CS170–Spring 2019 — Homework 3 Solutions

Ran Liao, SID 3034504227

February 7, 2019

Collaborators: Yilin Wu, Jingyi Xu, Renee Pu

1 Study Group

Name	SID
Ran Liao	3034504227
Yilin Wu	3034503863
Jingyi Xu	3032003885
Renee Pu	3032083302

2   Maximum Subarray Sum

(a) **Description**

This algorithm will scan the array twice to find the answer.

Assume index starts with 0. Let $A[i]$ be the ith element in input array, and $d[i]$ be the maximum sum of subarrays that ends with index i. This array will be calculated during the first scan. First, let $d[0]$ equals to $A[0]$. Then, iterate variable i from 1 to $n-1$. If the sum of $d[i-1]$ and $A[i]$ is larger than $A[i]$, assign $d[i]$ with $d[i-1]+A[i]$. Otherwise, assign it with $A[i]$. In the second scan, we can retrieve the maximum value in d array, and that is the answer.

(b) **Proof of Correctness**

Before iteration, $d[0]$ equals to $A[0]$. This is indeed the maximum sum of subarray that ends with index 0, which contains only the first element. During iteration, there're only two possible situations. Either (1) append $A[i]$ to the maximum subarray that ends with $A[i-1]$ will result a larger sum, or (2) a subarray that contains only $A[i]$ itself will result to a better solution. Therefore, by taking the maximum value between $d[i-1]+A[i]$ and $A[i]$, we will guarantee the correctness of $d[i]$. Therefore, we can guarantee the correctness of first scan. And in the second scan, the largest value in array d will be considered as the final answer. This value must be correct because it is the maximum possible sum of subarrays no matter where it ends.

(c) **Runtime Analysis**

This algorithm takes only 2 scan, each of them will cost $O(n)$ time. So the total runtime is $O(n)$.

3   Modular Fourier Transform

(a)

$$1 * 1 * 1 * 1 = 1 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod})5$$

$$2 * 2 * 2 * 2 = 16 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod})5$$

$$3 * 3 * 3 * 3 = 81 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod})5$$

$$4 * 4 * 4 * 4 = 256 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod})5$$

$$1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15 \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod})5$$

(b)

$$\vec{u} = M_4(\omega)\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^1 & \omega^2 & \omega^3 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega^6 \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \omega^9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)

$$\vec{v} = \frac{1}{4}M_4(\omega^{-1})\vec{u} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-3} \\ 1 & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-4} & \omega^{-6} \\ 1 & \omega^{-3} & \omega^{-6} & \omega^{-9} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) The given two polynomials can be written into the following form.

$$2x^2 + 3 \equiv (3 + 0x + 2x^2 + 0x^3)(\mathrm{mod})5$$

$$-x + 3 \equiv (3 + 4x + 0x^2 + 0x^3)(\mathrm{mod})5$$

Let $\vec{a}$ be $[3, 0, 2, 0]^T$, and $\vec{b}$ be $[3, 4, 0, 0]^T$.

First, perform FT with $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$.

$$\vec{a}' = M_4(\omega)\vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^1 & \omega^2 & \omega^3 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega^6 \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \omega^9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b}' = M_4(\omega)\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^1 & \omega^2 & \omega^3 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega^6 \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \omega^9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Second, multiply $\vec{a}'$ and $\vec{b}'$ elementwise.

$$\vec{c} = \vec{a}' * \vec{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, perform inverse FT with $\vec{c}'$.

$$\vec{c} = \frac{1}{4}M_4(\omega^{-1})\vec{c}' = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-3} \\ 1 & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-4} & \omega^{-6} \\ 1 & \omega^{-3} & \omega^{-6} & \omega^{-9} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, we get the answer $4 + 2x + x^2 + 3x^3$, which of course is with respective to module 5.

4   Polynomial from roots

(a) **Main Idea**

This problem is equivalent to compute coefficients of expression $\prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - r_i)$, which is actually the product of n polynomials. The idea is split this expression into 2 components with equal length recursively, solve these 2 subexpressions and multiply them together to get the final answer.

(b) **Runtime Analysis**

The algorithm will use divide and conquer strategy. Specifically, it will split the original expression into 2 equal length subexpressions. And it will use FFT to multiply 2 sub-expression together, which will cost $O(\frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2})$ time. Therefore, we have the following recursive formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2} \\
&= 2^2 T(\frac{n}{2^2}) + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2^2} \\
&= 2^k T(\frac{n}{2^k}) + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2^2} + \ldots + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2^k} \\
&= 2^{\log n} T(\frac{n}{2^{\log n}}) + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2^2} + \ldots + \frac{n}{2}\log\frac{n}{2^{\log n}} \\
&= n + \frac{n}{2}(\log\frac{n}{2} + \log\frac{n}{2^2} + \ldots + \log\frac{n}{2^{\log n}}) \\
&= n + \frac{n}{2}\log(\frac{n^{\log n}}{2^{\frac{(1+\log n)\log n}{2}}}) \\
&= n + \frac{n\log n}{2}\log(\frac{n}{2^{\frac{(1+\log n)}{2}}}) \\
&= n + \frac{n\log n}{2}(\log n - \frac{(1+\log n)}{2}\log 2) \\
&= n + \frac{n\log^2 n}{2} - \frac{n\log n(1+\log n)}{4} \\
&= n + \frac{n\log^2 n}{4} - \frac{n\log n}{4} \\
&= O(n\log^2 n)
\end{aligned}
$$

5 Triple sum

(a) **Main Idea**

We can construct the following polynomial P base on elements in array A.

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{A[i]}$$

Then we compute P^3 using FFT. Index i, j, k exists if and only if the coefficient of x^n in P^3 is not 0.

(b) **Pseudocode**

IF-INDEX-EXIST(A){

    $n \leftarrow$ LEN(A)

    $P \leftarrow$ empty array with length n, and initialize them with 0

    for $(i = 0; i < n; i + +)${

        $P[A[i]] + +$

    }

    $P^2 \leftarrow$ FFT(P, P)

    $P^3 \leftarrow$ FFT(P^2, P)

    if ($P_3[n] \neq 0$) {

        RETURN *True*

    } else {

        RETURN *False*

    }

}

(c) **Runtime Analysis**

Construct polynomial P will cost $O(n)$ time, and compute P^3 by perform FFT twice will cost $O(n \log n)$ time. Therefore, the total runtime is $O(n \log n)$.

(d) **Proof of Correctness**

$$P^3 = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1}\sum_{b=0}^{n-1}\sum_{c=0}^{n-1} P[a] \cdot P[b] \cdot P[c] \cdot x^{a+b+c}$$

All coefficients in P is larger than or equal to 0. Therefore, if the coefficient of x^n in P^3 is not 0, there exists a, b, c such that $a + b + c = n$ and both $P[a]$, $P[b]$, $P[c]$ are not 0. Notice that if $P[x]$ is not 0, original array A must contain a value that equals to x. Hence, the original array must contain value that equals to a, b, c respectively, and these three values have sum equals to n.

6 Searching for Viruses

(a) (i) **Main Idea**

This is a brute force algorithm. Possible match of s_1 in s_2 can start with every position from 0 to $m - n$. Therefore, I can just iterate through all of it, and check whether the next n characters differ in at most k locations.

(ii) **Runtime Analysis**

There're at most m possible starting locations, and checking each of them will cost $O(n)$ time. Therefore, the runtime is $O(nm)$.

(iii) **Proof of Correctness**

The correctness of a brute force algorithm is obvious and trivial.

(b) (i) **Description**

I will assume string s_1 and s_2 are binary string that contain only 0 and 1. This will not affect the correctness of this algorithm, since all real word characters can be interpreted as a 8-bits binary sequence.

First, I'd like to define this problem more formally. Denote $P(x)$ as a function that receive an integer index x and give us another integer. The following is the formal expression of $P(x)$.

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_1[i] - s_2[x - n + 1 + i])^2$$

Therefore, we have the following deduction:

$$P(x) \leq k \iff s_2 \text{ matches } s_1 \text{ from index } x - n + 1 \text{ to } x \qquad (1)$$

This is true because I assume all characters are either 0 or 1. Therefore, each time s_2 differs from s_1 will increase the value of corresponding $P(x)$ by 1.

The whole problem is hence equivalent to **find all possible x that make $P(x) \leq k$**.

To simplified $P(x)$, denote s_m as the string that is mirror with s_1. This property can be expressed like this:

$$s_m[i] = s_1[n - 1 - i] \quad \forall\, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1$$

Therefore $P(x)$ can be expressed like this:

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[s_m[n - 1 - i] - s_2[x - n + 1 + i]\right]^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[(s_m[n - 1 - i])^2 - 2s_m[n - 1 - i]s_2[x - n + 1 + i] + (s_2[x - n + 1 + i])^2\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_m[n - 1 - i])^2 - 2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[s_m[n - 1 - i]s_2[x - n + 1 + i]\right] + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_2[x - n + 1 + i])^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_m[n - 1 - i]) - 2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[s_m[n - 1 - i]s_2[x - n + 1 + i]\right] + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_2[x - n + 1 + i])$$

The last step is correct because I assume s_1 and s_2 are binary string, so their squares are equals to themself.

In this long expression, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_m[n-1-i])$ is irrelevant to x and can be computed within $O(n)$ time.

Denote s_{ps} to be an array that $s_{ps}[i]$ is $\sum_{j=0}^{i} s_2[j]$ (ps stands for prefix sum). s_{ps} can be computed with the following formula:

$$s_{ps}[i] = s_{ps}[i-1] + s_2[i]$$

This can be done by scanning s_2 once. Therefore, it will cost $O(m)$ time. And $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(s_2[x-n+1+i])$ will be equal to $s_{ps}[x] - s_{ps}[x-n]$

$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[s_m[n-1-i]s_2[x-n+1+i]\right]$ is hard to compute and needs true inspiration. Notice that the sum of the index of s_m and s_2 is x. This is the property I will use later.

Suppose A and B are the following polynomials. Let C be the product of them.

$$A = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}$$

$$B = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \ldots + b_{m-1}x^{m-1}$$

The coefficient of C can be expressed like this:

$$c_x = \sum_{i=0}^{x}(a_i \cdot b_{x-i})$$

Notice that the sum of subscripts of a and b is x, which is exactly identical with the property I mentioned early except that A and B have equal length. Therefore, I define the string $s\prime_m$ as follows.

$$s\prime_m[i] = \begin{cases} s_m[i] & i < n \\ 0 & n \leq i < m \end{cases}$$

Hence, we can consider $s\prime_m$ and s_2 to be the coefficients of two polynomial respectively. Then use FFT and IFFT to compute the coefficient of their product. c_x is the value of $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[s_m[n-1-i]s_2[x-n+1+i]\right]$. This will cost $O(m\log m)$ time.

By now $P(x)$ is fully calculable. Then, deduction (1) will give the final answer.

(ii) **Runtime Analysis**

Compute the first part of $P(x)$ will cost $O(n)$ time, and compute the third part will cost $O(m)$ time. Perform FFT and IFFT with problem size m will cost $O(m\log m)$ time. In conclusion, the total runtime is $O(m\log m)$

7 Breadth-First Search

Step	Node Processed	Queue
1		A
2	A	B-D
3	B	D-C-E
4	D	C-E
5	C	E-F
6	E	F
7	F	

Figure 1: BFS Tree