

Paper 1

Full details of the paper using the Harvard referencing system

COOK, P. and HEILMANN, C. (2013) Two Types of Self-Censorship: Public and Private. *Political Studies*, 61(1), pp. 178-196.

(approx. 250 words)

Summary and critique of paper 1 (approx 190 words)

This journal looks in depth into the difference of private and public self-censorship in reaction to the *Jyllands-Posten* cartoons which were released in September 2005. It identifies the forms of oppression and intimidation caused by religious organisations and governments. The journal identifies as a whole that these two forms of censorship do exist, however, the individual's freedom of speech are not affected by private censorship. It also helps identify the censored and the censors and the role which they play within the censorship program.

The journal has many valid references (such as the Oxford and Cambridge University Press) supplying many counter arguments as to whether the existence of two different types of censorship truly exist. It does not, though, cover the censorship of the internet which I feel would be appropriate.

The journal has no hard facts of its own nor does it use many samples provided from references. Philip Cook and Conrad Heilmann, make up for this lack of statistics through their expertise. They are both experts in their fields of research, politics and philosophy (respectively). Their written work is both recent and reviewed by peers of the same areas of research.

Conclusion reached on reading this paper (approx 60 words)

I have found that though this journal covers only a small section of censorship, mainly based on religious context, it does give an in depth and reliable analysis of censorship. It uses recent and current events and gives a non-bias opinion upon the matter. Despite its lack of coverage of censorship on the internet, its ideas and principles can be applied globally to the matter.

State word count (max 250 words): 258