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# Course Description

An introduction to the foundations of information modeling methods used in current information management applications. The specific methods considered include relational database design, conceptual modeling, and ontologies. The basic concepts underlying these methods are sets, relations, entities, and logics. Applications considered include relational database design and RDF/OWL semantic web languages. Set theory and logic are emphasized as the foundational frameworks for information modeling in general, and for contemporary web-based information management and delivery systems (including semantic web technologies) in particular.

## Pre- and Co-requisites

None.

# Course Overview

Two sorts of students are anticipated and the course objectives are similar but slightly different for each group. In neither case is prior relevant knowledge assumed.

* LIS561 prepares students anticipating generalist responsibilities (as directors, managers, general staff, etc.) to be effective leaders in making decisions about the design, development, and evaluation of information systems, services, and policies, helping their organizations and communities deal with all aspects of the difficult technology challenges ahead.
* LIS561 prepares students anticipating careers as technology specialists to efficiently acquire and maintain superior information modeling skills throughout their careers and to play leadership roles in the design, development, and evaluation of information systems, services, and policies.

Consistent with the iSchool goal of producing leaders and not just competent professionals we focus on developing a deep understanding that will have long-term benefits and prepare students to engage the hardest problems facing organizations and society.

Of course LIS561 alone cannot fully realize these objectives; it makes a partial contribution, focusing on the principles and concepts of information modeling. A partial contribution, but a necessary one: the connection between a deep understanding of information modeling concepts and the challenging information management problems facing us today is profound.

## Strategy

The course examines the major modeling approaches currently in use in information management: relational modeling, conceptual modeling, and ontologies, focusing on underlying concepts and principles. The course is thus simultaneously a foundations course and a survey course.

## Learning Objectives

1. Develop fluency in reading and understanding formal definitions.
2. Understand the role of abstraction in making systems design choices.
3. Contrast deep vs. superficial differences in modeling languages.
4. Recognize practical implications of trading expressive power for tractability.
5. Appreciate the fundamental role of a very small set of inter-related concepts.

# Course Materials

All required readings for this class are available online. They are listed in the references section at the end of this syllabus.

# About Jodi Schneider

Jodi Schneider is an assistant professor at the School of Information Sciences. She studies scholarly communication and social media through the lens of arguments, evidence, and persuasion. She is developing Linked Data (ontologies, metadata, Semantic Web) approaches to manage scientific evidence. Jodi holds degrees in informatics (Ph.D., National University of Ireland, Galway), library & information science (M.S. UIUC), mathematics (M.A. UT-Austin), and liberal arts (B.A., Great Books, St. John's College). She has worked as an actuarial analyst for a Fortune 500 insuance company, as the gift buyer for a small independent bookstore, and in college and university libraries. She has also held research positions across the U.S. as well as in Ireland, England, France, and Chile.

# Library Resources

http://www.library.illinois.edu/lis/  
lislib@library.illinois.edu  
Phone: (217) 300-8439

# Writing and Bibliographic Style Resources

The iSchool has a Writing Resources Moodle site <https://courses.ischool.illinois.edu/course/view.php?id=1705> and iSchool writing coaches also offer free consultations. We highly recommend this!

The campus-wide Writers Workshop also provides free consultations. For more information see <http://www.cws.illinois.edu/workshop/>

# Academic Integrity

Please review and reflect on the academic integrity policy of the University of Illinois, <http://admin.illinois.edu/policy/code/article1_part4_1-401.html> to which we subscribe. By turning in materials for review, you certify that all work presented is your own and has been done by you independently, or as a member of a designated group for group assignments. If, in the course of your writing, you use the words or ideas of another writer, proper acknowledgment must be given (using APA, Chicago, or MLA style). Not to do so is to commit plagiarism, a form of academic dishonesty. If you are not absolutely clear on what constitutes plagiarism and how to cite sources appropriately, now is the time to learn. Please ask me! Please be aware that the consequences for plagiarism or other forms of academic dishonesty will be severe. Students who violate university standards of academic integrity are subject to disciplinary action, including a reduced grade, failure in the course, and suspension or dismissal from the University.

# Statement of Inclusion

[Inclusive Illinois Committee Diversity Statement](http://www.inclusiveillinois.illinois.edu/supporting_docs/Inclusive%20Illinois%20Diversity%20Statement.pdf)

As the state's premier public university, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's core mission is to serve the interests of the diverse people of the state of Illinois and beyond. The institution thus values inclusion and a pluralistic learning and research environment, one which we respect the varied perspectives and lived experiences of a diverse community and global workforce. We support diversity of worldviews, histories, and cultural knowledge across a range of social groups including race, ethnicity, gender identity, sexual orientation, abilities, economic class, religion, and their intersections.

# Accessibility Statement

To obtain accessibility-related academic adjustments and/or auxiliary aids, students with disabilities must contact the course instructor and the [Disability Resources and Educational Services](http://disability.illinois.edu/) (DRES) as soon as possible. To contact DRES you may visit 1207 S. Oak St., Champaign, call (217) 333-4603 (V/TTY), or e-mail a message to disability@illinois.edu.

## Emergency response: Run, Hide, Fight

Emergencies can happen anywhere and at any time. It is important that we take a minute to prepare for a situation in which our safety or even our lives could depend on our ability to react quickly. When we’re faced with any kind of emergency – like fire, severe weather or if someone is trying to hurt you – The [University of Illinois Police Department](http://police.illinois.edu/safe) recommends three options: [Run, hide or fight](http://police.illinois.edu/dpsapp/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/syllabus-attachment.pdf).

# Assignments and Evaluation

All assignments are required for all students. All work must be completed in order to pass this class. Late or incomplete assignments will not be given full credit unless the student has contacted the instructor prior to the due date of the assignment (or in the case of emergencies, as soon as practicable). Completed, graded assignments may be repeated for an improved grade with no penalty other than 4.5/5 being the highest possible grade for repeated assignments. Further arrangements for makeup work will be made as needed.

**Assignments, Exercises & Grade Distribution:**

* Eleven graded assignments (due 1 hour before class meeting in the week they are due): 5 points each (55 points total).
* Eleven ungraded exercises (due 25 hours before class meeting in the week they are due): 2 points each for completion (22 points total).
* Four reading responses: 5 points each (20 points total).
* Attendance and participation in class and on forums: 3 points.

**Grading Scale:**

94-100 = A  
90-93 = A-  
87-89 = B+  
83-86 = B  
80-82 = B-  
77-79 = C+  
73-76 = C  
70-72 = C-  
67-69 = D+  
63-66 = D  
60-62 = D-  
59 and below = F

**Reading Responses**

Up to twenty points (5 points each) are available for 4 reading responses, posted to the class discussion forum. You may submit more than 4 reading responses; the best 4 will be counted. Please identify them in the subject line as a *reading response* together with a topic description.

Canonical reading responses include:

1. *Critical questions*: carefully worded descriptions of an obstacle to understanding and applying concepts and methods covered in the assigned readings. These need to be more than a simple request for clarifying a term or idea. Each question should be one to three paragraphs in length, and should include:

* A one sentence summary of the question (citing the location of the anomalous passage in the reading);
* A longer, lucid explanation of the question and the obstacle that it identifies;
* A justification for why the question is important;
* Some remarks on the context in which the question arose: how did you recognize that it was a problem?

1. Lucid responses: carefully worded responses to a colleague's critical question. These should include:

A brief restatement of the question; A brief summary of your approach -- this could resolve the problem or show it in a new light; A longer, lucid explanation of your approach; A justification for your approach.

We are open to other ways for you to engage with the reading and with your fellow classmates in the forums and we may discover other forms of reading response as we go.

# Topic Schedule

### Week 1: January 19: Presenting Models

### Week 2: January 26: Models and Domains

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 1**, SVG diagram assignment

### Week 3: February 02: Propositional Logic

**Required Readings:** Benthem et al. 2014a

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 1**, Propositional logic exercise

### Week 4: February 09: Predicate Logic

**Required Readings:** Benthem et al. 2014b

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 2**, Propositional logic assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 2**, Predicate logic exercise 1

### Week 5: February 16: Predicate Logic

**Required Readings:** Benthem et al. 2014b

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 3**, Predicate logic assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 3**, Predicate logic exercise 2

### Week 6: February 23: Sets, relations, and functions

**Required Readings:** Partee 2006

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 4**, Set theory exercise

### Week 7: March 02: UML and relational modeling

**Required Readings:** Seidl et al. 2015; Teorey et al. 1986

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 4**, Set theory assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 5**, UML class diagram exercise

### Week 8: March 09: Normal forms and normalization

**Required Readings:** Kent 1983

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 5**, UML class diagram assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 6**, Relational modeling exercise

### Week 9: March 16: Syntax and Grammar

**Required Readings:** Rosen 1988

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 6**, Relational modeling assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 7**, Formal grammar exercise

### Week 10: Spring Break: March 23:

### Week 11: March 30: Semantics and Interpretation

**Required Readings:** Bach 1989

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 7**, Formal grammar assignment

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 8**, Formal semantics exercise

### Week 12: April 06: The RDF model and language

**Required Readings:** Manola et al. 2014

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 9**, RDF description exercise

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 8**, Formal semantics assignment

### Week 13: April 13: Description Logics

**Required Readings:** Krötzsch et al. 2012

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 10**, Description logic exercise

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 9**, RDF description assignment

### Week 14: April 20: Ontologies

**Required Readings:** Hitzler et al. 2012; Manola et al. 2014

**Due:** **Ungraded Exercise 11**, OWL ontology exercise

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 10**, Description logic assignment

### Week 14: April 27: Wrapup and Evaluation

**Due:** **Graded Assignment 11**, OWL ontology assignment
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