Specifications of TSODL for C Version 1.0.0 Draft There is a lot of work to complete these specifications. Please send me an email if you want to help out. Thanks

Laurent Lyaudet
https://lyaudet.eu/laurent/ Laurent. Lyaudet@gmail.com
 ${\it June~2,~2019}$

Abstract

TSODL (Tree Structured Orders Definition Language) is a family of languages for defining orders. These specifications define the dialect of TSODL compatible with the C programming language. A Tree Structured Order Definition (TSOD) using this dialect may be parsed to generate optimized C code for sorting using this order.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preview	4
3	Production rules	11
4	String collations	12
	4.1 Standard collations for ASCII strings	12
	4.2 Standard collations for Unicode strings	12

Introduction

A result by Cantor (1895) shows that all countable orders may be sorted using any sorting algorithm suited for lexicographic sorting or any linear extension of the partiel order "Next". In less scientific terms, everything can be sorted as if it was string sorting. Tree structured orders and some of their suborders can be efficiently nextified/stringified/lexicographicalized (see Lyaudet (2018)).

The goal of the dialects of TSODL are:

- it could be used for order by clauses of SQL queries or other query languages,
- but it could also be used to generate code in your favorite programming language if you intend to sort objects without using a database,
- or it could be used as an input of a library that provides functions to prepare the array to sort (TSO-encoding) and sort accordingly.

Both generated code or dynamic code in library could provide a comparison function and a "nextification" function, computed from the tree structured order definition, and both code could switch between comparison model and lexicographic model, whichever is faster, according to the number of elements to sort and other parameters deduced from the tree structured order definition.

We first note that each node of a tree structured order is centered around an object (or a structure in C language, etc.). The root node is centered on the main objects, the ones that correspond to the wanted level of granularity.

Let us explain our ideas using an example from our current work in business software for freight forwarders. (Next chapter presents much more simple examples with increasing complexity. This example does not respect all specifications, and aims at giving an idea of the possibilities of the language with only one example. If it is too cryptic, go ahead and read the next chapter for more explanations.) Each night/morning, a freight forwarder receives trucks with freight from other freight forwarders. For each truck and each day, we have an "Arrival note" in the database. To this arrival note are linked shipments, each shipment has a certain number of handling units. We have these three levels of granularity: arrival note (corse grained), shipments, handling units (fine grained). Each of these three classes may have between a dozen and a few hundreds fields. Assume we want to sort shipments according to the name of the freight forwarder that brought his truck (field found in the arrival note), the weight of the freight in the truck by decreasing order (arrival note), the weight of the shipment by increasing order, the barcodes of the handling units.

A Tree Structured Order Definition for this sort would look like:

Note that we assumed denormalization or caching for the total weight of an arrival note. With TSO-encoding, that value, if not directly available, would be computed once and stocked into the TSO-encoding. With black box comparison model and callbacks, you have to cache this value somehow, otherwise it will be computed on each comparison.

The goal of these specifications is to detail the definition of the Tree Structured Orders Definition Language (TSODL) in its dialect adapted to the C programming language (TSODL for C).

Preview

Introduction chapter presented an example of TSOD. We give here more examples specific to C code with increasing complexity.

Assume you want to sort an array of integers by ascending order. Your TSOD would simply be:

CURRENT INT

or it could be:

CURRENT INT ASC

CURRENT is a reserved keyword for current item in the array, its meaning may vary when we go down in a hierarchy of structs. INT is a reserved keyword for specifying that the current item in the array is of int C type. ASC is a reserved keyword taken from SQL for specifying ascending order.

If you want to sort an array of pointers to integers by ascending order. Your TSOD would simply be:

*CURRENT INT

We do not reinvent the wheel, we use the C native distinction between 'CURRENT' and '*CURRENT'. Assume you have a C struct defined as follow:

```
typedef struct my_car {
  char* s_constructor;
  char* s_model;
  int i_year;
  int i_horse_power;
  float f_mileage;
} t_my_car;
```

Assume you want to sort an array of such structs by ascending horse power. Your TSOD would simply be:

```
CURRENT.i_horse_power INT
or it could be:
CURRENT.i_horse_power INT ASC
```

CURRENT now means a struct of type t_my_car, whilst it denoted an int in previous example, it always means the current item in the current array. INT specifies that the field i_horse_power is of int C type.

If you want to sort an array of *pointers* to such structs by horse power. Your TSOD would simply be:

```
CURRENT->i_horse_power INT
```

We do not reinvent the wheel, we use the C native distinction between '.' and '->'. If you want to sort by descending horse power:

```
CURRENT->i_horse_power INT DESC
```

DESC is a reserved keyword taken from SQL for specifying descending order.

If you want to sort by descending horse power, and then break ties by ascending mileage:

```
NEXT(
   CURRENT->i_horse_power INT DESC,
   CURRENT->f_mileage FLOAT,
)
```

The line returns and the indentation convey no meaning, but it is nicer to read. NEXT is a reserved keyword for Next partial order (if current fields are equal, compare Next fields). Note that by default, TSODL for C is case sensitive. You may use "pragmas", to be defined later, so that the keywords are case insensitive. The comma after FLOAT is no accident, you may optionaly end a list with a comma. In particular, if you commit a TSOD in your application and add another field relevant to the order or comment one field, later on, you do not have to modify commas on other lines, and your blame view of the file will only show real modifications of the source lines.

If you want to sort by constructor, and then break ties by year:

```
NEXT(
   CURRENT->s_constructor VARCHAR(NULL),
   CURRENT->i_year INT,
)
```

VARCHAR is a reserved keyword taken from SQL meaning that the length of the string may vary. By default, varying length strings will be sorted using lexicographic order. VARCHAR(NULL) means that the end of the string is known thanks to a null byte (value 0). If you fancy your own strings terminated by a byte of value 3, you can use VARCHAR(NULL(3)). VARCHAR(NULL(0)) and VARCHAR(NULL) have the same meaning.

Assume that the C struct is slightly different:

```
typedef struct my_car {
  char* s_constructor;
  int i_s_constructor_size;
  char* s_model;
  int i_s_model_size;
  int i_year;
  int i_horse_power;
  float f_mileage;
} t_my_car;
```

Instead of null-terminated strings, you have explicit length counters for the strings. If you want to sort by constructor, and then break ties by year:

```
NEXT(
  CURRENT->s_constructor VARCHAR(CURRENT->i_s_constructor_size INT),
  CURRENT->i_year INT,
)
   Assume that the C struct has fixed length strings:
typedef struct my_car {
  char[31] s_constructor;
  char[31] s_model;
  int i_year;
  int i_horse_power;
  float f_mileage;
} t_my_car;
You will use the TSOD:
NEXT(
  CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31),
  CURRENT->i_year INT,
```

Until now, we assumed that the collation used for sorting the strings was a no-op on byte values. You may want to group first the corresponding uppercase and lowercase letter. If both 'A' and 'a' gets the same value, etc., so that 'bCBc', 'BAba', 'baBA', are sorted like 'baBA', 'BAba', 'bCBc', then you need to sort first using your grouping collation, and sort later using a total order on the byte values. Let us assume that you have a C function with the following signature:

```
char my_collation(char* s_string, size_t* p_i_current_offset);
my_collation groups lowercase and uppercase letters. You may use the TSOD:
NEXT(
    CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31) COLLATION(CHAR my_collation),
    CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31),
    CURRENT->i_year INT,
)
```

The second sort on s_constructor is necessary to break ties ('baBA', 'BAba' instead of 'BAba', 'baBA' assuming that the first sort was stable). If it is UTF-8 strings, your collation may return an int and read many chars at once.

```
NEXT(
   CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31) COLLATION(INT32 my_collation),
   CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31) COLLATION(INT32 my_collation_break_ties),
   CURRENT->i_year INT,
)
```

You may optimze the produced code by giving range indications in your TSOD:

```
NEXT(
   CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31) COLLATION(INT32 RANGE(0, 2500000) my_collation),
   CURRENT->s_constructor CHAR(31)
        COLLATION(INT32 RANGE(0, 2500000) my_collation_break_ties),
   CURRENT->i_year INT RANGE(1900, 2100),
)
```

With RANGE(1900, 2100), TSODLULS knows that only a single byte is required to sort by year instead of 2 or 4 bytes. With RANGE(0, 2500000), TSODLULS knows that only three bytes are required for each unicode character. Shortening the key used for sorting is crucial for good performances.

Assume you want to sort the cars by constructor using the hierarchic order instead of the lexicographic order (sorting by length before sorting by letters values). We introduce a HIERARCHIC keyword for this, that will iterate over the characters and count the number of them. However, there are many ways to iterate in programming languages; we want to avoid tight coupling between the way to iterate and the order theoretic definitions like:

- LEXICOGRAPHIC comparison between two non-equal elements is done on the two first subelements at the same rank that are defined and different; if we cannot find two such subelements, then one element is a prefix of the other, and the shorter comes first,
- HIERARCHIC the shorter element comes first, if they have the same length, then they are compared with Next partial order,
- CONTRELEXICOGRAPHIC comparison between two non-equal elements is done on the two first subelements at the same rank that are defined and different; if we cannot find two such subelements, then one element is a prefix of the other, and the longer comes first,
- CONTREHIERARCHIC the longer element comes first, if they have the same length, then they are compared with Next partial order.

All these order theoretic definitions are variations of the Next partial order, and they are sufficient to express most of the orders used in informatics. (We fancy using informatics instead of computer science + computer engineering + computer technology. We also fancy using "contre" instead of "contra" in contrelexicographic and contrehierarchic. This is french colonialism on english language: P. The english colonialism on french language is much more common:). Some people will reject it as "globish" but since we created the two terms contrelexicographic and contrehierarchic (contrelexicographique and contrehiérarchique in french), we wanted to express this paternity. We will not blame you if you say/write contra-lexicographic and contra-hierarchic instead:).) In what follows, we distinguish the finite arity order-operator NEXT of the four infinite arity order-operators LEXICOGRAPHIC, HIERARCHIC, CONTRELEXICOGRAPHIC, CONTRELEXICOGRAPHIC, CONTRELEXICOGRAPHIC,

We also introduce some iterator keywords:

- ARRAY_ITERATOR,
- POINTER_ITERATOR.

The benefit of having iterators separated from order theoretic definitions will become visible with the example of so-called "natural sorting". But, for now, we come back at our example of sorting the cars by constructor using the hierarchic order.

```
NEXT(
  ARRAY_ITERATOR(
    CURRENT->s_constructor,//the string/array under this iterator
    1,//minimum length of the string/array under this iterator
      //for example, it could be 0 or 31
      //this is a promess you make to TSODL parser
    31,//maximum length of the string/array under this iterator
       //if it is not equal to 0, it must be at least the minimum length
       //if you write 0, you tell TSODL parser that max size_t is the limit
       //this is a promess you make to TSODL parser,
    char, //the C type of the elements of the string/array under this iterator
    NULL,//the way to tell the end of the array has been reached
         //NULL means that the char with value 0 denotes the end of the string
         //it could be "CURRENT->i_s_constructor_size INT" instead
                    or "CURRENT->i_s_constructor_size SIZE_T" instead
         //
         //
                    or an expression like "MAX(15, CURRENT->i_s_constructor_size INT)"
         //
                       if you want to iterate only on the first 15 items
    NEXT(//inside these parentheses, CURRENT is redefined
         // to be an element of the array (CURRENT has type char)
         //between these parentheses is listed a finite list of orders
         //each suborder must start with an infinite arity order-operator
         //in order to match the iterator semantic
      HIERARCHIC(
        //below an infinite arity order-operator is an infinite list of orders
        //these list must be ultimately periodic
        //the square brackets denote the period
        //for this example, we have an uniform sequence/list of suborder
        //(uniform means periodic of period of length 1)
        //there is no order listed before the square brackets
          CURRENT CHAR(1) COLLATION(INT8 my_collation)
      )
    )
  ),
  CURRENT->i_year INT RANGE(1900, 2100),
   Without all the comments, it is slightly more concise.
NEXT(
  ARRAY_ITERATOR(
    CURRENT->s_constructor,
    1,
    31,
    char,
    NULL.
    NEXT(
      HIERARCHIC(
```

```
CURRENT CHAR(1) COLLATION(INT8 my_collation)

)

)

)

CURRENT->i_year INT RANGE(1900, 2100),
```

Recall that "natural order" on strings is the order where the strings starting with digits comes first, all the consecutive decimal digits in the string are grouped together as denoting an integer, thus sorting by lexicographical order on letters part of the string and integer order on digit parts of the string. If we want to sort the cars by "natural order" of model, we obtain the following TSODL:

```
NEXT(
 ARRAY_ITERATOR(
   CURRENT->s_constructor,
    1,
   31,
    char,
   NULL,
   LEXICOGRAPHIC(//this is lexicographic order on suborders
                  //suborders take care of letters parts and digits parts
                  //the sequence of suborders will be periodic of length 3
      LEXICOGRAPHIC(
        CURRENT CHAR(1) COLLATION(INT8 my_collation)
        ]
      )
     END WITH FUNCTION(is_digit),//we read characters until the end of the string
                                  //or until we read an ASCII digit
                                  //is_digit can be any custom function from char to bool
     LEXICOGRAPHIC(
        CURRENT CHAR(1) COLLATION(INT8 my_collation_break_ties)
        1
     START WITH PREVIOUS_START//when breaking ties we 'rewind' the iterator
     END WITH FUNCTION(is_digit),
     ]
     HIERARCHIC(
        CURRENT CHAR(1) COLLATION(INT8 RANGE(0, 9) from_digit_to_int)
        ]
     SKIP PREFIX WITH FUNCTION(is_digit_0)//We must ignore prefixes of 0 for integer order
     END WITH FUNCTION(is_letter),
```

Production rules

String collations

Collations used for sorting string may be arbitrary functions if you want to generate code. TSODLULS will simply assume that you will provide the appropriate collation function when you will compile the code. TSODLULS defines standards collations that are already given, ready to use.

4.1 Standard collations for ASCII strings

4.2 Standard collations for Unicode strings

We thank God: Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. We thank Maria. They help us through our difficulties in life.

Bibliography

- G. Cantor. Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre. $Math.\ Ann.,\ 46:481-512,\ 1895.$
- L. Lyaudet. A class of orders with linear? time sorting algorithm. CoRR, abs/1809.00954, 2018. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1809.00954.