Building and Evaluation of a PBPK Model for COMPOUND in Adults

Version	0.1
OSP Version	8.0
Author	NAME (GitHub ID)

```
1 Introduction
2 Methods
    2.1 Modeling Strategy
    2.2 Data
        2.2.1 In vitro / physico-chemical Data
        2.2.2 Clinical Data
            2.2.2.1 Model Building
            2.2.2.2 Model Verification
    2.3 Model Parameters and Assumptions
        2.3.1 Absorption
        2.3.2 Distribution
        2.3.3 Metabolism and Elimination
        2.3.4 Automated Parameter Identification
3 Results and Discussion
    3.1 Final input parameters
        Compound: Fluvoxamine
            Parameters
            Calculation methods
            Processes
                Metabolizing Enzyme: CYP1A2-Fit
                    Parameters
                Metabolizing Enzyme: CYP2D6-Miura2007
                    Parameters
                Systemic Process: Glomerular Filtration-4% Urine
                    Parameters
                Inhibition: CYP1A2-Karjalainen2008/Yao2001
                    Parameters
                Inhibition: CYP3A4-Olesen2000/Yao2001
                    Parameters
        Formulation: Solution
    3.2 Diagnostics Plots
    3.3 Concentration-Time Profiles
        3.3.1 Model Building
        3.3.2 Model Verification
4 Conclusion
5 References
```

1 Introduction

Fluvoxamine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor used to treat major depression and obsessive compulsive disorder (Perucca 1994, ANI Pharmaceuticals Inc. 2008). Recommended doses are 50 to 300 mg once daily. The pharmacokinetics of orally administered single doses are linear. Following multiple oral administration, the pharmacokinetics at steady-state become nonlinear, due to saturable Michaelis-Menten kinetics of the metabolic pathways (Spigset 1998). Metabolism of fluvoxamine includes hydroxylation via CYP1A2 and O-demethylation via the very polymorphic CYP2D6 (Miura 2007, Spigset 2001). Following oral administration fluvoxamine is excreted via the urine as metabolites (DeBree 1983). The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends fluvoxamine as strong clinical CYP1A2 and CYP2C19 index inhibitor to evaluate the impact of CYP1A2/CYP2C19 inhibition on CYP1A2/CYP2C19 substrates (FDA 2017). Furthermore, the FDA lists fluvoxamine as moderate CYP3A4 inhibitor.

2 Methods

2.1 Modeling Strategy

The general concept of building a PBPK model has previously been described by Kuepfer et al. (Kuepfer 2016). Information regarding the relevant anthropometric (height, weight) and physiological parameters (e.g. blood flows, organ volumes, binding protein concentrations, hematocrit, cardiac output) in adults was gathered from the literature and has been previously published (PK-Sim Ontogeny Database Version 7.3). The information was incorporated into PK-Sim® and was used as default values for the simulations in adults.

The applied activity and variability of plasma proteins and active processes that are integrated into PK-Sim® are described in the publicly available PK-Sim® Ontogeny Database Version 7.3 (<u>Schlender 2016</u>) or otherwise referenced for the specific process.

The PBPK models were built based on healthy individuals, using the reported mean values for age, weight, height, and genetic background for each study protocol. If no information on these parameters could be found, a healthy male European individual, 30 years of age, with a body weight of 73 kg and a height of 176 cm was used. To model the specific metabolic clearance, CYP1A2 and CYP2D6 were implemented in accordance with literature, using the PK-Sim expression database RT-PCR profiles (Meyer 2012) to define their relative expression in the different organs of the body. Glomerular filtration and enterohepatic cycling were enabled, as they are active under physiological conditions.

To distinguish between fluvoxamine metabolism in CYP2D6 extensive metabolizers (EMs) and poor metabolizers (PMs), the CYP2D6 catalytic rate constant (kcat) of PMs was set to zero. This assumption was made because CYP2D6 PMs were characterized by absent CYP2D6 enzymatic activity <u>Crews 2014</u>, which results in a predicted 1.5-fold increase of the fluvoxamine AUC in CYP2D6 PMs compared with CYP2D6 EMs.

Smoking is the strongest known inducer of CYP1A2 and results in higher metabolism of CYP1A2 substrates Zhou 2009. As no detailed information on the frequency, duration, and amount of smoking was available from literature, the induction of CYP1A2 was implemented as a static 1.38-fold increase in enzyme activity. This factor was optimized based on the study of Spigset et al. ,25 resulting in a 39% reduction of the fluvoxamine AUC in smokers.

Unknown parameters (see below) were identified using the Parameter Identification module provided in PK-Sim®.

The model was then verified by simulating:

• ..

Details about input data (physicochemical, in vitro and clinical) can be found in <u>Section 2.2</u>.

Details about the structural model and its parameters can be found in <u>Section 2.3</u>.

2.2 Data

2.2.1 In vitro / physico-chemical Data

A literature search was performed to collect available information on physiochemical properties of fluvoxamine. The obtained information from literature is summarized in the table below.

Parameter	Unit	Value	Source	Description
MW	g/mol	318.34	<u>Drugbank</u>	Molecular weight
рК _а		9.40 (base)	Hallifax 2007	Acid dissociation constant
Solubility (pH)	mg/mL	14.66 (7.0)	<u>MSDS</u>	Solubility
logP		2.80, 2.89, 3.20	<u>Drugbank</u>	Partition coefficient between octanol and water
fu	%	23.00	<u>Claassen</u> 1983	Fraction unbound in plasma
CYP2D6 Km	μmol/L	76.30	<u>Miura 2007</u>	Michaelis-Menten constant
CYP2D6 kcat	1/min	0	<u>Crews 2014</u>	Renal plasma clearance
CYP1A2 Kic (competitive inhibition)	nmol/L	10.00	Karjalainen 2008, Yao 2001	Conc. for half-maximal inactivation
CYP1A2 Kiu (uncompetitive inhibition)	nmol/L	10.00	Karjalainen 2008, Yao 2001	Maximum inactivation rate
СҮРЗА4 Кі	μmol/L	1.60	<u>Yao 2001</u> , <u>Olesen 2000</u>	Conc. for half-maximal inhibition

2.2.2 Clinical Data

A literature search was performed to collect available clinical data on fluvoxamine in healthy adults.

The fluvoxamine PBPK model was developed using 26 different clinical studies with pharmacokinetic (PK) blood sampling. These studies include 1 study of 30 mg fluvoxamine administered intravenously (iv) as a single-dose, and 25 studies of fluvoxamine administered orally (po) in single- or multiple-doses. In the single-dose (s.d.) po studies fluvoxamine was administered in doses of 25 - 200 mg. In the multiple-dose po studies fluvoxamine was administered once (q.d.) or twice daily (b.i.d.), in doses of 10 - 150 mg per administration.

2.2.2.1 Model Building

The following studies were used for model building (training data):

Publication	Arm / Treatment / Information used for model building
<u>Japanese</u> <u>Society 2015</u>	Healthy Japanese adults with 30 mg as 60 min infusion or oral administration of 200 mg
de Vries 1993	Healthy adults with oral administration of 25-100 mg
Orlando 2010	Healthy adults with oral administration of 50 mg
<u>Labellarte</u> 2004	Healthy CYP2D6 EM with oral administration of 50 mg twice a day
<u>Spigset 1998</u>	Healthy CYP2D6 EM (80%) and PM (20%) with oral administration of doses between 12.5-100 mg twice a day
Fleishaker 1994	Healthy adults with oral administration of 50 mg or 100 mg once daily

2.2.2.2 Model Verification

The following studies were used for model verification:

Publication	Arm / Treatment / Information used for model building
Christensen 2002	Healthy CYP2D6 EM with oral administration of 10 mg or 25 mg twice a day and healthy CYP2D6 PM with oral administration of 10 mg or 25 mg once daily
<u>Fukasawa</u> 2006	Healthy Japanese adults with single oral doses of 50 mg
Japanese Society 2015	Healthy Japanese adults with single oral doses of 25-100 mg
<u>Kunii 2005</u>	Healthy CYP2D6 EM with single oral doses of 50 mg
<u>Spigset</u> <u>1995</u>	Healthy smokers or non-smokers with oral administration of 50 mg as single dose
Spigset 1997	Healthy CYP2D6 EM or PM with oral administration of 50 mg as single dose
van Harten 1991	Healthy adults with oral administration of 50 mg as single dose
de Vries 1992	Healthy adults with oral administration of 50 mg twice a day
Bahrami 2007	Healthy adults with oral administration of 100 mg as single dose
<u>de Bree</u> 1983	Healthy adults with oral administration of 100 mg as single dose

2.3 Model Parameters and Assumptions

2.3.1 Absorption

•••

2.3.2 Distribution

•••

After testing the available organ-plasma partition coefficient and cell permeability calculation methods built in PK-Sim, observed clinical data was best described by choosing the partition coefficient calculation by Rodgers and Rowland and cellular permeability calculation by PK-Sim Standard.

• • •

2.3.3 Metabolism and Elimination

...

2.3.4 Automated Parameter Identification

This is the result of the final parameter identification.

Model Parameter	Optimized Value	Unit
PK-Sim parameter 1		
PK-Sim parameter 2		
PK-Sim parameter 3		
PK-Sim parameter 4		

3 Results and Discussion

The PBPK model for COMPOUND was developed and verified with clinical pharmacokinetic data.

The model was evaluated covering data from studies including in particular

- ..
- •

The model quantifies ...

The next sections show:

- 1. the final model parameters for the building blocks: <u>Section 3.1</u>.
- 2. the overall goodness of fit: Section 3.2.
- 3. simulated vs. observed concentration-time profiles for the clinical studies used for model building and for model verification: <u>Section 3.3</u>.

3.1 Final input parameters

The compound parameter values of the final PBPK model are illustrated below.

Compound: Fluvoxamine

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin	Alternative	Default
Solubility at reference pH	14.66 mg/ml		Measurement	True
Reference pH	7		Measurement	True
Lipophilicity	3.5726507829 Log Units	Parameter Identification	Measurement	True
Fraction unbound (plasma, reference value)	0.23	Publication- Claassen et al., Review of the animal pharmacology and pharmacokinetics of fluvoxamine. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 15, 349S-355S (1983).	Measurement	True
Specific intestinal permeability (transcellular)	2.7380788903E- 06 dm/min	Parameter Identification	Fitted	True
F	3			
Is small molecule	Yes			
Molecular weight	318.335 g/mol			
Plasma protein binding partner	Unknown			

Calculation methods

Name	Value
Partition coefficients	Schmitt
Cellular permeabilities	PK-Sim Standard

Processes

Metabolizing Enzyme: CYP1A2-Fit

Molecule: CYP1A2

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin
In vitro Vmax for liver microsomes	0 pmol/min/mg mic. protein	
Content of CYP proteins in liver microsomes	45 pmol/mg mic. protein	Unknown
Km	0.0073460807948 µmol/l	
kcat	0.0155447966 1/min	Unknown

Metabolizing Enzyme: CYP2D6-Miura2007

Molecule: CYP2D6

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin
In vitro Vmax/recombinant enzyme	0.69 pmol/min/pmol rec. enzyme	
Km	76.3 µmol/l	
kcat	110.5561921693 1/min	Parameter Identification

Systemic Process: Glomerular Filtration-4% Urine

Species: Human

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin	
GFR fraction	1		

Inhibition: CYP1A2-Karjalainen2008/Yao2001

Molecule: CYP1A2

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin
Ki_c	10 nmol/l	Publication-In Vitro-Karjalainen et al. In vitro inhibition of CYP1A2 by model inhibitors, anti-inflammatory analgesics and female sex steroids: predictability of in vivo interactions. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 103, 157–65 (2008) and Yao, C. et al. Fluvoxamine-theophylline interaction: gap between in vitro and in vivo inhibition constants toward cytochrome P4501A2. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 70, 415–24 (2001)
		Publication-In Vitro-Karialainen et al. In vitro inhibition of CYP1A2

Name	Value	Value onligin ibitors, anti-inflammatory analgesics and female sex
Ki_u	10 nmol/l	steroids: predictability of in vivo interactions. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 103, 157–65 (2008) and Yao, C. et al. Fluvoxamine-theophylline interaction: gap between in vitro and in vivo inhibition constants toward cytochrome P4501A2. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 70, 415–24 (2001)

Inhibition: CYP3A4-Olesen2000/Yao2001

Molecule: CYP3A4

Parameters

Name	Value	Value Origin
Ki	1.6 µmol/l	Publication-In Vitro-Olesen et al. Fluvoxamine-Clozapine drug interaction: inhibition in vitro of five cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in clozapine metabolism. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 20, 35–42 (2000) and Yao, C. et al. Fluvoxamine-theophylline interaction: gap between in vitro and in vivo inhibition constants toward cytochrome P4501A2. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 70, 415–24 (2001)


Formulation: Solution

Type: Dissolved


3.2 Diagnostics Plots

Below you find the goodness-of-fit visual diagnostic plots for the PBPK model performance of all data used presented in <u>Section 2.2.2</u>.

The first plot shows observed versus simulated plasma concentration, the second weighted residuals versus time.



Goodness of fit plor for concentration in plasma




Goodness of fit plor for concentration in plasma

GMFE = 1.398262


3.3 Concentration-Time Profiles


Simulated versus observed concentration-time profiles of all data listed in <u>Section 2.2.2</u> are presented below.


3.3.1 Model Building





Time Profile Analysis














Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis







Time Profile Analysis





Time Profile Analysis





3.3.2 Model Verification























Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis







Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis








Time Profile Analysis




Time Profile Analysis









Time Profile Analysis

4 Conclusion

The herein presented PBPK model adequately describes the pharmacokinetics of fluvoxamine in adults.

In particular, it applies quantitative ... Thus, the model is fit for purpose to be applied for...

5 References

ANI Pharmaceuticals Inc. 2008 ANI Pharmaceuticals Inc. Fluvoxamine maleate - prescribing information. (2008).

Bahrami 2007 Bahrami, G. & Mohammadi, B. Rapid and sensitive bioanalytical method for measurement of fluvoxamine in human serum using 4-chloro-7-nitrobenzofurazan as pre-column derivatization agent: application to a human pharmacokinetic study. J. Chromatogr. B. Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 857, 322–6 (2007).

Christensen 2002 Christensen, M. et al. Low daily 10-mg and 20-mg doses of fluvoxamine inhibit the metabolism of both caffeine (cytochrome P4501A2) and omeprazole (cytochrome P4502C19). Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 71, 141–52 (2002).

Claassen 1983 Claassen, V. Review of the animal pharmacology and pharmacokinetics of fluvoxamine. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 15, 349S–355S (1983).

Crews 2014 Crews, K.R. et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype and codeine therapy: 2014 update. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 95, 376–82 (2014).

DeBree 1983 DeBree, H., VanderSchoot, J. & Post, L. Fluvoxamine maleate; Disposition in man. Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet. 8, 175–79 (1983).

DeVries 1992 DeVries, M., VanHarten, J., VanBemmel, P. & Raghoebar, M. Single and multiple oral dose fluvoxamine kinetics in young and elderly subjects. Ther. Drug Monit. 14, 493–98 (1992).

Drugbank (https://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00176), last view: 22 October 2018;

Fleishaker 1994 Fleishaker, J. & Hulst, L. A pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic evaluation of the combined administration of alprazolam and fluvoxamine. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 46, 35–9 (1994).

Fukasawa 2006 Fukasawa, T. et al. Effects of caffeine on the kinetics of fluvoxamine and its major metabolite in plasma after a single oral dose of the drug. Ther. Drug Monit. 28, 308–11 (2006).

Hallifax 2007 Hallifax, D. & Houston, J.B. Saturable uptake of lipophilic amine drugs into isolated hepatocytes: mechanisms and consequences for quantitative clearance prediction. Drug Metab. Dispos. 35, 1325–32 (2007).

Japanese Society 2015 Japanese Society of Hospital Pharmacists. 医薬品インタビューフォーム. (2015).

Karjalainen 2008 Karjalainen, M.J., Neuvonen, P.J. & Backman, J.T. In vitro inhibition of CYP1A2 by model inhibitors, anti-inflammatory analgesics and female sex steroids: predictability of in vivo interactions. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 103, 157–65 (2008).

Kuepfer 2016 Kuepfer L, Niederalt C, Wendl T, Schlender JF, Willmann S, Lippert J, Block M, Eissing T, Teutonico D. Applied Concepts in PBPK Modeling: How to Build a PBPK/PD Model.CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol. 2016 Oct;5(10):516-531. doi: 10.1002/psp4.12134. Epub 2016 Oct 19.

Kunii 2005 Kunii, T. et al. Interaction study between enoxacin and fluvoxamine. Ther. Drug Monit. 27, 349–53 (2005).

Labellarte 2004 Labellarte, M. et al. Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of fluvoxamine in children and adolescents. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 43, 1497–505 (2004).

Meyer 2012 Meyer, M., Schneckener, S., Ludewig, B., Kuepfer, L. & Lippert, J. Using expression data for quantification of active processes in physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling. Drug Metab. Dispos. 40, 892–901 (2012).

Miura 2007 Miura, M. & Ohkubo, T. Identification of human cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the major metabolic pathway of fluvoxamine. Xenobiotica. 37, 169–79 (2007).

MSDS material safety data sheet of fluvoxamine

Olesen 2000 Olesen, O.V. & Linnet, K. Fluvoxamine-Clozapine drug interaction: inhibition in vitro of five cytochrome P450 isoforms involved in clozapine metabolism. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 20, 35–42 (2000).

Orlando 2010 Orlando, R., DeMartin, S., Andrighetto, L., Floreani, M. & Palatini, P. Fluvoxamine pharmacokinetics in healthy elderly subjects and elderly patients with chronic heart failure. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 69, 279–86 (2010).

Perucca 1994 Perucca, E., Gatti, G. & Spina, E. Clinical pharmacokinetics of fluvoxamine. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 27, 175–90 (1994).

PK-Sim Ontogeny Database Version 7.3 (https://github.com/Open-Systems-Pharmacology/OSP Suite.Documentation/blob/38cf71b384cfc25cfa0ce4d2f3addfd32757e13b/PK-Sim%20Ontogeny%20Database%20Version%207.3.pdf)

Schlender 2016 Schlender JF, Meyer M, Thelen K, Krauss M, Willmann S, Eissing T, Jaehde U. Development of a Whole-Body Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Approach to Assess the Pharmacokinetics of Drugs in Elderly Individuals. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2016 Dec;55(12):1573-1589.

Spigset 1995 Spigset, O., Carleborg, L., Hedenmalm, K. & Dahlqvist, R. Effect of cigarette smoking on fluvoxamine pharmacokinetics in humans. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 58, 399–403 (1995).

Spigset 1997 Spigset, O., Granberg, K., Hägg, S., Norström, A. & Dahlqvist, R. Relationship between fluvoxamine pharmacokinetics and CYP2D6/CYP2C19 phenotype polymorphisms. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 52, 129–33 (1997).

Spigset 1998 Spigset, O., Granberg, K., Hägg, S., Söderström, E. & Dahlqvist, R. Non-linear fluvoxamine disposition. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 45, 257–63 (1998).

Spigset 2001 Spigset, O., Axelsson, S., Norström, A., Hägg, S. & Dahlqvist, R. The major fluvoxamine metabolite in urine is formed by CYP2D6. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 57, 653–8 (2001).

FDA 2017 U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Clinical Drug Interaction Studies - Study Design, Data Analysis, Implications for Dosing, and Labeling Recommendations. Draft Guidance for Industry. (2017).

VanHarten 1991 VanHarten, J., VanBemmel, P., Dobrinska, M.R., Ferguson, R.K. & Raghoebar, M. Bioavailability of fluvoxamine given with and without food. Biopharm. Drug Dispos. 12, 571–6 (1991).

Yao 2001 Yao, C. et al. Fluvoxamine-theophylline interaction: gap between in vitro and in vivo inhibition constants toward cytochrome P4501A2. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 70, 415–24 (2001).

Zhou 2009 Zhou, S.F., Yang, L.P., Zhou, Z.W., Liu, Y.H. & Chan, E. Insights into the substrate specificity, inhibitors, regulation, and polymorphisms and the clinical impact of human cytochrome P450 1A2. AAPS J. 11, 481–494 (2009).