delta w_1(n) \\ &\dots \\ w_{M-1}(n+1) &= w_{M-1}(n) + \delta w_{M-1}(n) \end{aligned}$$

such as the adaptation process converges to the parameters of the optimal Wiener filter,  $\underline{w}(n+1) \rightarrow \underline{w}_o$ , no matter where the iterations are initialized (i.e.  $\forall \underline{w}(0)$ ).

□

**Linear LS estimation problem** *Lecture 9***Problem statement**

- Given the set of input samples  $\{u(1), u(2), \dots, u(N)\}$  and the set of desired response  $\{d(1), d(2), \dots, d(N)\}$
- In the family of linear filters computing their output according to

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k u(n-k), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

- Find the parameters  $\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{M-1}\}$  such as to minimize the sum of error squares

$$\mathcal{E}(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{M-1}) = \sum_{i=i_1}^{i_2} [e(i)^2] = \sum_{i=i_1}^{i_2} [d(i) - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k u(i-k)]^2$$

where the error signal is

$$e(i) = d(i) - y(i) = d(i) - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k u(i-k)$$

□

## Recursive Least Squares Estimation *Lecture 10*

### Problem statement

- Given the set of input samples  $\{u(1), u(2), \dots, u(N)\}$  and the set of desired response  $\{d(1), d(2), \dots, d(N)\}$
- In the family of linear filters computing their output according to

$$y(n) = \sum_{k=0}^M w_k u(n-k), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

- Find *recursively in time* the parameters  $\{w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{M-1}(n)\}$  such as to minimize the sum of error squares

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \mathcal{E}(w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{M-1}(n)) = \sum_{i=i_1}^n \beta(n, i) [e(i)]^2 = \sum_{i=i_1}^n \beta(n, i) \left[ d(i) - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k(n) u(i-k) \right]^2$$

where the error signal is

$$e(i) = d(i) - y(i) = d(i) - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} w_k(n) u(i-k)$$

and the forgetting factor or weighting factor reduces the influence of old data

$$0 < \beta(n, i) \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

usually taking the form  $(0 < \lambda < 1)$

$$\beta(n, i) = \lambda^{n-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

□

# Fundamental tools

- Handling the expectation operator

## Lecture 2

Now we concentrate to find  $r_x(0), r_x(1)$  for the AR process

$$x(n) + a_1x(n-1) + a_2x(n-2) = v(n)$$

First multiply in turn the equation with  $x(n)$ ,  $x(n-1)$  and  $x(n-2)$  and then take the expectation

$$\begin{aligned} Ex(n) \times \rightarrow \quad & Ex(n)x(n) + Ex(n)a_1x(n-1) + Ex(n)a_2x(n-2) = Ex(n)v(n) \\ & \text{resulting in} \quad r_x(0) + a_1r_x(1) + a_2r_x(2) = Ex(n)v(n) = \sigma_v^2 \end{aligned}$$

The equality  $Ex(n)v(n) = \sigma_v^2$  can be obtained multiplying the AR model difference equation with  $v(n)$  and then taking expectations

$$\begin{aligned} Ev(n) \times \rightarrow \quad & Ev(n)x(n) + Ev(n)a_1x(n-1) + Ev(n)a_2x(n-2) = Ev(n)v(n) \\ & \text{resulting in} \quad Ev(n)x(n) = \sigma_v^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Computing the gradient of a given performance criterion

*Lecture 2*

Define the gradient operator  $\nabla$ , having its  $k$ -th entry

$$\nabla_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_k} \quad (6)$$

and thus, the  $k$ -th entry of the gradient of criterion  $J$  is (remember,  $e(n) = d(n) - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} w_l u(n-l)$ )

$$\nabla_k J = \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_k} = 2E \left[ e(n) \frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_k} \right] = -E [e(n)u(n-k)]$$

For the criterion to attain its minimum, the gradient of the criterion must be identically zero, that is

$$\nabla_k J = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

resulting in the fundamental

$$\textbf{Principle of ortogonality: } E [e_o(n)u(n-k)] = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$



*Lecture 2***Mean square error surface**

Then the cost function can be written as

$$J_{\underline{w}} = \sigma_d^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p(-i)w_i + \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} w_l w_i R_{i,l} \quad (8)$$

$J$  attains the minimum,  $J_{min}$ , where the gradient is zero

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\underline{w}} J &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_k} &= 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1 \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_k} &= -2p(-k) + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} w_l r(k-l) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1 \end{aligned}$$

which finally gives the same Wiener – Hopf equations

$$\sum_{l=0}^{M-1} w_l r(k-l) = p(-k) \quad (9)$$

## Lecture 7

At stage  $m$  the optimality criterion is:

$$J_m = E[f_m^2(n)] + E[b_m^2(n)]$$

and using the stage  $m$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(n) &= f_{m-1}(n) + \Gamma_m b_{m-1}(n-1) \\ b_m(n) &= b_{m-1}(n-1) + \Gamma_m f_{m-1}(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_m &= E[f_m^2(n)] + E[b_m^2(n)] = E[(f_{m-1}(n) + \Gamma_m b_{m-1}(n-1))^2] + E[(b_{m-1}(n-1) + \Gamma_m f_{m-1}(n))^2] \\ &= E[(f_{m-1}^2(n) + b_{m-1}^2(n-1))(1 + \Gamma_m^2) + 4\Gamma_m E[b_{m-1}(n-1)f_{m-1}(n)]] \end{aligned}$$

Taking now the derivative with respect to  $\Gamma_m$  of the above criterion we obtain

$$\frac{d(J_m)}{d\Gamma_m} = 2E[(f_{m-1}^2(n) + b_{m-1}^2(n-1))\Gamma_m + 4E[b_{m-1}(n-1)f_{m-1}(n)]] = 0$$

and therefore

$$\Gamma_m^* = -\frac{2E[b_{m-1}(n-1)f_{m-1}(n)]}{E[f_{m-1}^2(n) + E[b_{m-1}^2(n-1)]}$$

*Lecture 7*

Imposing the same optimality criterion as in Burg method

$$J_m = E[f_m^2(n)] + E[b_m^2(n)]$$

the gradient method applied to the lattice filter parameter at stage  $m$  is

$$\frac{d(J_m)}{d\Gamma_m} = 2E[f_m(n)b_{m-1}(n-1) + f_{m-1}(n)b_m(n)]$$

and can be approximated (as usually in LMS algorithms) by

$$\hat{\nabla} J_m \approx 2[f_m(n)b_{m-1}(n-1) + f_{m-1}(n)b_m(n)]$$

We obtain the updating equation for the parameter  $\Gamma_m$

$$\Gamma_m(n+1) = \Gamma_m(n) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_m(n)\hat{\nabla} J_m = \Gamma_m(n) - \mu_m(n)(f_m(n)b_{m-1}(n-1) + f_{m-1}(n)b_m(n))$$

- Optimal Wiener filter

*Lecture 2*

**Matrix formulation of Wiener – Hopf equations**

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{u}(n) &= \begin{bmatrix} u(n) & u(n-1) & u(n-2) & \dots & u(n-M+1) \end{bmatrix}^T \\ R &= E[\underline{u}(n)\underline{u}^T(n)] = E \begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ u(n-1) \\ u(n-2) \\ \vdots \\ u(n-M+1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(n) & u(n-1) & u(n-2) & \dots & u(n-M+1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} r(0) & r(1) & \dots & r(M-1) \\ r(1) & r(0) & \dots & r(M-2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(M-1) & r(M-2) & \dots & r(0) \end{bmatrix} \\ \underline{p} &= E[\underline{u}(n)d(n)] = \begin{bmatrix} p(0) & p(-1) & p(-2) & \dots & p(1-M) \end{bmatrix}^T\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

$$\underline{w}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} w_{o,0} & w_{o,1} & \dots & w_{o,M-1} \end{bmatrix}^T\tag{12}$$

then Wiener – Hopf equations can be written in a compact form

$$R\underline{w}_0 = \underline{p} \quad \text{with solution} \quad \underline{w}_o = R^{-1}\underline{p}\tag{13}$$

- Computing the optimal value of a performance criterion *Lecture 2*

### Minimum Mean square error

Using the form of the criterion

$$J_{\underline{w}} = \sigma_d^2 - 2\underline{p}^T \underline{w} + \underline{w}^T R \underline{w} \quad (14)$$

one can find the value of the minimum criterion (remember,  $R\underline{w}_0 = \underline{p}$  and  $\underline{w}_o = R^{-1}\underline{p}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\underline{w}_o} &= \sigma_d^2 - 2\underline{p}^T \underline{w}_o + \underline{w}_o^T R \underline{w}_o = \sigma_d^2 - 2\underline{w}_o^T R \underline{w}_o + \underline{w}_o^T R \underline{w}_o \\ &= \sigma_d^2 - \underline{w}_o^T R \underline{w}_o \\ &= \sigma_d^2 - \underline{w}_o^T \underline{p} \\ &= \sigma_d^2 - \underline{p}^T R^{-1} \underline{p} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

## Basic algorithmic structures

- Steepest descent algorithm **SD ALGORITHM**

**Steepest descent search algorithm for finding the Wiener FIR optimal filter**

**Given**

- the autocorrelation matrix  $R = E\underline{u}(n)\underline{u}^T(n)$
- the cross-correlation vector  $\underline{p}(n) = E\underline{u}(n)d(n)$

**Initialize the algorithm** with an arbitrary parameter vector  $\underline{w}(0)$ .

**Iterate for**  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_{max}$

$$\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \mu[\underline{p} - R\underline{w}(n)]$$

**Stop iterations** if  $\|\underline{p} - R\underline{w}(n)\| < \varepsilon$

□

Designer degrees of freedom:  $\mu, \varepsilon, n_{max}$

- LMS algorithm

<b>LMS algorithm</b>	
<b>Given</b>	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - \text{the (correlated) input signal samples } \{u(1), u(2), u(3), \dots\}, \\ \text{generated randomly;} \\ - \text{the desired signal samples } \{d(1), d(2), d(3), \dots\} \text{ correlated} \\ \text{with } \{u(1), u(2), u(3), \dots\} \end{array} \right.$
<b>1 Initialize the algorithm</b> with an arbitrary parameter vector $\underline{w}(0)$ , for example $\underline{w}(0) = 0$ .	
<b>2 Iterate for</b> $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_{max}$	
<b>2.0</b>	Read /generate a new data pair, $(\underline{u}(n), d(n))$
<b>2.1</b>	(Filter output) $y(n) = \underline{w}(n)^T \underline{u}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} w_i(n) u(n-i)$
<b>2.2</b>	(Output error) $e(n) = d(n) - y(n)$
<b>2.3</b>	(Parameter adaptation) $\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \mu \underline{u}(n) e(n)$
or componentwise	$\begin{bmatrix} w_0(n+1) \\ w_1(n+1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ w_{M-1}(n+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0(n) \\ w_1(n) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ w_{M-1}(n) \end{bmatrix} + \mu e(n) \begin{bmatrix} u(n) \\ u(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u(n-M+1) \end{bmatrix}$
□	

The complexity of the algorithm is  $2M + 1$  multiplications and  $2M$  additions per iteration.

- LMS variants

- Normalized LMS

$$w_j(n+1) = w_j(n) + \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{a + \|\underline{u}(n)\|^2} e(n) u(n-j)$$

- Sign algorithms

- \* The Sign algorithm (other names: pilot LMS, or Sign Error)

$$\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \mu \underline{u}(n) \operatorname{sgn}(e(n))$$

- \* The Clipped LMS (or Signed Regressor)

$$\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \mu \operatorname{sgn}(\underline{u}(n)) e(n)$$

- \* The Zero forcing LMS (or Sign Sign)

$$\underline{w}(n+1) = \underline{w}(n) + \mu \operatorname{sgn}(\underline{u}(n)) \operatorname{sgn}(e(n))$$

- Momentum LMS algorithm

$$\underline{w}(n+1) - \underline{w}(n) = \gamma(\underline{w}(n) - \underline{w}(n-1)) + \tilde{\mu}(1-\gamma)e(n)\underline{u}(n)$$



- Levinson – Durbin algorithm

Levinson – Durbin recursions

$$\underline{a}_m = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{a}_{m-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \Gamma_m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \underline{a}_{m-1}^B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Vector form of L – D recursions}$$

$$a_{m,k} = a_{m-1,k} + \Gamma_m a_{m-1,m-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m \quad \text{Scalar form of L – D recursions}$$

$$\Delta_{m-1} = \underline{a}_{m-1}^T \underline{r}_m^B = r(m) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{m-1,k} r(m-k)$$

$$\Gamma_m = -\frac{\Delta_{m-1}}{P_{m-1}}$$

$$P_m = P_{m-1}(1 - \Gamma_m^2)$$

- RLS algorithm (Lecture 10)

All necessary equations to form the RLS algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{k}(n) &= \frac{\lambda^{-1}P(n-1)\underline{u}(n)}{1 + \lambda^{-1}\underline{u}^T(n)P(n-1)\underline{u}(n)} \\ \alpha(n) &= d(n) - \underline{u}(n)^T \underline{w}(n-1) \\ \underline{w}(n) &= \underline{w}(n-1) + \underline{k}(n)\alpha(n) \\ P(n) &= \lambda^{-1}P(n-1) - \lambda^{-1}\underline{k}(n)\underline{u}^T(n)P(n-1)\end{aligned}$$

## Delta Rule Algorithm

**Given**  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} - \text{the (correlated) input vector samples} \\ \quad \{\underline{u}(1), \underline{u}(2), \underline{u}(3), \dots\}, \text{ generated randomly;} \\ - \text{the desired signal samples } \{d(1), d(2), d(3), \dots\} \end{array} \right.$

**1 Initialize the algorithm** with an arbitrary parameter vector  $\underline{w}(0)$ , for example  $\underline{w}(0) = 0$ .

**2 Iterate for**  $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_{max}$

**2.0** Read a new data pair,  $(\underline{u}(t), d(t))$

**2.1** (Compute the output)  $y(t) = h[\underline{w}(t)^T \underline{u}(t)] = h[\sum_{i=1}^N w_i(t) u_i(t)]$

**2.2** (Compute the error)  $e(t) = d(t) - y(t)$

**2.3** (Parameter adaptation)  $\underline{w}(t+1) = \underline{w}(t) + \mu \underline{u}(t) e(t) h'[\underline{w}^T(t) \underline{u}(t)]$

□

## Sample examination

1. State the problem of optimal filter design for the forward predictor (model, data available, criterion to be minimized).
2. Consider the predictor of order 1

$$\hat{u}(n) = au(n-1)$$

- a) Compute the optimal value of the parameter  $a$ , as a function of autocorrelation values of the process  $u(n)$
  - b) Draw the lattice filter structure of the predictor.
  - c) Compute the optimal parameters of the lattice predictor.
3. Consider the RLS algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{k}(n) &= \frac{\lambda^{-1}P(n-1)\underline{u}(n)}{1 + \lambda^{-1}\underline{u}^T(n)P(n-1)\underline{u}(n)} \\ \alpha(n) &= d(n) - \underline{u}(n)^T \underline{w}(n-1) \\ \underline{w}(n) &= \underline{w}(n-1) + \underline{k}(n)\alpha(n) \\ P(n) &= \lambda^{-1}P(n-1) - \lambda^{-1}\underline{k}(n)\underline{u}^T(n)P(n-1)\end{aligned}$$

Explain what are the variables to be initialized at time  $t = 0$ .

4. Define a sigmoidal perceptron and derive the adaptation policy for it. Draw a block diagram representing the adaptation process for a sigmoidal perceptron.

5.
  - Write the steepest descent adaptive algorithm for the FIR filter of order 1.
  - Use an example to show explicitly the computations required for the first two iterations of the algorithm.
6. Application description: Draw the structure of an adaptive noise canceller. Discuss the significance of each signal.