Linear Sieve

Given a number n, find all prime numbers in a segment [2; n].

The standard way of solving a task is to use the sieve of Eratosthenes. This algorithm is very simple, but it has runtime $O(n \log \log n)$.

Although there are a lot of known algorithms with sublinear runtime (i.e. o(n)), the algorithm described below is interesting by its simplicity: it isn't any more complex than the classic sieve of Eratosthenes.

Besides, the algorithm given here calculates **factorizations of all numbers** in the segment [2; n] as a side effect, and that can be helpful in many practical applications.

The weakness of the given algorithm is in using more memory than the classic sieve of Eratosthenes': it requires an array of n numbers, while for the classic sieve of Eratosthenes it is enough to have n bits of memory (which is 32 times less).

Thus, it makes sense to use the described algorithm only until for numbers of order 10^7 and not greater.

The algorithm is due to Paul Pritchard. It is a variant of Algorithm 3.3 in (Pritchard, 1987: see references in the end of the article).

Algorithm

Our goal is to calculate $\operatorname{minimum}$ prime factor lp[i] for every number i in the segment [2;n].

Besides, we need to store the list of all the found prime numbers - let's call it $pr[\]$.

We'll initialize the values lp[i] with zeros, which means that we assume all numbers are prime. During the algorithm execution this array will be filled gradually.

Now we'll go through the numbers from 2 to n. We have two cases for the current number i:

- lp[i]=0 that means that i is prime, i.e. we haven't found any smaller factors for it. Hence, we assign lp[i]=i and add i to the end of the list pr[].
- lp[i]
 eq 0 that means that i is composite, and its minimum prime factor is lp[i] .

In both cases we update values of lp[] for the numbers that are divisible by i. However, our goal is to learn to do so as to set a value lp[] at most once for every number. We can do it as follows:

Let's consider numbers $x_j = i \cdot p_j$, where p_j are all prime numbers less than or equal to lp[i] (this is why we need to store the list of all prime numbers).

We'll set a new value $lp[x_j] = p_j$ for all numbers of this form.

The proof of correctness of this algorithm and its runtime can be found after the implementation.

Implementation

```
const int N = 10000000;
vector<int> lp(N+1);
vector<int> pr;

for (int i=2; i <= N; ++i) {
    if (lp[i] == 0) {
        lp[i] = i;
        pr.push_back(i);
    }
    for (int j = 0; i * pr[j] <= N; ++j) {
        lp[i * pr[j]] = pr[j];
        if (pr[j] == lp[i]) {
            break;
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Correctness Proof

We need to prove that the algorithm sets all values lp[] correctly, and that every value will be set exactly once. Hence, the algorithm will have linear runtime, since all the remaining actions of the algorithm, obviously, work for O(n).

Notice that every number i has exactly one representation in form:

$$i = lp[i] \cdot x,$$

where lp[i] is the minimal prime factor of i, and the number x doesn't have any prime factors less than lp[i], i.e.

$$lp[i] \leq lp[x].$$

Now, let's compare this with the actions of our algorithm: in fact, for every x it goes through all prime numbers it could be multiplied by, i.e. all prime numbers up to lp[x] inclusive, in order to get the numbers in the form given above.

Hence, the algorithm will go through every composite number exactly once, setting the correct values $lp[\]$ there. Q.E.D.

Runtime and Memory

Although the running time of O(n) is better than $O(n \log \log n)$ of the classic sieve of Eratosthenes, the difference between them is not so big. In practice the linear sieve runs about as fast as a typical implementation of the sieve of Eratosthenes.

In comparison to optimized versions of the sieve of Erathosthenes, e.g. the segmented sieve, it is much slower.

Considering the memory requirements of this algorithm - an array lp[] of length n, and an array of pr[] of length $\frac{n}{\ln n}$, this algorithm seems to be worse than the classic sieve in every way.

However, its redeeming quality is that this algorithm calculates an array lp[], which allows us to find factorization of any number in the segment [2;n] in the time of the size order of this factorization. Moreover, using just one extra array will allow us to avoid divisions when looking for factorization.

Knowing the factorizations of all numbers is very useful for some tasks, and this algorithm is one of the few which allow to find them in linear time.

References

 Paul Pritchard, Linear Prime-Number Sieves: a Family Tree, Science of Computer Programming, vol. 9 (1987), pp.17-35.

Contributors:

```
ellen-interpret (47.96%) tcNickolas (28.57%) jakobkogler (10.2%) adamant-pwn (10.2%) paulpritchard (2.04%) boxlesscat (1.02%)
```