

Database Design						
CRITERIA	%	SCALE				
		1.0	1.3	2.0	3.0	5.0
		Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative	Qualitative
ERD	25%	All the entities identified are valid based on the rules of selecting entities. Also, additional entities that are useful for back-end and activity monitoring are also identified. Entity relationships and cardinalities are designed in an efficient manner	All the entities identified are valid based on the rules of selecting entities. Entity relationships are all valid and reflect the RDBMS concept.	Most the entities identified are valid based on the rules of selecting entities. Most of the entity relationships are valid and reflects the RDBMS concept.	Some of the entities identified are valid based on the rules of selecting entities. Some of the entity relationships are not valid and reflects the RDBMS concept.	None of the entities identified are valid nor conformant to the rules of selecting entities. None of the entity relationships are valid nor does it reflect the RDBMS concept.
CONTROL and SECURITY	25%	Design supports appropriate user-based access and privileges appropriate on the needs or specification of the client. Sensitive information and credentials properly stored, and encryption method was properly selected	Design supports appropriate user-based access and privileges but does not fully cover the needs or specification of the client. Sensitive information is somewhat considered, and encryption method is acceptable	Design supports appropriate user-based access and privileges but does not fully cover the needs or specification of the client. Sensitive information is somewhat considered and attempted encryption.	Design supports appropriate user-based access and privileges but does not fully cover the needs or specification of the client. No encryption nor consideration of the storage of sensitive information is provided.	Design is for security and control is not properly documented, discussed nor demonstrated.
Normalization	25%	The relation is complete in terms of detail, complies with at least 3NF, ensures data integrity in all aspects and matches the ERD.	The relation is almost complete in terms of detail, complies with at least 3NF, ensures data integrity in all aspects and matches the ERD.	The relations comply with at least 3NF, but is not that detailed and is able to establish maintain data integrity	The relations somewhat conform to some principles of relations and normalization and resembles the ERD.	The relation does not conform to the principles or relations and normalization the relations and does match the provided ERD.
Overall	25%	The database design fully supports the features that can address business needs and is designed to allow ease of scale and expansion.	The database design fully supports the features that can address business needs	The database is well designed but is limiting and does not fully capture the entire business need	The database design is barely able to support the features to address business need	The database does not support the features nor reflect the needs of the business

Project Proposal and Documentation						
CRITERIA	%	SCALE				
		1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Mastery and Believability (individual)	20%	Fully understands and was able to convey the importance and relevance of the system and was able to display professionalism	Fully understands and was able to convey the importance and relevance of the system	Moderately familiar and convincing about the importance and relevance of the system	Not so familiar and convincing about the importance and relevance of the system	Does not understand nor were able to convey the importance and relevance of the system
Participation in Team Presentation (individual)	20%	Helps the team by articulating ideas or answers relevant to the situation.	Helps the team by articulating ideas or answers relevant to the situation built.	Helps the team by sometimes articulating ideas or answers relevant to the situation.	Articulates ideas or answers but not relevant to the situation.	Does not help the team articulate the ideas and answers.
Content Development	20%	Document content was written in detail and completeness to convey the writer's understanding and mastery in shaping the whole work.	Document content was written in detail and completeness to convey the writer's understanding compliant to the requirements of the discipline in shaping the whole work.	Document content was written in detail and completeness to convey the writer's understanding in shaping the work	Document content was written in a not so detailed manner but is complete in conveying the writer's understanding in shaping the work	Document content was not written not in detail nor was it complete in conveying the writer's understanding in shaping the work
Context and Purpose	40%	Document shows detailed context, consideration of expected audience and conformance to acceptable software engineering standard	Document shows consistent context, consideration of expected audience and conformance to acceptable software engineering standard	Document meets expected context, consideration of expected audience and conformance to acceptable software engineering standard	Document attempts to meet expected context, consideration of expected audience and conformance to acceptable software engineering standard	Document does not meet expected context, consideration of expected audience nor conformance to acceptable software engineering standard