## Exercise 1

## Dirac field momentum and charge

The solution of the Dirac equation can be expanded in the plane waves as follows

$$\psi(x) = \int d\tilde{k} \sum_{\lambda = +} \left[ a_{\lambda}(k) u(k, \lambda) e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) v(k, \lambda) e^{ik \cdot x} \right], \quad \text{with} \quad d\tilde{k} = \frac{d^{3}\tilde{k}}{(2\pi)^{3} 2\omega_{k}}$$

Therein  $u(k,\lambda)$  and  $v(k,\lambda)$  are Dirac spinors associated with positive and negative energy solutions, respectively. They obey the relations

$$u^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)u(k,\lambda') = v^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)v(k,\lambda') = 2\omega_k \delta_{\lambda\lambda'},\tag{1}$$

$$u^{\dagger}(\bar{k},\lambda)v(k,\lambda') = v^{\dagger}(\bar{k},\lambda)u(k,\lambda') = 0,$$
(2)

where  $\vec{k} = (\omega_k, -\vec{k})^T$ . At this stage, we leave open whether the  $a^{(\dagger)}(k)$  and  $b^{(\dagger)}(k)$  follow commutation or anti-commutation relations.

We start by showing  $T^{0\mu} = \psi^{\dagger} i \partial^{\mu} \psi$ 

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\psi)}(\partial^{\nu}\psi) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\overline{\psi})}(\partial^{\nu}\overline{\psi}) - g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}$$
(3)

for the Dirac-spinor Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \overline{\psi}(i\gamma^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha} - m)\psi$ .

$$T^{0\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \psi)} (\partial^{\mu} \psi) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \overline{\psi})} (\partial^{\mu} \overline{\psi}) - g^{0\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$= i\overline{\psi}\gamma^{0}(\partial^{\mu}\psi) + 0 - g^{0\mu}\overline{\psi} [\text{Dirac-Eq.}]$$
 (5)

$$=i\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\gamma^{0}\partial^{\mu}\psi\tag{6}$$

$$=i\psi^{\dagger}\partial^{\mu}\psi\tag{7}$$

For the momentum operator, we integrate

$$P^{\mu} = \int d^3x T^{0\mu} = \int d^3x \int d\tilde{k} \int d\tilde{p} \sum_{\lambda = +} \sum_{\kappa = \pm} \left[ a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) u^{\dagger}(k, \lambda) a_{\kappa}(p) u(p, \kappa) \cdot e^{i(k-p)x} \right]$$
(8)

$$-a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k)u^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)b_{\kappa}^{\dagger}(p)v(p,\kappa)\cdot e^{i(k+p)x}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$+ b_{\lambda}(k)v^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)a_{\kappa}(p)u(p,\kappa) \cdot e^{-i(k+p)x}$$
(10)

$$-b_{\lambda}(k)v^{\dagger}(k,\lambda)b_{\kappa}^{\dagger}(p)v(p,\kappa)\cdot e^{-i(k-p)x}$$
(11)

Using the Fourier-Transformation again:

$$P^{\mu} = \int d^3x \int d\tilde{p} \sum_{\lambda = +} \sum_{\kappa = +} \left[ a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(p) u^{\dagger}(p, \lambda) a_{\kappa}(p) u(p, \kappa) \right]$$
 (12)

$$-a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\overline{p})u^{\dagger}(\overline{p},\lambda)b_{\kappa}^{\dagger}(p)v(p,\kappa)e^{+i2\omega t}$$
(13)

$$+ b_{\lambda}(\overline{p})v^{\dagger}(\overline{p},\lambda)a_{\kappa}(p)u(p,\kappa)e^{-i2\omega t}$$
(14)

$$-b_{\lambda}(p)v^{\dagger}(p,\lambda)b_{\kappa}^{\dagger}(p)v(p,\kappa)$$
(15)

Relations 1 cancel two lines and adds a  $\delta_{\lambda\kappa}$  and thus

$$P^{\mu} = \int d\tilde{k} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} k_{\mu} \left[ a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) a_{\lambda}(k) - b_{\lambda}(k) b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) \right]. \tag{16}$$

A similar calculation can be performed for

$$Q = \int d^3x \,\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^0 \psi(x) = \int d^3x \,\psi^{\dagger}(x)\psi(x). \tag{17}$$

Without the derivative we get

$$Qu = \int d\tilde{k} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} k_{\mu} \left[ a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) a_{\lambda}(k) + b_{\lambda}(k) b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) \right].$$
 (18)

Both these cases make clear why an anti-commutation is needed for the normal order

$$: \left[ b_{\lambda}(k)b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k) \right] := -b_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(k)b_{\lambda}(k). \tag{19}$$