

# CS170–Spring 2019 — Homework 5 Solutions

Ran Liao, SID 3034504227

February 21, 2019

Collaborators:Jingyi Xu, Renee Pu

## 1 Study Group

Name	SID
Ran Liao	3034504227
Jingyi Xu	3032003885
Renee Pu	3032083302

## 2 Updating Labels

(a) **Main Idea**

Run DFS from root node  $r$  and update label whenever visit a new vertex. Suppose vertex  $v$  is currently being visited and  $l(v) = k$ . The  $k$ th ancestor of  $v$  is located on the  $k$ th element in stack counting from top (this value can be access in  $O(1)$  time, if we use an array and a pointer to simulate a stack). Donate this vertex as  $w$ , then update  $l(v) = l(w)$ .

(b) **Proof of Correctness**

Labels are updated from root  $r$  to leaf nodes. Therefore the ancestor of a given vertex  $v$  is updated before  $v$ . This property can guarantee the correctness of algorithm.

(c) **Runtime Analysis**

DFS will cost  $O(|V| + |E|)$ .

### 3 Count Four Cycle

(a) **Main Idea**

Suppose  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of graph  $G$ .  $A_{i,j} = 1$  iff there's an edge between vertex  $i$  and  $j$ . Compute  $A^2$  and then subtract 1 from  $A_{i,i}^2$  for  $\forall A_{i,j} = 1$ . Then compute  $A^3 = A^2 A$  and subtract 1 from  $A_{i,j}^3$  for  $\forall A_{j,k} = 1$ . Lastly, compute  $A^4 = A^3 A$ . There's a four cycle iff  $\exists A_{i,i}^4 > 0$ .

(b) **Proof of Correctness**

$A$  represents the number of path between every pair of vertices with length 1.  $A^2$  represents the number of path between every pair vertices with length 2, and so on. Therefore check  $A^4$ ,  $i, i$  can reveal the existence of four cycles. All subtraction made previous is for eliminating invalid cycles.

(c) **Runtime Analysis**

The trivial matrix product will cost  $O(|V|^3)$  time, and all subtraction can be finished within  $O(|V|^2)$  time. Therefore, the overall runtime is  $O(|V|^3)$ .

## 4 Constrained Dijkstra

### (a) Main Idea

Run Dijkstra algorithm on vertex  $v_0$  and record shortest path in array  $p$ . Then reverse all edges in  $G$ , denote the new graph as  $G_M$ . Run Dijkstra algorithm on vertex  $v_0$  again in new graph and record shortest path in array  $p_M$ . The shortest path between  $u$  and  $v$  can be reconstruct by combining path from  $v_0$  to  $u$  in  $G_M$  and path from  $v_0$  to  $v$  in  $G$ .

### (b) Proof of Correctness

The path from  $u$  to  $v$  can be divided into two parts, namely, path from  $u$  to  $v_0$  and path from  $v_0$  to  $v$ . The shortest path from  $u$  to  $v_0$  can be found in  $G_M$ . The shortest path from  $v_0$  to  $v$  can be found in  $G$ .

### (c) Runtime Analysis

Dijkstra algorithm will cost  $O((|V| + |E|) \log |V|)$

## 5 Arbitrage

(a) (i) **Main Idea**

Construct a graph  $G$  where  $v_i$  represents currency  $c_i$ . The weight of edge between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  will be  $\frac{1}{r_{i,j}}$ . Run a modified Bellman-Ford on this graph start with vertex  $s$ . The update rule is changed to  $\text{dist}(v) = \min(\text{dist}(v), \text{dist}(u) \times l(u, v))$ . Initially,  $\text{dist}(s) = 1$ .

(ii) **Proof of Correctness**

The multiplication and addition has similar associative and commutative property. All deduction for Bellman-Ford algorithm will still holds true for the modified version. In modified version, edge with weight less than 1 will be consider as a “negative” edge.

(iii) **Runtime Analysis**

The modification will not change Bellman-Ford algorithm’s runtime. Therefore it is  $O(|V||E|)$ .

(b) (i) **Main Idea**

Use the same graph defined in part (a) and add additional iteration to the outer loop. If some vertices is updated in the final iteration, arbitrage situation exists.

(ii) **Proof of Correctness**

If there’s an arbitrage situation, there must exist a loop where weights’ product is less than 1. This is similar to have a negative loop in the original version of Bellman-Ford algorithm. This “negative” loop will cause the shortest path never stop updating.

(iii) **Runtime Analysis**

The modification will not change Bellman-Ford algorithm’s runtime. Therefore it is  $O(|V||E|)$ .

## 6 Bounded Bellman-Ford

### (a) Pseudocode

```
Modified-Bellman-Ford( $G = (V, E)$ ){  
  for  $\forall u \in V$ :  
     $\text{dist}(u) = \infty$   
     $\text{prev}(u) = \text{nil}$   
   $\text{dist}(s) = 0$   
  for  $i$  from 1 to  $k$ :  
    for  $\forall e = (u, v) \in E$ :  
      if( $\text{dist}(u) + l(u, v) < \text{dist}(v)$ )  
         $\text{dist}(v) = \min(\text{dist}(v), \text{dist}(u) + l(u, v))$   
         $\text{prev}(v) = u$   
}
```

### (b) Proof of Correctness

Each iteration in outer loop will try to consider the shortest path with 1 more edges. Therefore, after  $k$  iterations, the dist will contain information about the shortest path with no more than  $k$  edges.

### (c) Runtime Analysis

The outer loop will run  $k$  times, therefore the runtime is  $O(k|E|)$ .