

Session 19: Set Identities

- Set Identities
- Proving set identities

Set Identities

Set Identities can be understood as analogues of logical equivalences in propositional logic

Set Identities

Set Identities can be understood as analogues of logical equivalences in propositional logic

Example: First De Morgan Law for Sets: $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

Set Identities

Set Identities can be understood as analogues of logical equivalences in propositional logic

Example: First De Morgan Law for Sets: $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$

This corresponds to $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$

Proving Set Identities

Different approaches to prove set identities

1. Use set builder notation and propositional logic.
2. Prove that each set (side of the identity) is a subset of the other.
3. Membership Tables: Verify that elements in the same combination of sets always either belong or do not belong to the same side of the identity (analogue of truth tables).

Set-Builder Notation: First De Morgan Law

Alternative Proof

$$\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$$

$$\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cap B}$$

$x \in \overline{A \cap B}$	by assumption
$x \notin A \cap B$	defn. of complement
$\neg((x \in A) \wedge (x \in B))$	defn. of intersection
$\neg(x \in A) \vee \neg(x \in B)$	1st De Morgan Law for Prop Logic
$x \notin A \vee x \notin B$	defn. of negation
$x \in \overline{A} \vee x \in \overline{B}$	defn. of complement
$x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$	defn. of union

$x \in \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$	by assumption
$(x \in \overline{A}) \vee (x \in \overline{B})$	defn. of union
$(x \notin A) \vee (x \notin B)$	defn. of complement
$\neg(x \in A) \vee \neg(x \in B)$	defn. of negation
$\neg((x \in A) \wedge (x \in B))$	by 1st De Morgan Law for Prop Logic
$\neg(x \in A \cap B)$	defn. of intersection
$x \in \overline{A \cap B}$	defn. of complement

Proof by Membership table

$$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$$

A	B	\overline{A}	\overline{B}	$\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$	$A \cap B$	$\overline{A \cap B}$
1	1	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	1

Note: you can read the column name A as the predicate $x \in A$

List of Set Identities

$A \cap U = A$ $A \cup \emptyset = A$	Identity laws
$A \cup U = U$ $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$	Domination laws
$A \cup A = A$ $A \cap A = A$	Idempotent laws
$\overline{\overline{A}} = A$	Complementation law
$A \cup B = B \cup A$ $A \cap B = B \cap A$	Commutative laws

$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$	Associative laws
$A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$ $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$	Distributive laws
$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$	De Morgan's laws
$A \cup (A \cap B) = A$ $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$	Absorption laws
$A \cup \overline{A} = U$ $A \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$	Complement laws

Note: they have all correspondents in propositional logic, and carry the same name

Generalized Unions and Intersections

Since union and intersection are associative, we can introduce the following notations

- Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be an indexed collection of sets.

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n$$

Example

For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, let $A_i = \{i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots\}$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{i, i + 1, i + 2, \dots\} = \{n, n + 1, n + 2, \dots\} = A_n$$

Summary

- Set identities as analogous to propositional logical equivalences
- Proof by
 - Set builder notation
 - Subset relationship
 - Membership table
- Generalised union and intersection