

Ipilimumab for advanced melanoma: A pharmacologic perspective

Van Anh Trinh

Pharmacy Clinical Programs, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Brenda Hagen

Melanoma Medical Oncology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

J Oncol Pharm Practice
19(3) 195–201

© The Author(s) 2012

Reprints and permissions:

sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav

DOI: 10.1177/1078155212459100

opp.sagepub.com



Abstract

Ipilimumab, a fully human anti-CTLA-4 antibody, has been approved for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma based on its survival benefit demonstrated in randomized phase III studies. The current approved dosing schedule of ipilimumab is 3 mg/kg as a 90-min intravenous infusion every 3 weeks for a total of 4 doses. The immune-mediated mechanism of action of ipilimumab can result in tumor response patterns that may differ from those observed with conventional chemotherapy; therefore, revised response criteria to fully capture the spectrum of responses have been developed and are being prospectively validated. The safety profile of ipilimumab also reflects its mechanism of action and is characterized by immune-related adverse events. Although most of these events are mild, tolerable and reversible, high-grade immune-related adverse events have been observed in 15% of patients and can be potentially life-threatening if not managed appropriately. Guidelines for the management of these events emphasize thorough patient education, vigilant monitoring and prompt intervention with corticosteroids when appropriate. Ongoing research, including evaluation of ipilimumab in the adjuvant setting, investigation of its use in combination with other agents and assessment of alternative doses, will help optimize and expand the use of this innovative treatment.

Keywords

Ipilimumab, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4, advanced melanoma, pharmacology

Introduction

In 2012, the incidence of melanoma in the United States is estimated at 76,250 new cases, with projected deaths being 9180.¹ Melanoma accounts for only 4% of all skin cancers; however, it is responsible for approximately 80% of all skin cancer deaths.² The prognosis of patients with unresectable stage III or stage IV melanoma has been dismal. Benchmark data from a recent meta-analysis reveal a 1-year survival rate of 25%, with median overall survival of 6.2 months.³

Until recently, treatment options for patients with advanced melanoma have been very limited, with dacarbazine (DTIC) and interleukin-2 (IL-2) being the only two approved agents in the United States.⁴ DTIC is associated with low response rate (~7%) and unclear survival benefit.⁴ Temozolomide, a congener of

DTIC with similar clinical activity, 100% oral bioavailability and improved blood–brain barrier penetration, is commonly used as an oral alternative to DTIC. Various combined chemotherapeutic regimens have also been explored. Disappointingly, multi-drug combinations did not prolong survival despite improved response rates and increased toxicities. IL-2 was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for advanced melanoma based on durable disease control observed in a small group of patients in phase II.^{4,5} Pooled analysis of eight clinical trials

Corresponding author:

Van Anh Trinh, Pharmacy Clinical Programs, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Email: vt Trinh@mdanderson.org

evaluating high-dose IL-2 between 1985 and 1993 demonstrated an overall response rate of 16%, with long-term remission of 5 years or more occurring in 4% responders.⁵ However, high-dose IL-2 has a substantial toxicity profile, limiting its clinical utility to those patients with good performance status and without preexisting cardiopulmonary comorbidities.^{4,5} Safe administration of high-dose IL-2 should take place in intensive care units under the supervision of an experienced oncology team.^{4,5}

In 2011, the FDA approved two first-in-class agents, ipilimumab and vemurafenib, for advanced melanoma based on demonstration of improved survival in phase III studies. The present review will focus on the pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and clinical experience of ipilimumab in advanced melanoma.

Mechanism of action

Improved understanding of the interactions among the immune system, the tumor and its associated micro-environment has unearthed several potential molecular targets for immunotherapy. One of these targets is cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), a cell surface molecule that plays an essential role in regulating the adaptive immune response. T-cell activation is a tightly controlled process that requires two signals: (a) the initial binding of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) on antigen presenting cells (APCs) to T-cell receptors (TCRs) and (b) the subsequent binding of B7 molecules on the APC to CD28 receptors on T cells. Without the costimulatory B7-CD28 interaction, TCR-TAA engagement results in anergy rather than a productive immune response.⁶ Immediately following T-cell activation, the expression of CTLA-4, an inhibitory molecule that competes with CD28 for binding to B7, is up-regulated on the T-cell surface. CTLA-4-B7 ligation interrupts the costimulatory signal, blunting T-cell proliferation response.⁷ Ipilimumab is a fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that blocks CTLA-4. It is thought that by negating the inhibitory action of CTLA-4, ipilimumab augments T-cell responses to tumor antigens, resulting in immune-mediated antitumor activity.⁷

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics of ipilimumab was derived from 499 patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma who received the drug at three dose levels; 0.3, 3 or 10 mg/kg; administered once every 3 weeks for 4 cycles. Peak and trough concentrations and area under the curve of ipilimumab were dose proportional

within this dose range. Steady-state concentration was reached by the third dose. Population pharmacokinetic analyses determined mean (% coefficient of variation) terminal half-life of 14.7 days (30.1%), clearance of 15.3 mL/h (38.5%) and volume of distribution at steady-state of 7.21 L (10.5%).^{8,9} The target trough concentration of 20 mcg/mL, the level at which ipilimumab attains maximum CTLA-4 blockade, was achieved in 30% of patients in the 3 mg/kg group.¹⁰

High body weight, preexisting mild to moderate renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance of 29 mL/min or above) or various degrees of hepatic dysfunction at baseline did not have a clinically meaningful effect on ipilimumab's pharmacokinetics; therefore, no dosage adjustment is indicated for these conditions. Other variables, such as age, gender, concomitant use of budesonide, performance score, HLA-A2*0201 status, anti-ipilimumab antibody positivity, prior history of systemic anticancer therapy or baseline lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, did not appear to significantly impact the clearance of ipilimumab. Due to insufficient numbers of non-Caucasian patients participating in clinical trials, the effects of ethnicity were not examined.^{8,9}

Clinical trials

Early phase I and II studies evaluated different doses of ipilimumab in various single or repeated dosing schedules¹⁰ as well as in combination with other agents, such as vaccines,¹¹ IL-2¹² or DTIC.¹³ The results of these trials suggested that ipilimumab had clinical activity against melanoma, produced dose-proportional antitumor efficacy and could be combined safely with other biologics or DTIC. In addition, atypical clinical response patterns and the immune-mediated side-effect profile of ipilimumab began to be appreciated.

The efficacy and safety of ipilimumab in patients with advanced melanoma were later confirmed in two large randomized phase III trials. In the first study (MDX010-20), 676 previously treated patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma were randomized in a 3:1:1 ratio to receive ipilimumab with the melanoma peptide vaccine gp100 ($n = 403$), ipilimumab with gp100 placebo ($n = 137$) or gp100 vaccine with ipilimumab placebo ($n = 136$). All patients were HLA-A*0201-positive because the presentation of the gp100 peptide vaccine to T cells is HLA-A*0201-restricted.¹⁴ Ipilimumab was dosed at 3 mg/kg intravenously (IV) every 3 weeks for a total of 4 treatments. The vaccine was administered subcutaneously immediately after each ipilimumab infusion. At a median follow-up of about 20 months, median overall survival was 10.0,

10.1 or 6.4 months for patients treated with the combination, ipilimumab alone or gp100 alone, respectively. The overall survival advantage favoring both ipilimumab-containing regimens was statistically significant. Based on the modified World Health Organization (WHO) response criteria, the overall response rate was 10.9% in the ipilimumab-alone group, with 60% of the responses lasting more than 2 years. Ipilimumab's side-effect profile was consistent with phase I/II experience, with grade III or IV immune-related adverse events (irAEs) observed in 10–15% of patients.¹⁴

In the second phase III study (CA184-024), 502 treatment-naïve patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma were randomly assigned to receive either DTIC with ipilimumab ($n=250$) or DTIC with ipilimumab placebo ($n=252$). Ipilimumab was administered at 10 mg/kg for 4 doses followed by a maintenance phase. The addition of ipilimumab to DTIC significantly improved overall survival compared to DTIC alone (11.2 vs. 9.1 months).¹⁵ Most of the toxicities of the combination were irAEs; however, the presentation of irAEs was slightly different than in previous clinical reports for ipilimumab, with higher rates of elevated liver-function tests and lower rates of gastrointestinal (GI) complications and endocrinopathies.¹⁵

Considering the clinical benefit and safety profile of ipilimumab from these two phase III trials, the FDA approved ipilimumab for patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma, regardless of prior treatment history. Postmarketing studies—to evaluate ipilimumab in the adjuvant setting, to investigate its use in combination with other agents and to assess alternative doses—are ongoing and will help optimize and expand the use of this innovative treatment.

Unconventional patterns of clinical response

In addition to the usual antitumor effects seen with conventional cytotoxic therapy, atypical response patterns have been observed with ipilimumab. In fact, its clinical benefit may follow initial increase in tumor volume or development of new lesions. These unconventional responses reflect the mechanism of action of ipilimumab, which activates T cells to infiltrate the tumor and exert cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Antitumor immunity takes time to fully develop and can induce heavy infiltration of immune cells into tumor lesions.¹⁶ Therefore, the traditional WHO or Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) criteria are unable to capture the complete spectrum of responses to ipilimumab. Wolchok and colleagues have proposed the use of immune-related response criteria (irRC), a novel assessment tool better adapted to the antitumor activity of immunotherapy.¹⁶ The irRC permit the inclusion of new lesions in the total measurable tumor burden and require disease progression be confirmed twice, provided that patients have no rapid clinical deterioration. The irRC continue to undergo prospective evaluation in clinical trials.¹⁷

Safety profile and recommended management of irAEs

The most common adverse events associated with ipilimumab are irAEs, reflecting the agent's mechanism of action (Table 1).^{18,19} At the approved 3 mg/kg dose, irAEs affect approximately 60% of patients; 10–15% of these are severe grade 3 or 4. The GI tract and skin are most frequently affected while hepatic, endocrine

Table 1. Frequency of irAEs^a in pooled analysis ($n=1498$) by organ system.¹⁸

	Any grade, n (%)	Grade 3–4, n (%)	Grade 5, n (%)
Any irAEs	962 (64.2)	266 (17.8)	9 (0.6)
Dermatologic	672 (44.9)	39 (2.6)	0 (0)
GI	487 (32.5)	137 (9.1)	3 (0.2)
Endocrine	68 (4.5)	34 (2.3)	0 (0)
Hepatic	24 (1.6)	16 (1.1)	2 (0.1)
Ocular	20 (1.3)	6 (0.4)	0 (0)
Neurologic	2 (0.1)	0 (0)	1 (<0.1)
Cardiovascular (myocarditis)	2 (0.1)	2 (0.1)	0 (0)

GI: gastrointestinal; irAEs: immune-related adverse events.

^aThis pooled analysis includes patients received ipilimumab at various doses, ranging from 0.1 to 20 mg/kg.

Reused with permission. © 2012 Journal of Clinical Oncology. American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved. (See Ibrahim R, et al.¹⁸)

Table 2. Guidelines for recommended management of irAEs.²⁰

Site	Signs and symptoms	Management
GI	Assess patients for changes in bowel habits and for the following signs and symptoms: diarrhea, abdominal pain, blood or mucus in stool with or without fever; peritoneal signs consistent with bowel perforation and ileus	<p>Initiate work-up to rule out infectious etiologies</p> <p>Mild events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptomatic management: Dietary modifications and antidiarrheals <p>Moderate events: 4 to 6 stools/day over baseline, abdominal pain, blood or mucus in stool</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withhold ipilimumab and administer antidiarrheals • For symptoms that persist for more than one week: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Start systemic corticosteroids (0.5 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent) ○ Taper steroid down slowly over 4 or 6 weeks upon improvement to mild severity or resolution ○ Resume ipilimumab if symptoms improve to at least mild severity and steroid dose is 7.5 mg prednisone equivalent or less <p>Severe or life-threatening events: >7 stools/day over baseline, signs consistent with perforation, ileus, fever</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanently discontinue ipilimumab • Rule out bowel perforation • If perforation is present, do not administer corticosteroids • If no perforation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Start systemic corticosteroids at 1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent ○ Taper steroid down slowly over 4 or 6 weeks upon improvement to mild severity or resolution • If persistent symptoms: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Continue to evaluate for perforation or peritonitis ○ Consider other immunosuppressants
Skin	Evaluate patients for signs and symptoms of pruritus or rash	<p>Mild events:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptomatic management: Topical moisturizers, oatmeal baths or antipruritics <p>Moderate events: diffuse rash involving ≤50% of skin surface;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withhold ipilimumab • For symptoms that persist for more than one week: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Start high-potency topical steroids or systemic corticosteroids (0.5 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent) ○ Taper steroid down slowly over 4 or 6 weeks upon improvement to mild severity or resolution ○ Resume ipilimumab if symptoms improve to mild severity and steroid dose is 7.5 mg prednisone equivalent or less <p>Severe or life-threatening events: Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis or rash complicated by full thickness dermal ulceration or necrotic, bullous or hemorrhagic manifestations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanently discontinue ipilimumab • Start systemic corticosteroids at 1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent • Taper steroid down slowly over 4 or 6 weeks when improvement to mild severity or resolution

(continued)

Table 2. Continued.

Site	Signs and symptoms	Management
Liver	Evaluate LFTs and assess for signs and symptoms of hepatitis before each infusion. Elevations in LFTs (e.g., AST, ALT) and/or total bilirubin may occur in absence of clinical symptoms	<p>Initiate work-up to rule out infectious or malignant etiologies</p> <p>Increase frequency of LFT monitoring until resolution</p> <p>Moderate events: AST or ALT >2.5 times to ≤ 5 times ULN, and/or total bilirubin elevation >1.5 times but ≤ 3 times ULN</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withhold ipilimumab dose • Resume ipilimumab if LFTs $\leq 2.5 \times$ ULN or return to baseline and bilirubin $\leq 1.5 \times$ ULN or return to baseline <p>Severe or life-threatening events: AST or ALT >5 times ULN; and/or total bilirubin >3 times ULN</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanently discontinue ipilimumab • Start systemic corticosteroids at 1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent • Taper steroid down slowly over 4 or 6 weeks upon sustained improvement or return to baseline • For persistent symptoms: Consider other immunosuppressants
Endocrine	Evaluate signs and symptoms such as fatigue, headache, changes in mental status, abdominal pain, unusual bowel habits, hypotension, abnormal thyroid function tests and/or serum chemistries	<p>Initiate work-up to rule out brain or meningeal metastases or other underlying etiologies</p> <p>Moderate to Life-Threatening event:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate endocrine function • Consider radiographic pituitary gland imaging • Withhold ipilimumab in symptomatic patients • Initiate appropriate hormone-replacement therapy • Start systemic corticosteroids at 1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent • Resume ipilimumab if patient stable and symptoms resolve or return to baseline, patient is stable on hormone replacement therapy and steroid dose is 7.5 mg prednisone equivalent or less
Neurologic	Advise patient to report changes in muscle weakness, numbness or other sensory alterations. Unless alternative etiology identified, signs and symptoms of neuropathy should be considered immune mediated	<p>Moderate event: Symptoms clinically detectable without impact on ADLs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Withhold ipilimumab • Initiate appropriate medical interventions • Resume ipilimumab when symptoms resolve or return to baseline <p>Severe event: Severe symptoms with impact on ADLs or life threatening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permanently discontinue ipilimumab • Institute appropriate medical interventions • Consider systemic corticosteroids at 1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent
Ocular	Assess patients for uveitis, iritis or episcleritis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administer corticosteroid eye drops. • Permanently discontinue ipilimumab for immune-mediated ocular disease that is unresponsive to local immunosuppressive therapy

ADL: activities of daily living; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; GI: gastrointestinal; LFTs: liver function tests; ULN: upper limit of normal.

and neurologic events are less common. Typically, irAEs occur during the 12-week induction period, although rarely they can develop several months after the last dose of ipilimumab.¹⁹ Dermatologic irAEs are the earliest to occur, usually after dose 1 of ipilimumab. The

median onset of GI and hepatic events is between 6 and 7 weeks, followed by endocrinopathies, which appear at a median of 9 to 11 weeks after treatment initiation.¹⁹

Generally, irAEs are mild to moderate in severity; however, high-grade irAEs have been observed in 15%

of patients. In an effort to improve safety, ipilimumab is approved with an accompanying communication-based risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (REMS) to inform patients about the risks of irAEs and to assist healthcare professionals in evaluation and management of irAEs.²⁰ Although high-grade irAEs can be life-threatening, most can be controlled through early reporting by patients in combination with close monitoring and immediate initiation of appropriate therapy. Treatment algorithms have been developed to guide irAE management. Besides symptom-directed measures, the cornerstone of these guidelines is high-dose systemic steroid (1–2 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent). Interestingly, evidence to date suggests corticosteroid administration does not appear to affect tumor response to ipilimumab.²¹

Budesonide, an orally active steroid with limited systemic exposure due to substantial first-pass effect, was evaluated as a prophylactic measure for GI irAEs associated with ipilimumab at 10 mg/kg. Unfortunately, budesonide was ineffective in reducing the rate of grade ≥ 2 diarrhea. However, it can still have a therapeutic effect in patients with mild cases of loose stools and is listed as a therapeutic option for grade 2 diarrhea/colitis in the GI irAE management algorithm.²² It should be noted that the use of opioids to manage abdominal pain may mask signs of bowel perforation. If not successfully treated, colitis can lead to bowel perforation. When bowel perforation occurs, surgical intervention is the management of choice and the use of immunosuppressants is contraindicated.

The management strategies for specific irAEs are summarized in Table 2.²⁰ Time to resolution is dependent on the affected organ system. Dermatologic, GI, and liver immune-related toxicities begin to improve in 2 to 4 weeks; however, endocrinopathies can take a long time to resolve and in some cases are not reversible. Once symptoms improve, it is critical to taper steroid off slowly over 4 to 6 weeks to avoid relapse. Ipilimumab rechallenge can be considered in patients with grade 1 or 2 irAEs once symptoms resolved to grade 0–1. However, in general, ipilimumab should be permanently discontinued in patients with high-grade irAEs.

Dosing and administration

The current approved dosing schedule for ipilimumab is 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks for a total of 4 doses or up to 16 weeks from the first dose, whichever comes first.^{8,9} Each dose is administered IV over 90 minutes and does not require prophylactic antiemetics or premedications for infusion-related reactions. To determine whether a higher dose of ipilimumab further extends survival, a randomized phase III trial comparing 3 mg/kg to 10 mg/kg of ipilimumab is underway in patients with

previously untreated or treated metastatic melanoma. In the previously mentioned MDX010-20 study, 31 patients with tumor response or stable disease to prior ipilimumab were given reinduction therapy upon disease relapse. Interestingly, about 70% of patients who received reinduction ipilimumab were able to regain disease control.¹⁴ Although reinduction is not part of the FDA approval, it is listed as an option in the US National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for melanoma.²³ Maintenance ipilimumab was incorporated in a number of clinical trials. However, its addition to the standard induction schedule has not been systematically evaluated and therefore is not recommended at this time.

Conclusions

Ipilimumab, the first agent demonstrating survival benefit in patients with advanced or metastatic melanoma, represents a major breakthrough in the treatment of melanoma. The FDA-approved schedule is induction dosing with ipilimumab as a 90-min IV infusion at 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 4 doses. Toxicities associated with this biologic agent are class-specific irAEs. Most irAEs are manageable and reversible; however, high-grade irAEs have been observed in 15% of patients. Although high-grade irAEs can be life threatening, they can be controlled through early reporting by patients and immediate management by the oncology team. Ipilimumab-related irAE treatment algorithms, built on a framework of corticosteroids and other immunosuppressants, have been used successfully in clinical practice to minimize morbidity and mortality. Ongoing research, including evaluation of ipilimumab in the adjuvant setting, investigation of its use in combination with other agents and assessment of alternative doses, will help optimize and expand the use of this innovative treatment.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgement

The authors take full responsibility for the content of this publication and confirm that it reflects their viewpoint and medical expertise. The authors also acknowledge StemScientific, funded by Bristol-Myers Squibb, for providing writing and editorial support. Neither Bristol-Myers Squibb nor StemScientific influenced the content of the manuscript, nor did the authors receive financial compensation for authoring the manuscript.

References

1. Siegel R, Naishadham D and Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2012; 62: 10–29.

2. Miller AJ and Mihm Jr MC. Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 355: 51–65.
3. Korn EL, Liu PY, Lee SJ, et al. Meta-analysis of phase II cooperative group trials in metastatic stage IV melanoma to determine progression-free and overall survival benchmarks for future phase II trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26: 527–534.
4. Agarwala SS. Current systemic therapy for metastatic melanoma. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2009; 9: 587–595.
5. Atkins MB, Lotze MT, Dutcher JP, et al. High-dose recombinant interleukin-2 therapy for patients with metastatic melanoma: analysis of 270 patients treated between 1985 and 1993. *J Clin Oncol* 1999; 17: 2105–2116.
6. Rudd CE, Taylor A and Schneider H. CD28 and CTLA-4 coreceptor expression and signal transduction. *Immunol Rev* 2009; 229: 12–26.
7. Graziani G, Tentori L and Navarra P. Ipilimumab: a novel immunostimulatory monoclonal antibody for the treatment of cancer. *Pharmacol Res* 2012; 65: 9–22.
8. Bristol-Myers Squibb. Ipilimumab US prescribing information 2011, http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_yervoy.pdf (2011, accessed 11 December 2011).
9. YERVOY™ (ipilimumab) Summary of product characteristics. Uxbridge, UK. <http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/24779> (2011, accessed 9 March 2012).
10. Wolchok JD, Neyns B, Linette G, et al. Ipilimumab monotherapy in patients with pretreated, advanced melanoma: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 2, dose-ranging study. *Lancet Oncol* 2010; 11: 155–164.
11. Phan GQ, Yang JC, Sherry RM, et al. Cancer regression and autoimmunity induced by cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 blockade in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003; 100: 8372–8377.
12. Maker AV, Phan GQ, Attia P, et al. Tumor regression and autoimmunity in patients treated with cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 blockade and interleukin 2: A phase I/II study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2005; 12: 1005–1016.
13. Herish EM, O'Day SJ, Powderly J, et al. A phase II multicenter study of ipilimumab with or without dacarbazine in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced melanoma. *Invest New Drugs* 2011; 29: 489–498.
14. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 711–723.
15. Robert C, Thomas L, Bondarenko I, et al. Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 2517–2526.
16. Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O'Day S, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy in solid tumors: Immune-related response criteria. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 7412–7420.
17. Hoos A, Ibrahim R, Korman A, et al. Development of ipilimumab: contribution to a new paradigm for cancer immunotherapy. *Semin Oncol* 2010; 37: 533–546.
18. Ibrahim R, Berman D, de Pril V, et al. Ipilimumab safety profile: summary of findings from completed trials in advanced melanoma. Presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology 2011 Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL, USA, 3–7 June 2011, Poster 8583.
19. Kähler KC and Hauschild A. Treatment and side effect management of CTLA-4 antibody therapy in metastatic melanoma. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges* 2011; 9: 277–286.
20. Bristol-Myers Squibb. Ipilimumab US prescribing information: risk evaluation and mitigation strategy. <http://www.yervoy.com/hcp/remis.aspx>. (2011, accessed 11 December 2011).
21. Amin A, de Pril V, Hamid O, et al. Evaluation of the effect of systemic corticosteroids for the treatment of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) on the development or maintenance of ipilimumab clinical activity. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27(Suppl 15), Abstract 9037.
22. Weber J, Thompson JA, Hamid O, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II study comparing the tolerability and efficacy of ipilimumab administered with or without prophylactic budesonide in patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 5591–5598.
23. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Melanoma. Version 3.2012. National Comprehensive Cancer Network website. http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/melanoma.pdf (2012, accessed 11 March 2012).