Session 59: GCD and LCM

- Greatest Common Divisor
- Least Common Multiple

Greatest Common Divisor

Definition: Let a and b be integers, not both zero. The largest integer d such that $d \mid a$ and also $d \mid b$ is called the **greatest common divisor** of a and b.

The greatest common divisor of a and b is denoted by gcd(a, b).

Example:

$$gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

$$gcd(17, 22) = 1$$

Relatively Prime

Definition: The integers a and b are **relatively prime** if gcd(a, b) = 1

Definition: The integers $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are **pairwise relatively prime** if $gcd(a_i, a_i) = 1$ whenever $1 \le i < j \le n$.

Examples

17 and 22 are relatively prime

The integers 10, 17 and 21 are pairwise relatively prime.

gcd(10, 17) = 1

gcd(10, 21) = 1

gcd(17, 21) = 1

The integers 10, 19, and 24 are not pairwise relatively prime.

gcd(10, 24) = 2

Finding the Greatest Common Divisor

Suppose the prime factorizations of *a* and *b* are:

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}, b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n},$$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer.

Then:

$$\gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2,b_2)} \dots p_n^{\min(a_n,b_n)}.$$

 Finding the gcd of two positive integers using their prime factorizations is not efficient because there is no efficient algorithm for finding the prime factorization of a positive integer.

Example

$$120 = 2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 2^{3} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{1}$$
$$500 = 2^{2} \cdot 5^{3} = 2^{2} \cdot 3^{0} \cdot 5^{3}$$

Least Common Multiple

Definition: The **least common multiple** of the positive integers a and b is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both a and b. It is denoted by lcm(a, b).

The least common multiple can also be computed from the prime factorizations.

$$lcm(a,b) = p_1^{\max(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\max(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\max(a_n,b_n)}$$

Example: $lcm(2^33^57^2, 2^43^3) = 2^{max(3,4)} 3^{max(5,3)} 7^{max(2,0)} = 2^4 3^5 7^2$

Theorem: Let a and b be positive integers. Then $ab = gcd(a, b) \cdot lcm(a, b)$

Proof: Note that $a + b = \min(a, b) + \max(a, b)$

$$\gcd(a,b)\cdot lcm(a,b) = p_1 \qquad p_1 \qquad p_1$$

$$= P_1 P_1 - \cdots$$

= P1 ---

Euclidean Algorishm

Observation: for a, b, a > b let r = a mod b

then gcd (a, b) = gcd (r, b)

If $\Gamma = a \mod b$, then $a = q \cdot b + \Gamma$ for some qassume d'avides both a, b; then $d \mid a - qb$, thus $d \mid \Gamma$ assume d'avides both b, Γ ; then $d \mid q \cdot b + \Gamma$, thus $d \mid \alpha$.

Therefore: d divides a, b iff. d divides b, r
and thus gcd (a, b) = gcd (r, b)

This observation allows do quickly compute gcd, without factorization

Example: gcd(287, 91) 287 = 91.3 + 14, 287 and 3 = 14

gcd (91, 14) 91 = 14.6 + 7, 91 mal 14 = 7

gcd(14,7) = 7 7 = 2.7 +0, 14 mod 7 = 0

therefore gcd (287, 91) = 7

Euclidean Algorithm

The Euclidian algorithm is an efficient method for computing the greatest common divisor of two integers.

It is based on the idea that gcd(a, b) is equal to gcd(r, b) when a > b and r is the remainder when a is divided by b.

Example:

```
Find gcd(91, 287):
```

```
287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14, therefore gcd(287, 91) = gcd(14, 91) = gcd(91, 14)

91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7, therefore gcd(91, 14) = gcd(7, 14) = gcd(14, 7)

14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0, therefore gcd(14, 7) = 7
```

Correctness of Euclidean Algorithm

Lemma 1: Let a = bq + r, where a, b, q, and r are integers. Then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Proof:

- Suppose that d divides both a and b. Then d also divides a bq = r.
 - Hence, any common divisor of a and b must also be a common divisor of b and r.
- Suppose that d divides both b and r. Then d also divides bq + r = a.
 - Hence, any common divisor of a and b must also be a common divisor of b and r.
- Therefore, gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Euclidean Algorithm

```
procedure gcd(a, b: positive integers, a > b)

x := a

y := b

while y ≠ 0

r := x mod y

x := y

y := r

return x
```

```
procedure gcd(a, b: positive integers, a > b)
if b = 0 then return a
    else return gcd(b, a mod b)
```

Correctness of Euclidean Algorithm

Suppose that a and b are positive integers with $a \ge b$. Let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.

Successive applications of the division algorithm yields:

Eventually, a remainder of zero occurs in the sequence of terms: $a = r_0 > r_1 > r_2 > \cdots \geq 0$. The sequence can't contain more than a terms.

By Lemma 1 $gcd(a,b) = gcd(r_0,r_1) = \cdots = gcd(r_{n-1},r_n) = gcd(r_n,0) = r_n$.

Hence the greatest common divisor is the last nonzero remainder in the sequence of divisions.

Why does the Euclidean algorithm (always) work?

Let's compute g(cd(a, b)) and set $\Gamma_0 = a$, $\Gamma_1 = b$ Performing divisions $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_0 \mod \Gamma_1$ $\Gamma_1 > \Gamma_2 \ge 0$ $\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1 \mod \Gamma_2$ $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma_3 \ge 0$

$$\Gamma_{s} = \Gamma_{s} \mod \Gamma_{z} \qquad \Gamma_{z} > \Gamma_{s} \geqslant 0$$

$$\Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_{n-1} \mod \Gamma_{n} \qquad \Gamma_{n+1} = 0$$
after at most a divisions Γ_{n+1} must be O .

why?

Since $\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(r_0,r_1) = \gcd(r_1,r_2) =$

Complexity of Euclidean Algorithm

Theorem (Lamé's theorem): Let a and b be positive integers with $a \ge b$. Then the number of divisions used by the Euclidean algorithm to find gcd(a, b) is less than or equal to five times the number of decimal digits in b.

Therefore the Euclidean algorithm has complexity O(log b).

Summary

- Greatest common divisor
- Least common Multiple
- Euclidean Algorithm