

Video 11: Inference Rules in Propositional Logic

- Important inference rules
- Examples
- Fallacies

Inference Rules

1. Propositional Logic: Inference Rules
2. Predicate Logic: Inference rules for propositional logic plus additional inference rules to handle variables and quantifiers

Conjunction and Modus Ponens

$$\frac{p \quad q}{\therefore p \wedge q}$$

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad p}{\therefore q}$$

Conjunction and Modus Ponens

$$\frac{p}{q}$$

$$\therefore p \wedge q$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$$

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q}{p}$$

$$\therefore q$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$$

Inference Rule: Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

Inference Rule: Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

Example:

p := "I have passed AICC"

q := "I can advance to year 2 of the studies"

Inference Rule: Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

Example:

p := "I have passed AICC"

q := "I can advance to year 2 of the studies"

Premises

"If I have passed AICC, I can advance to year 2 of the studies"

"I cannot advance to year 2 of the studies."

Inference Rule: Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad \neg q}{\therefore \neg p}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

Example:

p := “I have passed AICC”

q := “I can advance to year 2 of the studies”

Premises

“If I have passed AICC, I can advance to year 2 of the studies”

“I cannot advance to year 2 of the studies.”

Conclusion

“I did not pass AICC.”

Hypothetical Syllogism

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

Hypothetical Syllogism

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$$

Example:

r := "I can take Analysis 4"

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$$

Hypothetical Syllogism

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

Example:

r := "I can take Analysis 4"

Premises

"If I have passed AICC, I can advance to year 2 of the studies"

"If I can advance to year 2 of the studies, I can take Analysis 4"

Hypothetical Syllogism

$$\frac{p \rightarrow q \quad q \rightarrow r}{\therefore p \rightarrow r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:
 $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

Example:

r := "I can take Analysis 4"

Premises

"If I have passed AICC, I can advance to year 2 of the studies"

"If I can advance to year 2 of the studies, I can take Analysis 4"

Conclusion

"If I have passed AICC, I can take Analysis 4"

Resolution

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r \quad p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((\neg p \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Resolution

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r \quad p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

Example:

p := “The weather is nice”

q := “I am at home”

r := “I am at the beach”

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((\neg p \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Resolution

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r \quad p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((\neg p \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Example:

p := “The weather is nice

q := “I am at home”

r := “I am at the beach”

Premises:

“The weather is bad or I am at the beach”

“The weather is nice or I am at home”

Resolution

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r \quad p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((\neg p \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Example:

p := “The weather is nice

q := “I am at home”

r := “I am at the beach”

Premises:

“The weather is bad or I am at the beach”

“The weather is nice or I am at home”

Conclusion:

“I am at home or at the beach”

Resolution

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r \quad p \vee q}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

Corresponding Tautology:

$$((\neg p \vee r) \wedge (p \vee q)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Example:

p := "The weather is nice"

q := "I am at home"

r := "I am at the beach"

Premises:

"The weather is bad or I am at the beach"

"The weather is nice or I am at home"

Conclusion:

"I am at home or at the beach"

Resolution plays an important role in automated theorem proving and AI

It allows to eliminate propositional variables from the premises

Other Inference Rules

$\begin{array}{l} p \vee q \\ \neg p \\ \hline \therefore q \end{array}$	$((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$	Disjunctive syllogism
$\begin{array}{l} p \\ \hline \therefore p \vee q \end{array}$	$p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$	Addition
$\begin{array}{l} p \wedge q \\ \hline \therefore p \end{array}$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$	Simplification

Simpler form of resolution

Dual to Conjunction

Simpler form of Modus Ponens

Valid Arguments



Attention: even seemingly “obvious” conclusions imply an argument

Example: From $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ conclude q

$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	
p	simplification
$p \rightarrow q$	simplification
<hr/>	
q	modus ponens

Fallacies!



$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ *is not a tautology*

- **fallacy of affirming the conclusion**

Fallacies!



$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ is not a tautology

- **fallacy of affirming the conclusion**

Example:

- If you do every problem in this book, then you will learn discrete mathematics.
You learned discrete mathematics.
- Therefore, you did every problem in this book?

Fallacies!



$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow \neg q$ is not a tautology

- **fallacy of denying the hypothesis**

Fallacies!



$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow \neg q$ is not a tautology

- **fallacy of denying the hypothesis**

Example:

- If you do every problem in this book, then you will learn discrete mathematics.
You did not do every problem in this book.
- Therefore, you did not learn discrete mathematics?

Summary

- Modus Ponens, Modus Tollens
- Hypothetical Syllogism
- Resolution
- How to build valid arguments
- Fallacies
 - affirming the conclusion
 - denying the hypothesis