TODO

NO

$\mathrm{May}\ 5,\ 2015$

Contents

| 1 | TODO | 2 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Start report (NO) | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Profiling | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Easy solutions with omp critical | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Misc tests | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Reporting structure improvements | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Start testing on Povel | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Optimizing flags / compilers | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | MPI? (if so on R-side, or?) | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Instructions 10.1 Build & compile | 3 3 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10.1.1 Makevars 10.2.2 Project instructions from PDC 10.2.1 For some application and HPC architecture of your | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | choice: | 4 4 4 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10.2.5 After the course | 4 5 | | | | | | | | |

| 10.3 | Run v | algrind | ${\rm from}$ | R . | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
|------|--------|---------|--------------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-----|----|-----|------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | 10.3.1 | To ex | plore a | and i | mak | e ca | llgı | rino | l r | ea | dal | ole: | | • | | | 5 |

- 1 TODO
- 2 Start report (NO)
- 3 Profiling
 - profile R (AK)
 - profile valgrind (NO)
 - Baseline profiling (AK)

4 Easy solutions with omp critical

- omp critical -> omp atomic write/update? (AK)
- profile again
- 5 Misc tests
 - change from dynamic to static
 - if keep critical consider naming them (possible speedup) (AK)
 - what does nowait do? speedup? (NO)

6 Reporting structure improvements

• Can summary\$pt and summary\$prev be merged? \$prev and \$pt are almost identical \$pt allocates patient-time whereas \$prev allocates number of individuals, all other columns are identical and their sizes seems to be very similar. !suggest a single structure with one more column.

```
> tmp <- callFhcrc(n=1e6)
user system elapsed
40.731 0.041 10.695
> dim(tmp$summary$pt)
122175 8
> dim(tmp$summary$prev)
116545 8
```

- \$events has one more factor, \$event the event type and does not fit in that structure.
- Could the dynamic mapping be changed for a static sparse structure? According to the table below it would be 432000x8 matrix. The sparsity would result in overhead (and look bad if not post-processed) with small simulations, but the static allocation could save overhead for large simulations (when it is needed).

| state | grade | dx | psa | cohort | age | number of combinations |
|-------|-------|----|-----|-------------|-----|------------------------|
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | (1980-1900) | 100 | 432 000 |

- A consequence of using a static structure maybe that openMP's reduction() could be used. After a quick web search: it looks like it does not operate directly on arrays.
- 7 Start testing on Povel
- 8 Optimizing flags / compilers
- 9 MPI? (if so on R-side, or?)

10 Instructions

10.1 Build & compile

Howto install and compile the R-package:

https://github.com/mclements/microsimulation

shell: git clone https://github.com/mclements/microsimulation.git

R: install.packages("BH")
R: install.packages("Rcpp")

shell: R CMD INSTALL path_to_microsimulation

10.1.1 Makevars

On Ferlin, the gcc compiler used for R is 4.6.0, which only has expereminetal support for C++11 standard. The flag to provide is -std=c++0x in lieu of -std=c++11.

10.2 Project instructions from PDC

10.2.1 For some application and HPC architecture of your choice:

- Develop efficient program for non-trivial problem
- Demonstrate and report how efficient it is.
- 4.5 ECTS = 3 weeks of work incl. report writing

10.2.2 The project is not about:

- Substantial development of new code.
- Scientific results obtained with code

10.2.3 So:

- Prioritize measurements and analysis/interpretation!
- \bullet Demonstrate use of tools (profiling, $\dots)\,$, and simple performance model.
- NO TIME for development of new significant code.

10.2.4 Examples:

- Parallelize a code you know and/or work with; choose interesting part.
- Write a simple code for key algorithm of bigger solution process
- Write a simple code for a simple problem

10.2.5 After the course

- Start the work ASAP:
- Finish the work; Get in touch with tutor

- Submit report to tutor. The report will be graded and sent back with comments; you may have to complete some parts and hand in again. We need email and paper mail address!
- KTH students: LADOK
- Other students: Certificate will be sent to you

10.2.6 Now - during lab-afternoons

- Discuss with instructors & course participants, form groups of size G.
- Define project and choose tutor: Michael, Jonathan, Erwin, Stefano
- Write very short synopsis, check with supervisor
- Submit synopsis to summer-info@pdc.kth.se before end of HPC course

10.3 Run valgrind from R

Howto run valgrind from shell:

```
R --vanilla -d "valgrind --tool=memcheck --track-origins=yes" < ~/src/ki/microsimulation/doc/RunSim.R
```

R --vanilla -d "valgrind --tool=callgrind" < ~/src/ki/microsimulation/doc/RunSim.R

10.3.1 To explore and make callgrind readable:

- https://github.com/jrfonseca/gprof2dot
 - gprof2dot -f callgrind < callgrind.out.18739 | dot -Tpng > profile.png plot callgrind output
 - gprof2dot -f callgrind < callgrind.out.18503 | dot -Tpdf
 > profile.pdf plot to pdf
 - gprof2dot -z callFhcrc -f callgrind < callgrind.out.4596
 | dot -Tpdf > profile.pdf set root function
 - gprof2dot -s -z callFhcrc -f callgrind < callgrind.out.9822
 | dot -Tpdf > profile.pdf skip arguments to functions
- kCachgrind