

Monte Carlo Hauser-Feshbach computer code system to model nuclear reactions: YAHFC V3.66*

W. E. Ormand^{1,2}

¹*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-414, Livermore, California 94551, USA*

²*Department of Physics and the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory,
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 4284-1321, USA*

(Dated: August 12, 2021)

A computer program framework, YAHFC, to model low-energy nuclear reactions is presented. The framework allows for reactions with incident particles ranging from protons/neutrons to alphas and is designed to address reactions that ultimately lead to the formation of compound nuclear systems that then decay statistically as outlined in concepts of Hauser and Feshbach. Additionally, instead of a reaction, it is also possible to model the decay of a nuclear system with an initial excitation and population. The code models nuclear decays with a Monte Carlo process that tracks the decay of each state. This allows for an exact representation of the spectra for all emitted particles in each of the final exit channels and the possibility of generating reaction data for simulation purposes. The program is interfaced with the optical model code system FRESKOX to calculate transmission coefficients as well as the effects of coupled channels and other direct excitations via the distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA). Modules are included to account for nuclear processes such as width corrections, pre-equilibrium emission, and fission. The program is controlled by a series of input commands and while a set of input parameters exists for each projectile and target, the input commands allow for complete control over each input parameter. Extensive data files are produced and a program is provided that converts YAHFC data files into nuclear data library entries in the generalized nuclear data structure (GNDS).

I. INTRODUCTION

Low-energy reactions* induced by the light projectiles protons, neutrons, deuterons, tritons, ³He, and alpha particles on medium to heavy nuclei are key to many applications of nuclear physics in fundamental and applied research. For example, the radiative capture of neutrons on short-lived nuclei are thought to be responsible for the synthesis of the elements heavier than iron through the r-process [1] in supernovae and/or neutron-star mergers [2]. And neutron induced reactions are important to science-based, stockpile stewardship as well as modeling of nuclear reactors. For many applications, an extensive library is needed to describe all the facets of the reaction, including cross sections and the spectra of emitted particles. Ideally, these data libraries would be extensively based on experimentally measured data. In general, experiment by itself is insufficient to generate these libraries as experimental data can be inconsistent, which must be reconciled through data evaluation, and/or incomplete for all the channels induced by the projectile. Thus, theoretical modeling is always necessary in order to provide complete data libraries for applications. The theoretical modeling of these low-energy reactions is based on a generalization of the compound nucleus framework of Hauser and Feshbach [3].

Several computer code systems based on the Hauser-

Feshbach formalism have been developed over the years to model reactions and to generate outputs for nuclear data libraries, such as GNASH [4], EMPIRE [5], and TALYS [6]. Here, yet another framework, hence the odd name YAHFC, “Yet Another Hauser-Feshbach Code”, is presented. While the goal of each code primarily focuses on modeling the reactions, they each have somewhat different approaches, features, and nuances that set them apart and give them advantages and disadvantages. YAHFC is a modern framework making full use of FORTRAN 90 features, including derived types to categorize internal data structures and dynamic memory allocation so that only the memory needed for the calculation at hand is allocated and problems of arbitrary size can be addressed without recompiling. The principal internal structures, such as the excitation energy bins, can be user defined, and are generated prior to modeling the reaction, much like the now extinct code STAPRE [7], to allow for faster execution over the complete range incident energies. YAHFC is also integrated with the optical model code system FRESKOX [8], which is currently being updated and maintained. In addition, the decays in YAHFC are generated via a Monte Carlo process, providing a sequence of decay events that permit a more detailed analysis of the properties of each exit channel. In particular, the emission spectra for each emitted particle can be generated, e.g., first, second, third, etc., and it is possible to analyze correlations in the decay products. In addition, events can be exported from the run, which means that YAHFC can be utilized as an event generator for other applications and simulations. Finally, the data generated by YAHFC is compiled into a nuclear data library in the generalized nuclear data structure (GNDS) [9] with

* ormand1@llnl.gov

* A rough definition of low-energy reactions used here are those that induce an excitation energy in the compound nuclear system up to 30-50 MeV

the PYTHON script provided in the distribution.

II. INSTALLATION AND EXECUTION

After download, the YAHFC system is installed into a directory, which also contains a `Readme.txt` file. The YAHFC framework was built for unix-based architectures and makes use of environment variables that define the directory locations for the YAHFC system that are used during execution. The user needs to establish the environment variables `$YAHFC_DIR`, which is the top-level directory where the YAHFC system is installed, `$YAHFC_DAT`, which is the directory where data files read by YAHFC are located (usually `$YAHFC_DIR/Data`), and `$YAHFC_BIN_DIR`, which is the directory where the binary executables for FRESCOX and YAHFC are located and must be added to the path. The source files are contained in the directory `$YAHFC_Dir/Src` and are compiled via the `Makefile` in the directory. The executable for serial version is made with the command `make YAHFC`, creating the executable `YAHFC.x`, which is copied into the `$YAHFC_BIN_DIR` directory. Similarly, the MPI version is made with the command `make YAHFC-MPI`, which creates the executable `YAHFC-MPI.x`.

Before YAHFC can be executed, the program FRESCOX must be installed (not FRESCO), which is available for public download at <https://github.com/LLNL/Frescox>, and built with the executable `frescox` placed in the path.

There are several example runs in the directory `$YAHFC_DIR/Runs`. These include incident neutrons on ^{235}U , ^{238}U , and ^{239}Pu with fission, a non-fission case with incident neutrons for ^{87}Y , and population calculation for ^{88}Y .

A. Input Command Structure

YAHFC is based on entering a series of input commands that control all features of the calculation. These commands appear in a script read by YAHFC (via the `stdin` I/O stream, i.e., Fortran unit = 5) and may be entered in any order and case (however, they must be spelled correctly) as the commands are parsed, ordered, and converted to lower case for execution. A compendium of the input commands is provided in Appendix D, and their concept is introduced here as some commands are referred to in this written description in order to describe various model options. Much like TALYS, YAHFC has a default, or “push-button”, mode. The minimum set of commands required to perform a YAHFC calculation are those needed to specify the target, projectile, range of incident energies, and a terminating “end” command, for example

```
file As75-test
target 33 75
projectile 0 1
proj_eminmax 0.100 20.0 0.2
end
```

This specifies the name for the output file, the Z and A of the target and projectile, and an incident energy grid for the projectile with minimum and maximum energies of 0.1 MeV and 20.0 MeV spaced by 0.2 MeV.

If the range of incident energies is not provided by the commands `proj_eminmax` or `proj_e_file`, YAHFC will automatically set up a range incident energies from 1.0×10^{-4} MeV to 20.0 MeV, with steps of 0.2 MeV for energies between 0.1-20.0 MeV.

III. BASIC PHYSICS CONCEPTS

Low-energy nuclear reactions take place primarily through the formation of the compound nucleus where the incident projectile is captured by the target and its energy is shared statistically among all the nucleons within the compound system. The process by which the sharing of the energy is complicated, and one appealing picture is multistep compound reaction theory [10]. The projectile is captured by the target and then undergoes collisions with the constituent nucleons through multiple steps; eventually equilibrating the system where statistical nuclear properties dominate. This is true provided that the time scale of the collisions leading to equilibration is fast relative to emission of particles, which is generally the rule at lower incident energies. At higher incident energies, the time scale for particle emission can become commiserate with the equilibration process, leading to so-called pre-equilibrium emission. Theoretical developments of the overall reaction process is rich and attempts have been made to build a coherent microscopic framework around the multistep reaction concept. These attempts have met with limited success overall, and most reaction codes have primarily divided the reaction process into different components with models specifically designed, or tuned, to address each component. The YAHFC computer code also follows this conceptual design model. The reaction is described within the context of the following steps:

1. The interaction of the projectile with the target is described via an averaged potential, the so-called optical potential, that describes elastic scattering, absorption, and direct processes, such as excitation to rotational or vibrational states via coupled channels or the distorted wave-Born approximation.
2. The probability for the formation of the initial composite system is defined by the optical potential absorption cross section and a decision is made whether it decays via pre-equilibrium or compound nucleus emission. The pre-equilibrium probability is

computed with an external model and reduces the absorption probability.

- (a) If compound, the initial state is decayed statistically, as described below (which includes fission), taking into account the effects of width fluctuations.
- (b) If pre-equilibrium, the initial state is decayed following the details of the pre-equilibrium model.

3. Following the initial decay, the system is decayed statistically to the terminus.

In YAHFC, the decays are conducted via Monte Carlo and data is collected at each step; for example, the emission energy, angle, etc., for emitted particle in both the stationary Lab frame and the reaction center-of-momentum reference system. Options exist to print data for each decay step for later analysis by the user. After completing the calculation, the data is collected via the exit channels and printed into libraries that can be translated in GNDS format.

A. Formation Probability

Modeling the decay of any nucleus can be initiated in one of two ways with YAHFC. The first is to model the reaction formation with the optical model formalism, which provides the reaction cross sections for elastic and direct processes as well as absorption. The second is to manually input populations as a function of excitation energy, angular momentum, and parity.

The optical potential formalism is used to provide details the total cross section, absorption cross section, and elastic scattering. Direct excitations to rotational or vibrational states are accounted for within the standard coupled-channels framework and additional direct excitations to ancillary states can be accounted for with the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA). The optical potential provides the transmission coefficients for the incident particle, $T_{lj}(E_{\text{in}})$, where E_{in} is chosen here to be the incident energy of the projectile in the center-of-momentum frame, l is the orbital angular momentum, and j is the total angular momentum of the projectile particle, i.e., $\vec{j} = \vec{l} + \vec{s}$, with s being the intrinsic spin of the projectile. The absorption cross section for the entrance channel defined by l and j coupled to a target state with angular momentum and parity $J_T^{\pi_T}$ to form a state with angular momentum and parity J^{π} in the composite nucleus is

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}}^{ljJ_TJ^{\pi}}(E_{\text{in}}) = \pi\lambda^2 \frac{2J+1}{(2j+1)(2J_T+1)} T_{lj}(E_{\text{in}}), \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{J} = \vec{J}_T + \vec{j} \rightarrow |J_T - j| \leq J \leq J_T + j$, the parity is given by the product $\pi = \pi_T \pi_{proj} (-1)^l$, and $\lambda = \hbar/p$ is the de Broglie wavelength of the incident projectile in

the center of mass system. The total absorption cross section is then

$$\sigma_{\text{abs}}(E_{\text{in}}) = \sum_{ljJ^{\pi}} \sigma_{\text{abs}}^{ljJ_TJ^{\pi}}(E_{\text{in}}), \quad (2)$$

The charge and mass number of the composite nucleus are defined as $Z = Z_p + Z_T$ and $A = A_p + A_T$, where subscripts p and T denote the projectile and target, respectively (the neutron number is obviously $N = A - Z$). Once formed, the excitation energy, E_x , of compound system is given by

$$E_x = E_{\text{in}} - S_p, \quad (3)$$

where S_p is the separation energy of the projectile defined by the difference in the binding energy, BE , of the composite system and the target

$$S_p = BE(Z, A) - BE(Z_T, A_T). \quad (4)$$

Eqs. (1) and (2) then define the entrance probability for forming a state with angular momentum and parity J^{π} and excitation energy E_x in the composite nucleus given a projectile with incident energy E_{in} and angular momenta l

$$P(J^{\pi}, E_x | l, j, E_{\text{in}}) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}^{ljJ_TJ^{\pi}}(E_{\text{in}})}{\sigma_{\text{abs}}(E_{\text{in}})}. \quad (5)$$

Being a Monte Carlo code, YAHFC follows the decay of N_{samp} events that are initialized using Eq. (5). These initial states are then decayed individually following the decay probabilities for each subsequent state as described in the following sections.

Two options to perform a calculation where the system is prepared in an initial population at a given excitation energy are provided. The first is performed by replacing the projectile command with

`projectile -1 -1 E_x dE_x`

Here, the initial system will be populated with an initial excitation energy E_x with a Gaussian spread dE_x (selected for each Monte Carlo sample) and initial angular momentum and parity populations distributed according to the density of states. In the second option, the initial populations are explicitly set by invoking the command

`projectile -1 0 input.pop`

where input.pop specifies the probabilities for each initial J^{π} bin at excitation energy E_x , and is described in detail in Appendix A.

B. Pre-equilibrium Emission

Following the formation of the composite nucleus, the possibility that particle emission prior to equilibration

is accounted for with a pre-equilibrium model. Several models have been devised to account for pre-equilibrium emission, see for examples Refs. [11–13], and they can be implemented in YAHFC by building a module that pre-computes the cross section for pre-equilibrium emission and the subsequent decay probabilities to final states. At present, YAHFC uses the two-component exciton model of Ref. [13]. Pre-equilibrium emission is not considered for calculations where the initial populations are specified manually.

The two-component exciton formalism describes the evolution of the reaction in terms of the time-dependent population of exciton states that are characterized by proton ($k = \pi$) and neutron ($k = \nu$) particle, p_k , and hole, h_k , numbers, with the number of particle-type excitons defined as $n_k = p_k + h_k$ and the total number of excitons as $n = n_\pi + n_\nu$. Two-body interactions then allow transitions to occur from one exciton state to another, beginning from the initial $n^0 = A_p$ state with $p_\pi^0 = Z_p$, $p_\nu^0 = N_p$ and $h_\pi^0 = h_\nu^0 = 0$. The temporal development of the system can be obtained via the solution of a master equation describing the gain and loss of various exciton states with the number of excitons governed by $h_k = p_k - p_k^0$. In principle, the master equation can be solved numerically, but this is generally not practical for many applications and one makes use of the “never come back” approximation where the transition of exciton states into less complex exciton states is neglected. Within this framework, the primary pre-equilibrium emission spectrum for a particle of type k can be given as

$$\frac{d\sigma_k^{PE}}{dE_k} = \sigma_{\text{abs}}^k \sum_{p_\pi=p_\pi^0}^{p_\pi^{\max}} \sum_{p_\nu=p_\nu^0}^{p_\nu^{\max}} W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \quad (6)$$

where σ_{abs}^k denotes optical model absorption cross section for particle k with the residual as the target, $W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ is the emission rate for particle k , $\tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ is the average lifetime of the exciton state, and $P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ represents the part of the pre-equilibrium flux that survives emission from the previous state and passes through the $(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ configurations. The sum extends to a maximum number of excitons where the probability of creating a new particle-hole configuration is equal to that of annihilating one - typically $p_k^{\max} \sim 6$. Explicit formulae for these quantities are complex and given in Ref. [13] - here, the main features are succinctly described in Appendix C, where parameters that may be set via YAHFC commands are highlighted. The total pre-equilibrium cross section is obtained by integrating the emission spectrum, i.e.,

$$\sigma^{PE} = \sum_k \sigma_k^{PE} = \sum_k \int dE_k \frac{d\sigma_k^{PE}}{dE_k}, \quad (7)$$

and the compound nucleus formation cross section is $\sigma^{CN} = \sigma_{\text{abs}} - \sigma^{PE}$. Note that in general, because they

lack charge, and, thus, are not influenced by a Coulomb barrier, neutrons tend to dominate pre-equilibrium emission, much like they do for statistical decay.

In YAHFC, once the initial configuration is determined following the probability in Eq. (5), the probability of pre-equilibrium emission is determined from the ratio $\sigma_k^{PE}/\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ with an emission spectrum given by Eq. (6).

The exciton model does not specify an emission angle or the angular momentum transfer. Here, as in TALYS, the angular distributions are described with the phenomenological approach of Kalbach [14], giving the probability for the ejectile angle θ_e as

$$P(\theta_e) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\sinh(a)} \exp(a \cos \theta_e), \quad (8)$$

where a is a parameter dependent on the projectile and ejectile and incident and emitted energies, and is given in detail in Ref. [14]. The probability distribution for the azimuthal angle ϕ is taken to be uniform.

For the angular momentum transfer, typically, one chooses the final angular momentum based on the density of states. This has the flaw that the emitted nucleon tends to have an energy closer to the incident particle, and thus will often have a larger angular momentum. In YAHFC, a semi-classical model is introduced where the initial orbital angular momentum, l , and kinetic energy E_{in} define an impact parameter for the projectile as $b_p = l_p/k_p$, with $k_p = \sqrt{2m_p E_p}/\hbar c$. If we assume a peripheral-type reaction occurring near the surface, $R = 1.2(A_T^{1/3} + A_p^{1/3})$ fm, conservation of linear momentum can be used to deduce an effective impact parameter for the ejectile, b_e , for a given ejectile angle θ_e . Assuming that emission occurs at, or near, the nuclear surface the impact parameter can be approximated by

$$b_e = \begin{cases} R|\cos \theta_e|, & b_p > R \\ \sqrt{R^2 - b_p^2} \sin \theta_e + b_p \cos \theta_e, & b_p < R, \theta_e < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \sqrt{R^2 - b_p^2} \sin \theta_e + b_p \cos \theta_e, & b_p < R, \theta_e \geq \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

leading to an ejectile orbital angular momentum $l_e = k_e b_e$. Then, the angular momentum of the ejectile, j_e , is chosen randomly in the range $|l_e - s_e| \leq j_e \leq l_e + s_e$ and the angular momentum of the final nuclear state, J_f , is selected randomly within the range $|J - j_e| \leq J_f \leq J + j_e$, with the weight given by the level density in the final nucleus.

The overall decay process for pre-equilibrium emission in YAHFC follows the steps:

1. Select the decay particle as determined by the pre-equilibrium cross section for that particle (integrating Eq. (6)) and determine the energy of the final nuclear state from the emission spectrum,
2. If the decay is to a continuous energy bin, select the angle θ and compute the orbital angular momentum and angular momentum of the final state.

For pre-equilibrium photons, select the final angular momentum in the range $J_i \pm 1$ as dictated for electric dipole emission.

3. If the decay is to the region of discrete states, select the closest discrete state matching angular momentum conditions, and select emission angle.

Currently, YAHFC is primarily designed for low-energy reactions where multiple pre-equilibrium emission is not expected. Thus, following pre-equilibrium decay, the remnant system is assumed to form a compound nuclear state, and all subsequent decays follow the standard Hauser-Feshbach formalism. Extensions to account for multiple pre-equilibrium emission, as well as other pre-equilibrium models, are planned in the future.

The two-component exciton pre-equilibrium model can be turned on(off) with the option `preeq_model 1(0)`. In addition, commands are also provided to define each parameter in the exciton model, which are each described in detail in Ref. [13] and Appendix C.

C. Compound Nucleus Decay

Once the compound nucleus is formed, the decay principally proceeds through statistical mechanisms, where the overall probability is guided by the density of final states and the transmission probability (coefficient) for the particle to be emitted at a specific energy. The Hauser-Feshbach formalism relies on the assumption of time-reversal invariance of the reaction and the emission probability is governed by the transmission coefficients, T_{lj} , obtained from the interaction of the ejectile and final nucleus through the optical potential. Here, l and j (with $|l - s| \leq j \leq l + s$) define the orbital and total angular momentum of the emitted particle. Strictly speaking, these transmission coefficients should be computed for each combination of ejectile and final nucleus following the entire decay chain. From a practical standpoint, they are computed for the emission of the first particle, say neutrons (n), of its type and then the same transmission coefficients are used for the decay of subsequent particles of this type, e.g. $2n$, $3n$, etc. This is a reasonable approximation as the slight differences from the geometry of the different A values and target spins are small.

In a nutshell, the probability to emit a particle k with energy E from a given initial excited compound nuclear state with excitation energy E_x to a final state c with excitation energy $E_x - S_k - E$ may generically be represented as

$$P_{k,c}(E) = \frac{T_{k,c}(E)}{\sum_{k',c'} T_{k',c'}(E')}, \quad (10)$$

where the sum in the denominator extends over all possible decays of particles k' with energy E' to final states,

or channels c' . As written, Eq. (10) denotes decays to individual discrete states and can be rewritten as

$$\frac{dP_{k,c}(E)}{dE} = \frac{T_{k,c}(E)\rho_c(E_x - S_k - E)}{\sum_{k',c'} \int T_{k',c'}(E')\rho_{c'}(E_x - S_k - E')dE'}, \quad (11)$$

where the density of states may, of course, be written in terms of the individual states, i and their energies E_i , as

$$\rho_c(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i). \quad (12)$$

Note that in order to simplify the equations, the channel label c represents a suppressed notation that includes all relevant information needed to uniquely define the final states, such as the angular momenta l and j of the emitted particle, Z and A for the final nucleus, and angular momentum and parity of the final nuclear states. Eq. (11) then leads naturally to describing the excitation spectrum with a set of known discrete states augmented with a continuous level representing a set of states within an energy bin ΔE centered about an excitation energy E ; the number of which is given by $N = \rho_c(E)\Delta E$. This is the general philosophy of most Hauser-Feshbach codes, where a finite set of discrete states, with complete spectroscopic information, is included up to a cutoff energy, E_{cut} . Above E_{cut} , nuclear structure is embodied in the density of states and the electromagnetic strength functions. The cutoff energy is guided by experimental spectroscopy, and generally is taken to be the excitation energy where the spectrum is complete. YAHFC follows this philosophy with the exception that an option is given to include all known discrete states with known spectroscopic decay path to the ground state or a known isomer. These discrete states are above E_{cut} and embedded into the energy bins describing the density of states, which is adjusted accordingly. Thus, YAHFC offers the option to track a wide variety of known gamma lines, which can be compared to experiment and is useful for analyzing surrogate experiments.

For the decay of pure compound nuclear states, the angular distributions are assumed to be isotropic.

D. Primary Decay

In the previous section, a generalized description of the decay of the compound nuclear states was given. A special case exists for the primary decay of the first compound nucleus where both the decay probability and the angular distribution of emitted particles have a dependence on the entrance channel. Indeed, for the primary decay, the decay probability in Eqs. (10) and (11) are modified by multiplying the transmission coefficients in both the numerator and denominator by a factor W_{ac} , referred to as the width fluctuation correction factor (WFCF), which accounts for correlations that exist between the entrance channel a and all other exit channels

c. Primarily, these correlations enhance the elastic channel, and correspondingly decrease other open channels. The WFCF has been widely studied, with various formulations, such as those due to Hoffman, Richert, Tepel, and Weidenmüller (HRTW) [15–17] and Moldauer [18, 19]. In addition, the WFCF tends to unity, i.e., no correction, with increasing incident energy for the projectile. For the most part, the WFCF needs to be precomputed and applied to the decay probabilities following formation in the entrance channel. In YAHFC, a default module is provided that applies the WFCF due to Moldauer.

The Moldauer approach assumes a χ^2 distribution with ν degrees of freedom for the distribution of partial widths, leading to the relation

$$W_{ac} = \left(1 + \delta_{ac} \frac{2}{\nu_a}\right) \int_0^\infty dx \prod_{c'} \left(1 + \frac{2T_{c'}}{\nu_{c'} T} x\right)^{\gamma_{acc'}}, \quad (13)$$

where the Hauser-Feshbach denominator $T = \sum_i T_i$ is the sum over all the transmission coefficients for open decay channels, $\gamma_{acc'} = -\delta_{ac'} - \delta_{cc'} - \nu_{c'}/2$, and the number of degrees of freedom is given by

$$\nu_i = 1.78 + (T_i^{1.212} - 0.78) \exp\left(-0.228 \sum_{c'} T_{c'}\right). \quad (14)$$

For transitions to the bins within the continuous level density, the transmission coefficient in the numerator is replaced with the mean coefficient in the bin (in this case at the center of the bin), and the exponent is taken at $N_{eff}\nu_{c'}(T_i)$, where $N_{eff} = \rho\Delta E$ is the number of the levels in the energy bin. Note that in the situation with many channels and $T_i/T < 1$ the Moldauer product is approximately e^{-x} . This is generally the case for gamma transitions, and the Moldauer product for gamma transitions is taken to be $\exp(-\sum_\gamma T_\gamma/T)$.

In YAHFC, Eq. (13) is evaluated numerically using Gauss-Laguerre quadrature. The number of quadrature points N_{QP} is determined by the magnitude of the Hauser-Feshbach denominator in Eq. (13) by

Condition	N_{QP}
$T \leq 2$	100
$2 < T \leq 5$	50
$5 < T \leq 10$	25
$T > 10$	10

To enhance performance without sacrificing accuracy, width fluctuations are not applied for entrance channels with $T > 50$, which may be changed with the command `moldauer_cutoff`, or if it contributes less than 0.01% to the absorption cross section. The Moldauer width-fluctuation model is turned on(off) with the command `wf_model 1(0)` (the default is on).

Now, we turn to the angular distribution for the primary decay of a compound system with angular momentum and parity J^π . In this case, the incident particle is type k with intrinsic spin s , orbital angular momentum

l , angular momentum j ($|l - s| \leq j \leq l + s$) coupled to the initial target state with angular momentum and parity $J_T^{\pi_T}$. The ejectile is a particle of type k' with intrinsic spin s' , orbital angular momentum l' , and angular momentum j' coupled to a final state with angular momentum and parity $J_F^{\pi_F}$. The angular distribution has the probability distribution for the angle θ in the center-of-momentum frame as (the probability distribution for the azimuthal angle ϕ is uniform)

$$P(\theta) = \sum_L A_{J_T l j, J_F l' j'; L}^J P_L(\theta). \quad (15)$$

The Blatt-Biedenharn factor $A_{J_T l j, J_F l' j'; L}^J$ is given by

$$A_{J_T l j, J_F l' j'; L}^J = \frac{(-1)^{J_F - s' - J_T + s}}{4\pi} \hat{j} \hat{j}' \hat{l} \hat{l}' \\ (ll00|L0)(l'l'00|L0) \\ W(jjll; Ls)W(j'j'l'l'; Ls') \\ W(JjJj; J_T L)W(J'j'Jj'; J_T L), \quad (16)$$

where $\hat{K} = (2K + 1)$ and $(\quad | \quad)$ and W are Clebsch-Gordan and Racah coefficients, respectively.

In some cases, it is desirable to examine the properties of the primary decay, that is the cross section following the emission of a single particle, photon, neutron, etc. This is accomplished with the option “`primary_decay y`” (default = `n`). The primary decay cross sections to discrete states and continuous energy bins are printed for each final nucleus and energy in the library directory `AEL/proj/Primary-decay/`, where `AEL` denotes the mass number A and the element name, e.g., `238U`, and `proj` is the projectile label, e.g., `n` for neutrons (see Section VII)

IV. NUCLEAR MODELS

From Eqs. (10) and (11), it is seen that describing the decay probabilities for compound nuclear states revolves around a proper description of the transmission coefficients $T_c(E)$ for each channel and the level density $\rho(E_x)$. This section describes models employed in YAHFC.

A. Nuclear Level Density

A general feature of nuclear systems is that the density of states increases exponentially with increasing excitation energy. Indeed, inspection of nuclear spectroscopy makes it clear that the observed spectrum of discrete states is likely to be complete only up to an excitation energy E_{cut} . The value of E_{cut} can be determined in one of two ways: 1) the spectroscopic properties (energy, angular momentum, parity, and decay branching ratios) are unknown for levels above this energy and 2) the density of levels is no longer increasing rapidly. As is noted in Ref. [20], the cumulative sum of levels is observed to

increase exponentially with excitation energy. Thus, the point where the cumulative density is no longer increasing exponentially is a key indication of the maximum value of E_{cut} that is supported by the known levels. The Hauser-Feshbach formalism for decays above the region of known spectroscopy is extended with the use of a continuous level density that properly describes the statistical properties of the spectrum. In YAHFC, the density of states above E_{cut} is represented by energy bins of width ΔE centered about an excitation energy E_x . The structure of the bins is chosen so that they align with the neutron separation energy for each nucleus. The energy bins start at E_{cut} and, starting with either the default value $\Delta E = 0.1$ MeV or the input value from option `delta.e`, the value of ΔE is adjusted so that the neutron separation energy exactly straddles the mid-point between two adjacent energy bins. As a consequence, the value of ΔE is set for each individual compound nucleus. In general, ΔE is held constant within a given calculation. However, with the option “`use_unequal_bins y`” (default = `n`), ΔE gradually increases with excitation energy. With this option, the energy bin width is ΔE for E_x less than or equal to the base value $E_B = S_n + 2$ MeV. Then, the energy bin width is gradually increased via the schedule: $2\Delta E$ for $E_B < E_x \leq 2E_B$, $4\Delta E$ for $2E_B < E_x \leq 4E_B$ and $8\Delta E$ for $E_x > 4E_B$. In this way it is possible to perform calculations for a range of incident energies, say from .1 - 100 MeV, without excessive use of memory while also preserving higher fidelity for the lower incident energies.

The level density is parameterized along the lines of the early work of Gilbert and Cameron [20]. At high excitation energies, the level density is taken to have the form of the Bethe back-shifted Fermi gas [21], i.e.

$$\rho_{FG}(E_x, J^\pi) = \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}\sigma} \frac{\exp\left[2\sqrt{aU}\right]}{a^{1/4}U^{5/4}} P_J(E_x) P_\pi(E_x), \quad (17)$$

where $U = E_x - \Delta$, with Δ being the pairing parameter, σ is the spin cutoff parameter, and a is the single-particle, level-density parameter. The angular momentum distribution is given by

$$P_J(E_x) = \frac{2J+1}{2\sigma^2} \exp\left[-\frac{(J+\frac{1}{2})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \quad (18)$$

while the parity fraction is generally taken to be $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\pi = \pm 1$, but can be a generalized function with $P_{\pi=-1}(E_x) + P_{\pi=1}(E_x) = 1$. In YAHFC, the command `lev.parity.fac` gives the option to define the parity factor for either parity, π , with the function

$$P_\pi(E_x) = \frac{1}{2} \tanh(B(E_x - E_0)), \quad (19)$$

with E_0 and B being parameters to control the starting energy and how quickly the factor tends to equal the fraction value $\frac{1}{2}$.

Both σ and a are, in principle, functions of the excitation energy E_x . The energy dependence of the single-particle, level-density parameter is taken to be that proposed by Ignatyuk

$$a(E_x) = \tilde{a} \left(1 + \delta W \frac{1 - \exp(-\gamma U)}{U}\right), \quad (20)$$

where \tilde{a} is the asymptotic value of a , δW is a correction factor due to shell corrections, and γ is the damping factor determining how quickly the shell corrections disappear. The spin cutoff parameter is taken to be of the form

$$\sigma^2(E_x) = CA^{5/3} \sqrt{\frac{U}{a(E_x)}}, \quad \text{lev.sig.model 0}, \quad (21)$$

$$C \frac{A^{5/3}}{\tilde{a}} \sqrt{a(E_x)U}, \quad \text{lev.sig.model 1}, \quad (22)$$

where C is an input parameter with the default value of $C = 0.01389$.

At low excitation energy, the level density is assumed to have the finite-temperature, or exponential, form

$$\rho(E_x, J^\pi) = \frac{1}{T} \exp\left[\frac{E_x - E_0}{T}\right] P_J(E_x) P_\pi(E_x), \quad (23)$$

where E_0 and T are parameters determined by the matching conditions and the known low-lying discrete levels. In particular, the finite temperature and Fermi-gas segments of the level density are matched at an excitation energy $E_x = E_m$ under the condition that the level density and its derivative are continuous at E_m . This defines the temperature T via the inverse of the logarithmic derivative of ρ , i.e.,

$$T(E_m) = \frac{\rho_{FG}(E_x)}{\rho'_{FG}(E_x)} \Big|_{E_x=E_m}, \quad (24)$$

while continuity of the level density requires

$$E_0 = E_m - T \ln [T \rho_{FG}(E_m)]. \quad (25)$$

With T and E_0 determined for a given matching energy E_m , the cumulative density, $N(E_x) = \int_0^{E_x} \rho(E') dE'$, is computed for the finite-temperature segment and an optimal value for the matching energy is made by fitting to the experimental cumulative density up to excitation energy E_{cut} . At this point, it is to be noted that the choice of E_{cut} can be subjective as it is not always clear if $N(E_x)$ is failing to rise rapidly enough. Ultimately, the selection of E_{cut} needs to be confirmed by the user, and the value of E_{cut} can be set manually for each nucleus with the option `lev.ecut`. In addition, the value of the matching energy can also be set manually for each nucleus with the option `lev.ematch`.

For $E_{cut} \leq E_x \leq E_m$, the spin cut off parameter, σ^2 , is matched linearly from the value computed for the discrete spectrum to that for the standard back-shifted

Fermi gas model, i.e., Eqs. (21) and (22). At E_{cut} the spin cutoff parameter can be found by assuming a constant temperature level density, Eq. (23), and a constant spin cutoff parameter for $E_x < E_{cut}$ by computing the mean value of $J(J+1)$ and setting that equal to the experimental value, giving

$$\sigma_{cut}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_i J_i(J_i+1)(2J_i+1)}{\sum_i (2J_i+1)}, \quad (26)$$

where the sum extends over all discrete states i and their angular momentum J_i .

In YAHFC, the continuous level density for energy and J^π starts at $\max(E_{cut}, E_J^{Yrast})$, where E_J^{Yrast} is the “yrast” energy for a given angular momentum defined by[†]

$$\int_0^{E_J^{Yrast}} \rho(E_x, J^\pi) dE_x = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (27)$$

This is done to minimize the occurrence of so-called “computational isomers”, where an initial high-spin population decays to the bottom of the continuous level density and then finds that decay to discrete states are highly inhibited. In this does occur, the bins are “forced” to decay randomly to discrete states by photon emission, and statistics are printed to the screen via `stdout`.

1. Level Density Options

The option `global_lev_option 0` will set the level density parameters to those in the original Gilbert & Cameron paper [20], while the option `global_lev_option 1`, which is the default option, selects parameters similar to the TALYS default [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &= 0.0692559A + 0.282769A^{2/3} \\ \gamma &= 0.43309/A^{1/3} \\ \Delta &= -11/\sqrt{A} && \text{even-even} \\ \Delta &= 0 && \text{odd} \\ \Delta &= 11/\sqrt{A} && \text{odd-odd.} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The shell correction is taken as the difference between the experimental and liquid-drop mass excess

$$\delta W = \Delta_M(Z, N) - \Delta_{LD}(Z, N), \quad (29)$$

with

$$\Delta_M(Z, N) = M(Z, N) - (Z + N)m_u \quad (30)$$

where $M(Z, N)$ is the experimental mass, $m_u = 931.494095367$ MeV is the atomic mass unit, and the liquid-drop mass excess can be written as [22–25]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{LD}(Z, N) &= Z\Delta(1, 0) + N\Delta(0, 1) + \\ &a_{\text{vol}} \left[1 - \kappa_{\text{vol}} \left(\frac{Z-N}{A} \right)^2 \right] B_{\text{vol}} A + \\ &a_{\text{surf}} \left[1 - \kappa_{\text{surf}} \left(\frac{Z-N}{A} \right)^2 \right] B_{\text{surf}} A^{2/3} + \\ &a_{\text{curv}} \left[1 - \kappa_{\text{curv}} \left(\frac{Z-N}{A} \right)^2 \right] B_{\text{curv}} A^{1/3} + \\ &c_3 B_{\text{Coul}} \frac{Z^2}{A^{1/3}} + c_5 \frac{Z^{4/3}}{A^{1/3}} + c_4 \frac{Z^2}{A} + \Delta, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where a_{vol} , a_{surf} , a_{curv} refer to the bulk volume, surface, and curvature terms, respectively, κ is the corresponding asymmetry term, c_3 and c_5 are the direct and exchange Coulomb components, and c_4 is a correction due to the diffuseness of the nucleus. The corresponding B factors are due to deformation. At present YAHFC defaults to the same values as used in TALYS and the shape factors are set to unity, that is

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\text{vol}} &= -15.667 \text{ MeV} \\ \kappa_{\text{vol}} &= 1.79 \\ a_{\text{surf}} &= 18.56 \text{ MeV} \\ \kappa_{\text{surf}} &= 1.79 \\ a_{\text{curv}} &= 0.0 \text{ MeV} \\ \kappa_{\text{curv}} &= 0.0 \\ c_3 &= 0.717 \text{ MeV} \\ c_5 &= 0.0 \text{ MeV} \\ c_4 &= -1.21129. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Options will be added to utilize the liquid drop parameters calculated within the extended Thomas-Fermi formalism with the Skyrme M* interaction [23] and the finite-range droplet model (FRDM) [25] and shape factors for deformed systems.

2. Collective Enhancement Factors

Deformed heavy nuclei can experience an enhancement to the level density at low excitation energies due to their collective nature. In this case, the backshifted Fermi gas component of the level density (for $E_x \geq E_m$) are multiplied by the rotational, K_{rot} , and vibrational, K_{vib} , enhancement factors, which damp with higher excitation energies. This is chosen with the option `global_lev_option 2` and is default for nuclei with $A > 130$. The rotational enhancement factor for axially symmetric (s) and axially asymmetric (a) shapes are

[†] If the option `all_discrete_states y` is used, the yrast state may also be defined by the excitation energy of the lowest discrete with the specified J^π included above E_{cut} .

taken as [6, 26, 27]

$$K_{rot}^s(E_x) = \max[1 + (\sigma_\perp^2 - 1)f(E_x), 1], \quad (33)$$

$$K_{rot}^a(E_x) = \max[1 + (d_a \sigma_\perp^2 - 1)f(E_x), 1], \quad (34)$$

where $\sigma_\perp^2 = (1 + \frac{\beta_2}{3})\sigma^2$, with β_2 being the static quadrupole deformation, and $d_a = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(1 - \frac{2\beta_2}{3})\sigma$ (recall that σ is a function of E_x). The damping factor is given by

$$f(E_x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\frac{(E_x - B)}{C}\right]}, \quad (35)$$

with default values of $B = 30$ MeV and $C = 5$ MeV. For fission, the level densities associated with the outer barriers are multiplied by an additional factor of two to account for mass asymmetry.

Currently, two models for vibrational enhancement are included in YAHFC and are controlled with the command `lev_vib_enhance`. The first is from Ref. [28] and is simply

$$K_{vib}(E_x) = \exp\left(0.0555A^{2/3}T^{4/3}\right), \quad (36)$$

with the temperature defined as

$$T = \sqrt{(E_x - \Delta)/a(E_x)}. \quad (37)$$

The second model, which is the default, is approximated by [27]

$$K_{vib}(E_x) = \exp(\delta S - \delta U/T), \quad (38)$$

where δS and δU are changes in the entropy and excitation energy due to the vibrational modes and are described by the Bose gas relationships

$$\delta S = \sum_i \hat{\lambda}_i [(1 + n_i) \ln(1 + n_i) - n_i \ln n_i] \quad (39)$$

$$\delta U = \sum_i \hat{\lambda}_i \omega_i n_i, \quad (40)$$

where ω_i and λ_i are the energies and multipolarities of the vibrational modes, respectively, and n_i is the occupation number given by

$$n_i = \frac{\exp(-\gamma_i/2\omega_i)}{\exp(\omega_i/T) - 1}, \quad (41)$$

where $\gamma_i = 0.0075A^{1/3}(\omega_i^2 + 4\pi^2T^2)$. The sum is over two modes, quadrupole ($\lambda = 2$) and octupole ($\lambda = 3$), with energies from systematics given by

$$\omega_2 = \frac{65A^{-5/6}}{1 + 0.05\delta W} \quad (42)$$

$$\omega_3 = \frac{100A^{-5/6}}{1 + 0.05\delta W}. \quad (43)$$

For nuclei where the collective enhancements are applied, the default single-particle level density parameter, a , is taken as [6]

$$\tilde{a} = 0.0207305A + 0.229537A^{2/3}. \quad (44)$$

3. Experimental Level Spacings

For stable nuclei, resonance properties have been measured for low-energy incident neutrons and are tabulated in Refs. [29, 30]. The mean level spacing for $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ resonances have generally been evaluated for all stable targets and provide a measure of the level density at $E_x = S_n$. In particular, we have

$$\frac{1}{D_l} = \sum_{j=|l-\frac{1}{2}|}^{j=l+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{J=|J_T-j|}^{J=J_T+j} \rho(S_n, J^\pi), \quad (45)$$

where the sums range over all possible couplings of the intrinsic spin ($\frac{1}{2}$), orbital angular momentum l , and target angular momentum J_T , and the final parity is $\pi = \pi_T(-1)^l$. The experimentally evaluated D_0 values can then be used to constrain some level density parameters in the models. By default, YAHFC will adjust the level-density parameter, a , for “lev_option 0” and the shell correction, δW , for “lev_option 0 & 1” to reproduce D_0 . Options exist to override the fit to D_0 or to alternatively fit to \tilde{a} or δW . An argument for fitting the shell correction δW instead of the asymptotic level-density parameter is that at high energy, the level-density parameter should tend to the finite-temperature, Hartree-Fock limit, which varies smoothly from nucleus-to-nucleus. Corrections at low excitation energy due to shell corrections, pairing, and quantal correlations are more dependent on the structure of each nucleus. Evaluated values of D_0 and D_1 are tabulated in the files `Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L0.dat` and `Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L1.dat`, respectively, from the Reference Input Parameter Library (RIPL-3) data compilation [27, 31] and are located in the YAHFC data directory.

4. Reading Level Densities into YAHFC

In addition to the internal level densities models described above, YAHFC also provides the option for the user to manually read in level density data for each nucleus[‡]. Reading in the level density may be evoked with the command

```
lev_den_read Z A Plab file_name,
```

where `file_name` (no extension is assumed) is the file name (in the execution directory) to be read in and P_{lab} is a character string of length one and takes on one of three distinct value types. Level densities for positive parity energy bins are read if $P_{lab} = 1$, ‘p’, or ‘+’. If

[‡] At this time, the option to read in level densities does not apply to level densities above the fission barriers.

$P_{\text{lab}} = 0$, ‘n’, or ‘-’, level densities for negative parity energy bins are read in. If, on the other hand, $P_{\text{lab}} = \text{‘b’}$, the level densities read in are assumed to apply to both positive and negative parities, and the internal parity-factor model, either $\frac{1}{2}$ or Eq. (19) is applied. Invoking the ‘lev.den.read’ command requires input for both parities and fitting to D_0 is disabled.

The file `file.name` contains the excitation energy in the first column, followed by the level density. The span of excitation energies read in must be greater than the minimum and maximum excitation energies for the continuous energy bins for that nucleus, otherwise an error exception occurs, and execution terminates with an error message specifying the value required for that nucleus. The excitation energies read in do not need to match the excitation energies of the continuous bins, as the level densities are obtained with a logarithmic linear interpolation of the level densities on the energy grid. There are two options for the angular momentum dependence. The first is that the level density for each angular momentum in the calculation is provided. In, this case, the level densities appear in the file on a single line following the excitation energy. If the number of angular momentum entries is less than what is required in the calculation, an error exception occurs, and execution is terminated with an error message specifying the value required. The second option is to read in a single value for the level density (assumed to be summed over all J -values[§]) and the angular momentum dependence is taken from the internal model via Eq. (18). The number of data contained in the file are determined automatically and comment lines starting with ‘#’ or ‘!’ are ignored.

5. Discrete States

Discrete states in YAHFC are from the RIPL-3 evaluated compilation [27, 31] and are stored in the YAHFC data directory in the subdirectory `/levels` and are listed in files for each Z value, e.g., `z092.dat` for uranium isotopes. The RIPL-3 files may be modified and placed in either of two subdirectories in the `$YAHFC_DATA` directory. The subdirectory `/levels-eval` is reserved for evaluated changes as part of the YAHFC distribution, while users may place modified files in the subdirectory `/my-levels-eval`. The user is cautioned that files placed in the `/levels-eval` directory may be overwritten with each subsequent download with patches or upgrades. YAHFC searches these directories, starting with the `/my-levels-eval` subdirectory for levels in the YAHFC calculation. The utilization of these “evaluated” level files may be disabled with the command `use_eval_levels n` (the default is `y`). The user is ad-

vised that the data format for these files must be observed in order to ensure that they are read properly. It is noted, however, that all entries generally are separated by a single character space, and it is suggested that when modifying a data entry that a character, such as `*`, be placed in this space, and the data be adjusted to preserve the formatting. This character mark is then used to identify the state as having been modified from the original RIPL-3 file and will be noted in the output file. In addition, the user may enter comment lines anywhere in the file that are denoted by placing the character `#` in the first column. However, it is important that the number of states and transitions associated with a given state be correct. When adjusting electromagnetic decay properties, it is necessary to enter the middle value, which is the total electromagnetic decay probability, and the right-most entry, which is the internal conversion coefficient. With these two values, the gamma decay probability is computed. In addition, the electromagnetic decay probabilities are normalized to unity in YAHFC.

As mentioned in the level-density section, the discrete spectrum is considered to complete up to the excitation energy E_{cut} . However, the RIPL-3 files contain many more discrete states with complete decay paths to the ground state or known isomer. In some cases, it is desirable to compute partial cross sections for gamma transitions for states that lie above E_{cut} while acknowledging that the spectrum is incomplete. In YAHFC, all known levels, even user evaluated ones, can be included with the option “`all.discrete.states y`”. The level densities where these states are embedded is adjusted accordingly.

As a reminder, the matching energy is generally adjusted to reproduce the cumulative density of the low-lying discrete spectrum. The user is advised to check the quality of the fit and to adjust E_{cut} or the matching energy accordingly.

B. Transmission Coefficients

In this subsection, the approaches to evaluate the transmission coefficients for the decay of each state (and the computation of the absorption cross section) are described.

1. Particle Transmission Coefficients

The transmission coefficients for the particles, neutrons (n), protons (p), deuterons (d), tritons (t), ^3He (h), and ^4He (a) are computed within the optical model framework using the computer program FRES-COX [8]. For each run, YAHFC sets up input files to execute FRES-COX based on the projectile, target, and selected optical potential. The files used to run FRES-COX and its results are printed in the YAHFC created directory **Fresco-files**. FRES-COX is executed for a series of incident energies on a logarithmic grid starting from a

[§] Note that if $P_{\text{lab}} = \text{‘b’}$ the value read in must be the total level density, i.e., summed over both angular momentum and parity.

TABLE I. List of optical potentials provided with YAHFC associated with the default Z and A values for the target.

Proj.	Opt.	Target	Optical potential
n	1	$Z < 90, Z > 96$	Koning & Delaroche [32]
	2	$90 \leq Z \leq 96$	Soukhovitskii [33]
	3	$Z = 92$	Maslov [34]
	4	$90 \leq Z \leq 96$	Soukhovitskii & Capote [35, 36]
p	1	$Z < 90, Z > 96$	Koning & Delaroche [32]
	2	$90 \leq Z \leq 96$	Soukhovitskii [33]
	3	$Z = 92$	Maslov [34]
	4	$90 \leq Z \leq 96$	Soukhovitskii & Capote [35, 36]
d	1	All Z, A	Perey [37]
t	1	All Z, A	Becchetti [37]
^3He	1	All Z, A	Becchetti [37]
^4He	1	All Z, A	Avriganu [38]

starting minimum energy, $E_{\text{in}}^{\text{min}} = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ MeV up to the maximum energy, $E_{\text{in}}^{\text{max}}$ needed based on the maximum excitation energies achieved by each compound nucleus in the calculation. By default, $N_E = 200$ incident energies are computed with $E_{\text{in}}^i = E_{\text{in}}^{i-1} f$ with $f = \exp \{ [\ln(E_{\text{in}}^{\text{max}} + 5) - \ln(E_{\text{in}}^{\text{min}})] / N_E \}$. The transmission coefficients, elastic cross section, direct cross sections (via coupled channels or DWBA) plus angular distributions are printed to an ascii file for later use. A check is made for charged particles and if the classical distance of closest approach is $5 \times$ the nuclear -interaction radius of the optical potential, the FRESKOX calculation is skipped, and assumed to be only Rutherford scattering (this avoids numerical instabilities in FRESKOX for low energies). This data can be reused in subsequent runs, and only needs to be recomputed if the maximum energy is changed (which is checked automatically). This can be a key factor when computing direct processes with coupled channels and DWBA, which can be time consuming. When an internal calculation requires a transmission coefficient, a linear interpolation is used on the logarithm of both the incident energy and the transmission coefficient.

A limited set of optical potentials is provided with YAHFC and are listed in Table I, but others may be added and accessed through the command `optical_potential` by **providing** the requisite subroutine.

Optical model calculations including direct processes to rotational and/or vibrational states can be computed with FRESKOX. In YAHFC, this is controlled with a file listing the coupled-channels states as well as the deformation parameters. A global, default file named `Coupled-Channels.txt` is provided in the YAHFC data directory for many actinide nuclei. It may either be augmented or overridden with a file in the execution directory with the YAHFC command `cc_file`. As an example, the default coupled-channels input data for ^{238}U in the file `Coupled-Channels.txt` has the form

92 238	!	Z,A
0.232 0.0448 0.000	!	$\beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_6$
7 27	!	$N_{\text{CC}}, N_{\text{DWBA}}$
1 0.0000 0.0+	!	index, E_x and J^π
2 0.0449 2.0+		
3 0.1484 4.0+		
4 0.3072 6.0+		
5 0.5183 8.0+		
8 0.7757 10.0+		
23 1.0765 12.0+		

The deformation parameters for the target nucleus can be listed as shown, which will then be used throughout the calculation. If $\beta_2 = -1.0$ in this file, the default deformation parameters provided in the file `$YAHFC_DATA/mass-frdm95.txt` are used instead. The number N_{CC} in the third row defines the number of coupled channels states utilized (in this case, $N_{\text{CC}} = 7$). For the discrete coupled channels states, the index is the state number in the discrete state RIPL-3 file, but need not be as it is not used to match these states with discrete states used in the calculation. This is followed by the energy and J^π value. These states are then matched to discrete states in the RIPL-3 files based on their J^π values and a match in the excitation energy to within 1 keV. It is required that each of the coupled-channels states listed be included within the calculation and have a complete decay path to the ground state or a known isomer. This can be accomplished if all the coupled-channel states lie below E_{cut} or by using the command `"all_discrete_states y"`.

Similar to coupled-channels, it is also possible to compute direct processes via the distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA) to either known discrete states or to a state represented by the continuous energy bins. These states are also listed in the file `Coupled-Channels.txt` and are controlled via the command `"do_dwba y"`. In the example above for ^{238}U , $N_{\text{DWBA}} = 27$ "states" are listed to compute direct excitation via DWBA. They are listed with the format

index	E_x	J^π	K	Coupling
-------	-------	---------	---	----------

with the parity π indicated by the character + or - for positive and negative parities, respectively. DWBA coupling can be performed to discrete states listed in the RIPL-3 data file or to "states" embedded in the continuous level density. For actual discrete states, the user provides the **index**, E_x , and J^π , the K value for the coupling, and the strength. Setting **index** = 0 signifies that the input DWBA state with excitation energy E_x is embedded in the continuous level density. The user has the option to also specify the angular momentum and parity of the state, or, by setting $J^\pi = -1x$, YAHFC will construct the final states with $|J_T - K| \leq J \leq J_T + K$ and parity $\pi = \pi_T(-1)^K$. For example, the input line for ^{239}U

0	1.5500	-1.0x	3	3.20E-02
---	--------	-------	---	----------

leads to DWBA couplings for states with $\pi = -1$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq J \leq \frac{11}{2}$. The cross section will then be collected into the continuous energy bin in the calculation closest to $E_x = 1.55$ MeV. In the event that `index` = 0 and the specified $E_x < E_{cut}$, the input DWBA state is ignored. The DWBA coupling is performed with the code FRESOCO, and YAHFC automatically sets up the input files, executes FRESOCO, and parses data, saving it for later use within the execution of YAHFC. For projectile p incident on target ${}^A\text{EL}$ (EL being the element symbol), the transmission coefficients are written to the file `pELA.tcoef`, while cross sections and angular distributions for elastic scattering, the coupled-channels and DWBA states are written to the file `pELA-CC.data` (incident projectile only).

Given that FRESOCO calculations with coupled-channel and DWBA states can be quite time-consuming calculations, for several actinide nuclei using the Soukhovitskii potential [33] are calculated and stored in the directory `$YAHFC_DIR/Data/Trans_coef` using the states defined in the `Coupled-Channels.txt` file and the discrete states in the provided “evaluated” RIPL-3 files. The appropriate `pELA.tcoef` and `pELA-CC.data` files may be copied into the working directory where the YAHFC calculation will be performed.

An additional feature, which is likely to be somewhat controversial, is provided that allows the user to scale the elastic cross section while simultaneously rescaling the transmission coefficients in order to preserve the total cross section from the input optical potential. This scaling is invoked with the command option “`scale_elastic S A B`” and the energy-dependent scaling factor, $S(E_{in})$ defined as

$$S(E_{in}) = S \{1 + A[1 - \exp(-BE_{in})]\}. \quad (46)$$

The reason for introducing this scaling factor was an observation that it was extremely difficult to reproduce cross sections for channels such as (n,2n) for incident neutron energies $E_{in} \sim 14$ MeV with the Soukhovitskii [33] potential while simultaneously reproducing the fission cross section and the (n,Xn) neutron emission spectrum for neutron energies 9 - 14 MeV in Ref. [39] (note that this portion of the spectrum effectively defines the (n,n') channel). Uniformly, the (n,2n) cross section was overestimated, suggesting the possibility that the Soukhovitskii optical potential underestimates the elastic cross section at higher incident neutron energies by $\approx 1 - 2\%$. Given that there is very little experimental elastic scattering data for actinide nuclei, this is not out of the realm of possibility. Further, in the ENDF/B-VII.1 evaluation [40] for ${}^{238}\text{U}$, the elastic scattering cross section is approximately 1 - 2% larger than that obtained with the Soukhovitskii potential. As a consequence, an option was introduced to allow the user/evaluator to “engineer” a solution to this conundrum without the lengthy process of refitting the optical potential. It is noted that this is not an optimal solution, just a practical solution for the hard-pressed users, and is to be used with caution.

2. Gamma Transmission Coefficients

The dimensionless transmission coefficients for gamma emission of type X (Electric) or M (magnetic) and multipolarity L have the form

$$T_{XL}(E_\gamma) = 2\pi f_{XL}(E_\gamma) E_\gamma^{2L+1}, \quad (47)$$

where E_γ is the gamma energy, and $f_{XL}(E_\gamma)$ is the gamma strength function. The gamma strength functions are taken to be generically as a sum of Lorentzians, which may be thought of to represent collective resonance shapes. The general form is then

$$f_{XL}(E_\gamma) = \frac{K_L}{E_\gamma^{2L-2}} \sum_i \frac{\sigma_{XL,i} E_\gamma \Gamma_{XL,i}^2}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{XL,i}^2)^2 + E_\gamma^2 \Gamma_{XL,i}^2}, \quad (48)$$

where $K_L = 1/[(2L+1)\pi^2\hbar^2c^2]$ and $\sigma_{XL,i}$, $E_{XL,i}$, and $\Gamma_{XL,i}$ are the strength, energy, and width for each line. The strength function f_{XL} is related to the photo-absorption cross section, $\sigma_{abs}^{XL}(E_\gamma)$, via

$$f_{XL}(E_\gamma) = \frac{K_L}{E_\gamma^{2L-1}} \sigma_{abs}^{XL}(E_\gamma). \quad (49)$$

For electric transitions with $L \geq 2$ and magnetic transitions with $L \geq 1$, the default strength function parameters are the same as those in TALYS, namely for $M1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ML,1} &= 41.0 A^{-1/3} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{ML,1} &= 4.0 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

with $\sigma_{M1,1}$ adjusted so that $f_{E1}(E_\gamma = 7)/f_{M1}(E_\gamma = 7) = 0.0588 A^{0.878}$ [31]. For $ML > 1$, $E_{ML>1,1} = E_{M1,1}$, $\Gamma_{ML>1,1} = \Gamma_{M1,1}$, and $\sigma_{ML>1,1} = 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \sigma_{M1,1}$. For electric transitions with $L > 1$, we adopt

$$\begin{aligned} E_{EL>2,1} &= 63.0 A^{-1/3} \text{ MeV}, \\ \Gamma_{EL>2,1} &= 6.11 - 0.012 A \text{ MeV}, \\ \sigma_{EL=2,1} &= 1.4 \times 10^{-4} Z^2 E_{L=2,1} / (A^{1/3} \Gamma_{L=2,1}) \text{ mb}, \\ \sigma_{EL>2,1} &= 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \sigma_{L-1,1}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

For electric dipole transitions ($E1$), two options for the functional form of the giant-dipole resonance function are provided. The first is the Lorentzian in Eq. (48), while the second (the default option) is a modification due to Kopecky and Uhl [41], which attempts to account for an enhanced $E1$ strength at lower values of E_γ needed in order to improve the description of (n, γ) reactions. The $E1$ strength function is given by

$$f_{E1}(E_\gamma) = K_1 \sum_i \left[\frac{E_\gamma \Gamma_{E1,i}(E_\gamma)}{(E_\gamma^2 - E_{E1,i}^2)^2 + E_\gamma^2 \Gamma_{E1,i}^2} + \frac{0.7 \Gamma_{E1} 4\pi^2 T^2}{E_{E1,i}^3} \right] \sigma_{E1,i} \Gamma_{E1,i}, \quad (52)$$

where the energy dependent width is given by

$$\Gamma_{E1,i}(E_\gamma) = \Gamma_{E1,i} \frac{E_\gamma^2 + 4\pi^2 T^2}{E_{E1,i}^2}, \quad (53)$$

and T is a nuclear temperature defined as

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{E_x - \Delta - E_\gamma}{a(S_n)}}, \quad (54)$$

where E_x is the excitation energy of the compound nucleus, S_n is the neutron separation energy, Δ is the pairing gap, the $a(S_n)$ is the single-particle level-density parameter evaluated at $E_x = S_n$.

For $E1$ transitions, default parameters for the giant-dipole resonance are given in the file `gdr-parameters-exp.dat` located in the YAHFC data directory. For systems not found in the file, the $E1$ strength function defaults to a single component with parameters

$$\begin{aligned} E_{E1} &= 31.2A^{-1/3} + 20.6A^{-1/6} \text{ MeV} \\ \Gamma_{E1} &= 0.026E_{E1}^{1.91} \text{ MeV} \\ \sigma_{E1} &= \frac{144NZ}{A\pi\Gamma_{E1}} \text{ mb.} \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

For the most part, most electromagnetic modes are composed of a single line, however, the giant-dipole ($E1$) in deformed nuclei is known to be comprised of two lines. In YAHFC, the electromagnetic strength functions can be composed by up to `max_num_gsf` elements which has a default value of four, but can be changed to the value N with the YAHFC command “`max_num_gsf N`”. The user may manually enter the resonance parameters $E_{XL,i}$, $\Gamma_{XL,i}$, and $\sigma_{XL,i}$ with the commands

```
el.param Z A L i EEL,i ΓEL,i σEL,i,
ml.param Z A L i EML,i ΓML,i σML,i
```

for electric and magnetic transitions, respectively.

YAHFC also has an option to bypass the analytic models and directly read in gamma strength functions. This may be accomplished the commands

```
read_el_gsf Z A L c file_name Xnorm
read_ml_gsf Z A L c file_name Xnorm
```

(see appendix for more details). The user specifies the multipolarity, L , whether it is a strength function (`c = f`) or photo-absorption cross section (`c = s`), a file name, and an optional normalization factor X_{norm} . The energies and strength function values are entered in two columns. While the energy values need not be equally spaced, they must be in ascending order. Comment lines may appear in the file as indicated by the characters `#` or `!` appearing in the first column. For strength functions, the units are $\text{MeV}^{-(2L+1)}$, while for a photo-absorption cross section, which is converted to the strength function f , the units are mb.

For compound nuclei based on a stable target plus one neutron, experimental resonance data provide a constraint on the electromagnetic strength function via the evaluated radiative width; the so-called $\Gamma_\gamma(l)$ value. By

default, YAHFC adjusts the $E1$ strength function for these nuclei to reproduce the experimental $\Gamma_\gamma(l=0)$ values. This is accomplished by adding an additional resonance line with centroid energy $E_\gamma = 5.0$ MeV and with $\Gamma = 5.0$ MeV and adjusting the strength σ . Evaluated Γ_γ values from the RIPL-3 compilation obtained from $l=0$ and $l=1$ neutron resonances are given in the files `Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L0.dat` and `Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L1.dat`, respectively, located in the YAHFC data directory. The process to reproduce Γ_γ is suspended for a nucleus if any of the strength function parameters are entered manually or if the strength functions are read in from a file.

3. Fission Transmission Coefficients

Fission decays are accounted for in YAHFC with the so-called “transition-state model” where the nuclear system penetrates through multiple fission barriers mediated by transition states above the barrier. The model consists of the system at excitation energy E_x and angular momentum/parity J^π tunneling through an inverted harmonic barrier of height E_B and curvature $\hbar\Omega_B$ via a transition state, i , with energy ϵ_i (and angular momentum/parity J^π) above the barrier. The transmission coefficient for this transition state is given by the Hill-Wheeler expression

$$T_F(E_x, J^\pi, \epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[-2\pi \frac{(E_x - E_B - \epsilon_i)}{\hbar\Omega_B}\right]}. \quad (56)$$

The full transmission coefficient used in the Hauser-Feshbach decay, i.e., Eq. (10), is obtained by summing over all available transition states, which, with the aid of the density of states above the fission barrier, $\rho_B(E_t, J^\pi)$, may be written as

$$T_F(E_x, J^\pi) = \int_0^{E_{max}} T_F(E_x, J^\pi, E_t) \rho_B(E_t, J^\pi) dE_t, \quad (57)$$

where, in YAHFC, E_{max} is the excitation energy above the barrier where T_F converges at the level 10^{-6} . The level density $\rho_B(E, J^\pi)$ is similar to the level density of the compound nucleus described in Sect. IV A and is modeled the same way and, similarly, can have a set of discrete states below a cutoff energy.

The level densities above the barrier use the same level density option as the ground state, and with `lev_option 2` use collective enhancements based on the deformation at the barrier and the symmetry of barrier. The default deformations assume β_2 for the inner barrier to be twice the ground-state deformation, while the second barrier is four times larger than the ground state. The command `f.beta.2` is provided to manually set the deformation for each barrier. The collective enhancement factors for outer barriers with mass asymmetry are multiplied by an extra factor of two.

For systems with multiple barriers an effective transmission coefficient is used. Currently, in YAHFC, at many as three fission barriers may be specified. For two barriers (double humped), labeled A and B , the fission transmission coefficient is

$$T_F^{AB}(E_x, J^\pi) = \frac{T_F^A(E_x, J^\pi)T_F^B(E_x, J^\pi)}{T_F^A(E_x, J^\pi) + T_F^B(E_x, J^\pi)}. \quad (58)$$

For three barriers A , B , and C , the transmission coefficient is

$$T_F^{ABC}(E_x, J^\pi) = \frac{T_F^{AB}(E_x, J^\pi)T_F^C(E_x, J^\pi)}{T_F^{AB}(E_x, J^\pi) + T_F^C(E_x, J^\pi)}. \quad (59)$$

Discrete transition states are permitted and can either be entered manually with the command `f.barr.states` or generated automatically based on a set of bands above the fission barrier. With manual entry, the user provides a file containing a list of states with the excitation energy above the barrier, angular momentum, and parity. For automatic generation, the band structures are taken from Ref. [31] and are contained in files in the directory `$YAHFC.Data/Fission` for inner and out barriers of even-even, even-odd, odd-even, and odd-odd nuclei. This file contains the number of bands, the expected cutoff energy, which may be set manually, the band-head energy, the K value and the parity. Rotational bands are generated automatically up to the cutoff energy assuming a rigid rotor using the perpendicular moment of inertia, $(1 + \frac{b_2}{3})I_0$. Just as with the level density built on the ground state, the matching energy is fit to the cumulative density for the discrete transition states. To provide greater flexibility to reproduce experimental fission cross sections, the energies of the discrete transition states above the j^{th} barrier can be scaled using the command `f.spect.scale`. With the entered factor X , one effectively expands ($X > 1$) or compresses ($X < 1$) the entire spectrum, and, thus, decreases(increases) the level density at low energy above the barrier. The use of discrete transition states is the default, but may be globally overridden with the command `global_use_tran.states n` or for an individual nucleus with the command `f_use_tran.states n/`. It is not possible to use discrete transition states for one barrier and a continuous level density for the other barrier.

Some generalized comments on the transition-state fission model. First, it is important to note that fission barriers used to reproduce experimental data for the fission cross section are not necessarily unique as they are strongly correlated with the level density parameters. In addition, there is effectively no coupling between the various barriers, so again, the barriers are not unique, and it is possible to achieve very similar results with multiple sets of barriers and level densities. For two barriers, close inspection of Eq. (58) shows two clear limits: (1) for $T^A \approx T^B$, then $T^{AB} \approx T^A/2$; and (2) for $T^A \gg T^B$, then $T^{AB} \approx T^B$. While discrete transition states are possible for each barrier, sometimes, when attempting to

reproduce experimental fission cross section data, it can be easier to start with continuous level densities, which offer somewhat greater flexibility with the matching energy to tune the level densities at lower excitation energies, and, thus, reproduce features in the experimental data.

Default parameters from RIPL-3 are provided for the fission barriers in the file `Fission-barrier.dat` located in the YAHFC data directory. This file has heights and curvatures for the barriers and specifies the default symmetry of the barriers. All these parameters can be adjusted with YAHFC commands listed in Appendix D.

V. MONTE CARLO DECAY

YAHFC is effectively an event-by-event reaction simulator. An initial state with excitation energy E_x and angular momentum/parity J^π is populated via the probability dictated by the reaction cross section from an optical model calculation, or a population probability specified by the user. This initial state is then decayed via the emission of gammas, particles, and/or fission with probabilities governed by the Hauser-Feshbach process (including pre-equilibrium) until the system reaches a state where further decay is not possible (this includes isomeric states whose lifetime is longer than the default threshold of 1s or a value specified by the user with the command “`t12.isomer t1/2`”). The decays from each event are then sorted into the allowed channels, with data then collected for each channel and then printed into data files following the completion of the calculation. With the command `dump.events` the decays for each event can be printed to a specified file in either a binary or ascii format.

The decay probabilities for each continuous energy bin and discrete state are pre-calculated, stored, and associated with each state. These decay probabilities are sorted by particle type, and a master list of viable decays for this particle type is made with a running sum of the probability. Associated with each listed decay, the properties of the final state, e.g., bin number, J and π , are stored in a packed integer. A state is decayed by first checking on the particle decay probability, which is then followed with a search through the viable decays to the various final states. This is accomplished by selecting a random number and bracketing the probability in the list via bi-section search. At this point, the data associated with this decay, e.g., particle type, energy, angles θ and ϕ , angular momentum, recoil energy, etc., are stored in an array. At each step of the decay, the reference frame for the final nucleus is updated, and the particle data are transformed to both the lab frame and the center-of-momentum frame for the reaction using a Lorentz boost transformation. Data in these frames is stored in the particle-decay array. At this point, the endpoint for this decay then becomes the initial state, and the Monte Carlo decay process is repeated until the decay terminates to a

point where further decay is no longer possible.

It is to be noted that list of viable decays following each particle is truncated by specifying a minimum probability (the default is 10^{-7} and may be set by the user with the command `prob.cut`). Additional cutoffs are imposed on the transmission coefficients for “particle” emission. For electromagnetic transitions, a cutoff of 10^{-14} is imposed and is controlled with the command `trans.e.cut`. For particle emission two cutoffs are imposed. The first is on the magnitude of the transmission coefficient, 10^{-7} , which is controlled with the command `trans.p.cut`. The second affects the emission of low-energy charged particles. Similar to their calculation with the optical potential, charged particle emission is not considered if the distance of closest approach as associated with the emission energy is five times the nuclear radius. This limits the list of decays to those that are viable and speeds up execution. Along these lines, in the subroutine that sets up the Hauser-Feshbach decays, the array of possible decays is actually looped over three times: (1) determine the scale of the Hauser Feshbach denominator, (2) count the number of viable decays and allocate the list arrays, and (3) fill the list arrays. Overall, the time to set up the Hauser Feshbach decays is of the order of a few minutes.

One complication with Monte Carlo sampling is the treatment of so-called “rare” events, for example (n, γ) for $E_{in} > 5$ MeV, which will generally have a probability of the order 10^{-6} . In this case, we would expect of the order one event with standard Monte Carlo sampling and $N_{samp} = 10^6$ samples. From the standpoint of an event-generator, this is reasonable, but leads to larger fluctuations while calculating cross sections. To address this, unbiased sampling is introduced, by default, at the point where the decay by particle type is made. With unbiased sampling, the sampling probability for each allowed particle type is equal and a “tally weight” of the actual probability is kept for each event and used to properly normalize each channel. Unbiased sampling may be turned off(on) with the command `biased_sampling n(y)` (default = y).

With Monte Carlo sampling, the angular distributions collected for each emission energy can also be subject to unacceptably large fluctuations due to smaller sampling size. In YAHFC, these fluctuations are countered in three ways. First, for inelastic transitions involving a primary decay directly to a discrete state, which are handled separately in the YAHFC library files, the Legendre coefficients for the angular distribution of the primary decay, as defined in Eq. (16), are stored for each decay and are averaged over all Monte Carlo samples in this decay channel. The angular distributions are then constructed using these averaged Legendre coefficients. Second, for each decay, a set of extra theta angles are sampled, and distributed accordingly, which effectively increases the angular statistics. The default number of theta angles is 10, which incurs approximately a factor two cost in cpu time. The number of extra angles is controlled with the option `num.theta.angles N` (the

minimum is $N = 1$). Third, the angular distributions for particles emitted in each channel are sorted into 11 equally spaced bins of $x = \cos(\theta)$, $\Delta x = 0.2$. These angular distributions are then decomposed into a Legendre expansion with the number of terms, L_{max} , dependent on the number of events in the emission energy window and the magnitude of the deviations in the distribution relative to that expected from isotropic emission (which is the default expectation for compound nucleus emission). The value of L_{max} used for the Legendre decomposition is given by the conditions specified in Table II for the number of events in the emission energy window across all angles for inelastic scattering, N_e^{inel} , and all other reaction channels N_e . An additional check for reactions not involving inelastic scattering is made on the variations in the angular distributions relative to isotropic emission. For example, with 11 equally spaced bins for x , and N total events in the energy window, for isotropic emission would expect $N_e = N/11$ events in each x -bin with an uncertainty $\delta N_e = \sqrt{N/11}$. For cases where $L_{max} > 0$ based on the total number of events, if the average difference across the angular bins between the calculated angular distribution and isotropic distribution, δA , is of the order δN_e , then $L_{max} = 0$, for $\delta N_e \leq \delta A < 1.75\delta N_e$, we take $L_{max} = 2$, for $1.75\delta N_e \leq \delta A < 2.5\delta N_e$, $L_{max} = 4$, and finally $L_{max} = 6$ for $\delta A \geq 2.5\delta N_e$. This second check is implemented in order to avoid over “fitting” the distributions for systems that are expected to be nearly isotropic. It is not, however, applied to inelastic reactions, where as seen in Eqs. (8) and (16) some anisotropy is expected. The angular distributions for the output “data library” are printed for both the Legendre coefficients and the reconstructed angular distributions using the Legendre coefficients and printed out with 21 equally spaced values in x , i.e., $\Delta x = 0.1$. Angular distributions for all particles and channels are normalized to unity with the exception of elastic scattering for charged particles, which are normalized to the ratio to Rutherford.

If only the cross section data is desired for a calculation, the option `xs_only y` is provided (default = n). With this option, no angles are sampled for any of the events, and the Lorentz transformations from the decaying system to the center-of-momentum and the stationary laboratory reference frames are not performed, as emission spectra are not printed. This option decreases the CPU time to complete the calculation by approximately a factor of two.

VI. MONTE CARLO EVENTS

With the options `dump_events y a(b)` or `event_generator`, YAHFC will write each Monte Carlo decay sequence to a file on disk in ascii or binary format for post processing or other uses. For each event, a header is printed that contains the event number, the number of emitted particle, N_{part} , the incident energy, the kinetic energy of the initial energy (only valid for a

TABLE II. The maximum number of terms, L_{\max} , for the Legendre decomposition of the angular distributions as a function of the number of events within a given energy window across all angles for inelastic reactions, N_e^{inel} , and all other channels, N_e .

L_{\max}	N_e^{inel}	N_e
0	< 1000	< 2500
2	$1000 \leq N_e^{inel} < 2000$	$2500 \leq N_e < 6000$
4	$2000 \leq N_e^{inel} < 4000$	$6000 \leq N_e < 10000$
6	≥ 10000	

TABLE III. Decay data printed to the event files.

Index	Data	Description
1	i	Particle#
2	$i_{\text{comp_f}}$	Final Nucleus#
3	k	Particle type
4	J_f	Angular mom. of final state
5	P_f	(Parity+1)/2 of final state
6	n_f	Index for final state
7	i_{type}	= 0 for continuous state = 1 for discrete state
8	l	orbital angular momentum
9	j	$j = l + s$
10	T	Kinetic energy in Rest
11	θ	Theta angle in Rest
12	ϕ	Azimuthal angle in Rest
13	T_{COM}	Kinetic energy in COM
14	θ_{COM}	Theta angle in COM
15	ϕ_{COM}	Azimuthal angle in COM
16	T_{LAB}	Kinetic energy in LAB
17	θ_{LAB}	Theta angle in LAB
18	ϕ_{LAB}	Azimuthal angle in LAB
19	T_R	Recoil energy of residual
20	W	MC Weight
21	E_x	Ex. energy of final state
22	$i_{\text{comp_i}}$	Initial Nucleus#
23	J_i	Angular mom. of initial state
24	P_i	(Parity+1)/2 of initial state
25	n_i	Index for initial state

population decay), and Monte Carlo weight for this event (not necessarily unity when using unbiased sampling). For each particle, 25 pieces of data are printed including initial and final states, the kinetic parameters for the emitted particles in three frames: 1) rest (Rest) frame of the decaying nucleus, 2) the center-of-momentum (COM) of the projectile and target, and 3) the fixed laboratory (Lab) frame. The data in these frames are computed by tracking the relativistic Lorentz boost transformation from the decaying nucleus to the Lab frame. The 25 data elements printed to the file are listed in Table III

VII. DATA LIBRARIES

Following each Monte Carlo sample, data from each sample is sorted into the various channels, and collected in arrays for processing after each incident energy and at the conclusion of the calculation. Data for each channel, which includes cross sections, emission spectra, gamma multiplicities, and angular distributions, are printed in a data directory created in the execution directory. The directory structure is based on the target atomic number and element name, the incident particle, and channel label. For example, the directories

235U/n
235U/n/g
235U/n/n
235U/n/2n
235U/n/3n
235U/n/p
235U/n/np
235U/n/f

are used for neutrons incident on ^{235}U to describe neutron capture, (n,n'), (n,2n), (n,3n), and neutron induced fission. For fissioning systems, the cross section for fission following particle emission is also printed in the channel directory.

Data are printed in these directories related to the cross section and the emission spectra and angular distributions if option "xs_only n" is selected. For channel directories that do not contain inelastic reactions, data are printed associated with the channel and decays that terminate to a given state in the residual nucleus. The terminus states are either the ground state or an isomer defined in the calculation, and are noted with the label "LXXX", where XXX is the state number (starting at zero for the ground state). For each channel, the following files appear for each terminus state:

Channel_label_cs_LXXX.dat
Channel_label_Eout_Ang_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_label_Eout_Ang_Leg_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_label_Eout_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_label_Ang_G_Ein_LXXX.dat

where label denotes the channel label, e.g., 2n for two emitted neutrons. The first file contains the cross section for each incident energy. In the second file, the emission spectra and angular distributions for each incident energy are printed as a probability-density function, $P(E, x|E_{in})$, with $x = \cos \theta$, and is normalized according to

$$\int \int_{-1}^1 P(E, x|E_{in}) dE dx = 1. \quad (60)$$

In the file, the distributions are printed on equally spaced grids in E and x and are normalized over the distribution

range using the trapazoidal rule. The third file is similar to the second file except that the angular distribution is expanded with Legendre coefficients. In the fourth and fifth files, only the emission spectrum ($P(E|E_{in})$) and the angular distributions ($P(x|E_{in})$) are printed, respectively. The total cross section for this channel is collected in the file `Channel_label_cs.Tot.dat`. In the case where fission is present, the contribution to the fission cross section from this channel is printed in `Channel_label_cs_fission.dat`

For inelastic channels, i.e., reactions with a single emitted particle that is identical to the projectile, two types of files are printed. The first represents reactions where the first, or primary decay, involves the emission of the projectile particle directly to a discrete state in the target nucleus. These data are collected in the files

```
Inelastic_cs_LXXX.dat
Inelastic_Ang_LXXX.dat
Inelastic_Ang_leg_LXXX.dat
```

for the cross section, angular distribution of the emitted particle, and the Legendre coefficients of the angular distribution, respectively. As before, `LXXX` denotes the discrete state in the target nucleus. These files contain direct reactions via coupled channels and DWBA as well as pre-equilibrium emission and decays for the compound nucleus. Note that these files begin with the label `L001`, as state 0 is the ground state, whose decays are collected in the files starting with name `Compound_Elastic`. The second set of files, which are similar to the channel data files described above, represent all inelastic transitions that do not involve populating a discrete state in the target nucleus by the emission of the projectile particle directly to that state in the first decay of the cascade. These include a particle emitted to the continuous energy bins and rare cases where a photon is emitted prior to the emission of a particle. Similar to the channel data above, are the files

```
Channel_P_cs_LXXX.dat
Channel_P_Eout_Ang_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_P_Eout_Ang_Leg_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_P_Eout_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
Channel_P_Ang_G_Ein_LXXX.dat
```

where `P` denotes the particle label for for the projectile, and hence the label for inelastic channels. These files include all reactions where the cascade of decays terminate in the `XXX` level. In order to provide a more accurate description of the gamma transitions for these reactions, a second set of files

```
Channel_P_cs_entry_to_LXXX.dat,
```

containing the cross section for these decays at their entry point into the discrete spectrum in the target nucleus is provided. As a consequence, the gamma

spectra in the channel files do not contain gamma transitions between discrete states in the cascade of decays. As noted above, these files contain transitions from the continuous energy bins in the target as well as the rare cases of photon decay in the composite nucleus followed by emission of the projectile particle. Consequently, rather weak cross section will be present in these files for incident energies below E_{cut} . It is to be noted that the sum of the channel files and the entry files are equal to the total cross sections appearing in `Channel_P_cs.Tot.dat`

By default, channels are not explicit, in that the order of particle emission is not considered, just the number and type of each emitted particle is used to define a channel. If information regarding the explicit channels is desired, i.e., distinguishing between the reactions (n,nnp), (n,npn), and (n,pnn), the command “`explicit_channels y`” is provided (default = `n`). In this case, the library data structure would be, for example

```
235U/n
235U/n/g
235U/n/n
235U/n/nn
235U/n/nnn
235U/n/p
235U/n/np
235U/n/pn
235U/n/f
```

Useful data on the spectra following emission from pre-equilibrium emission and direct processes is printed for each incident energy in the directory `235U/n/Spectra`. In addition, with the option “`primary_decay y`”, cross sections following primary decay are printed for each incident energy in the directory `235U/n/Primary-decay`.

By default, these directories are deleted at the beginning of each run in order to remove results from prior calculations, the system command `rm -r` is performed. This is done to avoid confusion where files from a previous calculation might be present that weren’t overwritten because the user changed options or minimum and maximum incident energies. This can be overridden with the command `clean_library n` (the default response is `y`).

A python script, `parseYahfc.py` is also provided that translates these data files, which are convenient for plotting, etc., into a nuclear data library based on the generalized nuclear data structure (GNDS). This parser requires the installation of the FUDGE (v4.3) library system, which is expected to be released when the GNDS-2.0 standard is adopted. It will be available at <https://github.com/LLNL/fudge>.

VIII. INTERNAL DATA STRUCTURE

YAHFC makes extensive use of derived types and dynamic memory allocation. Internal data are generally structured by “attaching” them to a well defined quantity in order to easily identify them in the code. Perhaps the most ubiquitous data structure is the array `nucleus`, whose dimension is the number of compound nuclei in the calculation, and contains all data associated with that compound nucleus. At the core level are basic properties, such as charge, atomic number, mass, separation energies, values for Γ_γ , D_0 , etc. For example, the i^{th} nucleus, includes the following subset of data

```
nucleus(i)%Z
nucleus(i)%A
nucleus(i)%atomic_symbol
nucleus(i)%Label
nucleus(i)%Ex_max
nucleus(i)%BE
nucleus(i)%ME
nucleus(i)%D0
nucleus(i)%D0exp
nucleus(i)%dD0exp
nucleus(i)%Gamma_g
nucleus(i)%Gamma_g-exp.
```

The `num_discrete` discrete states and their `num_decay` transitions exist as data structure “attached” to this array:

```
nucleus(i)%num_discrete
nucleus(i)%states(n)%energy
```

Once the particle type, and final nucleus is specified, each bin, then has `num_decay` possible endpoints, with the probabilities and a list providing unique identification stored via:

```
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%nuke_decay(m)%num_decay
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%nuke_decay(m)%decay_prob(k)
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%nuke_decay(m)%decay_list(k).
```

IX. PARALLEL COMPUTING

With Message Passing Interface (MPI) protocols, YAHFC will execute the Monte Carlo event generation in parallel. Since the events are generated via Monte Carlo, a significant boost in performance can be expected using the MPI version. The Monte Carlo sampling is performed in parallel with a global summing and message passing for the requisite data. In general, for a large number of Monte Carlo samples, ideal scaling can be achieved. One caveat, however, is that with the option to print events, these are printed to a file for each MPI process, labeled by the MPI process number. Hence, the events from a

```
nucleus(i)%states(n)%parity
nucleus(i)%states(n)%num_decay
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_prob(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_type(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_branch(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_ibranch(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_p_gamma(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_p_ic(m)
nucleus(i)%states(n)%decay_egamma(m).
```

The continuous energy bins are maintained in a multi-dimensional array on the angular momentum J , parity ip , and energy index n . The energy grid, its width, the level density, and the summed Hauser-Feshbach denominators are:

```
nucleus(i)%e_grid(n)
nucleus(i)%delta_e(n)
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%rho.
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%HF_den
```

The decay of energy each bin to a compound nucleus via a specific particle type and their probabilities are stored as:

```
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%nbin
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%num_decay
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%decay_to(m)
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%decay_particle(m)
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%HF_prob(m)
nucleus(i)%bins(J,ip,n)%HF_trans(m)
```

single run will be distributed across multiple files. This occurs because events are executed on each individual MPI process, and are printed after the termination of the decay event.

X. NUMERICAL PERFORMANCE

In this section, the numerical behavior for an example YAHFC calculation is given to provide some expectation of performance. Serial calculations were performed on a MacBook Pro outfitted with a 2.4 GHz Quad-Core Intel Core i5 processor and 8 GB of 2133 MHz LPDDR3 RAM.

A $n + {}^{238}\text{U}$ calculation with 101 incident energies ranging from 0.1 to 20.0 MeV in steps of 0.2 MeV, without width-fluctuation corrections, $\Delta E = 0.1$ MeV, and 100k Monte Carlo events using the option “**xs_only y**” completes in approximately 3m20s. A calculation delivering the full nuclear data libraries (including angular distributions) takes approximately 5m. With 1M Monte Carlo events, the CPU time increases to 21m21s and 36m15s, respectively, for the two calculations. The memory required for this calculation is 881 MB. With 100k Monte Carlo events, the Monte Carlo fluctuations in the cross section are of the order 5%, while with 1M events, the fluctuations are reduced to less than 1%. With 100k events, calculations are fast enough to easily adjust model parameters, for example, fission barriers and level densities to reproduce experimental data. With the MPI version, the calculations are sufficiently rapid to allow for full uncertainty quantification studies to compute full covariance matrices as constrained by experimental data.

XI. SAVED PARAMETERS

It is possible to save input parameters defining specific nuclear model parameters to be used as default values for later calculations[¶]. The process is to save YAHFC commands in a file with the name **saved-parameters.txt**. This file is checked for saved parameters after the nuclei in the calculation have been set up with initial default parameters. This file may appear in the subdirectory **\$YAHFC_DATA/my-saved-parameters** for user files or **\$YAHFC_DATA/saved-parameters** for files that will be distributed in the official YAHFC releases. Again, these files could be overwritten with subsequent downloads. YAHFC first checks the the user file, which takes precedence over the distribution parameters. This feature is invoked with the option **use_saved_parameters y** (the default is **n**) and an example file is provided in the **\$YAHFC_DATA/saved-parameters** directory.

XII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

WEO wishes to thank J. Escher, O. Gorten, K. Kravvaris, G. Potel, C. Pruitt, S. Quaglioni, I. J. Thompson, and K. Wendt for test-driving YAHFC, and not only finding bugs, but also for providing much needed feedback for improvements to the code. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

[¶] Note that no global model parameters may be set in this file and that if the user implements non-default models, it is best to execute these override commands in the file. For example **lev_option n** will explicitly set the level density model for this nucleus

Appendix A: Manual Input of Initial Populations

A YAHFC calculation can be executed where the system is prepared with initial populations of excitation energy, angular momentum, and parity with the command

```
projectile -1, 0, input.pop
```

where **input.pop** is a user defined file that specifies the probabilities for each initial J^π bin at excitation energy E_x . A simple example for a population file is:

5	! Number of E_x energies
6.05 0.0 1.5	! E_{x1} d E_{x1} , Norm ₁
3	!# of J^π states for EX ₁
0.5 1.0 0.5	! J ₁₁ Par ₁₁ Pop ₁₁
1.5 -1.0 0.3	! J ₁₂ Par ₁₂ Pop ₁₂
2.5 1.0 0.2	! J ₁₃ Par ₁₃ Pop ₁₃
6.15 0.0 2.1	! E_{x2} d E_{x2} , Norm ₂
3	!# of J^π states for EX ₂
0.5 1.0 0.5	! J ₂₁ Par ₂₁ Pop ₂₁
1.5 -1.0 0.3	! J ₂₂ Par ₂₂ Pop ₂₂
2.5 1.0 0.2	! J ₂₃ Par ₂₃ Pop ₂₃
6.25 0.0 1.75	! E_{x3} d E_{x3} , Norm ₃
2	!# of J^π states for EX ₃
0.5 1.0 0.7	! J ₃₁ Par ₃₁ Pop ₃₁
1.5 -1.0 0.3	! J ₃₂ Par ₃₂ Pop ₃₂
6.35 0.0 3.25	! E_{x4} d E_{x4} , Norm ₄
4	!# of J^π states for EX ₄
0.5 1.0 0.5	! J ₄₁ Par ₄₁ Pop ₄₁
1.5 1.0 0.2	! J ₄₂ Par ₄₂ Pop ₄₂
1.5 -1.0 0.1	! J ₄₃ Par ₄₃ Pop ₄₃
2.5 1.0 0.2	! J ₄₄ Par ₄₄ Pop ₄₄
6.45 0.0 4.0	! E_{x5} d E_{x5} , Norm ₅
3	!# of J^π states for EX ₅
0.5 1.0 0.5	! J ₅₁ Par ₅₁ Pop ₅₁
1.5 -1.0 0.3	! J ₅₂ Par ₅₂ Pop ₅₂
2.5 1.0 0.2	! J ₅₃ Par ₅₃ Pop ₅₃

This file contains entries for five initial excitation energies with populations for different angular momenta and parity states. The second input on the energy line is an option to add an energy spread to the incident excitation energy. For each Monte Carlo sample, the excitation energy will be Gaussian distributed with a central energy E_x with a width dE_x . This can be useful for modeling the decay of fission fragments, where the initial excitation energy has a spread. The third entry on the excitation energy line is the overall population normalization, for example, a cross section as computed from another reaction model code. If only population probabilities are desired, this entry may be omitted, and all populations will be normalized to unity. Following the excitation energy line, is the number of populations to be read in, e.g., 4, where the initial J^π values are specified as well as the population probability. These initial probabilities should be normalized to unity, but will be normalized by YAHFC and used as population probabilities during

execution.

Appendix B: Center-of-Momentum Frame

The kinematics of the incident projectile, and the decay from each nucleus in the decay chain are computed using relativistic dynamics. Reactions are computed with an incident projectile, moving in the z -direction with kinetic energy E_{Lab} impacting a target nucleus at rest in the laboratory frame. In the center-of-momentum frame (COM), the incident kinetic energy, E_{in} (as used in Sec. III A), of the projectile that is available to excite the compound nucleus is

$$E_{in} = \sqrt{(M_p + M_T)^2 + 2M_T E_{Lab}} - (M_p + M_T), \quad (B1)$$

where M_p and M_T are the rest masses of the projectile and target nuclei (in units of energy), respectively. The center-of-momentum frame is then moving relative to the fixed laboratory frame in the positive z -direction with Lorentz transformation parameters

$$\beta = \frac{p_{Lab}}{M_T + E_{Lab}}, \quad (B2)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{M_T + E_{Lab}}{(M_p + M_T)^2 + 2M_T E_{Lab}}, \quad (B3)$$

where $p_{Lab} = \sqrt{(M_p + E_{Lab})^2 - M_p^2}$ is the momentum of the projectile in the laboratory frame. The Lorentz transformation from the COM frame back to the laboratory frame** is

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma\beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (B4)$$

By definition, the decay of the first particle occurs in the COM frame.

For the decay of the n^{th} particle with energy release E_n , the decay particle (d) and residual nucleus (R) exit back-to-back, with equal momenta of magnitude

$$p = \frac{\sqrt{E_n^2 - M_d^2 - M_R^2}}{E_n}, \quad (B5)$$

where $E_T = E_n + M_d + M_R^{\dagger\dagger}$ is the total energy of the decaying nucleus and

$$E_E = \sqrt{\frac{E_T^2 - M_d^2 - M_R^2}{2}}. \quad (B6)$$

** Note the positive sign for the off-diagonal term $\gamma\beta$, this is due to the laboratory frame moving with velocity β in the negative z -direction relative to the COM frame.

†† Here, any excitation energy in the residual nucleus is included in the mass M_R .

The cartesian components of the momentum of the decay particle are

$$p_{d,1} = p \cos(\theta) \quad (B7)$$

$$p_{d,2} = p \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \quad (B8)$$

$$p_{d,3} = p \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi). \quad (B9)$$

The momentum of the residual nucleus is then $\vec{p}_R = -\vec{p}_d$, and the velocity of the recoil nucleus, which is the rest frame for the next decay, is

$$\vec{\beta} = \frac{\vec{p}_R}{\gamma M_R}, \quad (B10)$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{p^2 + M_R^2}/M_R$. The Lorentz transformation back to the rest frame of the previous decay is

$$\Gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma\beta_1 & \gamma\beta_2 & \gamma\beta_3 \\ \gamma\beta_1 & 1 + \gamma'\beta_1^2 & -\gamma'\beta_1\beta_2 & -\gamma'\beta_1\beta_3 \\ \gamma\beta_2 & -\gamma'\beta_1\beta_2 & 1 + \gamma'\beta_2^2 & -\gamma'\beta_2\beta_3 \\ \gamma\beta_3 & -\gamma'\beta_1\beta_3 & -\gamma'\beta_2\beta_3 & 1 + \gamma'\beta_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (B11)$$

where $\gamma' = (\gamma - 1)/\beta^2$. Thus, the energy and angles of the n^{th} decay particle in the center-of-momentum and the laboratory frame are obtained via successive applications of Lorentz transformations to four-momentum p_d of the decaying particle, i.e.,

$$p_d^{COM} = \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_{n-1} p_d, \quad (B12)$$

$$p_d^{Lab} = \Gamma_1 p_d^{COM}. \quad (B13)$$

Appendix C: Pre-equilibrium Parameters

In this section, the two-component exciton model is outlined in more detail for the purpose of illuminating the role played by various parameters within the model that are also controlled by YAHFC input commands. These commands give the user complete control over all aspects of implementing the model. From Ref. [13], and as noted in Section III B, the emission spectrum for pre-equilibrium emission for particle type k within the two-component exciton model is given as

$$\frac{d\sigma_k^{PE}}{dE_k} = \sigma_{abs}^k \sum_{p_\pi=p_\pi^0}^{p_\pi^{\max}} \sum_{p_\nu=p_\nu^0}^{p_\nu^{\max}} W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \quad (C1)$$

where σ_{abs}^k denotes optical model absorption cross section for particle type k with the residual as the target, $W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ is the emission rate, $\tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ is the average lifetime of the exciton state, and $P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ represents the part of the pre-equilibrium flux that survives emission from the previous state and passes through the $(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ configurations.

The emission rate W_k for ejectile k with relative mass μ_k , relative energy E_k , and spin s_k is [42, 43]

$$W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \frac{2s_k + 1}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \mu_k E_k \sigma_{k,\text{inv}}(E_k) \frac{\omega(p_\pi - Z_k, h_\pi, p_\nu - N_k, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - E_k)}{\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}})}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

where $\sigma_{k,\text{inv}}$ is the reaction cross section for the inverse reaction obtained from the optical potential, Z_k and N_k are the proton and neutron numbers, respectively, for the ejectile, and E^{tot} is the total energy of the composite system. For the emission of pre-equilibrium photons with energy E_γ , the emission rate is given by [44]

$$W_k(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \frac{E_\gamma^2}{\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^2} \frac{\sigma_{\gamma,\text{inv}}(E_\gamma)}{\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}})} \left[\frac{gE_\gamma}{2(n-2+gE_\gamma)} \{ \omega(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - E_\gamma) + \omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1, E^{\text{tot}} - E_\gamma) \} + \frac{n\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - E_\gamma)}{n + gE_\gamma} \right], \quad (\text{C3})$$

where $\sigma_{\gamma,\text{inv}}(E_\gamma)$ is the photo-absorption cross section, $n = p_\pi + h_\pi + p_\nu + h_\nu$ is the total number of excitons, and $g = g_\pi + g_\nu$ is the sum of the proton and neutron single-particle state densities.

The particle-hole state density. ω is computed under the assumption of equidistant levels while accounting for the Pauli exclusion principle [43, 45], and is given by

$$\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_x) = \frac{g_\pi^{n_\pi} g_\nu^{n_\nu}}{p_\pi! h_\pi! p_\nu! h_\nu! (n-1)!} (U - A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E_x))^{n-1} f(p, h, U, V), \quad (\text{C4})$$

where A is the Pauli correction factor, f is the finite well function, and $U = E_x - P_{p,h}$. The pairing correction, $P_{p,h}$ is taken from Ref. [46], and for $E_x/\Delta \geq 0.716 + 2.44 \left(\frac{n}{n_{\text{crit}}} \right)^{2.17}$, it is given by

$$P_{p,h} = \Delta - \Delta \left[0.996 - 1.76 \left(\frac{n}{n_{\text{crit}}} \right)^{1.6} \left(\frac{E_x}{\Delta} \right)^{0.68} \right]^2, \quad (\text{C5})$$

where

$$n_{\text{crit}} = 2gT_{\text{crit}} \ln 2 \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$T_{\text{crit}} = \frac{2}{3.5} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{\frac{1}{4}g}}. \quad (\text{C7})$$

Otherwise, the pairing correction is

$$P_{p,h} = \Delta. \quad (\text{C8})$$

The Pauli correction term is given by

$$A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \frac{[\max(p_\pi, h_\pi)]^2}{g_\pi} + \frac{[\max(p_\nu, h_\nu)]^2}{g_\nu} + \frac{p_\pi^2 + h_\pi^2 + p_\pi + h_\pi}{4g_\pi} + \frac{p_\nu^2 + h_\nu^2 + p_\nu + h_\nu}{4g_\nu}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

The finite well function $f(p, h, E_x, V)$ accounts for the fact that a hole cannot have an energy below the bottom of the potential well depth V , and is parameterized as

$$f(p, h, E_x, V) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^h (-1)^m \binom{h}{m} \left[\frac{E_x - mV}{E_x} \right]^{n-1} \times \Theta(E_x - mV), \quad (\text{C10})$$

where Θ is the unit step function.

The proton and neutron single-particle state densities default to

$$g_\pi = \frac{Z}{g_{\text{div}}}, \quad (\text{C11})$$

$$g_\nu = \frac{N}{g_{\text{div}}}, \quad (\text{C12})$$

with $g_{\text{div}} = 15$, which may be reset with the command `preeq_g_div`. Invoking the command “`preeq_g_a y`” will set $g = a(S_n)\pi^2/6$, where $a(S_n)$ is the level density parameter evaluated at the neutron separation energy.

The well depth V is a function of the number of holes, h , the incident particle type, k' , and the minimum energy, or depth of the Fermi well (denoted here as V). In Ref. [13], it is parameterized by

$$V^{k'} = \begin{cases} V_1^{k'} + \frac{(V - V_1^{k'})E_{\text{in}}^4}{E_{\text{in}}^4 + (K^{k'}/A^{1/3})^4}, & h = 1 \\ V & h > 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{C13})$$

The default parameters are:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^\pi &= 22 \text{ MeV}, \\ V_1^\nu &= 12 \text{ MeV}, \\ V &= 38 \text{ MeV}, \\ K^\pi &= 450 \text{ MeV}, \\ K^\nu &= 245 \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

all of which can be changed with the commands `preeq_well_v`, `preeq_well_v1`, and `preeq_well_k`. A failsafe is implemented in case the user selects $V < V_1$ with V_1 being reset with $V_1 = \max(V - 5, 0)$. This tends to avoid strange effects in the pre-equilibrium spectrum for small values of V with $V < V_1$.

The lifetime τ for a given exciton state $(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ is defined as the inverse sum of the total emission rate and the internal transition rates, that is

$$\tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \left[\lambda_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_{\pi\nu}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_{\nu\pi}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + W(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \right]^{-1} \quad (\text{C15})$$

where the total emission rate W is obtained by integrating Eqs. (C2) and (C3) over all outgoing energies and summing over the outgoing particles. The transition rate $\lambda_{\pi(\nu)}^+$ denotes the creation of a proton(neutron) particle-hole pair, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \frac{1}{\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}})} & \left[\int_{L_1^{p_\pi}}^{L_2^{p_\pi}} \lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1p}(u) \omega(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - u) \omega(1, 0, 0, 0, u) du + \right. \\ & \int_{L_1^{h_\pi}}^{L_2^{h_\pi}} \lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1h}(u) \omega(p_\pi, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - u) \omega(0, 1, 0, 0, u) du + \\ & \int_{L_1^{p_\nu}}^{L_2^{p_\nu}} \lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1p}(u) \omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - u) \omega(0, 0, 1, 0, u) du + \\ & \left. \int_{L_1^{h_\nu}}^{L_2^{h_\nu}} \lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1h}(u) \omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu - 1, E^{\text{tot}} - u) \omega(0, 0, 0, 1, u) du \right] \quad (\text{C16}) \end{aligned}$$

where the integration limits are corrected for the Pauli exclusion principle, namely

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^{p_\pi} &= A(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) - A(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ L_2^{p_\pi} &= E^{\text{tot}} - A(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ L_1^{h_\pi} &= A(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) - A(p_\pi, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ L_2^{h_\pi} &= E^{\text{tot}} - A(p_\pi, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ L_1^{p_\nu} &= A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) - A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu) \\ L_2^{p_\nu} &= E^{\text{tot}} - A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu) \\ L_1^{h_\nu} &= A(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) - A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu - 1) \\ L_2^{h_\nu} &= E^{\text{tot}} - A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C17})$$

The collision probabilities $\lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1p}$, $\lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1h}$, $\lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1p}$, and $\lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1h}$ are given by Fermi's golden rule and are expressed in terms of the effective squared matrix elements of the residual interaction, $M_{kk'}^2$, via

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1p}(u) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} M_{\pi\pi}^2 \omega(2, 1, 0, 0, u) \\ \lambda_{\pi\pi}^{1h}(u) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} M_{\pi\pi}^2 \omega(1, 2, 0, 0, u) \\ \lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1p}(u) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} M_{\nu\pi}^2 \omega(1, 1, 1, 0, u) \\ \lambda_{\nu\pi}^{1h}(u) &= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} M_{\nu\pi}^2 \omega(1, 1, 0, 1, u). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C18})$$

The transition rate for converting a proton particle-hole pair into a neutron pair is given by

$$\lambda_{\pi\nu}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = \frac{1}{\omega(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}})} \int_{L_1^{ph\pi\nu}}^{L_2^{ph\pi\nu}} \lambda_{\pi\nu}^{1p1h}(u) \omega(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu, E^{\text{tot}} - u) \omega(1, 1, 0, 0, u) du, \quad (\text{C19})$$

with the integration limits defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^{ph\pi\nu} &= A(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) - (p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \\ L_2^{ph\pi\nu} &= E^{\text{tot}} - A(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C20})$$

The collision probability $\lambda_{\pi\nu}^{1p1h}$ is given in terms of the effective squared matrix element as

$$\lambda_{\pi\nu}^{1p1h}(u) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} M_{\pi\nu}^2 \omega(0, 0, 1, 1, u). \quad (\text{C21})$$

The transition rates λ_{ν}^{+} and $\lambda_{\nu\pi}^0$ can be obtained from Eqs. (C16) and (C19) through the substitution $\pi \rightarrow \nu$.

Each of the two-component matrix elements, $M_{kk'}^2$, are defined in terms of an average M_2 by

$$M_{kk'}^2 = R_{kk'} M^2 \quad (\text{C22})$$

where M^2 is evaluated in Ref. [6] via the semi-empirical expression

$$M^2 = \frac{C_1 A_p}{A^3} \left[7.48 C_2 + \frac{4.62 \times 10^5}{\left(\frac{E^{\text{tot}}}{n A_p} + 10.7 C_3 \right)^3} \right], \quad (\text{C23})$$

where n is the number of excitons, A_p is the mass number of the projectile, and the coefficients C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are input parameters (default $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 1$) that are controlled with the YAHFC commands `preeq.m2.c1`, `preeq.m2.c2`, and `preeq.m2.c3`, respectively. The factors $R_{kk'}$ are essentially free parameters that can be adjusted to experimental data. The default values adopted here are [13]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\pi\pi} &= R_{\pi\nu} = R_{\nu\pi} = 1 \\ R_{\nu\nu} &= 1.5, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C24})$$

and may be adjusted in the calculation with the YAHFC commands `preeq.m2.rpp`, `preeq.m2.rnn`, `preeq.m2.rpn`, and `preeq.m2.rnp`.

The integrals in Eqs. (C16) and (C19) may be approximated by analytical formulae described in Ref. [47]. This option may be invoked with the YAHFC command `preeq.analytic`. For the most part, the numerical integration is not time consuming, and there is no obvious advantage to selecting this option.

All that remains to complete the description of the two-component exciton model employed is the flux $P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)$ that survives emission from previous steps. There are no new parameters introduced that can be controlled by YAHFC input commands and are provided here for completeness. The survival probability is given the recursive relation [13]

$$\begin{aligned} P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = & P(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \Gamma_\pi^+(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \\ & P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \Gamma_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) + \\ & \left[P(p_\pi - 2, h_\pi - 2, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) \Gamma_\pi'^+(p_\pi - 2, h_\pi - 2, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) + \right. \\ & \left. P(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \Gamma_\nu'^+(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu, h_\nu) \right] \\ & \times \Gamma_{\nu\pi}^0(p_\pi - 1, h_\pi - 1, p_\nu + 1, h_\nu + 1) + \\ & \left[P(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) \Gamma_\pi'^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1) + \right. \\ & \left. P(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 2, h_\nu - 2) \Gamma_\nu'^+(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 2, h_\nu - 2) \right] \\ & \times \Gamma_{\pi\nu}^0(p_\pi + 1, h_\pi + 1, p_\nu - 1, h_\nu - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C25})$$

which is initialized with $P(p_\pi^0, h_\pi^0, p_\nu^0, h_\nu^0) = 1$ (and obviously equal to zero for any particle-hole numbers less than the initial starting values). The remaining quantities, which denote the probabilities for creating new proton or neutron particle-holes and for converting a proton (neutron) pair into a neutron(pair) pair, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \\ \Gamma_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \\ \Gamma_\pi'^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau'(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \\ \Gamma_\nu'^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau'(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \\ \Gamma_{\pi\nu}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_{\pi\nu}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \\ \Gamma_{\nu\pi}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) &= \lambda_{\nu\pi}^0(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) \tau(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C26})$$

with

$$\tau'(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) = [\lambda_\pi^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + \lambda_\nu^+(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu) + W(p_\pi, h_\pi, p_\nu, h_\nu)]^{-1}. \quad (\text{C27})$$

Appendix D: Input Commands

The Hauser-Feshbach code YAHFC is controlled by a series of commands. These commands should be entered into script command file, say “`My_YAHFC_run.com`”. This file should be made to be executable, such as with the unix command `chmod +x`. Commands for YAHFC can be entered in any order and may have any case (but they must be spelled correctly). For commands requiring inputs, the input can be delimited by either a comma or a space. The program YAHFC reads the commands, sorts them, and orders them for proper execution. The commands are then printed in the file `YAHFC_commands.txt`. Commands can be skipped (without deleting them from the file) by placing a `#` or `!` at the beginning of a command line. Comments may also be added to any line using `#` or `!`, as any data entries following these delimiters are ignored.

For commands defining data for a particular isotope, the isotope may be identified by either entering the Z and A for the nucleus or the isotope name, for example, the following commands are equivalent

`target 92 238`

`target U238`

In fact, the isotope name may be entered as `U238`, `238U`, `U-238`, or even `238-U`. Likewise, commands identifying a particle are entered with either a numerical index or character identifier, e.g.,

Particle	Index	Label
photon	0	g
neutron	1	n
proton	2	p
deuteron	3	d
triton	4	t
^3He	5	h
^4He	6	a

Several YAHFC commands are used to turn an option on or off, and generally require a “yes” or “no” input. For these commands, “yes”, or “on”, is achieved by entering any of “y”, “1”, or “t”, while “no”, or “off”, is accomplished by inputting “n”, “0”, or “f”.

A full list of available commands follow.

General Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
file	My_Output	Output file name - (creates file My_Output.out) Default: YAHFC-Calc.out
all_discrete_states	Answer	Answer=n/0/f: Use discrete levels only up to E_{cut} (Default). Answer=y/1/t: Use all discrete states with full decay path to ground state or known isomer.
target	$Z_t A_t k$	Z and A of target, k = state # for target. i.e., target%istate = k , where k is the state # in evaluated RIPL-3 file. k is optional , if it is omitted, the target state is taken to be the ground state, i.e., target%istate = 1 Target may also be specified by isotope label, e.g., U238.
target_state	k	Alternative, preferred, way to specify the state for the target. (Default: target%istate = 1)
projectile	Z_p, A_p k or label -1, -1, E_x, dE_x -1, 0, input.pop	Z and A of projectile Alternatively, one can enter the index 0-6, or particle labels g, n, p, d, t, h, a For a population calculation without an incident projectile and a single excitation energy E_x with a Gaussian width dE_x . The probability of any J^π energy bin is proportional to the level density. For a population calculation without an incident projectile. The file input.pop specifies the initial populations for J^π bins
delta_e	ΔE	Size of bins, ΔE , used for continuous part of the excitation energy spectrum. (Default: $\Delta E = 0.1$ MeV)
proj_eminmax	$E_{\min}, E_{\max}, E_{\text{step}}$	Generates list of incident projectile energies, E_i , looped over in the calculation from E_{\min} to E_{\max} in steps of E_{step} . For calculation simplicity, the incident energies are mapped to fit into the center of the excitation energy bins. In this mode, the lowest energy allowed is $\Delta E/2$.
proj_e_file	filename	File containing the list of incident projectile energies, E_i , looped over in the calculation. The list can contain energies less than $\Delta E/2$. These are kept unaltered. But, for $E_i > \Delta E/2$, the E_i are mapped onto the center of the continuous excitation energy bins.
max_j_allowed	N_J	Maximum number of angular momentum states (Default: $J = 20$). $J_{\text{Max}} = N_J + \text{nucleus(i)\%jshift}$ nucleus(i)%jshift = 0 for even A = 0.5 for odd A .
num_mc_samp	N_{samp}	Number of Monte Carlo samples Default: $N_{\text{samp}} = 1000000$
biased_sampling	Answer	Controls type of Monte Carlo Sampling Answer = n/f/0: Normal biased Monte Carlo sampling. Answer = y/t/1: Unbiased Monte Carlo sampling (Default). Unbiased sampling means that each particle type has an equal weight. This allows for more samples for (n, γ) at higher energies as gammas will have the same weight as neutrons to be emitted.
moldauer_cutoff	X	Sets X as the value for the sum of transmission coefficients above which Moldauer width-fluctuations are not computed. (Default = 50).

General Commands Continued		
beta_2	Z, A, β_2	Manual input of deformation parameter β_2 for nucleus Z and A .
t12_isomer	$t_{1/2}$	Sets the half life (in seconds) that is used to define an isomeric state. States with half life longer than $t_{1/2}$ listed in the RIPL-3 files for discrete states will be tagged as an isomeric state.
max_particle	$k, \text{max_particle}(k)$	<p>Maximum number of particles allowed in decay for particle type k $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ for neutron, proton, deuteron, triton, ^3He, ^4He.</p> <p>Nominally used to limit the number of channels for some particle decays by limiting the total number of particles of this type to participate in the reaction. Channels are automatically set up based on the separation energies. This option overrides the separation energy. It is primarily used for alphas, where for heavier nuclei the decay chain can be 20 or more alphas since technically these nuclei are unbound to alpha emission by a few MeV.</p> <p>$\text{max_particle}(k) = -1$ means no limits</p> <p>Defaults: $\text{max_particle}(1) = -1$ $\text{max_particle}(2) = -1$ $\text{max_particle}(3) = 0$ $\text{max_particle}(4) = 0$ $\text{max_particle}(5) = 0$ $\text{max_particle}(6) = 0$</p> <p>It is strongly suggested that no limits be applied to neutrons</p>
prob_cut	P_{cut}	<p>Sets cut off for decay probability to use in decays. If decay from an initial state to a channel is below this value it is skipped.</p> <p>state and not all other initial states. (Default: $P_{\text{cut}} = 10^{-7}$).</p> <p>This option can speed up the set up and execution by reducing the number of final states for any given initial state. In general, however, as the spacing ΔE for the continuous spectrum decreases, P_{cut} should be reduced.</p>
trans_p_cut	T_{p_cut}	<p>Specifies cut off for particle transmission coefficients when computing Hauser-Feshbach denominators. Decays using a value below this are not included.</p> <p>Default: $T_{p_cut} = 1 \times 10^{-7}$</p>
trans_e_cut	T_{e_cut}	<p>Specifies cut off for electromagnetic transmission coefficients when computing Hauser-Feshbach denominators. Decays using a value below this are not included.</p> <p>Default: $T_{e_cut} = 1 \times 10^{-14}$</p>
wf_model	i	<p>Defines Width-fluctuations model used in calculation</p> <p>$i = 0$ no width fluctuations</p> <p>$i = 1$ Moldauer model for width fluctuations (Default)</p>
dump_events	Answer, F_{type}	<p>Controls whether event data is printed to a file and defines the form of the file.</p> <p>Answer = n/f/0: Do not print events to file (Default).</p> <p>Answer = y/t/1: Print events to file.</p> <p>$F_{\text{type}} = \text{a}$ prints to an ASCII file.</p> <p>$F_{\text{type}} = \text{b}$ prints to an binary, unformatted file.</p>
num_theta_angles	N_θ	Set the number of values for the angle θ sampled for each Monte Carlo event. Provides greater statistics for the angular distributions. (Default: $N_\theta = 10$).

General Commands Continued		
<code>initial_ke</code>	T_{KE}, dT_{KE}	Specifies initial kinetic energy T_{KE} and spread dT_{KE} . For each sample, the initial kinetic energy of target is given by a Gaussian distribution centered at T_{KE} with width dT_{KE} . This is useful for modeling fission fragment decays. This option can only be used in conjunction with a population calculation. Default: $T_{KE} = 0.0, dT_{KE} = 0.0$.
<code>verbose_output</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: Suppress writing to <code>stdout</code> . Answer = n/f/0: Do not suppress writing to <code>stdout</code> (Default).
<code>write_outfile</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: write the .out file (unit=13) that contains calculation data (Default). Answer = n/f/0: suppress writing the .out file (unit=13) that contains calculation data (useful if running to fit data).
<code>xs_only</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: Only cross sections are computed and printed. No Emission spectra and angular distributions. Answer = n/f/0: Normal run, with cross sections, emission spectra, and angular distributions (Default).
<code>explicit_channels</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: Channels are identified by all particles emitted, including order, e.g., nnp, npn, and pnn are distinct channels (Default). Answer = n/f/0: Channels are identified only by the number of particles emitted; nnp, npn, and pnn are treated as 2np.
<code>primary_decay</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: Cross sections for the first step are printed in the <code>Primary-decay</code> directory as a function of incident energy. Answer = n/f/0: Cross sections for the first step are not printed in the <code>Primary-decay</code> directory as a function of incident energy (Default).
<code>event_generator</code>	Answer ₁ Answer ₂	Answer ₁ = y/t/1: Prints events only, no library files. Answer ₁ = n/f/0: Normal run, prints libraries, and events if requested (Default). Answer ₂ = y/t/1: Event files are labeled by the index of the energy in the calculation; 1- N_e . Answer ₂ (optional) = n/f/0: Event files are labeled by the energy in the calculation; incident projectile energy or population energy (Default).
<code>cs_units</code>	Answer	Specifies the units used for cross sections in the libraries. Answer = b: Cross sections are listed in barns. Answer = mb: Cross sections are listed in millibarns.
<code>use_eval_levels</code>	Answer	Specifies if “evaluated” files for discrete states are used. Files are read from the subdirectories <code>/my-levels-eval</code> and <code>/levels-eval</code> . Answer = y/t/1: Do read evaluated levels (Default). Answer = n/f/0: Do not read evaluated levels.
<code>use_saved_parameters</code>	Answer	Specifies if specific nuclear-model parameters are to be read from the file <code>saved-parameters.txt</code> from the directories <code>/my-saved-parameters</code> and/or <code>/saved-parameters</code> . Answer = y/t/1: Do read saved parameters. Answer = n/f/0: Do not read saved parameters (Default).
<code>use_unequal_bins</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: Width of continuous energy bins increases with increasing excitation energy Answer = n/f/0: Continuous energy bins are constant with excitation energy (Default).
<code>clean_library</code>	Answer	Answer = y/t/1: The library directories, i.e., deleted are refreshed with this run (Default). Answer = n/f/0: library directories are not refreshed with this run .
<code>end</code>		Specifies the end of all input commands.

Gamma-decay Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
global_e1_model	j	Specifies which model to use for $E1$ strength function. $j = 1$: Lorentzian $j = 2$: Kopecky-Uhl (Default) $j = 3$: Modified Kopecky-Uhl
e1_model	Z, A, j	Specifies which model to use for $E1$ strength function. for nucleus Z and A $j = 1$: Lorentzian $j = 2$: Kopecky-Uhl (Default) $j = 3$: Modified Kopecky-Uhl
e1_param ml_param	$Z, A, L, j, E_j, \Gamma_j, S_j$	Sets centroid (E_j), width (Γ_j), and strength (S_j) for the j^{th} $E1$ resonance component EL or ML transitions for nucleus Z and A . $j \leq 3$ will overrides default values found in file \$YAHFC_DATA/gdr-parameters-exp.dat , which contains at most two $E1$ modes. Note: $j = 3$ is used to add a resonance to fit to Γ_γ and the fit is suspended if electromagnetic parameters are entered manually.
read_e1_gsf read_ml_gsf	$Z, A, L, c, \text{name}, X_{\text{norm}}$	Read gamma strength functions from file name for nucleus Z and A for electric and magnetic modes with multipolarity L . The control character c determines whether the input data is a strength function c = f or a photoabsorption cross section c = s . The normalization X_{norm} is optional, and is set to unity if omitted.
max_num_gsf	N	Maximum number of allowable gamma strength functions. Set for all electric and magnetic modes.
global_fit_gamma_gamma	Answer	Controls whether strength function is modified to fit to Γ_γ Answer = y/t/1 : Fit to Γ_γ (Default). Answer = n/f/0 : Do not fit to Γ_γ Fit is achieved by adding a third $E1$ resonance centered at $E_0 = 5.0$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 5.0$ MeV. The strength is fit to reproduce Γ_γ . Note that it is possible for this strength to be negative.
fit_gamma_gamma	Z, A, Answer	Controls whether strength function is modified to fit to Γ_γ Answer = y/t/1 : Fit to Γ_γ (Default). Answer = n/f/0 : Do not fit to Γ_γ Fit is achieved by adding a third $E1$ resonance centered at $E_0 = 5.0$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 5.0$ MeV. The strength is fit to reproduce Γ_γ . Note that it is possible for this strength to be negative.
set_gamma_gamma	Z, A, Γ_γ	Sets radiative width for $l = 0$ resonances to input value γ_γ . This input overrides values read in from global data file. It can be used to modify default data or set a value where data is lacking. This value will then be used to modify the $E1$ strength function if the option fit_gamma_gamma is active. The uncertainty for this value is set to $d\Gamma_\gamma = -1.0$ to identify that this data was entered by user and is not based on experimental data.
track_gammas	Answer	Controls whether discrete gammas are tracked Answer = n/f/0 : Don't track gammas (Default). Answer = y/t/1 : Do track gammas. Note: track_gammas=.true. is the default for a population calculation
e_l_max	L	Maximum electric multipole L to include in the Hauser-Feshbach decays. Default: $L = 3$.
m_l_max	L	Maximum magnetic multipole L to include in the Hauser-Feshbach decays. Default: $L = 3$.

Level Density Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
global_lev_option	i	Globally defines the level density model for all nuclei $i = 0$: Gilbert & Cameron. $i = 1$: TALYS defaults (Default for $A \leq 130$). $i = 2$: Collective enhancement (Default for $A > 130$) lev_option resets level density information with a call to subroutine get_lev_den. For $i = 1$ and 2 , the Ignatyuk parameterization is used with $a(U) = \tilde{a}[1 + \delta W \frac{1 - \exp(-\gamma U)}{U}]$, \tilde{a} is the asymptotic value for the level density parameter, δW is the shell correction energy, and γ is a damping factor for the shell correction and $U = E_x - \Delta$. For $i = 0$, $\delta W = 0$.
lev_option	Z, A, i	Defines the level density model for nucleus Z and A . $i = 0$: Gilbert & Cameron $i = 1$: TALYS defaults (Default for $A \leq 130$). $i = 2$: Collective enhancement (Default for $A > 130$) lev_option resets level density information with a call to subroutine get_lev_den.
global_lev_fit_d0	Answer	Answer = y/t/1 : Fit level-density level-density parameters to reproduce D_0 (Default). Answer = n/f/0 : Do not fit level-density level-density parameters to reproduce D_0 . By default, the shell-correction parameter, δW , is adjusted,
global_lev_fit_aparam	Answer	Answer = y/t/1 : If fitting to D_0 , adjust asymptotic level-density \tilde{a} -parameter to reproduce D_0 . Answer = n/f/0 : If fitting to D_0 , do not adjust asymptotic level-density \tilde{a} -parameter to reproduce D_0 , instead the shell correction δW (Default). .
global_lev_fit_emark	Answer	Answer = y/t/1 : Fit matching energy E_{match} to reproduce the cumulative level density of the discrete spectrum for all nuclei (Default). Answer = n/f/0 : Do not fit matching energy E_{match} to reproduce the cumulative level density of the discrete spectrum for all nuclei.
lev_aparam	Z, A, \tilde{a}	Input asymptotic level-density \tilde{a} -parameter for nucleus Z and A
lev_delta	Z, A, Δ	Level-density pairing energy Δ for nucleus Z and A
lev_shell	$Z, A, \delta W$	Level-density shell correction δW for nucleus Z and A (Computed internally based on masses).
lev_gamma	Z, A, γ	Level-density shell correction damping factor γ for nucleus Z and A . (Default : $\gamma = 0.43309/A^{1/3}$).
lev_emark	Z, A, E_{match}	Matching energy E_{match} between the constant-temperature and back-shifted Fermi gas components of the level density for nucleus Z and A
lev_ecut	Z, A, E_{cut}	Specify cut off energy for levels for Z and A . It must be less than the E_{cut} found by default (which is already where information about the spectrum is incomplete above this energy).
lev_d0	Z, A, D_0, dD_0	Input D_0 and uncertainty dD_0 for nucleus Z and A Overrides inputs for the data files Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L0.dat and Level-Density-Data-RIPL3-L1.dat in the directory \$YAHFC/Data/
lev_parity_fac	Z, A, PAR, E_0, B	Modify parity factor for parity $PAR = -1/1$ in nucleus Z and A . Functional form: $F_{PAR}(E_x) = 0.5 * \tanh(B(E_x - E_0))$ Starts parity factor at zero and climbs to equal fraction. Parity factor for other parity is $1.0 - F_{PAR}$ Default is $F_{PAR} = 0.5$.

Level Density Commands Continued		
lev_sig_model	Z, A, k	<p>Specifies model for energy dependence of spin cutoff parameters for nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>$k = 0$: $\sigma(E_x) = X A^{5/3} \sqrt{U/a(U)}$; $a(U)$ = energy dependent a.</p> <p>$k = 1$: $\sigma(E_x) = X A^{5/3} \sqrt{U/a(U)} (a(U)/\tilde{a})$.</p> <p>Default: $k = 0$ for lev_option = 0, and $k = 1$ for lev_option = 1,2.</p> <p>Default: $X = 0.01389$.</p> <p>The parameter X can be set with option lev_spin_cut.</p>
lev_spin_cut	Z, A, X	<p>Factor to define the spin cut-off parameter for nucleus Z and A. (Default: $X = 0.01389$).</p>
lev_rot_enhance	Z, A, k, X_1, X_2, X_3	<p>Information to control collective rotational enhancement factors for the level density in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>X_1, X_2, and X_3 define the scaling and damping of the collective enhancement factor via:</p> $X_1 / (1 + \exp((E_x - X_2)/X_3))$ <p>Default: $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 30, X_3 = 5$.</p>
lev_vib_enhance	Z, A, k, X_1, X_2, X_3	<p>Information to control collective vibrational enhancement factors for the level density in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>$k = 1$: Liquid-drop vibrational collective enhancement</p> $K_{\text{vib}} = \exp(0.0555 A^{2/3} T^{4/5}); T = \sqrt{U/a(U)}.$ <p>Default for lev_option = 0 & 1.</p> <p>$k = 2$: Entropy and excitation energy model with</p> $K_{\text{vib}} = \exp(\delta S - (\delta U/T)); T = \sqrt{U/a(U)}.$ <p>Default for lev_option = 2.</p> <p>$k = 3$: same as $i = 1$ with $a(U) = A/13$.</p> <p>$k = 4$: same as $i = 2$ with $a(U) = A/13$.</p> <p>X_1, X_2, and X_3 define an additional scaling factor and the damping of the collective enhancement factor via:</p> $X_1 / (1 + \exp((E_x - X_2)/X_3))$ <p>Default: $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 30, X_3 = 5$.</p>
lev_vib_enhance_mode	k	<p>Generic parameter defining the vibrational collective enhancement model used in the calculation for all nuclei and is overridden lev_vib_enhance.</p> <p>$k = 1$: Liquid-drop vibrational collective enhancement</p> $K_{\text{vib}} = \exp(0.0555 A^{2/3} T^{4/5}); T = \sqrt{U/a(U)}.$ <p>Default for lev_option = 0 & 1.</p> <p>$k = 2$: Entropy and excitation energy model with</p> $K_{\text{vib}} = \exp(\delta S - (\delta U/T)); T = \sqrt{U/a(U)}.$ <p>Default for lev_option = 2.</p> <p>$k = 3$: same as $i = 1$ with $a(U) = A/13$.</p> <p>$k = 4$: same as $i = 2$ with $a(U) = A/13$.</p>
lev_den_read	$Z, A, P_{\text{lab}}, \text{file_name}$	<p>Command to read level density information for nucleus Z and A from file_name.</p> <p>$P_{\text{lab}} = 1, \text{p}, +$: Level densities for positive parities are read</p> <p>$P_{\text{lab}} = 0, \text{n}, -$: Level densities for negative parities are read</p> <p>$P_{\text{lab}} = \text{b}$: Level densities apply to both parities using the internal parity factor</p> <p>file_name: Excitation energies in first column, followed by level density for each angular momentum.</p> <p>If only one value is present, the angular momentum dependence is from Eq. (18).</p>

Fission Model Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
f_num_barrier	Z, A, N	Sets number of fission barriers for nucleus Z, A . This option overrides and resets parameters specified in <code>\$YAHFC_DATA/Fission/empirical-barrier.dat</code>
f_barrier	$Z, A, j, E_B, \hbar\Omega$	Sets fission barrier height E_B and width $\hbar\Omega$ for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z, A . Overrides parameters specified in <code>\$YAHFC_DATA/Fission/empirical-barrier.dat</code>
f_ecut	Z, A, j, E_{cut}	Sets E_{cut} for level density above j^{th} barrier for nucleus Z and A .
f_lev_aparam	Z, A, j, \tilde{a}	Sets level-density \tilde{a} -parameter for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A . (Default: same as compound nucleus).
f_lev_spin	Z, A, j, σ	Sets spin cutoff parameter σ for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A . (Default: same as compound nucleus).
f_lev_delta	Z, A, j, Δ	Sets pairing gap parameter Δ for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A . (Default: same as compound nucleus).
f_lev_shell	$Z, A, j, \delta W$	Sets shell-correction value δW for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A . (Default: same as compound nucleus).
f_lev_gamma	Z, A, j, γ	Sets shell-correction damping factor, γ , for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A . (Default: same as compound nucleus).
f_lev_ematch	Z, A, j, E_{match}	Sets matching energy E_{match} for level density above the j^{th} fission barrier for nucleus Z and A .
f_beta_2	Z, A, j, β_2	Sets deformation parameter $\beta_2^F(j)$ for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z and A . Used to define the collective enhancement factors. Default depends on which barrier. $j = 1$: $\beta_2^F = 2.5\beta_2$. $j = 2$: $\beta_2^F = 4.5\beta_2$.
f_scale_beta_2	Z, A, j, X	Scale factor for deformation parameter β_2 for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z and A . β_2 for the fission barrier is $X\beta_2$ for the ground state. Used to define the collective enhancement factors. Default depends on which barrier: $j = 1$: $X = 2.5$. $j = 2$: $X = 4.5$.
f_lev_barrier_symmetry	Z, A, j, Answer	Information to control collective rotational enhancement factors for the level density above the j^{th} fission barrier in nucleus Z and A . Answer = 1 or 's': axially-symmetric. Answer = 2 or 'lr-a': left-right asymmetric. Answer = 3 or 'ta-lr': triaxial left-right asymmetric. Answer = 4 or 'ta-nlr': triaxial not left-right asymmetric. Default: Specified in file <code>\$YAHFC_DATA/Fission/empirical-barrier.dat</code> if found. Otherwise: 1 for single barriers, 1 for inner barriers with $N < 144$, 3 for inner barriers and $N \geq 144$; 2 for outer barriers Note: This should be specified after f_num_barriers.

Fission Model Commands Continued		
<code>f_lev_rot_enhance</code>	Z, A, j, X_1, X_2, X_3	<p>Information to control collective rotational enhancement factors for the level density above the j^{th} fission barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>X_1, X_2, and X_3 define the scaling and damping of the collective enhancement factor via: $X_1/(1 + \exp((E_x - X_2)/X_3))$</p> <p>Default: $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 30, X_3 = 5$.</p> <p>Note the symmetry of barrier defined in <code>f_barrier_symmetry</code> affects the rotational collective enhancement factor.</p>
<code>f_lev_vib_enhance</code>	Z, A, j, X_1, X_2, X_3	<p>Information to control collective rotational enhancement factors for the level density above the j^{th} fission barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>X_1, X_2, and X_3 define an additional scaling factor and the damping of the collective enhancement factor via: $X_1/(1 + \exp((E_x - X_2)/X_3))$</p> <p>Default: $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 30, X_3 = 5$.</p>
<code>f_barrier_damp</code>	Z, A, j, X_2, X_3	<p>Introduces a dependence on excitation energy, E_x, of the compound in the fission barrier height for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>The barrier height is modified by the ‘‘Gaussian’’ factor: $F(E_x) = X_1 \exp[-X_3^2(E_x - X_2)^2]$, with $X_1 = \exp[(X_3 X_2)^2]$ so that $F(0) = 1.0$.</p> <p>Default: $X_2 = X_3 = 0.0$</p>
<code>f_max_J</code>	J_{\max}	<p>Value of angular momentum where the fission barrier will decrease to zero.</p> <p>If $J_{\max} > 0$, the fission barriers are modified by the factor: $[J_{\max}(J_{\max} + 1) - J(J + 1)]/J_{\max}(J_{\max} + 1)$</p> <p>Default: $J_{\max} = 0$.</p>
<code>global_use_tran_states</code>	Z, A, Answer	<p>Specify whether discrete transition states are used for all nuclei in the calculation.</p> <p>Answer = y/t/1: Do use transition states (Default)</p> <p>Answer = n/f/0: Do not use transition states (Default)</p>
<code>f_use_tran_states</code>	Z, A, Answer	<p>Specify whether discrete transition states are used the j^{th} fission barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>Answer = y/t/1: Do use transition states (Default)</p> <p>Answer = n/f/0: Do not use transition states (Default)</p>
<code>f_barr_states</code>	Z, A, j, file	<p>Specifies that discrete transition states are to be read from the file <code>file</code> for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p>
<code>f_spect_scale</code>	Z, A, j, X	<p>Specifies a scale factor for the discrete transition state spectrum for the j^{th} barrier in nucleus Z and A.</p> <p>Default: $X = 1$</p>

Pre-equilibrium Model Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
preeq_model	i	Specifies which pre-equilibrium model will be employed in the calculation. $i = 0$: Pre-equilibrium is turned off $i = 1$: Two-component exciton model
preeq_pair_model	i (Δ_{Preeq})	Specifies pairing model used in the pre-equilibrium model. $i = -1$: Pairing gap $\Delta = 0.0$ in pre-equilibrium model $i = 0$: Two-component pairing gap $\Delta = \text{Eq. (10) in NPA744, 15 (2004)}$ $i = 1$: Two-component pairing gap $\Delta = \text{Fu's pairing correction: Eq. (8) in NPA744, 15 (204) (DEFAULT)}$ $i = 2$: Two-component pairing gap $\Delta = \Delta_{\text{Preeq}}$ (read only for $i = 2$)
preeq_m2_c1	C_1	Parameter C_1 defining the M^2 matrix element for two-component exciton model (Default: $C_1 = 1.0$). $M^2 = \frac{C_1 A_p}{A^3} (7.48 C_2 + \frac{4.62 \times 10^5}{(E_x^{\text{tot}}/(n A_p) + 10.7 C_3)^3})$ where A_p is the projectile mass number.
preeq_m2_c2	C_2	Parameter C_2 defining the M^2 matrix element for two-component exciton model (Default: $C_2 = 1.0$).
preeq_m2_c3	C_3	Parameter C_3 defining the M^2 matrix element for two-component exciton model. (Default: $C_3 = 1.0$).
preeq_v	V	Parameter V defining defining the depth of the well for pre-equilibrium emission (Default: $V = 38.0$).
preeq_v_n	V_n	Parameter V_n defining defining minimum depth for excitation energy dependent well for pre-equilibrium emission for incident neutrons (Default: $V_n = 32.0$).
preeq_v_p	V_p	Parameter V_p defining defining minimum depth for excitation energy dependent well for pre-equilibrium emission for incident protons (Default: $V_p = 22.0$).
preeq_g_div	g_{div}	Parameter g_{div} to determine density of single-particle states g , g_p , and g_n for exciton model for pre-equilibrium: $g = A/g_{\text{div}} = g_p + g_n$ $g_p = Z/g_{\text{div}}$ $g_n = N/g_{\text{div}}$ (Default: $g_{\text{div}} = 15.0$)
preeq_g_a	i	Parameter to determine if g for exciton model should be derived from level-density a parameter at the neutron separation energy. Connected via $g = a\pi^2/6$ $i = 0$: $g = A/g_{\text{div}}$ (Default) $i = 1$: $g = 6A/(a\pi^2)$
preeq_analytic	Answer	Answer = y: Analytic formula for transition rate Answer = n: Numerical integration (Default).

Optical Model Model Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
optical_potential	k, j	<p>Defining the optical potential for particle type k with internal type j.</p> <p>$k = 1, j = 1$: Koning & Delaroche (Default $Z < 90$ and $Z > 96$)</p> <p>$j = 2$: Soukhovitskii (Default $Z \geq 90$ and $Z \leq 96$)</p> <p>$j = 3$: Maslov 03</p> <p>$j = 4$: Soukhovitskii & Capote 20</p> <p>$k = 2, j = 1$: Koning & Delaroche (Default $Z < 90$ and $Z > 96$)</p> <p>$j = 2$: Soukhovitskii (Default $Z \geq 90$ and $Z \leq 96$)</p> <p>$j = 3$: Maslov 03</p> <p>$j = 4$: Soukhovitskii & Capote 20</p> <p>$k = 3, j = 1$: Perey</p> <p>$k = 4, j = 1$: Perey</p> <p>$k = 5, j = 1$: Bechetti</p> <p>$k = 6, j = 1$: Avrigeanu</p>
fresco_shape	i	<p>Integer defining the shape used in the FRESCOX coupled-channels calculation. See FRESCO manual.</p> <p>Default: $i = 13$.</p>
cc_file	Filename.ext	<p>Give name of file that has data related to performing a coupled channels and/or DWBA calculation. Filename.ext includes the extension and is case sensitive. The default file is <code>\$YAHFC_DATA/Coupled-Channels.txt</code>, which specifies coupled-channels and DWBA states for the target (mostly actinide nuclei). If a nucleus is not in this file (or specified file) a spherical optical-model calculation is performed.</p>
scale_elastic	S, A, B	<p>Option to scale the elastic (EL) cross section computed with the optical model (OM) over a range of incident energies E_i. The calculated elastic cross section is scaled by</p> $\sigma_{EL}(E_i) = \sigma_{EL-OM}(E_i)S(E_i)$ <p>with $S(E_i) = S \{1 + A [1 - \exp(-BE_{in})]\}$</p> <p>The transmission coefficients are also scaled so that the absorption cross section is modified by the same amount as the elastic so that the sum of elastic and absorption is conserved.</p>
do_dwba	Answer	<p>Controls whether a DWBA calculation is performed for direct inelastic reactions to states specified in the file with option cc_file.</p> <p>Answer = t: Perform DWBA calculation.</p> <p>Answer = f: Do not perform DWBA calculation.</p> <p>Note that the DWBA states are mapped onto the excitation energy grid with spacing ΔE, thus, once the DWBA states have been computed they cannot be used for a subsequent calculation with a different energy grid spacing ΔE.</p>
trans_avg_l	Answer	<p>Option to use transmission coefficients for spin-orbit states or averaged orbital angular momentum l.</p> <p>Answer = n/f/0: Use transmission coefficients with spin-orbit coupling, i.e., lj states</p> <p>Answer = y/t/1: Average $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$ and $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$ transmission coefficients for common l value, remove spin-orbit difference.</p> <p>Default: = n; keep spin-orbit dependence.</p>

Optical Model Model Commands		
Command option	Input values	Explanation
trans_norm	T_{norm}	Option to globally rescale the transmission coefficients from an optical model calculation Use with extreme caution. (Default: $T_{\text{norm}} = 1.0$).
cc_scale	X	Factor to globally modify the DWBA couplings in an optical model calculation. This factor multiplies the strengths in the Coupled-Channels.txt file (or file specified with option cc.file) found in the \$YAHFC_DATA directory. Default: $X = 1.0$.

- [1] M. Burbidge, G. Burbidge, W. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 547 (1957).
- [2] D. Kasen, B. Metzger, J. Barnes, E. Quataert, and E. E. Ramirez-Ruiz, Nature **551**, 80 (2017).
- [3] W. Hauser and H. Feshbach, Phys. Rev. **87**, 366 (1952).
- [4] P. G. Young, E. D. Arthur, and M. B. Chadwick, *Comprehensive Nuclear Model Calculations: Introduction to the Theory and Use of the GNASH Code*, Tech. Rep. LA-12343-MS (Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 1992).
- [5] M. Herman, R. Capote, B. V. Carlson, P. Obložinský, M. Sin, A. Trkov, H. Wienke, and V. Zerkin, Nucl. Data Sheets **108**, 2655 (2007).
- [6] A. J. Koning, S. Hilaire, and M. C. Duijvestijn, “*TALYS-1.0*”, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, April 22-27, 2007, Nice, France*, edited by O. Bersillon, F. Gunsing, E. Bauge, R. Jacqmin, and S. Leray (EDP Sciences **211**, 2008).
- [7] M. Uhl and B. Strohmaier, *STAPRE, A Computer Code for Particle Induced Activation Cross Sections and Related Quantities*, Tech. Rep. IRK 76/01, rev. 1978 (Institut für Radiumforschung und Kernphysik, Vienna, Austria, 1976).
- [8] I. J. Thompson, Comp. Phys. Rep. **7**, 167 (1988).
- [9] NEA, *Specifications for the Generalised Nuclear Database Structure (GNDS): Version 1.9*, <https://doi.org/10.1787/94d5e451-en> (OECD Publishing, Paris, 2020).
- [10] H. Feshbach, A. Kerman, and S. Koonin, Nucl. Phys. A **125**, 429 (1980).
- [11] E. Gadioli and H. P. E., *Pre-equilibrium Nuclear Reactions* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1992).
- [12] M. Blann, Phys. Rev. C **54**, 1341 (1996).
- [13] A. J. Koning and M. C. Duijvestijn, Nucl. Phys. A **744**, 15 (2004).
- [14] C. Kalbach, Phys. Rev. C **37**, 2350 (1988).
- [15] J. W. Tepel, H. M. Hofmann, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Lett. B **49**, 1 (1974).
- [16] H. M. Hofmann, J. Richert, J. W. Tepel, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Ann. Phys. (NY) **90**, 403 (1975).
- [17] H. M. Hofmann, J. Richert, J. W. Tepel, and H. A. Weidenmüller, Z. Phys. A **297**, 153 (1980).
- [18] P. A. Moldauer, Phys. Rev. C **14**, 764 (1976).
- [19] P. A. Moldauer, Nucl. Phys. A **344**, 185 (1980).
- [20] A. Gilbert and A. G. W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. **43**, 1446 (1965).
- [21] H. Bethe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **9**, 69 (1937).
- [22] W. D. Myers and W. J. Swiatecki, Nucl. Phys. A **81**, 1 (1966).
- [23] C. Guet, E. Strumberger, and M. Brack, Phys. Lett. B **205**, 427 (1988).
- [24] P. Möller, W. D. Myers, W. J. Swiatecki, and J. Treiner, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **39**, 225 (1988).
- [25] P. Möller and J. R. Nix, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables **66**, 131 (1997).
- [26] A. R. Junghans, M. de Jong, H.-G. Clrec, A. Ignatyuk, G. A. Kudyaev, and K.-H. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. A **629**, 635 (1998).
- [27] R. Capote, M. Herman, O. P., P. Young, S. Goriely, T. Belgia, A. J. Ignatyuk, A. V. Koning, S. Hilaire, V. Plujko, M. Avrigeanu, O. Bersillon, M. B. Chadwick, T. Fukahori, S. Kailas, J. Kopecky, V. M. Maslov, G. Reffo, E. Sin, M. Soukhovitskii, P. Talou, H. Yinlu, and G. Zhigang, Nucl. Data Sheets **110**, 3107 (2009).
- [28] A. S. Iljinov, M. V. Mebel, N. Bianchi, E. De Sanctis, C. Guaraldo, V. Lucherini, V. Muccifora, E. Polli, A. R. Reolon, and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. A **543**, 517 (1992).
- [29] S. F. Mughabghab, *Atlas of Neutron Resonances Volume 1: Resonance Properties and Thermal Cross Sections Z=1-60* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2018).
- [30] S. F. Mughabghab, *Atlas of Neutron Resonances Volume 2: Resonance Properties and Thermal Cross Sections Z=61-102* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2018).
- [31] R. Capote, M. Herman, O. P., P. Young, S. Goriely, T. Belgia, A. J. Ignatyuk, A. V. Koning, S. Hilaire, V. Plujko, M. Avrigeanu, O. Bersillon, M. B. Chadwick, T. Fukahori, S. Kailas, J. Kopecky, V. M. Maslov, G. Reffo, E. Sin, M. Soukhovitskii, P. Talou, H. Yinlu, and G. Zhigang, Available at <https://www-nds.iaea.org/RIPL-3/> (2020/10/25).
- [32] A. J. Koning and J. P. Delaroche, Nucl. Phys. A **713**, 310 (2003).
- [33] E. S. Soukhovitskii, S. Chiba, J.-Y. Lee, O. Iwamoto, and T. Fukahori, J. Phys. G **30**, 905 (2004).
- [34] V. Maslov, Y. Porodzinskij, N. Teterova, M. Baba, and A. Hasegawa, Nuclear Physics A **736**, 77 (2004).
- [35] E. S. Soukhovitskii, R. Capote, J. M. Quesada, S. Chiba, and D. S. Martyanov, Phys. Rev. C **94**, 064605 (2016).
- [36] E. S. Soukhovitskii, R. Capote, J. M. Quesada, S. Chiba, and D. S. Martyanov, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 059901 (2020).
- [37] C. M. Perey and F. G. Perey, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables **17**, 1 (1976).
- [38] V. Avrigeanu, P. E. Hodgson, and M. Avrigeanu, Phys. Rev. C **49**, 2136 (1994).
- [39] M. Baba, H. Wakabayashi, N. Ito, K. Kazuto Maeda, and N. Naohiro Hirakawa, J. Nucl. Sci. and Technol. **27**, 601 (1990).

- [40] M. B. Chadwick, M. Herman, P. Oblozinsky, M. E. Dunn, Y. Danon, A. C. Kahler, D. L. Smith, B. Pritychenko, G. Arbanas, R. Arcilla, R. Brewer, D. A. Brown, R. Capote, A. D. Carlson, Y. S. Cho, H. Derrien, K. Guber, G. M. Hale, S. Hoblit, S. Holloway, T. D. Johnson, T. Kawano, B. C. Kiedrowski, H. Kim, S. Kunieda, N. M. Larson, L. Leal, J. P. Lestone, R. C. Little, E. A. McCutchan, R. E. MacFarlane, M. MacInnes, C. M. Mattoon, R. D. McKnight, S. F. Mughabghab, G. P. A. Nobre, G. Palmiotti, A. Palumbo, M. T. Pigni, V. G. Pronyaev, R. O. Sayer, A. A. Sonzogni, N. C. Summers, P. Talou, I. J. Thompson, A. Trkov, R. L. Vogt, S. C. van der Marck, A. Wallner, M. C. White, D. Wiarda, and P. G. Young, Nucl. Data Sheets **112**, 2887 (2011).
- [41] J. Kopecky and M. Uhl, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 1941 (1990).
- [42] C. Cline and M. Blann, Nucl. Phys. A **172**, 225 (1983).
- [43] E. Dobeš, J. and. Běták, Z. Phys. A **310**, 329 (1983).
- [44] J. M. Akkermans and H. Gruppelaar, Phys. Lett. B **157**, 95 (1985).
- [45] E. Běták and J. Dobeš, Z. Phys. A **279**, 319 (1976).
- [46] C. Y. Fu, Nucl. Sci. Eng. **86**, 344 (1984).
- [47] C. Kalbach, Phys. Rev. C **33**, 818 (1985).