

Parity solutions in SFM

Action and critical Equation

This is used to fix the notation (The notation and analysis is similar to my paper Arxiv:2104.06902).

We start with the following extended spin foam face action (Conrady-Hnybida extension)

$$F_f[X] = \sum_{v,e \subset \partial f} F_{vef}[X, \kappa_{vef}]$$

with

$$F_{vef}[X, \kappa_{vef}] = \kappa_{vef} \left[(1 + \kappa_{vef} \det(\eta_e)) \ln(m_{ef} n_{ef}^\dagger \eta_e Z_{vef}) + (\kappa_{vef} \det(\eta_e) - 1) \ln(m_{ef} Z_{vef}^\dagger \eta_e n_{ef}) - (-i\gamma + \kappa_{vef} \det(\eta_e)) \ln(m_{ef} Z_{vef}^\dagger \eta_e Z_{vef}) \right]$$

where $\kappa_{vef} = \pm 1$ changes sign when changes v or e . This formula of F_{vef} unifies 2 cases: when e is spacelike, $\eta_e = \mathbb{I}_2$, $\det(\eta_e) > 0$, n is the SU(2) or SU(1,1) spinor; when e is timelike (SU(1,1)), $\eta_e = \sigma_3$, $\det(\eta_e) < 0$. $m_{ef} = \pm$ is used distinguish the D^\pm of SU(1,1) irreps.

Suppose $Z = \zeta n + \alpha Jn$, where $\langle n, Jn \rangle = 0$, $\langle n, n \rangle = \det(\eta) \langle Jn, Jn \rangle = \pm 1 = m$. The $\pm 1 = m$ here represents the D^\pm irreps of SU(1,1), The face action now reads

$$F_{vef}[X, \kappa_{vef}] = \kappa_{vef} \left[\ln \frac{\zeta_{vef}}{\zeta_{vef}} + 2\kappa_{vef} \det(\eta_e) \ln |\zeta_{vef}| - (-i\gamma + \kappa_{vef} \det(\eta_e)) \ln(|\zeta_{vef}|^2 \pm |\alpha_{vef}|) \right]$$

The real condition $\Re(F) = 0$ requires $\alpha_{vef} = 0$.

Thus

$$Z_{vef} = g_{ve}^{-1} z_{vf} = \zeta_{vef} n_{ef}, \quad Z_{ve'f} = g_{ve'}^{-1} z_{vf} = \zeta_{ve'f} n_{e'f}$$

which gives

$$g_{ve} |n_{ef}\rangle = \frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{vef}} g_{ve'} |n_{e'f}\rangle$$

The variation respect to z, \bar{z} gives the parallel transport equation, which reads (and its complex conjugate)

$$m_{ef} \langle n_{ef} | \eta_e g_{ve}^{-1} = \frac{\zeta_{vef}}{\zeta_{ve'f}} m_{e'f} \langle n_{e'f} | \eta_{e'} g_{ve'}^{-1}$$

The closure condition is given by

$$\sum_f \kappa_f j_f m_{ef} \langle n_{ef}, \sigma_i n_{ef} \rangle = 0$$

with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ SU(2) or SU(1,1) invariant inner product.

Parity transformation

The parallel transport equations of the spin foam model take the following general form:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ve}|n_{ef}\rangle &= \frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{vef}} g_{ve'}|n_{ef}\rangle \\ m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|\eta_e g_{ve}^{-1} &= \frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{vef}} m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|\eta_{e'} g_{ve'}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The above equations can be rewritten as the bivector equations

$$g_{ve} B_{ef} g_{ve}^{-1} = g_{ve'} B_{ef} g_{ve'}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

with

$$-iB_{ef} = m_{ef}|n_{ef}\rangle\langle n_{ef}|\eta_e - \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{I}_2 \quad B \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \text{ or } \mathfrak{su}(1,1)$$

Here the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ are given by $\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$ and the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$ are given by $\frac{1}{2}\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, i\sigma_3\}$. Clearly for $B_{ef} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have $B_{ef}^\dagger = -B_{ef}$ while for $B_{ef} \in \mathfrak{su}(1,1)$, we have $B_{ef}^\dagger = -\sigma_3 B_{ef} \sigma_3$, namely, $B_{ef}^\dagger = -\eta_e B_{ef} \eta_e$. As a result, the solutions to the bivector equations (2) also satisfy

$$g_{ve}^{-1\dagger} \eta_e B_{ef} \eta_e g_{ve}^\dagger = g_{ve'}^{-1\dagger} \eta_{e'} B_{ef} \eta_{e'} g_{ve'}^\dagger$$

namely, $g_{ve}^{-1\dagger} R_e \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $R_e = i^{s_e} \eta_e$ is also a solution, here we use $s_e = 0$ for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $s_e = 1$ for $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$. In terms of spinors, such transformation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|((-i)^{s_e} \eta_e^2) g_{ve}^\dagger &= \frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{vef}} m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|((-i)^{s_{e'}} \eta_{e'}^2) g_{ve'}^\dagger \\ g_{ve}^{-1\dagger} i^{s_e} \eta_e |n_{ef}\rangle &= \frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{vef}} g_{ve'}^{-1\dagger} i^{s_{e'}} \eta_{e'} |n_{ef}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ve}|n_{ef}\rangle &= m_{ef} m_{ef} \frac{i^{s_{e'}} \overline{\zeta_{ve'f}}}{i^{s_e} \zeta_{ve'f}} g_{ve'}|n_{ef}\rangle \\ m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|\eta_e g_{ve}^{-1} &= m_{ef} m_{ef} \frac{i^{s_e} \overline{\zeta_{ve'f}}}{i^{s_{e'}} \zeta_{ve'f}} m_{ef}\langle n_{ef}|\eta_{e'} g_{ve'}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

thus also satisfy the spinor parallel transport equations with

$$\ln \theta_{ev'e'} \rightarrow -\overline{\ln \theta_{ev'e'}} + i(s_{e'} - s_e) \frac{\pi}{2} + i(2 - m_{ef} - m_{ef'}) \frac{\pi}{2} \pmod{2i\pi}$$

where

$$\theta_{ev'e'} := \left(\frac{\zeta_{ve'f}}{\zeta_{ve'f}} \right)$$

Now moving to the spinor variables z , which is related to ζ and g by

$$g_{ve}^{-1} z_{vf} = \zeta_{ve'f} n_{ef}, \quad g_{ve'}^{-1} z_{vf} = \zeta_{ve'f} n_{ef}$$

From the fact $z \in \mathbb{CP}_1$, we can freely choose the normalization factor for the spinor z , for example, with the parametrization $\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}$

Then spinor after the transformation becomes

$$z_{vf} = \frac{g^{-1\dagger} R_e n_{ef}}{\langle n_+ | g^{-1\dagger} R_e n_{ef} \rangle}$$

with $n_+ = (1, 0)^T$.

From the reconstruction theorem, we know that

$$\ln \theta_{eve'} = \frac{\Theta_{eve'} + in\pi}{2} + bdy\ phase, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

The critical action

$$S_c = 2i\gamma \ln |\theta_{eve'}| + (s_e + 1) \ln \zeta_{vef} + (s_e - 1) \ln \overline{\zeta_{vef}} - 2s_e \ln |\zeta_{vef}| \\ - ((-s_{e'} + 1) \ln \zeta_{ve'f} + (-s_{e'} - 1) \ln \overline{\zeta_{ve'f}} + 2s_{e'} \ln |\zeta_{ve'f}|)$$

Different case of $(s_e, s_{e'})$ for $S_c - 2i\gamma \ln |\theta_{eve'}|$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1) : 2 \ln \zeta_{vef} - 2 \ln |\zeta_{vef}| - (-2 \ln \overline{\zeta_{ve'f}} + 2 \ln |\zeta_{ve'f}|) &= 2i \arg(\theta_{eve'}) \\ (1, -1) : 2 \ln \overline{\zeta_{vef}} - 2 \ln |\zeta_{vef}| - (2 \ln \zeta_{ve'f} - 2 \ln |\zeta_{ve'f}|) &= 2i \arg(\theta_{eve'}) \\ (-1, 1) : -2 \ln \overline{\zeta_{vef}} + 2 \ln |\zeta_{vef}| - (-2 \ln \overline{\zeta_{ve'f}} + 2 \ln |\zeta_{ve'f}|) &= 2i \arg(\theta_{eve'}) \\ (-1, -1) : -2 \ln \zeta_{vef} + 2 \ln |\zeta_{vef}| - (2 \ln \zeta_{ve'f} - 2 \ln |\zeta_{ve'f}|) &= 2i \arg(\theta_{eve'}) \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$S_c = 2i\gamma \ln |\theta_{eve'}| + 2i \arg(\theta_{eve'})$$

thus parity transformation contributes a minus sign to the critical action modulo $i\pi j$.

Geometric meaning

Using the Weyl representation

$g^{-1\dagger}$ act on $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is the same as

$I_0 g$ where I_0 is a reflection along time direction.

This can be seen as the following, using

$$\bar{X} = t\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{x}\sigma_3, \quad X = t\mathbb{I}_2 - \vec{x}\sigma_3, \quad \bar{X} = II_0 X$$

and the transformations under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$,

$$\bar{X} \rightarrow g \bar{X} g^\dagger, \quad X \rightarrow g^{-1\dagger} \bar{X} g^{-1}$$

Here I is the spacetime inversion $Iv = -v$.

For general vectors, the transformation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} &= g(t\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{x}\sigma_3)g^\dagger \rightarrow g^{-1\dagger}(t\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{x}\sigma_3)g^{-1} \\ &= g^{-1\dagger}X(t, -\vec{x})g^{-1} = II_0(g\bar{X}(t, -\vec{x})g^\dagger) = I_0 g I_0 \triangleright \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $g \rightarrow g^{-1\dagger}i\sigma_3$ act on general vectors gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X} &= g(t\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{x}\sigma_3)g^\dagger \rightarrow g^{-1\dagger}i\sigma_3(t\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{x}\sigma_3)(g^{-1\dagger}i\sigma_3)^\dagger \\ &= g^{-1\dagger}(t\mathbb{I}_2 - x_1\sigma_1 - x_2\sigma_2 + x_3\sigma_3)(g^{-1\dagger})^\dagger = g^{-1\dagger}X(t, x_1, x_2, -x_3)g^{-1} \\ &= II_0(g\bar{X}(t, x_1, x_2, -x_3)g^\dagger) = I_0 g I_3 \triangleright \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the result given in Wojciech. Marcin and Hanno's paper ArXiv:1705.02862

which is

$$G_i \rightarrow I_{e_\alpha} G_i I_{u_i}$$

with e_α chosen to be e_0 and $u_i = e_0$ for su2, $u_i = e_3$ for su11 up to spacetime inversion $Iv = -v$.