



software carpentry

Selling Python to Scientists

or

What I've Learned from Software Carpentry

Greg Wilson

gvwilson@cs.utoronto.ca

Copyright © 2006 Gregory V. Wilson.
This presentation may be freely used and distributed with attribution.

Plus Ça Change...

“Software engineering for science has to address three fundamental issues: (i) dealing with datasets that are large in size, number, and variations; (ii) construction of new algorithms to perform novel analyses and syntheses; and (iii) sharing of assets across wide and diverse communities.”

— Emmott et al, *Towards 2020 Science*

- It's a shame “getting the right answer” didn't make the list...

The State of Play

- Most computational “science” isn’t
 - Not reproducible
 - Of unknown quality
- Most scientists don’t care
 - Because journal reviewers and tenure committees don’t
- Is computational thalidomide inevitable?

Productivity? Tell Me More...|

- Luckily, computational scientists *do* care about getting more done
- The best way to improve productivity is to improve quality
 - RUP: prevent bugs through over-design
 - XP: catch bugs right after writing them
- So sell quality in disguise

You Can't Sell a Language !

- “Python makes programming easy!”
 - s/Python/OOP/
 - .../UML/
 - .../XP/
 - .../blah blah blah/
- Have to offer them solutions to their problems
 - That just happen to use Python

So What Are Their Problems?|

- They don't know how to program
 - But many of them think they do
- They don't know how to *develop*
 - Most don't even know the difference
- Lots of data to manage
 - In dozens of weird formats
- Tasks to automate
 - Many involving odd hardware

Timing, Timing, Timing

- Best (only?) time to teach scientists something new is in grad school
 - They're in for the long haul, so investment makes sense
 - They welcome excuses to procrastinate
 - And everyone likes to have a Plan B
- So: show them what they need to handle a small software project

✓ Version Control

- Grad students don't use it
 - Because their supervisors don't
- Advertise it as an easy way to sync laptop, home machine, and lab
 - “Undo” and accountability come later
- No, it has nothing to do with Python
 - But it gets you past their mental immune system

✕ Build

- Repeatable builds are the second most important part of any project
- But Make, Ant, and IDE-specific builders are too well established
- No traction for SCons until they have been assimilated
 - And even then, I'm not sure it's the right thing to do...

✓ Data Crunching

- Python shines here
 - RE, XML, and DB are all solid
 - Thank you, Fredrik Lunch
 - But can someone please standardize data types in DB-API?
- Biggest hole is spreadsheets
 - *Real* spreadsheets
 - win32 is not an answer

✖ Prototyping and GUIs

- Rapid prototyping?
 - Hard to compete with MATLAB, etc.
 - Again, they must already be assimilated
- GUIs?
 - Certainly easier than Swing
 - But integrating with legacy code is frightening (especially the first time)
 - No future in desktop GUIs anyway

? Testing

- Python *ought* to shine here
 - unittest, doctest, Nose, ...
- But integration is a problem (again)
- So is the fact that *no one knows how to unit test floating-point code*
 - “Relative error $< 10^{-6}$ ” isn’t science
 - Full analysis impractical
- Not our fault, but it hurts us anyway

✓ Design

- Teach 'em how to build stuff
 - Class design
 - Modularization
 - Debugging
- Easier to do in Python than in... well... just about anything
 - Many will come back after trying to apply the ideas in F90, C++, Java, or C#

Testing Revisited

- We can teach them how to test, even if they can't test the things that matter to them most
 - String I/O instead of temporary files
 - Testing error handling
 - Modularization to improve testability
 - Thank you, Michael Feathers
- Again, many will come back

✓ Process

- Stick to process-with-a-small-p
 - “Inception iterations” and “continuous refactoring” will close people’s ears
- In practice, this means putting bug tracking, continuous integration, and the like on top of version control
 - Thank you, Karl Fogel and Matt Doar
 - And Chris DiBona

Portal (n): a gateway...

- I used to think that scientists wouldn't care what their project portal was written in
 - They see the web, not the CGI
- Trac has proved me wrong
 - “It has an API? Cool...”
- <http://www.drproject.org>
 - But wait for 1.1

CS 101: The Final Frontier

- Java 101 isn't appropriate for scientists
 - C++ as a first language? Brr...
 - MATLAB is a better choice
- Python?
 - With data crunching and visualization
 - Ask me in a year...
 - Do you want to review our book?

Thank You

- Python Software Foundation
- Enthought
- University of Toronto, LANL, etc.
- Paul Dubois



<http://www.swc.scipy.org>

Favorites

Clark: *Pragmatic Project Automation*

Doar: *Practical Development Environments*

Feathers: *Working Effectively with Legacy Code*

Fogel: *Producing Open Source Software*

Glass: *Facts and Fallacies of Software Engineering*

Gunderloy: *Coder to Developer*

Hochschild: *Bury the Chains*

Hunt & Thomas: *Pragmatic Programmer*

Margolis & Fisher: *Unlocking the Clubhouse*

Spinellis: *Code Quality*