

# Functional Programming and FOSS

Les Kitchen

Department of Computing and Information Systems

University of Melbourne

Linux Users of Victoria

`luv-fp-foss@po.ljk.id.au`

2 November, 2016

# Agenda

The Four Programming Paradigms

Functional Programming

History of FP

Why FP?

Simple examples

Quick sample tour of FP Zoo

FP and FOSS

Haskell

# The Four Paradigms

Imperative	Object-Oriented
Logic	Functional

# Imperative

Fortran, 1953/1957

Algol, 1958/1960

# Object-Oriented

Simula, 1967

# Logic

Planner, 1969

Prolog, 1972

# Functional

Lisp, 1958/1962

# Functional Programming

# Functional Programming

## Programming with “first class” functions

- ▶ functions as function arguments
- ▶ functions as function results
- ▶ functions as data
- ▶ “higher order” functions
- ▶ abstraction of data and control

# FP variations

- ▶ pure versus impure
- ▶ static versus dynamic typing
- ▶ lazy versus eager evaluation
- ▶ lexical versus dynamic binding



# FP “extremes”

- ▶ Traditional Lisp
  - ▶ impure, dynamic typing, eager evaluation, dynamic binding
- ▶ Haskell
  - ▶ pure, static typing, “lazy” evaluation, lexical binding

# Sketch history of FP

60 years in the making, or longer...

- ▶ Alonzo Church,  $\lambda$ -calculus, 1930s
- ▶ John McCarthy, Lisp, 1950s
- ▶ various Lisp-like languages
  - ▶ Scheme (1970s), Common Lisp (1980s), Clojure (2000s)
- ▶ statically typed FP languages
  - ▶ ML, SML, Miranda, OCaml, Haskell
- ▶ also: Erlang, Scala

# Why FP?

- ▶ Better for big systems
  - ▶ type-safety
  - ▶ correctness
  - ▶ controlled interaction
  - ▶ expressive power
- ▶ Better for parallelism, multi-core, cache

# mapfl in Haskell

```
mapfl _ [] = []  
mapfl f (x:xs) = f x : mapfl f xs
```

# mapfl in Scheme

```
(define (mapfl fun lst)
  (if (pair? lst)
      (cons
        (fun (car lst))
        (mapfl fun (cdr lst)))
      '() ))
```

# Scala

- ▶ Developed by Martin Odersky from 2001
- ▶ “Multi-paradigm”, but strong support for FP
- ▶ Java-like syntax
- ▶ JVM

# Clojure

- ▶ Developed by Rich Hickey, 2007
- ▶ Lisp-like syntax
- ▶ JVM
  - ▶ Clojurescript → Javascript
- ▶ Strong emphasis on
  - ▶ immutability
  - ▶ concurrency
  - ▶ persistent data structures

# Haskell

- ▶ Started by committee, 1987/1990
  - ▶ Simon Peyton-Jones, Phil Wadler, John Hughes,  
...
- ▶ Pure — “referential transparency”
- ▶ Strong statically typed
- ▶ Non-strict (“lazy”) evaluation
- ▶ GHC compiler: multi-target + Javascript
- ▶ Hugs, Yhc: byte-code



# Worth mentioning

- ▶ Common Lisp
- ▶ Scheme (Guile, Racket)
- ▶ OCaml
- ▶ Clean
- ▶ Mercury
- ▶ Erlang
- ▶ F#

# FP at work

- ▶ Haskell
  - ▶ Darcs distributed version-control system
  - ▶ Xmonad window manager
  - ▶ Facebook anti-spam framework
  - ▶ GHC
- ▶ Clojure
  - ▶ Australia Post, Silverpond, Thoughtworks, Zendesk, Walmart Labs, eBay, Facebook
- ▶ Scala
  - ▶ REA Group
- ▶ Mercury
  - ▶ YesLogic

# FP community in Melbourne

All on Meetup:

- ▶ Melbourne Functional User Group, MFUG
- ▶ Melbourne Haskell User Group, MHUG
- ▶ clj-melb (Clojure)
- ▶ Melbourne Scala User Group, MSUG

Recent Compose Melbourne FP event, videos available.

- ▶ <http://www.composeconference.org/>
- ▶ videos: search for “compose melbourne” on Youtube

# FP and FOSS

- ▶ Haskell
  - ▶ GHC — BSD 3-clause
  - ▶ Hugs — BSD
  - ▶ Haskell Platform — BSD
- ▶ Clojure — Eclipse Public Licence
- ▶ Scala — BSD 3-clause
- ▶ Scheme — Guile, LGPL; Racket, LGPL
- ▶ Mercury — GPL/LGPL
- ▶ many others

# Characteristics of Haskell

- ▶ concise, clean notation
- ▶ strong static typing
- ▶ pattern matching
- ▶ currying
- ▶ lazy evaluation
- ▶ “no side-effects”
- ▶ monads & IO
- ▶ compiled — good performance achievable

# Haskell's type system

- ▶ strong static typing
- ▶ type inference
- ▶ polymorphism
- ▶ abstract datatypes
- ▶ typeclasses

# Lazy evaluation

- ▶ evaluation “by need”
- ▶ not unlike Unix pipelines
- ▶ allows “infinite” data structures, [1..]
- ▶ strict evaluation possible

# Control structures by lazy evaluation

If-Then-Else as an ordinary function:

```
ite :: Bool -> a -> a -> a
ite True t _ = t
ite False _ e = e
```



# Maybe monad and failure

```
mb_sqrt x  
  | x >= 0 = Just (sqrt x)  
  | otherwise = Nothing
```

```
mb_rec x  
  | x == 0 = Nothing  
  | otherwise = Just (1/x)
```

```
mb_inc x = Just (x+1)
```

```
mb_isr x =  
  mb_rec x >>= mb_sqrt >>= mb_inc
```

# IO monad and IO actions

```
main = do
  putStrLn "What is your name?"
  name <- getLine
  print ("Hello " ++ name)
```

# Lambda expressions & currying

```
threeone x = 3 * x + 1
```

```
threeone = \x -> 3 * x + 1
```

```
tom = map (\x -> 3 * x + 1)
```

# Tail-call optimization

Straight recursive version:

$$\text{rfac } 0 = 1$$
$$\text{rfac } n = n * \text{rfac } (n-1)$$

Tail-recursive version:

$$\text{tfac } n = \text{tfac}' \ n \ 1$$
$$\text{tfac}' \ 0 \ p = p$$
$$\text{tfac}' \ n \ p = \text{tfac}' \ (n-1) \ (n*p)$$

# Haskell downsides

- ▶ Lazy evaluation:
  - ▶ run-time overhead
  - ▶ hard to predict resource usage
  - ▶ (used to make debugging difficult)
  - ▶ solution: judicious use of strictness and compiler optimizations
- ▶ Sometimes confusing error messages
- ▶ Monads don't compose well

# Summary

- ▶ FP context and history
- ▶ FP advantages and characteristics
- ▶ FP FOSS implementations

Work in progress:

<https://github.com/LJKitchen/ljk-luv-fp-foss>

Produced using the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  Beamer package, along with other free-software programs.