

Universidade do Minho  
Escola de Engenharia  
Departamento de Engenharia Eletrónica  
Laboratórios e Práticas Integradas 2

## Integrator Project: Final Report

### Radio Frequency Camera Assisted Rover (RFCAR)

#### Group 7

Nuno Rodrigues	A85207
Hugo Carvalho	A85156
Hugo Ferreira	A80665
João Faria	A85632
João de Carvalho	A83564
José Mendes	A85951
José Pires	A50178

Supervised by:  
Professor Doutor Vitor Silva

July 3, 2020

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	i
<b>List of Figures</b>	iv
<b>List of Tables</b>	v
<b>List of Listings</b>	vi
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	vii
<b>List of Symbols</b>	ix
<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Motivation and Goals	1
1.2 Product concept	1
1.3 Planning	2
1.4 Report organisation	4
<b>2 Theoretical foundations</b>	5
2.1 Project methodologies	5
2.1.1 Waterfall	5
2.1.2 Unified Modeling Language (UML)	7
2.2 Communications	7
2.2.1 Bluetooth	7
2.2.1.1 Establishing a connection	9
2.2.1.2 Selecting a target device	11
2.2.1.3 Transport protocols	12
2.2.1.4 Port Numbers	12
2.2.1.5 Service discovery	13

---

## Contents

---

2.2.1.6	Host Controller Interface – HCI	14
2.2.1.7	Development Stacks for Bluetooth	14
2.2.2	IEEE 802.11 – Wi-Fi	15
2.2.2.1	TCP/IP	15
2.2.3	General Packet Radio Service – GPRS	16
2.2.4	Network programming – sockets	18
2.2.5	Client/server model	19
2.3	Concurrency	21
<b>3</b>	<b>Requirements Elicitation and Specifications Definition</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1	Foreseen specifications	22
3.1.1	Quality Function Deployment – QFD	22
3.1.2	Vehicle Autonomy	26
3.1.3	Speed	26
3.1.4	Safety	26
3.1.5	Image acquisition	26
3.1.5.1	Frame rate	27
3.1.5.2	Range	27
3.1.5.3	Resolution	27
3.1.6	Communication	27
3.1.6.1	Reliability	27
3.1.6.2	Redundancy	28
3.1.6.3	Range	28
3.1.7	Responsiveness	28
3.1.8	Closed loop error	28
3.1.9	Summary	29
<b>4</b>	<b>Analysis</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1	Initial design	30
4.2	Foreseen specifications tests	32
4.2.1	Verification tests	34
4.2.1.1	Functionality	34
4.2.1.2	Image acquisition	34
4.2.1.3	Communication	35
4.2.1.4	Correctness of the control algorithms	35

---

**Contents**

4.2.2	Validation tests	35
<b>5</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1	Navigation Virtual Subsystem	36
5.1.1	Control	36
5.2	Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem	36
5.3	Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem	36
5.4	Smartphone	36
<b>6</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>37</b>
6.1	Navigation Virtual Subsystem	37
6.1.1	Control	37
6.2	Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem	37
6.3	Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem	37
6.4	Smartphone	37
<b>7</b>	<b>Testing</b>	<b>38</b>
7.1	Unit testing	38
7.1.1	Navigation Virtual Subsystem	38
7.1.1.1	Control	38
7.1.2	Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem	38
7.1.3	Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem	38
7.1.4	Smartphone	38
7.2	Integrated-testing	38
<b>8</b>	<b>Verification and Validation</b>	<b>39</b>
8.1	Verification	39
8.2	Validation	39
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>41</b>
Appendices		<b>42</b>
<b>A Project Planning — Gantt diagram</b>		<b>43</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Waterfall model diagram	6
2.2	An overview of the object-oriented software engineering development and their products. This diagram depicts only logical dependencies among work products (withdrawn from [3])	8
2.3	Bluetooth 5.0 protocol stack	9
2.4	Comparison of Network, Internet and Bluetooth programming for outgoing connections (withdrawn from [4])	10
2.5	Comparison of Network, Internet and Bluetooth programming for incoming connections (withdrawn from [4])	11
2.6	Most relevant Bluetooth transport protocols (withdrawn from [4])	13
2.7	Comparison between traditional connection establishment (internet) and using SDP (Bluetooth) (withdrawn from [4])	14
2.8	Most relevant development Bluetooth stacks and wrappers and its supported protocols (withdrawn from [4])	15
2.9	Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model	16
2.10	GSM/GPRS network (withdrawn from [6])	17
2.11	GPRS procedures (withdrawn from [6])	18
2.12	Steps to obtain a connected socket (withdrawn from [4])	20
2.13	Overview of UNIX system calls with sockets implementing a server/client paradigm (withdrawn from [8])	21
3.1	Quality House – Specification Correlation Strength Symbols	23
3.2	Quality House – Relationship Strength Symbols	24
3.3	Project Study – RFCar Quality House	25
4.1	Initial design: Block diagram view	31
4.2	Initial design: Virtual environment block diagram view	33
A.1	Project planning – Gantt diagram	44

# **List of Tables**

3.1 Specifications

29

# **List of Listings**

# List of Abbreviations

<b>Notation</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page List</b>
ACL	Asynchronous Connection-Oriented Logical	12, 14
API	Application Programming Interface	15, 20
AT	ATtention	18
BLE	Bluetooth Low-Energy	7
DL	downlink	18
GGSN	Gateway GPRS Support Node	17, 18
GPRS	General Packet Radio Service	16–18
GSM	Global System for Mobile Communications	16, 17
I/O	Input/Output	20
Internet Protocol	Host Controller Interface	7, 14, 15
IOT	Internet of Things	7
IP	Internet Protocol	15, 17
IPC	Inter-Process Communication	19
L2CAP	Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol	12, 14, 15
LAN	Local Area Network	15, 16
LAN	Wireless local Area Network	15
MAC	Machine Address Code	11
	Media Access Control	15
ME	Mobile Equipment	17, 18
MS	Mobile Station	17, 18

---

**List of Abbreviations**

<b>Notation</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page List</b>
OMT	Object-Modeling Technique	7
OOSE	Object Oriented Software Engineering	7
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection	15, 16
PDP	Packet Data Protocol	18
PSM	Protocol Service Multiplexers	12
QFD	Quality Function Deployment	22
RFCOMM	Radio Frequency Communications	12, 14, 15
SCO	Synchronous Connection-Oriented	12, 15
SDP	Service Device Protocol	12, 13
SGSN	Serving GPRS Support Node	17, 18
SIG	Bluetooth Special Interest Group	7
SIM	Subscriber Identity Module	17, 18
SMS	Short Message Service	16
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol	12, 15
TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol	8
UDP	User Datagram Protocol	12, 16
UML	Unified Modeling Language	7

# Symbols

Symbol	Description	Unit
$\omega$	angular velocity	rad/s
$\pi$	ratio of circumference of circle to its diameter	

# **1. Introduction**

The present work, within the scope of the curricular unit of Laboratórios e Práticas Integradas II, consists in the project of the development of a product with strong digital basis, namely, a remote controlled vehicle used to assist exploration and maintenance in critical or unaccessible areas to human operators.

In this chapter are present the project's motivation and goals, the product concept, the project planning and the document organisation.

## **1.1. Motivation and Goals**

The main goal of this project is to develop a remote controlled vehicle with the following characteristics:

1. Remotely operated: the vehicle must be remotely operated to enable its usage in critical or unaccessible areas to human operators;
2. Provide visual feedback to the user: to be a valuable asset in the exploration and maintenance domains, the vehicle must provide visual feedback to the user of its surroundings.
3. Safe: the vehicle must be safe to use and prevent its damage and of its surroundings
4. Robust: the vehicle must be able to sustain harsh environmental conditions and provide redundant mechanisms to avoid control loss.
5. Affordable: so it can be an economically viable product.

## **1.2. Product concept**

The envisioned product consists of a remote controlled vehicle used to assist exploration and maintenance domains, hereby, denominated as Radio Frequency Camera Assisted Rover (RFCAR). To satisfy such requirements, the vehicle must contain a remotely operated camera that provides a live video feed to the user.

### **1.3. Planning**

---

Additionally, the vehicle must include an odometric system that assists the driving and avoids unintentional collisions when remote control is compromised, e.g., when connection is lost. The vehicle provides means for exploration and conditions assessment in critical or unaccessible areas to human operators, such as fluid pipelines and other hazardous locations.

## **1.3. Planning**

In Appendix A is illustrated the Gantt chart for the project (Fig. A.1), containing the tasks' descriptions. It should be noted that the project tasks of Analysis, Design, Implementation and Tests are performed in two distinct iterations as corresponding to the Waterfall project methodology.

Due to unpredictable circumstances, limiting the mobility of team staff and goods, the implementation stage will not be done at full extent, but rather at a simulation stage. Thus, to overcome these constraints, the project focus is shifted to the simulation stage, where an extensive framework is built to model the system operation, test it, and providing valuable feedback for the dependent modules. As an example, the modules previously connected just by an RS232 link, must now include upstream a web module (TCP/IP) – the data is now effectively sent through the internet, and must be unpacked and delivered serially as expected if only the RS232 link was used.

The tasks are described as follows:

- Project Kick-off: in the project kick-off, the group is formed and the tutor is chosen. A brainstorming about conceivable devices takes place, whose viability is then assessed, resulting in the product concept definition (Milestone 0).
- State of the Art: in this stage, the working principle of the device is studied based on similar products and the system components and its characteristics are identified.
- Analysis: In the first stage – Analysis 1 – contains the analysis results of the state of the art. It should yield the specifications document, containing the requisites and restrictions to the project/product, on a quantifiable basis as required to initiate the design; for example, the vehicle's desired speed should be, at maximum, 2 m/s. The second stage – Analysis 2 – contains the analysis of the first iteration of the development cycle.
- Design: it is done in two segments: modules design – where the modules are designed; integration design – where the interconnections between modules is designed. It can be subdivided into conceptual design and solution design.

### 1.3. Planning

---

- In the conceptual design, several problem solutions are identified, quantifying its relevance for the project through a measuring scale, inserted into an evaluation matrix, for example, QFD.
- In the solution design, the selected solution is developed. It must include the solution modelling, e.g.:
  - \* Control system: analytically and using simulation;
  - \* Transducer design: circuit design and simulation;
  - \* Power system: power supply, motors actuation and respective circuitry design and simulation;
  - \* Communications middleware: communication protocols evaluation and selection;
  - \* Software layers: for all required modules, and considering its interconnections, at distinct levels:
    - front end layer: user interface software, providing a easy and convenient way for the user to control and manage the system.
    - framework layer: software required to emulate/simulate and test the required system behaviour, providing seamless interfaces for the dependents modules
    - back end layer: software running behind the scenes, handling user commands received, system monitoring and control.
- Implementation: product implementation which is done by modular integration. In the first stage, the implementation is done in a prototyping environment — the assisting framework developed, yielding version alpha; in the second stage it must include the coding on the final target modules, yielding prototype beta.
- Tests: modular tests and integrated tests are performed. Tests are generally considered as those performed over any physical component or prototype. Here, it is used as a broader term, to reflect the tests conducted into the system and the several prototypes.
- Functional Verification/Validation: System verification may be performed to validate overall function, but not for quantifiable measurement, due to the latencies involved. Regarding validation, specially for an external agent, thus, it should be limited to user interface validation.
- Delivery: – project closure encompassing:
  1. Final prototype

2. Support documentation: how to replicate, instruction manual.
3. Final report
4. Public presentation

## **1.4. Report organisation**

This report is organised as follows:

- In Chapter 2 lays out the theoretical foundations for project development, namely the project development methodologies and associated tools, and the communications technologies.
- In Chapter 3 are identified the key requirements and constraints the system being developed must meet from the end-user perspective (requirements) and, by defining well-established boundaries within the project resources (time, budget, technologies and know-how), the list of specifications is obtained.
- After defining the product specifications, the solutions space is explored in Chapter 4, providing the rationale for viable solutions and guiding the designer towards a best-compromise solution, yielding the preliminary design and the foreseen tests to the specifications.
- The preliminary design is further refined in Chapter 5 and decomposed into tractable blocks (subsystems) which can be designed independently and assigned to different design teams, allowing the transition to the implementation phase.
- Next, in Chapter 6, the design solution is implemented into the target platforms.
- Then, in Chapter 7, the implementation is tested at the subsystem level (unit testing) and system level (integrated testing), analysing and comparing the attained performance with the expected one.
- After product testing, in Chapter 8, the specifications must be verified and validated by an external agent. subsystem level (unit testing) and system level (integrated testing), analysing and comparing the attained performance with the expected one.
- Chapter 9 gives a summary of this report as well as prospect for future work.
- Lastly, the appendices (see Section 9) contain detailed information about project planning and development.

## **2. Theoretical foundations**

In this chapter some background is provided for the main subjects. The fundamental technical concepts are presented as they proved its usefulness along the project, namely the project development methodologies and associated tools, and communications in detail.

### **2.1. Project methodologies**

The methodologies used for the project development are briefly described next.

#### **2.1.1. Waterfall**

For the domain-specific design of software the waterfall methodology is used. The waterfall model (fig. 2.1) represents the first effort to conveniently tackle the increasing complexity in the software development process, being credited to Royce, in 1970, the first formal description of the model, even though he did not coin the term [1]. It envisions the optimal method as a linear sequence of phases, starting from requirement elicitation to system testing and product shipment [2] with the process flowing from the top to the bottom, like a cascading waterfall.

In general, the phase sequence is as follows: analysis, design, implementation, verification and maintenance.

1. Firstly, the project requirements are elicited, identifying the key requirements and constraints the system being developed must meet from the end-user perspective, captured in natural language in a product requirements document.
2. In the analysis phase, the developer should convert the application level knowledge, enlisted as requirements, to the solution domain knowledge resulting in analysis models, schema and business rules.

## 2.1. Project methodologies

---

3. In the design phase, a thorough specification is written allowing the transition to the implementation phase, yielding the decomposition in subsystems and the software architecture of the system.
4. In the implementation stage, the system is developed, following the specification, resulting in the source code.
5. Next, after system assembly and integration, a verification phase occurs and system tests are performed, with the systematic discovery and debugging of defects.
6. Lastly, the system becomes a product and, after deployment, the maintenance phase start, during the product life time.

While this cycle occurs, several transitions between multiple phases might happen, since an incomplete specification or new knowledge about the system, might result in the need to rethink the document.

The advantages of the waterfall model are: it is simple and easy to understand and use and the phases do not overlap; they are completed sequentially. However, it presents some drawbacks namely: difficulty to tackle change and high complexity and the high amounts of risk and uncertainty. However, in the present work, due to its simplicity, the waterfall model proves its usefulness and will be used along the project.

As a reference in the sequence of phases and the expected outcomes from each one, it will be used the chain of development activities and their products depicted in fig. 2.2 (withdrawn from [3]).




Figure 2.1.: Waterfall model diagram

### 2.1.2. Unified Modeling Language (UML)

To aid the software development process, a notation is required, to articulate complex ideas succinctly and precisely. The notation chosen was the Unified Modeling Language (UML), as it provides a spectrum of notations for representing different aspects of a system and has been accepted as a standard notation in the software industry [3].

The goal of UML is to provide a standard notation that can be used by all object-oriented methods and to select and integrate the best elements of precursor software notations, namely Object-Modeling Technique (OMT), Booch, and Object Oriented Software Engineering (OOSE) [3]. It provides constructs for a broad range of systems and activities (e.g., distributed systems, analysis, system design, deployment). System development focuses on three different models of the system (fig. 2.2) [3]:

1. **The functional model:** represented in UML with use case diagrams, describes the functionality of the system from the user's point of view.
2. **The object model:** represented in UML with class diagrams, describes the structure of the system in terms of objects, attributes, associations, and operations.
3. **The dynamic model:** represented in UML with interaction diagrams, state-machine diagrams, and activity diagrams, describes the internal behaviour of the system.

## 2.2. Communications

The communications technologies and the associated tools used for the project development are briefly described next.

### 2.2.1. Bluetooth

Bluetooth is a ubiquitous radio-frequency technology (2.4 GHz) for wireless communication, generally at short distances. Bluetooth is managed by the Bluetooth Special Interest Group (SIG) and the current version of the standard is 5.0. Starting from version 4.0, also known as Bluetooth Low-Energy (BLE), power consumption was minimised, making it suitable for embedded and Internet of Things (IOT) applications. Bluetooth is a full protocol stack, illustrated in Fig. 2.3, comprising the controller, the host device and the applications interacting with the device. The Host Controller Interface (Internet Protocol) layer enables the host device to interface the controller, required for low-level operations such as asynchronous device

## 2.2. Communications

---




Figure 2.2.: An overview of the object-oriented software engineering development and their products. This diagram depicts only logical dependencies among work products (withdrawn from [3])

discovery or reading radio signal intensity. The host provides profiles to the external applications, easing the interaction between device and applications. Conceptually, Bluetooth is very similar to Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) stack. Both are part of the network programming class and share the same principles of communication and data exchange between devices. The difference is that Bluetooth was designed for short distance communication, whereas the internet programming does not share this concern. This affects how two devices detect each other initially and how they establish the initial connection. From that moment on, the procedure is similar to the TCP/IP stack (see Fig. 2.4 and Fig. 2.5).




Figure 2.3.: Bluetooth 5.0 protocol stack

#### **2.2.1.1. Establishing a connection**

Establishing a connection depends if the device in analysis is trying to establish an outgoing or incoming connection. Basically, for the former case the device sends the first data packet to start the communication, and for the latter the device receives the first data packet. The devices that initiate outgoing connections must choose a target device and a transport protocol, before establishing the connection and exchange data. The devices that initiate incoming connections must select a transport protocol and then listen for incoming connections, before establishing the connection and exchange data [4].

Figures 2.4 and 2.5 illustrate this concept, comparing network, internet and bluetooth programming for outgoing and incoming connections, respectively. For outgoing connections, only the two first steps (choosing a target device, transport protocol and port number) are different; after the connection is established, the process is similar. For incoing connections the procedures are even more similar, with the major difference that port numbers are dynamically assigned for Bluetooth programming. The procedures for programming outgoing and incoming connections are presented next.

##### Outgoing connection programming procedure:

1. Choose a target device
2. Choose a transport protocol and port number

## 2.2. Communications

3. Establish a connection

4. Exchange data

5. Disconnect

Incoming connection programming procedure:

1. Choose a transport protocol and port number

2. Reserve local resources and go into listening mode

3. Wait and accept incoming connections

4. Exchange data

5. Disconnect




Figure 2.4.: Comparison of Network, Internet and Bluetooth programming for outgoing connections (withdrawn from [4])




Figure 2.5.: Comparison of Network, Internet and Bluetooth programming for incoming connections (withdrawn from [4])

### 2.2.1.2. Selecting a target device

Every Bluetooth chip manufactured has a 48-bit unique address — BT address — identical to the Machine Address Code (MAC) for Ethernet protocol, acting as the basic addressing unit for Bluetooth programming.

The Bluetooth device must know the target device address to communicate. However, the client application does not need to know a priori the target address: the end-user provides a user-friendly name — device name — and the client translates this to the physical address when searching for nearby devices. The device name may not be unique, but the address must be.

In the device name lookup process, the device searches for the nearby devices by inquiring each device individually and compiling a list with their addresses, which is generally slow. A Bluetooth device does not announce its presence to other devices; it must start a device discovery process — Device Inquiry — to detect them. In the Device Inquiry process the device broadcasts a discovery message and waits for replies. Each reply consists of the physical address of the device and of an integer identifier the class of the device (e.g., smartphone, headset, etc.). More detailed information, such as the device name, may be obtained by contacting each discovered device individually. Additionally, for privacy and power consumption reasons, the device may choose not to respond to device inquiries or connection attempts.

### **2.2.1.3. Transport protocols**

Different applications have different needs, thus the need for different transport protocols. The two factors that distinguish these protocols are guarantees and semantics. The guarantees of a protocol state how hard it tries to deliver a packet sent by the application, yielding: robust protocols, like Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), which ensures that all sent packets are delivered or terminates the connection; best-effort protocols, like User Datagram Protocol (UDP), which makes a reasonable attempt at delivering transmitted packets, but ignores its failure. The semantics of a protocol concerns if it distinguishes between datagrams beginning and end, and can be either packet-based (UDP) or streams-based (TCP).

Bluetooth contains four essential transport protocols, namely, by relevance order:

1. Radio Frequency Communications (RFCOMM): reliable and stream-based protocol, which emulates well serial ports. It allows only 30 open ports per device and it is the most frequently used protocol.
2. Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol (L2CAP): packet-based protocol which can be configured for several levels of reliability, imposing delivery order, unlike UDP. It encapsulates the RFCOMM connection.
3. Asynchronous Connection-Oriented Logical (ACL): It is not explicitly used, but it encapsulates L2CAP connections. Two Bluetooth devices may have, at most, one ACL connection between them, which is used to transport all RFCOMM and L2CAP traffic.
4. Synchronous Connection-Oriented (SCO): best-effort and packet-based protocol, which is used exclusively to transmit voice-quality audio at precisely 64 Kb/s.

The summary of Bluetooth transport protocols is illustrated in Fig. 2.6, where is visibly the connection encapsulation. Two Bluetooth devices may have, at most, one ACL and SCO connection between them, whereas the number of RFCOMM and L2CAP active connection is limited only by the number of available ports.

### **2.2.1.4. Port Numbers**

A port is used to enable multiple applications on the same device to use the same transport protocol. Bluetooth uses a slightly different terminology: for L2CAP, ports are called Protocol Service Multiplexers (PSM) (range of 1–32767); for RFCOMM, ports are called channels (range of 1–30).

Some protocols have a set of reserved/well-known ports, intended for specific usage. L2CAP reserves ports 1–1023 for standardized usage (e.g., Service Device Protocol (SDP) uses port 1), whereas RFCOMM does not have reserved ports, given its small number.




Figure 2.6.: Most relevant Bluetooth transport protocols (withdrawn from [4])

### 2.2.1.5. Service discovery

For a client application to initiate a outgoing connection it must know in which port the server application is listening. If the application is standard, then a reserved port is used. The traditional approach for Internet programming is hardcoding the same port number at both client and server: when the connection is established, the server listens on that part and the client connects to it. However, the static port definition is cumbersome and prevents two server applications from using the same port.

Bluetooth tries to solve this problem by introducing SDP, as illustrated in Fig. 2.7:

1. Each device keeps an SDP server listening on a well-known port.
2. When the server application is executed, it stores a description of itself and a port number in the SDP server on the local device.
3. Then, when a remote cliente application connects for the first time to the device, it provides a description of the service it is searching for, and the SDP server returns a list of all corresponding services with the respective associated port numbers.




Figure 2.7.: Comparison between traditional connection establishment (internet) and using SDP (Bluetooth) (withdrawn from [4])

### 2.2.1.6. Host Controller Interface — HCI

The Internet Protocol defines how a host (e.g., a computer) interacts and communicates with the local Bluetooth adapter (the controller). All communications between these two agents are encapsulated in Internet Protocol packets, of four different kinds:

1. Command: sent from the host to the local adapter to control it, which can be used for starting a device discovery, connecting to a remote device, adjusting the connection parameters, amongst others.
2. Event: generated by the local adapter and sent to the host when an event of interest occurs, for example, device detected, connection established, etc.
3. ACL data: encapsulates data to send or received from a remote Bluetooth device. In this sense, the Internet Protocol is a transport protocol for all transport protocols (ACL,L2CAP, and RFCOMM). When packets arrive to the local adapter, the Internet Protocol headers are removed and the pure ACL packet is transmitted through air.
4. SCO

### 2.2.1.7. Development Stacks for Bluetooth

A development stack refers to a collection of device drivers, development libraries and tools provided to enable software developers to create Bluetooth applications. In most operating systems there is a Bluetooth dominant stack, easing development, as there is a high level of incompatibility between Bluetooth development stacks. Since Bluetooth is a communications technology, the transport protocols supported by each stack are of particular interest. Occasionally, wrappers around the developed libraries for a stack are created to provide a higher abstraction programming interface for Bluetooth, in languages like Python or Java. The most relevant development stacks and wrappers are depicted in Fig. 2.8.

## 2.2. Communications

---

PyBlueZ (GNU/Linux)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
PyBlueZ (Windows XP)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
BlueZ (GNU/Linux)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
Microsoft (Windows XP)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
Widcomm (Windows XP)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
Java – JSR82 (cross-platform)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
Series 60 Python (Symbian OS)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
Series 60 C++ (Symbian OS)	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI
OS X	RFCOMM	L2CAP	SCO	HCI

Figure 2.8.: Most relevant development Bluetooth stacks and wrappers and its supported protocols (withdrawn from [4])

The Bluetooth development stack selected was **BlueZ**, since it's a powerful open source stack, included in all major GNU/Linux distributions and with extensive Application Programming Interface (API)s, supporting a extensive set of protocols which enables to fully explore the Bluetooth local resources. The RFCOMM, L2CAP, and SCO protocols are accessed using the standard sockets interface and the Internet Protocol is more conveniently used through the provided wrappers around the several Internet Protocol commands and events.

### 2.2.2. IEEE 802.11 – Wi-Fi

IEEE 802.11, commonly known as Wi-Fi, is part of the IEEE 802 set of Local Area Network (LAN) protocols, and specifies the set of Media Access Control (MAC) and physical layer protocols for implementing Wireless local Area Network (LAN) communication in a wide spectrum of frequencies, ranging from 2.4–60 GHz.

#### 2.2.2.1. TCP/IP

The most commonly used protocols for Internet communications, including Wi-Fi, are TCP and Internet Protocol (IP), usually associated together, being part of the OSI model (Fig. 2.9), which characterises and standardises the communication functions of a telecommunication or computing system, being agnostic to their underlying internal structure and technology.

A computer protocol is a standardised procedure for the exchange and transmission of data between de-

vices, as requested for the application processes. The TCP provides services at the Transport layer, handling the reliable, unduplicated and sequenced delivery of data [5], while the UDP provides data transportation without guaranteed data delivery or acknowledgments. The TCP can be thought of a reliable version of UDP, generalizing. The IP part of the TCP/IP suite, providing services at the Network layer, is used to make origin and destination addresses available to route data across networks.

These protocols are applied in sequence to the user's data to create a frame that can be transmitted from the sending application to the receiving application. The receiver reverses the procedure to obtain the original user's data and pass them to the receiving application [5].

Another interesting fact, due to the technology agnostic aspect of the OSI Model, is that IP and the higher-level protocols may be implemented on several kinds of physical nets.




Figure 2.9.: OSI model

### 2.2.3. General Packet Radio Service – GPRS

General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) is a packet based wireless communication service that offers data rates from 9.05 up to 171.2 Kbps and continuous connection to the Internet for mobile phone and computer users [6]. GPRS is based on Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) communications and complements existing services such as circuit switched cellular phone connections and the Short Message Service (SMS). However, GPRS is packet oriented (like the Internet), enabling packet data to be sent to or from a mobile device, closing many of the gaps in the GSM standard, namely [6]:

- enable access to company LAN and the Internet;
- provide reasonably high data transmission rates;
- enable the subscriber to be reachable at all times — not only for telephone calls but also for information such as new emails or latest news;

## 2.2. Communications

---

- offer flexible access, either for many subscribers at low data rates or few subscribers at high data rates, optimizing network usage;
- offer low cost access to new services

A GSM network is not able to transmit data in packet switched mode, as required by GPRS. Thus, two additional modules were required: Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN) and Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN), yielding the GSM/GPRS network depicted in Fig. 2.10. On the user side there is a mobile device known as the Mobile Station (MS), consisting of a Mobile Equipment (ME) and the Subscriber Identity Module (SIM). For GPRS, the ME needs to be equipped with packet transmission capabilities, constituting three different classes of GPRS equipment [6]:

- Class A: equipment that can handle voice calls and packet data transfers at the same time;
- Class B: equipment that can handle voice or packet data traffic (but not simultaneously) and can put a packet transfer on hold to receive a phone call;
- Class C: equipment that can handle both voice and data, but has to disconnect from one mode explicitly in order to enable the other.




Figure 2.10.: GSM/GPRS network (withdrawn from [6])

The predominant protocol in the world of data networks is the IP, enabling the networking of different network architectures and the standardization of applications. In the mobile network GPRS the subscriber has a logical IP connection with an external data network, representing an actual member of this IP network. Packets can then be transferred between the MS and a server in the IP network, with the GPRS standard describing how they are transmitted on the radio interface and through the whole GPRS network.

Prior to the data transfer three important procedures must be performed [6] (see Fig. 2.11):

## 2.2. Communications

1. gsgprs Attach: the MS must be attached in the GPRS network. This is a logical procedure between the MS and the SGSN which takes note of the position, i.e. the ‘routing area’, of the MS. Storing and updating the position of the MS is particularly important for downlink (DL) transmissions because this information enables the GPRS network to locate the MS.
2. Activation of a Packet Data Protocol (PDP) context: A connection between the MS and the GGSN must be set up, so each node in the GPRS network knows how it has to forward the IP packets of this MS.
3. Data transfer: the path between the MS and the external data network is prepared, so IP packets can be sent through the GPRS network towards the destination address.




Figure 2.11.: GPRS procedures (withdrawn from [6])

Thus, the user required hardware for implementation of a GSM/GPRS network is the ME, namely, a GSM/GPRS modem and a SIM card with subscription to a mobile operator. A GSM/GPRS modem is generally driven through the RS-232 bus, using the Hayes command set (ATtention (AT) commands) to interface it.

### 2.2.4. Network programming – sockets

Computer systems implement multiple processes which require an identifier. As such, the IP address is not enough to uniquely identify the origin/destination of data to be transmitted, and the port number is added. This combination of an IP address and port number is sometimes called a network socket [7], allowing data to be delivered to multiple processes in the same machine — same IP address. It is the socket pair (the 4-tuple consisting of the client IP address, client port number, server IP address, and server port number)

that specifies the two end points that uniquely identifies each TCP connection in an internet [7]. Bluetooth, as aforementioned, also uses sockets for multiprocess communication, given the device address, transport protocol and port number [4].

In a broader sense, a socket can be described as a method of Inter-Process Communication (IPC) that allows data to be exchanged between applications, either on the same host (computer) or on different hosts connected by a network [8], as a local interface to a system, created by the applications and controlled by the operating system, allowing an application process to simultaneously send and receive messages from other processes.

The Socket API was created in UNIX BSD 4.1 in 1981, with widespread implementation in UNIX BSD 4.2 [8]. It implements the Client-Server paradigm and implement several (standard) functions to access the operating system network resources, through system calls, in Linux [8].

There are two generic ways to use sockets: for outgoing connections — client socket — and for incoming connections — server socket. Fig. 2.12 illustrates the required steps to obtain a connected socket:

1. When a socket is initially created is mostly unuseful.
2. Binding the server socket associates it to an unique network tuple (address and port number), enabling it to be uniquely addressed.
3. When a socket server goes into listening mode, the remote devices can initiate the connection procedure, referring to its unique network tuple.
4. When the socket server accepts a connection, it spawns a new socket which is connected to the remote device, and the endpoints can effectively communicate. The server socket is ready to accept new incoming connections.

### 2.2.5. Client/server model

The client/server model is the most common form of network architecture used in data communications today [9]. A client is a system or application that request the activity of a service provider system or application, called servers, to accomplish specific tasks. The client/server concept functionally divides the execution of a unit of work between activities initiated by the end user (client) and resource responses (services) to the activity request as a cooperative environment [9]. The client, typically handling user interactions and data exchange/modification in the user's behalf, makes a request for a service, and a server, often requiring some resource management (synchronization and access to the resource), performs that service, responding to the client requests with either data or status information [10].

## 2.2. Communications

---




Figure 2.12.: Steps to obtain a connected socket (withdrawn from [4])

An example of a simple client-server model using the Socket API, through system calls, is presented in Fig. 2.13. The operation of sockets can be explained as follows [8]:

- The `socket()` system call creates a new socket, establishing the protocols under which they should communicate. For both client and server to communicate, each of them must create a socket.
- Communication via a stream socket is analogous to a telephone call. One application must connect its socket to another application's socket before communication can take place. Two sockets are connected as follows:
  1. One application, assuming the role of server, calls `bind()` to bind the socket to a well-known address, and then calls `listen()` to notify the kernel it is ready to accept incoming connections.
  2. The other application, assuming the role of client, establishes the connection by calling `connect()`, specifying the address of the socket to which the connection is to be made.
  3. The server then accepts the connection using `accept()`. If the `accept()` is performed before the client application calls `connect()`, then the `accept()` blocks.
- Once a connection has been established, data can be transmitted in both directions between the applications (analogous to a bidirectional telephone conversation) until one of them closes the connection using `close()`.
- Communication is performed using the conventional `read()` and `write()` system calls or via a number of socket-specific system calls (such as `send()` and `recv()`) that provide additional functionality. By default, these system calls block if the Input/Output (I/O) operation can't be completed immediately. However, nonblocking I/O is also possible.




Figure 2.13.: Overview of UNIX system calls with sockets implementing a server/client paradigm (withdrawn from [8])

## 2.3. Concurrency

Concurrency is used to refer to things that appear to happen at the same time, but which may occur serially [11], like the case of a multithreaded execution in a single processor system. Two concurrent tasks may start, execute and finish in overlapping instants of time, without the two being executed at the same time. As defined in POSIX, a concurrent execution requires that a function that suspends the calling thread shall not suspend other threads, indefinitely.

This concept is different from parallelism. Parallelism refers to the simultaneous execution of tasks, like the one of a multithreaded program in a multiprocessor system. Two parallel tasks are executed at the same time and, as such, they require the execution in exclusivity in independent processors.

Every concurrent system provides three important facilities [11]:

- **Execution Context:** refers to the concurrent entity state. It allows the context switch and it must maintain the entities states, independently.
- **Scheduling:** in a concurrent system, the scheduling decides what context should execute at any given time.
- **Synchronisation:** this allows the management of shared resources between the concurrent execution contexts.

# **3. Requirements Elicitation and Specifications Definition**

In this stage the project requirements are elicited, identifying the key requirements and constraints the system being developed must meet from the end-user perspective, captured in natural language in a product requirements document. The end-user perspective is generally abstract, thus requiring a methodic approach to obtain well-defined product requirements, i.e., product specifications. The product specifications are the result of a compromise between end-user requirements and its feasibility within the available project resources (time, budget, and technologies available). As the specifications are well-defined, they serve as design guidelines for the development team and can be tested later on to assess its feasibility and, ultimately, the quality of the product.

## **3.1. Foreseen specifications**

In this section the foreseen product specifications of the system to be developed are provided. Such specifications were obtained through the intersection of customer, functional requirements and project restrictions.

### **3.1.1. Quality Function Deployment – QFD**

The customer requirements are usually abstract and can collide with the functional requirements, compromising the fulfilment of the project. Thus, it raises the need of a methodology which converts abstract requirements into a series of concrete engineering specifications.

An efficient quality assessment methodology is the use of a Quality House (Quality Function Deployment (QFD)). In this method, the desired requirements are laid out as rows and the engineering specifications/restrictions as columns. In the intersections lies a symbol representing the strength (weak, moderate or strong – Figure 3.2) of the relationship requirement-specification. This symbol is one of the many tools that allow the quantification of relations existing between the customer requirements and engineering specifications.

### 3.1. Foreseen specifications

---

For instance, the ‘engine power’ specification and the ‘fast’ requirement have a very strong correlation (9) since the power of the engine is directly responsible for the speed of the car.

Along with the requirements, the importance given to each is also specified, ranging from 1 (lowest importance) to 5 (highest importance) these, along with the number at each intersection, will be used to calculate the importance of each specification and thus assign priorities for the Design Team.

Lastly, the triangle shape (the ‘roof’ within the house metaphor) serves as another way of measuring relationships, this time between each specification: such is achieved by placing a symbol (ranging from very negative to very positive, see Figure 3.1) in the diagonal intersection of two specifications. I.e., the battery life will have a very negative correlation with the battery temperature, due to the fact that the increase of the temperature will cause a decrease in life time. As such a ‘very negative’ correlation was placed in the diagonal intersection betwixt ‘Battery Life’ and ‘Battery Temperature’.




Figure 3.1.: Quality House – Specification Correlation Strength Symbols

Figure 3.3 shows the ‘Quality House’ for the RF CAR containing:

- **Customer Requirements:** Vehicle Integrity; Obstacle Avoidance; Reliable Feedback; Fast Response; Fast; Budget Friendly; Low Consumption; Small.
- **Functional Requirements or Restrictions:** Autonomy; Battery Temperature; Minimum Distance to Obstacle; Maximum Velocity; Motor Expectancy; Cost of Production; Motor Power; Ramp-Up Speed Time; Frame Rate; Camera Range; Resolution; Communication Range; Communication Speed; Dimensions; Mass.
- **Intersection Values** (referencing the strength of the requirement-specification correlation) — see Figure 3.2.
- **Analytical Data**, depicting, in a quantifiable manner, the aims of the project and the relevance of each entity:
  - Target or Limit Value: The metrics the design team will be based on, white spaces are left for either further discussion and refinement.

### 3.1. Foreseen specifications

---

- Difficulty: Allows a subjective input to be added so that ‘importance’ can be changed to balance unforeseen circumstances.
- Importance and Relative Weight: The main conclusion for which the QFD was used, it assigns the priorities for the design team in an objective manner.

	Strong Relationship	9
	Moderate Relationship	3
	Weak Relationship	1

Figure 3.2.: Quality House — Relationship Strength Symbols

With the QFD, the prioritized ranks and specification targets were obtained and diffused within the Design Team with a straightforward guideline. For instance, the low cost requirement should be prioritized over all other specifications, followed by the maximum speed, Ramp-Up Speed Time and so on. On the other hand, the engine expectancy is of little to no consequence (note that the importance added up to a mere 3%), followed by the camera-related specifications. This could be regarded as a point of discussion, which should be prioritized? The functionality of the car or the the feedback provided by the camera?

With the last point in mind, the QFD has the advantage of promoting further discussion, simply by changing the importance of a requirement the priority ranking will change, ergo the priorities can be altered, easily and efficiently, if deemed appropriate.

### 3.1. Foreseen specifications




Figure 3.3.: Project Study – RFCar Quality House

### **3.1.2. Vehicle Autonomy**

The vehicle is operated in wireless mode, thus, a portable power source must be included. The autonomy refers to the vehicle operating hours since the battery is fully charged and safely discharged and should be observed for the following scenarios:

- No load and vehicle operating at maximum speed;
- No load and vehicle operating at mean speed;
- Maximum load and vehicle operating at maximum speed;
- Maximum load and vehicle operating at mean speed.

### **3.1.3. Speed**

The vehicle must be operated within a safe range of speed, while also not increasing excessively the power consumption. Thus, these speed boundaries should be tested in the absence of an external load and in the presence of the maximum load.

### **3.1.4. Safety**

Vehicle self integrity protection is a requirement in product design, especially considering the vehicle is to be remotely operated. The safety in the operation can be analysed in two ways, and considers the preservation of people and goods. For the former, it is important to assure safe interaction as well as user operation — the vehicle may encounter several obstacles along its path, but it must not inflict any damage. For the latter, the vehicle under operating conditions must not inflict any damage to goods. Thus, in the presence of conflicting user commands violating the safety of people and goods, the local system should override them, taking corrective measures to prevent it. The same holds true if the communication between user and system is lost.

### **3.1.5. Image acquisition**

The vehicle is equipped with a camera to assist in its navigation, thus, requiring it to be fed to the user's platform appropriately. To do so, several functionalities details need to be addressed efficiently. It was selected the most relevant three and these include the frame rate, the resolution and the image range.

### **3.1.5.1. Frame rate**

Frame rate refers to the frequency at which independent still images appear on the screen. A better image motion is the result of a higher frame rate but the processing overhead increases as well, so a compromise must be achieved between the quality of the image and the increased processing overhead required. The minimum frame rate defined must be such that allows a clear view of the navigation.

### **3.1.5.2. Range**

How far can the camera capture images without being distorted or unseen by the user. The range must be such that allows the user to see the obstacles when the car is heading to them and provide enough time to change the direction.

### **3.1.5.3. Resolution**

The amount of detail that the camera can capture. It is measured in pixels. The quality of the acquired image is proportional to the number of pixels but a increased resolution requires a greater data transfer and processing overhead, thus, a compromise must be achieved. The minimum resolution must be such that provides the least amount of information required for the user.

## **3.1.6. Communication**

For the implementation of the communication, several stages must be considered: Reliability, redundancy and communication range.

### **3.1.6.1. Reliability**

A communication is reliable if it guarantees measures to deliver the data conveyed in the communication link. As reliability imposes these measures, it also increases memory footprint, which must be considered depending on the case. For the devised product, an user command must be acknowledged to be processed, otherwise, the user must be informed; on the other hand, loosing frames from the video feed is not so critical — user can still observe conveniently the field of vision if the frame rate is within acceptable boundaries.

### **3.1.6.2. Redundancy**

The communication protocols are not flawless and the car relies on them to be controlled. If the communication is lost, the car cannot be controlled. A possible solution for this issue is using redundancy in the communication protocols (e.g Wi-Fi and GPRS), so if one protocol fails, the car will still be controlled using the other.

### **3.1.6.3. Range**

The communication protocols have a limited range of operation, and, as such, regarding the environment on which the car is used the range can be changed. The range established the maximum distance allowed between user and system for communication purposes.

## **3.1.7. Responsiveness**

The movement of the car will be determined by the tilt movement of the smartphone. Sensibility refers to the responsiveness of the car on the minimum smartphone tilt movement. The sensibility must be in a range of values in which small unintentional movements will not be enough to change the state of the car and it does not take big smartphone tilts for the car to move.

## **3.1.8. Closed loop error**

The speed, direction and safe distance to avoid collisions must be continuously monitored to ensure proper vehicle operation. The closed loop error must then be checked mainly in three situations as a response to an user command:

- speed: the user issued an command with a given mean speed, which should be compared with the steady-state mean speed of the vehicle.
- direction: the user issued an command with a given direction, which should be compared to the vehicle direction.
- safe distance to avoid collisions: the user issued an command with a given direction and speed which can cause it to crash. The local control must influence, to prevent collision, and the final distance to the obstacles must be assessed and compared to the defined one.

---

### 3.1. Foreseen specifications

---

#### 3.1.9. Summary

Table 3.1 lists the foreseen product specifications.

Table 3.1.: Specifications

	Values	Explanation
Autonomy	4 h	Time interval between battery fully charged and safely discharged
Speed Range	0.1 to 1 m/s	Speed at which the car can operate
Frame Rate	60 fps	Frequency at which independent still images appear on the screen
Camera Range	20 m	How far can the camera capture images without loosing resolution
Camera resolution	480p	Amount of detail that the camera can capture
Communication Range	50 m	Maximum distance between the car and the smartphone without losing connection
Speed Error	5 %	Maximum difference between desired and real speed
Direction Error	5%	Maximum difference between desired and real direction
Distance Error	5 %	Maximum difference between desired and real distance to the obstacle
Dimensions	20x12x5 cm	Dimensions of the car
Weight	0.5 kg	Weight of the car

# **4. Analysis**

After defining the product specifications, it is possible to start exploring the solutions space within the project's scope, providing the rationale for viable solutions and guiding the designer towards a best-compromise solution. In this chapter are presented the preliminary design and the foreseen tests to the specifications.

## **4.1. Initial design**

Following an analysis of the product's family tree (remote controlled cars), the state of the art and the QFD matrix in fig. 3.3, an initial design of the product itself can be produced (fig. 4.1). The selected approach was top-down, in the sense that the requirements and specifications were addressed and that resulted in a general diagram of the product concept. Some macro-level decisions were made in this stage to narrow the problem's solutions pool, as follows:

- The car itself should be battery-powered, as it is a free-moving object that is intended to work in environments where trailing cables could interfere with its regular movement.
- The device used to control the car should ideally be one already owned by the user, with an integrated screen (e.g. smartphone), as it would make it more affordable and have a more straightforward interface.
- The protocols for communication between the controlling device and the Rover should be chosen from within the pool of those readily available to smartphones (e.g. Wi-Fi, GPRS, Bluetooth) to keep the price of the overall product down and make it as practical as possible.
- The control and communication unit for the Rover should be divided into two modules: one which can measure and process sensor inputs and control the actuators in real-time, as well as communicate with the user-operated device through a low-latency connection. And another one which can interface directly with the camera module and manage data transmission to the user at the applicational level of the TCP/IP protocol stack, with enough throughput for the specified video resolution and framerate.

#### 4.1. Initial design

The latter should also exchange sensor reading values and commands with the user-controlled device, introducing redundancy to the controls and thus allowing for more reliable operation.




Figure 4.1.: Initial design: Block diagram view

Thus, summarising, the initial design yields the system illustrated in fig. 4.1, comprised of:

- **Raspberry Pi:** Interfaces with the camera directly, streaming that information to the smartphone. It also receives user commands and sends sensorial information it receives from the STM32 module back to the Smartphone, all through redundant Wi-Fi and GPRS connections;
- **STM32:** Receives user commands and sends back sensorial information through a Bluetooth connection, information it also uses to control the actuators;
- **Actuators:** DC Motors that control the movement of the Rover and headlights for nocturnal or low light conditions;

#### 4.2. Foreseen specifications tests

---

- **Sensors:** Odometric sensors that support the detection of obstacles and luminosity sensors;
- **Camera:** Device connected to the Raspberry Pi that allows the live stream of the car's surrounding environment;
- **Smartphone:** Grant visual feedback from the live feed of the camera also allowing the user to control the movement of the vehicle intuitively;

For simulation purposes and in conformance with the extraordinary conditions imposed by the recently enacted confinement measures, the need rose to create a virtual environment to simulate the various subsystems of the Rover. This solution allows for integrated testing without the need to deploy it to the hardware, as illustrated in fig. 4.2.

The first of said subsystems is the Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem, which simulates the Rover and the physical environment, also receiving actuator inputs from the Navigation Virtual Subsystem, for which it generates the sensor readings. The latter also exchanges that information, as well as the status of the Rover and the commands from the user with the Smartphone module through a Bluetooth connection, which separates it from the non-simulated environment.

The Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem interfaces with the computer's Web Camera, which is meant to simulate the onboard camera of the Rover. Moreover, it can also communicate through Wi-Fi and GPRS, thus ensuring that communication is kept despite any failure from the Navigation Virtual Subsystem, as well as having shared access to the sensor reading values for monitoring of certain key parameters, depending on their refresh rate.

The RS232 connection between both controlling subsystems ensures proper synchronism and cooperation between them.

## 4.2. Foreseen specifications tests

The functional testing is generally regarded as those performed over any physical component or prototype. Here, however, a broader sense is used, to reflect the tests conducted into the system and the several prototypes, under the abnormal present circumstances. Moreover, as indicated in the design, the current development strategy encompasses the virtualization of all hardware components, enclosed in a single virtual environment.

Thus, it does not make sense to perform hardware related tests such as velocity measurements, autonomy, safety, etc. As such, the focus is shifted towards software and control verification, encompassing the following tests: functionality, image acquisition, communication, and control algorithms correctness.

The tests are divided into verification and validation tests.

#### 4.2. Foreseen specifications tests




Figure 4.2.: Initial design: Virtual environment block diagram view

### **4.2.1. Verification tests**

The verification tests are tests performed internally by the design team to check the compliance of the foreseen specifications. These tests are done after the prototype alpha is concluded.

#### **4.2.1.1. Functionality**

The remotely operated vehicle is composed of several modules distributed along several different platforms, some of which distanced from each other. In doing so, the proposed sets of functionalities should be tested in the integrated system, by tracking and analysing the user commands issued along the way until it finally reaches the vehicle (in the virtual environment), assessing if it is correctly processed. For example, if the user issues the vehicle to move to a given place (via smartphone interaction), the message sent to the vehicle must be signalled in each endpoint hit, and the vehicle should move to that place, symbolically detected by the modification of its virtual coordinates.

#### **4.2.1.2. Image acquisition**

The vehicle is equipped with remote vision to assist the user in its navigation, thus, requiring the following variables to be tested: frame rate, range, and resolution. In the current scenario, the virtual environment should provide access to a integrated camera, being fairly common nowadays in every modular component, thus, enabling easy testing.

##### **4.2.1.2.1. Frame rate**

To test frame rate, the user screen must be updated with the number of frames received from the camera per second and checked against the defined boundaries.

##### **4.2.1.2.2. Range**

To test camera's range, an object must be captured at increasing distances, until the object resolution fades or is unclear.

##### **4.2.1.2.3. Resolution**

The minimum resolution should be tested as providing the least amount of information required for the user, while minimizing data transfer and processing overhead.

### **4.2.1.3. Communication**

The communication tests are performed in compliance to the specifications provided in Section 3.1.6, namely reliability and redundancy.

#### 4.2.1.3.1. Reliability

To test communication reliability, the most critical communication link is chosen, namely the wireless communication between user's platform (smartphone) and vehicle's platform (virtual environment). Then, the communication link and protocol selected are tested by monitoring the ratio of dropped packets versus total packets, using an appropriate tool on both directions for transmission and reception.

#### 4.2.1.3.2. Redundancy

To test communication redundancy, one communication channel should be turned off, verifying if the communication between nodes is still possible through another communication channel. For example, in the communication between host (user's platform) and remote (virtual environment) guest systems, the priority communication is performed between host and high-level subsystem. However, if this is turned off, the host must also be able to communicate with the remote system via low-level subsystem.

### **4.2.1.4. Correctness of the control algorithms**

As previously mentioned, the speed and position must be continuously monitored to ensure proper vehicle operation. Under the current circumstances, where the sensors and actuators are virtualized, the control loops can be externally stimulated through input files containing the relevant data. Then, the behaviour of the system can be analysed and verified for some cornercase situations, assessing the control algorithms correctness.

## **4.2.2. Validation tests**

The validation tests should be performed by the client using the product's manual, so it is expected that a user without prior experience with the product should be able to use it correctly and safely. On the current circumstances, validation tests should be limited to user interface validation.

For this purpose, an external agent will be provided with the software application and the respective installation and usage manuals, and the feedback will be collected and processed to further improve the product.

# **5. Design**

The multi-material part fabrication is a complex topic and most current commercially available systems have been designed for mono-material part fabrication [12] and are unprepared for multi-material processing due to the lack of flexibility and processing capability.

## **5.1. Navigation Virtual Subsystem**

### **5.1.1. Control**

## **5.2. Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem**

## **5.3. Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem**

## **5.4. Smartphone**

# **6. Implementation**

The multi-material part fabrication is a complex topic and most current commercially available systems have been designed for mono-material part fabrication [12] and are unprepared for multi-material processing due to the lack of flexibility and processing capability.

## **6.1. Navigation Virtual Subsystem**

### **6.1.1. Control**

## **6.2. Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem**

## **6.3. Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem**

## **6.4. Smartphone**

# **7. Testing**

The multi-material part fabrication is a complex topic and most current commercially available systems have been designed for mono-material part fabrication [12] and are unprepared for multi-material processing due to the lack of flexibility and processing capability.

## **7.1. Unit testing**

### **7.1.1. Navigation Virtual Subsystem**

#### **7.1.1.1. Control**

### **7.1.2. Physical Environment Virtual Subsystem**

### **7.1.3. Remote Vision Virtual Subsystem**

### **7.1.4. Smartphone**

## **7.2. Integrated-testing**

## **8. Verification and Validation**

The multi-material part fabrication is a complex topic and most current commercially available systems have been designed for mono-material part fabrication [12] and are unprepared for multi-material processing due to the lack of flexibility and processing capability.

### **8.1. Verification**

### **8.2. Validation**

## **9. Conclusion**

In this chapter the conclusions and prospect for future work are presented.

# Bibliography

- [1] Ian Sommerville. Software process models. ACM computing surveys (CSUR), 28(1):269–271, 1996.
- [2] Michael A Cusumano and Stanley A Smith. Beyond the waterfall: Software development at microsoft. 1995.
- [3] Bernd Bruegge and Allen H Dutoit. Object-Oriented Software Engineering Using UML, Patterns and Java-(Required), volume 2004. Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [4] Albert S Huang and Larry Rudolph. Bluetooth essentials for programmers. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [5] E Bryan Carne. A professional's guide to data communication in a TCP/IP world. Artech House, 2004.
- [6] Geoff Sanders, Lionel Thorens, Manfred Reisky, Oliver Rulik, and Stefan Deylitz. GPRS networks. Wiley Online Library, 2003.
- [7] Gary R Wright and W Richard Stevens. Tcp/ip illustrated. vol. 2: The implementation. tii, 1995.
- [8] Michael Kerrisk. The Linux programming interface: a Linux and UNIX system programming handbook. No Starch Press, 2010.
- [9] M David Hanson. The client/server architecture. In Server Management, pages 17–28. Auerbach Publications, 2000.
- [10] Client/server model. URL [https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/en/SSAL2T\\_8.1.0/com.ibm.cics.tx.doc/concepts/c\\_clnt\\_sevr\\_model.html](https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/en/SSAL2T_8.1.0/com.ibm.cics.tx.doc/concepts/c_clnt_sevr_model.html). accessed: 2020-07-02.
- [11] DICK AUTOR BUTTLAR, Bradford Nichols, Dick Buttlar, Jacqueline Farrell, and Jackie Farrell. Pthreads programming: A POSIX standard for better multiprocessing. ” O'Reilly Media, Inc.”, 1996.
- [12] Terry Wohlers. Wohlers report 2011: Additive manufacturing and 3d printing, state of the Industry, wohlers associates, ft. Collins, CO, 2011.

# **Appendices**

## **A. Project Planning – Gantt diagram**

In Fig. A.1 is illustrated the Gantt chart for the project, containing the tasks' descriptions.




Figure A.1.: Project planning – Gantt diagram