	Game
	Alpha-Beta Pruning
BFS Total of the	d: Max's bed spring on the path, to rout
Search Problem OCS Greedy Search A* Search	
Gready Search	B: MW's best option on the path to most
A* Search	def mox-value (state, d, P):
Admissible: $0 \leq h(n) \leq h^*(n)$	$V = -\infty$
h*(n) is the true cost to a nearest goal	for each successor of state:
May a mental goal	y-max(v. value (recessor, d. f))
Tree Parel: can owned a ortate traile	L VSG votus 1/
Tree Search: ean expand a state twice Graph Search: Aprer expand a state twice	if $v \ge P$ geturn V $d = max(d, v)$
Coupy Jewer Merel expende a diese two	return Vo al Music MAN haral self-in
Consistent: h(A)-h(c) < cost (A to C)	frientime a mitaline fulling
condition in the management of the second	Reinforcement Learning
Tree Search Graph Search	Temporal Difference Learning
advisable optime)	17(s) = (1-d) V (c) + d. sample
consistent optimal optimal	
Markov Recision Processes	
The Bellman Equations	
Q*(S,a) = = T(S,a,s')[R(S,a,s')+rV	
V*(s) = max Q*(s,e)	
4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Ti(s) = argman Q(s,a)
Value Iteration to a sound	A sizel
$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{\alpha} \sum_{s} T(s, \alpha, s) [R(s, \alpha, s') + \frac{1}{2}]$	
Complexity of each iteration: O(s2A)	V(c) = wifes + w fre) + + w.f. (c)
The state was been allowed in the same shorts and	Q(s.a) = wifes.a) + ws fr(s.a) + + wnfn(s.a)
Blicy I toration	diff=[r-rmana(s',a')]-a(s,a))
Fualuation: O(52), do several pass until con	
$V_{\text{Ext}}^{\Pi_i}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi_i(s), s') \left[R(s, \pi_i(\omega, s') + r') \right]$	Vk (5') (for transition = (S.a. t. c')
Improvement when all and there is a darker word	
Titles & argmox = T(s.a.s)[R(s.a.s')+rV]	(c') probability e: not madamily
It rolled by a linear system, tuntime is OC	3)
	The late of the la

No

1

Convert a sortare to CVI-Backtracking Search 1 drop biconditionals =DFS + variable- ordering +fail-on-violation desβ = (2>β) N(β>) d) Forward Checking @ drop implications Gos of values that violate a constraint 93 = 29 13 when added to the existing assignment @ move "not" inwards Are Consistency: Relete from tail -(dvB) = (>d />B) An are X-Y is consistent iff for > (d/b) = (>d/>b) @ more "or" inwards and "and" outwards every x in the tail there's some y av(BNT) = (QVB) N(dVT) in the head which could be assigned without violating a constraint. Efficient SAT solvers Minimum Remaining Values PPLL Least Constraining Value Pure literals: if all occurrences of a symbol in as-yet-unsatisfied danses have Tree-Structured (SPs (No Loop) The same sign than give the symbol that value Can be silved in O(nd') time (AUB) A(AUC)A(DVE)A(BVC) Unit clauser: if a clause is left with a Mearly Tree-Structured CSPs single literal, set symbol to Bitisfy clause Cutset Conditioning: Olde (n-c)d) (A) N(A VB) N(CVD) Bayes net Logic Entailment: a 1= B (dentails B) PCXI.X ...XW = TI PCX; I Parents (Xi)) iff every world where I is true, Every variable is conditionally independent of 15 also true it non-descendants given its paronts a-wilds are a subset of the Runds (Truth table is very helpful) A variable's Markov blanket consists of parents, children, children's other parents. Every variable is conditionally independent of successor-state axiom Xt ([Xt-1 1 7 (some action, nade it false) all other variables given its

V [> 7t+ 1 (some ection, made it true)] Markov blanket.

Variable Elimination Hidden Warkov Models P(B) P(E) PCAIBED P(JIA) P(MIA) Fittering: O(IXI) a) Eliminate A P(X+|e1+1)=== P(X+|x+1) | (x+1e1+1) r PCAIB. B) PCJIA) => PCJ.m1B.E) P(Xtlent) of P(Xtlent) P(et IXt) 1 P(mIA) P(B) P(E) P(j.m 1B. E) POXtlent) = PCXtlenticet) time b) Eliminate t 1 P(j,m1B, E) => P(j,m1B) =2 P(et 1Xt, e1:6-1) P(Xt /e1:6-1) =2 P(et | Xt) . P(Xt | e1:4-1) =dP(ex/X+)=P(X+1x+1,e1+1)-Pex+-11e1+1 Prior Sampling = of (ex(Xt) = P(Xt | xx-1) - P(xx-1 | e1:t-1) For 1=1.2, ..., n (in topological order) Sample Xi from P(Xi | parents(Xi)) Most likely Explanation: argument P(Xiit level) Return (X1, X5, ..., Xn) Viterbi aborithm my[x6] = mox p(x1:+, e1:+) Rejection Sampling - P(et |xt) max P(xt |Xt-1) m [Xt-1] If Xi not consistent with evidence line complexity: O(1x1-T) Reject Space complexity: O(/XI-T) Likelihood Weighting Particle Filtering weight each sample by probability ovidence variables given parents a) Elapse Time: Each particle is moved by sampling its next position from the Bibbs Sampling transition model b) Observe: down weight samples based a) Fix evidence b) Initialize other variables randomly on the evidence w(x) = P(e|x)c) Repeat: Choose an non-evidence variable X c) Resample particles according to their Resample X from P(X I markov blanket(X)) weights

Value of perfect Information UPI (E'le) = (Z. P(e'le) MEU(e,e') - MEU(e) a) YE're = UPICE (e) 20 b) UPI(Ej. Ek le) = UPI (Ejle) + UPI (Ek le) c) VPI(Ej, Ex/e) = VPI(Ej/e)+VPI(EK/e, Ej) = UPI (Gkle) + VPI (File, EK) Naive Bayes PCY.FI. B. FW = PCD TI PCFILL) Maximum likelihood Relative frequencies are the maximum likelihood estimates

Por (x) = Count(x)

total samples Laplace Smoothing Extropy H CP1, R. .. PN = E [192 F]

= = -P; lag P;

HMM: Stationary Purtributions 1.9. 1 Poo(sun) = P(sun/sun) Poo (sun) + P(sun/rain) Poo(rain) Poo(rain) = P(rain/sun) Poo(sun) + P(rain/min) Poo(rain) Par(sun) + Poo (rain) = 1