

# CS170–Spring 2019 — Homework 8 Solutions

Ran Liao, SID 3034504227

March 20, 2019

Collaborators:Jingyi Xu, Renee Pu

## 1 Study Group

Name	SID
Ran Liao	3034504227
Jingyi Xu	3032003885
Renee Pu	3032083302

## 2 Modeling: Tricks of the Trade

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n \\ & z_i \geq y_i - (a + bx_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n \\ & z_i \geq -(y_i - (a + bx_i)) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & z \\ & z \geq y_i - (a + bx_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n \\ & z \geq -(y_i - (a + bx_i)) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Zero Sum Games

- (a)  $x_1$  is the probability that Alice will play strategy 1.  
 $x_2$  is the probability that Alice will play strategy 2.  
 $p$  is Alice's payoff

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \max p \\ p &\leq 4x_1 + 2x_2 \\ p &\leq x_1 + 5x_2 \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\ x_1 &\geq 0 \\ x_2 &\geq 0 \\ p &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \max p \\ p &\leq 4x_1 + 2(1 - x_1) = 2x_1 + 2 \\ p &\leq x_1 + 5(1 - x_1) = -4x_1 + 5 \\ 0 &\leq x_1 \leq 1 \\ p &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(d)



Figure 1: Feasible Region

- (e) The optimal solution is  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and the value of game is 3.

## 4 Repairing a Flow

### (a) Main Idea

First sort all points into clockwise order and denote  $D[i][j]$  as the distance between  $i$ th point and  $j$ th point, i.g.  $D[i][j] = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2}$ .

Define subproblem as  $T[i][j]$ , which represents the optimal solution to triangulate polygon defined by  $i$ th point to  $j$ th point. The recursive relation is as follows. In base case, if  $j - i < 3$ ,  $T[i][j]$  is 0.

$$T[i][j] = \min\left\{\min_{k=i+2}^{j-2} \{T[i][k] + T[k][j] + D[i][k] + D[j][k]\}, T[i][j-1] + D[i][j-1], T[i+1][j] + D[i+1][j]\right\}$$

And the final solution is  $T[1][n]$ .

### (b) Proof of Correctness

In base case, triangle do not need to be triangulated, so the cost is 0. In induction step, for  $T[i][j]$ , we have  $j - i - 1$  ways to choose an vertex  $k$ , so that breaks it into two or one subproblems. One subproblem is triangulate polygon defined by  $i$ th point to  $k$ th point. The other is triangulate polygon defined by  $k$ th point to  $j$ th point. Choose the smallest cost among them can guarantee the optimality of  $T[i][j]$ . Therefore the final answer  $T[1][n]$  is optimal.

### (c) Runtime Analysis

There're  $n^2$  subproblems and each of them will cost  $O(n)$  time to check each possible situation. Therefore, the overall runtime is  $O(n^3)$ .

## 5 Three Partition

### (a) Main Idea

Define subproblem as  $X[i][s_1][s_2]$ , which represents whether it's possible to divide first  $i$  numbers into three groups, such that the sum of first group is  $s_1$  and the sum of second group is  $s_2$ . Suppose the  $i$ th number is  $A[i]$ . The recursive relation is as follows:

$$X[i][s_1][s_2] = X[i-1][s_1][s_2] \vee X[i-1][s_1 - A[i]][s_2] \vee X[i-1][s_1][s_2 - A[i]]$$

And the final solution is  $X[n][\frac{total}{3}][\frac{total}{3}]$ .

### (b) Proof of Correctness

In base case,  $X[1][0][0]$ ,  $X[1][A[1]][0]$  and  $X[1][0][A[1]]$  are true. This is trivial. In induction step, for  $X[i][s_1][s_2]$  we have three choices, i.g. put  $i$ th number into first group, second group or third group.  $X[i-1][s_1][s_2]$  is the situation that put it into first group.  $X[i-1][s_1 - A[i]][s_2]$  is the situation that put it into second group.  $X[i-1][s_1][s_2 - A[i]]$  is the situation that put it into third group. So  $X[i][s_1][s_2]$  will be optimal and the final answer will be optimal.

### (c) Runtime Analysis

There're  $n(\sum a_i)^2$  subproblems and each of them will cost  $O(1)$  time. Therefore, the overall runtime is  $O(n(\sum a_i)^2)$ .

## 6 2-SAT

- (a) if  $G_I$  has a strongly connected component containing both  $x$  and  $\neg x$  for some variable  $x$ . Then there's a path from  $x$  to  $\neg x$  and a path from  $\neg x$  to  $x$ . The edges in this graph can be considered as implication, so we have  $x \Rightarrow \neg x$  and  $\neg x \Rightarrow x$  by transitive rule. So if  $x$  is assigned with true, we have contradiction  $true \Rightarrow false$ . If  $x$  is assigned with false, we have  $true \Rightarrow false$  as well. Therefore this problem has no valid solution.

- (b) Note that the clause  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$  is equivalent to  $(\neg\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \wedge (\neg\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)$ . The edges added in to graph  $G_I$  is symmetric.

Suppose SCC  $A$  contains variables  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$ . By symmetric property, there exists SCC  $\neg A$  that contains variables  $\neg v_1, \neg v_2, \neg v_3, \dots, \neg v_n$ . Similarly, if there's an edge from SCC  $A$  to SCC  $B$ , there exist an edge from SCC  $\neg B$  to  $\neg A$ .

In base case, there're only two SCCs and denote them as  $A$  and  $\neg A$ . This is trivial. Assigned one of them with true and the other with false will satisfy requirement. In induction step, suppose SCC  $A$  is a sink. Then SCC  $\neg A$  must be a source. Suppose there's an edge from SCC  $B$  to SCC  $A$ . Then there's an edge from SCC  $\neg A$  to SCC  $\neg B$ . Assign  $A$  with all trues can guarantee edge from SCC  $B$  to SCC  $A$  is satisfied. And assign  $\neg A$  with all false can guarantee edge from SCC  $\neg A$  to SCC  $\neg B$  is satisfied. Therefore, delete all of them will not introduce conflicts and can always find a satisfaction solution.

- (c) So first compute SCCs (meta-graph  $G_M$  of  $G_I$ ) and assign value according to the previous mentioned way. Compute meta graph will cost  $(O(|E| + |V|))$  time and assign will cost linear time. So the total runtime is linear.