

# Future Deployment and Distribution Assessment (FDDA)

MORS Symposium 26 January 2011



maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Infor	regarding this burden estimate or mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE <b>26 JAN 2011</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE <b>00-00-2011</b>	red to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Future Deployment and Distribution Assessment (FDDA)				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM E	LEMENT NUMBER	
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
	ZATION NAME(S) AND AD n Command,JDPA(	* *	3,IL,62225-5357	8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO <b>2011 Force Structu</b>	otes are Workshop, TAS	C Heritage Confere	nce Center, Chan	tilly, VA, 24-	-27 January 2011	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC				19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 22	RESPUNSIBLE PERSUN	

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188




- FDDA Background
- Phase 1 Review
- Phase 2 Assessment Procedure
- Insights
- FDDA Way Ahead





A perennial research and analysis effort intended to assess and catalog needed deployment and distribution capabilities and technologies of interest in the extended planning period and beyond (2017+)





FDDA integrates efforts to help shape the JDDE Future

Service

Wargames

**Documentation** 

**Development** 

**RDT&E Programs** 

**GDF** 

**DPPG** 



### FDDA Objectives

- Synthesize and vet future capability gaps in the Joint Deployment and Distribution Enterprise (JDDE)
- Identify S&T initiatives that may fill gaps or improve deployment and distribution capabilities – beyond the POM
- Evaluate the utility of the technologies in support of transforming forces and operational concepts
- Provide a forum and a process to shape S&T efforts and enhance JDDE capability



UNITED STATES TRANSPORTATION COMMAND

SOR SCOTT DRIVE SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS 60226-6367

30 July 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

(ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY AND LOGISTICS
VICE CHAIRMAN, JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF


FROM: TCCC

SUBJECT: Shaping the Joint Deployment and Distribution Enterprise (JDDE) Future

- 1. The United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM) and our JDDE partners are continually exploring ways to enhance our future support to the warfighters. Our Joint Distribution Process Analysis Center (JDPAC) is working on several initiatives to help define the JDDE future. One of JDPAC's first major undertakings was to co-lead, with OSD (PA&E), the Mobility Capabilities and Requirements Study 2016 (MCRS-16). At the onset of MCRS-16, the desire for a future-oriented assessment surfaced. Now, with emerging insights from this study, the need for that future look is validated. A likely outcome of MCRS-16 is a recommendation to look at technologies to overcome shortfalls.
- 2. We have embarked on this effort, entitled The Future Deployment and Distribution Assessment (FDDA), which is focused on the extended planning period, 2017 and beyond. Its objectives are to catalog future capability gaps, identify Science and Technology (S&T) initiatives to address these gaps, and in so doing, provide a forum and a process to shape S&T efforts to enhance JDDE capabilities. FDDA will keep a focus on the Quadrennial Defense Review as it unfolds and use it to influence the roadmap for the JDDE future.
- 3. In coordination with the Services, combatant commands, and DOD staff, the FDDA Terms of Reference has been completed and the FDDA is underway. Periodically, during this assessment, we will apprise you of our progress and results. Collectively, the involvement of all stakeholders will ensure the right technologies are pursued for the JDDE feature.

DUNCAN J. McNABB General, USAF Commander








### Shape and Inform

### • Community of Interest

- OSD
- Joint Staff
- Services
- COCOMs
- DLA

### • Engagement

- Panel Meetings
- Terms of Reference –Jul 09
- Updates to TCCC –Jul 09, May 10, Jan 11
- Principals' Updates –Apr 10 and Jan 11
- Assessment Procedure May 10
- Briefings / Conferences





### Collect and Synthesize Capability Gaps

### **Gap Sources**

USA
USMC
USAF
USEUCOM
USJFCOM
USSOUTHCOM
USTRANSCOM
DLA
And various source documents

### **Gap Panel Members**


USA TRADOC ARCIC
USN N42
USAF A5XC
USAFRICOM DDOC
USJFCOM J3/4
USTRANSCOM J5/4
JS J4
DLA J-31

- Collected 120 capability gaps
- Collapsed to 60 gaps eliminating duplicates and non-materiel solutions
- Convened Capability Gap Panel to review and rate synthesized gaps
- Deferred 37 capability gaps that did not require technology for resolution
- Prioritized remaining 23 gaps

Source	Criteria	Weight	Score
JDDE Gap Management	Warfighter impact	4	1 to 5
JDDE Gap Management	Joint impact	2	1 to 5
	Capacity	1	0 to 5
	Economy	1	0 to 5
	Precision	1	0 to 5
Senior Warfighter Forum	Reliability	1	0 to 5
	Survivability	1	0 to 5
	Velocity	1	0 to 5
	Visibility	1	0 to 5



# **Prioritized Capability Gaps**




**Predictive Logistics** 

- Automated systems were top pick for USA, USAF, and USJFCOM – many solutions are working
- 6 of 7 austere access / speed capability gaps in top 10
- "Rogue" MVM gap ranked highly
- All Seabasing gaps ranked highly by USA and DLA
- Packaging gap important to DLA and USTRANSCOM



### Collect and Map S&T Initiatives






### Select Technologies for Assessment

### Convened S&T panel

- Reviewed 29 offerings
- Provided leads on additional technologies
- Credited S&T initiatives establishing the list of technologies for assessment

### Crediting Plan

- Objective 1: Relevance of the proposed technology or initiative to the JDDE
  - Proposal addresses the most critical capability gaps in the JDDE
  - Proposal offers leap-ahead technology
  - Proposal offers a capability that does not readily exist
- Objective 2: Applicability of the technology or initiative to the JDDE S&T interest areas
  - Proposal applies to the JDDE S&T interest area(s)
  - Proposal includes measurable goals for the S&T interest areas or is otherwise relevant to the JDDE mission needs
- Objective 3: Technical merits of the candidate technology
  - Proposal is technically feasible (i.e., approach is appropriate and success seems likely)
  - Proposal has technical merit
  - Quality of the proposal
- · Objective 4: Cost and cost realism
  - Potential for Return on Investment



### **S&T Panel Members**

OSD DDR&E
OSD Trans Policy
USA ARCIC
USMC MCCDC
USAF A5XC and A8XC
USNORTHCOM J47
USPACOM J42
USTRANSCOM J5/4
AMC A8XC and ST
MSC N74
SDDC ST
DLA DDC
AFMC AFRL / RBOT



# Technologies Selected for Assessment

Technology Name	Technology Type
Blended Wing Body (BWB) Energy Efficient Transport	Air platform CTOL
A400M	Air platform CTOL
Advanced Tactical Transport Technology (AT3)	Air platform STOL
C-17 FE	Air platform STOL
Advanced Pulsejet (APJ) VTOL Aircraft	Air platform VTOL
Modular Unmanned VTOL Resource (MUVR)	Air platform VTOL UAS
Mono Tiltrotor (MTR)	Air platform VTOL UAS
Optimum Speed Tiltrotor (OST)	Air platform VTOL
Aeroscraft Cargo Platform Air Vehicle	Airship
Hybrid Thermal Airship (HTA)	Airship
Lockheed Martin (LM) Hybrid Aircraft	Airship
Surface Effect Flying Vehicle (SEFV)	Air/surface platform
Sea Train	Surface platform
Dual Use Trimaran	Surface platform
Heavy Air Lift Support Ship (HALSS)	Surface platform




# Identified Technologies with Potential Impact

Technology Name	Technology Type
Advanced Landing Gear for Improvised Landing Zones	Air enabler
Automated Aerial Refueling	Air enabler
Autonomous Approach and Landing	Air enabler
Helicopter Sling Load for Joint Precision Airdrop System (JPADS)	Air enabler
Next Generation JPADS Guidance, Navigation, & Control	Air enabler
Opportune Landing Site (OLS) Detection	Air enabler
Joint Cargo Unmanned Aircraft System Slingload	Air platform
Unmanned Air-Launched Cargo Glider; Autonomous Navigating Glider Logistics System (ANGLS)	Air platform
Container At Sea Transfer System/Large Vessel Interface Lift On/Lift Off (LVI Lo/Lo)	Surface enabler
Enhanced Air Skid Shipboard Testing/Shipboard Selective Access & Retrieval System (SSARS)	Surface enabler
Joint Enabled Theater Access-Sea Ports of Debarkation (JETA-SPOD)	Surface enabler
Joint Universal Causeway Interface Module (JUCIM)	Surface enabler
Joint Recovery and Distribution System (JRaDS)	Surface platform
Vertical Armored Seabase Assault and Support Ship (VASAS)	Surface platform




# Lebanon – Uncertain Environment (Cases 1-5)





# Sudan – Uncertain Environment (Cases 6-9)



Case	Action	Type Operation	Force	Access	Leg	Technology
6 Deploy forces	Deploy	Foreign Humanitarian Assistance	IBCT Sust Bde	Major airport available	CONUS to PON	C/STOL, VTOL, Airship
	forces				Seaport to PON	VTOL, Airship
Sustain forces and 7 provide humanitariar assistance		Foreign Humanitarian Assistance	IBCT MEU Refugees	Two airports and one seaport available	CONUS to PON	Airship
	humanitarian				Air and seaport to PON	C/STOL, VTOL, UAS, Airship
8	Deploy forces	Security Cooperation	SBCT	Airport available	CONUS to PON	Airship
9	Maneuver and sustain forces	Recovery of Sensitive Item	SBCT	Airfield available	Airfield to PON	C/STOL, VTOL, Airship

And a MVM excursion

MEU

SBCT

Airport

Seaport



### Baseline Panel

Approached Baseline Panel with themes, baseline assumptions, and potential assessment vignettes

#### **Baseline Panel Members**

OSD CAPE
OSD NA
OSD Policy
USA ARCIC
USN N42
USMC HQMC and MCCDC
USEUCOM J4
USJFCOM J38 and J59
USPACOM J4
USSOUTHCOM ES
USTRANSCOM J5/4
JS J4
AMC A8 and A9
DLA J31
AMRDEC AATD

- Determined that unclassified vignettes – loosely based on the DPS and representative of the QDR – were sufficient
- Reviewed and validated assumptions
- Selected two locations





### Assessment Procedure

#### 1. Research

- Are there technologies for under-represented themes?

### 2. Qualitative assessment - Initial


- Is it in the program of record?
- What is the concept of employment?
- How does it satisfy future operational concepts?
- What other efforts are examining this?
- Does it have technical/scientific merit?

#### 3. Quantitative assessment

 Does the technology improve or reduce force closure time, sustainment delivery time, and / or exposure to hostile threats? By how much?

### 4. Qualitative assessment - Final

- What is the anticipated return on investment?
- Does the technology decrease the complexity of deployment / distribution?
- What are the human aspects of employment?
- How will it deploy to the operational area?
- What operational circumstances make one technology preferable to another?





### Tools and Model Set Up

### **Tools Used**

- Joint Flow and Analysis System for Transportation (JFAST)
- Enhanced Logistics Intra-theater Support Tools (ELIST)
- Transportability Analysis Report Generator (TARGET)
- Airfield Suitability and Restrictions Report (ASRR)
- Opportune Landing Sight : Multi-Spectral (OLS-MS)
- Excel (Quantitative Analysis) Tools


- Legacy Lift Assets
  - Numbers based on Service projections and CAPE input
- Future Lift Platforms
  - Numbers based on capacity to carry similar payloads
- Cost elements for legacy and future platforms
  - Fuel, crew, parts, and maintenance – provided by Services and vendors and adjusted by assessment team

# THE STATE OF THE S

### **UNCLASSIFIED**

# Sample Quantitative Results

### Force Closure – Sudan – Case 6



Measuring sustainment rate, truck use, cost efficiency and fuel efficiency – as well as force closure – in the quantitative assessment



- The FDDA community of interest is positive about FDDA
- Many complementary efforts are ongoing but information is compartmentalized
- Concepts (e.g., Seabasing, MVM) are amorphous targets and not universally recognized
- Future capability gap collection is a challenge
- S&T solicitation in future iterations should go to a wider audience – beyond the RFI
- Some technologies are in conceptual stage and are difficult to model



### Integration Plan

- Codify FDDA as JDDE Future Concept Assessment Methodology
  - Brief to Log FCB (10 Feb), Log JCB (late Feb) and JROC (mid-Mar)
  - Output: Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memo (JROCM)
- Apply Results / Insert into Other Analysis and S&T Efforts

### FDDA Next

- Guided by Community of Interest Collaboration and Feedback
- Synchronized to Other Mobility Analysis and Strategic Guidance
- Estimated Start in 3Qtr FY11





# **Questions?**

