CSimpl

David Sanan, Yongwang Zhao

January 12, 2020

Contents

_	773 1		_
1		Simpl Syntax	5
	1.1	The Core Language	5
	1.2	Derived Language Constructs	5
	1.3	Operations on Simpl-Syntax	7
		1.3.1 Normalisation of Sequential Composition: sequence,	
		flatten and normalize	7
		1.3.2 Stripping Guards: strip-guards	13
		1.3.3 Marking Guards: mark-guards	16
		1.3.4 Merging Guards: merge-guards	19
			22
			26
2	Big-	Step Semantics for Simpl	28
	2.1	Big-Step Execution: $\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \dots \dots \dots$	29
	2.2	Big-Step Execution with Recursion Limit: $\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t$.	37
	2.3		52
	2.4	* / v	59
	2.5		67
	2.6		73
	2.7		86
	2.8	Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_q c_2 \dots \dots$	
	2.9	Restriction of Procedure Environment	
		$Miscellaneous \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ 1$	
3	Terr	minating Programs 19	23
	3.1	Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$	23
	3.2	Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize 1	29
	3.3	Lemmas about <i>strip-guards</i>	35
	3.4	Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_q c_2 \dots \dots$	
	3.5	Lemmas about mark-guards	
	3.6	Lemmas about merge-guards	
	3.7	Lemmas about $c_1 \subseteq_q c_2 \ldots \ldots 1$	

	3.8 3.9	Lemmas about strip-guards	
4			170
4			
	4.1	Small-Step Computation: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to (c', s') \dots \dots$	
	4.2	Structural Properties of Small Step Computations	
	4.3	Equivalence between Small-Step and Big-Step Semantics	
	4.4 4.5	Infinite Computations: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to \dots (\infty) \dots \dots$ Equivalence between Termination and the Absence of Infinite	
	4.6	Computations	
5	The	Simpl Syntax	233
	5.1	The Core Language	
	5.2	Derived Language Constructs	
	5.3	Operations on Simpl-Syntax	
		5.3.1 Normalisation of Sequential Composition: sequence,	
		$flatten \text{ and } normalize \dots \dots \dots \dots$	236
		5.3.2 Stripping Guards: $strip$ -quards	
		5.3.3 Marking Guards: mark-quards	
		5.3.4 Merging Guards: merge-guards	
		5.3.5 Intersecting Guards: $c_1 \cap_g c_2 \dots \dots$	
		5.3.6 Subset on Guards: $c_1 \subseteq_g c_2 \ldots \ldots$	
6	Big-	Step Semantics for Simpl	264
	6.1	Big-Step Execution: $\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \dots \dots \dots$	265
	6.2	Big-Step Execution with Recursion Limit: $\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t$.	274
	6.3	$Lemmas\ about\ \textit{LanguageCon.sequence},\ \textit{LanguageCon.flatten}$	
		and $LanguageCon.normalize \dots \dots \dots \dots$	290
	6.4	Lemmas about $c_1 \subseteq_g c_2 \ldots \ldots$	298
	6.5	Lemmas about LanguageCon.merge-guards	307
	6.6	Lemmas about Language Con. mark-guards	313
	6.7	Lemmas about Language Con. strip-guards	
	6.8	Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_g c_2 \ldots \ldots \ldots$	351
	6.9	Restriction of Procedure Environment	364
	6.10	Miscellaneous	370
7		8 8	373
	7.1	Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$	373
	7.2	Lemmas about LanguageCon.sequence, LanguageCon.flatten and LanguageCon.normalize	379
	7.3	9 9	386
	7.4	Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_q c_2 \dots \cdots \cdots \cdots$	
		Lemmas about $LanguageCon.mark-quards$	
	1.0		001

	7.6	Lemmas about LanguageCon.merge-guards 402
	7.7	Lemmas about $c_1 \subseteq_q c_2 \ldots \ldots$
	7.8	Lemmas about LanguageCon.strip-guards 415
	7.9	Miscellaneous
8	Sma	all-Step Semantics and Infinite Computations 423
	8.1	Small-Step Computation: $\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s') \dots \dots$
	8.2	Parallel Computation: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow_p (c', s') \dots \dots$
	8.3	Computations
		8.3.1 Sequential computations
		8.3.2 Parallel computations
	8.4	Structural Properties of Small Step Computations 438
	8.5	Relation between <i>stepc-rtrancl</i> and <i>cptn</i> 451
	8.6	Modular Definition of Computation
	8.7	Equivalence of small semantics and computational 458
	8.8	Computational modular semantic for nested calls 488
	8.9	Lemmas on normalization
		Equivalence of comp mod semantics and comp mod nested 493
		computation on nested calls limit
		Elimination theorems
	8.13	Compositionality of the Semantics
		8.13.1 Definition of the conjoin operator 591
9	Hoa	re Logic for Partial Correctness 622
	9.1	Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \dots \dots \dots 622$
	9.2	Properties of Validity
	9.3	The Hoare Rules: $\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \dots $
	9.4	Some Derived Rules
10	Pro	perties of Partial Correctness Hoare Logic 630
	10.1	Soundness
	10.2	Completeness
	10.3	And Now: Some Useful Rules
		10.3.1 Consequence
		10.3.2 Modify Return
		10.3.3 DynCall
		10.3.4 Conjunction of Postcondition
		10.3.5 Weaken Context
		10.3.6 Guards and Guarantees
		10.3.7 Restricting the Procedure Environment 678

11	Validity of Correctness Formulas	680
	11.1 Aux	. 680
	11.2 Validity for Component Programs	. 680
	11.3 Validity for Parallel Programs	. 697
12	Soundness	701
	12.1 Skip Sound	. 707
	12.2 Basic Sound	. 724
	12.3 Spec Sound	. 730
	12.4 Await Sound	. 737
	12.5 If sound	. 746
13	Derived Hoare Rules for Partial Correctness	889
	13.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof \dots	. 912
14	Hoare Logic for Total Correctness	914
	14.1 Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ \dots \dots \dots$. 914
	14.2 Properties of Validity	. 914
	14.3 The Hoare Rules: $\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ \dots \dots \dots$. 915
	14.4 Some Derived Rules	. 918
15	Properties of Total Correctness Hoare Logic	920
	15.1 Soundness	. 920
	15.2 Completeness	. 932
	15.3 And Now: Some Useful Rules	. 965
	15.3.1 Modify Return	. 965
	15.3.2 DynCall	. 971
	15.3.3 Conjunction of Postcondition	. 978
	15.3.4 Guards and Guarantees	. 980
	15.3.5 Restricting the Procedure Environment	. 990
	15.3.6 Miscellaneous	. 991
16	Derived Hoare Rules for Total Correctness	992
	16.0.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof	. 1016
17	Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic	1018
18	State Space Template	1026
19	Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic	1029
20	Facilitating the Hoare Logic	1039
	20.1 Some Fancy Syntax	

1 The Simpl Syntax

theory Language imports HOL-Library.Old-Recdef begin

1.1 The Core Language

We use a shallow embedding of boolean expressions as well as assertions as sets of states.

```
type-synonym 's bexp = 's set
type-synonym 's assn = 's set
datatype (dead 's, 'p, 'f) com =
    Skip
   Basic 's \Rightarrow 's
    Spec ('s \times 's) set
    Seq ('s, 'p, 'f) com ('s, 'p, 'f) com
    Cond 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com ('s,'p,'f) com
    While 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com
    Call 'p
    DynCom 's \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com
   Guard 'f 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) com
    Throw
  | Catch ('s, 'p, 'f) com ('s, 'p, 'f) com
abbreviation (input)
  set-fun :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (-f) where
  \textit{set-fun } s \equiv \lambda v. \ v {\in} s
abbreviation (input)
 fun\text{-}set :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (-s) \ \mathbf{where}
 fun\text{-}set f \equiv \{\sigma. f \sigma\}
```

1.2 Derived Language Constructs

definition

```
raise:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com where raise f = Seq (Basic f) Throw
```

definition

```
condCatch:: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where}

condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 = Catch \ c_1 \ (Cond \ b \ c_2 \ Throw)
```

definition

$$bind:: ('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where}$$

 $bind \ e \ c = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ c \ (e \ s))$

definition

$$bseq: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com$$
 where $bseq = Seq$

```
definition
```

$$block :: ['s \Rightarrow 's, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's, 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com] \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ comwhere$$

 $block\ init\ bdy\ return\ c =$

 $DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (Seq\ (Catch\ (Seq\ (Basic\ init)\ bdy)\ (Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s))\ Throw))$

$$(DynCom\ (\lambda t.\ Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s))\ (c\ s\ t))))$$

definition

$$call:: ('s\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow's)\Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow('s,'p,'f)\ com)\Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com$$

 $call\ init\ p\ return\ c=block\ init\ (Call\ p)\ return\ c$

definition

$$dynCall:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 'p) \Rightarrow$$

 $('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ where$
 $dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c)$

definition

fcall::
$$('s\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow's)\Rightarrow('s\Rightarrow'v) \Rightarrow ('v\Rightarrow('s,'p,'f)\ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com\ where$$

fcall init p return result c = call init p return $(\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))$

definition

$$lem:: 'x \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com$$
 where $lem \ x \ c = c$

primrec
$$switch$$
:: $('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \ set \times ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \ list \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com)$ where

switch
$$v = Skip \mid$$

switch $v (Vc \# vs) = Cond \{s. \ v \ s \in fst \ Vc\} \ (snd \ Vc) \ (switch \ v \ vs)$

definition guaranteeStrip::
$$'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com$$
 where guaranteeStrip $f \ g \ c = Guard \ f \ g \ c$

definition
$$guaranteeStripPair:: 'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set)$$

where $guaranteeStripPair f g = (f,g)$

primrec guards:: ('f × 's set) list
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com where

guards
$$[] c = c |$$

guards $(g\#gs) c = Guard (fst g) (snd g) (guards gs c)$

definition

while:: ('f × 's set) list
$$\Rightarrow$$
 's bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com where

while gs b c = guards gs (While b (Seq c (guards gs Skip)))

```
definition
```

```
while Anno::
```

```
's bexp \Rightarrow 's assn \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) assn \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow where while Anno b I V c = While b c
```

definition

```
while Anno G::
```

```
('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow 's \ assn \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where} while AnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c = while \ gs \ b \ c
```

definition

$$specAnno:: ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com$$

where $specAnno \ P \ c \ Q \ A = (c \ undefined)$

definition

while AnnoFix::

```
's bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where}
while Anno Fix \ b \ I \ V \ c = While \ b \ (c \ undefined)
```

definition

```
while Anno GFix::
```

```
('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \ \mathbf{where}
while AnnoGFix \ qs \ b \ I \ V \ c = while \ qs \ b \ (c \ undefined)
```

definition if-rel::('s
$$\Rightarrow$$
 bool) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \Rightarrow et

```
where if-rel b f g h = \{(s,t). if b s then t = f s else t = g s \lor t = h s\}
```

```
lemma fst-guaranteeStripPair: fst (guaranteeStripPair f g) = f by (simp\ add:\ guaranteeStripPair-def)
```

```
lemma snd-guaranteeStripPair: snd (guaranteeStripPair f g) = g by (simp\ add:\ guaranteeStripPair-def)
```

1.3 Operations on Simpl-Syntax

1.3.1 Normalisation of Sequential Composition: sequence, flatten and normalize

```
primrec flatten:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com list where flatten Skip = [Skip] \mid flatten (Basic\ f) = [Basic\ f] \mid flatten (Spec\ r) = [Spec\ r] \mid flatten (Seq\ c_1\ c_2) = flatten\ c_1\ @\ flatten\ c_2 \mid
```

```
flatten (Cond b c_1 c_2) = [Cond b c_1 c_2]
flatten (While b c) = [While b c]
flatten (Call p) = [Call p] |
flatten (DynCom c) = [DynCom c]
flatten (Guard f g c) = [Guard f g c] |
flatten Throw = [Throw]
flatten\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2) = [Catch\ c_1\ c_2]
primrec sequence:: (('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com) \Rightarrow
                   ('s,'p,'f) com list \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
sequence seq [] = Skip []
sequence seq (c\#cs) = (case\ cs\ of\ [] \Rightarrow c
                     | - \Rightarrow seq \ c \ (sequence \ seq \ cs))
primrec normalize:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
normalize Skip = Skip \mid
normalize (Basic f) = Basic f
normalize (Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \mid
normalize (Seq c_1 c_2) = sequence Seq
                         ((flatten (normalize c_1)) @ (flatten (normalize c_2))) |
normalize (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = Cond \ b \ (normalize \ c_1) \ (normalize \ c_2) \ |
normalize (While b c) = While b (normalize c)
normalize (Call p) = Call p
normalize \ (DynCom \ c) = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ (normalize \ (c \ s))) \ |
normalize (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (normalize c) \mid
normalize Throw = Throw \mid
normalize (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2) = Catch \ (normalize \ c_1) \ (normalize \ c_2)
lemma flatten-nonEmpty: flatten c \neq []
 by (induct\ c)\ simp-all
lemma flatten-single: \forall c \in set (flatten c'). flatten c = [c]
apply (induct c')
apply
                simp
apply
               simp
apply
              simp
apply
              (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
              blast
apply
             (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
            (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
           simp
apply
apply
          (simp\ (no-asm-use))
         (simp (no-asm-use))
apply
apply simp
apply (simp (no-asm-use))
```

done

```
lemma flatten-sequence-id:
 \llbracket cs \neq \llbracket ; \forall c \in set \ cs. \ flatten \ c = \llbracket c \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies flatten \ (sequence \ Seq \ cs) = cs
 apply (induct cs)
 apply simp
 apply (case-tac cs)
 apply simp
 apply auto
 done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{flatten-app}\colon
 flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2)) = flatten c1 @ flatten c2
 apply (rule flatten-sequence-id)
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (simp)
 apply (insert flatten-single)
 apply blast
 done
lemma flatten-sequence-flatten: flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c)) = flatten c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
 done
lemma sequence-flatten-normalize: sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c)) = normal-
apply (induct \ c)
apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
done
lemma flatten-normalize: \bigwedge x xs. flatten (normalize c) = x \# xs
      \implies (case xs of [] \Rightarrow normalize c = x
            |(x'\#xs') \Rightarrow normalize \ c= \ Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs))
proof (induct c)
 case (Seq c1 c2)
 have flatten (normalize (Seq c1 c2)) = x \# xs by fact
  hence flatten (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2)))
         x\#xs
 hence x-xs: flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2) = x \# xs
   by (simp add: flatten-app)
 show ?case
```

```
proof (cases flatten (normalize c1))
   {\bf case}\ Nil
   with flatten-nonEmpty show ?thesis by auto
   case (Cons x1 xs1)
   {f note}\ {\it Cons-x1-xs1}\ =\ this
   with x-xs obtain
    x-x1: x=x1 and xs-rest: xs=xs1@ flatten (normalize c2)
    by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs1)
    case Nil
    from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Nil
    have normalize c1 = x1
      by simp
    with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
      apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
      apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
      apply simp
      done
   next
    case Cons
    from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Cons
    have normalize c1 = Seq x1 (sequence Seq xs1)
      by simp
    with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
      apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
      apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
      apply (simp split: list.splits)
      done
   qed
 qed
qed (auto)
lemma flatten-raise [simp]: flatten (raise\ f) = [Basic\ f,\ Throw]
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma flatten-condCatch [simp]: flatten (condCatch c1 b c2) = [condCatch c1 b
c2
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma flatten-bind [simp]: flatten (bind\ e\ c) = [bind\ e\ c]
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma flatten-bseq [simp]: flatten (bseq c1 c2) = flatten c1 @ flatten c2
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma flatten-block [simp]:
 flatten\ (block\ init\ bdy\ return\ result) = [block\ init\ bdy\ return\ result]
```

```
by (simp add: block-def)
lemma flatten-call [simp]: flatten (call init p return result) = [call\ init\ p\ return
result
   by (simp add: call-def)
lemma flatten-dynCall [simp]: flatten (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ result) = [dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ result)
init p return result]
   by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma flatten-fcall [simp]: flatten (fcall init p return result c) = [fcall\ init\ p\ return
   by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma flatten-switch [simp]: flatten (switch v \ Vcs) = [switch v \ Vcs]
   by (cases Vcs) auto
lemma flatten-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
   flatten\ (guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c) = [guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c]
   by (simp add: quaranteeStrip-def)
lemma flatten-while [simp]: flatten (while gs\ b\ c) = [while\ gs\ b\ c]
    apply (simp add: while-def)
   apply (induct gs)
   apply auto
   done
lemma flatten-whileAnno [simp]:
   flatten (whileAnno b I V c) = [whileAnno b I V c]
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma flatten-while AnnoG [simp]:
   flatten\ (whileAnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c) = [whileAnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c]
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma flatten-specAnno [simp]:
   flatten (specAnno P c Q A) = flatten (c undefined)
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas flatten-simps = flatten.simps flatten-raise flatten-condCatch flatten-bind
   flatten	ext{-}block\ flatten	ext{-}call\ flatten	ext{-}dynCall\ flatten	ext{-}fcall\ flatten	ext{-}switch
   flatten-guaranteeStrip
   flatten-while flatten-while Anno\ flatten-while Anno\ G\ flatten-spec Anno\ flatten-while flatten
lemma normalize-raise [simp]:
 normalize (raise f) = raise f
   by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma normalize-condCatch [simp]:
```

```
normalize\ (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = condCatch\ (normalize\ c1)\ b\ (normalize\ c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma normalize-bind [simp]:
normalize\ (bind\ e\ c) = bind\ e\ (\lambda v.\ normalize\ (c\ v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma normalize-bseq [simp]:
normalize (bseq c1 c2) = sequence bseq
                       ((flatten (normalize c1)) @ (flatten (normalize c2)))
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma normalize-block [simp]: normalize (block init bdy return c) =
                    block init (normalize bdy) return (\lambda s \ t. normalize (c \ s \ t))
 apply (simp add: block-def)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (simp)
 apply (cases flatten (normalize bdy))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (rule conjI)
 apply simp
 apply (drule flatten-normalize)
 apply (case-tac list)
 apply
         simp
 apply simp
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac flatten (normalize (c s sa)))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply simp
 apply (thin-tac flatten (normalize bdy) = P for P)
 apply (drule flatten-normalize)
 apply (case-tac lista)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 done
lemma normalize-call [simp]:
 normalize (call init p return c) = call init p return (\lambda i t. normalize (c i t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma normalize-dynCall [simp]:
 normalize (dynCall init p return c) =
   dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ normalize\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp \ add: \ dynCall-def)
lemma normalize-fcall [simp]:
 normalize (fcall init p return result c) =
   fcall init p return result (\lambda v. normalize (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
```

```
lemma normalize-switch [simp]:
   normalize (switch v Vcs) = switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,normalize\ c))\ Vcs)
apply (induct Vcs)
apply auto
done
lemma normalize-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
    normalize (guaranteeStrip f g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (normalize c)
   by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma normalize-guards [simp]:
    normalize (guards \ gs \ c) = guards \ gs \ (normalize \ c)
   by (induct gs) auto
Sequencial composition with guards in the body is not preserved by normal-
lemma normalize-while [simp]:
    normalize (while gs b c) = guards gs
           (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (guards gs Skip))))
   by (simp add: while-def)
lemma normalize-whileAnno [simp]:
    normalize (whileAnno b I V c) = whileAnno b I V (normalize c)
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma normalize-whileAnnoG [simp]:
    normalize (while Anno G gs b I V c) = guards gs
           (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (guards gs Skip))))
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma normalize-specAnno [simp]:
    normalize (specAnno P c Q A) = specAnno P (\lambda s. normalize (c undefined)) Q
A
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
{f lemmas} \ normalize\text{-}simps =
    normalize.simps\ normalize-raise\ normalize-condCatch\ normalize-bind
   normalize	ext{-}block\ normalize	ext{-}call\ normalize	ext{-}dynCall\ normalize	ext{-}fcall\ normalize	ext{-}switch
   normalize-quaranteeStrip normalize-quards
   normalize-while Anno\ normalize-while Anno\ normalize-while Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ normalize-while An
                  Stripping Guards: strip-quards
primrec strip-guards:: 'f set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
strip-quards F Skip = Skip |
strip-guards F (Basic f) = Basic f |
strip-guards F (Spec r) = Spec r |
```

```
strip-guards F (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (strip-guards F c_1) (strip-guards F c_2))
strip-guards F (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (strip-guards F c_1) (strip-guards F c_2) |
strip-guards F (While b c) = While b (strip-guards F c) |
strip-guards F (Call p) = Call p
strip-quards F (DynCom c) = DynCom (\lambda s. (strip-quards F (c s))) |
strip-guards F (Guard f g c) = (if f \in F then strip-guards F c
                              else Guard f g (strip-guards F c))
strip-guards F Throw = Throw |
strip-guards\ F\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)=Catch\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_2)
definition strip:: 'f set \Rightarrow
                ('p \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ option) \Rightarrow ('p \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ option)
 where strip F \Gamma = (\lambda p. map\text{-}option (strip-guards } F) (\Gamma p))
lemma strip-simp [simp]: (strip F \Gamma) p = map-option (strip-quards F) (\Gamma p)
 by (simp add: strip-def)
lemma dom-strip: dom (strip F \Gamma) = dom \Gamma
 by (auto)
lemma strip-guards-idem: strip-guards F (strip-guards F c) = <math>strip-guards F c
 by (induct c) auto
lemma strip\text{-}idem: strip F (strip F \Gamma) = strip F \Gamma
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac \Gamma x)
 apply (auto simp add: strip-guards-idem strip-def)
 done
lemma strip-guards-raise [simp]:
  strip-guards F (raise f) = raise f
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma strip-guards-condCatch [simp]:
  strip-quards F (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) =
   condCatch (strip-guards F c1) b (strip-guards F c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma strip-quards-bind [simp]:
  strip-guards F (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. strip-guards F (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma strip-guards-bseq [simp]:
  strip-guards\ F\ (bseq\ c1\ c2) = bseq\ (strip-guards\ F\ c1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma strip-guards-block [simp]:
  strip-guards F (block init bdy return c) =
```

```
block init (strip-guards F bdy) return (\lambda s t. strip-guards F (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma strip-guards-call [simp]:
  strip-quards F (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s t. strip-guards F (c s t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma strip-quards-dynCall [simp]:
  strip-guards F (dynCall init p return c) =
    dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ strip\mbox{-}guards\ F\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp\ add:\ dynCall-def)
lemma strip-guards-fcall [simp]:
  strip-quards F (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. strip-quards F (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma strip-guards-switch [simp]:
  strip-guards F (switch v Vc) =
   switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,strip-guards\ F\ c))\ Vc)
 by (induct Vc) auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ strip\text{-}guards\text{-}guaranteeStrip \ [simp]:
  strip-guards F (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (if f \in F then strip-guards F c
    else guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ (strip-guards\ F\ c))
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma guaranteeStripPair-split-conv [simp]: case-prod c (guaranteeStripPair f g)
= c f g
 by (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def)
lemma strip-guards-guards [simp]: strip-guards F (guards gs c) =
       guards (filter (\lambda(f,g). f \notin F) gs) (strip-guards F c)
 by (induct qs) auto
lemma strip-guards-while [simp]:
strip-guards F (while <math>gs b c) =
    while (filter (\lambda(f,g). f \notin F) gs) b (strip-guards F c)
 by (simp add: while-def)
lemma strip-quards-whileAnno [simp]:
strip-guards\ F\ (whileAnno\ b\ I\ V\ c) = whileAnno\ b\ I\ V\ (strip-guards\ F\ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma strip-quards-whileAnnoG [simp]:
strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c) =
    while Anno G (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) b I V (strip-guards F c)
```

```
by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma strip-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 strip-quards F (specAnno P c Q A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ strip-guards\ F\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas strip-quards-simps = strip-quards.simps strip-quards-raise
 strip-quards-cond Catch strip-quards-bind strip-quards-bseq strip-quards-block
 strip-guards-dynCall\ strip-guards-fcall\ strip-guards-switch
 strip-guards-guarantee Strip\ guarantee StripPair-split-conv\ strip-guards-guards
 strip-guards-while strip-guards-while Anno\ strip-guards-while Anno\ G
 strip-guards-specAnno
        Marking Guards: mark-quards
1.3.3
primrec mark-guards:: 'f \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'g) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com
where
mark-quards f Skip = Skip
mark-guards f (Basic g) = Basic g |
mark-guards f (Spec r) = Spec r |
mark-guards f (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2))
mark-guards f (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2) |
mark-guards f (While b c) = While b (mark-guards f c) |
mark-guards f(Call p) = Call p
mark-guards f (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (mark-guards f\ (c\ s))) |
mark-guards f (Guard f' g c) = Guard f g (mark-guards f c) |
mark-quards f Throw = Throw |
mark-guards f (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2)
lemma mark-guards-raise: mark-guards f (raise g) = raise g
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma mark-guards-condCatch [simp]:
 mark-guards f (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (mark-guards \ f \ c1) \ b (mark-guards \ f \ c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma mark-quards-bind [simp]:
 mark-guards f (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. mark-guards f (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma mark-guards-bseq [simp]:
 mark-guards f (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (mark-guards f c1) (mark-guards f c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma mark-guards-block [simp]:
 mark-guards f (block init bdy return c) =
   block init (mark-quards f bdy) return (\lambda s t. mark-quards f (c s t))
```

```
by (simp add: block-def)
lemma mark-guards-call [simp]:
 mark-guards f (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s \ t. \ mark-guards \ f \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma mark-guards-dynCall [simp]:
 mark-guards f (dynCall init p return c) =
    dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma mark-guards-fcall [simp]:
 mark-guards f (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. mark-guards f(c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma mark-guards-switch [simp]:
 mark-guards f (switch v vs) =
    switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,mark-guards f c)) vs)
 by (induct vs) auto
lemma mark-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 mark-guards f (guaranteeStrip f' g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma mark-guards-guards [simp]:
 mark-guards f (guards gs c) = guards (map (\lambda(f',g). (f,g)) gs) (mark-guards f
c)
 by (induct gs) auto
lemma mark-guards-while [simp]:
mark-guards f (while gs b c) =
   while (map (\lambda(f',g), (f,g)) gs) b (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: while-def)
lemma mark-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
mark-guards f (while Anno b I V c) = while Anno b I V (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-while Anno G [simp]:
mark-guards f (while Anno G gs b I V c) =
   while AnnoG (map (\lambda(f',g), (f,g)) gs) b I V (mark-guards f c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def whileAnnoG-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 mark-quards f (specAnno P c Q A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
```

```
\label{lemmas} \begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{lemmas} mark-guards-simps &= mark-guards-simps mark-guards-raise \\ mark-guards-condCatch mark-guards-bind mark-guards-bseq mark-guards-block \\ mark-guards-dynCall mark-guards-fcall mark-guards-switch \\ mark-guards-guaranteeStrip guaranteeStripPair-split-conv mark-guards-guards \\ mark-guards-while mark-guards-whileAnno mark-guards-whileAnnoG \\ mark-guards-specAnno \end{tabular}
```

```
definition is-Guard:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bool
 where is-Guard c = (case \ c \ of \ Guard \ f \ g \ c' \Rightarrow True \ | \ - \Rightarrow False)
lemma is-Guard-basic-simps [simp]:
is-Guard Skip = False
is-Guard (Basic\ f) = False
is-Guard (Spec \ r) = False
is-Guard (Seq c1 c2) = False
 is-Guard (Cond b c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (While b c) = False
is-Guard (Call p) = False
is-Guard (DynCom\ C) = False
 is-Guard (Guard F g c) = True
is-Guard\ (Throw) = False
is-Guard (Catch c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (raise\ f) = False
is-Guard (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = False
is-Guard (bind\ e\ cv) = False
is-Guard (bseq\ c1\ c2) = False
is-Guard (block init bdy return cont) = False
is-Guard (call init p return cont) = False
is-Guard (dynCall\ init\ P\ return\ cont) = False
is-Guard (fcall init p return result cont') = False
is-Guard (whileAnno b I V c) = False
 is-Guard (guaranteeStrip\ F\ g\ c) = True
 by (auto simp add: is-Guard-def raise-def condCatch-def bind-def bseq-def
        block-def call-def dynCall-def fcall-def whileAnno-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma is-Guard-switch [simp]:
 is-Guard (switch v Vc) = False
 by (induct Vc) auto
lemmas is-Guard-simps = is-Guard-basic-simps is-Guard-switch
primrec dest-Guard:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set \times ('s,'p,'f) \ com)
 where dest-Guard (Guard f g c) = (f,g,c)
lemma dest-Guard-guaranteeStrip [simp]: dest-Guard (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
(f,g,c)
 by (simp add: quaranteeStrip-def)
```

1.3.4 Merging Guards: merge-guards

```
primrec merge-guards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com
where
merge-quards Skip = Skip
merge-quards (Basic q) = Basic q
merge-guards (Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \mid
merge-guards (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)) |
merge-guards (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)
merge-guards (While b c) = While b (merge-guards c)
merge-guards (Call p) = Call p
merge-guards (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (merge-guards\ (c\ s))) \mid
merge-guards (Guard f g c) =
   (let \ c' = (merge-guards \ c))
    in if is-Guard c'
       then let (f',g',c'') = dest-Guard c'
           in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c''
                    else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c'')
       else Guard f q c')
merge-guards Throw = Throw
merge-guards (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)
lemma merge-quards-res-Skip: merge-quards c = Skip \implies c = Skip
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Basic: merge-quards c = Basic f \implies c = Basic f
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Spec: merge-guards c = Spec \ r \Longrightarrow c = Spec \ r
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Seq: merge-guards c = Seq \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Seq c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Cond: merge-guards c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Cond b c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' =
c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-While: merge-guards c = While \ b \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = While \ b \ c'' \land merge-quards \ c'' = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Call: merge-guards c = Call \ p \Longrightarrow c = Call \ p
```

```
by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-DynCom: merge-guards c = DynCom \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = DynCom c'' \land (\lambda s. (merge-guards (c'' s))) = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Throw: merge-quards c = Throw \implies c = Throw
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Catch: merge-guards c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1'c2'. c = Catch c1'c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Guard:
merge-guards c = Guard f g c' \Longrightarrow \exists c'' f' g'. c = Guard f' g' c''
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemmas merge-guards-res-simps = merge-guards-res-Skip merge-guards-res-Basic
merge-guards-res-Spec merge-guards-res-Seq merge-guards-res-Cond
merge-guards-res-While merge-guards-res-Call
merge-quards-res-DynCom merge-quards-res-Throw merge-quards-res-Catch
merge-guards-res-Guard
lemma merge-guards-raise: merge-guards (raise g) = raise g
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma merge-guards-condCatch [simp]:
 merge-guards (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (merge-guards c1) b (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma merge-guards-bind [simp]:
 merge-guards (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma merge-quards-bseq [simp]:
 merge-guards (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (merge-guards c1) (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma merge-guards-block [simp]:
 merge-guards (block init bdy return c) =
   block init (merge-guards bdy) return (\lambda s t. merge-guards (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma merge-guards-call [simp]:
 merge-guards (call init p return c) =
    call init p return (\lambda s t. merge-guards (c s t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
```

```
lemma merge-guards-dynCall [simp]:
  merge-guards (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c) =
    dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ (\lambda s\ t.\ merge-guards\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma merge-guards-fcall [simp]:
  merge-guards (fcall init p return result c) =
    fcall init p return result (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma merge-guards-switch [simp]:
  merge-guards (switch v vs) =
    switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,merge-guards c)) vs)
 by (induct vs) auto
lemma merge-quards-quaranteeStrip [simp]:
  merge-guards (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (let c' = (merge-guards c))
    in if is-Guard c'
       then let (f',g',c') = dest-Guard c'
           in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c'
                     else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c')
       else Guard f g c'
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma merge-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
merge-guards (while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ c) = while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ (merge-guards\ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma merge-guards-specAnno [simp]:
  merge-guards (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ merge-guards\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
merge-guards for guard-lists as in guards, while and while Anno G may have
funny effects since the guard-list has to be merged with the body statement
too.
{f lemmas}\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}simps\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}raise
 merge-guards-cond Catch\ merge-guards-bind\ merge-guards-bseq\ merge-guards-block
 merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}dynCall\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}fcall\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}switch
  merge-quards-quarantee Strip\ merge-quards-while Anno\ merge-quards-spec Anno
primrec noguards:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow bool
where
noquards \ Skip = True \mid
noguards (Basic f) = True \mid
noguards (Spec \ r) = True \mid
noguards (Seq c_1 c_2) = (noguards c_1 \land noguards c_2) \mid
noguards \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noguards \ c_1 \land noguards \ c_2) \mid
```

```
noguards (While b c) = (noguards c) |
noguards (Call p) = True
noguards \ (DynCom \ c) = (\forall \ s. \ noguards \ (c \ s)) \mid
noguards (Guard f g c) = False
noguards \ Throw = True \mid
noguards \ (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noguards \ c_1 \land noguards \ c_2)
lemma noquards-strip-guards: noquards (strip-guards UNIV c)
 by (induct c) auto
primrec nothrows:: ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com \Rightarrow bool
where
nothrows Skip = True \mid
nothrows (Basic f) = True \mid
nothrows (Spec \ r) = True \mid
nothrows (Seq c_1 c_2) = (nothrows c_1 \land nothrows c_2) \mid
nothrows \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (nothrows \ c_1 \land nothrows \ c_2) \mid
nothrows (While b c) = nothrows c
nothrows (Call p) = True
nothrows\ (DynCom\ c) = (\forall\ s.\ nothrows\ (c\ s))\ |
nothrows (Guard f g c) = nothrows c
nothrows Throw = False
nothrows\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)=(nothrows\ c_1\wedge nothrows\ c_2)
          Intersecting Guards: c_1 \cap_q c_2
inductive-set com-rel ::(('s,'p,'f) com \times ('s,'p,'f) com) set
where
  (c1, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
(c2, Seq\ c1\ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c1, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c2, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, While \ b \ c) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c \ x, \ DynCom \ c) \in com-rel
 (c, Guard f g c) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c1, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
|(c2, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}|
inductive-cases com-rel-elim-cases:
 (c, Skip) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Basic f) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Spec \ r) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, While \ b \ c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Call p) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, DynCom\ c1) \in com-rel
 (c, Guard f g c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Throw) \in com\text{-rel}
```

```
(c, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
lemma wf-com-rel: wf com-rel
apply (rule wfUNIVI)
apply (induct\text{-}tac \ x)
apply
                 (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
                (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
apply
               (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
              (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
             simp, simp)
             (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
apply
            simp, simp)
            (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,simp)
apply
           (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
          (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp)
apply
apply
          (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp)
apply
        (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp, simp)
consts inter-guards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \times ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com option
abbreviation
  inter-guards-syntax: ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) \ com \ option
          (- \cap_g - [20,20] \ 19)
  where c \cap_q d == inter-guards (c,d)
recdef inter-guards inv-image com-rel fst
(Skip \cap_g Skip) = Some Skip
(Basic\ f1\ \cap_q\ Basic\ f2)=(if\ (f1=f2)\ then\ Some\ (Basic\ f1)\ else\ None)
(Spec \ r1 \ \cap_g \ Spec \ r2) = (if \ (r1=r2) \ then \ Some \ (Spec \ r1) \ else \ None)
(Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_g Seq \ b1 \ b2) =
  (case (a1 \cap_q b1) of
     None \Rightarrow None
   | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case (a2 \cap_q b2) of
                  None \Rightarrow None
                | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)))
(Cond\ cnd1\ t1\ e1\ \cap_g\ Cond\ cnd2\ t2\ e2) =
  (if (cnd1=cnd2)
   then (case (t1 \cap_g t2) of
           None \Rightarrow None
         | Some t \Rightarrow (case\ (e1 \cap_g e2)\ of
                       None \Rightarrow None
                     | Some \ e \Rightarrow Some \ (Cond \ cnd1 \ t \ e)))
    else None)
(While cnd1 c1 \cap_q While cnd2 c2) =
```

```
(if (cnd1=cnd2))
     then (case (c1 \cap_g c2) of
            None \Rightarrow None
           | Some c \Rightarrow Some (While cnd1 c))
     else None)
(Call \ p1 \cap_g \ Call \ p2) =
   (if p1 = p2)
    then Some (Call p1)
    else None)
(DynCom\ P1\ \cap_g\ DynCom\ P2) =
   (if \ (\forall s.\ ((P1\ s)\ \cap_g\ (P2\ s)) \neq None)
   then Some (DynCom (\lambda s. the ((P1 s) \cap_q (P2 s))))
   else None)
(\textit{Guard m1 g1 c1} \ \cap_{g} \textit{Guard m2 g2 c2}) =
   (if m1=m2 then
       (case (c1 \cap_q c2) of
         None \Rightarrow None
        | Some c \Rightarrow Some (Guard m1 (g1 \cap g2) c))
    else None)
(Throw \cap_g Throw) = Some Throw
(Catch \ a1 \ a2 \ \cap_g \ Catch \ b1 \ b2) = (case \ (a1 \ \cap_g \ b1) \ of
      None \Rightarrow None
    | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case (a2 \cap_g b2) of
                   None \Rightarrow None
                 | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2)))
(c \cap_g d) = None
(hints cong add: option.case-cong if-cong
       recdef-wf: wf-com-rel simp: com-rel.intros)
lemma inter-guards-strip-eq:
  \bigwedge c. (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some \ c \Longrightarrow
    (strip-guards\ UNIV\ c=strip-guards\ UNIV\ c1)\ \land
    (strip-guards\ UNIV\ c=strip-guards\ UNIV\ c2)
apply (induct c1 c2 rule: inter-guards.induct)
prefer 8
apply (simp split: if-split-asm)
apply hypsubst
apply simp
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac x=s in all E, erule exE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply fastforce
apply (fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm)+
```

done

```
lemma inter-guards-sym: \bigwedge c. (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some c \Longrightarrow (c2 \cap_q c1) = Some c
apply (induct c1 c2 rule: inter-guards.induct)
apply (simp-all)
prefer 7
apply (simp split: if-split-asm add: not-None-eq)
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac \ x=s \ in \ all E)+
apply fastforce
{\bf apply} \ \textit{fastforce}
{\bf apply}\ (\textit{fastforce split: option.splits if-split-asm}) +
done
lemma inter-guards-Skip: (Skip \cap_q c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Skip \wedge c = Skip)
 by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Basic:
  ((Basic f) \cap_g c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Basic f \land c = Basic f)
  by (cases c2) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}Spec:
  ((Spec \ r) \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Spec \ r \land c = Spec \ r)
  by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Seq:
  (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_q \ c2) = Some \ c =
    (\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Seq \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
       (a2 \cap_q b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Seq \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-Cond:
  (Cond\ cnd\ t1\ e1\ \cap_q\ c2) = Some\ c =
    (\exists t2 \ e2 \ t \ e. \ c2 = Cond \ cnd \ t2 \ e2 \ \land (t1 \ \cap_q \ t2) = Some \ t \ \land
        (e1 \cap_q e2) = Some \ e \land c = Cond \ cnd \ t \ e)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}While:
 (While cnd bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c =
    (\exists bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2 = While \ cnd \ bdy2 \land (bdy1 \cap_g \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \land
       c = While \ cnd \ bdy)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Call:
  (Call\ p \cap_q c2) = Some\ c =
    (c2 = Call \ p \land c = Call \ p)
```

```
by (cases c2) (auto split: if-split-asm)
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}DynCom:
  (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_{a}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists f2. \ c2=DynCom \ f2 \ \land \ (\forall s. \ ((f1\ s)\ \cap_g \ (f2\ s)) \neq None) \ \land
      c{=}DynCom~(\lambda s.~the~((\mathit{f1}~s)~\cap_g~(\mathit{f2}~s))))
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ \mathit{c2})\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{split}\text{:}\ \mathit{if\text{-}split\text{-}asm})
lemma inter-guards-Guard:
  (Guard\ f\ g1\ bdy1\ \cap_{g}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists g2 \ bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2 = Guard \ f \ g2 \ bdy2 \ \land \ (bdy1 \cap_q \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \ \land
       c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-quards-Throw:
  (Throw \cap_q c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Throw \land c = Throw)
  by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Catch:
  (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
        (a2 \cap_q b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Catch \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemmas\ inter-quards-simps = inter-quards-Skip\ inter-quards-Basic\ inter-quards-Spec
  inter-guards-Seq inter-guards-Cond inter-guards-While inter-guards-Call
  inter-guards-DynCom\ inter-guards-Guard\ inter-guards-Throw
  inter-guards-Catch
           Subset on Guards: c_1 \subseteq_q c_2
1.3.6
consts subseteq-guards:: ('s,'p,'f) com \times ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow bool
abbreviation
  subseteq-guards-syntax :: ('s, 'p, 'f) com <math>\Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow bool
           (-\subseteq_g - [20,20] \ 19)
  where c \subseteq_q d == subseteq\text{-}guards\ (c,d)
recdef subseteq-guards inv-image com-rel snd
(Skip \subseteq_g Skip) = True
(Basic\ f1 \subseteq_g Basic\ f2) = (f1 = f2)
(Spec \ r1 \subseteq_g Spec \ r2) = (r1=r2)
(Seq a1 a2 \subseteq_g Seq b1 b2) = ((a1 \subseteq_g b1) \land (a2 \subseteq_g b2))
(Cond\ cnd1\ t1\ e1\ \subseteq_g\ Cond\ cnd2\ t2\ e2)=((cnd1=cnd2)\ \land\ (t1\ \subseteq_g\ t2)\ \land\ (e1\ \subseteq_g\ t2)
(While cnd1 c1 \subseteq_g While cnd2 c2) = ((cnd1=cnd2) \land (c1 \subseteq_g c2))
```

```
(Call \ p1 \subseteq_g Call \ p2) = (p1 = p2)
(DynCom\ P1\subseteq_g DynCom\ P2)=(\forall\ s.\ ((P1\ s)\subseteq_g (P2\ s)))
(Guard\ m1\ g1\ c1\ \subseteq_q\ Guard\ m2\ g2\ c2) =
     ((m1 = m2 \land g1 = g2 \land (c1 \subseteq_g c2)) \lor (Guard m1 g1 c1 \subseteq_g c2))
(c1 \subseteq_g Guard \ m2 \ g2 \ c2) = (c1 \subseteq_g c2)
(Throw \subseteq_g Throw) = True
(\mathit{Catch}\ \mathit{a1}\ \mathit{a2}\ \subseteq_{\mathit{g}}\ \mathit{Catch}\ \mathit{b1}\ \mathit{b2}) = ((\mathit{a1}\ \subseteq_{\mathit{g}}\ \mathit{b1})\ \land\ (\mathit{a2}\ \subseteq_{\mathit{g}}\ \mathit{b2}))
(c \subseteq_g d) = False
(hints cong add: if-cong
         recdef-wf: wf-com-rel simp: com-rel.intros)
lemma subseteq-guards-Skip:
 c \subseteq_q Skip \Longrightarrow c = Skip
  by (cases c) (auto)
{\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Basic\hbox{:}
 c \subseteq_q Basic f \Longrightarrow c = Basic f
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Spec:
 c \subseteq_g Spec \ r \Longrightarrow c = Spec \ r
  by (cases \ c) \ (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Seq:
  c \subseteq_g Seq \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Seq \ c1' \ c2' \land \ (c1' \subseteq_g \ c1) \land \ (c2' \subseteq_q \ c2)
  by (cases \ c) \ (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-Cond}\colon
  c \subseteq_g Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_g \ c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_g \ c1)
  by (cases \ c) \ (auto)
{f lemma}\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}While:
  c \subseteq_q While \ b \ c' \Longrightarrow \exists \ c''. \ c=While \ b \ c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_q c')
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Call:
 c \subseteq_g Call \ p \Longrightarrow c = Call \ p
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-DynCom:
  c \subseteq_g DynCom \ C \Longrightarrow \exists \ C'. \ c=DynCom \ C' \land (\forall \ s. \ C' \ s \subseteq_g \ C \ s)
  by (cases\ c)\ (auto)
lemma subseteq-quards-Guard:
  c \subseteq_g Guard f g c' \Longrightarrow
      (c \subseteq_q c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f g c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_q c'))
```

```
by (cases c) (auto split: if-split-asm)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-Throw}\colon
 c \subseteq_q Throw \implies c = Throw
 by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Catch:
  c \subseteq_g Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Catch \ c1' \ c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_g \ c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_g \ c2)
 by (cases c) (auto)
{\bf lemmas}\ subseteq\text{-}guardsD = subseteq\text{-}guards\text{-}Skip\ subseteq\text{-}guards\text{-}Basic
subseteq-guards-Spec subseteq-guards-Seq subseteq-guards-Cond subseteq-guards-While
 subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Call\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}DynCom\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Guard
 subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Throw\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Catch
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-Guard'}:
  Guard f b c \subseteq_g d \Longrightarrow \exists f' b' c'. d = Guard f' b' c'
apply (cases d)
apply auto
done
lemma subseteq-guards-refl: c \subseteq_g c
 by (induct c) auto
end
      Big-Step Semantics for Simpl
\mathbf{2}
theory Semantic imports Language begin
notation
restrict-map (-|_ [90, 91] 90)
datatype (s,f) xstate = Normal 's | Abrupt 's | Fault 'f | Stuck
definition isAbr::('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
 where isAbr\ S = (\exists s.\ S = Abrupt\ s)
lemma isAbr-simps [simp]:
isAbr (Normal s) = False
isAbr (Abrupt s) = True
isAbr (Fault f) = False
isAbr\ Stuck = False
by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
```

lemma isAbrE [consumes 1, elim?]: $[isAbr\ S; \land s.\ S=Abrupt\ s \Longrightarrow P]] \Longrightarrow P$

```
by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
lemma not-isAbrD:
\neg isAbr \ s \Longrightarrow (\exists s'. \ s=Normal \ s') \lor s = Stuck \lor (\exists f. \ s=Fault \ f)
  by (cases s) auto
definition isFault:: ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
  where is Fault S = (\exists f. \ S = Fault \ f)
lemma isFault-simps [simp]:
isFault (Normal s) = False
isFault (Abrupt s) = False
isFault (Fault f) = True
isFault\ Stuck = False
by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
lemma isFaultE [consumes 1, elim?]: [[isFault s; \bigwedge f. s=Fault f \Longrightarrow P]] \Longrightarrow P
  by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
lemma not-isFault-iff: (\neg isFault\ t) = (\forall f.\ t \neq Fault\ f)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{isFaultE})
          Big-Step Execution: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
2.1
The procedure environment
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f) body = 'p \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com option
  exec::[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p,'f)\ com,('s,'f)\ xstate,('s,'f)\ xstate]
                        \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash \langle -,- \rangle \Rightarrow - [60,20,98,98] 89)
  for \Gamma :: ('s, 'p, 'f) \ body
  Skip: \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
           \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard f g c, Normal s \rangle \Longrightarrow Fault f
| FaultProp\ [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault\ f \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f
| Basic: \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (f\ s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
          \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
```

$$\begin{array}{c} \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ Stuck \\ | \ Seq: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ While True: \ \llbracket s \in b; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ While True: \ \llbracket s \in b; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ While False: \ \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ While False: \ \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ While False: \ \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Call: \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Call: \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Call: \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Call: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Stuck Prop \ [intro, simp]: \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow \ Stuck \\ | \ DynCom: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ Throw: \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchMatch: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ Abrupt \ s \\ | \ CatchMatch: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t ; \ \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchMiss: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t ; \ \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchMiss: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchMiss: \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\ | \ CatchCatch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t \\$$

 ${\bf inductive\text{-}cases}\ \textit{exec-elim-cases}\ [\textit{cases}\ \textit{set}] :$

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
lemma exec-block:
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle \Rightarrow u \rrbracket
   \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-blockAbrupt:
        \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-blockFault:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-blockStuck:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-call:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ t) \rangle
s\ t)\rangle \Rightarrow \ u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
done
lemma exec	ext{-}callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callFault:
                \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callStuck:
            \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
```

```
{\bf lemma} \ \ exec\text{-}call Undefined:
        [\![\Gamma\ p{=}None]\!]
         \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Fault f
  shows t=Fault f
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
  shows t=Stuck
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
  shows t = Abrupt s'
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma exec-Call-body-aux:
  \Gamma p=Some bdy \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (rule)
apply (fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases )
apply (cases \ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (auto intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Stuck-end Abrupt-end)
done
lemma exec-Call-body':
  p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  apply clarsimp
  by (rule exec-Call-body-aux)
lemma exec-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-block: \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
\bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
     \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
```

```
\bigwedge t'.
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
    t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
   \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
    t = Fault f
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
 [\Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
    t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
 using exec-block
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac\ s')
apply
            simp\mbox{-}all
apply
            (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
           (drule Abrupt-end) apply simp
           (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
           simp
           (rule\ Abrupt, assumption +)
apply
          (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply
          (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
          simp
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (case-tac s')
           simp-all
apply
          (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
          simp
          (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply
apply (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma exec-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy t'.
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge bdy t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f;
     t = Fault f
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
 \bigwedge bdy.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec-call
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (cases \Gamma p)
  \mathbf{apply} \ \ (\mathit{erule} \ \mathit{exec-block-Normal-elim})
                 (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
                  simp
  apply
                 simp
                (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
                 simp
  apply
  apply
                simp
  apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
                simp
  apply
              simp
  apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
              simp
  apply
              (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption)
  apply
            (rule\ Undef, assumption+)
  apply
  apply (erule exec-block-Normal-elim)
               (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
                 simp
  apply
  apply
                 (rule\ Normal, assumption+)
                simp
  apply
              (elim\ exec	ext{-}Normal	ext{-}elim	ext{-}cases)
  apply
               simp
  apply
               (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
  apply
              simp
  apply
  apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
              simp
  apply
```

```
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
  apply
              simp
  {\bf apply} \ \ ({\it elim \ exec-Normal-elim-cases})
  apply
             simp
  apply (rule Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  \mathbf{apply} \quad simp
  apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  done
lemma exec-dynCall:
           \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{call\ init}\ (\mathit{p\ s})\ \mathit{return\ } c. Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec-dynCall-Normal-elim}\colon
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P
  shows P
  using exec
  apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply (rule call, assumption)
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}Call	ext{-}body:
  \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (rule)
apply (fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases )
apply (cases\ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Abrupt-end Stuck-end)+
done
lemma exec-Seq': \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \rrbracket
              \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow s''
  apply (cases \ s)
  apply
               (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
  apply (fastforce dest: Abrupt-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: Fault-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: Stuck-end)
  done
```

```
by (blast elim!: exec-elim-cases intro: exec-Seq')
2.2
             Big-Step Execution with Recursion Limit: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
\mathbf{inductive} \ \ execn::[('s,'p,'f) \ \ body,('s,'p,'f) \ \ com,('s,'f) \ \ xstate,nat,('s,'f) \ \ xstate]
                                  \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash \langle -, - \rangle = -\Rightarrow - [60, 20, 98, 65, 98] 89)
   for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
where
   Skip: \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
               \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard f g c, Normal s \rangle = n \Longrightarrow Fault f
| FaultProp [intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
\mid Basic: \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, Normal s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal (f s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
             \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
                    \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal\ s} \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{s'}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, \mathit{s'} \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{t} \rrbracket
           \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s';
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| \ WhileFalse: [\![ s \notin b ]\!]
```

lemma exec-assoc: $\Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle \Rightarrow$

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Call: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p\ , \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = \mathit{Suc}\ n \Rightarrow\ t
| CallUndefined: \llbracket \Gamma \ p=None \rrbracket
                            \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
| StuckProp [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
| DynCom: [\Gamma \vdash \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s
|AbruptProp[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Abrupt s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt s
| CatchMatch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CatchMiss: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic f, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
lemma execn-Skip': \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, t \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (cases t) (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Fault f
  shows t=Fault f
using exec \ s \ by \ (induct) \ auto
lemma execn-Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
  shows t=Stuck
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
  shows t = Abrupt s'
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-block:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t; \Gamma \vdash \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle = n \Rightarrow
u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockAbrupt:
      \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t 
rbracket
         \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockFault:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockStuck:
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-call:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ s \ t) \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ u
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
done
lemma execn-callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{execn-callFault} :
                \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma execn-callStuck:
             \llbracket \Gamma \ p{=}Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s)\rangle = n \Rightarrow \ Stuck \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma execn-callUndefined:
        [\![\Gamma\ p{=}None]\!]
          \Longrightarrow
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma execn-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes execn-block: \Gamma \vdash \langle block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
     t = Fault f
    \implies P
assumes Stuck:
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using execn-block
apply (unfold block-def)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{elim} \ \mathit{execn-Normal-elim-cases})
\mathbf{apply}\ simp\text{-}all
apply (case-tac\ s')
apply
             simp-all
apply
             (elim\ execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
             simp
            (drule execn-Abrupt-end) apply simp
apply
            (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
            simp
apply
            (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
apply
           (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
           (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
           simp
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (case-tac s')
```

```
apply
            simp-all
apply
           (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma execn-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ i \Rightarrow \ t; \ n = Suc \ i \rceil
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'; \ n = Suc \ i;
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Fault \ f; \ n = Suc \ i;
     t = Fault f
    \implies P
assumes Stuck:
 \bigwedge bdy i.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Stuck; \ n = Suc \ i;
     t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \bigwedge i. \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ n = Suc \ i; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec-call
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (cases n)
  apply (simp only: block-def)
  apply (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply (cases \Gamma p)
  \mathbf{apply} \hspace{0.2cm} (\mathit{erule} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathit{execn-block-Normal-elim})
                 (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
                  simp
                 simp
  apply
  apply
                (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
                 simp
  apply
                simp
               (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
```

```
apply
             simp
  apply
             simp
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
             simp
            (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  apply
  \mathbf{apply} \ \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{Undef}, assumption +)
 apply (erule execn-block-Normal-elim)
 apply
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 apply
              simp
              (rule\ Normal, assumption +)
 apply
              simp
  apply
  apply
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
             simp
             (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
  apply
             simp
  apply
 apply
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
             simp
            (rule\ Fault, assumption +)
  apply
  apply
           simp
  apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
 apply (rule Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption)
 apply (rule Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
 apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  done
lemma execn-dynCall:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
 \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-dynCall\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P
 shows P
  using exec
 apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply fact
  done
lemma execn-Seq':
```

 $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket$

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
  apply (cases \ s)
             (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  done
lemma execn-mono:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \bigwedge m. n \leq m \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = m \Longrightarrow t
using exec
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: Suc-le-D)
lemma execn-Suc:
  \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow t
  by (rule execn-mono [OF - le-reft [THEN le-SucI]])
lemma execn-assoc:
\Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t = \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
  by (auto elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn-Seq')
lemma execn-to-exec:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using execn
by induct (auto intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-to-execn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using execn
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
case FaultProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
  case (Seq c1 s s' c2 s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  thus ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (WhileTrue s b c s' s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{While b} \ c, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  with While True
  show ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (CatchMatch c1 s s' c2 s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow s''
    \mathbf{by} blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
```

```
with CatchMatch.hyps show ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
qed
theorem exec-iff-execn: (\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t) = (\exists n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t)
  by (iprover intro: exec-to-execn execn-to-exec)
definition nfinal-notin:: ('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow nat
                          \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash \langle -, - \rangle = -\Rightarrow \notin - [60, 20, 98, 65, 60] 89) where
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin T)
definition final-notin:: ('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate
                           \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow \notin - [60, 20, 98, 60] 89) where
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin T)
by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-Call-body': p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
\Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ' \ (-F)) =
\Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
  by (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def exec-Call-body)
lemma no Fault-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
  shows s \neq Fault f
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma no Fault-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
  shows s \neq Fault f
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noStuck-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma noStuck-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noAbrupt-startn:
```

```
assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: \forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma noAbrupt-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t : \forall t'. \ t \neq Abrupt \ t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noFaultn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
lemma noFaultn-startD': t \neq Fault \ f \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \implies s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
lemma noFault-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noFault-startD': t \neq Fault \ f \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noStuckn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuckn-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuck-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
lemma noStuck-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \implies t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
lemma noAbruptn-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-startn)
lemma noAbrupt-startD: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-start)
by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultnI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \implies False
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
  proof (rule noFaultnI)
    fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with contr show t \neq Fault f
```

```
by (cases t=Fault f) auto
  qed
lemma noFaultn-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultnI')
  done
lemma noStucknI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStucknI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  proof (rule noStucknI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Stuck
        by (cases t) auto
  qed
lemma noStuckn\text{-}def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ intro:\ noStucknI')
  done
lemma noFaultI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Fault f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \Longrightarrow False
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
  proof (rule noFaultI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Fault f
        by (cases t=Fault f) auto
  \mathbf{qed}
lemma noFaultE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \rrbracket \implies P
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFault-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultI')
```

done

```
lemma noStuckI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \implies t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuckI':
   assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
   shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   proof (rule noStuckI)
      fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      with contr show t \neq Stuck
         by (cases \ t) auto
   qed
lemma noStuckE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-def': \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck)
   apply rule
   apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noStuckI')
  done
lemma noFaultn-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault f
   by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFault-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault \ f
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultn-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Fault
  by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noFaultn-startD)
lemma noFault-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Fault \ f
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noFault-startD)
lemma noStuckn-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck \}
   by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuckn-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Stuck \}
   by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noStuckn-startD)
lemma noStuck-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Stuck
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noStuck-startD)
\mathbf{lemma}\ no Fault Stuckn\text{-}execD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies
         t\notin\{Fault\ True,Fault\ False,Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle
\Rightarrow t
 \implies t \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultStuckn\text{-}exec\text{-}startD\text{:}
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ True, \ Fault \ False, Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
    \implies s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultStuck\text{-}exec\text{-}startD\text{:}
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True,\ Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
  \implies s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-Call:
  assumes noStuck: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  shows p \in dom \Gamma
proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  hence \Gamma p = None by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
     by (rule exec. Call Undefined)
  with noStuck show ?thesis
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
lemma Guard-noFaultStuckD:
  assumes Γ⊢\langle Guard f g c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' (-F))
  assumes f \notin F
  shows s \in g
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{final-notin-to-finaln}\colon
  assumes notin: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
proof (clarsimp simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
```

```
fix t assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t \in T
  with notin show False
     by (auto intro: execn-to-exec simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
lemma noFault-Call-body:
\Gamma p=Some bdy\Longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\} =
 \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}
  by (simp add: noFault-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma no Stuck-Call-body:
\Gamma p=Some bdy\Longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} =
 \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: noStuck-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma exec-final-notin-to-execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: execn-to-exec)
lemma execn-final-notin-to-exec: \forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: exec-to-execn)
lemma exec-final-notin-iff-execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T)
  by (auto intro: exec-final-notin-to-execn execn-final-notin-to-exec)
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
                \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq') lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD1:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `F)
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot F)
proof (rule final-notinI)
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  show t \notin \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
  proof
     assume t \in \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
    moreover
     {
       assume t = Stuck
       with exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
```

```
moreover
      assume t \in Fault ' F
      then obtain f where
      t: t=Fault f and f: f \in F
        by auto
      from t exec-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
        by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
      with noabort f have False
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
      hence False ..
    ultimately show False by auto
  qed
qed
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2':
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
              \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
\mathbf{using}\ noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq')
2.3
         Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize
lemma execn-sequence-app: \bigwedge s \ s' \ t.
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with exec-x-xs have \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    with Nil exec-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-elim-cases)
  next
    case Cons
    with exec-x-xs
    obtain s'' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' and
```

```
by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases )
             \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
             proof (cases s'')
                   case (Normal s''')
                   from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs [simplified Normal] exec-ys]
                   have \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
                   with Cons exec-x Normal
                   show ?thesis
                          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
             \mathbf{next}
                   case (Abrupt s''')
                   with exec-xs have s'=Abrupt s'''
                         by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
                   with exec-ys have t=Abrupt s'''
                         by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
                   with exec-x Abrupt Cons show ?thesis
                         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
             next
                   case (Fault f)
                   with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
                          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
                   with exec-ys have t=Fault f
                          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
                   with exec-x Fault Cons show ?thesis
                          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
             next
                   case Stuck
                   with exec-xs have s'=Stuck
                         by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
                   with exec-ys have t=Stuck
                         by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
                   with exec-x Stuck Cons show ?thesis
                          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
             qed
      qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appD: \bigwedge s t. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
\Longrightarrow
                           \exists s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence 
proof (induct xs)
      case Nil
      thus ?case
             by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      case (Cons \ x \ xs)
      have exec-app: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ((x \# xs) @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
```

exec-xs: $\Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs,s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'$

t

```
show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    {\bf case}\ Nil
    with exec-app show ?thesis
     by (cases ys) (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn-Skip')
  next
    case Cons
    with exec-app obtain s' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' and
      exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases s')
     \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Normal}\ s^{\,\prime\prime})
     from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs-ys [simplified Normal]] Normal exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      case (Abrupt s'')
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Abrupt s''
        by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with Abrupt exec-x Cons
     show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
     case (Fault f)
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with Fault exec-x Cons
     show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    next
     case Stuck
     with exec-xs-ys have t=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with Stuck exec-x Cons
     show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t;
   \land s'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'; \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
\Longrightarrow P
  \mathbb{I} \Longrightarrow P
 by (auto dest: execn-sequence-appD)
```

```
lemma execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s") thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn-sequence-app)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-to-execn-normalize:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s'') thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten execn-sequence-app)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn:
  shows \bigwedge s t. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal s')
    with exec\text{-}seq obtain s'' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{sequence} \ \mathit{Seq} \ (\mathit{flatten} \ c1), \mathit{Normal} \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \, s^{\,\prime\prime} \ \mathbf{and}
      \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c2), s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
    with Seq.hyps Normal
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    {\bf case}\ Abrupt
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  next
    case Fault
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Fault-end)
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  ged
qed auto
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-normalize\text{-}to\text{-}execn:
 shows \bigwedge s \ t \ n. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
next
  case Basic thus ?case by simp
  case Spec thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  hence exec-norm-seq:
   \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1) \ @ \ flatten \ (normalize \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Normal s')
   with exec-norm-seq obtain s'' where
      exec-norm-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1)), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
and
     exec-norm-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c2)), s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
   from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF exec-norm-c1]
      execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF exec-norm-c2] Seq.hyps Normal
   show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-norm-seq have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-norm-seq have t=Fault\ f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-norm-seq have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Cond thus ?case
   by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
```

```
next
  case (While b c)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  hence exec-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (normalize\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t\ w
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      using exec-w
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c' n w t)
      from WhileTrue obtain
         s-in-b: s \in b and
         exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
        hyp-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by simp
      from While.hyps [OF exec-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
        by simp
      with hyp-w s-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with WhileTrue show ?case by simp
    qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
  from this [OF exec-norm-w]
  show ?case
    by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
  case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
  case Catch thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
qed
lemma execn-normalize-iff-execn:
\Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-normalize execn-normalize-to-execn)
lemma exec-sequence-app:
  assumes exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
  assumes exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-xs]
  obtain n where
    execn-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'..
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-ys]
  obtain m where
     execn-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow t..
  with execn-xs obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow s'
    \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-mono max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  from execn-sequence-app [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ t.
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-appD:
  assumes exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-xs-ys]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  thus ?thesis
    by (cases rule: execn-sequence-appE) (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t;
   by (auto dest: exec-sequence-appD)
lemma exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  from execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec}\text{-}\mathit{sequence}\text{-}\mathit{flatten}\text{-}\mathit{to}\text{-}\mathit{exec}\text{:}
  assumes exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
```

```
from exec-to-execn [OF exec-seq]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-to-exec-normalize:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-normalize)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-normalize-to-exec:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-normalize-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-normalize-iff-exec:
\Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize exec-normalize-to-exec)
        Lemmas about c_1 \subseteq_g c_2
lemma execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards: \bigwedge c \ s \ t \ n. \llbracket c \subseteq_g \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
    \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land (\neg\ isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c')
  case Skip thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case Basic thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case Spec thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (Seg c1' c2')
```

```
have c \subseteq_g Seq c1' c2' by fact
from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this]
obtain c1 c2 where
 c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
 c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
 c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g c2'
 by blast
have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
with c obtain w where
  exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
 exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
from exec-c1 Seq.hyps c1-c1'
obtain w' where
 exec\text{-}c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
 w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
 w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
 by blast
show ?case
proof (cases s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   case True
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
   moreover with exec-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using Normal w-Fault exec-c1'
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
```

```
next
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     \mathbf{note}\ \mathit{noFault-w} = \mathit{this}
     show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault w')
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
        with Normal exec-c1'
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1' \ c2', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        then show ?thesis
         by auto
     next
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
        from Seq.hyps exec-c2 c2-c2'
        obtain t' where
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
          \mathbf{bv} blast
        with Normal exec-c1' w'
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
    qed
 qed
next
  case (Cond b c1' c2')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_g Cond b c1' c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
    c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
    \mathbf{by} blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    {f case}\ Stuck
    with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
```

```
by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ (Normal\ s\ ')
    from exec [simplified c Normal]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases)
      assume s'-in-b: s' \in b
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       with c1-c1' Normal Cond.hyps obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
         isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'
         \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        \mathbf{by} blast
       with s'-in-b Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
       assume s'-notin-b: s' \notin b
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       with c2-c2' Normal Cond.hyps obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
         isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
         \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
       with s'-notin-b Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (While b c')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_q While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While \ b \ c'' and
    c^{\prime\prime}\text{-}c^\prime\text{:}\ c^{\prime\prime}\subseteq_g\ c^\prime
    \mathbf{by} blast
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ c \ r \ w
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    assume c: c=While b c''
    have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c',r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land 
                   (isFault\ w \longrightarrow isFault\ w') \land (\neg\ isFault\ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
    using exec c
```

```
proof (induct)
      \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{WhileTrue}\ \mathit{r}\ \mathit{b'}\ \mathit{ca}\ \mathit{n}\ \mathit{u}\ \mathit{w})
      have eqs: While b' ca = While b c'' by fact
      from While True have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
      from While True have exec-c'': \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by simp
      from While.hyps [OF c''-c' exec-c''] obtain u' where
        exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
        u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
        u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
       by blast
      from While True obtain w' where
        exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
       w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
       w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
       by blast
      show ?case
      proof (cases isFault u')
       \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
       with exec-c' r-in-b
       show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
      next
       case False
       with exec-c' r-in-b u'-noFault exec-w w-Fault w'-noFault
       show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
   next
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   qed auto
  from this [OF\ exec\ c]
  show ?case.
next
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (DynCom C')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_g DynCom\ C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
    c: c = DynCom \ C and
    C-C': \forall s. C s \subseteq_g C' s
   by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
```

```
with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Normal s')
   from exec [simplified c Normal]
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle C s', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by cases
   from DynCom.hyps C-C' [rule-format] this obtain t' where
     \Gamma \vdash \langle C' s', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
     isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
     \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
   with Normal show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
 case (Guard f' g' c')
 have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have c \subseteq_g Guard f' g' c' by fact
 hence subset-cases: (c \subseteq_g c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_g c'))
   by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
 \mathbf{show}~?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
```

```
by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   from subset-cases show ?thesis
   proof
     assume c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
     from Guard.hyps [OF this exec] Normal obtain t' where
        exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'  and
        t-Fault: isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       t-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
     with Normal
     show ?thesis
       by (cases s' \in g') (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
     assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_q c')
     then obtain c'' where
       c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and
       c''-c': c'' \subseteq_g c'
       by blast
     from c exec Normal
     have exec-Guard': \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard f' g' c'', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by simp
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases)
       assume s'-in-g': s' \in g'
       assume exec-c'': \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       from Guard.hyps [OF\ c''-c'\ exec-c''] obtain t' where
         exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
         by blast
       with Normal s'-in-g'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
       assume s' \notin g' t = Fault f'
       with Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD intro: execn.intros
         elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
```

```
case (Catch c1' c2')
have c \subseteq_g Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this]
obtain c1 c2 where
 c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ and
 c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
 c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
 by blast
have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
show ?case
proof (cases\ s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
 with exec have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 from exec [simplified c Normal]
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix}\ w
   assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   from Normal exec-c1 c1-c1' Catch.hyps obtain w' where
     exec\text{-}c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
     w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
     case True
     with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
   next
     case False
     with w'-noFault have w': w'=Abrupt w by simp
     from Normal exec-c2 c2-c2' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
           isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
           \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
           by blast
         with exec-c1' w' Normal
        show ?thesis
           by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      assume t: \neg isAbr t
      from Normal exec-c1 c1-c1' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
         exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
           by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
      next
        case False
        with exec-c1' Normal t-Fault t'-noFault t
        show ?thesis
           by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
{f lemma}\ exec	ext{-}to	ext{-}exec	ext{-}subseteq	ext{-}guards:
  assumes c 	ext{-} c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land (\neg\ isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
2.5
         Lemmas about merge-guards
{\bf theorem}\ \it execn-to-execn-merge-guards:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
using exec-c
\mathbf{proof}\ (induct)
 case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ n \ t \ f)
 have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
 have exec-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
   case False
   with exec-merge-c s-in-g
   show ?thesis
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
 next
   case True
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by iprover
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f=f')
     case False
     from exec-merge-c s-in-g merge-guards-c False show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     from exec-merge-c s-in-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn.cases)
   qed
 qed
next
 case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c\ n)
 have s-notin-g: s \notin g by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
   case False
   with s-notin-g
   show ?thesis
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
 next
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by iprover
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f = f')
     case False
     from s-notin-g merge-guards-c False show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
     case True
     from s-notin-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
```

```
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
lemma execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal:
  \land s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}quards \ (Seg \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 hence exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
    by simp
  then obtain s' where
    exec-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge-guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' and
    exec-merge-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c2,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by cases
  from exec-merge-c1
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
    by (rule Seq.hyps)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Normal s'')
    with exec-merge-c2
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s'')
    with exec-merge-c2 have t=Abrupt s''
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with exec-c1 Abrupt
    show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Fault f)
    with exec\text{-}merge\text{-}c\mathcal{Z} have t\text{=}Fault\;f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with exec-c1 Fault
    show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
```

```
case Stuck
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with exec-c1 Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Cond thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (While b \ c)
  {
   \mathbf{fix}\ c'\ r\ w
   assume exec - c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
   assume c': c'= While b (merge-quards c)
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     using exec-c' c'
   proof (induct)
     case (WhileTrue r b' c'' n u w)
     have eqs: While b' c'' = While b \pmod{merge-guards} c by fact
     {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
     have r-in-b: r \in b
       by simp
     from While True While hyps have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
     from While True have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
       bv simp
     from r-in-b exec-c exec-w
     show ?case
       by (rule execn. While True)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
   \mathbf{qed} auto
  with While.prems show ?case
   by (auto)
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Guard f g c)
 have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in g)
   case False
   with exec-merge have t=Fault f
```

```
by (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
     simp add: Let-def is-Guard-def)
 with False show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 note s-in-g = this
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   case False
   then
   have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   with exec\text{-}merge\ s\text{-}in\text{-}g
   obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
   from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
   show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 \mathbf{next}
   case True
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by iprover
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f = f')
     case False
     with merge-guards-c
    have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (simp add: Let-def)
     with exec-merge s-in-g
     obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
     show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   next
     case True
     note f-eq-f' = this
     with merge-guards-c have
       merge-guards-Guard: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
       by simp
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases \ s \in g')
       {f case}\ True
       with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard merge-guards-c s-in-g
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
       with Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
```

```
show ?thesis
             \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}:\ \mathit{execn.intros})
         next
           {f case}\ {\it False}
           with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard
           have t=Fault\ f
              by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
           with merge-guards-c f-eq-f' False
           have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
              by (auto intro: execn.intros)
           from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
           show ?thesis
              by (auto intro: execn.intros)
         qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{ by } fact
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \ by
simp
  thus ?case
    by cases (auto intro: execn.intros Catch.hyps)
qed
{\bf theorem}\ \it execn-merge-guards-to-execn:
 \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (cases\ s)
apply
            (fastforce intro: execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
done
corollary execn-iff-execn-merge-guards:
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (blast intro: execn-merge-guards-to-execn execn-to-execn-merge-guards)
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{exec-iff-exec-merge-guards}:
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast}\ \mathit{dest} \colon \mathit{exec-to-execn}\ \mathit{intro} \colon \mathit{execn-to-exec}
              intro:\ execn-to-execn-merge-guards
                      execn-merge-guards-to-execn)
corollary exec-to-exec-merge-guards:
\Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (rule iffD1 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
{\bf corollary}\ exec-merge-guards-to-exec:
\Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (rule iffD2 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
2.6
          Lemmas about mark-quards
lemma execn-to-execn-mark-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
\mathbf{using} \ \mathit{exec-c} \ \mathit{t-not-Fault} \ [\mathit{simplified} \ \mathit{not-isFault-iff}]
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault}:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \land f. \llbracket t = Fault \ f \rrbracket \implies \exists f'. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark - guards \ x \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
  have exec\text{-}c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault f by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault Seq.hyps obtain f'' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{mark\text{-}\mathit{guards}} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{c1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ \mathit{s} \rangle = \! \mathit{n} \! \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f} \, \mathit{''}
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, Fault \ f'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
      by auto
```

ultimately show ?thesis

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by blast
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c \ n \ w \ t)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-w \ t have f'=f
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{execn}\text{-}\mathit{Fault}\text{-}\mathit{end})
    with Fault WhileTrue.hyps obtain f" where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), Fault \ f'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f''
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
   case (Normal s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     by simp
   with WhileTrue.hyps t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
    with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
   with While True. hyps t obtain f' where
     \Gamma \vdash \langle mark \text{-} guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
   with exec-w have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w \ by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have t: t = Fault f by fact
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t obtain f' where
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
    by blast
  with exec-mark-c1 show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-mark-guards-to-execn:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \Longrightarrow \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
              (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
              (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
              (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain w where
     exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w \text{ and }
    exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from Seq.hyps exec-mark-c1
  obtain w' where
     exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w and
    w'-Fault: isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
    by blast
  show ?case
  \mathbf{proof} (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault\ f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
```

```
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f...
   moreover with exec-mark-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault-f exec-c1
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 next
   case False
   note noFault-w = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
     {f case} True
     then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
     with Normal exec-c1
     have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     from w'-Fault-f w' noFault-w
     have f' \neq f
       by (cases w) auto
     moreover
     from w'w'-Fault exec-mark-c2 have isFault t
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end elim: isFaultE)
     ultimately
     show ?thesis
       using exec
       by auto
   next
     case False
     with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
     from Seq.hyps exec-mark-c2
     obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
       isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t\ {f and}
       \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
       \mathbf{by} blast
```

```
with Normal exec-c1 w'
       show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2 s n t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases s' \in b)
     {f case}\ {\it True}
     with Normal exec-mark
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'
            t^{\,\prime} = \mathit{Fault} \; f \, \longrightarrow \, t^{\,\prime} \!\! = \!\! t
            isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
            \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
      with Normal True
     show ?thesis
       by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     case False
      with Normal exec-mark
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
             isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
             t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
             isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
             \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal False
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b \ c \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault\ f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{execn-Abrupt-end})
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    {
      fix c' r w
      assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      assume c': c'= While b (mark-guards f c)
      have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land
                    (w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w) \land (isFault w' \longrightarrow isFault w) \land
                    (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
        using exec-c' c'
      proof (induct)
        \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{WhileTrue}\ r\ b'\ c''\ n\ u\ w)
        have eqs: While b'c'' = While b \pmod{mark-guards} f c by fact
```

```
from While True.hyps eqs
have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \text{ by } simp
from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
have exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
  by simp
show ?case
proof -
  from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
    by simp
  with While.hyps
  obtain u' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
    u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
    u'-Fault-f: u' = Fault f \longrightarrow u' = u and
    u'-Fault: isFault \ u' \longrightarrow isFault \ u and
    u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
    \mathbf{by} blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault u')
    case False
    with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
    from WhileTrue.hyps eqs obtain w' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
      isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
      w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w
      isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w
      \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
      by blast
    with u' exec-c r-in-b
    show ?thesis
      by (blast intro: execn. While True)
  next
    case True
    then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
    with exec-c r-in-b
    \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{exec}\colon \Gamma {\vdash} {\langle}\ \mathit{While}\ \mathit{b}\ \mathit{c}, \!\mathit{Normal}\ r{\rangle} = \! \mathit{n} \! \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault}\ \mathit{f}\, {'}
      by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    from True u'-Fault have isFault u
      by simp
    then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
    with exec-mark-w have w=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with exec u' u u'-Fault-f
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
qed
```

```
\mathbf{next}
    case (WhileFalse r b' c'' n)
    have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (mark-guards f c) by fact
    from WhileFalse.hyps eqs
    have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
    show ?case
    proof -
      from r-not-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
        by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
      thus ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  \mathbf{qed} auto
} note hyp-while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases s' \in b)
  case False
  with Normal exec-mark
  have t=s
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Normal False show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal exec-mark obtain r where
    exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c),r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-mark-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
    r'-Fault-f: r' = Fault f \longrightarrow r' = r and
    r'-Fault: isFault \ r' \longrightarrow isFault \ r and
    r'\text{-}noFault\colon \neg\ isFault\ r'\longrightarrow\ r'\!\!=\!\!r
    by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault r')
    case False
    with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
    {f from}\ hyp\text{-}while\ exec\text{-}mark\text{-}w
    obtain t' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
      isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
      t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
      isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
      \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
      \mathbf{bv} blast
    with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
```

```
show ?thesis
         by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     next
       {\bf case}\ {\it True}
       then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
       hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
         by auto
       with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       from True r'-Fault
       have isFault r
         by simp
       then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
       with exec-mark-w have t=Fault f
         by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
       with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault-f
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 case Call thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
next
 case (Guard f' g c s n t)
 have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ (Guard\ f'\ g\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
 \mathbf{show}~? case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-mark have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case Stuck
   with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
```

```
next
    case (Normal s')
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
    proof (cases s' \in g)
     case False
     with Normal exec-mark have t: t=Fault f
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f' \ g \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      with Normal t show ?thesis
       by auto
    \mathbf{next}
     {f case}\ {\it True}
      with exec-mark Normal
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
        isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t\ {f and}
        \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
     show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ (Catch\ c1\ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
```

```
with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s') note s=this
 with exec-mark have
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1) \ (mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix}\ w
   assume exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   assume exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   from exec-mark-c1 Catch.hyps
   obtain w' where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
     w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w and
     w'-Fault: isFault w' \longrightarrow isFault (Abrupt w) and
     w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w
     by fastforce
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases w')
     case (Fault f')
     with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
        by auto
   next
     case Stuck
     with w'-noFault have False
        by simp
     thus ?thesis ..
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Normal w'')
     with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ...
     case (Abrupt w'')
     with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
     from exec-mark-c2 Catch.hyps
     obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
        isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
        t' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' = t
        isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
        \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' \!\!=\! t
        by blast
      with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
     show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
```

```
qed
    \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{assume}\ t \colon \neg\ \mathit{isAbr}\ t
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with Catch.hyps
      obtain t' where
         exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
         t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
         t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
         case True
         then obtain f' where t': t'=Fault f'...
         with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
         with t'-Fault-f t'-Fault t's show ?thesis
           by auto
      next
         {f case} False
         with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
         with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
           by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-mark-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF this t-not-Fault]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-to-exec-mark-guards-Fault:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 shows \exists f'. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f ...
  \mathbf{from}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}Fault\ [OF\ this]
```

```
show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-mark-guards-to-exec:
  assumes exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
             (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
             (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
             (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
             (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-mark] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-mark-quards-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
2.7
         Lemmas about strip-guards
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault [simplified not-isFault-iff]
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
\mathbf{shows} \  \, \bigwedge \!\! f. \  \, \llbracket t \! = \! \mathit{Fault} \ f; \, f \notin F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{strip-guards} \ F \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault} \ f
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
case Spec thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
```

```
case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault\ f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF Seq.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Fault \ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by blast
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps\ t\ notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c \ n \ w \ t)
```

```
have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-w \ t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF WhileTrue.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), Fault \ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
    have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with While True.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by blast
    with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
    have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with While True.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-w have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w \ by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  from execn-to-execn-strip-quards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
    by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t notinF
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by blast
  with exec-strip-c1 show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
qed
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
\mathbf{shows} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{strip-guards} \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
proof (cases t)
  case (Fault f)
  with t-not-Fault exec-c show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
qed (insert exec-c, auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
lemma execn-strip-guards-to-execn:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
             (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
             (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
             (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
```

```
case (Seq c1 c2 s n t)
have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
then obtain w where
 exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
  exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
from Seq.hyps exec-strip-c1
obtain w' where
  exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
 w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
 w'-Fault: w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w and
 w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
 by blast
show ?case
proof (cases s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec-strip have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
  with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
 with exec-strip have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   {f case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
   moreover with exec-strip-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault exec-c1
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 next
   case False
   note noFault-w = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
```

```
\mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
       then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
       with Normal exec-c1
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       from w'-Fault w' noFault-w
       have f' \in F
         by (cases \ w) auto
       with exec
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     next
       case False
       with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
       from Seq.hyps exec-strip-c2
       obtain t' where
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
         t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
         \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
         \mathbf{bv} blast
       with Normal exec-c1 w'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     \mathbf{qed}
   qed
 qed
next
next
  case (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
 have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-strip have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-strip have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
```

```
by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases \ s' \in b)
      {f case}\ {\it True}
      with Normal exec-strip
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
             isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
             t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
             \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    next
      case False
      with Normal exec-strip
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
             isFault\ t\ \longrightarrow\ isFault\ t'
             t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
             \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal False
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{qed}
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
```

```
by auto
next
     case (Abrupt s')
     with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
           by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
     with Abrupt show ?thesis
           by auto
next
     case (Normal s')
      {
           \mathbf{fix}\ c^{\,\prime}\ r\ w
           assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
           assume c': c'= While b (strip-guards F c)
            have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFau
                                                   (w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w'=w) \land
                                                   (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
                  using exec-c' c'
            proof (induct)
                  case (WhileTrue r b' c'' n u w)
                  have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
                  from While True. hyps eqs
                 have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
                  from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
                  have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \ \text{by} \ simp
                  from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
                 have exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ (strip-quards\ F\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
                        by simp
                  show ?case
                  proof -
                        from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
                             by simp
                        with While.hyps
                        obtain u' where
                              exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
                              u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
                              u'-Fault: u' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow u' = u and
                              u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
                             \mathbf{by} blast
                        show ?thesis
                        proof (cases isFault u')
                              case False
                              with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
                              from While True.hyps eqs obtain w' where
                                    \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
                                    isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
                                    w' \in Fault `(-F) \longrightarrow w' = w
                                    \neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w' = w
                                    bv blast
                              with u' exec-c r-in-b
```

```
show ?thesis
         by (blast intro: execn. While True)
     \mathbf{next}
       {\bf case}\ {\it True}
       then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
       with exec-c r-in-b
       have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
         by (blast intro: execn.intros)
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases isFault u)
         {\bf case}\ {\it True}
         then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
         with exec-strip-w have w=Fault f
          by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
         with exec u' u u'-Fault
         show ?thesis
           by auto
       \mathbf{next}
         case False
         with u'-Fault u' have f' \in F
          by (cases u) auto
         with exec show ?thesis
           by auto
       qed
     qed
   qed
 next
   case (WhileFalse r \ b' \ c'' \ n)
   have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
   {\bf from}\ \ While False. hyps\ eqs
   have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
   show ?case
   proof -
     from r-not-in-b
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
       by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
     thus ?thesis
       by blast
   qed
 \mathbf{qed} auto
} note hyp-while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases \ s' \in b)
 {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
 with Normal exec-strip
 have t=s
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
 with Normal False show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal\ exec\text{-}strip\ \mathbf{obtain}\ r\ \mathbf{where}
    exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c), r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-strip-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
    r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = r and
    r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = r
    by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault r')
    case False
    with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
    from hyp-while exec-strip-w
    obtain t' where
      \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
      isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
      t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t
      \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \xrightarrow{} t' = t
      by blast
    with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
    show ?thesis
      by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case True
    then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by auto
    with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
    have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault r)
      case True
      then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
      with exec-strip-w have t=Fault f
        by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    next
      {f case}\ {\it False}
      with r'-Fault r' have f' \in F
        by (cases \ r) auto
      with Normal exec show ?thesis
        by auto
```

```
qed
     \mathbf{qed}
   qed
 qed
next
  case Call thus ?case by auto
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
  case (Guard f g c s n t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-strip have t=Fault\ f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by} auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-strip have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   \mathbf{show} \ ? the sis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     {\bf case} \ {\it True}
     with exec-strip Normal
     have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by simp
      with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
       isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       t' \in Fault \ (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t \text{ and }
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
       by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
       by (cases s' \in g) (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
   next
```

```
{f case} False
      {f note}\ {\it f-notin-F}={\it this}
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases s' \in g)
        case False
        with Normal exec-strip f-notin-F have t: t=Fault f
          by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
        from False
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
        with False Normal t show ?thesis
          by auto
     next
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        with exec-strip Normal f-notin-F
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
          by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
        with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
          isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
          t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
          \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with Normal True
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case Stuck
   with exec-strip have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
```

```
with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal\ s') note s=this
 with exec-strip have
   \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ simp
 thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix}\ w
   assume exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   assume exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   from exec-strip-c1 Catch.hyps
   obtain w' where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
     w'-Fault: w' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w and
     w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w'=Abrupt w
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases w')
     case (Fault f')
     with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
        by auto
   next
     case Stuck
     with w'-noFault have False
       by simp
     thus ?thesis ..
     case (Normal w'')
     with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case (Abrupt w'')
     with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
     from exec-strip-c2 Catch.hyps
     obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
        isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
        t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
        by blast
     with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
     show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
   qed
 next
```

```
assume t: \neg isAbr t
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with Catch.hyps
      obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t'-Fault: t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        then obtain f' where t': t'=Fault f'...
        with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        with t'-Fault t's show ?thesis
          by auto
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
        with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
          \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast\ intro}\colon \mathit{execn.intros})
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-strip-to-execn:
 assumes exec-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
                 (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                 (t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                 (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
using exec-strip
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  case Guard thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
```

```
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case While True thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros)
 case StuckProp thus ?case
   by blast
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ r\ c2\ t)
 then obtain r't' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
   r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt \ r and
   r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt r and
   exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
   t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
   t'-Fault: t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
   t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
   by blast
 show ?case
  proof (cases is Fault r')
   case True
   then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
   with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   with r' r'-Fault show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 next
   case False
   with r'-noFault have r'=Abrupt r by simp
   with exec-c1 exec-c2 t-Fault t'-noFault t'-Fault
   show ?thesis
```

```
by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
qed
lemma exec-strip-guards-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                 (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                 (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                 (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
     execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \neg\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-strip-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                 (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
                 (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land (\neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
     execn-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \neg\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards')
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma execn-to-execn-strip:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
proof (induct)
  case (Call p bdy s n s')
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF this] Call
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  moreover from bdy have (strip\ F\ \Gamma) p=Some\ (strip-guards\ F\ bdy)
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
  ultimately
  show ?case
    by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD' simp add: not-isFault-iff)
lemma execn-to-execn-strip':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
```

```
proof (induct)
 case (Call p bdy s n s')
 have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
   by blast
 from execn-to-execn-strip-guards' [OF this] Call
 have strip\ F\ \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ bdy, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  moreover from bdy have (strip \ F \ \Gamma) p = Some \ (strip-guards \ F \ bdy)
   by simp
 ultimately
 show ?case
   by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. CallUndefined)
 case (Seq c1 s n s' c2 t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
   case False
   with Seq show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
  next
   case True
   then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
   with Seq obtain t=Fault f' and f' \notin F
     by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Seq s' show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
 case (While True b c s n s' t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
   {f case} False
   with While True show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
 next
   {f case} True
   then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
   with While True obtain t=Fault\ f' and f' \notin F
     by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with While True s' show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma exec-to-exec-strip:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip)
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip')
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards-Fault:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 assumes f-notin-F: f \notin F
 shows\Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault [OF this - f-notin-F]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
2.8
          Lemmas about c_1 \cap_g c_2
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}Normal\text{-}noFault:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s \ t \ n. \ [(c1 \cap_q c2) = Some \ c; \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; \neg \ isFault \ t]
          \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip
  have (Skip \cap_q c2) = Some \ c \ by \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Skip and c: c=Skip
```

```
by (simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal s
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Skip c2
  show ?case
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Basic\ f)
  have (Basic\ f\cap_g\ c2)=Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Basic\ f and c: c=Basic\ f
   by (simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal\ (f\ s)
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Basic c2
  show ?case
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  case (Spec \ r)
  have (Spec \ r \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Spec\ r and c: c=Spec\ r
   by (simp add: inter-guards-Spec)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ simp
  from this Spec c2 show ?case
   by (cases) (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2=Seq b1 b2 and
   d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c obtain s' where
    exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ \mathbf{and}
    exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
   case (Fault f')
   with exec-d2 have t=Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
    with noFault show ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s'')
```

```
with d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
    obtain
      \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' \ \mathbf{and} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
      by auto
    moreover
    from Normal d2 exec-d2 noFault Seq.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using Normal c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case (Abrupt s'')
    with exec-d2 have t=Abrupt s''
      by (auto simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
    moreover
    from Abrupt d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'' and \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
      by auto
    moreover
    obtain
      \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Abrupt \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'' \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Abrupt \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using Abrupt c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-d2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
    moreover
    from Stuck d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \ \text{and} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
      by auto
    moreover
    obtain
      \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using Stuck c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cond b t1 e1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ by \ fact
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_q c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t3 e3 where
    c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
```

```
t3: (t1 \cap_g t2) = Some t3 \text{ and }
    e3: (e1 \cap_g e2) = Some \ e3 \text{ and }
    c: c = Cond b t3 e3
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ t3 \ e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s-in-b: s \in b
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle t3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps t3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-in-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    assume s-notin-b: s \notin b
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps e3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-notin-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (While b \ bdy1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ by \ fact
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_q bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = While \ b \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
    fix s t n w w1 w2
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w = While b bdy
    assume noFault: \neg isFault t
    from exec-w w noFault
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land 
           \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    proof (induct)
      prefer 10
      \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{WhileTrue}\ s\ b'\ bdy'\ n\ s'\ s'')
      have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
      from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
      have noFault-s'': \neg isFault s'' by fact
```

```
from While True
have exec\text{-}bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
{\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ bdy, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
show ?case
proof (cases s')
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-w have s''=Fault f
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
  with noFault-s" show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Normal s^{\prime\prime\prime})
  with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{bdy1}, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \mathit{Normal}\ s'''
          \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
    by auto
  moreover
  from Normal WhileTrue
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
    \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{While b bdy2}, \mathit{Normal s'''} \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using s-in-b Normal
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Abrupt s''')
  with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s'''
          \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'''
    by auto
  moreover
  from Abrupt WhileTrue
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Abrupt \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
    \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{While}\ \mathit{b}\ \mathit{bdy2}, \mathit{Abrupt}\ s^{\prime\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ s^{\prime\prime}
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using s-in-b Abrupt
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Stuck
  with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
          \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
    by auto
  moreover
  from Stuck WhileTrue
  obtain
```

```
\Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
         by simp
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using s-in-b Stuck
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     qed
   \mathbf{next}
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   qed (simp-all)
  with this [OF exec-c c noFault] c2
 show ?case
   by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-quards-Call)
next
  case (DynCom\ f1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_g c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 f where
    c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
   f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
   c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ the\ ((f1\ s)\cap_g\ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
   assume exec-f: \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_q f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   from f-defined obtain f where (f1 s \cap_q f2 s) = Some f
   with DynCom.hyps this exec-f c2 noFault
   show ?thesis
     using execn.DynCom by fastforce
  qed
next
  case Guard thus ?case
   by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn.intros
        simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
       simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
next
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ by \ fact
  have (Catch a1 a2 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
```

```
c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
     c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    fix s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    with d1 Catch.hyps
     obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ and \ \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
       by auto
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d2 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
       using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume \neg isAbr t
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d1 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
       using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
assumes exec\text{-}c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (cases\ s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c have t = Fault f
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
    with noFault show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case (Abrupt s')
```

```
with exec-c have t=Abrupt s'
    by (simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
  with Abrupt show ?thesis by auto
  case Stuck
  with exec-c have t=Stuck
    by (simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c noFault inter-guards-execn-Normal-noFault [OF c]
  show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
lemma inter-quards-exec-noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_q c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from c this noFault
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-noFault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault:
  \land c \ c2 \ s \ n. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
        \implies (\Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f)
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-quards-Skip)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
  case (Spec \ r) thus ?case by (fastforce \ simp \ add: inter-guards-Spec)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \ \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2=Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_q b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_q b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
    c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
```

```
with c obtain s' where
     exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ \mathbf{and}
    exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-d2 have f'=f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault d1 exec-d1
    \mathbf{have} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{a1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle = \mathit{n} \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f} \ \lor \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{b1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle = \mathit{n} \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f}
       by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ a1 \ a2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       thus ?thesis
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      hence \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ b1 \ b2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       with c2 show ?thesis
         by simp
    qed
  next
    case Abrupt with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  next
    case Stuck with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  next
    case (Normal s'')
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1] obtain
       exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' and
       exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
       by simp
    moreover from d2 exec-d2 Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b t1 e1)
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t e where
    c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
    t: (t1 \cap_g t2) = Some t \text{ and }
    e: (e1 \cap_q e2) = Some \ e \ \mathbf{and}
```

```
c: c = Cond b t e
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ t \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s \in b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle t, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with t have \Gamma \vdash \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2\ c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \notin b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with e have \Gamma \vdash \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b \ bdy1)
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = While \ b \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f by fact
    fix s t n w w1 w2
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w = While b bdy
    assume Fault: t=Fault f
    from exec-w w Fault
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor
           \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' bdy' n s' s'')
      have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
      from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
      have Fault-s'': s''=Fault\ f by fact
      {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
      have exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
      from While True
      have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ bdy, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
      \mathbf{show} ?case
      proof (cases s')
        case (Fault f')
        with exec-w Fault-s'' have f'=f
           by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
```

```
with Fault exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
          by auto
        with s-in-b show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      next
        case (Normal s''')
        with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
        obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ s''
               \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
          by auto
        moreover
        from Normal WhileTrue
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor 
              \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
          by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using s-in-b by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
        case (Abrupt s''')
        with exec-w Fault-s'' show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      next
        with exec-w Fault-s'' show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      \mathbf{qed}
    \mathbf{next}
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed (simp-all)
  with this [OF exec-c c] c2
  show ?case
   by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Call)
  case (DynCom f1)
 have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_q c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 where
    c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
    F-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_g (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
    c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ the\ ((f1\ s)\ \cap_g\ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
\mathbf{by} \ simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume exec-F: \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_g f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    from F-defined obtain F where (f1 \ s \cap_q f2 \ s) = Some \ F
```

```
by auto
    with DynCom.hyps this exec-F c2
    \mathbf{show}~? the sis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  ged
next
  case (Guard \ m \ g1 \ bdy1)
  have (Guard\ m\ g1\ bdy1\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
    c\mathcal{2}\colon c\mathcal{2}{=}Guard\ m\ g\mathcal{2}\ bdy\mathcal{2} and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_q bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = Guard \ m \ (g1 \cap g2) \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ m \ (g1 \cap g2) \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume f-m: Fault <math>f = Fault m
    assume s \notin g1 \cap g2
    hence s \notin g1 \lor s \notin g2
      by blast
    with c2 f-m show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \in g1 \cap g2
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
Fault f
      by (rule Guard.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_g\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
```

```
fix s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF d1 this] obtain
      exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' and
      exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      by simp
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d\mathcal{Z}, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with d2
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle a2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2 by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with d1 have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault
      by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    with c2 show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  case Stuck
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
next
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault [OF c]
  show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
lemma inter-guards-exec-Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
```

```
shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from c this
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-Fault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
         Restriction of Procedure Environment
2.9
lemma restrict-SomeD: (m|_A) x = Some y \Longrightarrow m \ x = Some y
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
lemma restrict-dom-same [simp]: m|_{dom\ m}=m
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: restrict-map-def)
  apply (simp only: not-None-eq [symmetric])
  apply rule
  apply (drule sym)
  apply blast
  done
lemma restrict-in-dom: x \in A \Longrightarrow (m|_A) \ x = m \ x
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}restrict	ext{-}to	ext{-}exec:
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using exec-restrict notStuck
by (induct) (auto intro: exec.intros dest: restrict-SomeD Stuck-end)
\mathbf{lemma} execn-restrict-to-execn:
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-restrict notStuck
by (induct) (auto intro: execn.intros dest: restrict-SomeD execn-Stuck-end)
lemma restrict-NoneD: m \ x = None \Longrightarrow (m|_A) \ x = None
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}restrict\text{:}
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
shows \exists t'. \ \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
              (\forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\}) \land (t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t)
using execn
proof (induct)
 case Skip show ?case by (blast intro: execn.Skip)
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Guard)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.GuardFault)
next
 case FaultProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.FaultProp)
next
 case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Basic)
\mathbf{next}
 case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Spec)
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.SpecStuck)
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (metis insertCI execn.Seq StuckProp)
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondTrue)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondFalse)
next
  case While True thus ?case by (metis insertCI execn. While True StuckProp)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
next
 case (Call p bdy n s s')
 have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases p \in P)
   case True
   with Call have (\Gamma|_P) p = Some \ bdy
     by (simp)
   with Call show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case False
   hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by simp
   thus ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn. CallUndefined)
 qed
next
 case (CallUndefined p n s)
 have \Gamma p = None by fact
 hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by (rule\ restrict-NoneD)
  thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
next
```

```
case StuckProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.StuckProp)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.DynCom)
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. Throw)
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.AbruptProp)
  case (CatchMatch c1 s n s' c2 s'')
  {\bf from}\ \ CatchMatch.hyps
  obtain t' t'' where
    exec-res-c1: \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s' and
    exec-res-c2: \Gamma|_P \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'' and
    s''-Stuck: s'' = Stuck \longrightarrow t'' = Stuck and
    s''\text{-}\mathit{Fault}\colon\forall f.\ s''=\mathit{Fault}\ f\ \longrightarrow\ t''\in\{\mathit{Fault}\ f,\ \mathit{Stuck}\}\ \mathbf{and}
    t''-notStuck: t'' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t'' = s''
    by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases t'=Stuck)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with exec-res-c1
    have \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
      by (auto intro: execn. CatchMiss)
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case False
    with t'-notStuck have t'= Abrupt s'
      by simp
    with exec-res-c1 exec-res-c2
    have \Gamma|_P \vdash \langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t''
      by (auto intro: execn.CatchMatch)
    with s''-Stuck s''-Fault t''-notStuck
    show ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
next
  case (CatchMiss\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  from CatchMiss.hyps obtain w' where
    exec-c1': \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Stuck: w = Stuck \longrightarrow w' = Stuck and
    w-Fault: \forall f. \ w = Fault \ f \longrightarrow w' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} \ and
    w'-noStuck: w' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow w' = w
    by auto
  have noAbr-w: \neg isAbr w by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w')
```

```
case (Normal s')
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      by simp
    with exec-c1' Normal w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    with noAbr-w Abrupt show ?thesis by simp
    case (Fault f)
    with w'-noStuck have w'=w
      by simp
    with exec-c1' Fault w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1' w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  qed
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-restrict:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
                 (\forall f.\ t = Fault\ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault\ f, Stuck\})\ \land\ (t' \neq Stuck\ \longrightarrow\ t' = t)
proof -
  from exec obtain n where
    execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from execn-to-execn-restrict [where P=P,OF this]
  obtain t' where
    \Gamma|_{P} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck \ \forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\} \ t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
lemma notStuck-GuardD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ m \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in g \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. Guard)
lemma notStuck-SeqD1:
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rbrace
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-SeqD2:
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-SeqD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \land (\forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\})
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq )
lemma notStuck-CondTrueD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondTrue)
lemma notStuck-CondFalseD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \notin b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondFalse)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}While True D1:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}While True D2:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \ s \in b \rrbracket
        \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
lemma notStuck-CallD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy \rrbracket
    \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. Call)
lemma not Stuck-Call Defined D:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \ p \neq None
   by (cases \Gamma p)
       (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CallUndefined)
lemma notStuck-DynComD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.DynCom)
```

```
lemma notStuck-CatchD1:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rbrace
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch exec.CatchMiss)
lemma notStuck-CatchD2:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch)
2.10
          Miscellaneous
lemma execn-noquards-no-Fault:
 assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes noguards-c: noguards c
 assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
 shows \neg isFault t
  using execn noquards-c s-no-Fault
  proof (induct)
    case (Call p bdy n s t) with noguards-\Gamma show ?case
      apply -
      apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
      apply auto
      done
  qed (auto)
lemma exec-noquards-no-Fault:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes noguards-c: noguards c
 assumes noquards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noquards (the (\Gamma p))
 assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
 shows \neg isFault t
  using exec noguards-c s-no-Fault
  proof (induct)
    case (Call p bdy s t) with noguards-\Gamma show ?case
      apply -
      apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
      apply auto
      done
  qed auto
{f lemma} execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt:
 assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
 assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
 shows \neg (isAbr\ t)
  using execn nothrows-c s-no-Abrupt
```

proof (induct)

```
case (Call p bdy n s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 qed (auto)
lemma exec-nothrows-no-Abrupt:
assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
{\bf assumes}\ nothrows\hbox{-}c\hbox{:}\ nothrows\ c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
shows \neg (isAbr\ t)
 using exec nothrows-c s-no-Abrupt
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 qed (auto)
end
```

3 Terminating Programs

theory Termination imports Semantic begin

3.1 Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$

```
inductive terminates::('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash - \downarrow - [60,20,60] \ 89) for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body where Skip: \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow (Normal \ s) | Basic: \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \downarrow (Normal \ s) | Spec: \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow (Normal \ s) | Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s)] \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s) | GuardFault: \ s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
```

```
| Fault [intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Fault f
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow \mathit{Normal} \ s; \ \forall \ s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s' \rrbracket
              \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]|
                       \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]|
                       \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s);
                          \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s \rrbracket
                         \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                          \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
\mid \mathit{Call} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \ \mathit{p} {=} \mathit{Some} \ \mathit{bdy} ; \Gamma {\vdash} \mathit{bdy} {\downarrow} (\mathit{Normal} \ s) \rrbracket
                  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
\mid CallUndefined: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
                                  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Stuck [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Stuck
\mid DynCom: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c \ s) \downarrow (Normal \ s) \rrbracket
                       \Gamma \vdash DynCom \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow (Normal\ s)
|Abrupt[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Abrupt s
| Catch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s;
                   \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s' \rrbracket
                  \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
inductive-cases terminates-elim-cases [cases set]:
  \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Basic f \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2\ \downarrow\ s
  \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash DynCom \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
inductive-cases terminates-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
  \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Basic \ f \ \downarrow \ Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
  \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal s
  \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
lemma terminates-Skip': \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Call-body:
 \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \downarrow s
  by (cases\ s)
      (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Normal-Call-body:
 p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \ \downarrow Normal \ s = \Gamma \vdash (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \downarrow Normal \ s
  by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}implies\text{-}exec:
  assumes terminates: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \exists t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using terminates
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (Spec \ r \ s) thus ?case
   by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec-Seq')
\mathbf{next}
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case While True thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Call p bdy s)
 then obtain s' where
   \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
 moreover have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 ultimately show ?case
   by (cases s') (iprover intro: exec.intros)+
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Stuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
 case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
 case Abrupt thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  then obtain s' where exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
 thus ?case
  proof (cases s')
   case (Normal s'')
   with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s'')
   with exec-c1 Catch.hups
   obtain t where \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s'' \rangle \Rightarrow t
     by auto
```

```
with exec-c1 Abrupt show ?thesis by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  next
    case Fault
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
qed
lemma terminates-block:
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \implies \Gamma \vdash block \ init \ bdy \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim!: exec-Normal-elim-cases
         dest!: not-isAbrD)
done
lemma terminates-block-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash block init bdy return c \downarrow Normal s
assumes e: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
          \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s)
t)
          \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
proof -
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ init, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (init\ s)
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  with termi
  have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal (init s)
    apply (unfold block-def)
    apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    by simp
  moreover
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
    have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
    proof -
      from exec-bdy
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ (Seq \ (Basic \ init) \ bdy)
                                  (Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s))\ Throw), Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
        by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
      with termi have \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ (\lambda t.\ Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s))\ (c\ s\ t)) \downarrow Normal\ t
        apply (unfold block-def)
        apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
```

```
apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        apply (auto intro: exec.intros)
        done
    qed
  ultimately show P by (iprover intro: e)
qed
lemma \ terminates-call:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \implies \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  apply (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-callUndefined:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ result \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  {\bf apply} \ \ (iprover \ intro: \ terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-call-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
assumes bdy: \land bdy. \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
     \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c\ s\ t \downarrow Normal\ (return\ s\ t)
assumes undef: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
apply (cases \Gamma p)
apply (erule undef)
using termi
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (erule terminates-block-elim)
apply (erule terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (frule (1) bdy)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
apply assumption
apply simp
done
```

lemma terminates-dynCall:

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold dynCall-def)
  apply (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-call)
  done
lemma terminates-dynCall-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
assumes \llbracket \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
using termi
apply (unfold dynCall-def)
apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{apply}\ fact
done
3.2
         Lemmas about sequence, flatten and Language.normalize
lemma terminates-sequence-app:
  \land s. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s;
         \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s' \rfloor
\implies \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have termi-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (x \# xs) \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have termi-ys: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash sequence
Seq ys \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with termi-x-xs termi-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    case Cons
    from termi-x-xs Cons
    have \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    moreover
      fix s'
      assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow s'
      proof -
         \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{exec-x}\ \mathit{termi-x-xs}\ \mathit{Cons}
        have termi-xs: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
           by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
show ?thesis
        proof (cases s')
          case (Normal s'')
          with exec-x termi-ys Cons
          have \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s'' \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow
s'
            by (auto intro: exec.intros)
          from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs [simplified Normal] this]
          have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal s''.
          with Normal show ?thesis by simp
        \mathbf{next}
          case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
          case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
      qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appD:
  \land s. \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s
   \implies \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s \land
       (\forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s')
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases
         intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 have termi-x-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq ((x \# xs) @ ys) \downarrow Normal s by fact
 show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with termi-x-xs-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases\ ys)
         (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases
           intro: terminates-Skip')
  next
    case Cons
    with termi-x-xs-ys
    obtain termi-x: \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s and
          termi-xs-ys: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys) \downarrow s'
```

```
by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs) \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
 show \Gamma \vdash x \downarrow Normal \ s by (rule termi-x)
next
 fix s'
 assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
 show \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
  proof -
   from termi-xs-ys [rule-format, OF exec-x]
   have termi-xs-ys': \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (xs@ys) \downarrow s'.
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s')
      case (Normal s'')
      from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs-ys' [simplified Normal]]
     show ?thesis
       using Normal by auto
      case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   next
      case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed
 qed
qed
moreover
{
 fix s'
 assume exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs), Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
  have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq ys \downarrow s'
 proof -
   from exec-x-xs obtain t where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t and
      exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, t \rangle \Rightarrow s'
      by cases
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases t)
      case (Normal t')
      with exec-x termi-xs-ys have \Gamma\vdash sequence Seq\ (xs@ys) \downarrow Normal\ t'
      from Cons.hyps [OF this] exec-xs Normal
      show ?thesis
       by auto
   \mathbf{next}
      case (Abrupt t')
      with exec-xs have s'=Abrupt\ t'
       by (auto dest: Abrupt-end)
```

```
thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case (Fault f)
          with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
            by (auto dest: Fault-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Stuck
          with exec-xs have s'=Stuck
            by (auto dest: Stuck-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
      \mathbf{qed}
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      \mathbf{by} auto
  qed
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s;
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ xs \downarrow \ Normal \ s;
    \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s' \parallel \Longrightarrow P \parallel
  by (auto dest: terminates-sequence-appD)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s
using termi
by (induct)
   (auto\ intro:\ terminates.intros\ terminates-sequence-app
     exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec)
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}normalize:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof induct
  case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                  terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
\mathbf{next}
  case WhileTrue
  thus ?case
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app}
```

```
terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
\mathbf{next}
  case Catch
  thus ?case
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app}
                terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates:
  shows \land s. \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (Seq c1 c2)) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-app: \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2) \downarrow s by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Normal s')
   have \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
   proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c1) \downarrow Normal s'
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
       by simp
   next
      fix s''
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten c2) \downarrow s''
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s''
       by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
   with Normal show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-normalize-to-terminates:
 shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates-Skip')
  case Basic thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
```

```
case Spec thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash normalize (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-app: Γ⊢ sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize
(c2)) \downarrow s
   by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Normal s')
   have \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
   proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1)) \downarrow Normal s'
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      from terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates [OF this] Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
       by simp
   next
      fix s''
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from exec-to-exec-normalize [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''.
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c2)) \downarrow s''
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      from terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates [OF this] Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s''
       \mathbf{by} \ simp
   qed
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  thus ?case
   by (cases\ s)
       (auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (While b \ c)
  have \Gamma \vdash normalize (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  hence termi-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \downarrow s \ by \ simp
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ t \ w
   assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow t
   have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow t
      using termi-w
   proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue t' b' c')
      from WhileTrue obtain
```

```
t'-b: t' \in b and
       termi-norm-c: \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ t' and
       termi-norm-w': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ t' \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
      from While.hyps [OF termi-norm-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ t'.
      moreover
      from termi-norm-w'
      have \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ t' \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While\ b\ c \downarrow s'
       by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize)
      ultimately show ?case
       using t'-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  from this [OF termi-norm-w]
 show ?case
   by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros rangeI elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case Guard thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Throw thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
   by (cases\ s)
       (auto\ dest:\ exec-to-exec-normalize\ elim!:\ terminates-Normal-elim-cases
        intro!: terminates.Catch)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-iff-terminates-normalize} :
\Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 by (auto intro: terminates-to-terminates-normalize
    terminates-normalize-to-terminates)
3.3
        Lemmas about strip-guards
lemma terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates: \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
 case Skip thus ?case by simp
next
  case Basic thus ?case by simp
```

```
case Spec thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash Seq (strip-guards F c1) (strip-guards F c2) \downarrow s by simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    \mathbf{fix}\ f\ \mathbf{assume}\ s{=}\mathit{Fault}\ f\ \mathbf{thus}\ ?\mathit{thesis}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s: s=Normal s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    hence \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s'
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
    moreover
    assume c2:
      \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s''
      fix s'' assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s^{\prime\prime}
      proof (cases s'')
        case (Normal s''')
        with exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
           by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
           by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
        case (Abrupt s^{\prime\prime\prime})
        with exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
           by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-quards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
           by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
      next
         case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
```

```
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash Cond\ b\ (strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1)\ (strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c2) \downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s' \in b \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ s = Normal \ s'
    thus ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s'
    assume s' \notin b \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ s = Normal \ s'
    thus ?thesis
       by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c)
  have hyp-c: \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \ by \ fact
  have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c) \downarrow s \ using \ While.prems \ by \ simp
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ sw
    assume \Gamma \vdash sw \downarrow s
    then have sw=While\ b\ (strip\mbox{-}guards\ F\ c) \Longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c')
      have eqs: While b' c' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
       with \langle s \in b' \rangle have b : s \in b by simp
      from egs \langle \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s \rangle have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
         by simp
       hence term-c: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
         by (rule hyp-c)
       moreover
       {
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
        assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow t
         proof (cases t)
           case Fault
           thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Stuck
```

```
thus ?thesis by simp
       next
         case (Abrupt \ t')
         thus ?thesis by simp
         case (Normal t')
         with exec-c
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}quards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
          by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
         with WhileTrue.hyps eqs Normal
         show ?thesis
           by fastforce
       \mathbf{qed}
     }
     ultimately
     show ?case
       using b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   \mathbf{qed}\ simp\text{-}all
  ultimately show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s
   by auto
next
 case Call thus ?case by simp
next
 case DynCom thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
rangeI)
\mathbf{next}
 case Guard
 thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
                split: if-split-asm)
next
 case Throw thus ?case by simp
 case (Catch c1 c2)
 hence \Gamma \vdash Catch \ (strip-guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip-guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 thus \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
 proof (cases)
   fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
   fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   fix s'
```

```
assume s: s=Normal s'
     assume \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s'
     hence \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
       by (rule Catch.hyps)
     moreover
     assume c2:
       \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
                 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s''
       \mathbf{fix}\ s^{\prime\prime}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}c1}\colon \Gamma\vdash \langle \mathit{c1}\,, \mathit{Normal}\ s^{\,\prime}\,\rangle \Rightarrow \mathit{Abrupt}\ s^{\prime\prime}
       have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal s''
       proof -
          from exec-c1
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
             by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
          with c2
          show ?thesis
            by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
     }
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using s
       by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-strip-to-terminates}\colon
  assumes termi-strip: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
\mathbf{using}\ termi\text{-}strip
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  moreover
   {
     fix s'
     assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
     have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
     proof (cases isFault s')
       {f case} True
       thus ?thesis
          by (auto elim: isFaultE)
     \mathbf{next}
       case False
       from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] Seq.hyps
       \mathbf{show}~? the sis
          by auto
     \mathbf{qed}
  }
```

```
ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case (WhileTrue \ s \ b \ c)
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    \mathbf{proof} (cases is Fault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis
        by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    next
      from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] While True.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec] Catch.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
       Lemmas about c_1 \cap_q c_2
lemma inter-guards-terminates:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s \ \rrbracket
        \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
```

```
case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
next
  case (Spec \ r) thus ?case by (fastforce \ simp \ add: inter-guards-Spec)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_g \ c2) = Some \ c \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2=Seq b1 b2 and
   d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
   c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Seq \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ by fact
 have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
  \mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{cases}\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   with d1 termi-c1
   have \Gamma \vdash d1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Seq.hyps)
   moreover
    {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
     have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow t
     proof (cases \ t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
     next
        case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case (Normal t')
       with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
         by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash a2 \downarrow Normal\ t'
         by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal t'
         by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
        with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
```

```
by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
 qed
  with c show ?case by simp
next
  case Cond thus ?case
   \mathbf{by} - (cases\ s,
         auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
             simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
next
  case (While b bdy1)
 have (While b bdy1 \cap_q c2) = Some c by fact
 then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_a bdy2) = Some bdy and
   c: c = While \ b \ bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
 have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy1 \ \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
 moreover
   fix s w w1 w2
   assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow s
   assume w: w = While \ b \ bdy1
   from termi-w w
   have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy \downarrow s
   proof (induct)
     case (WhileTrue s b' bdy1')
     have eqs: While b' bdy1' = While b bdy1 by fact
     from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
     from While True have termi-bdy1: \Gamma \vdash bdy1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ simp
     show ?case
     proof -
       from bdy termi-bdy1
       have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow (Normal\ s)
         by (rule While.hyps)
       moreover
       {
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
         assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
         have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ bdy \downarrow t
         proof (cases \ t)
           case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
         next
           case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
           case (Normal t')
           with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
            by simp
           with While True have \Gamma \vdash While b bdy \downarrow Normal t'
            by simp
           with Normal show ?thesis by simp
         qed
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using s-in-b
         by (blast intro: terminates. While True)
     qed
   next
     case WhileFalse thus ?case
       by (blast intro: terminates. WhileFalse)
   qed (simp-all)
 ultimately
 show ?case using c by simp
  case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-guards-Call)
next
  case (DynCom\ f1)
 have (DynCom\ f1 \cap_g c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 f where
   c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
   f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_q (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
   c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_g (f2 s)))
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
 have termi: \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ f1 \downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Normal s')
   from f-defined obtain f where f: ((f1 \ s') \cap_g (f2 \ s')) = Some f
     by auto
   {\bf from}\ Normal\ termi
   have \Gamma \vdash f1 \ s' \downarrow (Normal \ s')
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   from DynCom.hyps f this
   have \Gamma \vdash f \downarrow (Normal \ s')
     by blast
   with c f Normal
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
qed
next
  case (Guard\ f\ g1\ bdy1)
 have (Guard\ f\ g1\ bdy1\ \cap_q\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
   c2: c2 = Guard f g2 bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
   c: c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
 have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g1 \ bdy1 \downarrow s \ by \ fact
 show ?case
 \mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s' \in g1)
     case False
     with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
   next
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
     note s-in-g1 = this
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases s' \in g2)
      case False
      with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
     next
      case True
      with termi-c1 s-in-g1 Normal have \Gamma \vdash bdy1 \downarrow Normal s'
        by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
      with c bdy Guard.hyps Normal True s-in-g1
      show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.Guard)
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
 case Throw thus ?case
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
next
 case (Catch a1 a2)
 have (Catch a1 a2 \cap_g c2) = Some c by fact
 then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
   c2: c2=Catch b1 b2 and
   d1: (a1 \cap_g b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_g b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
   c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
```

```
by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash Catch \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   with d1 termi-c1
   have \Gamma \vdash d1 \downarrow Normal s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Catch.hyps)
   moreover
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal \ t
     proof -
       from inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
         by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash a2 \downarrow Normal\ t
         by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash d2 \downarrow Normal t
         by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
       with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
  with c show ?case by simp
qed
lemma inter-guards-terminates':
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_g c2) = Some c
  assumes termi-c2: \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
proof -
  from c have (c2 \cap_g c1) = Some c
   by (rule inter-guards-sym)
  from this termi-c2 show ?thesis
   by (rule inter-guards-terminates)
\mathbf{qed}
```

3.5 Lemmas about mark-guards

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards}\colon
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f c1 \downarrow Normal s by fact
  moreover
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t
   have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t-Fault: isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
       t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases isFault t')
       {\bf case}\  \, True
       with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
       thus ?thesis
         by (auto elim: isFaultE)
     next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 Seq.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
  }
  ultimately show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (WhileTrue \ s \ b \ c)
 have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
 moreover
 {
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t
   have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-quards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
       exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
       t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases isFault t')
       {f case} True
       with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
       thus ?thesis
         by (auto elim: isFaultE)
     next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 While True.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
 ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case Stuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f c1 \downarrow Normal s by fact
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
    proof -
      from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' \ \mathbf{and}
        t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t and
        t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ (Abrupt\ t) and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
        by fastforce
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with t'-Fault have isFault (Abrupt t) by simp
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        {f case} False
        with t'-noFault have t'=Abrupt t by simp
        with exec-c1 Catch.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}Normal:
  \land s. \ \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain
```

```
termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash mark-guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ and
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                             \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ iprover
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      {f case}\ {\it True}
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    next
      case False
      from exec-to-exec-mark-quards [OF exec-c1 False]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{While} \ b \ c)
    fix u c'
    assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u
    assume c': c' = mark-guards f (While b c)
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
      have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
         using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
      with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by auto
      moreover
      have hyp-w: \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        using WhileTrue by simp
      hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        apply -
        apply (rule allI)
        apply (case-tac \ w)
```

```
apply (auto dest: exec-to-exec-mark-guards)
       done
      ultimately show ?case
       using s-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed auto
  with While show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Guard f g c)
 thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain
    termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f c1 \downarrow Normal s and
   termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow
                          \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
   fix s'
   assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
   have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal s'
   proof -
      from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ by \ simp
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
     show ?thesis
       by iprover
   \mathbf{qed}
  }
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates}:$

```
\Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
```

3.6 Lemmas about merge-guards

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}merge\text{-}guards:
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
 case (Guard s \ g \ c \ f)
 have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
 have termi-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash merge-guards c \downarrow Normal \ s by fact
 proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   case False
   hence merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-quards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
   case True
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     \mathit{mc} \colon \mathit{merge-guards}\ c = \mathit{Guard}\ f'\ g'\ c'
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f=f')
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (simp add: Let-def)
     with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     case True
     with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
       by simp
     with s-in-g mc True termi-merge-c
     show ?thesis
       by (cases s \in q')
          (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   qed
 qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c)
 have s \notin g by fact
 thus ?case
   by (cases merge-guards c)
      (auto intro: terminates.intros split: if-split-asm simp add: Let-def)
qed (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: exec-merge-quards-to-exec)+
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates-Normal:
  shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
    termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c1: \Gamma\vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c1\downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge-guards \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                           \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}quards \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c)
  {
    fix u c'
    assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u
    assume c': c' = merge-guards (While b c)
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
      have \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
        using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
       by auto
     moreover
     have hyp-w: \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
       using WhileTrue by simp
     hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow w
       by (simp add: exec-iff-exec-merge-guards [symmetric])
     ultimately show ?case
       using s-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   \mathbf{qed} auto
  with While show ?case by simp
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have termi-merge: \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Guard f g c) \downarrow Normal s by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   case False
   hence m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 next
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     mc: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f = f')
     case False
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (simp add: Let-def)
     from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
       by simp
```

```
from termi-merge Guard.hyps
      \mathbf{show}~? the sis
         by (simp \ only: m \ mc)
            (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    ged
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash merge-guards (Catch c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
    termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c1: \Gamma\vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c1\downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle merge-guards\ c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s' \longrightarrow
                              \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}quards \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s by iprover
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by iprover
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
lemma terminates-merge-quards-to-terminates:
   \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{terminates-iff-terminates-merge-guards}:
  \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
  by (iprover intro: terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards
    terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates)
3.7
        Lemmas about c_1 \subseteq_q c_2
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}fewer\text{-}guards\text{-}Normal\text{:}
  shows \bigwedge c s. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s; \ c \subseteq_g \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \rrbracket
               \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
proof (induct c')
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-quardsD)
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
  case (Seq c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1'\ c2' \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s and
    termi-c2': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1'\ c2', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
    by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  have c \subseteq_q Seq c1' c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g c2'
    by blast
  from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule Seq.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow t
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        \textit{t'-noFault} \colon \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
        have False
          by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
      next
        {f case} False
        with t'-noFault have t': t'=t by simp
        with termi-c2' exec-c1'
        have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow t
          by auto
```

```
show ?thesis
     proof (cases t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by auto
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by auto
     next
       case Stuck thus ?thesis by auto
     next
       case (Normal\ u)
       with noFault exec-c1' t'
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV
          by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       from termi-c2' [simplified Normal] c2-c2' this
       have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ u
         by (rule Seq.hyps)
       with Normal exec-c1
       show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   qed
 qed
ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
case (Cond b c1' c2')
have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV by fact
have termi: \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
have c \subseteq_g Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' by fact
from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
  c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
 c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_g c1' and
 c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_g c2'
 by blast
thus ?case
proof (cases \ s \in b)
 {f case}\ {\it True}
 with termi have termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 from True noFault have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
 from termi-c1' c1-c1' this
 have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (rule Cond.hyps)
 with True c show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case False
 with termi have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 from False noFault have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
```

```
by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (rule Cond.hyps)
    with False c show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ UNIV \ by fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have c \subseteq_g While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While b c'' and
    c^{\prime\prime}-c^{\prime}: c^{\prime\prime}\subseteq_g c^{\prime}
    \mathbf{by} blast
    \mathbf{fix} \ d \ u
    assume termi: \Gamma \vdash d \downarrow u
    assume d: d = While b c'
    assume noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c', u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
    have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow u
    using termi d noFault
    proof (induct)
       case (WhileTrue u b' c''')
       have u-in-b: u \in b using WhileTrue by simp
       have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ u \ using \ While True \ by <math>simp
      have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV\ using\ WhileTrue
by simp
       hence noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `UNIV\ using\ u-in-b
         by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       from While.hyps [OF termi-c' c''-c' this]
       have \Gamma \vdash c'' \downarrow Normal \ u.
       moreover
       {\bf from}\ \textit{WhileTrue}
       have hyp-w: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ u \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', s' \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault
UNIV
                            \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c^{\prime\prime} \downarrow s^{\prime}
         \mathbf{by} \ simp
         \mathbf{fix} \ v
         assume exec-c": \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v
         have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v
         proof -
            from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF\ c''-c'\ exec-c''] obtain v' where
              exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v' and
              v-Fault: isFault \ v \longrightarrow isFault \ v' and
              v'-noFault: \neg isFault v' \longrightarrow v' = v
```

```
by auto
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases isFault v')
           case True
           with exec-c' noFault u-in-b
           have False
             by (fastforce
                 simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros elim: isFaultE)
           thus ?thesis ..
         next
           case False
           with v'-noFault have v': v'=v
             by simp
           with noFault exec-c' u-in-b
           have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c', v \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ UNIV
             by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
           from hyp-w [rule-format, OF exec-c' [simplified v'] this]
           show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v.
         qed
       qed
     }
     ultimately
     show ?case using u-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed auto
 with c noFault termi show ?case
   by auto
next
 case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
 case (DynCom\ C')
 have termi: \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ C' \downarrow Normal\ s by fact
 hence termi-C': \Gamma \vdash C' s \downarrow Normal s
   by cases
 have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ C', Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
 hence noFault-C': \Gamma \vdash \langle C' s, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
 have c \subseteq_g DynCom\ C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
   c: c = DynCom \ C and
   C-C': \forall s. C s \subseteq_g C' s
   \mathbf{by} blast
  from DynCom.hyps termi-C' C-C' [rule-format] noFault-C'
  have \Gamma \vdash C \ s \downarrow Normal \ s
   by fast
  with c show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard f' g' c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f' \ g' \ c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ UNIV  by fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Guard f' g' c' \downarrow Normal s by fact
  have c \subseteq_g Guard f' g' c' by fact
  hence c-cases: (c \subseteq_q c') \vee (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \wedge (c'' \subseteq_q c'))
    by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases s \in g')
    case True
    note s-in-g' = this
    with noFault have noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV
      by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   from termi\ s-in-g' have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal\ s
      by cases auto
    from c-cases show ?thesis
    proof
      assume c \subseteq_q c'
      from termi-c' this noFault-c'
      show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_g c')
      then obtain c'' where
        c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and c''-c': c'' \subseteq_q c'
        by blast
      from termi-c' c''-c' noFault-c'
      have \Gamma \vdash c'' \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
      with s-in-g' c
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  next
    case False
    with noFault have False
      by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
  case (Catch c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash Catch\ c1'\ c2' \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash c1' \downarrow Normal \ s and
    termi\text{-}c2'\text{: }\forall \, s'. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \, \, s \, \, \rangle \, \Rightarrow \, Abrupt \, \, s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow \, Normal \, \, s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
have noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'UNIV \ by \ fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  have c \subseteq_q Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_q c1' and
    c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_g c2'
    by blast
  from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
  have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule Catch.hyps)
  moreover
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
    have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
        exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
        have False
           by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-noFault have t': t'=Abrupt t by simp
        with termi-c2' exec-c1'
        have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash c2' \downarrow Normal \ t
          by auto
        with noFault exec-c1' t'
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2', Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' UNIV
           by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
        from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
        show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
           by (rule Catch.hyps)
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{terminates-fewer-guards}\colon
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s; \ c \subseteq_q \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV" \rrbracket
```

```
\Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-fewer-guards-Normal)
lemma terminates-noFault-strip-guards:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard \ s \ q \ c \ f)
  have s-in-q: s \in q by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Guard.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-guards } F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ \text{by } simp
  with s-in-g show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F\ by\ fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Seq.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ simp
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-guards-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using Seq.hyps by simp
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case CondTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with While True.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip-guards F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ simp
  moreover
  {
   fix s'
   assume exec-strip-guards-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s'
   proof (cases isFault s')
     case True
     thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     {f case} False
     with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c] noFault-c
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
     moreover
     from this s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using WhileTrue.hyps by simp
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
```

```
case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Catch.hyps have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ simp
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-guards-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      {f from}\ exec\mbox{-}strip\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}to\mbox{-}exec\ [OF\ exec\mbox{-}strip\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}c1]\ noFault\mbox{-}c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by simp
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
         Lemmas about strip-quards
3.8
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates-noFault-strip:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F \rrbracket \implies strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ f)
  have s-in-q: s \in q by fact
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Guard.hyps)
  with s-in-q show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
 have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F by fact
 hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Seq.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Seq.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
    \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
```

```
with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: WhileTrue.hyps)
  moreover
   fix s'
   assume exec-strip-c: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   have strip F \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
   proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     case False
      with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c] noFault-c
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using While True. hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Call p bdy s)
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  with bdy have bdy-noFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
   by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  then have strip-bdy-noFault: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest!: exec-strip-to-exec elim!: isFaultE)
  from bdy-noFault have strip F \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal s by (simp add: Call.hyps)
  from terminates-noFault-strip-quards [OF this strip-bdy-noFault]
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-}guards \ F \ bdy \downarrow Normal \ s.
  with bdy show ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
```

```
case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault\text{-}c1 \colon \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ `F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: \ Catch.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
qed
         Miscellaneous
3.9
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}while\text{-}lemma:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash w \downarrow fk
  shows \bigwedge k \ b \ c. [fk = Normal (f k); w=While b c;
                        \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ (f \ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ (f \ (Suc \ i)) 
         \implies \exists i. f i \notin b
using termi
proof (induct)
  case WhileTrue thus ?case by blast
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by blast
qed simp-all
lemma terminates-while:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ (f \ k);
    \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ (f \ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ (f \ (Suc \ i))
         \implies \exists i. f i \notin b
  by (blast intro: terminates-while-lemma)
```

```
lemma wf-terminates-while:
 wf \{(t,s). \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land \}
             \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
apply(subst wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
apply(rule\ not I)
apply clarsimp
apply(insert terminates-while)
apply blast
done
lemma terminates-restrict-to-terminates:
  assumes terminates-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash c \downarrow s
  assumes not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
\mathbf{using}\ terminates\text{-}res\ not\text{-}Stuck
proof (induct)
  case Skip show ?case by (rule terminates.Skip)
next
  case Basic show ?case by (rule terminates.Basic)
  case Spec show ?case by (rule terminates.Spec)
next
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.Guard dest: notStuck-GuardD)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
next
  case Fault show ?case by (rule terminates.Fault)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} by fact
  hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (rule notStuck-SeqD1)
  show \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (rule terminates.Seq,safe)
    from c1-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
  next
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t'=Stuck)
```

```
with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
        with not-Stuck exec-res
        have \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
          by (auto dest: notStuck-SeqD2)
        with exec-res t' Seq.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondTrue dest: notStuck-CondTrueD)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondFalse dest: notStuck-CondFalseD)
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
  have s: s \in b by fact
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\ by fact
  with WhileTrue have c-notStuck: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (iprover intro: notStuck-WhileTrueD1)
  show ?case
  {\bf proof} \ ({\it rule \ terminates. While True \ [OF \ s], safe})
    from c-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule WhileTrue.hyps)
  \mathbf{next}
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
   proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
       by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t'=Stuck)
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        with c-notStuck exec-res have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
```

```
case False
        with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
        \mathbf{with}\ not\text{-}Stuck\ exec\text{-}res\ s
        have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
          by (auto dest: notStuck-WhileTrueD2)
        with exec-res t' While True.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      \mathbf{qed}
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case WhileFalse then show ?case by (iprover intro: terminates.WhileFalse)
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates. Call dest: notStuck-CallD restrict-SomeD)
  case CallUndefined
  thus ?case
    by (auto dest: notStuck-CallDefinedD)
  case Stuck show ?case by (rule terminates.Stuck)
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.DynCom dest: notStuck-DynComD)
  case Throw show ?case by (rule terminates. Throw)
next
  case Abrupt show ?case by (rule terminates.Abrupt)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
 have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\ by fact
 hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (rule notStuck-CatchD1)
  show \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (rule terminates. Catch, safe)
    from c1-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal s
      by (rule Catch.hyps)
  next
   assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s'
        by blast
```

```
show ?thesis
     proof (cases t'=Stuck)
       {\bf case}\  \, True
       with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       thus ?thesis ..
     next
       case False
       with t'-notStuck have t': t'=Abrupt s' by simp
       \mathbf{with}\ not\text{-}Stuck\ exec\text{-}res
       have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
         by (auto dest: notStuck-CatchD2)
       with exec-res t' Catch.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
  qed
qed
end
```

4 Small-Step Semantics and Infinite Computations

theory SmallStep imports Termination begin

The redex of a statement is the substatement, which is actually altered by the next step in the small-step semantics.

```
primrec redex:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com
where
redex Skip = Skip
redex (Basic f) = (Basic f) \mid
redex (Spec \ r) = (Spec \ r) \mid
redex (Seq c_1 c_2) = redex c_1 \mid
redex (Cond b c_1 c_2) = (Cond b c_1 c_2) \mid
redex (While b c) = (While b c)
redex (Call p) = (Call p)
redex (DynCom d) = (DynCom d)
redex (Guard f b c) = (Guard f b c) \mid
redex (Throw) = Throw
redex (Catch c_1 c_2) = redex c_1
       Small-Step Computation: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
4.1
type-synonym (s, p, f) config = (s, p, f)com \times (s, f) xstate
inductive step::[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p,'f)\ config,('s,'p,'f)\ config] \Rightarrow bool
                            (-\vdash (-\to/-)[81,81,81]100)
 for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
```

where

```
Basic: \Gamma \vdash (Basic\ f, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Normal\ (f\ s))
 Spec: (s,t) \in r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Spec\ r, Normal\ s) \to (Skip, Normal\ t)
\mid \mathit{SpecStuck} \colon \forall \ t. \ (s,t) \notin r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Spec} \ r, \mathit{Normal} \ s) \rightarrow (\mathit{Skip}, \mathit{Stuck})
| Guard: s \in g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (c, Normal \ s)
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Guard f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
\mid Seq: \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1',s')
          \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
  SegSkip: \Gamma \vdash (Seg Skip \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s)
| SeqThrow: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Throw,\ Normal\ s)
  CondTrue: s \in b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_1, Normal \ s)
| CondFalse: s \notin b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_2, Normal \ s)
| While True: [s \in b]
                  \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                   \Gamma \vdash (While\ b\ c, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Normal\ s)
\mid Call: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (bdy, Normal\ s)
| CallUndefined: \Gamma p=None \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Stuck)
| DynCom: \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c\ s, Normal\ s)
\mid \mathit{Catch} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \to (c_1', s') \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
  CatchThrow: \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Throw\ c_2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal\ s)
 CatchSkip: \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,s) \to (Skip,s)
  FaultProp: [c \neq Skip; redex \ c = c] \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault \ f) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
  StuckProp: [c \neq Skip; redex \ c = c] \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c,Stuck) \to (Skip,Stuck)
 AbruptProp: [c \neq Skip; redex \ c = c] \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ f) \to (Skip, Abrupt \ f)
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemmas} \ step\text{-}induct = step.induct \ [of - (c,s) \ (c',s'), \ split\text{-}format \ (complete), \ case\text{-}names \\ Basic \ Spec \ Spec Stuck \ Guard \ GuardFault \ Seq \ SeqSkip \ SeqThrow \ CondTrue \ CondFalse \\ While True \ While False \ Call \ Call Undefined \ DynCom \ Catch \ Catch Throw \ Catch Skip \\ Fault Prop \ Stuck Prop \ Abrupt Prop, \ induct \ set] \end{array}$

```
inductive-cases step-elim-cases [cases set]:
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \vdash (Skip,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Basic \ f,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Spec \ r,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c1 \ c2,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (DynCom \ c,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Throw,s) \to u \\ \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \to u \end{array}
```

inductive-cases step-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:

```
\Gamma \vdash (Skip,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Guard\ f\ g\ c,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Spec\ r,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Spec\ r,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (While\ b\ c,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Throw,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash (Catch\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
```

The final configuration is either of the form (Skip, -) for normal termination, or $(Throw, Normal\ s)$ in case the program was started in a $Normal\ s$ tate and terminated abruptly. The Abrupt state is not used to model abrupt termination, in contrast to the big-step semantics. Only if the program starts in an Abrupt states it ends in the same Abrupt state.

```
definition final:: ('s,'p,'f) config \Rightarrow bool where final cfg = (fst \ cfg = Skip \lor (fst \ cfg = Throw \land (\exists \ s. \ snd \ cfg = Normal \ s)))
```

abbreviation

```
step-rtrancl:: [('s,'p,'f)\ body, ('s,'p,'f)\ config, ('s,'p,'f)\ config] \Rightarrow bool \\ (-\vdash (-\to^*/-)\ [81,81,81]\ 100) where
```

$$\Gamma \vdash cf\theta \rightarrow^* cf1 \equiv (CONST \ step \ \Gamma)^{**} \ cf\theta \ cf1$$
 abbreviation

$$step-trancl :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body, ('s,'p,'f) \ config, ('s,'p,'f) \ config] \Rightarrow bool \ (-\vdash (-\to^+/-) \ [81,81,81] \ 100)$$

```
where \Gamma \vdash cf0 \rightarrow^+ cf1 \equiv (CONST \ step \ \Gamma)^{++} \ cf0 \ cf1
```

4.2 Structural Properties of Small Step Computations

```
lemma redex-not-Seq: redex\ c = Seq\ c1\ c2 \Longrightarrow P
  apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma no-step-final:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s')
 shows final (c,s) \Longrightarrow P
using step
by induct (auto simp add: final-def)
lemma no-step-final':
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash cfg \rightarrow cfg'
 shows final cfg \Longrightarrow P
using step
 by (cases cfg, cases cfg') (auto intro: no-step-final)
lemma step-Abrupt:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Fault:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge f. s=Fault\ f \implies s'=Fault\ f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge f. s = Stuck \implies s' = Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma SeqSteps:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
 shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
          \implies \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
```

```
next
  case (Trans cfg<sub>1</sub> cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' by fact
  have steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg'' \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
  have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact +
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
    by (cases cfg'') auto
  from step \ cfg_1 \ cfg^{\prime\prime}
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1'',s'')
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2,s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2,s'')
    by (rule\ step.Seq)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF cfg" cfg2]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma CatchSteps:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
  shows \land c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); \ cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
           \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Trans cfg<sub>1</sub> cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' by fact
  have steps: \Gamma \vdash cfg'' \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
  have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact +
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ \mathit{cfg}^{\,\prime\prime})\ \mathit{auto}
  from step cfg<sub>1</sub> cfg''
  have s: \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1'',s'')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1'' \ c_2, s'')
    by (rule step. Catch)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF \ cfg'' \ cfg_2]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1'' \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma steps-Fault: \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
```

```
from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Fault\ f) \to (c_2,\ Fault\ f) by (rule SeqSkip)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f).
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow (Skip, \ Fault \ f) by (rule \ Catch Skip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
lemma steps-Stuck: \Gamma \vdash (c, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, Stuck).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seg\ Skip\ c_2,\ Stuck) \to (c_2,\ Stuck) by (rule\ SegSkip)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow (Skip, \ Stuck) by (rule \ Catch Skip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
lemma steps-Abrupt: \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s)
proof (induct \ c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ SeqSkip)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
 have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \rightarrow (Skip,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ CatchSkip)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ step	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge f. s = Fault f \implies s' = Fault f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Abrupt-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
  shows s=Stuck \implies s'=Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma steps-Fault-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 shows s=Fault f \implies s'=Fault f
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
  case (Trans c s c'' s'')
 thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Fault-prop)
qed
lemma steps-Abrupt-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 shows s=Abrupt\ t \implies s'=Abrupt\ t
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans c s c" s")
  thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-prop)
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma steps-Stuck-prop:
   assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
   shows s=Stuck \implies s'=Stuck
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
   case Refl thus ?case by simp
next
   case (Trans\ c\ s\ c''\ s'')
   thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Stuck-prop)
qed
```

4.3 Equivalence between Small-Step and Big-Step Semantics

```
theorem exec-impl-steps:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists c' t'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',t') \land
               (case t of
                Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s = t \text{ then } c' = Skip \land t' = t \text{ else } c' = Throw \land t' = Normal
\boldsymbol{x}
                | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \land t' = t)
using exec
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (blast intro: step.Guard rtranclp-trans)
next
 case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.GuardFault rtranclp-trans)
next
  case FaultProp show ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Fault)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.Basic rtranclp-trans)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.Spec rtranclp-trans)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.SpecStuck rtranclp-trans)
next
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
  have exec-c_1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' by fact
  have exec-c_2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists x. s' = Abrupt x)
    case False
    from False Seq.hyps (2)
    have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, \ s')
      by (cases s') auto
```

```
hence seq-c<sub>1</sub>: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c_1\ c_2,\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ s')
     by (rule SeqSteps) auto
    from Seq.hyps (4) obtain c't' where
      steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \rightarrow^* (c', t') and
      t: (case t of
           Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                       else\ c' = Throw \land t' = Normal\ x
           | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
     by auto
   note seq-c_1
    also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq Skip \ c_2, \ s') \rightarrow (c_2, \ s') by (rule \ step.SeqSkip)
    also note steps-c_2
    finally have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (c', t').
    with t False show ?thesis
     by (cases t) auto
  next
    case True
   then obtain x where s': s' = Abrupt x
     by blast
    from s' Seq.hyps (2)
    have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ x)
     by auto
    hence seq - c_1 : \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Throw \ c_2, \ Normal \ x)
     by (rule SeqSteps) auto
    also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2,\ Normal\ x) \to (Throw,\ Normal\ x)
      by (rule SeqThrow)
    finally have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c_1\ c_2,\ Normal\ s) \to^* (Throw,\ Normal\ x).
    moreover
    from exec-c_2 s' have t=Abrupt x
     by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (blast intro: step.CondTrue rtranclp-trans)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: step.CondFalse rtranclp-trans)
next
  case (While True s b c s' t)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' by fact
  have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t by fact
  have b: s \in b by fact
  hence step: \Gamma \vdash (While b c,Normal s) \rightarrow (Seq c (While b c),Normal s)
    by (rule step. While True)
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (cases \exists x. s' = Abrupt x)
    case False
    from False WhileTrue.hyps (3)
    have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, \ s')
```

```
by (cases s') auto
   hence seq-c: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to^* (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ s')
     by (rule SeqSteps) auto
   from While True.hyps (5) obtain c't' where
     steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, \ s') \rightarrow^* (c', \ t') \ and
     t: (case t of
          Abrupt x \Rightarrow if s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                     else\ c' = Throw \land t' = Normal\ x
          | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
     by auto
   note step also note seq-c
   also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ s') \to (While\ b\ c,\ s')
     by (rule step.SeqSkip)
   also note steps-c_2
   finally have \Gamma \vdash (While b c, Normal s) \rightarrow^* (c', t').
   with t False show ?thesis
     by (cases t) auto
 next
   case True
   then obtain x where s': s' = Abrupt x
     by blast
   note step
   also
   from s' While True. hyps (3)
   have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ x)
     by auto
   hence
     seg-c: \Gamma \vdash (Seg\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Seg\ Throw\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s)
x)
     by (rule SeqSteps) auto
   also have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ x) \to (Throw,\ Normal\ x)
     by (rule SegThrow)
   finally have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ x).
   moreover
   from exec-w s' have t=Abrupt x
     by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.WhileFalse rtrancl-trans)
next
 case Call thus ?case by (blast intro: step.Call rtranclp-trans)
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step. CallUndefined rtranclp-trans)
 case StuckProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Stuck)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: step.DynCom rtranclp-trans)
```

```
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: steps-Abrupt)
  case (CatchMatch \ c_1 \ s \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
  from CatchMatch.hyps (2)
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, \ Normal \ s')
    by (rule CatchSteps) auto
  also have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, \ Normal \ s') \rightarrow (c_2, \ Normal \ s')
    by (rule step.CatchThrow)
  also
  from CatchMatch.hyps (4) obtain c't' where
      steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Normal \ s') \rightarrow^* (c', t') and
      t: (case t of
           Abrupt x \Rightarrow if Normal s' = t then c' = Skip \land t' = t
                       else\ c' = Throw \land t' = Normal\ x
           | - \Rightarrow c' = Skip \wedge t' = t)
     by auto
  note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case
    using t
    by (auto split: xstate.splits)
\mathbf{next}
  case (CatchMiss\ c_1\ s\ t\ c_2)
  have t: \neg isAbr \ t by fact
  with CatchMiss.hyps (2)
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, \ t)
    by (cases t) auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ t)
    by (rule CatchSteps) auto
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ t) \to (Skip,\ t)
    by (rule step. CatchSkip)
 finally show ?case
    using t
    by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
qed
corollary exec-impl-steps-Normal:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
 shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
corollary exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
```

```
shows \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal\ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal\ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
corollary exec-impl-steps-Abrupt-Abrupt:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Abrupt \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ t) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ t)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
corollary exec-impl-steps-Fault:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
{\bf corollary}\ exec\text{-}impl\text{-}steps\text{-}Stuck\text{:}
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck)
using exec-impl-steps [OF exec]
by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}Abrupt\text{-}end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Abrupt x \implies s = Abrupt x
using step
by induct auto
lemma step-Stuck-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Stuck \Longrightarrow
           s=Stuck \lor
           (\exists r \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land s = Normal \ x \land (\forall t. \ (x,t) \notin r)) \lor
           (\exists p \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Call \ p \land s = Normal \ x \land \Gamma \ p = None)
using step
by induct auto
lemma step-Fault-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s'=Fault f \Longrightarrow
           s=Fault f \lor
           (\exists g \ c \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Guard \ f \ g \ c \land s = Normal \ x \land x \notin g)
using step
by induct auto
lemma exec-redex-Stuck:
\Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
proof (induct c)
```

```
case Seq
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
  case Catch
  thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
qed simp-all
lemma exec-redex-Fault:
\Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
proof (induct c)
 case Seq
 thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto intro: exec.intros elim:exec-elim-cases)
qed simp-all
lemma step-extend:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c', s')
  shows \bigwedge t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using step
proof (induct)
  case Basic thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case SpecStuck thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Seq c_1 s c_1' s' c_2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') by fact
  have exec': \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s)
    case (Normal x)
    note s-Normal = this
    show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases s')
  case (Normal x')
  from exec' [simplified Normal] obtain s" where
    exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' and
    exec-c_2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, s'' \rangle \Rightarrow t
   by cases
  from Seq.hyps (2) Normal\ exec-c_1'\ s-Normal
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow s''
   by simp
  from exec.Seq [OF this exec-c_2] s-Normal
 show ?thesis by simp
 case (Abrupt x')
 with exec' have t=Abrupt x'
   by (auto intro:Abrupt-end)
 moreover
  from step Abrupt
 have s=Abrupt x'
   by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-end)
  ultimately
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Fault f)
  from step-Fault-end [OF step this] s-Normal
  obtain g c where
    redex-c_1: redex c_1 = Guard f g c and
   fail: x \notin g
   by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  from exec-redex-Fault [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f.
  moreover from Fault exec' have t=Fault f
   by (auto intro: Fault-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
   using s-Normal
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Stuck
  from step-Stuck-end [OF step this] s-Normal
  have (\exists r. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land (\forall t. \ (x, t) \notin r)) \lor
       (\exists p. \ redex \ c_1 = Call \ p \land \Gamma \ p = None)
   by auto
  moreover
   \mathbf{fix} \ r
   assume redex c_1 = Spec \ r \ \text{and} \ (\forall \ t. \ (x, \ t) \notin r)
```

```
hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   }
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} p
     assume redex c_1 = Call \ p and \Gamma \ p = None
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
next
 case (Abrupt \ x)
 from step-Abrupt [OF step this]
 have s'=Abrupt x.
 with exec'
 have t=Abrupt x
   by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Fault f)
 from step-Fault [OF step this]
 have s'=Fault f.
 with exec'
 have t=Fault f
   by (auto intro: Fault-end)
 with Fault
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
```

```
next
   case Stuck
   \mathbf{from}\ step\text{-}Stuck\ [\mathit{OF}\ step\ this]
   have s'=Stuck.
   with exec'
   have t=Stuck
     by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
   with Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 qed
 case (SeqSkip \ c_2 \ s \ t) thus ?case
   by (cases s) (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)+
  case (SeqThrow c_2 \ s \ t) thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)+
\mathbf{next}
  case CondTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case WhileTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
 case WhileFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
 case (Catch \ c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s' \ c_2 \ t)
 have step: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s') by fact
 have exec': \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case (Normal\ x)
   \mathbf{note}\ s\text{-}Normal=\ this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s')
     case (Normal x')
```

```
from exec' [simplified Normal]
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    fix s''
    assume exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
    assume exec-c_2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from Catch.hyps (2) Normal\ exec-c_1'\ s-Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
      by simp
    from exec.CatchMatch [OF this exec-c_2] s-Normal
    show ?thesis by simp
    assume exec-c_1': \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1', Normal \ x' \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume t: \neg isAbr t
    from Catch.hyps (2) Normal exec-c<sub>1</sub>' s-Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by simp
    \mathbf{from}\ exec.\ Catch Miss\ [\mathit{OF}\ this\ t]\ s\text{-}Normal
   show ?thesis by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Abrupt x')
  with exec' have t=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro:Abrupt-end)
  moreover
  \mathbf{from}\ step\ Abrupt
  have s=Abrupt x'
    by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-end)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  case (Fault f)
  from step-Fault-end [OF step this] s-Normal
  obtain g c where
    redex-c_1: redex c_1 = Guard f g c and
    fail: x \notin g
    by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  from exec-redex-Fault [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f.
  moreover from Fault exec' have t=Fault f
   by (auto intro: Fault-end)
  ultimately
 show ?thesis
    using s-Normal
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
```

```
case Stuck
   from step-Stuck-end [OF step this] s-Normal
   have (\exists r. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \land (\forall t. \ (x, t) \notin r)) \lor
         (\exists p. \ redex \ c_1 = Call \ p \land \Gamma \ p = None)
     by auto
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \ r
     assume redex c_1 = Spec \ r \ \text{and} \ (\forall \ t. \ (x, \ t) \notin r)
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   }
   moreover
   {
     \mathbf{fix} p
     assume redex c_1 = Call \ p and \Gamma \ p = None
     hence \Gamma \vdash \langle redex \ c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
     from exec-redex-Stuck [OF this]
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck.
     moreover from Stuck \ exec' have t=Stuck
       by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
     ultimately
     have ?thesis
       using s-Normal
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
next
 \mathbf{case}\ (Abrupt\ x)
 from step-Abrupt [OF step this]
 have s'=Abrupt x.
 with exec'
 have t = Abrupt x
   by (auto intro: Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt
 show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
```

```
case (Fault f)
   from step-Fault [OF step this]
   have s'=Fault f.
   with exec'
   have t=Fault f
     by (auto intro: Fault-end)
   with Fault
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 next
   case Stuck
   from step-Stuck [OF step this]
   have s'=Stuck.
   with exec'
   have t=Stuck
     by (auto intro: Stuck-end)
   with Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
 qed
next
  case CatchThrow thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
next
 case CatchSkip thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
 case FaultProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case StuckProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
next
 case AbruptProp thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: exec.intros elim: exec-elim-cases)
qed
theorem steps-Skip-impl-exec:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,t)
 shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case
   by (cases t) (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
 case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
 have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow t by fact +
 thus ?case
   by (rule step-extend)
```

```
qed
```

```
{\bf theorem}\ steps\mbox{-} Throw\mbox{-}impl\mbox{-}exec \colon
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal\ t)
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
  have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and \Gamma \vdash \langle c', s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t by fact +
  thus ?case
    by (rule step-extend)
qed
          Infinite Computations: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to \dots (\infty)
4.4
definition inf:: ('s, 'p, 'f) \ body \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) \ config \Rightarrow bool
 (-\vdash -\to ... '(\infty') [60,80] 100) where
\Gamma \vdash cfg \rightarrow \dots (\infty) \equiv (\exists f. \ f \ (0::nat) = cfg \land (\forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)))
lemma not-infI: \llbracket \bigwedge f. \llbracket f \ 0 = cfg; \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \to f \ (Suc \ i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow False \rrbracket
                    \Longrightarrow \neg \Gamma \vdash cfg \to \dots (\infty)
  by (auto simp add: inf-def)
```

4.5 Equivalence between Termination and the Absence of Infinite Computations

```
lemma step-preserves-termination:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s')
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using step
proof (induct)
 case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
 case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case Guard thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s' \ c_2) thus ?case
   apply (cases\ s)
   apply
               (cases s')
   apply
                   (fastforce intro: terminates.intros step-extend
```

```
elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: step-Abrupt-prop
     step	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}prop\ step	ext{-}Stuck	ext{-}prop) +
   done
next
 case (SeqSkip \ c_2 \ s)
 thus ?case
   apply (cases\ s)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )+
   done
\mathbf{next}
 case (SeqThrow c_2 s)
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
 case CondTrue
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
\mathbf{next}
  case CondFalse
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
 case WhileTrue
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
 {f case}\ {\it WhileFalse}
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
 case Call
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
 case CallUndefined
 thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
          elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
 case DynCom
 thus ?case
```

```
by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros
           elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases )
next
  case (Catch c_1 s c_1' s' c_2) thus ?case
   apply (cases \ s)
   apply
               (cases s')
                   (fastforce intro: terminates.intros step-extend
   apply
                  elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: step-Abrupt-prop
     step	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}prop\ step	ext{-}Stuck	ext{-}prop) +
   done
next
 case CatchThrow
 thus ?case
  by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros
           elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
 case (CatchSkip \ c_2 \ s)
 thus ?case
   by (cases s) (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)+
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
 case AbruptProp thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
qed
lemma steps-preserves-termination:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s')
 shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using steps
proof (induct rule: rtranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Reft Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case .
next
 case Trans
 thus ?case
   by (blast dest: step-preserves-termination)
qed
\mathbf{ML}\ \langle\!\langle
 ML-Thms.bind-thm (tranclp-induct2, Split-Rule.split-rule @{context})
   (Rule-Insts.read-instantiate @\{context\})
     [(((a,\ \theta),\ Position.none),\ (aa,ab)),\ (((b,\ \theta),\ Position.none),\ (ba,bb))]\ []
     @\{thm\ tranclp-induct\}));
\rangle\rangle
lemma steps-preserves-termination':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s')
```

```
shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case Step thus ?case by (blast intro: step-preserves-termination)
next
  case Trans
  thus ?case
    by (blast dest: step-preserves-termination)
qed
definition head-com:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) com
where
head\text{-}com\ c =
  (case\ c\ of
     Seq c_1 c_2 \Rightarrow c_1
   | Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \Rightarrow c_1
   | - \Rightarrow c \rangle
definition head:: ('s,'p,'f) config \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) config
  where head cfg = (head\text{-}com\ (fst\ cfg),\ snd\ cfg)
lemma le-Suc-cases: \llbracket \bigwedge i. \llbracket i < k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ i; P \ k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall \ i < (Suc \ k). P \ i
  apply clarify
  apply (case-tac i=k)
  apply auto
  done
lemma redex-Seq-False: \bigwedge c' \ c''. (redex c = Seq \ c'' \ c') = False
  by (induct c) auto
lemma redex-Catch-False: \bigwedge c' c''. (redex c = Catch c'' c') = False
  by (induct c) auto
{\bf lemma}\ in finite-computation-extract-head-Seq:
  assumes inf-comp: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)
  assumes f-\theta: f \theta = (Seq c_1 c_2,s)
  assumes not-fin: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
  shows \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Seq c' c_2, s')) \land
                \Gamma \vdash head\ (f\ i) \rightarrow head\ (f\ (i+1))
        (is \forall i < k. ?P i)
using not-fin
proof (induct k)
  case \theta
  show ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (Suc\ k)
  have not-fin-Suc:
   \forall i < Suc \ k. \ \neg \ final \ (head \ (f \ i)) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  from this[rule-format] have not-fin-k:
   \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
   apply clarify
   apply (subgoal-tac i < Suc k)
   apply blast
   apply simp
   done
  from Suc.hyps [OF this]
 have hyp: \forall i < k. \ (\exists c' s'. f \ (i + 1) = (Seq \ c' \ c_2, \ s')) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)).
  show ?case
  proof (rule le-Suc-cases)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume i < k
   then show ?P i
     by (rule hyp [rule-format])
  next
   \mathbf{show} \ ?P \ k
   proof -
     from hyp [rule-format, of k - 1] f-0
     obtain c' fs' L' s' where f-k: f k = (Seq c' c_2, s')
       by (cases k) auto
     from inf-comp [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c'\ c_2,\ s') \to f\ (k+1)
       by simp
     moreover
     from not-fin-Suc [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \neg final (c',s')
       by (simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
     ultimately
     obtain c'' s'' where
        \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
        f(k+1) = (Seq c'' c_2, s'')
       by cases (auto simp add: redex-Seq-False final-def)
     with f-k
     show ?thesis
       by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
   qed
 qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ in finite-computation-extract-head-Catch:
  assumes inf-comp: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1)
 assumes f-\theta: f \theta = (Catch c_1 c_2,s)
 assumes not-fin: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
```

```
shows \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s')) \land
              \Gamma\vdash head\ (f\ i) \rightarrow head\ (f\ (i+1))
       (is \forall i < k. ?P i)
using not-fin
proof (induct k)
  case \theta
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc \ k)
  have not-fin-Suc:
   \forall i < Suc \ k. \ \neg \ final \ (head \ (f \ i)) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  from this[rule-format] have not-fin-k:
   \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
   apply clarify
   apply (subgoal-tac i < Suc k)
   apply blast
   apply simp
   done
  from Suc.hyps [OF this]
  have hyp: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s')) \land
                  \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)).
  show ?case
  proof (rule le-Suc-cases)
   \mathbf{fix} \ i
   assume i < k
   then show ?P i
     by (rule hyp [rule-format])
  \mathbf{next}
   \mathbf{show} \ ?P \ k
   proof -
     from hyp [rule-format, of k-1] f-0
     obtain c' fs' L' s' where f-k: f k = (Catch c' c_2, s')
       by (cases k) auto
     from inf-comp [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s') \rightarrow f \ (k+1)
       by simp
     moreover
     from not-fin-Suc [rule-format, of k] f-k
     have \neg final (c',s')
       by (simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
     ultimately
     obtain c'' s'' where
        \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
        f(k + 1) = (Catch \ c'' \ c_2, \ s'')
       by cases (auto simp add: redex-Catch-False final-def)+
     with f-k
     show ?thesis
       by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
```

```
qed
  qed
qed
lemma no-inf-Throw: \neg \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
  assume \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
  then obtain f where
    step [rule-format]: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) and
    f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from step [of 0, simplified f-0] step [of 1]
 show False
    by cases (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
qed
lemma split-inf-Seq:
 assumes inf-comp: \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \to \dots (\infty)
 shows \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \dots (\infty) \lor
         (\exists s'. \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c_2,s') \rightarrow \dots (\infty))
proof -
  from inf-comp obtain f where
    step: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) \ \mathbf{and}
    f-\theta: f \theta = (Seq c_1 c_2, s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from f-\theta have head-f-\theta: head (f \theta) = (c_1,s)
    by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases \exists i. final (head (f i)))
    case True
    define k where k = (LEAST i. final (head (f i)))
    have less-k: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
      apply (intro allI impI)
      apply (unfold k-def)
      apply (drule not-less-Least)
      apply auto
      done
    from infinite-computation-extract-head-Seq [OF step f-0 this]
    obtain step-head: \forall i < k. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)) and
           conf: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Seq c' c_2, s'))
      by blast
    from True
    have final-f-k: final (head (f k))
      apply -
      apply (erule exE)
      apply (drule LeastI)
      apply (simp add: k-def)
      done
    moreover
```

```
from f-0 conf [rule-format, of k - 1]
obtain c' s' where f-k: f k = (Seq c' c_2, s')
  by (cases k) auto
moreover
from step-head have steps-head: \Gamma \vdash head (f \ \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f \ k)
proof (induct k)
  case \theta thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ m)
  have step: \forall i < Suc \ m. \ \Gamma \vdash head \ (f \ i) \rightarrow head \ (f \ (i + 1)) by fact
  hence \forall i < m. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
  hence \Gamma \vdash head (f \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f m)
   by (rule Suc.hyps)
  also from step [rule-format, of m]
  have \Gamma \vdash head (f m) \rightarrow head (f (m + 1)) by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
\mathbf{qed}
  assume f-k: f k = (Seq Skip c_2, s')
  with steps-head
  have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,s')
    using head-f-0
    by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
  moreover
  from step [rule-format, of k] f-k
  obtain \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ Skip \ c_2,s') \rightarrow (c_2,s') and
   f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (c_2, s')
   by (fastforce elim: step.cases intro: step.intros)
  define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
  from f-Suc-k
  have g - \theta : g \ \theta = (c_2, s')
   by (simp \ add: g-def)
  from step
  have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash g i \rightarrow g (i + 1)
    by (simp add: q-def)
  with g-\theta have \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \to \dots (\infty)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  ultimately
  have ?thesis
    by auto
}
moreover
{
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume s': s'=Normal x and f-k: f k = (Seq Throw c_2, s')
  from step [rule-format, of k] f-k s'
  obtain \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Throw\ c_2,s') \to (Throw,s') and
   f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (Throw, s')
```

```
by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases intro: step.intros)
      define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
      from f-Suc-k
      have g \cdot \theta : g \theta = (Throw, s')
        by (simp \ add: \ g\text{-}def)
      from step
      have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash g i \rightarrow g (i + 1)
        by (simp\ add:\ q\text{-}def)
      with g-0 have \Gamma \vdash (Throw, s') \to \dots (\infty)
        by (auto simp add: inf-def)
      with no-inf-Throw
      have ?thesis
        by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
  next
    case False
    then have not-fin: \forall i. \neg final (head (f i))
      by blast
    have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ k
      from not-fin
      have \forall i < (Suc \ k). \neg final \ (head \ (f \ i))
        by simp
      from infinite-computation-extract-head-Seq [OF step f-0 this]
      show \Gamma \vdash head (f k) \rightarrow head (f (k + 1)) by simp
    with head-f-0 have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \dots (\infty)
      by (auto simp add: inf-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed
lemma split-inf-Catch:
  assumes inf-comp: \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \to \dots (\infty)
 shows \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \dots (\infty) \lor
         (\exists s'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c_2, Normal \ s') \rightarrow \dots (\infty))
proof -
  from inf-comp obtain f where
    step: \forall i :: nat. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (i+1) \ \mathbf{and}
    f-\theta: f \theta = (Catch c_1 c_2, s)
    by (auto simp add: inf-def)
  from f-0 have head-f-0: head (f \ 0) = (c_1,s)
    by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
```

```
show ?thesis
proof (cases \exists i. final (head (f i)))
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 define k where k = (LEAST i. final (head (f i)))
 have less-k: \forall i < k. \neg final (head (f i))
   apply (intro allI impI)
   apply (unfold k-def)
   apply (drule not-less-Least)
   apply auto
   done
 from infinite-computation-extract-head-Catch [OF step f-0 this]
 obtain step-head: \forall i < k. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1)) and
        conf: \forall i < k. (\exists c' s'. f (i + 1) = (Catch c' c_2, s'))
   by blast
 from True
 have final-f-k: final (head (f k))
   apply -
   apply (erule exE)
   apply (drule LeastI)
   apply (simp \ add: k-def)
   done
 moreover
 from f-0 conf [rule-format, of <math>k-1]
 obtain c' s' where f-k: f k = (Catch c' c_2,s')
   by (cases k) auto
 moreover
 from step-head have steps-head: \Gamma \vdash head (f \ \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f \ k)
 proof (induct k)
   case \theta thus ?case by simp
 next
   case (Suc\ m)
   have step: \forall i < Suc \ m. \ \Gamma \vdash head \ (f \ i) \rightarrow head \ (f \ (i + 1)) by fact
   hence \forall i < m. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
     by auto
   hence \Gamma \vdash head (f \theta) \rightarrow^* head (f m)
     by (rule Suc.hyps)
   also from step [rule-format, of m]
   have \Gamma \vdash head (f m) \rightarrow head (f (m + 1)) by simp
   finally show ?case by simp
 \mathbf{qed}
  {
   assume f-k: f k = (Catch Skip <math>c_2, s')
   with steps-head
   have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Skip,s')
     using head-f-0
     by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
   moreover
   from step [rule-format, of k] f-k
   obtain \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Skip \ c_2,s') \rightarrow (Skip,s') and
```

```
f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (Skip, s')
     by (fastforce elim: step.cases intro: step.intros)
    \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{step} \ [\mathit{rule-format}, \ \mathit{of} \ \mathit{k+1}, \ \mathit{simplified} \ \mathit{f-Suc-k}]
    have ?thesis
     by (rule no-step-final') (auto simp add: final-def)
  }
 moreover
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume s': s'=Normal x and f-k: f k = (Catch Throw <math>c_2, s')
   with steps-head
    have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \rightarrow^* (Throw,s')
     using head-f-0
     by (simp add: head-def head-com-def)
    moreover
    from step [rule-format, of k] f-k s'
    obtain \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, s') \rightarrow (c_2, s') and
     f-Suc-k: f(k + 1) = (c_2, s')
     by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases intro: step.intros)
    define g where g i = f (i + (k + 1)) for i
    from f-Suc-k
    have g \cdot \theta: g \theta = (c_2, s')
     by (simp \ add: g-def)
    from step
    have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash g i \rightarrow g (i + 1)
     by (simp \ add: g-def)
    with g-\theta have \Gamma \vdash (c_2, s') \to \dots (\infty)
     by (auto simp add: inf-def)
    ultimately
    have ?thesis
     using s'
     by auto
  }
 ultimately
 show ?thesis
   by (auto simp add: final-def head-def head-com-def)
next
 case False
 then have not-fin: \forall i. \neg final (head (f i))
    bv blast
 have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash head (f i) \rightarrow head (f (i + 1))
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
    from not-fin
   have \forall i < (Suc \ k). \neg final \ (head \ (f \ i))
     by simp
    from infinite-computation-extract-head-Catch [OF step f-0 this]
    show \Gamma \vdash head (f k) \rightarrow head (f (k + 1)) by simp
```

```
qed
    with head-f-0 have \Gamma \vdash (c_1,s) \to \dots (\infty)
       by (auto simp add: inf-def)
    thus ?thesis
       by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Skip-no-step: \Gamma \vdash (Skip,s) \rightarrow cfg \Longrightarrow P
  apply (erule no-step-final')
  apply (simp add: final-def)
  \mathbf{done}
lemma not-inf-Stuck: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c,Stuck) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Stuck)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
       by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Basic\ g)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Stuck)
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{0}]\ \mathit{f-0}\ \mathit{f-step}\ [\mathit{of}\ \mathit{1}]
    show False
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
       by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
```

```
show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Stuck-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  \mathbf{show}~? case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Call\ p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ d)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d,\ Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
```

```
by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Stuck)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
    {\bf from}\ \textit{split-inf-Catch}\ [\textit{OF this}]\ \textit{Catch.hyps}
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Stuck-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma not-inf-Fault: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Fault \ x) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Fault x)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
next
  case (Basic\ g)
```

```
thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Fault \ x) \to \dots (\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Fault-prop)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c_1 c_2, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
```

```
by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call \ p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ d)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d,\ Fault\ x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Fault x)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{Skip}\text{-}\mathit{no}\text{-}\mathit{step}\ \mathit{step}\text{-}\mathit{elim}\text{-}\mathit{cases})
  qed
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
```

```
proof
    assume \Gamma⊢ (Catch c_1 c_2, Fault x) \rightarrow ... (\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      by (auto dest: steps-Fault-prop)
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma not-inf-Abrupt: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
proof (induct c)
  {f case} Skip
 show ?case
 proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
next
  case (Basic\ g)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 \mathbf{show}~? case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
```

```
by (auto dest: steps-Abrupt-prop)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c_1 c_2, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call \ p)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ d)
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ d,\ Abrupt\ s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    {f show}\ \mathit{False}
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{Skip}\text{-}\mathit{no}\text{-}\mathit{step}\ \mathit{step}\text{-}\mathit{elim}\text{-}\mathit{cases})
  qed
next
  case (Guard m \ g \ c)
  show ?case
```

```
proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
   \mathbf{show} \ \mathit{False}
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  {\bf case}\ {\it Throw}
 show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
   \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Abrupt s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2)
 \mathbf{show}~? case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
    from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
    show False
      by (auto dest: steps-Abrupt-prop)
 qed
qed
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation}:
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \neg \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to \dots (\infty)
using termi
proof (induct)
  case (Skip s) thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Skip, Normal s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    show False
      by (auto elim: Skip-no-step)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Basic\ q\ s)
  thus ?case
 proof (rule not-infI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Basic g, Normal s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
     by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Spec \ r \ s)
  thus ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Spec r, Normal s)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Guard s \ g \ c \ m)
  have g: s \in g by fact
 have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard \ m \ g \ c, Normal \ s)
    from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f 1 = (c, Normal \ s)
     by (fastforce elim: step-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
     apply (simp add: inf-def)
     apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
     by simp
    with hyp show False ..
  qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ m\ c)
  have g: s \notin g by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Guard m g c, Normal s)
    from g f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  qed
```

```
next
  case (Fault c m)
  thus ?case
    by (rule not-inf-Fault)
  case (Seq c_1 \ s \ c_2)
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma⊢ (Seq c_1 c_2, Normal s) \rightarrow ... (\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] Seq.hyps
    show False
      by (auto intro: steps-Skip-impl-exec)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (CondTrue\ s\ b\ c1\ c2)
  have b: s \in b by fact
  have hyp-c1: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c1 c2, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f 1 = (c1, Normal s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with hyp-c1 show False by simp
  qed
next
  case (CondFalse s b c2 c1)
  have b: s \notin b by fact
  have hyp-c2: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Cond b c1 c2, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
    have f 1 = (c2, Normal s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
    have \Gamma \vdash (c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
```

```
with hyp-c2 show False by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
  have b: s \in b by fact
 have hyp-c: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
  have hyp-w: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                      \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s' \land \neg \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, s') \rightarrow \dots (\infty) \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have not-inf-Seq: \neg \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to \dots (\infty)
  proof
    assume \Gamma ⊢ (Seq c (While b c), Normal s) \rightarrow ... (\infty)
    from split-inf-Seq [OF this] hyp-c hyp-w show False
      by (auto intro: steps-Skip-impl-exec)
  qed
  show ?case
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
    then obtain f where
      f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f (Suc \ i) and
      f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Normal s)
      by (auto simp add: inf-def)
    from f-step [of 0] f-0 b
    have f 1 = (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
      by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
    with f-step
   have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \to \dots (\infty)
      apply (simp add: inf-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
      by simp
    with not-inf-Seq show False by simp
  qed
next
  case (WhileFalse s \ b \ c)
  have b: s \notin b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
    \mathbf{fix} f
    assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
    assume f-\theta: f \theta = (While b c, Normal s)
    from b f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
    show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
 qed
next
  case (Call p bdy s)
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (bdy, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} f
   assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \to f \ (Suc \ i)
   assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Normal s)
   from bdy f-step [of 0] f-0
   have f 1 = (bdy, Normal s)
     by (auto elim: step-Normal-elim-cases)
   with f-step
   have \Gamma \vdash (bdy, Normal \ s) \to \dots (\infty)
     apply (simp add: inf-def)
     apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
     by simp
   with hyp show False by simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (CallUndefined p s)
 have no-bdy: \Gamma p = None by fact
 show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
   \mathbf{fix} f
   assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
   assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Call p, Normal s)
   from no-bdy f-step [of 0] f-0 f-step [of 1]
   show False
      by (fastforce elim: Skip-no-step step-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (Stuck\ c)
 show ?case
   by (rule not-inf-Stuck)
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ c\ s)
  have hyp: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c \ s, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty) by fact
 show ?case
  proof (rule not-infI)
   \mathbf{fix} f
   assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
   assume f-\theta: f \theta = (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s)
   from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
   have f(Suc \ \theta) = (c \ s, Normal \ s)
     by (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
   with f-step have \Gamma \vdash (c \ s, Normal \ s) \to \dots (\infty)
     apply (simp add: inf-def)
     apply (rule-tac x=\lambda i. f (Suc i) in exI)
     by simp
   with hyp
   show False by simp
  ged
next
  case (Throw s) thus ?case
```

```
proof (rule not-infI)
   \mathbf{fix} f
   assume f-step: \bigwedge i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
   assume f-\theta: f \theta = (Throw, Normal s)
   from f-step [of \ \theta] f-\theta
   show False
     by (auto elim: step-elim-cases)
  qed
next
  case (Abrupt \ c)
 show ?case
   by (rule not-inf-Abrupt)
next
  case (Catch c_1 \ s \ c_2)
 show ?case
  proof
   assume \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
   from split-inf-Catch [OF this] Catch.hyps
   show False
     by (auto intro: steps-Throw-impl-exec)
  qed
qed
definition
 termi-call-steps :: ('s,'p,'f) body \Rightarrow (('s \times 'p) \times ('s \times 'p))set
where
termi-call-steps \Gamma =
 \{((t,q),(s,p)). \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \land \}
       (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal \ t) \land redex \ c = Call \ q) \}
primrec subst-redex:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com
where
subst-redex\ Skip\ c=c
subst-redex\ (Basic\ f)\ c=c
subst-redex (Spec \ r) \ c = c \mid
subst-redex\ (Seq\ c_1\ c_2)\ c\ = Seq\ (subst-redex\ c_1\ c)\ c_2\ |
subst-redex (Cond b c_1 c_2) c = c
subst-redex (While b c') c = c
subst-redex (Call p) c = c
subst-redex (DynCom d) c = c
subst-redex (Guard f \ b \ c') c = c
subst-redex\ (Throw)\ c=c
subst-redex\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)\ c=Catch\ (subst-redex\ c_1\ c)\ c_2
lemma subst-redex-redex:
  subst-redex\ c\ (redex\ c) = c
  by (induct c) auto
```

```
lemma redex-subst-redex: redex (subst-redex c r) = redex r
  by (induct c) auto
lemma step-redex':
  shows \Gamma \vdash (redex \ c,s) \to (r',s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to (subst-redex \ c \ r',s')
by (induct c) (auto intro: step.Seq step.Catch)
lemma step-redex:
  shows \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \rightarrow (r',s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s) \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
by (induct c) (auto intro: step.Seq step.Catch)
lemma steps-redex:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s) \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans \ r \ s \ r'' \ s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redex [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'').
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'') \rightarrow^* (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') by fact
  finally show ?case.
qed
\mathbf{ML}\ \langle\!\langle
  ML-Thms.bind-thm (trancl-induct2, Split-Rule.split-rule @{context})
    (Rule-Insts.read-instantiate @{context})
      [(((a, 0), Position.none), (aa, ab)), (((b, 0), Position.none), (ba, bb))]
      @\{thm\ trancl-induct\}));
\rangle\rangle
lemma steps-redex':
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
  then have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')
    by (rule step-redex)
  then show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s')..
next
```

```
case (Trans r's'r''s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  hence \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r',\ s') \rightarrow (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'')
    by (rule step-redex)
  finally show \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r,\ s) \rightarrow^+ (subst\text{-}redex\ c\ r'',\ s'').
primrec seq:: (nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f)com) \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f)com
where
seq \ c \ p \ \theta = Call \ p \mid
seq\ c\ p\ (Suc\ i) = subst-redex\ (seq\ c\ p\ i)\ (c\ i)
lemma renumber':
  assumes f: \forall i. (a, f i) \in r^* \land (f i, f(Suc i)) \in r
  assumes a-b: (a,b) \in r^*
  shows b = f \theta \Longrightarrow (\exists f. f \theta = a \land (\forall i. (f i, f(Suc i)) \in r))
using a-b
proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
  assume b = f \theta
  with f show \exists f. f \theta = b \land (\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r)
    by blast
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ a \ z
  assume a-z: (a, z) \in r and (z, b) \in r^*
  assume b = f \ 0 \Longrightarrow \exists f. \ f \ 0 = z \land (\forall i. \ (f \ i, f \ (Suc \ i)) \in r)
          b = f \theta
  then obtain f where f\theta: f\theta = z and seq: \forall i. (fi, f(Suci)) \in r
    by iprover
    fix i have ((\lambda i. \ case \ i \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow a \mid Suc \ i \Rightarrow f \ i) \ i, f \ i) \in r
      using seq a-z f\theta
      by (cases i) auto
  }
  then
  show \exists f. f \theta = a \land (\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r)
    by - (rule exI [where x=\lambda i. case i of 0 \Rightarrow a \mid Suc \ i \Rightarrow f \ i], simp)
qed
lemma renumber:
\forall i. (a,f i) \in r^* \land (f i,f(Suc i)) \in r
 \implies \exists f. \ f \ 0 = a \land (\forall i. \ (f \ i, f(Suc \ i)) \in r)
 by (blast dest:renumber')
lemma lem:
  \forall y. \ r^{++} \ a \ y \longrightarrow P \ a \longrightarrow P \ y
   \implies ((b,a) \in \{(y,x). \ P \ x \land r \ x \ y\}^+) = ((b,a) \in \{(y,x). \ P \ x \land r^{++} \ x \ y\})
```

```
apply(rule iffI)
 apply clarify
 apply(erule trancl-induct)
  apply blast
 apply(blast intro:tranclp-trans)
apply clarify
\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{erule}\ \mathit{tranclp-induct})
apply blast
apply(blast\ intro:trancl-trans)
done
{\bf corollary}\ terminates-impl-no-infinite-trans-computation:
 assumes terminates: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \neg(\exists f. f \ \theta = (c,s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f(Suc \ i)))
proof -
  have wf(\{(y,x), \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+)
  proof (rule wf-trancl)
    show wf \{(y, x). \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}
    proof (simp only: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, clarify, simp)
       assume \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* f i \land \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
       hence \exists f. f \ (0::nat) = (c,s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i))
         by (rule renumber [to-pred])
       moreover from terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation [OF terminates]
       have \neg (\exists f. f (0::nat) = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)))
         by (simp add: inf-def)
       ultimately show False
         by simp
    \mathbf{qed}
  qed
  hence \neg (\exists f. \forall i. (f (Suc i), f i)
                    \in \{(y,\,x).\,\,\Gamma \vdash (c,\,s) \,\rightarrow^* x \,\wedge\, \Gamma \vdash x \,\rightarrow\, y\}^+)
    by (simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule contrapos-nn)
    assume \exists f. f (0::nat) = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow^+ f (Suc i))
    then obtain f where
       f\theta: f\theta = (c, s) and
       seq: \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f \ (Suc \ i)
       by iprover
    show
       \exists f. \ \forall i. \ (f \ (Suc \ i), f \ i) \in \{(y, x). \ \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+
    proof (rule exI [where x=f], rule allI)
       show (f (Suc i), f i) \in \{(y, x). \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* x \land \Gamma \vdash x \rightarrow y\}^+
       proof -
            fix i have \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^* f i
            proof (induct i)
```

```
case \theta show \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f \theta
               by (simp \ add: f\theta)
           next
             case (Suc \ n)
             have \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f n by fact
             with seq show \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f (Suc n)
               by (blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp rtranclp-trans)
           \mathbf{qed}
         }
        hence \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^* f i
           by iprover
        with seq have
           (f\ (Suc\ i),\,f\ i)\in\{(y,\,x).\ \Gamma\vdash(c,\,s)\to^*x \ \wedge\ \Gamma\vdash x\to^+y\}
           by clarsimp
        moreover
        have \forall y. \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow^+ y \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f i \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* y
           by (blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp rtranclp-trans)
        ultimately
        show ?thesis
           by (subst lem )
      qed
    \mathbf{qed}
  qed
qed
theorem wf-termi-call-steps: wf (termi-call-steps \Gamma)
proof (simp only: termi-call-steps-def wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain,
        clarify, simp)
  \mathbf{fix} f
  assume inf: \forall i. (\lambda(t, q) (s, p).
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \land
                 (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal \ t) \land redex \ c = Call \ q))
              (f (Suc i)) (f i)
  define s where s i = fst (f i) for i::nat
  define p where p i = (snd (f i)::'b) for i :: nat
  from inf
  have inf': \forall i. \Gamma \vdash Call \ (p \ i) \downarrow Normal \ (s \ i) \land
                (\exists c. \Gamma \vdash (Call\ (p\ i), Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c, Normal\ (s\ (i+1))) \land
                      redex\ c = Call\ (p\ (i+1)))
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac x=i in allE)
    apply (auto simp add: s-def p-def)
    done
  {f show} False
  proof -
    from inf'
    have \exists c. \forall i. \Gamma \vdash Call (p i) \downarrow Normal (s i) \land
                \Gamma \vdash (Call\ (p\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))) \land
```

```
redex(c i) = Call(p(i+1))
      apply -
      apply (rule choice)
      by blast
    then obtain c where
      termi-c: \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash Call \ (p \ i) \downarrow Normal \ (s \ i) \ \mathbf{and}
      steps-c: \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (Call (p i), Normal (s i)) \rightarrow^+ (c i, Normal (s (i+1))) and
      red-c: \forall i. \ redex \ (c \ i) = Call \ (p \ (i+1))
      by auto
    define g where g i = (seq \ c \ (p \ \theta) \ i,Normal \ (s \ i)::('a,'c) \ xstate) for i
    from red-c [rule-format, of \theta]
    have g \theta = (Call (p \theta), Normal (s \theta))
      by (simp add: g-def)
    moreover
    {
      \mathbf{fix} i
      have redex (seq c (p 0) i) = Call (p i)
        by (induct i) (auto simp add: redex-subst-redex red-c)
      from this [symmetric]
      have subst-redex (seq c (p 0) i) (Call (p i)) = (seq c (p 0) i)
        by (simp add: subst-redex-redex)
    } note subst-redex-seq = this
    have \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (g \ i) \rightarrow^+ (g \ (i+1))
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} i
      from steps-c [rule-format, of i]
      have \Gamma \vdash (Call\ (p\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+ (c\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))).
      from steps-redex' [OF this, of (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)]
      have \Gamma \vdash (subst\text{-}redex\ (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)\ (Call\ (p\ i)),\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \to^+
                (subst-redex\ (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ i)\ (c\ i),\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1))).
      hence \Gamma \vdash (seq\ c\ (p\ \theta)\ i,\ Normal\ (s\ i)) \rightarrow^+
                 (seq\ c\ (p\ 0)\ (i+1),\ Normal\ (s\ (i+1)))
        by (simp add: subst-redex-seq)
      thus \Gamma \vdash (g \ i) \rightarrow^+ (g \ (i+1))
        by (simp \ add: g-def)
   qed
    moreover
    from terminates-impl-no-infinite-trans-computation [OF termi-c [rule-format,
    have \neg (\exists f. f \ \theta = (Call \ (p \ \theta), Normal \ (s \ \theta)) \land (\forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow^+ f \ (Suc \ i))).
    ultimately show False
      by auto
 qed
qed
lemma no-infinite-computation-implies-wf:
 assumes not-inf: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow \dots (\infty)
 shows wf \{(c2,c1). \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* c1 \land \Gamma \vdash c1 \rightarrow c2\}
```

```
proof (simp only: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, clarify, simp)
  \mathbf{fix} f
  assume \forall i. \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* f i \land \Gamma \vdash f i \rightarrow f (Suc i)
  hence \exists f. f \ \theta = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i))
    by (rule renumber [to-pred])
  moreover from not-inf
  have \neg (\exists f. f \ \theta = (c, s) \land (\forall i. \Gamma \vdash f \ i \rightarrow f \ (Suc \ i)))
    by (simp add: inf-def)
  ultimately show False
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
lemma not-final-Stuck-step: \neg final (c,Stuck) \Longrightarrow \exists c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c,Stuck) \to (c',s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
lemma not-final-Abrupt-step:
  \neg final\ (c, Abrupt\ s) \Longrightarrow \exists\ c'\ s'.\ \Gamma \vdash (c,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (c', s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
lemma not-final-Fault-step:
  \neg final\ (c, Fault\ f) \Longrightarrow \exists c'\ s'.\ \Gamma \vdash (c,\ Fault\ f) \to (c', s')
by (induct c) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
lemma not-final-Normal-step:
  \neg final\ (c, Normal\ s) \Longrightarrow \exists c'\ s'.\ \Gamma \vdash (c,\ Normal\ s) \to (c', s')
proof (induct c)
 case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros)
next
  case (Spec \ r)
  thus ?case
    by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (fastforce intro: step.intros) +
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 thus ?case
   by (cases final (c_1, Normal s)) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
 show ?case
    by (cases s \in b) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
next
  case (While b c)
  show ?case
    by (cases s \in b) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
\mathbf{next}
  case (Call p)
  show ?case
  by (cases \Gamma p) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
```

```
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard f g c)
 show ?case
    by (cases s \in g) (fastforce intro: step.intros)+
\mathbf{next}
  thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  thus ?case
   by (cases final (c_1, Normal s)) (fastforce intro: step.intros simp add: final-def)+
qed
lemma final-termi:
final\ (c,s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 by (cases s) (auto simp add: final-def terminates.intros)
lemma split-computation:
assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c_f, s_f)
assumes not-final: \neg final (c,s)
assumes final: final (c_f, s_f)
shows \exists c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s') \land \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow^* (c_f, s_f)
using steps not-final final
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans c s c' s')
  thus ?case by auto
qed
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{wf-implies-termi-reach-step-case} :
assumes hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
using hyp
proof (induct c)
  case Skip show ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic show ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \ r)
  show ?case
    by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)+
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 have hyp: \land c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Seq c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
 show ?case
```

```
proof (rule terminates.Seq)
    fix c's'
    assume step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s')
    have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from step-c_1
      have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c' \ c_2, \ s')
        by (rule step.Seq)
      from hyp [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c' \ c_2 \downarrow s'.
      thus \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
        by cases auto
    qed
  from Seq.hyps (1) [OF this]
  show \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s.
next
  show \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
  proof (intro allI impI)
    assume exec - c_1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases final (c_1, Normal s))
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      hence c_1 = Skip \lor c_1 = Throw
        by (simp add: final-def)
      thus ?thesis
      proof
        assume Skip: c_1 = Skip
        have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c_2, Normal\ s) \to (c_2, Normal\ s)
          by (rule\ step.SeqSkip)
        from hyp [simplified Skip, OF this]
        have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
        moreover from exec-c_1 Skip
        have s'=Normal\ s
          by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume Throw: c_1 = Throw
        with exec-c_1 have s'=Abrupt s
          by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        thus ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    next
      case False
      from exec\text{-}impl\text{-}steps [OF exec\text{-}c_1]
      obtain c_f t where
```

```
steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (c_f, \ t) and
          fin:(case\ s'\ of
                 Abrupt \ x \Rightarrow c_f = Throw \land t = Normal \ x
                 | - \Rightarrow c_f = Skip \wedge t = s' \rangle
          by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
        with fin have final: final (c_f,t)
          by (cases s') (auto simp add: final-def)
        from split-computation [OF\ steps-c_1\ False\ this]
        obtain c'' s'' where
          first: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
          rest: \Gamma \vdash (c'', s'') \rightarrow^* (c_f, t)
          by blast
        from step.Seq [OF first]
        have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c_1\ c_2,\ Normal\ s) \to (Seq\ c''\ c_2,\ s'').
        from hyp [OF this]
        have termi-s'': \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c''\ c_2 \downarrow s''.
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases s'')
          case (Normal\ x)
          from termi-s'' [simplified Normal]
          have termi-c_2: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow t
            by cases
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases \exists x'. s' = Abrupt x')
            case False
            with fin obtain c_f = Skip \ t = s'
              by (cases s') auto
            from steps-Skip-impl-exec [OF rest [simplified this]] Normal
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow s'
              by simp
            from termi-c_2 [rule-format, OF this]
            show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'.
          \mathbf{next}
            {\bf case}\ {\it True}
             with fin obtain x' where s': s'=Abrupt x' and c_f=Throw t=Normal
x'
              by auto
            from steps-Throw-impl-exec [OF rest [simplified this]] Normal
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ x \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ x'
            from termi-c_2 [rule-format, OF this] s'
            show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s' by simp
          qed
        next
          case (Abrupt x)
          from steps-Abrupt-prop [OF rest this]
          have t = Abrupt \ x \ \mathbf{bv} \ simp
          with fin have s' = Abrupt x
            by (cases s') auto
```

```
thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
             by auto
        next
           case (Fault f)
           from steps-Fault-prop [OF rest this]
           have t=Fault\ f by simp
           with fin have s'=Fault f
             by (cases s') auto
           thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
             by auto
        \mathbf{next}
           \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
           from steps-Stuck-prop [OF rest this]
           have t=Stuck by simp
           with fin have s' = Stuck
             by (cases s') auto
           thus \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow s'
             by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cond b c_1 c_2)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in b)
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_1, Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.CondTrue)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.CondFalse)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with False show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (While b c)
  have hyp: \land c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in b)
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    then have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
      by (rule step. While True)
```

```
from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c)) \downarrow Normal\ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Call\ p)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \to (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \Gamma p)
    {f case}\ None
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    case (Some \ bdy)
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (bdy, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step.Call)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal s.
    \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{Some}\ \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{?thesis}
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s) \to (c',\ s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c\ s,\ Normal\ s)
    by (rule step.DynCom)
  from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \downarrow Normal \ s.
  then show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Guard f g c, Normal s) \rightarrow (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
 \mathbf{show} ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in g)
    case True
    then have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c, \ Normal \ s)
      by (rule step. Guard)
    from hyp [OF this] have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
    with True show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    {f case} False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
```

```
case Throw show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)
  have hyp: \bigwedge c' s'. \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c', s') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s' by fact
  show ?case
  proof (rule terminates.Catch)
    {
      fix c's'
      assume step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c', s')
      have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
      proof -
        from step-c_1
        have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s')
           by (rule step.Catch)
        from hyp [OF this]
        have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c' \ c_2 \downarrow s'.
        thus \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s'
           by cases auto
      qed
    from Catch.hyps (1) [OF this]
    show \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s.
    show \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof (intro allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ s'
      assume exec-c<sub>1</sub>: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      show \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      proof (cases final (c_1, Normal \ s))
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        with exec-c_1
        have Throw: c_1 = Throw
           by (auto simp add: final-def elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ s)
           by (rule step.CatchThrow)
        from hyp [simplified Throw, OF this]
        have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s.
        \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{exec-c}_1 \ \mathit{Throw}
        have s'=s
           by (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
      next
        case False
        from exec-impl-steps [OF \ exec-c_1]
        obtain c_f t where
           steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ s')
           by (fastforce split: xstate.splits)
         from split-computation [OF steps-c_1 False]
        obtain c'' s'' where
```

```
first: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
           rest: \Gamma \vdash (c'', s'') \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal s')
           by (auto simp add: final-def)
         from step.Catch [OF first]
         have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c'' \ c_2, \ s'').
         from hyp [OF this]
         have \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c'' \ c_2 \downarrow s''.
         moreover
         from steps-Throw-impl-exec [OF rest]
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle c'', s'' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt s'.
         moreover
         from rest obtain x where s''=Normal x
           by (cases s'')
              (auto dest: steps-Fault-prop steps-Abrupt-prop steps-Stuck-prop)
         ultimately show ?thesis
           by (fastforce elim: terminates-elim-cases)
      \mathbf{qed}
    qed
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{wf-implies-termi-reach}:
assumes wf: wf \{(cfg2,cfg1), \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* cfg1 \land \Gamma \vdash cfg1 \rightarrow cfg2\}
shows \bigwedge c1 \ s1. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* cfg1; \ cfg1 = (c1,s1) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s1
using wf
proof (induct cfg1, simp)
  fix c1 s1
  assume reach: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c1, s1)
  assume hyp\text{-}raw: \bigwedge y \ c2 \ s2.
            \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (c1,\,s1) \xrightarrow{} (c2,\,s2); \, \Gamma \vdash (c,\,s) \xrightarrow{}^* (c2,\,s2); \, y = (c2,\,s2) \rrbracket
            \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s2
  have hyp: \land c2 \ s2. \Gamma \vdash (c1, s1) \rightarrow (c2, s2) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow s2
    apply -
    apply (rule hyp-raw)
    apply assumption
    using reach
    apply simp
    apply (rule refl)
    done
  show \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow s1
  proof (cases s1)
    case (Normal s1')
    with wf-implies-termi-reach-step-case [OF hyp [simplified Normal]]
    \mathbf{show}~? the sis
      by auto
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed
```

```
theorem no-infinite-computation-impl-terminates: assumes not-inf: \neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty) shows \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s proof \neg from no-infinite-computation-implies-wf [OF \ not-inf] have wf: wf \{(c2, c1). \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^* c1 \land \Gamma \vdash c1 \rightarrow c2\}. show ?thesis by (rule wf-implies-termi-reach [OF \ wf]) auto qed corollary terminates-iff-no-infinite-computation: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s = (\neg \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow \ldots(\infty)) apply (rule) apply (erule terminates-impl-no-infinite-computation) apply (erule no-infinite-computation-impl-terminates) done
```

4.6 Generalised Redexes

For an important lemma for the completeness proof of the Hoare-logic for total correctness we need a generalisation of *redex* that not only yield the redex itself but all the enclosing statements as well.

```
primrec redexes:: ('s,'p,'f)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f)com set
redexes\ Skip = \{Skip\}\ |
redexes\ (Basic\ f) = \{Basic\ f\}\ |
redexes\ (Spec\ r) = \{Spec\ r\}\ |
redexes (Seq c_1 c_2) = \{Seq c_1 c_2\} \cup redexes c_1 \mid
redexes (Cond b c_1 c_2) = \{Cond b c_1 c_2\} \mid
redexes (While b c) = \{While b c\}
redexes (Call p) = \{Call p\} \mid
redexes\ (DynCom\ d) = \{DynCom\ d\}\ |
redexes (Guard f b c) = \{Guard f b c\} \mid
redexes\ (\mathit{Throw}) = \{\mathit{Throw}\}\ |
redexes\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2) = \{Catch\ c_1\ c_2\} \cup redexes\ c_1
lemma root-in-redexes: c \in redexes c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma redex-in-redexes: redex c \in redexes c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma redex-redexes: \bigwedge c'. [c' \in redexes \ c; \ redex \ c' = c'] \implies redex \ c = c'
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
```

done

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}redexes:
 shows \bigwedge r r'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \rightarrow (r',s'); r \in redexes c \rrbracket
  \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land r' \in redexes \ c'
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases)
next
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
 have r \in redexes (Seq c_1 c_2) by fact
 hence r: r = Seq c_1 c_2 \lor r \in redexes c_1
 have step-r: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
  from r show ?case
  proof
   assume r = Seq c_1 c_2
   with step-r
   show ?case
     by (auto simp add: root-in-redexes)
  next
   assume r: r \in redexes \ c_1
   from Seq.hyps (1) [OF step-r this]
   obtain c' where
     step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and
     r': r' \in redexes c'
     by blast
   from step.Seq [OF step-c_1]
   have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c' \ c_2, \ s').
   with r'
   show ?case
     by auto
  qed
next
  case Cond
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case While
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
```

```
by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
next
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
  case Throw thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: step.intros elim: step-elim-cases simp add: root-in-redexes)
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have r \in redexes (Catch c_1 c_2) by fact
 hence r: r = Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \lor r \in redexes \ c_1
 have step-r: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') by fact
  from r show ?case
  proof
    assume r = Catch c_1 c_2
    with step-r
    show ?case
     by (auto simp add: root-in-redexes)
    assume r: r \in redexes \ c_1
    from Catch.hyps (1) [OF step-r this]
    obtain c' where
      step-c_1: \Gamma \vdash (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c', s') and
     r': r' \in redexes c'
     by blast
    from step.Catch [OF step-c_1]
    have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c' \ c_2, \ s').
   with r'
    show ?case
     by auto
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma steps-redexes:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
  shows \land c. \ r \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^* (c',s') \land \ r' \in redexes \ c'
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then
 show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c, s') \rightarrow^* (c', s') \land r' \in redexes c'
    by auto
next
  case (Trans \ r \ s \ r^{\prime\prime} \ s^{\prime\prime})
 have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') \ r \in redexes \ c \ by \ fact +
  from step-redexes [OF this]
  obtain c' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'') and
```

```
r'': r'' \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note step
  also
  from Trans.hyps (3) [OF r'']
  obtain c'' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
   r': r' \in redexes c''
    by blast
  note steps
  finally
 show ?case
   using r'
   \mathbf{by} blast
qed
lemma steps-redexes':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. \ r \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \to^+ (c',s') \land \ r' \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
 have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') r \in redexes \ c' by fact +
 from step-redexes [OF this]
 show ?case
    by (blast intro: r-into-trancl)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans r' s' r'' s'')
 from Trans obtain c' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
    r': r' \in redexes c'
   by blast
 note steps
 moreover
 have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redexes [OF this r'] obtain c'' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': r'' \in redexes c''
    \mathbf{by} blast
  {f note}\ step
 finally show ?case
    using r'' by blast
qed
lemma step-redexes-Seq:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \rightarrow (r',s')
 assumes Seq: Seq \ r \ c_2 \in redexes \ c
```

```
shows \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
proof -
  from step.Seq [OF step]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Seq \ r \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ r' \ c_2, \ s').
  from step-redexes [OF this Seq]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma steps-redexes-Seq:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. Seq r \ c_2 \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow
              \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s') \land Seq r' c_2 \in redexes c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then show ?case
    by (auto)
next
  case (Trans r s r'' s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') Seq r c_2 \in redexes \ c by fact +
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this]
  obtain c' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'') and
    r'': Seq r'' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note step
  also
  from Trans.hyps (3) [OF r'']
  obtain c'' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
    r': Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c''
    by blast
  note steps
  finally
  show ?case
    using r'
    \mathbf{by} blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ steps\text{-}redexes\text{-}Seq':
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
  shows \bigwedge c. Seq r c_2 \in redexes c
             \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s') \land Seq \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') Seq r c_2 \in redexes \ c' by fact +
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this]
```

```
show ?case
    by (blast intro: r-into-trancl)
  case (Trans \ r' \ s' \ r'' \ s'')
  from Trans obtain c' where
   steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
    r': Seq r' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note steps
  moreover
  have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redexes-Seq [OF this r'] obtain c'' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': Seq r'' c_2 \in redexes c''
    by blast
  note step
  finally show ?case
    using r'' by blast
lemma step-redexes-Catch:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash (r,s) \rightarrow (r',s')
 assumes Catch: Catch r c_2 \in redexes c
  shows \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
proof -
  from step.Catch [OF step]
  have \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ r \ c_2, \ s) \rightarrow (Catch \ r' \ c_2, \ s').
  from step-redexes [OF this Catch]
 show ?thesis.
qed
lemma steps-redexes-Catch:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^* (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. Catch r \ c_2 \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow
              \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^* (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  then show ?case
    by (auto)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans \ r \ s \ r'' \ s'')
  have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r'', s'') Catch r c_2 \in redexes \ c by fact+
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this]
  obtain c' where
    step \colon \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \to (c', s'') and
    r'': Catch r'' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
```

```
note step
  also
  from Trans.hyps (3) [OF r'']
  obtain c'' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c', s'') \rightarrow^* (c'', s') and
    r': Catch r' c_2 \in redexes c''
    by blast
  note steps
  finally
  show ?case
    using r'
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma steps-redexes-Catch':
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow^+ (r', s')
 shows \bigwedge c. Catch r c_2 \in redexes c
             \implies \exists c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (c,s) \rightarrow^+ (c',s') \land Catch \ r' \ c_2 \in redexes \ c'
using steps
proof (induct rule: tranclp-induct2 [consumes 1, case-names Step Trans])
  case (Step r's'c')
 have \Gamma \vdash (r, s) \rightarrow (r', s') Catch r c_2 \in redexes \ c' by fact+
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this]
 show ?case
    by (blast intro: r-into-trancl)
next
  case (Trans r's'r"s")
  from Trans obtain c' where
    steps: \Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow^+ (c', s') and
    r': Catch r' c_2 \in redexes c'
    by blast
  note steps
  moreover
  have \Gamma \vdash (r', s') \rightarrow (r'', s'') by fact
  from step-redexes-Catch [OF this r'] obtain c'' where
    step: \Gamma \vdash (c', s') \rightarrow (c'', s'') and
    r'': Catch r'' c_2 \in redexes c''
    by blast
  note step
  finally show ?case
    using r'' by blast
qed
lemma redexes-subset: \land c'. c' \in redexes \ c \implies redexes \ c' \subseteq redexes \ c
 by (induct c) auto
lemma redexes-preserves-termination:
 assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s
 shows \bigwedge c'. c' \in redexes \ c \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow s
```

```
using termi
by induct (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

end

5 The Simpl Syntax

 ${\bf theory}\ Language Con\ {\bf imports}\ HOL-Library.Old-Recdef\ EmbSimpl/Language\ {\bf begin}$

5.1 The Core Language

We use a shallow embedding of boolean expressions as well as assertions as sets of states.

```
type-synonym 's bexp = 's set
type-synonym 's assn = 's set
datatype (dead 's, 'p, 'f, dead 'e) com =
   Basic 's \Rightarrow 's 'e option
   Spec ('s \times 's) set 'e option
   Seq ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
   Cond 's bexp ('s,'p,'f,'e) com ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
   While 's bexp ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
   Call 'p
   DynCom 's \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
   Guard 'f 's bexp ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
   Throw
   Catch\ ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com\ ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com
   Await 's bexp ('s,'p,'f) Language.com 'e option
primrec sequential:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f) Language.com
where
sequential Skip = Language.Skip
sequential (Basic f e) = Language.Basic f
sequential (Spec \ r \ e) = Language.Spec \ r \ |
sequential (Seq c_1 c_2) = Language. Seq (sequential c_1) (sequential c_2) |
sequential (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Language. Cond b (sequential c_1) (sequential c_2) |
sequential (While b c) = Language. While b (sequential c)
sequential (Call p) = Language.Call p
sequential\ (DynCom\ c) = Language.DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (sequential\ (c\ s)))\ |
sequential (Guard f g c) = Language.Guard f g (sequential c)
sequential\ Throw = Language.Throw
sequential (Catch c_1 c_2) = Language. Catch (sequential c_1) (sequential c_2)
```

sequential (Await b ca e) = Language.Skip

```
primrec parallel:: ('s,'p,'f) Language.com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
where
parallel\ Language.Skip = Skip
parallel (Language.Basic f) = Basic f None
parallel (Language.Spec \ r) = Spec \ r \ None \ |
parallel\ (Language.Seq\ c_1\ c_2)\ = Seq\ (parallel\ c_1)\ (parallel\ c_2)\ |
parallel (Language. Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (parallel c_1) (parallel c_2) |
parallel (Language. While b c) = While b (parallel c)
parallel (Language. Call p) = Call p
parallel\ (Language.DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (parallel\ (c\ s)))\ |
parallel\ (Language.Guard\ f\ g\ c) = Guard\ f\ g\ (parallel\ c)
parallel\ Language.Throw = Throw
parallel\ (Language.Catch\ c_1\ c_2) = Catch\ (parallel\ c_1)\ (parallel\ c_2)
primrec noawaits:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool
where
noawaits Skip = True \mid
noawaits (Basic f e) = True \mid
noawaits (Spec \ r \ e) = True \mid
noawaits (Seq c_1 c_2) = (noawaits c_1 \land noawaits c_2)
noawaits (Cond b c_1 c_2) = (noawaits c_1 \land noawaits c_2) |
noawaits (While b c) = (noawaits c)
noawaits (Call p) = True \mid
noawaits\ (DynCom\ c) = (\forall\ s.\ noawaits\ (c\ s))\ |
noawaits (Guard f g c) = noawaits c
noawaits Throw = True \mid
noawaits (Catch c_1 c_2) = (noawaits c_1 \land noawaits c_2)
noawaits (Await b cn e) = False
5.2
        Derived Language Constructs
definition
 raise:: ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ where
 raise\ f\ e = Seq\ (Basic\ f\ e)\ Throw
definition
 condCatch: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow 's bexp \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e)
com where
  condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 = Catch \ c_1 \ (Cond \ b \ c_2 \ Throw)
  bind:: ('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where}
  bind e \ c = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ c \ (e \ s))
definition
  bseq:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com where
  bseq = Seq
```

```
definition
```

 $block:: ['s \Rightarrow 's, 'e \ option, \ ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com, \ 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's, \ 'e \ option, \ 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com] \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com$

where

 $block\ init\ ei\ bdy\ return\ er\ c =$

 $DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (Seq\ (Catch\ (Seq\ (Basic\ init\ ei)\ bdy)\ (Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s)\ er)\ Throw))$

 $(DynCom\ (\lambda t.\ Seq\ (Basic\ (return\ s)\ er)\ (c\ s\ t))))$

definition

call:: $('s\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's\Rightarrow('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where}$ call init ei p return er c = block init ei (Call p) return er c

definition

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{dynCall} :: (\textit{'}s \Rightarrow \textit{'}s) \Rightarrow \textit{'}e \ \textit{option} \Rightarrow (\textit{'}s \Rightarrow \textit{'}p) \Rightarrow \\ (\textit{'}s \Rightarrow \textit{'}s \Rightarrow \textit{'}s) \Rightarrow \textit{'}e \ \textit{option} \Rightarrow (\textit{'}s \Rightarrow \textit{'}s \Rightarrow (\textit{'}s, \textit{'}p, \textit{'}f, \textit{'}e) \ \textit{com}) \Rightarrow (\textit{'}s, \textit{'}p, \textit{'}f, \textit{'}e) \ \textit{com} \ \textbf{where} \end{array}$$

 $dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ c=DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ call\ init\ ei\ (p\ s)\ return\ er\ c)$

definition

fcall::
$$('s\Rightarrow's) \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow's)\Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow ('s\Rightarrow'v) \Rightarrow ('v\Rightarrow('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com)$$

 $\Rightarrow('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where}$

fcall init ei p return er result c = call init ei p return er $(\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))$

definition

lem::
$$'x \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ where$$
 lem $x \ c = c$

primrec switch:: $('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('v \ set \times ('s, \ 'p, \ 'f, \ 'e) \ com) \ list \Rightarrow ('s, \ 'p, \ 'f, \ 'e) \ com$

where

switch
$$v = Skip$$
 |
switch $v (Vc \# vs) = Cond \{s. \ v \ s \in fst \ Vc\} \ (snd \ Vc) \ (switch \ v \ vs)$

definition guaranteeStrip:: $'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com$ where guaranteeStrip $f \ g \ c = Guard \ f \ g \ c$

definition $guaranteeStripPair:: 'f \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set)$ **where** guaranteeStripPair f g = (f,g)

primrec guards:: ('f × 's set) list \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com where guards [] c = c |

```
guards || c = c ||
guards (g\#gs) c = Guard (fst g) (snd g) (guards gs c)
```

definition

```
while:: ('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com  where while gs b c = guards gs (While b (Seq c (guards gs Skip)))
```

definition

while Anno::

's bexp
$$\Rightarrow$$
 's assn \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) assn \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com where

 $while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ c =\ While\ b\ c$

definition

while Anno G::

```
('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow 's \ assn \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where} while AnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c = while \ gs \ b \ c
```

definition

$$specAnno:: ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com$$

where $specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A = (c\ undefined)$

definition

while AnnoFix::

$$(s \ bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com)$$

 \Rightarrow
 $('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where}$
 $while Anno Fix \ b \ I \ V \ c = While \ b \ (c \ undefined)$

definition

 $while Anno \, GFix::$

```
('f \times 's \ set) \ list \Rightarrow 's \ bexp \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 's \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) \ assn) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com) \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ \mathbf{where}
while AnnoGFix \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c = while \ gs \ b \ (c \ undefined)
```

definition if-rel::('s
$$\Rightarrow$$
 bool) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('s \times 's) set

where if-rel b f g h =
$$\{(s,t)$$
. if b s then $t = f$ s else $t = g$ s \vee t = h s $\}$

lemma fst-guaranteeStripPair: fst (guaranteeStripPair f g) = f **by** (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def)

 $\label{eq:lemma_sud_guarantee} \begin{subarrante} \textbf{lemma} & snd\mbox{-}guaranteeStripPair: snd & (guaranteeStripPair f g) = g \\ \textbf{by} & (simp\mbox{ } add: \mbox{ } guaranteeStripPair-def) \end{subarrantee}$

5.3 Operations on Simpl-Syntax

5.3.1 Normalisation of Sequential Composition: sequence, flatten and normalize

primrec flatten:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com $\Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e)$ com list

```
where
flatten Skip = [Skip]
flatten (Basic f e) = [Basic f e] \mid
flatten (Spec \ r \ e) = [Spec \ r \ e] \mid
flatten (Seq c_1 c_2) = flatten c_1 @ flatten c_2 |
flatten (Cond b c_1 c_2) = [Cond b c_1 c_2] \mid
flatten (While b c) = [While b c] |
flatten (Call p) = [Call p]
flatten (DynCom c) = [DynCom c]
flatten (Guard f g c) = [Guard f g c] \mid
flatten \ Throw = [Throw]
flatten (Catch c_1 c_2) = [Catch c_1 c_2]
flatten (Await b ca e) = [Await b ca e]
primrec flattenc:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com list
where
flattenc Skip = [Skip] \mid
flattenc (Basic f e) = [Basic f e]
flattenc (Spec \ r \ e) = [Spec \ r \ e] \mid
flattenc (Seq c_1 c_2) = [Seq c_1 c_2] \mid
flattenc (Cond b c_1 c_2) = [Cond b c_1 c_2] \mid
flattenc (While b c) = [While b c]
flattenc (Call p) = [Call p]
flattenc \ (DynCom \ c) = [DynCom \ c]
flattenc (Guard f g c) = [Guard f g c] |
flattenc \ Throw = [Throw]
flattenc (Catch c_1 c_2) = flattenc c_1 @ flattenc c_2 |
flattenc (Await b ca e) = [Await b ca e]
primrec sequence:: (('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e)
com) \Rightarrow
                    ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com list \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
where
sequence seq [] = Skip
sequence seq (c\#cs) = (case\ cs\ of\ [] \Rightarrow c
                     | - \Rightarrow seq\ c\ (sequence\ seq\ cs))
primrec normalize:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
where
normalize Skip = Skip \mid
normalize (Basic f e) = Basic f e
normalize (Spec \ r \ e) = Spec \ r \ e
normalize (Seq c_1 c_2) = sequence Seq
                         ((flatten (normalize c_1)) @ (flatten (normalize c_2))) |
normalize (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = Cond \ b \ (normalize \ c_1) \ (normalize \ c_2) \ |
normalize (While b c) = While b (normalize c)
normalize (Call p) = Call p
normalize \ (DynCom \ c) = DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ (normalize \ (c \ s))) \ |
```

```
normalize (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (normalize c)
normalize \ Throw = Throw
normalize (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (normalize c_1) (normalize c_2)
normalize (Await \ b \ ca \ e) = Await \ b \ (Language.normalize \ ca) \ e
primrec normalizec:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
where
normalizec Skip = Skip \mid
normalizec (Basic f e) = Basic f e
normalizec (Spec \ r \ e) = Spec \ r \ e \mid
normalizec (Seq c_1 c_2) = Seq (normalizec c_1) (normalizec c_2)
normalizec \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = Cond \ b \ (normalizec \ c_1) \ (normalizec \ c_2) \ |
normalizec (While b c) = While b (normalizec c)
normalizec (Call p) = Call p
normalizec\ (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (normalizec\ (c\ s)))\ |
normalizec (Guard f q c) = Guard f q (normalizec c)
normalizec \ Throw = Throw \mid
normalizec (Catch c_1 c_2) = sequence Catch
                       ((flattenc\ (normalizec\ c_1))\ @\ (flattenc\ (normalizec\ c_2)))\ |
normalizec\ (Await\ b\ ca\ e) = Await\ b\ (Language.normalize\ ca)\ e
lemma flatten-nonEmpty: flatten c \neq []
 by (induct\ c)\ simp-all
lemma flattenc-nonEmpty: flattenc c \neq []
 by (induct\ c)\ simp-all
lemma flatten-single: \forall c \in set (flatten c'). flatten c = [c]
apply (induct c')
apply
                 simp
apply
                 simp
apply
                simp
               (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
               blast
apply
apply
              (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
             (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
            simp
           (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
apply
           (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
          simp
apply
         (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
          simp
done
lemma flattenc-single: \forall c \in set (flattenc c'). flattenc c = [c]
apply (induct c')
apply
                 simp
apply
                 simp
apply
                simp
```

```
apply
                (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
apply
               (simp\ (no-asm-use)\ )
              (simp (no-asm-use))
apply
apply
             simp
apply
            (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
           (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
          simp
          (simp\ (no-asm-use))
apply
          blast
apply
apply
          simp
done
lemma flatten-sequence-id:
  \llbracket cs \neq \llbracket ; \forall c \in set \ cs. \ flatten \ c = \llbracket c \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies flatten \ (sequence \ Seq \ cs) = cs
 apply (induct cs)
 apply simp
 apply (case-tac cs)
 apply simp
 apply auto
 done
lemma flattenc-sequence-id:
  \llbracket cs \neq \llbracket ; \forall c \in set \ cs. \ flattenc \ c = \llbracket c \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies flattenc \ (sequence \ Catch \ cs) = cs
 apply (induct cs)
 apply simp
 apply (case-tac \ cs)
 apply simp
 apply auto
 done
lemma flatten-app:
 flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2)) = flatten c1 @ flatten c2
 apply (rule flatten-sequence-id)
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (simp)
 apply (insert flatten-single)
 apply blast
 done
lemma flattenc-app:
 flattenc (sequence Catch (flattenc c1 @ flattenc c2)) = flattenc c1 @ flattenc c2
 apply (rule flattenc-sequence-id)
 apply (simp add: flattenc-nonEmpty)
 apply (simp)
 apply (insert flattenc-single)
 apply blast
 done
```

```
lemma flatten-sequence-flatten: flatten (sequence Seq (flatten c)) = flatten c
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
 done
lemma\ flattenc-sequence-flattenc: flattenc (sequence Catch (flattenc c)) = flattenc
 apply (induct \ c)
 apply (auto simp add: flattenc-app)
 done
lemma sequence-flatten-normalize: sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c)) = normal-
apply (induct \ c)
apply (auto simp add: flatten-app)
done
lemma sequence-flattenc-normalize: sequence Catch (flattenc (normalizec c)) =
normalizec\ c
apply (induct \ c)
apply (auto simp add: flattenc-app)
done
lemma flatten-normalize: \bigwedge x xs. flatten (normalize c) = x \# xs
      \implies (case xs of [] \Rightarrow normalize c = x
           |(x'\#xs') \Rightarrow normalize \ c = Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs))
proof (induct c)
 case (Seq c1 c2)
 have flatten (normalize (Seq c1 c2)) = x \# xs by fact
 hence flatten (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2)))
        x\#xs
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 hence x-xs: flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize c2) = x \# xs
   by (simp add: flatten-app)
 show ?case
 proof (cases flatten (normalize c1))
   case Nil
   with flatten-nonEmpty show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case (Cons x1 xs1)
   {f note}\ {\it Cons-x1-xs1}\ =\ this
   with x-xs obtain
    x-x1: x=x1 and xs-rest: xs=xs1 @flatten (normalize c2)
    by auto
```

```
show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs1)
    case Nil
     from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Nil
     have normalize c1 = x1
      by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
      apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
      apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
      apply simp
      done
   next
    case Cons
    from Seq.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Cons
     have normalize c1 = Seq x1 (sequence Seq xs1)
      by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
      apply (cases flatten (normalize c2))
      apply (fastforce simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
      apply (simp split: list.splits)
      done
   \mathbf{qed}
 qed
qed (auto)
lemma flattenc-normalizec: \bigwedge x xs. flattenc (normalizec c) = x \# xs
     \implies (case xs of [] \Rightarrow normalizec c = x
           (x'\#xs') \Rightarrow normalizec \ c= \ Catch \ x \ (sequence \ Catch \ xs))
proof (induct c)
 case (Catch c1 c2)
 have flattenc (normalizec (Catch c1 c2)) = x \# xs by fact
 hence flattenc (sequence Catch (flattenc (normalizec c1) @ flattenc (normalizec
(c2))) =
        x\#xs
   by simp
 hence x-xs: flattenc (normalizec c1) @ flattenc (normalizec c2) = x \# xs
   by (simp add: flattenc-app)
 show ?case
 proof (cases flattenc (normalizec c1))
   case Nil
   with flattenc-nonEmpty show ?thesis by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Cons x1 xs1)
   note Cons-x1-xs1 = this
   with x-xs obtain
     x-x1: x=x1 and xs-rest: xs=xs1@flattenc (normalizec c2)
    by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs1)
```

```
case Nil
     \mathbf{from} \ \ Catch.hyps \ (1) \ [\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{Cons-x1-xs1}] \ \mathit{Nil}
     have normalizec c1 = x1
      by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
       apply (cases flattenc (normalizec c2))
       \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{flattenc}\text{-}\mathit{nonEmpty})
       apply simp
       done
   next
     case Cons
     from Catch.hyps (1) [OF Cons-x1-xs1] Cons
     have normalizec\ c1 = Catch\ x1\ (sequence\ Catch\ xs1)
       by simp
     with Cons-x1-xs1 Nil x-x1 xs-rest show ?thesis
       apply (cases flattenc (normalizec c2))
       apply (fastforce simp add: flattenc-nonEmpty)
      apply (simp split: list.splits)
       done
   qed
 ged
qed (auto)
lemma flatten-raise [simp]: flatten (raise\ f\ e) = [Basic\ f\ e,\ Throw]
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma flatten-condCatch [simp]: flatten (condCatch c1 b c2) = [condCatch c1 b]
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma flatten-bind [simp]: flatten (bind e c) = [bind e c]
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma flatten-bseq [simp]: flatten (bseq\ c1\ c2) = flatten c1\ @ flatten c2
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma flatten-block [simp]:
  flatten\ (block\ init\ ei\ bdy\ return\ er\ result) = [block\ init\ ei\ bdy\ return\ er\ result]
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma flatten-call [simp]: flatten (call init ei p return er result) = [call init ei p
return er result]
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma\ flatten-dynCall\ [simp]:\ flatten\ (dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ result) = [dynCall\ ]
init ei p return er result]
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma flatten-fcall [simp]: flatten (fcall init ei p return er result c) = [fcall init ei
```

```
p return er result c
   by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma flatten-switch [simp]: flatten (switch v \ Vcs) = [switch v \ Vcs]
   by (cases Vcs) auto
lemma flatten-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
    flatten\ (guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c) = [guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ c]
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon guaranteeStrip\text{-}def)
lemma flatten-while [simp]: flatten (while gs\ b\ c) = [while\ gs\ b\ c]
    apply (simp add: while-def)
    apply (induct gs)
   apply auto
    done
lemma flatten-whileAnno [simp]:
    flatten (whileAnno b I V c) = [whileAnno b I V c]
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma flatten-whileAnnoG [simp]:
    flatten\ (while AnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c) = [while AnnoG\ gs\ b\ I\ V\ c]
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma flatten-specAnno [simp]:
    flatten\ (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) = flatten\ (c\ undefined)
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
{\bf lemmas}\ flatten\mbox{-}simps\ =\ flatten\mbox{-}simps\ flatten\mbox{-}raise\ flatten\mbox{-}cond\mbox{\it Catch}\ flatten\mbox{-}bind
    flatten-block flatten-call flatten-dynCall flatten-fcall flatten-switch
    flatten-guaranteeStrip
   flatten-while\ flatten-while\ Anno\ flatten-while\ Anno\ G\ flatten-spec\ Anno\ flatten-while\ flatten-spec\ Anno\ flatten-while\ flatten-w
lemma normalize-raise [simp]:
  normalize (raise f e) = raise f e
   by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma normalize-condCatch [simp]:
  normalize\ (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = condCatch\ (normalize\ c1)\ b\ (normalize\ c2)
   by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma normalize-bind [simp]:
  normalize\ (bind\ e\ c) = bind\ e\ (\lambda v.\ normalize\ (c\ v))
   by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma normalize-bseq [simp]:
  normalize (bseq c1 c2) = sequence bseq
                                                       ((flatten (normalize c1)) @ (flatten (normalize c2)))
   by (simp add: bseq-def)
```

```
lemma normalize-block [simp]: normalize (block init ei bdy return er c) =
                    block init ei (normalize bdy) return er (\lambda s t. normalize (c s t))
 apply (simp add: block-def)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (simp)
 apply (cases flatten (normalize bdy))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply (rule\ conjI)
 apply simp
 \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{drule\ flatten-normalize})
 apply (case-tac list)
 apply \quad simp
 apply simp
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac flatten (normalize (c s sa)))
 apply (simp add: flatten-nonEmpty)
 apply simp
 apply (thin\text{-}tac\ flatten\ (normalize\ bdy) = P\ \mathbf{for}\ P)
 apply (drule flatten-normalize)
 apply (case-tac lista)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 done
lemma normalize-call [simp]:
 normalize (call init ei p return er c) = call init ei p return er (\lambda i t. normalize (c
i(t)
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma normalize-dynCall [simp]:
 normalize (dynCall init ei p return er c) =
   dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ (\lambda s\ t.\ normalize\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma normalize-fcall [simp]:
 normalize (fcall init ei p return er result c) =
   fcall init ei p return er result (\lambda v. normalize (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma normalize-switch [simp]:
 normalize (switch \ v \ Vcs) = switch \ v \ (map \ (\lambda(V,c), \ (V,normalize \ c)) \ Vcs)
apply (induct Vcs)
apply auto
done
lemma normalize-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 normalize (guaranteeStrip f g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (normalize c)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
```

```
lemma normalize-guards [simp]:
   normalize (guards \ gs \ c) = guards \ gs \ (normalize \ c)
   by (induct qs) auto
Sequencial composition with guards in the body is not preserved by normal-
ize
lemma normalize-while [simp]:
   normalize (while gs b c) = guards gs
          (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (guards gs Skip))))
   by (simp add: while-def)
lemma normalize-whileAnno [simp]:
   normalize (whileAnno b I V c) = whileAnno b I V (normalize c)
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma normalize-while AnnoG [simp]:
   normalize (while Anno G gs b I V c) = guards gs
          (While b (sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c) @ flatten (guards gs Skip))))
   by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma normalize-specAnno [simp]:
   normalize (specAnno P c Q A) = specAnno P (\lambda s. normalize (c undefined)) Q
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas normalize-simps =
   normalize.simps normalize-raise normalize-condCatch normalize-bind
  normalize	ext{-}block\ normalize	ext{-}call\ normalize	ext{-}dyn Call\ normalize	ext{-}fcall\ normalize	ext{-}switch
   normalize\mbox{-}guaranteeStrip\ normalize\mbox{-}guards
  normalize-while Anno\ normalize-while Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ G\ normalize-spec Anno\ norm
lemma flattenc-raise [simp]: flattenc (raise f e) = [Seq (Basic f e) Throw]
   by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma flattenc-condCatch [simp]: flattenc (condCatch c1 b c2) = flattenc c1 @
[Cond b c2 Throw]
   by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma flattenc-bind [simp]: flattenc (bind\ e\ c) = [bind\ e\ c]
   by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma flattenc-bseq [simp]: flattenc (bseq c1 c2) = [Seq c1 c2]
   by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma flattenc-block [simp]:
   flattenc (block init ei bdy return er result) = [block init ei bdy return er result]
   by (simp add: block-def)
```

```
lemma flattenc-call [simp]: flattenc (call init ei p return er result) = [call init ei
p return er result]
   by (simp add: call-def)
lemma flattenc-dynCall [simp]: flattenc (dynCall init ei p return er result) =
[dynCall init ei p return er result]
   by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma flattenc-fcall [simp]: flattenc (fcall init ei p return er result c) = [fcall\ init
ei p return er result c]
   by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma flattenc-switch [simp]: flattenc (switch v \ Vcs) = [switch v \ Vcs]
    by (cases Vcs) auto
lemma flattenc-quaranteeStrip [simp]:
   flattenc (guaranteeStrip f g c) = [guaranteeStrip f g c]
   by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma flattenc-while [simp]: flattenc (while gs\ b\ c) = [while\ gs\ b\ c]
    apply (simp add: while-def)
    apply (induct gs)
   apply auto
    done
lemma flattenc-whileAnno [simp]:
    flattenc \ (while Anno \ b \ I \ V \ c) = [while Anno \ b \ I \ V \ c]
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma flattenc-whileAnnoG [simp]:
    flattenc \ (while Anno G \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) = [while Anno G \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c]
    by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma flattenc-specAnno [simp]:
   flattenc (specAnno P c Q A) = flattenc (c undefined)
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas flattenc-simps flattenc-condCatch flattenc-bind
   flattenc-block flattenc-call flattenc-dynCall flattenc-fcall flattenc-switch
   flattenc-guaranteeStrip
   flattenc\text{-}while\ flattenc\text{-}while\ Anno\ flattenc\text{-}while\ Anno\ G\ flattenc\text{-}spec\ Anno\ flattenc\text{-}while\ flattenc\text{
lemma normalizec-raise:
  normalizec (raise f e) = raise f e
   by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma normalizec-condCatch:
  normalizec \ (condCatch \ c1 \ b \ c2) = sequence \ Catch \ ((flattenc \ (normalizec \ c1))@
[Cond\ b\ (normalizec\ c2)\ Throw])
```

```
by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma normalizec-bind:
normalizec \ (bind \ e \ c) = bind \ e \ (\lambda v. \ normalizec \ (c \ v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma normalizec-bseq:
normalizec (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (normalizec c1) (normalizec c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma normalizec-block: normalizec (block init ei bdy return er c) =
                     block init ei (normalizec bdy) return er (\lambda s t. normalizec (c s
t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma normalizec-call:
 normalizec (call init ei p return er c) = call init ei p return er (\lambda i t. normalizec
(c i t)
 by (simp add: call-def normalizec-block)
lemma normalizec-dynCall:
  normalizec (dynCall init ei p return er c) =
   dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ (\lambda s\ t.\ normalizec\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def normalizec-call)
lemma normalizec-fcall:
  normalizec (fcall init ei p return er result c) =
   fcall init ei p return er result (\lambda v. normalizec (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def normalizec-call)
lemma normalizec-switch:
  normalizec (switch v Vcs) = switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,normalizec\ c))\ Vcs)
apply (induct Vcs)
apply auto
done
{\bf lemma}\ normalize c\mbox{-} guarante e Strip:
  normalizec (guaranteeStrip f g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (normalizec c)
 by (simp add: quaranteeStrip-def)
{\bf lemma}\ normalize c\hbox{-} guards\colon
  normalizec (guards \ gs \ c) = guards \ gs \ (normalizec \ c)
 by (induct qs) auto
Sequencial composition with guards in the body is not preserved by normal-
ize
lemma normalizec-while:
  normalizec (while gs b c) = guards gs
     (While b (Seq (normalizec c) (guards gs Skip)))
```

```
by (simp add: while-def normalizec-guards)
\mathbf{lemma}\ normalize c\text{-}while Anno:
  normalizec \ (while Anno \ b \ I \ V \ c) = while Anno \ b \ I \ V \ (normalizec \ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ normalize c\text{-}while Anno G:
  normalizec (while Anno G gs b I V c) = guards gs
     (While b (Seq (normalizec c) (guards gs Skip)))
 by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def normalizec-while)
lemma normalizec-specAnno:
 normalizec\ (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) = specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ normalizec\ (c\ undefined))\ Q
A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
5.3.2 Stripping Guards: strip-quards
primrec strip-guards:: 'f set \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
where
strip-guards F Skip = Skip |
strip-guards F (Basic f e) = Basic f e
strip-guards F (Spec r e) = Spec r e |
strip-guards F (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (strip-guards F c_1) (strip-guards F c_2)) |
strip-guards\ F\ (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2)=Cond\ b\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_2)\ |
strip-guards F (While b c) = While b (strip-guards F c) |
strip-quards F (Call p) = Call p |
strip-guards F (DynCom c) = DynCom (\lambda s. (strip-guards F (c s))) |
strip-guards F (Guard f g c) = (if f \in F then strip-guards F c
                              else Guard f g (strip-guards F c)) |
strip-guards F Throw = Throw |
strip-guards\ F\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)=Catch\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c_2)\ |
strip-guards F (Await b ca e) = Await b (Language.strip-guards F ca) e
lemma no-await-strip-quards-eq:
   assumes noawaits:noawaits t
   shows (Language.strip-guards F (sequential t)) = (sequential (strip-guards F
t))
using noawaits
by (induct t) auto
definition strip:: 'f set \Rightarrow
                   ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ option) \Rightarrow ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
option)
 where strip F \Gamma = (\lambda p. map-option (strip-guards F) (\Gamma p))
```

```
lemma strip-simp [simp]: (strip F \Gamma) p = map-option (strip-guards F) (\Gamma p)
 by (simp add: strip-def)
lemma dom-strip: dom (strip F \Gamma) = dom \Gamma
 by (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ strip\text{-}guards\text{-}idem:\ strip\text{-}guards\ F\ (strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c) = strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c
 by (induct c) (auto simp add:Language.strip-guards-idem)
lemma strip\text{-}idem: strip F (strip F \Gamma) = strip F \Gamma
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (case-tac \Gamma x)
 apply (auto simp add: strip-guards-idem strip-def)
 done
lemma strip-quards-raise [simp]:
  strip-guards F (raise f e) = raise f e
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma strip-quards-condCatch [simp]:
  strip-guards F (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (strip-guards F c1) b (strip-guards F c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma strip-quards-bind [simp]:
  strip-quards\ F\ (bind\ e\ c) = bind\ e\ (\lambda v.\ strip-quards\ F\ (c\ v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma strip-guards-bseq [simp]:
  strip-guards\ F\ (bseq\ c1\ c2) = bseq\ (strip-guards\ F\ c1)\ (strip-guards\ F\ c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma strip-guards-block [simp]:
  strip-guards F (block init ei bdy return er c) =
   block init ei (strip-guards F bdy) return er (\lambda s t. strip-guards F (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma strip-guards-call [simp]:
  strip-guards F (call init ei p return er c) =
    call init ei p return er (\lambda s \ t. \ strip-guards \ F \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma strip-guards-dynCall [simp]:
  strip-guards F (dynCall init ei p return er c) =
    dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ (\lambda s\ t.\ strip-guards\ F\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma strip-quards-fcall [simp]:
  strip-guards F (fcall init ei p return er result c) =
```

```
fcall init ei p return er result (\lambda v. strip-guards F (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma strip-quards-switch [simp]:
 strip-quards F (switch v Vc) =
   switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,strip-guards\ F\ c))\ Vc)
 by (induct Vc) auto
lemma strip-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 strip-guards F (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (if f \in F then strip-guards F c
    else guaranteeStrip\ f\ g\ (strip-guards\ F\ c))
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma guaranteeStripPair-split-conv [simp]: case-prod c (guaranteeStripPair f g)
= c f q
 by (simp add: quaranteeStripPair-def)
lemma strip-guards-guards [simp]: strip-guards F (guards gs c) =
       guards (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) (strip-guards F c)
 by (induct qs) auto
lemma strip-guards-while [simp]:
strip-guards F (while <math>gs b c) =
    while (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) b (strip-guards F c)
 by (simp add: while-def)
lemma strip-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
strip-guards\ F\ (whileAnno\ b\ I\ V\ c) = whileAnno\ b\ I\ V\ (strip-guards\ F\ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma strip-quards-whileAnnoG [simp]:
strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c) =
    while AnnoG (filter (\lambda(f,g), f \notin F) gs) b I V (strip-guards F c)
 by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
lemma strip-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 strip-guards F (specAnno P c Q A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ strip-guards\ F\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas strip-guards-simps = strip-guards.simps strip-guards-raise
 strip-quards-cond Catch strip-quards-bind strip-quards-bseq strip-quards-block
 strip-guards-dynCall\ strip-guards-fcall\ strip-guards-switch
 strip-guards-guarantee Strip\ guarantee StripPair-split-conv\ strip-guards-guards
 strip-guards-while\ strip-guards-while\ Anno\ strip-guards-while\ Anno\ G
 strip-quards-specAnno
```

5.3.3 Marking Guards: mark-guards

```
primrec mark-guards:: f \Rightarrow (s, p, g, e) com \Rightarrow (s, p, f, e) com
where
mark-quards f Skip = Skip
mark-guards f (Basic g e) = Basic g e |
mark-guards f (Spec r e) = Spec r e |
mark-guards f (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2)) |
mark-guards f (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2) |
mark-guards f (While b c) = While b (<math>mark-guards f c)
mark-guards f(Call p) = Call p
mark-quards f (DynCom c) = DynCom (\lambda s. (mark-quards f (c s)))
mark-guards f (Guard f' g c) = Guard f g (mark-guards f c) |
mark-quards f Throw = Throw |
mark-guards f (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (mark-guards f c_1) (mark-guards f c_2) |
mark-quards f (Await b ca e) = Await b (Language.mark-quards f ca) e
lemma mark-guards-raise: mark-guards f (raise g e) = raise g e
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma mark-guards-condCatch [simp]:
 mark-guards f (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (mark-guards f c1) b (mark-guards f c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma mark-guards-bind [simp]:
 mark-guards f (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. mark-guards f (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma mark-guards-bseq [simp]:
 mark-quards f (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (mark-quards f c1) (mark-quards f c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma mark-guards-block [simp]:
 mark-quards f (block init ei bdy return er c) =
   block init ei (mark-guards f bdy) return er (\lambda s t. mark-guards f (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma mark-guards-call [simp]:
 mark-guards f (call init ei p return er c) =
    call init ei p return er (\lambda s \ t. \ mark-guards \ f \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma mark-guards-dynCall [simp]:
 mark-guards f (dynCall init ei p return er c) =
    dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ (\lambda s\ t.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma mark-guards-fcall [simp]:
 mark-guards f (fcall init ei p return er result c) =
```

```
fcall init ei p return er result (\lambda v. mark-guards f (c v))
   by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma mark-guards-switch [simp]:
   mark-quards f (switch v vs) =
       switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,mark\text{-}guards f c)) vs)
   by (induct vs) auto
lemma mark-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
   mark-guards f (guaranteeStrip f' g c) = guaranteeStrip f g (mark-guards f c)
   by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma mark-guards-guards [simp]:
   mark-guards f (guards gs c) = guards (map (\lambda(f',g). (f,g)) gs) (mark-guards f
c)
  by (induct qs) auto
lemma mark-guards-while [simp]:
 mark-guards f (while gs b c) =
      while (map \ (\lambda(f',g), (f,g)) \ gs) \ b \ (mark-guards \ f \ c)
  by (simp add: while-def)
lemma mark-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
 mark-quards f (while Anno b I V c) = while Anno b I V (mark-quards f c)
  by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-whileAnnoG [simp]:
 mark-guards f (while Anno G gs b I V c) =
      while AnnoG (map (\lambda(f',g), (f,g)) gs) b I V (mark-guards f c)
   by (simp add: whileAnno-def whileAnnoG-def while-def)
lemma mark-guards-specAnno [simp]:
   mark-guards f (specAnno P c Q A) =
      specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ mark-guards\ f\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
   by (simp add: specAnno-def)
lemmas mark-guards-simps = mark-guards.simps mark-guards-raise
   mark-quards-condCatch mark-quards-bind mark-quards-bseq mark-quards-block
   mark-guards-dynCall mark-guards-fcall mark-guards-switch
   mark-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-quards-qua
   mark\text{-}guards\text{-}while\ mark\text{-}guards\text{-}whileAnno\ mark\text{-}guards\text{-}whileAnnoG
   mark-guards-specAnno
definition is-Guard:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool
   where is-Guard c = (case \ c \ of \ Guard \ f \ g \ c' \Rightarrow True \ | \ - \Rightarrow False)
lemma is-Guard-basic-simps [simp]:
 is-Guard Skip = False
 is-Guard (Basic f ev) = False
 is-Guard (Spec r ev) = False
```

```
is-Guard (Seq c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (Cond b c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (While b c) = False
is-Guard (Call p) = False
is-Guard (DynCom\ C) = False
is-Guard (Guard F g c) = True
is-Guard\ (Throw) = False
is-Guard (Catch c1 c2) = False
is-Guard (raise f ev) = False
is-Guard (condCatch\ c1\ b\ c2) = False
is-Guard (bind\ e\ cv) = False
is-Guard (bseq\ c1\ c2) = False
is-Guard (block init ei bdy return er\ cont) = False
is-Guard (call init ei p return er cont) = False
is-Guard (dynCall\ init\ ei\ P\ return\ er\ cont) = False
 is-Guard (fcall init ei p return er result cont') = False
is-Guard (whileAnno b I V c) = False
is-Guard (guaranteeStrip\ F\ g\ c) = True
is-Guard (Await b ca ev) = False
 by (auto simp add: is-Guard-def raise-def condCatch-def bind-def bseq-def
        block-def call-def dynCall-def fcall-def whileAnno-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma is-Guard-switch [simp]:
is-Guard (switch v Vc) = False
 by (induct Vc) auto
lemmas is-Guard-simps is-Guard-switch
primrec dest-Guard:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('f \times 's \ set \times ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com)
 where dest-Guard (Guard f g c) = (f,g,c)
lemma dest-Guard-guaranteeStrip [simp]: dest-Guard (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
(f,g,c)
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemmas dest-Guard-simps = dest-Guard-guaranteeStrip
        Merging Guards: merge-quards
primrec merge-guards:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
where
merge-guards Skip = Skip |
merge-guards (Basic\ g\ e) = Basic\ g\ e
merge-guards (Spec \ r \ e) = Spec \ r \ e
merge-guards (Seq c_1 c_2) = (Seq (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)) |
merge-guards (Cond b c_1 c_2) = Cond b (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2) |
merge-guards (While b c) = While b (merge-guards c) |
```

merge-quards (Call p) = Call p

```
merge-quards (DynCom\ c) = DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ (merge-quards (c\ s)))
merge-guards (Await \ b \ ca \ e) = Await \ b \ (Language.merge-guards \ ca) \ e \ |
merge-guards (Guard f g c) =
   (let \ c' = (merge-guards \ c))
    in if is-Guard c'
       then let (f',g',c'') = dest-Guard c'
           in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c''
                     else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c'')
       else Guard f g c'
merge-guards Throw = Throw
merge-guards (Catch c_1 c_2) = Catch (merge-guards c_1) (merge-guards c_2)
lemma merge-quards-res-Skip: merge-quards c = Skip \implies c = Skip
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Basic: merge-guards c = Basic \ f \ e \Longrightarrow c = Basic \ f \ e
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Spec: merge-quards c = Spec \ r \ e \Longrightarrow c = Spec \ r \ e
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Seq: merge-guards c = Seq\ c1\ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Seq c1' c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Cond: merge-quards c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1' c2'. c = Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \land merge-guards \ c1' = c1 \land merge-guards \ c2' =
c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-While: merge-guards c = While \ b \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = While \ b \ c'' \land merge-guards \ c'' = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Call: merge-guards c = Call \ p \Longrightarrow c = Call \ p
  by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-DynCom: merge-guards c = DynCom \ c' \Longrightarrow
   \exists c''. c = DynCom c'' \land (\lambda s. (merge-guards (c'' s))) = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Throw: merge-guards c = Throw \implies c = Throw
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-quards-res-Catch: merge-quards c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow
   \exists c1'c2'. c = Catch c1'c2' \land merge-guards c1' = c1 \land merge-guards c2' = c2
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
```

```
lemma merge-guards-res-Guard:
merge-guards c = Guard f g c' \Longrightarrow \exists c'' f' g'. c = Guard f' g' c''
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemma merge-guards-res-Await: merge-guards c = Await \ b \ c' \ e \Longrightarrow
     \exists c''. c = Await \ b \ c'' \ e \land Language.merge-guards \ c'' = c'
 by (cases c) (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm simp add: is-Guard-def Let-def)
lemmas merge-guards-res-simps = merge-guards-res-Skip merge-guards-res-Basic
merge-guards-res-Spec merge-guards-res-Seq merge-guards-res-Cond
merge\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} res\hbox{-} While \ merge\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} res\hbox{-} Call
merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}DynCom\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}Throw\ merge\mbox{-}guards\mbox{-}res\mbox{-}Catch
merge-quards-res-Guard merge-quards-res-Await
lemma merge-quards-raise: merge-quards (raise q e) = raise q e
 by (simp add: raise-def)
lemma merge-guards-condCatch [simp]:
  merge-guards (condCatch c1 b c2) =
   condCatch (merge-guards c1) b (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: condCatch-def)
lemma merge-guards-bind [simp]:
  merge-guards (bind e c) = bind e (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
 by (simp add: bind-def)
lemma merge-guards-bseq [simp]:
  merge-guards (bseq c1 c2) = bseq (merge-guards c1) (merge-guards c2)
 by (simp add: bseq-def)
lemma merge-guards-block [simp]:
  merge-guards (block init ei bdy return er c) =
   block init ei (merge-guards bdy) return er (\lambda s t. merge-guards (c s t))
 by (simp add: block-def)
lemma merge-guards-call [simp]:
  merge-guards (call init ei p return er c) =
    call init ei p return er (\lambda s \ t. \ merge-guards \ (c \ s \ t))
 by (simp add: call-def)
lemma merge-guards-dynCall [simp]:
  merge-guards (dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ c) =
    dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ (\lambda s\ t.\ merge-guards\ (c\ s\ t))
 by (simp add: dynCall-def)
lemma merge-guards-fcall [simp]:
  merge-guards (fcall init ei p return er result c) =
```

```
fcall init ei p return er result (\lambda v. merge-guards (c v))
 by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma merge-guards-switch [simp]:
 merge-quards (switch v vs) =
    switch v (map (\lambda(V,c), (V,merge-guards c)) vs)
 by (induct vs) auto
lemma merge-guards-guaranteeStrip [simp]:
 merge-guards (guaranteeStrip f g c) =
   (let \ c' = (merge-guards \ c)
    in if is-Guard c'
       then let (f',g',c') = dest-Guard c'
           in if f=f' then Guard f(g \cap g') c'
                    else Guard f g (Guard f' g' c')
       else Guard f q c')
 by (simp add: guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma merge-guards-whileAnno [simp]:
merge-guards (while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ c) = while Anno\ b\ I\ V\ (merge-guards\ c)
 by (simp add: whileAnno-def while-def)
lemma merge-guards-specAnno [simp]:
 merge-guards (specAnno\ P\ c\ Q\ A) =
   specAnno\ P\ (\lambda s.\ merge-guards\ (c\ undefined))\ Q\ A
 by (simp add: specAnno-def)
```

LanguageCon.merge-guards for guard-lists as in LanguageCon.guards, LanguageCon.while and LanguageCon.whileAnnoG may have funny effects since the guard-list has to be merged with the body statement too.

lemmas merge-guards-simps = merge-guards.simps merge-guards-raise merge-guards-condCatch merge-guards-bind merge-guards-bseq merge-guards-block merge-guards-dynCall merge-guards-fcall merge-guards-switch merge-quards-quaranteeStrip merge-guards-whileAnno merge-guards-specAnno

```
primrec noguards:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool where
noguards Skip = True \mid
noguards (Basic\ f\ e) = True \mid
noguards (Spec\ r\ e) = True \mid
noguards (Seq\ c_1\ c_2) = (noguards\ c_1 \land noguards\ c_2) |
noguards (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2) = (noguards\ c_1 \land noguards\ c_2) |
noguards (While\ b\ c) = (noguards\ c) |
noguards (Call\ p) = True\ \mid
noguards (True\ p) = True\ p)
```

```
lemma\ noawaits-noguards-seq:noawaits\ c\implies noguards\ c=Language.noguards
(sequential c)
by (induct\ c,\ auto)
lemma noguards-strip-guards: noguards (strip-guards UNIV c)
 by (induct c) (auto simp add: noguards-strip-guards)
primrec nothrows:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool
where
nothrows Skip = True \mid
nothrows (Basic f e) = True
nothrows (Spec \ r \ e) = True \mid
nothrows (Seq c_1 c_2) = (nothrows c_1 \land nothrows c_2) \mid
nothrows\ (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2)=(nothrows\ c_1\ \land\ nothrows\ c_2)\mid
nothrows (While b c) = nothrows c
nothrows (Call p) = True \mid
nothrows (DynCom \ c) = (\forall \ s. \ nothrows \ (c \ s)) \mid
nothrows (Guard f g c) = nothrows c \mid
nothrows Throw = False
nothrows\ (Catch\ c_1\ c_2)=(nothrows\ c_1\wedge nothrows\ c_2)\mid
nothrows (Await \ b \ cn \ e) = Language.nothrows \ cn
lemma\ noawaits-nothrows-seq:noawaits\ c \implies nothrows\ c = Language.nothrows
(sequential c)
by (induct\ c,\ auto)
5.3.5
         Intersecting Guards: c_1 \cap_q c_2
inductive-set com-rel ::(('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \times ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com) set
where
 (c1, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c2, Seq\ c1\ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c1, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c2, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, While \ b \ c) \in com\text{-rel}
(c \ x, \ DynCom \ c) \in com\text{-rel}
(c, Guard f q c) \in com\text{-rel}
(c1, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
|(c2, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}|
inductive-cases com-rel-elim-cases:
(c, Skip) \in com\text{-rel}
(c, Basic f e) \in com\text{-rel}
(c, Spec \ r \ e) \in com\text{-rel}
(c, Seq c1 c2) \in com\text{-rel}
```

 $(c, Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}$

```
(c, While \ b \ c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Call p) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, DynCom\ c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Guard f g c1) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Throw) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Catch \ c1 \ c2) \in com\text{-rel}
 (c, Await \ b \ cn \ e) \in com-rel
lemma wf-com-rel: wf com-rel
apply (rule wfUNIVI)
apply (induct-tac \ x)
                                  (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
                                (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
                               (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
apply
                              (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
                            simp, simp)
                           (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,
apply
                          simp, simp)
apply
                        (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp)
apply
                       (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
                      (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,simp)
apply
apply
                    (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases,simp)
                   (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
apply
apply (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases, simp, simp)
apply (erule allE, erule mp, (rule allI impI)+, erule com-rel-elim-cases)
done
consts inter-guards:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \times ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e)
com option
abbreviation
    inter-guards-syntax :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ LanguageCon.com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ Language-response for the contract of the contract 
 Con.com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com \ option
                   (- \cap_{gs} - [20,20] 19)
     where ((c::('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com) \cap_{gs} (d::('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com)) == Language-
 Con.inter-quards (c,d)
recdef inter-guards inv-image com-rel fst
(Skip \cap_{gs} Skip) = Some Skip
(Basic f1 e1 \cap_{qs} Basic f2 e2) = (if (f1=f2) \land (e1=e2) then Some (Basic f1 e1)
else None)
(Spec \ r1 \ e1 \ \cap_{gs} \ Spec \ r2 \ e2) = (if \ (r1=r2) \ \land \ (e1=e2) \ then \ Some \ (Spec \ r1 \ e1)
else None)
(Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_{gs} Seq \ b1 \ b2) =
     (case (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) of
          None \Rightarrow None
      | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) of
```

```
None \Rightarrow None
                  | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)))
(Cond\ cnd1\ t1\ e1\ \cap_{qs}\ Cond\ cnd2\ t2\ e2) =
   (if (cnd1 = cnd2)
    then (case (t1 \cap_{gs} t2) of
            None \Rightarrow None
          \mid Some t \Rightarrow (case\ (e1\ \cap_{gs}\ e2)\ of
                          None \Rightarrow None
                       | Some \ e \Rightarrow Some \ (Cond \ cnd1 \ t \ e)))
    else None)
(While cnd1 c1 \cap_{gs} While cnd2 c2) =
    (if (cnd1 = cnd2))
     then (case (c1 \cap_{qs} c2) of
             None \Rightarrow None
           | Some \ c \Rightarrow Some \ (While \ cnd1 \ c))
     else None)
(Call \ p1 \cap_{gs} Call \ p2) =
   (if p1 = p2
    then Some (Call p1)
    else None)
(DynCom\ P1\ \cap_{gs}\ DynCom\ P2) =
   (if \ (\forall s.\ ((P1\ s)\ \cap_{gs}\ (P2\ s)) \neq None)
   then Some (DynCom (\lambda s. the ((P1 s) \cap_{gs} (P2 s))))
   else None)
(Guard\ m1\ g1\ c1\ \cap_{gs}\ Guard\ m2\ g2\ c2) =
   (if m1=m2 then
       (case (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) of
          None \Rightarrow None
        | Some \ c \Rightarrow Some \ (Guard \ m1 \ (g1 \cap g2) \ c))
    else None)
(Throw \cap_{gs} Throw) = Some Throw
(Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_{gs}\ Catch\ b1\ b2) =
   (case (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) of
      None \Rightarrow None
    | Some c1 \Rightarrow (case (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) of
                    None \Rightarrow None
                  | Some \ c2 \Rightarrow Some \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2)))
(Await\ cnd1\ ca1\ e1\ \cap_{gs}\ Await\ cnd2\ ca2\ e2) =
 (if (cnd1=cnd2 \land e1=e2) then
       (case (ca1 \cap_g ca2) of
             None \Rightarrow None
            Some \ c \Rightarrow Some \ (Await \ cnd1 \ c \ e1))
     else None)
```

```
(c \cap_{gs} d) = None
(hints cong add: option.case-cong if-cong
      recdef-wf: wf-com-rel simp: com-rel.intros)
lemma inter-guards-strip-eq:
 \bigwedge(c::('s,\ 'p,\ 'f,\ 'e)\ com).\ ((c1::('s,\ 'p,\ 'f,\ 'e)\ com)\ \cap_{gs}\ (c2::('s,\ 'p,\ 'f,\ 'e)\ com))
= Some \ c \implies
   (strip-guards\ UNIV\ c=strip-guards\ UNIV\ c1)\ \land
   (strip\text{-}guards\ UNIV\ c=strip\text{-}guards\ UNIV\ c2)
apply (induct c1 c2 rule: inter-guards.induct)
prefer 8
apply (simp split: if-split-asm)
apply hypsubst
apply simp
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac x=s in all E, erule exE)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply fastforce
apply (fastforce dest:inter-guards-strip-eq split: option.splits if-split-asm)+
done
lemma inter-guards-sym: \bigwedge c.\ (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some\ c \Longrightarrow (c2 \cap_{gs} c1) = Some\ c
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{induct}\ c1\ c2\ rule:\ inter-guards.induct)
apply (simp-all)
prefer 7
apply (simp split: if-split-asm)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule ext)
apply (erule-tac \ x=s \ in \ all E)+
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{fastforce dest:inter-guards-sym split: option.splits if-split-asm}) + \\
done
lemma inter-guards-Skip: (Skip \cap_{qs} c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Skip \land c = Skip)
 by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Basic:
  ((Basic\ f\ e1)\cap_{gs}\ c2)=Some\ c=(c2=Basic\ f\ e1\ \land\ c=Basic\ f\ e1)
 by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Spec:
  ((Spec \ r \ e1) \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Spec \ r \ e1 \land c = Spec \ r \ e1)
 by (cases c2) auto
lemma inter-guards-Seq:
 (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_{qs} \ c2) = Some \ c =
```

```
(\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Seq \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
        (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Seq \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-Cond:
  (Cond\ cnd\ t1\ e1\ \cap_{qs}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists t2 \ e2 \ t \ e. \ c2 = Cond \ cnd \ t2 \ e2 \land (t1 \cap_{gs} t2) = Some \ t \land
        (e1 \cap_{gs} e2) = Some \ e \land c = Cond \ cnd \ t \ e)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-While:
 (While cnd bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c =
     (\exists \, bdy2 \, \, bdy. \, \, c2 = While \, \, cnd \, \, bdy2 \, \wedge \, (bdy1 \, \cap_{gs} \, bdy2) = Some \, \, bdy \, \wedge \,
       c = While \ cnd \ bdy)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Await:
 (Await\ cnd\ bdy1\ e1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2 = Await \ cnd \ bdy2 \ e1 \ \land \ (bdy1 \cap_q \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \ \land
       c=Await\ cnd\ bdy\ e1
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Call:
  (Call\ p \cap_{gs} c2) = Some\ c =
     (c2 = Call \ p \land c = Call \ p)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-DynCom:
  (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists \textit{f2. c2} = \textit{DynCom f2} \ \land \ (\forall \textit{s.} \ ((\textit{f1 s}) \ \cap_{\textit{gs}} \ (\textit{f2 s})) \neq \textit{None}) \ \land
      c=DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ the\ ((f1\ s)\ \cap_{gs}\ (f2\ s))))
  by (cases c2) (auto split: if-split-asm)
lemma inter-guards-Guard:
  (Guard\ f\ g1\ bdy1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists g2 \ bdy2 \ bdy. \ c2=Guard \ f \ g2 \ bdy2 \ \land \ (bdy1 \ \cap_{gs} \ bdy2) = Some \ bdy \ \land
       c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
lemma inter-guards-Throw:
  (Throw \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c = (c2 = Throw \land c = Throw)
  by (cases c2) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}Catch:
  (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_{qs}\ c2) = Some\ c =
     (\exists b1 \ b2 \ d1 \ d2. \ c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \land (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 \land a
        (a2 \cap_{qs} b2) = Some \ d2 \wedge c = Catch \ d1 \ d2)
  by (cases c2) (auto split: option.splits)
```

 $\label{lemmas} \begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{lemmas} inter-guards-simps = inter-guards-Skip inter-guards-Basic inter-guards-Spec inter-guards-Seq inter-guards-Cond inter-guards-While inter-guards-Call inter-guards-DynCom inter-guards-Guard inter-guards-Throw inter-guards-Catch inter-guards-Await \end{tabular}$

5.3.6 Subset on Guards: $c_1 \subseteq_q c_2$

consts subseteq-guards:: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \times ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool

abbreviation

```
subseteq-guards-syntax :: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow bool (- \subseteq_{gs} - [20,20] 19) where c \subseteq_{gs} d == subseteq-guards (c,d)
```

```
recdef subseteq-guards inv-image com-rel snd
```

```
(Skip \subseteq_{gs} Skip) = True
(Basic f1 e1 \subseteq_{gs} Basic f2 e2) = ((f1=f2) \land (e1 = e2))
(Spec r1 e1 \subseteq_{gs} Spec r2 e2) = ((r1=r2) \land (e1 = e2))
(Seq a1 a2 \subseteq_{gs} Seq b1 b2) = ((a1 \subseteq_{gs} b1) \land (a2 \subseteq_{gs} b2))
(Cond \ cnd1 \ t1 \ e1 \subseteq_{gs} \ Cond \ cnd2 \ t2 \ e2) = ((cnd1=cnd2) \land (t1 \subseteq_{gs} t2) \land (e1 \subseteq_{gs} e2))
(While \ cnd1 \ c1 \subseteq_{gs} While \ cnd2 \ c2) = ((cnd1=cnd2) \land (c1 \subseteq_{gs} c2))
(Call \ p1 \subseteq_{gs} Call \ p2) = (p1 = p2)
(DynCom \ P1 \subseteq_{gs} DynCom \ P2) = (\forall s. \ ((P1 \ s) \subseteq_{gs} (P2 \ s)))
(Guard \ m1 \ g1 \ c1 \subseteq_{gs} Guard \ m2 \ g2 \ c2) =
((m1=m2 \land g1=g2 \land (c1 \subseteq_{gs} c2)) \lor (Guard \ m1 \ g1 \ c1 \subseteq_{gs} c2))
(c1 \subseteq_{gs} Guard \ m2 \ g2 \ c2) = (c1 \subseteq_{gs} c2)
(Await \ cnd1 \ ca1 \ e1 \subseteq_{gs} Await \ cnd2 \ ca2 \ e2) = ((cnd1=cnd2) \land (ca1 \subseteq_{g} ca2) \land (e1=e2))
```

```
(Throw \subseteq_{gs} Throw) = True
(Catch\ a1\ a2 \subseteq_{gs} Catch\ b1\ b2) = ((a1 \subseteq_{gs} b1) \land (a2 \subseteq_{gs} b2))
(c \subseteq_{gs} d) = False
```

(hints cong add: if-cong

recdef-wf: wf-com-rel simp: com-rel.intros)

```
{f lemma}\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Skip:
```

```
c \subseteq_{gs} Skip \Longrightarrow c = Skip

by (cases c) (auto)
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Basic\hbox{:}$

```
c \subseteq_{gs} Basic f e \Longrightarrow c = Basic f e
by (cases c) (auto)
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Spec\hbox{:}
 c \subseteq_{qs} Spec \ r \ e \Longrightarrow c = Spec \ r \ e
  by (cases c) (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Seq:
   c \subseteq_{gs} Seq \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Seq \ c1' \ c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_{gs} \ c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_{gs} \ c2)
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Cond:
  c \subseteq_{gs} Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_{gs} \ c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_{gs} \ c1)
c2)
  by (cases c) (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-While}\colon
   c \subseteq_{gs} While \ b \ c' \Longrightarrow \exists \ c''. \ c=While \ b \ c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_{gs} \ c')
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Await:
   c \subseteq_{gs} Await \ b \ c' \ e \Longrightarrow \exists \ c''. \ c=Await \ b \ c'' \ e \ \land \ (c'' \subseteq_{g} \ c')
  by (cases \ c) \ (auto)
{\bf lemma}\ subseteq\hbox{-} guards\hbox{-} Call\hbox{:}
 c \subseteq_{gs} Call \ p \Longrightarrow c = Call \ p
  by (cases c) (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subseteq-guards-DynCom}\colon
   c \subseteq_{gs} DynCom \ C \Longrightarrow \exists \ C'. \ c=DynCom \ C' \land (\forall \ s. \ C' \ s \subseteq_{gs} \ C \ s)
  by (cases \ c) \ (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Guard:
   c \subseteq_{gs} Guard f g c' \Longrightarrow
      (c \subseteq_{gs} c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f g c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'))
  by (cases c) (auto split: if-split-asm)
\mathbf{lemma}\ subseteq	ext{-}guards	ext{-}Throw:
 c \subseteq_{qs} Throw \Longrightarrow c = Throw
  by (cases c) (auto)
lemma subseteq-guards-Catch:
   c \subseteq_{gs} Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow \exists \ c1' \ c2'. \ c=Catch \ c1' \ c2' \land (c1' \subseteq_{gs} \ c1) \land (c2' \subseteq_{gs} \ c1)
c2)
  by (cases c) (auto)
```

lemmas subseteq-guardsD = subseteq-guards-Skip subseteq-guards-Basic subseteq-guards-Spec subseteq-guards-Seq subseteq-guards-Cond subseteq-guards-While subseteq-guards-Call subseteq-guards-DynCom subseteq-guards-Guard subseteq-guards-Throw subseteq-guards-Catch subseteq-guards-Await

```
lemma subseteq-guards-Guard': Guard f b c \subseteq_{gs} d \Longrightarrow \exists f' b' c'. d = Guard f' b' c' apply (cases d) apply auto done lemma subseteq-guards-refl: c \subseteq_g c by (induct \ c) auto
```

end

6 Big-Step Semantics for Simpl

 ${\bf theory}\ Semantic Con\ {\bf imports}\ Language Con\ EmbSimpl/Semantic\ {\bf begin}$

```
notation
restrict-map (-|- [90, 91] 90)
definition isAbr::('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
 where isAbr\ S = (\exists s.\ S = Abrupt\ s)
lemma isAbr-simps [simp]:
isAbr (Normal s) = False
isAbr\ (Abrupt\ s) = True
isAbr (Fault f) = False
isAbr\ Stuck = False
by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
by (auto simp add: isAbr-def)
lemma not-isAbrD:
\neg isAbr s \Longrightarrow (\exists s'. s=Normal s') \lor s = Stuck \lor (\exists f. s=Fault f)
 by (cases s) auto
definition isFault:: ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
 where is Fault S = (\exists f. S = Fault f)
lemma isFault-simps [simp]:
isFault (Normal s) = False
isFault (Abrupt s) = False
isFault (Fault f) = True
isFault\ Stuck\ =\ False
by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
```

```
lemma isFault [consumes 1, elim?]: [[isFault s; \bigwedge f. s=Fault f \Longrightarrow P] \Longrightarrow P
 by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
lemma not-isFault-iff: (\neg isFault\ t) = (\forall f.\ t \neq Fault\ f)
 by (auto elim: isFaultE)
        Big-Step Execution: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
6.1
The procedure environment
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) body = 'p \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com option
definition no-await-body :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f) Semantic.body (\neg_a [98])
no-await-body \Gamma \equiv (\lambda x. \ case \ (\Gamma \ x) \ of \ (Some \ t) \Rightarrow if \ (noawaits \ t) \ then \ Some
(sequential t) else None
                         | None \Rightarrow None
definition parallel-env::('s,'p,'f) Semantic.body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e') body
  where
parallel-env \Gamma = (\lambda x. \ case \ (\Gamma \ x) \ of \ (Some \ t) \Rightarrow Some \ (parallel \ t)
                                      | None \Rightarrow None |
\mathbf{lemma}\ in\text{-}gamma\text{-}in\text{-}noawait\text{-}gamma:
    \forall p. \ p \in dom \ (\Gamma_{\neg a}) \longrightarrow p \in dom \ \Gamma
 by (simp add: domIff no-await-body-def option.case-eq-if)
lemma no-await-some-some-p:
     assumes not-none:\Gamma_{\neg a} p = Some s
     shows (\Gamma p) = None \Longrightarrow P
proof -
  assume \Gamma p = None
 hence None = \Gamma_{\neg a} p
    by (simp add: no-await-body-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: not-none)
qed
{f lemma} no-await-some-no-await:
     assumes not-none:\Gamma_{\neg a} p = Some \ s \land (\Gamma p) = Some \ t
     shows noawaits t
proof -
  have None \neq \Gamma_{\neg a} p
    using not-none by auto
```

```
hence (if noawaits t then Some (sequential t) else None) \neq None
     by (simp add: no-await-body-def not-none)
   thus ?thesis
     by meson
qed
lemma lam1-seq:\Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a} \Longrightarrow \Gamma 1 p = Some \ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma p = Some \ t \Longrightarrow s = sequential
unfolding no-await-body-def
proof -
   assume a1: \Gamma 1 p = Some s
  assume a2: \Gamma 1 = (\lambda x. \ case \ \Gamma \ x \ of \ None \ \Rightarrow None \ | \ Some \ t \Rightarrow if \ noawaits \ t \ then
Some (sequential t) else None)
  assume \Gamma p = Some t
  hence (if noawaits t then Some (sequential t) else None) = \Gamma 1 p
     using a2 by force
   thus ?thesis
     using a1 by (metis (no-types) option.distinct(2) option.inject)
qed
inductive
   exec::[('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com,('s,'f)\ xstate,('s,'f)\ xstate]
                          \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash_p \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow - [60, 20, 98, 98] 89)
   for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) body
where
   Skip: \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
             \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
\mid \textit{GuardFault: } s \not\in g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \textit{Guard f g c,Normal s} \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{ Fault f}
| FaultProp\ [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Fault\ f \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f
\mid Basic: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (f\ s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
           \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
                  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_2, s' \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
          \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                      \Gamma \vdash_{n} \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                       \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| AwaitTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma_p = \Gamma_{\neg a}; \Gamma_p \vdash \langle ca, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow t]
                       \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ ca \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| AwaitFalse: [s \notin b]
                       \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ ca \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Call: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CallUndefined: [\Gamma p=None]
                               \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
| StuckProp [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{DynCom} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle (\mathit{c}\ s), \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
                      \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s
|AbruptProp[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Abrupt s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt s
| \textit{CatchMatch}: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{Abrupt } s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                          \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
| CatchMiss: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket
                          \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
inductive-cases exec-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Skip, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Basic\ f\ e, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Spec \ r \ e,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle DynCom \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Throw, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Fault f \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Stuck \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
Relation between Concurrent Semantics and Sequential semantics
lemma exec-seq-parallel:
   assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash\langle bdy,s\rangle \Rightarrow t
   shows (parallel-env \Gamma)\vdash_p \langle parallel\ bdy,s\rangle \Rightarrow t
   using a\theta
proof(induct)
case (Call p bdy s t)
   then show ?case by (simp add: SemanticCon.exec.Call parallel-env-def)
\mathbf{next}
   case (CallUndefined p s)
   then show ?case
      by (simp add: SemanticCon.exec.CallUndefined parallel-env-def)
next
   case (CatchMiss\ c_1\ s\ t\ c_2)
```

```
then show ?case
      \mathbf{using}\ Semantic. is Abr-def\ Semantic Con. exec.\ Catch Miss\ Semantic Con. is AbrE
\mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
qed(fastforce intro: exec.intros)+
lemma exec-block:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow \ Normal\ t; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle \Rightarrow \ u \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}blockAbrupt:
      \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash_p \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
{f lemma} exec	ext{-}blockFault:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-blockStuck:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
lemma exec-call:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ t) \rangle
s\ t)\rangle \Rightarrow u
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
done
lemma exec-callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callFault:
               \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma exec-callStuck:
            \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
{\bf lemma} \ \ exec\text{-}call Undefined:
        [\![\Gamma\ p{=}None]\!]
         \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule exec-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s=Fault f
  shows t=Fault f
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
  shows t=Stuck
using exec \ s by (induct) auto
lemma Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
  shows t = Abrupt s'
using exec \ s \ by \ (induct) \ auto
lemma exec-Call-body-aux:
  \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
apply (rule)
apply (fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases )
apply (cases \ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (auto intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Stuck-end Abrupt-end)
done
lemma exec-Call-body':
  p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  apply clarsimp
  by (rule exec-Call-body-aux)
lemma exec-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-block: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
     \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
     t = Fault f
     \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \implies P
assumes
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec-block
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac\ s')
              simp-all
apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
              simp
apply
             (drule Abrupt-end) apply simp
             (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
```

```
apply
            simp
apply
            (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
apply (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (case-tac \ s')
apply
            simp-all
           (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply simp
apply (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply (drule Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma exec-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
{\bf assumes}\ Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \implies P
assumes Abrupt:
\bigwedge bdy t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t';
     t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \implies P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f;
    t = Fault f
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
 \bigwedge bdy.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
  using exec	ext{-}call
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (cases \Gamma p)
  apply (erule exec-block-Normal-elim)
                (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
```

```
apply
                 simp
  apply
                simp
               (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
                simp
               simp
  apply
  apply
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
              simp
  apply
 apply
              simp
             (elim\ exec	ext{-}Normal	ext{-}elim	ext{-}cases)
  apply
              simp
  apply
  apply
             (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption)
  apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  apply (erule exec-block-Normal-elim)
               (elim\ exec	ext{-}Normal	ext{-}elim	ext{-}cases)
  apply
  apply
                simp
  apply
                (rule Normal, assumption+)
  apply
               simp
              (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
              simp
  apply
              (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
  apply
              simp
             (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
              simp
  apply
             (rule Fault, assumption+)
  apply
            simp
  apply (elim exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply (rule\ Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  apply simp
  apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  done
lemma exec-dynCall:
          \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{call init } \mathit{ei}(\mathit{p } \mathit{s}) \mathit{ return } \mathit{er } \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal } \mathit{s} \rangle \, \Rightarrow \, \, \mathit{t} \rrbracket
           \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle dynCall \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec-dynCall-Normal-elim}:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \ t
  assumes call: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ (p \ s) \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P
  shows P
  using exec
  apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply (rule call, assumption)
  done
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec	ext{-}Call	ext{-}body:
  \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
apply (rule)
apply (fastforce elim: exec-elim-cases )
apply (cases\ s)
apply (cases t)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros dest: Fault-end Abrupt-end Stuck-end)+
done
lemma exec-Seq': \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \ s''
  apply (cases s)
  apply
                 (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
               (fastforce dest: Abrupt-end)
  apply
  apply (fastforce dest: Fault-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: Stuck-end)
  done
lemma exec-assoc: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle
  by (blast elim!: exec-elim-cases intro: exec-Seq')
           Big-Step Execution with Recursion Limit: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
inductive execn::[('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body,('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com,('s,'f) \ xstate,nat,('s,'f) \ xstate]
                             \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash_p \langle -, - \rangle = -\Rightarrow - [60, 20, 98, 65, 98] 89)
  for \Gamma :: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) body
where
   Skip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
             \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
| GuardFault: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard f g c, Normal s \rangle = n \Longrightarrow Fault f
\mid \mathit{FaultProp}\ [\mathit{intro}, \mathit{simp}] \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{c}, \mathit{Fault}\ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault}\ f
| Basic: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ (f\ s)
| Spec: (s,t) \in r
           \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t
```

```
\mid SpecStuck: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r
                        \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
|Seq: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                     \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c_{2}, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| While True: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s';
                       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
                       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{While}\ b\ c, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t
| WhileFalse: [s \notin b]
                         \Gamma \vdash_n \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| AwaitTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a}; \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t]
                       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| AwaitFalse: [s \notin b]
                        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ ca \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s
| Call: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow t
| CallUndefined: \llbracket \Gamma \ p=None \rrbracket
                            \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{Call}\ p\ , \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = \mathit{Suc}\ n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Stuck}
| StuckProp [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{DynCom} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle (\mathit{c}\ s), \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
                      \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s
```

```
|AbruptProp\ [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Abrupt\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s
| CatchMatch: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
                         \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
| CatchMiss: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; \neg isAbr \ t \rrbracket
                         \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Skip, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard f g c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Basic\ f\ e,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Spec \ r \ e, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p \ , s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle DynCom \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Throw, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
inductive-cases execn-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Fault f \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Abrupt \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
lemma execn-Skip': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Skip, t \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (cases t) (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-Fault-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Fault f
   \mathbf{shows}\ t{=}\mathit{Fault}\ f
```

```
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-Stuck-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Stuck
  shows t=Stuck
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-Abrupt-end: assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and s: s = Abrupt s'
  shows t = Abrupt s'
using exec s by (induct) auto
lemma execn-block:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ Normal\ t; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c\ s\ t, Normal\ (return\ s\ t) \rangle = n \Rightarrow
u
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockAbrupt:
      \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ Abrupt\ t \rrbracket
        \Gamma \vdash_p \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockFault:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-blockStuck:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (unfold block-def)
by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-call:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p{=}Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ Normal \ t;
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c \ s \ t, Normal \ (return \ s \ t) \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ u
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ u
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-block)
apply (erule (1) Call)
apply assumption
```

done

```
lemma execn-callAbrupt:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p{=}Some \ bdy; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ Abrupt \ t \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ (return \ s \ t)
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockAbrupt)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
{f lemma} execn\text{-}callFault:
                \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \rrbracket
                 \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockFault)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma execn-callStuck:
             \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket
             \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule (1) Call)
done
lemma execn-callUndefined:
         \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow Stuck
apply (simp add: call-def)
apply (rule execn-blockStuck)
apply (erule CallUndefined)
done
lemma execn-block-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes execn-block: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes Normal:
 \bigwedge t'.
     \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ t';
     \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     \Longrightarrow P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge t'.
     \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t';
```

```
t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
assumes Fault:
 \Lambda f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f;
    t = Fault f
   \implies P
assumes Stuck:
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck;
     t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
 using execn-block
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp-all
apply (case-tac\ s')
apply
            simp-all
apply
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
            simp
apply
apply
           (drule execn-Abrupt-end) apply simp
           (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
           simp
           (rule\ Abrupt, assumption +)
apply
apply
          (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
          (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply
apply
          simp
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (case-tac\ s')
apply
           simp-all
apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (rule Normal, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Fault-end) apply simp
apply (rule Fault, assumption+)
apply (drule execn-Stuck-end) apply simp
apply (rule Stuck, assumption+)
done
lemma execn-call-Normal-elim [consumes 1]:
assumes exec-call: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
{\bf assumes}\ Normal:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Normal \ t';
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ i \Rightarrow \ t; \ n = Suc \ i ]
```

```
\implies P
assumes Abrupt:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ t'.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'; \ n = Suc \ i;
    t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Fault:
 \bigwedge bdy \ i \ f.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Fault \ f; \ n = Suc \ i;
    t = Fault f
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Stuck:
\bigwedge bdy i.
    \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = i \Rightarrow Stuck; \ n = Suc \ i;
    t = Stuck
    \Longrightarrow P
assumes Undef:
 \bigwedge i. \llbracket \Gamma \ p = None; \ n = Suc \ i; \ t = Stuck \rrbracket \implies P
shows P
  using exec-call
 apply (unfold call-def)
 apply (cases n)
 apply (simp only: block-def)
 apply (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply (cases \Gamma p)
  apply (erule execn-block-Normal-elim)
  apply
               (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
                simp
               simp
  apply
              (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
 apply
               simp
 apply
              simp
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
              simp
  apply
  apply
             simp
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
             simp
  apply
            (rule\ Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
 apply
           (rule\ Undef, assumption +)
 apply
 apply (erule execn-block-Normal-elim)
              (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
               simp
               (rule\ Normal, assumption+)
  apply
  apply
              simp
             (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  apply
              simp
              (rule\ Abrupt, assumption+)
  apply
  apply
             simp
            (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
```

```
simp
  apply
  apply
               (rule\ Fault, assumption +)
  apply
              simp
  apply (elim execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  apply
  \mathbf{apply} (rule Stuck, assumption, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  apply (rule Undef, assumption, assumption, assumption)
  apply (rule Undef, assumption+)
  done
lemma execn-dynCall:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{call init ei} \ (\mathit{p \ s}) \ \mathit{return er} \ \mathit{c,Normal s} \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle dynCall \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
by (rule DynCom)
lemma execn-dynCall-Normal-elim:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle dynCall \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assumes \Gamma \vdash_p \langle call \ init \ ei \ (p \ s) \ return \ er \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow P
  shows P
  using exec
  apply (simp add: dynCall-def)
  apply (erule execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  \mathbf{apply}\ fact
  done
lemma execn-Seq':
        \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1,s \rangle \stackrel{\cdot}{=} n \Rightarrow \ s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'' \rrbracket
         \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s^{\prime\prime}
  apply (cases\ s)
  apply
               (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
  apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  done
thm execn.intros
lemma execn-mono:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \bigwedge m. n \leq m \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = m \Longrightarrow t
by (induct)(auto intro: execn.intros Semantic.execn-mono dest: Suc-le-D)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{execn}\text{-}\mathit{Suc}\text{:}
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = Suc \ n \Rightarrow \ t
  by (rule execn-mono [OF - le-refl [THEN le-SucI]])
```

```
lemma execn-assoc:
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ (Seq \ c2 \ c3), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ c3, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t
  by (auto elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn-Seq')
lemma execn-to-exec:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using execn
by (induct)(auto intro: exec.intros Semantic.execn-to-exec)
lemma exec-to-execn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists n. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using execn
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
 case FaultProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case SpecStuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 s s' c2 s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s' \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  thus ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (WhileTrue s b c s' s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s' \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow \ s''
    \mathbf{by} blast
```

```
then have
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s' \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  with WhileTrue
  show ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (CatchMatch c1 s s' c2 s'')
  then obtain n m where
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow s''
    by blast
  then have
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow \ s''
    by (auto elim!: execn-mono intro: max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  with CatchMatch.hyps show ?case
    by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (iprover intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b c t) thus ?case by (meson exec-to-execn execn.intros)
  case (AwaitFalse s b ca) thus ?case by (meson exec-to-execn execn.intros)
qed
theorem exec-iff-execn: (\Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t) = (\exists n. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t)
  by (iprover intro: exec-to-execn execn-to-exec)
definition nfinal-notin:: ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow
                        \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
 (--p \ \langle -, - \rangle = - \Rightarrow \notin - [60, 20, 98, 65, 60] \ 89) where
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin T)
```

```
definition final-notin:: ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate
                             \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate set \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash_{p} \langle -, - \rangle \Rightarrow \notin - [60, 20, 98, 60] 89) where
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin T)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{final-notinI} \colon \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \not\in T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \not\in T
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-Call-body': p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) =
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
  by (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def exec-Call-body)
lemma no Fault-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
  shows s \neq Fault f
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma noFault-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Fault f
  shows s \neq Fault f
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma no Stuck-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using execn t by (induct) auto
lemma no Stuck-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: t \neq Stuck
  shows s \neq Stuck
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noAbrupt-startn:
  assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t: \forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using execn t by (induct) auto
{f lemma} no Abrupt-start:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t and t: \forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t'
  shows s \neq Abrupt s'
using exec t by (induct) auto
lemma noFaultn-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
lemma noFaultn-startD': t \neq Fault \ f \implies \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \implies s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-startn)
```

```
lemma noFault-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault \ f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noFault-startD': t \neq Fault f \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s \neq Fault f
  by (auto dest: noFault-start)
lemma noStuckn-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuckn-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \implies t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-startn)
lemma noStuck-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
lemma noStuck-startD': t \neq Stuck \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \implies t \implies s \neq Stuck
  by (auto dest: noStuck-start)
lemma noAbruptn-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-startn)
lemma noAbrupt-startD: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \Longrightarrow s \neq Abrupt \ s'
  by (auto dest: noAbrupt-start)
lemma noFaultnI: \llbracket \bigwedge t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Fault f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f \mid t \in T \mid t \in T \}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultnI':
  assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \Longrightarrow False
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
  proof (rule noFaultnI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Fault f
        by (cases t=Fault\ f) auto
  \mathbf{qed}
lemma noFaultn-def': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f)
  apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultnI')
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ noStucknI \colon \llbracket \bigwedge t.\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStucknI':
```

```
assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
   shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   proof (rule noStucknI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash_n \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Stuck
        by (cases \ t) auto
   \mathbf{qed}
lemma noStuckn-def ': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck)
   apply rule
   apply (fastforce simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noStucknI')
   done
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultI\colon \llbracket \bigwedge t.\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow t \neq Fault\ f \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ f\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultI':
   \mathbf{assumes}\ contr \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault}\ f {\Longrightarrow}\ \mathit{False}
   shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}
   proof (rule noFaultI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Fault f
        by (cases t=Fault\ f) auto
   qed
lemma noFaultE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFault-def': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f)
  apply rule
   apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noFaultI')
   done
\mathbf{lemma}\ noStuckI\colon \llbracket \bigwedge t.\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow\ t \neq Stuck \rrbracket \implies\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noStuck I':
   assumes contr: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \Longrightarrow False
   shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   proof (rule noStuckI)
     fix t assume \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     with contr show t \neq Stuck
        by (cases t) auto
   qed
```

```
lemma noStuckE:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-def': \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} = (\neg \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck)
   apply rule
  apply (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  apply (fastforce intro: noStuckI')
   done
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultn-execD\colon \llbracket\Gamma\vdash_p\langle c,s\rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ f\};\ \Gamma\vdash_p\langle c,s\rangle = n \Rightarrow t\rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault\ f\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFault-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Fault f
   by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma noFaultn-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies
s \neq Fault f
   by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noFaultn-startD)
lemma noFault-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault f\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Fault f
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noFault-startD)
lemma noStuckn-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck
   by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies t \neq Stuck
   by (simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noStuckn\text{-}exec\text{-}startD\colon \llbracket\Gamma\vdash_p\langle c,s\rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma\vdash_p\langle c,s\rangle = n \Rightarrow t\rrbracket \Longrightarrow s \neq Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def dest: noStuckn-startD)
lemma noStuck-exec-startD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \implies s \neq Stuck
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: noStuck-startD)
lemma noFaultStuckn-execD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\};\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
          t\notin\{Fault\ True,Fault\ False,Stuck\}
  by (simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
lemma noFaultStuck-execD: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle
 \implies t \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (simp add: final-notin-def)
lemma no Fault Stuckn-exec-start D:
```

```
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ True, \ Fault \ False, Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
   \implies s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noFaultStuck\text{-}exec\text{-}startD\text{:}
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ True, \ Fault \ False, Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
  \implies s \notin \{Fault\ True, Fault\ False, Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ noStuck\text{-}Call\text{:}
  assumes noStuck: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  shows p \in dom \Gamma
proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{next}
  {f case} False
  hence \Gamma p = None by auto
  hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
     by (rule exec. CallUndefined)
  with noStuck show ?thesis
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{Guard}	ext{-}noFaultStuckD:
  assumes \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
  assumes f \notin F
  shows s \in g
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{final-notin-to-finaln}:
  assumes notin: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
proof (clarsimp simp add: nfinal-notin-def)
  fix t assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and t \in T
  with notin show False
     by (auto intro: execn-to-exec simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
lemma noFault-Call-body:
\Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\} =
 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Fault \ f\}
  by (simp add: noFault-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma noStuck-Call-body:
\Gamma p=Some bdy\Longrightarrow
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} =
 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (simp add: noStuck-def' exec-Call-body)
lemma exec-final-notin-to-execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: execn-to-exec)
lemma execn-final-notin-to-exec: \forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def nfinal-notin-def dest: exec-to-execn)
lemma exec-final-notin-iff-execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin T = (\forall n. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \notin T)
  by (auto intro: exec-final-notin-to-execn execn-final-notin-to-exec)
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq') lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD1:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `F)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ ``\ F)
proof (rule final-notinI)
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  show t \notin \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
  proof
    assume t \in \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
    moreover
     {
       assume t = Stuck
       with exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
     }
    moreover
     {
       assume t \in Fault ' F
       then obtain f where
       t: t=Fault f and f: f \in F
         by auto
       from t \ exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
         by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort f have False
         by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
```

```
} ultimately show False by auto qed qed lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2': assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ '\ F) shows \forall\ t.\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ '\ F) \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2,t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ '\ F) using noabort by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq')
```

6.3 Lemmas about LanguageCon.sequence, LanguageCon.flatten and LanguageCon.normalize

```
lemma execn-sequence-app: \bigwedge s \ s' \ t.
 \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by fact
  have exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with exec-x-xs have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    with Nil exec-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-elim-cases)
  next
    case Cons
    with exec-x-xs
    obtain s'' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' and
      exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs,s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases )
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
    proof (cases s'')
      case (Normal s''')
      from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs [simplified Normal] exec-ys]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
      with Cons exec-x Normal
      show ?thesis
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    next
      case (Abrupt s''')
```

```
with exec-xs have s'=Abrupt s'''
       by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with exec-ys have t=Abrupt s'''
       by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with exec-x Abrupt Cons show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
      case (Fault f)
      with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
        by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with exec	ext{-}ys have t	ext{=}Fault\ f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with exec-x Fault Cons show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      case Stuck
      with exec-xs have s'=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with exec-ys have t=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with exec-x Stuck Cons show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appD: \bigwedge s t. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence Seq (xs @ ys), Normal s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
          \exists s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \land \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle
=n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 have exec-app: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ((x \# xs) @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}app}\ \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{?thesis}
      by (cases ys) (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn-Skip')
  next
    case Cons
    with exec-app obtain s' where
      exec-x: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' and
      exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
    show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases s')
      case (Normal s'')
      from Cons.hyps [OF exec-xs-ys [simplified Normal]] Normal exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    next
      case (Abrupt s'')
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Abrupt s''
        by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
      with Abrupt exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    next
      case (Fault f)
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Fault f
       by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      with Fault exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      case Stuck
      with exec-xs-ys have t=Stuck
       by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
      with Stuck exec-x Cons
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed
 qed
qed
lemma execn-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t;
  \land s'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ s'; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \rrbracket
  \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
 by (auto dest: execn-sequence-appD)
lemma execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s") thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros execn-sequence-app)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma execn-to-execn-normalize:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
using exec
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 c2 n s s' s'') thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten execn-sequence-app)
next
  case (AwaitFalse s b c n) thus ?case using execn-to-execn-normalize
    by (simp add: execn.AwaitFalse)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros execn-to-execn-normalize)
lemma execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn:
 shows \bigwedge s t. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
    case (Normal s')
    with exec-seq obtain s'' where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c1), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' \ and
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c2), s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
    with Seq.hyps Normal
    show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce}\ \mathit{intro}\colon \mathit{execn.intros})
  next
    case Abrupt
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  next
    case Fault
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Fault-end)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-seq
    show ?thesis by (auto intro: execn.intros dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  qed
qed auto
lemma execn-normalize-to-execn:
 shows \bigwedge s \ t \ n. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
 case Skip thus ?case by simp
  case Basic thus ?case by simp
next
```

```
case Spec thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle normalize \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  hence exec-norm-seq:
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1) \ @ \ flatten \ (normalize \ c2)), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal s')
    with exec\text{-}norm\text{-}seq obtain s^{\prime\prime} where
     exec-norm-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c1)), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
and
      exec-norm-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p (sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ (normalize \ c2)),s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto elim: execn-sequence-appE)
    from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF exec-norm-c1]
      execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn\ [OF\ exec-norm-c2]\ Seq.hyps\ Normal
    show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-norm-seq have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (While b c)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  hence exec-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ (normalize\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t \ w
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle w, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      using exec	ext{-}w
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c' n w t)
      from WhileTrue obtain
         s-in-b: s \in b and
         exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
         hyp\text{-}w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by simp
      from While.hyps [OF\ exec-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
        by simp
      with hyp-w s-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with While True show ?case by simp
    qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
  from this [OF exec-norm-w]
  show ?case
    by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case Catch thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim!: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (Await\ b\ c\ e)
  have normalized: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ (Await \ b \ c \ e), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ fact
  hence exec-norm-a: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await\ b\ (Language.normalize\ c)\ e,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t \ a
    assume exec-a: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    \mathbf{have}\ a{=}Await\ b\ (Language.normalize\ c)\ e \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await\ b\ c\ e{,}s\rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t
      using exec-a
    proof (induct)
      case (AwaitTrue s b' \Gamma 1 c' n t)
      from AwaitTrue execn-normalize-to-execn obtain
         s-in-b: s \in b and
         exec-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \ \mathbf{and}
         hyp-a: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
         using execn. Await True by fastforce
      with hyp-a s-in-b
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with AwaitTrue show ?case by simp
      case (AwaitFalse) thus ?case using execn.AwaitFalse by fastforce
    qed (auto intro: execn.intros elim:execn-normalize-to-execn)
  from this [OF exec-norm-a]
  show ?case
    by simp
qed
lemma execn-normalize-iff-execn:
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-normalize execn-normalize-to-execn)
lemma exec-sequence-app:
  assumes exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
  assumes exec-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-xs]
  obtain n where
    execn-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'..
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-ys]
  obtain m where
    execn-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = m \Rightarrow t..
  with execn-xs obtain
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow s'
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys,s' \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-mono max.cobounded1 max.cobounded2)
  from execn-sequence-app [OF this]
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = max \ n \ m \Rightarrow t.
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-appD:
  assumes exec-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists s'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \land \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ ys, s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-xs-ys]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  thus ?thesis
    by (cases rule: execn-sequence-appE) (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
```

```
lemma exec-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t;
   by (auto dest: exec-sequence-appD)
lemma exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  from execn-to-execn-sequence-flatten [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec:
  assumes exec-seq: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from \ exec-to-execn \ [OF \ exec-seq]
  obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (flatten \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  from execn-sequence-flatten-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-normalize:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-normalize)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-normalize-to-exec:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec] obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t..
  hence \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-normalize-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
```

```
qed
```

lemma exec-normalize-iff-exec:

```
\Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle normalize \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize exec-normalize-to-exec)
         Lemmas about c_1 \subseteq_g c_2
lemma execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards: \bigwedge c \ s \ t \ n. \llbracket c \subseteq_{gs} c'; \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \rrbracket
     \Longrightarrow \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', s \rangle = n \Longrightarrow t' \land
             (\textit{isFault } t \longrightarrow \textit{isFault } t') \land (\neg \textit{isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t)
proof (induct c')
  case Skip thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case Basic thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case Spec thus ?case
    by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
  case (Seq c1' c2')
  have c \subseteq_{gs} Seq c1' c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{gs} c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_{gs}c2'
    by blast
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c obtain w where
     exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w and
    exec\text{-}c2\colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2,w\rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from exec-c1 Seq.hyps c1-c1'
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
```

```
with exec have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   case True
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
   moreover with exec-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using Normal w-Fault exec-c1'
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 \mathbf{next}
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   note noFault-w = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
     then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
     with Normal exec-c1'
     have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1' \ c2', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     then show ?thesis
       by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
     with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
     from Seq.hyps exec-c2 c2-c2'
     obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
       isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
       \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
     with Normal exec-c1' w'
     show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   qed
 qed
qed
```

```
next
  case (Cond b c1' c2')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_{qs} Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ \mathbf{and}
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{gs} c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2 \subseteq_{gs} c2'
    by blast
  show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with exec have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    from exec [simplified c Normal]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases)
      assume s'-in-b: s' \in b
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with c1-c1' Normal Cond.hyps obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
        isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with s'-in-b Normal show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      assume s'-notin-b: s' \notin b
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t with c2\text{-}c2'\ Normal\ Cond.hyps} obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
        isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
```

```
\neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
         by blast
       with s'-notin-b Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    ged
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_{gs} While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While \ b \ c'' and
    c''-c': c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'
    by blast
    \mathbf{fix} \ c \ r \ w
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    assume c: c=While \ b \ c''
    have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c',r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land
                    (isFault\ w \longrightarrow isFault\ w') \land (\neg\ isFault\ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
    using exec c
    proof (induct)
       case (While True \ r \ b' \ ca \ n \ u \ w)
       have eqs: While b' ca = While b c'' by fact
       from WhileTrue have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
       from WhileTrue have exec\text{-}c'': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c'', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by simp from While.hyps [OF \ c''\text{-}c' \ exec\text{-}c''] obtain u' where
          exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
         u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
         u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
         by blast
       from While True obtain w' where
          exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c', u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
         w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
         w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
         by blast
       show ?case
       proof (cases isFault u')
         \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
         with exec-c' r-in-b
         show ?thesis
            by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
       next
         {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
         \mathbf{with}\ exec\text{-}c'\ r\text{-}in\text{-}b\ u'\text{-}noFault\ exec\text{-}w\ w\text{-}Fault\ w'\text{-}noFault
         show ?thesis
            by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
       qed
```

```
case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   \mathbf{qed}\ \mathit{auto}
 from this [OF exec c]
  show ?case.
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD elim: execn-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ C')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have c \subseteq_{gs} DynCom C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
    c: c = DynCom \ C and
    C\text{-}C'\text{:}\ \forall\, s.\ C\ s\subseteq_{gs}\ C'\ s
   by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   from exec [simplified c Normal]
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle C \ s', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by cases
   from DynCom.hyps C-C' [rule-format] this obtain t' where
     \Gamma \vdash_p \langle C' s', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
     isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
     \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    with Normal show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
```

```
next
  case (Guard f' g' c')
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have c \subseteq_{qs} Guard f' g' c' by fact
  hence subset-cases: (c \subseteq_{gs} c') \vee (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \wedge (c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'))
   by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   {\bf from}\ subset-cases\ {\bf show}\ ?thesis
   proof
      assume c-c': c \subseteq_{gs} c'
      from Guard.hyps [OF this exec] Normal obtain t' where
        exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
       t\text{-}Fault : isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
       t-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
      with Normal
     show ?thesis
       by (cases s' \in g') (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
      assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_{qs} c')
      then obtain c'' where
       c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and
       c''-c': c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'
       by blast
      \mathbf{from}\ c\ exec\ Normal
      have exec-Guard': \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard f' g' c'', Normal s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       \mathbf{bv} simp
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases)
```

```
assume s'-in-g': s' \in g' assume exec-c'': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c'', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       from Guard.hyps [OF c''-c' exec-c''] obtain t' where
         exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_n \langle c', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
         t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
         t-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
         by blast
       with Normal s'-in-g'
       show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     next
       assume s' \notin g' t = Fault f'
       with Normal show ?thesis
         by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce dest: subseteq-guardsD intro: execn.intros
        elim: execn-elim-cases)
next
  case (Catch c1' c2')
  have c \subseteq_{gs} Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this]
  obtain c1 c2 where
   c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ and
   c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{gs} c1' and
   c2\text{-}c2': c2\subseteq_{gs}c2'
   \mathbf{by} blast
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with Abrupt show ?thesis
```

```
by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 from exec [simplified c Normal]
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix} \ w
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ w
   assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from Normal\ exec\-c1\ c1\-c1'\ Catch.hyps obtain w' where
      exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
      w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w
     by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault w')
     case True
      with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
     case False
     with w'-noFault have w': w'=Abrupt w by simp
     from Normal exec-c2 c2-c2' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
        \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
        isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with exec-c1' w' Normal
     show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
   qed
 next
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   assume t: \neg isAbr t
    from Normal exec-c1 c1-c1' Catch.hyps obtain t' where
      exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
     t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
     t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault t')
     {\bf case}\ {\it True}
     with exec-c1' Normal show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
    next
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     with exec-c1' Normal t-Fault t'-noFault t
     show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
```

```
qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Await b \ c' \ e)
 then obtain c'' where c-Await:c=Await b c'' e \land (c'' \subseteq_q c') using subseteq-guards-Await
\mathbf{by} blast
  thus ?case
    proof (cases s)
      case Abrupt thus ?thesis
        using Await.prems(2) SemanticCon.execn-Abrupt-end by fastforce
    next
      case Stuck thus ?thesis
        using Await.prems(2) SemanticCon.execn-Stuck-end by blast
    next
      case Fault thus ?thesis by auto
      case (Normal x) thus ?thesis
      proof (cases x \in b)
        case True
          then obtain \Gamma 1 where \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c'', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t using c-Await Await
            by (metis Normal Semantic Con. execn-Normal-elim-cases (11))
          then obtain t' where \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c', s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
               (Semantic.isFault\ t \longrightarrow Semantic.isFault\ t') \land (\neg\ Semantic.isFault\ t')
\longrightarrow t' = t
          using Semantic.execn-to-execn-subseteq-quards c-Await by blast
              thus ?thesis using Await.prems(1) Await.prems(2) c-Await True
SemanticCon.execn-Normal-elim-cases(11)
                by (metis Normal Semantic.isFaultE SemanticCon.isFault-simps(3)
execn. A wait True\ execn-to-execn-subset eq-guards)
      next
       case False
       then show \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c' \ e,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
        (SemanticCon.isFault\ t\longrightarrow SemanticCon.isFault\ t')\ \land
      (\neg SemanticCon.isFault\ t' \longrightarrow t' = t) using False execn-Normal-elim-cases (11)
          by (metis Await.prems(2) Normal c-Await execn.AwaitFalse)
      qed
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{-}subseteq\text{-}guards\text{:}
  assumes c 	ext{-} c': c \subseteq_{gs} c'
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
             (\textit{isFault } t \longrightarrow \textit{isFault } t') \land (\neg \textit{isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t)
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' this]
```

```
show ?thesis
  by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
```

6.5 Lemmas about LanguageCon.merge-guards

```
theorem execn-to-execn-merge-guards:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
shows \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c
proof (induct)
 case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ n \ t \ f)
 have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
 have exec-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-guards } c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
   case False
   with exec-merge-c s-in-g
   show ?thesis
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
   case True
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by iprover
   \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
   proof (cases f=f')
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     from exec-merge-c s-in-g merge-guards-c False show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
   next
     case True
     from exec-merge-c s-in-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn.cases)
   qed
 qed
next
 case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c\ n)
 have s-notin-g: s \notin g by fact
 show ?case
  proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
   {f case} False
   with s-notin-g
   show ?thesis
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
 next
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f'g'c' where
     merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
```

```
by iprover
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
    proof (cases f = f')
      case False
      from s-notin-g merge-guards-c False show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: Let-def)
    \mathbf{next}
      case True
      from s-notin-g merge-guards-c True show ?thesis
        by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
  then have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.merge-guards\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ execn-to-execn-merge-guards)
  thus ?case
    by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ execn.AwaitTrue)
qed (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
lemma execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge-guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  hence exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle
    by simp
  then obtain s' where
    exec-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' \ \text{and}
    exec-merge-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge-guards \ c2,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by cases
  from exec-merge-c1
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
    by (rule Seq.hyps)
  show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{cases}\ s^{\,\prime})
    \mathbf{case}\ (Normal\ s^{\,\prime\prime})
    with exec-merge-c2
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 next
   case (Abrupt s'')
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Abrupt s''
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
   with exec-c1 Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with exec-c1 Fault
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 next
   case Stuck
   with exec-merge-c2 have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with exec-c1 Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
 case Cond thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
next
 case (While b c)
   \mathbf{fix}\ c'\ r\ w
   assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
   assume c': c'=While b (merge-guards c)
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
     using exec-c' c'
   proof (induct)
     case (WhileTrue r b' c'' n u w)
     have eqs: While b'c'' = While b \ (merge-guards \ c) by fact
     from WhileTrue
     have r-in-b: r \in b
       by simp
     from While True While hyps have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
     from While True have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
       by simp
     {f from} \ r-in-b exec-c exec-w
     show ?case
       by (rule execn. While True)
   next
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
```

```
\mathbf{qed} auto
  with While.prems show ?case
   by (auto)
next
 case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  case (Guard f g c)
 have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \ s \in g)
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   with exec-merge have t=Fault\ f
     by (auto split: com.splits if-split-asm elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
       simp add: Let-def is-Guard-def)
   with False show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   {f case}\ True
   \mathbf{note}\ s\text{-}in\text{-}g\ =\ this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
     case False
     then
     have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
     with exec-merge s-in-g
     obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
     show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   next
     case True
     then obtain f'g'c' where
       merge-guards-c: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
       by iprover
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases f=f')
       case False
       with merge-guards-c
       have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
         by (simp add: Let-def)
       with exec-merge s-in-g
       obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
         by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
       show ?thesis
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     next
       case True
       note f-eq-f' = this
       with merge-guards-c have
         merge-guards-Guard: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
         by simp
       \mathbf{show}~? the sis
       proof (cases s \in g')
         case True
         with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard merge-guards-c s-in-g
         have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
           by (auto intro: execn.intros elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
         with Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
         show ?thesis
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        next
         case False
         with exec-merge merge-guards-Guard
         have t=Fault f
           by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
         with merge-guards-c f-eq-f' False
         have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
         from Guard.hyps [OF this] s-in-g
         show ?thesis
           by (auto intro: execn.intros)
       qed
     qed
   qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
 have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle merge\text{-}guards \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{ by } fact
  hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ (merge-guards \ c1) \ (merge-guards \ c2), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by
simp
  thus ?case
   by cases (auto intro: execn.intros Catch.hyps)
  case (Await \ b \ c \ e)
   fix c' r w
   assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
   assume c': c'=Await b (Language.merge-guards c) e
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
```

```
using exec-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (AwaitTrue\ r\ b'\ \Gamma 1\ c''\ n\ u)
       then have eqs: Await b' c'' e = Await b (Language.merge-guards c) e by
auto
      from AwaitTrue
      have r-in-b: r \in b
        by simp
      from AwaitTrue have exec-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
        using execn-merge-guards-to-execn by force
      then have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \text{ using } AwaitTrue.hyps(2) \ exec-c \ by
blast
      then have exec-a: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
        by (meson exec-c execn. AwaitTrue r-in-b)
      from r-in-b exec-c exec-a
      show ?case
        by (simp add: execn.AwaitTrue)
      case (AwaitFalse b c) thus ?case by (simp add: execn.AwaitFalse)
    qed auto
  with Await.prems show ?case
    by (auto)
qed
theorem execn-merge-guards-to-execn:
  \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
apply (cases\ s)
            (fast force\ intro:\ execn-merge-guards-to-execn-Normal)
apply
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Fault-end)
apply (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
done
corollary execn-iff-execn-merge-guards:
\Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  by (blast intro: execn-merge-guards-to-execn execn-to-execn-merge-guards)
theorem exec-iff-exec-merge-guards:
 \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t = \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (blast dest: exec-to-execn intro: execn-to-exec
             intro: execn-to-execn-merge-guards
                    execn-merge-guards-to-execn)
{\bf corollary}\ exec\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{-}merge\text{-}guards\text{:}
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  by (rule iffD1 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
corollary exec-merge-guards-to-exec:
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t

by (rule iffD2 [OF exec-iff-exec-merge-guards])
```

6.6 Lemmas about Language Con. mark-guards

```
lemma execn-to-execn-mark-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault [simplified not-isFault-iff]
proof induct
 case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
 then have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.mark-guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (meson Semantic.isFaultE execn-to-execn-mark-guards)
thus ?case by (auto intro:AwaitTrue.hyps(1) AwaitTrue.hyps(2) execn.AwaitTrue)
qed(auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
lemma execn-to-execn-mark-quards-Fault:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \bigwedge f. \llbracket t = Fault \ f \rrbracket \implies \exists f'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark - guards \ x \ c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
 case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault f by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault Seq.hyps obtain f'' where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{mark\text{-}\mathit{guards}} \ x \ \mathit{c1} \, , \! \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \, = \! n \! \Rightarrow \, \mathit{Fault} \ f^{\, \prime \prime}
      by auto
    \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{mark-guards} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f''} \rangle = n \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault} \ \mathit{f''}
```

```
by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by blast
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps\ t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-mark-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True s b c n w t)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-w t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault WhileTrue.hyps obtain f" where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{mark-guards}\ x\ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \mathit{Fault}\ \mathit{f}\, {''}
    \textbf{moreover have} \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \textit{mark-guards} \ x \ (\textit{While} \ b \ c), \textit{Fault} \ f \, '' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \textit{Fault} \ f \, ''
      by auto
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Normal s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with While True. hyps t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by blast
    with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
   with While True.hyps t obtain f' where
      \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   with exec-mark-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case Stuck
   with exec-w have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with t show ?thesis by simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w \ by fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
```

```
by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t obtain f' where
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
  with exec-mark-c1 show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
  then have \exists f'. \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.mark-guards \ x \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
       by (simp add: execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault)
  thus ?case using AwaitTrue.hyps(1) AwaitTrue.hyps(2) execn.AwaitTrue by
fast force
next
  case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (auto simp add:execn.AwaitFalse)
qed
lemma execn-mark-guards-to-execn:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
             (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
             (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
             (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
             (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq\ c1\ c2\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  then obtain w where
    exec-mark-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w \text{ and }
    exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from Seq.hyps exec-mark-c1
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w and
    w'-Fault: isFault w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
```

```
with exec-mark have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec-mark have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
   moreover with exec-mark-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
    using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault-f exec-c1
    by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 next
   {f case} False
   note noFault-w = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
    case True
    then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
    with Normal exec-c1
    have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     from w'-Fault-f w' noFault-w
    have f' \neq f
      by (cases w) auto
    moreover
    from w'w'-Fault exec-mark-c2 have isFault t
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end elim: isFaultE)
    ultimately
    \mathbf{show}~? the sis
      using exec
      by auto
   next
```

```
case False
        with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
        {\bf from}\ Seq.hyps\ exec\text{-}mark\text{-}c2
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
          t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
          isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t\ {\bf and}
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with Normal exec-c1 w'
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases \ s' \in b)
      case True
      \mathbf{with}\ Normal\ exec\text{-}mark
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
```

```
t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
            isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
            \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast\ intro}:\ \mathit{execn.intros})
    \mathbf{next}
      {f case} False
      \mathbf{with}\ \mathit{Normal\ exec-mark}
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault\ t\ \longrightarrow\ isFault\ t'
            t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
            isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
            \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal False
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c s n t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ (While\ b\ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-mark have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
```

```
fix c' r w
assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c',r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
assume c': c'= While b (mark-guards f c)
have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land
              (w' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow w' = w) \land (isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w) \land
              (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
  using exec-c' c'
proof (induct)
  case (While True r b' c'' n u w)
  have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (mark-guards f c) by fact
  from While True.hyps eqs
  have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
  from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
  have exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u\ \text{by}\ simp
  from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
  have exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    by simp
  show ?case
  proof -
    from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
      by simp
    with While.hyps
    obtain u' where
      exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
      u-Fault: isFault u \longrightarrow isFault u' and
      u'-Fault-f: u' = Fault f \longrightarrow u' = u and
      u'-Fault: isFault \ u' \longrightarrow isFault \ u and
      u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
      by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault u')
      case False
      with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
      from WhileTrue.hyps eqs obtain w' where
        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
        isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
        w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = w
        isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ w
        \neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w' = w
        by blast
      with u' exec-c r-in-b
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn. While True)
    next
      \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
      then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
      with exec-c r-in-b
      have exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
```

```
from True u'-Fault have isFault u
         by simp
       then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
       with exec-mark-w have w=Fault f
         by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
       with exec u' u u'-Fault-f
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
   case (WhileFalse r b' c'' n)
   have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (mark-guards f c) by fact
   from WhileFalse.hyps eqs
   have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
   show ?case
   proof -
     from r-not-in-b
     have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
       by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
     thus ?thesis
       by blast
    qed
 qed auto
} note hyp\text{-}while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases s' \in b)
 {f case} False
  with Normal exec-mark
 have t=s
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Normal False show ?thesis
   by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal exec-mark obtain r where
    exec-mark-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ (mark-guards\ f\ c), r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-mark-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
   r'-Fault-f: r' = Fault f \longrightarrow r' = r and
   r'-Fault: isFault r' \longrightarrow isFault \ r and
   r'\text{-}noFault\colon \neg\ \textit{isFault}\ r'\longrightarrow r'\!\!=\!\!r
   by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault r')
   case False
```

```
with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
        {\bf from}\ hyp\text{-}while\ exec\text{-}mark\text{-}w
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_n \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
          t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
          isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
          \mathbf{bv} blast
        with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      next
        {\bf case}\ {\it True}
        then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
        hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
          by auto
        with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
        have exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        from True r'-Fault
        have isFault r
          by simp
        then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
        with exec-mark-w have t=Fault f
          \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{dest}\colon \mathit{execn}\text{-}\mathit{Fault}\text{-}\mathit{end})
        with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault-f
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Call thus ?case by auto
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
  case (Guard f' g c s n t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Guard \ f' \ g \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-mark have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
```

```
with exec-mark have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s' \in g)
     case False
     with Normal exec-mark have t: t=Fault f
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     from False
     have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard f' g \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
       by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     with Normal t show ?thesis
       by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     with exec-mark Normal
     have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
       isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
       t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t\ {\bf and}
        \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
       by blast
     with Normal True
     show ?thesis
       by (blast intro: execn.intros)
   qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ (Catch\ c1\ c2),s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Fault f)
   with exec-mark have t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
```

```
with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec-mark have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
    by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s') note s=this
 with exec-mark have
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{Catch} \ (\mathit{mark-guards} \ f \ c1) \ (\mathit{mark-guards} \ f \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
 thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   \mathbf{fix}\ w
    \textbf{assume} \ \textit{exec-mark-c1} \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \textit{mark-guards} \ f \ \textit{c1} , \textit{Normal} \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \textit{Abrupt} \ w
    assume exec-mark-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from exec-mark-c1 Catch.hyps
    obtain w' where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
      w'-Fault-f: w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w and
      w'-Fault: isFault w' \longrightarrow isFault \ (Abrupt \ w) and
      w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w'
      by fastforce
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases w')
      case (Fault f')
      with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
        by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
        by auto
    next
      case Stuck
      with w'-noFault have False
        by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case (Normal w'')
      with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case (Abrupt w'')
      with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
     from exec-mark-c2 Catch.hyps
```

```
obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'
          t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t
          isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      assume t: \neg isAbr t
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      with Catch.hyps
      obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
        t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
        t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        then obtain f' where t': t'=Fault f'...
        with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        with t'-Fault-f t'-Fault t' s show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        case False
        with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
        with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Await \ b \ c \ e \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ (Await\ b\ c\ e), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ \text{by}\ fact
  thus ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
      with exec-mark have t=s
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
      thus ?thesis using Fault by auto
  next
    case Stuck
```

```
have t = Stuck
      using exec-mark Stuck execn-Stuck-end by blast
      thus ?thesis using Stuck by auto
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-mark have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Normal s') note s=this
    {
      \mathbf{fix}\ c'\ r\ w
      assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      assume c': c' = Await\ b\ (Language.mark-guards\ f\ c)\ e
      have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land
                    (w' = \mathit{Fault} \ f \longrightarrow w' \!\! = \!\! w) \land (\mathit{isFault} \ w' \longrightarrow \mathit{isFault} \ w) \land \\
                    (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
        using exec-c' c'
      proof (induct)
        case (AwaitTrue\ r\ b'\ \Gamma 1\ c''\ n\ u)
         then have eqs: Await b' c'' e = Await b (Language.mark-guards f c) e by
auto
        {f from}\ AwaitTrue.hyps\ eqs
        have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
        from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs
         have exec-mark-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.mark-guards \ f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by
simp
        from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs
         have exec-mark-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await\ b\ (Language.mark-guards\ f\ c)\ e, Normal\ r \rangle
=n \Rightarrow u
        proof -
               have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c'', Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \text{ using } AwaitTrue.hyps(2) \ Await-
True.hyps(3) by presburger
            then have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await\ b'\ c''\ e, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
         by (fastforce\ intro:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ execn.AwaitTrue)
           thus ?thesis
            using eqs by auto
         qed
        show ?case
        proof -
          from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.mark-guards \ f \ c, Normal \ r \rangle
=n \Rightarrow u
            by simp
          obtain u' where
             exec-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
             u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
             u'-Fault-f: u' = Fault f \longrightarrow u' = u and
```

```
u'-Fault: isFault\ u' \longrightarrow isFault\ u and
             u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
            by (metis\ Semantic.isFaultE\ SemanticCon.isFault-simps(3)\ exec-mark-c
execn-mark-guards-to-execn)
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases isFault u')
             case False
             with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
             from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs obtain w' where
              \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
               isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
               w' = Fault f \longrightarrow w' = u
               isFault \ w' \longrightarrow isFault \ u
               \neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w' = u
              proof -
                  assume a1: \bigwedge w'. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w';
                                     isFault\ u \longrightarrow isFault\ w';
                                     w^{\,\prime} = \mathit{Fault} \; f \, \longrightarrow \, w^{\,\prime} = \, u; \; \mathit{isFault} \; w^{\,\prime} \longrightarrow \, \mathit{isFault} \; u;
                                     \neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w' = u \implies thesis
                  have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' \text{ using } AwaitTrue.hyps(2) \ exec-c
by blast
                  then have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u'
                  by (fastforce intro: exec-c execn. AwaitTrue r-in-b)
                  thus ?thesis
                  using a1 u' by blast
              qed
             with u' exec-c r-in-b
            show ?thesis
              by (blast intro: execn.AwaitTrue)
          \mathbf{next}
             case True
             then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
             with exec-c r-in-b
            have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
              by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ execn.AwaitTrue)
            from True u'-Fault have isFault u
              by simp
             then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
             with exec-mark-w have u=Fault f
              by (auto)
             with exec u' u u'-Fault-f
            show ?thesis
              by auto
          qed
        qed
        case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case using execn. AwaitFalse by fastforce
      ged auto
    } note hyp-await = this
```

```
show ?thesis using exec-mark hyp-await by auto
  qed
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-mark-guards:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \dots
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF this t-not-Fault]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-mark-guards-Fault:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 shows \exists f'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ x \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF exec-c] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f ...
  from execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{:}}
  assumes exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
              (isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t')\ \land
              (t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
              (isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t) \land
              (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-to-execn [OF\ exec-mark] obtain n where
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t ...
  from execn-mark-guards-to-execn [OF this]
  show ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
6.7
          Lemmas about LanguageCon.strip-guards
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-to\text{-}execn\text{-}strip\text{-}guards\text{:}
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
using exec-c t-not-Fault [simplified not-isFault-iff]
proof induct
 case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
 then have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.strip-guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (meson Semantic.isFaultE execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
thus ?case by (auto intro: AwaitTrue.hyps(1) AwaitTrue.hyps(2) execn. AwaitTrue)
qed (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD')
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
shows \Lambda f. \llbracket t = Fault \ f; \ f \notin F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
using exec-c
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  case FaultProp thus ?case by auto
next
 case Basic thus ?case by auto
next
 case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
case SpecStuck thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ n \ w \ c2 \ t)
 have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
 have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t=Fault f by fact
 have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-c2 t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF Seq.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Fault \ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
```

```
by simp
    with Seq.hyps t notinF
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
    have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
    with Seq.hyps t notinF
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with exec-strip-c1 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   {f case}\ Stuck
    with exec-c2 have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c \ n \ w \ t)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
 have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c,w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
 have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w)
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-w \ t have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault notinF WhileTrue.hyps
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    moreover have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ (While\ b\ c), Fault\ f \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s-in-b by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Normal s')
    with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
    have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
```

```
by simp
    with WhileTrue.hyps t notinF
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c]
   have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      by simp
   with While True.hyps t notinF
   have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ (While\ b\ c), w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   with exec-strip-c s-in-b show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   {f case}\ Stuck
   with exec-w have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
   with t show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by simp
next
  case StuckProp thus ?case by simp
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2\ t)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  have t: t = Fault f by fact
  have notinF: f \notin F by fact
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF exec-c1]
  have exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
   by simp
  with CatchMatch.hyps t notinF
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
   by blast
  with exec-strip-c1 show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma1 c n t)
  then have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.strip-guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (simp add: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
   then have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle Language.strip-quards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ using
AwaitTrue.hyps(2) AwaitTrue.hyps(3) using AwaitTrue.prems(1) by blast
  thus ?case by (simp \ add: AwaitTrue.hyps(1) \ execn. AwaitTrue)
next
  case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (auto simp add:execn.AwaitFalse)
qed
lemma execn-to-execn-strip-guards':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
\mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ t)
  case (Fault f)
  with t-not-Fault exec-c show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
qed (insert exec-c, auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
lemma execn-strip-guards-to-execn:
  \bigwedge s \ n \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  \implies \exists t'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
             (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
             (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
             (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
  case Basic thus ?case by auto
  case Spec thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Seq c1 c2 s n t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  then obtain w where
    exec\text{-}strip\text{-}c1 \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ w\ \ \mathbf{and}
    exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
  from Seq.hyps exec-strip-c1
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w-Fault: isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w' and
    w'-Fault: w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w' = w
```

```
by blast
show ?case
proof (cases \ s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec-strip have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
 with exec-strip have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (Normal s')
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases isFault w)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then obtain f where w': w=Fault f..
   moreover with exec-strip-c2
   have t: t=Fault f
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using Normal w-Fault w'-Fault exec-c1
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
 next
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   {f note}\ noFault-w=this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault w')
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
     then obtain f' where w': w' = Fault f'...
     with Normal exec-c1
     have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
     from w'-Fault w' noFault-w
     have f' \in F
      by (cases \ w) auto
     with exec
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   \mathbf{next}
```

```
case False
        with w'-noFault have w': w'=w by simp
        {\bf from}\ Seq.hyps\ exec\text{-}strip\text{-}c2
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
          isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t' and
          t' \in \mathit{Fault} ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
          by blast
        with Normal exec-c1 w'
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
     qed
    qed
  qed
next
next
  case (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ s \ n \ t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
     by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
     by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases \ s' \in b)
     case True
      with Normal exec-strip
     have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal True Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
            isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t'
```

```
t^{\,\prime} \in \mathit{Fault} \,\, `(-F) \, \longrightarrow \, t^{\,\prime} \!\! = \!\! t
             \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal True
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      with Normal exec-strip
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \ , Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
      with Normal False Cond.hyps obtain t'
        where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
             isFault\ t\ \longrightarrow\ isFault\ t'
            t' \in Fault \ `(-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
             \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      with Normal False
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c s n t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by} \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Normal s')
      fix c' r w
      assume exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
```

```
assume c': c'= While b (strip-guards F c)
have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land
              (w' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w) \land
              (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
  using exec-c' c'
proof (induct)
  case (While True \ r \ b' \ c'' \ n \ u \ w)
  have eqs: While b'c'' = While b (strip-quards F c) by fact
  from WhileTrue.hyps eqs
  have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
  from While True.hyps eqs
  have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u \ \text{by} \ simp
  {\bf from}\ \ While True. hyps\ eqs
  have exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ (strip-guards\ F\ c), u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
    by simp
  show ?case
  proof -
    from While True.hyps eqs have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u
    with While.hyps
    obtain u' where
      exec\text{-}c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
      u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
      u'-Fault: u' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow u' = u and
      u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
      by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isFault u')
      case False
      with u'-noFault have u': u'=u by simp
      from While True.hyps eqs obtain w' where
        \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, u \rangle = n \Rightarrow w'
        isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w'
        w' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w
        \neg \textit{ isFault } w^{\,\prime} \longrightarrow w^{\,\prime} = w
        by auto
      with u' exec-c r-in-b
      show ?thesis
        by (blast intro: execn. While True)
    next
      case True
      then obtain f' where u': u' = Fault f'...
      with exec-c r-in-b
      have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
        by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases is Fault u)
        case True
        then obtain f where u: u=Fault f..
```

```
with exec-strip-w have w=Fault f
           by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
          with exec u' u u'-Fault
         show ?thesis
            by auto
        next
         {f case}\ {\it False}
         with u'-Fault u' have f' \in F
           by (cases u) auto
          with exec show ?thesis
            by auto
        qed
     qed
    qed
  next
    case (WhileFalse r b' c'' n)
   have eqs: While b' c'' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
    from WhileFalse.hyps eqs
   have r-not-in-b: r \notin b by simp
    show ?case
    proof -
      \mathbf{from}\ r\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ r
        by (rule execn. WhileFalse)
      thus ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
 qed auto
} note hyp-while = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases s' \in b)
 case False
  with Normal exec-strip
 have t=s
   by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Normal False show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  case True note s'-in-b = this
  with Normal\ exec\text{-}strip\ \mathbf{obtain}\ r\ \mathbf{where}
    exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \ \text{and}
    exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ (strip-guards\ F\ c), r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  from While.hyps exec-strip-c obtain r' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
    r-Fault: isFault \ r \longrightarrow isFault \ r' and
    r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = r and
    r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = r
    by blast
```

```
show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault r')
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        with r'-noFault have r': r'=r by simp
        from hyp-while exec-strip-w
        obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
          isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
          t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t
          \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with r' exec-c Normal s'-in-b
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      next
        then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
        hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c,r' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
        with Normal s'-in-b exec-c
        have exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
          \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}:\ \mathit{execn.intros})
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases isFault r)
          {f case}\ True
          then obtain f where r: r=Fault f..
          with exec-strip-w have t=Fault f
            by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
          with Normal exec r' r r'-Fault
          show ?thesis
            by auto
        next
          {f case} False
          with r'-Fault r' have f' \in F
            by (cases \ r) auto
          with Normal exec show ?thesis
            by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case Call thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases intro: execn.intros)
  case (Guard f g c s n t)
 have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ fact
```

```
show ?case
proof (cases s)
 case (Fault f)
 with exec-strip have t=Fault f
   by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
 with Fault show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case Stuck
 with exec-strip have t=Stuck
   by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
 with Stuck show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Abrupt s')
 with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
   by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
 with Abrupt show ?thesis
   by auto
next
 case (Normal s')
 \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
 proof (cases f \in F)
   case True
   with exec-strip Normal
   have exec-strip-c: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by simp
   with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
     \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
     isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
     t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
      \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
     by blast
   with Normal True
   show ?thesis
     by (cases s' \in g) (fastforce intro: execn.intros)+
 \mathbf{next}
   {f case} False
   note f-notin-F = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ s' \in g)
     case False
     with Normal exec-strip f-notin-F have t: t=Fault f
       by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
     \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{False}
     have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard f g \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
       by (blast intro: execn.intros)
     with False Normal t show ?thesis
       by auto
```

```
\mathbf{next}
        {f case} True
        with exec-strip Normal f-notin-F
        have \Gamma \vdash_n \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
          by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
        with Guard.hyps obtain t' where
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \text{ and }
          isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
          t' \in Fault \ (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t \text{ and }
          \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
          by blast
        with Normal True
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ c2\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Normal s') note s=this
    with exec-strip have
     \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2), Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \textbf{by} \ simp
    \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
    proof (cases)
      \mathbf{fix} \ w
      assume exec-strip-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ w
```

```
assume exec-strip-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from exec-strip-c1 Catch.hyps
  obtain w' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_n \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
    w'-Fault: w' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow w' = Abrupt w and
    w'-noFault: \neg isFault w' \longrightarrow w'=Abrupt w
    by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases w')
    case (Fault f')
    with Normal exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f'
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    with w'-Fault Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ Stuck
    with w'-noFault have False
      by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Normal w'')
    with w'-noFault have False by simp thus ?thesis ..
    case (Abrupt w'')
    with w'-noFault have w'': w''=w by simp
    from exec-strip-c2 Catch.hyps
    obtain t' where
      \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, Normal \ w \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
      isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t'
      t^{\,\prime} \in \mathit{Fault} \,\, \lq \,\, (-F) \, \longrightarrow \, t^{\,\prime} \!\! = \!\! t
      \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t'=t
      by blast
    with w'' Abrupt s exec-c1
    show ?thesis
      by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  assume t: \neg isAbr t
  assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  with Catch.hyps
  obtain t' where
    exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
    t'-Fault: t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
    \textit{t'-noFault:} \neg \textit{isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases isFault t')
    {f case}\ {\it True}
```

```
then obtain f' where t': t'=Fault f'...
        with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
        with t'-Fault t's show ?thesis
          by auto
      \mathbf{next}
        {f case} False
        with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
        with t exec-c1 s show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    qed
 qed
next
  case (Await\ b\ c\ e\ s\ n\ t)
  have exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (Await \ b \ c \ e), s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } fact
  thus ?case
  proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
    with exec-strip have t=Fault f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-strip have t=Stuck
      by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
    with Stuck show ?thesis
      by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Abrupt s')
    with exec-strip have t=Abrupt s'
      by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
    with Abrupt show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case (Normal s')
     with exec-strip have
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ (Language.strip-guards \ F \ c) \ e, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ by \ simp
      \mathbf{fix}\ c'\ r\ w
      assume exec - c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w
      assume c': c'=Await b (Language.strip-guards F c) e
      have \exists w'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow w' \land (isFault \ w \longrightarrow isFault \ w') \land
                   (w' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow w' = w) \land
                   (\neg isFault \ w' \longrightarrow w'=w)
        using exec-c' c'
      proof (induct)
        case (AwaitTrue\ r\ b'\ \Gamma 1\ c''\ n\ u\ e)
```

```
then have eqs: Await b' c'' e = Await b (Language.strip-guards F c) e by
auto
       {f from}\ AwaitTrue.hyps\ eqs
       have r-in-b: r \in b by simp
       from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs
        have exec-strip-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.strip-guards \ F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by
simp
       from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs
       have beq:b=b' by auto
       from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs beq
          have exec - c'': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b' \ c'' \ e, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u by (simp \ add:
execn.AwaitTrue)
       from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs exec-c''
        have exec-strip-w: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await\ b\ (Language.strip-guards\ F\ c)\ e,Normal\ r \rangle
=n \Rightarrow u
         by simp
       show ?case
       proof -
        from AwaitTrue.hyps eqs have \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle Language.strip-guards \ F \ c, Normal \ r \rangle
=n \Rightarrow u
           by simp
         obtain u' where
           exec-c: \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow u' and
           u-Fault: isFault \ u \longrightarrow isFault \ u' and
           u'-Fault: u' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow u' = u and
           u'-noFault: \neg isFault u' \longrightarrow u' = u
           by (metis Semantic.isFaultE SemanticCon.isFault-simps(3) exec-strip-c
execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
      show ?thesis by (metis (no-types) AwaitTrue.hyps(2) exec-c execn.AwaitTrue
r-in-b u'-Fault u'-noFault)
       qed
     next
       case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case using execn.AwaitFalse by fastforce
     qed auto
   } note hyp\text{-}while = this
   thus ?thesis using Await.prems by auto
 qed
qed
lemma noaw-strip-noaw:
      assumes noawait:noawaits (LanguageCon.strip-guards F z)
      shows noawaits z
using noawait
proof (induct\ z)
case Skip then show ?case by fastforce
next
case Basic then show ?case by fastforce
next
case Spec then show ?case by fastforce
```

```
next
case Seq then show ?case by fastforce
next
case Cond then show ?case by simp
next
case While then show ?case by simp
next
case Call then show ?case by fastforce
next
case DynCom then show ?case by fastforce
next
case (Guard f g c)
have noawaits (LanguageCon.strip-guards F c)
proof (cases f \in F)
  case True show ?thesis using Guard.prems True by force
next
  case False thus ?thesis
  using strip-guards-simps(9) noawaits.simps(9) Guard.prems
  by fastforce
qed
\mathbf{thus}~? case
  by (simp add: Guard.hyps)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Throw) then show ?case by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
 case (Catch) then show ?case by fastforce
qed fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ await\text{-}strip\text{-}noaw\text{-}z\text{-}F\text{:}\neg\ noawaits\ (LanguageCon.strip\text{-}guards\ F\ z)
        \implies noawaits z \implies P
proof (induct\ z)
case Skip thus ?case by auto
next
case Basic then show ?case by fastforce
case Spec then show ?case by fastforce
next
case Seq then show ?case by fastforce
case Cond then show ?case by fastforce
next
case While then show ?case by fastforce
next
case Call then show ?case by fastforce
next
case DynCom then show ?case by fastforce
next
case (Guard f g c)
then have noawaits c using Guard.prems(2) by auto
```

```
have \neg noawaits (LanguageCon.strip-guards F c)
proof (cases f \in F)
   case True thus ?thesis using Guard.prems by force
  case False thus ?thesis
  \mathbf{using}\ strip\text{-}guards\text{-}simps(9)\ noawaits.simps(9)\ Guard.prems
  by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis
   using Guard.hyps \langle noawaits c \rangle by blast
next
 case (Throw) then show ?case by fastforce
next
 case (Catch) then show ?case by fastforce
qed fastforce
lemma strip-eq: (strip F \Gamma)\neg a = Language.strip F (\Gamma_{\neg a})
unfolding Language.strip-def LanguageCon.strip-def no-await-body-def
apply rule
apply (split option.split)
apply auto
apply (simp add: no-await-strip-guards-eq)
apply (rule noaw-strip-noaw, assumption)
apply (rule\ await\text{-}strip\text{-}noaw\text{-}z\text{-}F)
by assumption
lemma execn-strip-to-execn:
 assumes exec-strip: (strip F \Gamma)\vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land
                (isFault\ t \longrightarrow isFault\ t') \land
               (t' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
\mathbf{using}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}strip}
proof (induct)
 case Skip thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Guard thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Basic thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Spec thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
 case SpecStuck thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
```

```
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case While True thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
 case Call thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
 case CallUndefined thus ?case
   by simp (blast intro: execn.intros)
  case StuckProp thus ?case
   by blast
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
 case Throw thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (CatchMatch\ c1\ s\ n\ r\ c2\ t)
 then obtain r't' where
   exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r' and
   r'-Fault: r' \in Fault \cdot (-F) \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt \ r and
   r'-noFault: \neg isFault r' \longrightarrow r' = Abrupt r and
   exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
   t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
   t'-Fault: t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t' = t and
   t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
   by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases isFault r')
   case True
   then obtain f' where r': r'=Fault f'...
   with exec-c1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   with r' r'-Fault show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
   case False
   with r'-noFault have r'=Abrupt r by simp
   with exec-c1 exec-c2 t-Fault t'-noFault t'-Fault
   show ?thesis
     by (blast intro: execn.intros)
  qed
```

```
next
  case CatchMiss thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros elim: isFaultE)
next
  case AwaitTrue thus ?case
     by (metis Semantic.isFaultE SemanticCon.isFault-simps(3) execn.AwaitTrue
execn-strip-to-execn strip-eq)
next
  case AwaitFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.intros(14))
qed
lemma exec-strip-guards-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                (isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t')\ \land
                (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
     execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \neg\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-guards-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-strip-to-exec:
  assumes exec-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land
                (isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t')\ \land
                (t' \in Fault ' (-F) \longrightarrow t'=t) \land
                (\neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t'=t)
proof -
  from exec-strip obtain n where
     execn-strip: strip F \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  then obtain t' where
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    isFault\ t\longrightarrow isFault\ t'\ t'\in Fault\ `(-F)\longrightarrow t'=t\ \lnot\ isFault\ t'\longrightarrow t'=t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-to-execn)
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards)
  thus \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip-guards')
  thus \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma execn-to-execn-strip:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
proof (induct)
  case (Call p bdy s n s')
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards [OF this] Call
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
  moreover from bdy have (strip\ F\ \Gamma)\ p = Some\ (strip-guards\ F\ bdy)
    by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case
    by (blast intro: execn.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
   case (AwaitTrue) thus ?case using execn-to-execn-strip by (metis Seman-
tic.isFaultE\ SemanticCon.isFault-simps(3)\ execn.AwaitTrue\ strip-eq)
```

```
qed (auto intro: execn.intros dest: noFaultn-startD' simp add: not-isFault-iff)
lemma execn-to-execn-strip':
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_n \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
shows strip F \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-c t-not-Fault
proof (induct)
 case (Call p bdy s n s')
 have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 from Call have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
 from execn-to-execn-strip-guards' [OF this] Call
 have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'
 moreover from bdy have (strip\ F\ \Gamma)\ p = Some\ (strip\text{-}guards\ F\ bdy)
   by simp
 ultimately
 show ?case
   by (blast intro: execn.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
next
 case (Seq c1 s n s' c2 t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
   case False
   with Seq show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
 next
   case True
   then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
   with Seq obtain t=Fault f' and f' \notin F
     by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
   with Seq s' show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
 qed
next
 case (While True b \ c \ s \ n \ s' \ t)
 show ?case
 proof (cases isFault s')
   case False
   with While True show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros simp add: not-isFault-iff)
 \mathbf{next}
   case True
   then obtain f' where s': s'=Fault f' by (auto simp add: isFault-def)
   with While True obtain t=Fault\ f' and f' \notin F
     by (force dest: execn-Fault-end)
```

```
with While True s' show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
 case (AwaitTrue) thus ?case by (metis execn. AwaitTrue strip-eq execn-to-execn-strip')
qed (auto intro: execn.intros)
lemma exec-to-exec-strip:
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: \neg isFault t
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip)
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip':
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes t-not-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
 shows strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from this t-not-Fault
  have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-strip')
  thus strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-strip-guards-Fault:
assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
 assumes f-notin-F: f \notin F
 \mathbf{shows}\Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f
proof
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault [OF this - f-notin-F]
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c,s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    by (rule execn-to-exec)
\mathbf{qed}
```

6.8 Lemmas about $c_1 \cap_g c_2$

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ inter-guards\text{-}execn\text{-}Normal\text{-}noFault:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s \ t \ n. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t; \ \neg \ isFault \ t \rrbracket
         \implies \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip
  have (Skip \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c \ by \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Skip and c: c=Skip
    by (simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal s
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Skip c2
  show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Basic\ f\ e)
  have (Basic\ f\ e\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Basic\ f\ e and c: c=Basic\ f\ e
    by (simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have t=Normal\ (f\ s)
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  with Basic c2
  show ?case
    by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Spec \ r \ e)
  have (Spec \ r \ e \cap_{gs} \ c2) = Some \ c \ by \ fact
  then obtain c2: c2=Spec\ r\ e and c: c=Spec\ r\ e
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon inter-guards\text{-}Spec)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \text{by } simp
  from this Spec c2 show ?case
    by (cases) (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ by \ fact
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_{gs} \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c obtain s' where
    exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'  and
    exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
```

```
show ?case
proof (cases s')
  case (Fault f')
  with exec-d2 have t=Fault f'
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
  with noFault show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Normal s'')
  with d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
    by auto
  moreover
  from Normal d2 exec-d2 noFault Seq.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b2, Normal \ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using Normal c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case (Abrupt s'')
  with exec-d2 have t=Abrupt s''
    by (auto simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
  moreover
  from Abrupt d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
obtain \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'' and \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
    by auto
  moreover
  obtain
   \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a2, Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b2, Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s^{\prime\prime}
    by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using Abrupt c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-d2 have t=Stuck
    by (auto simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
  moreover
  from Stuck d1 exec-d1 Seq.hyps
  obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
    by auto
  moreover
  obtain
    \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle a2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle b2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
    by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
```

```
using Stuck c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b t1 e1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t3 e3 where
    c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
    t3: (t1 \cap_{gs} t2) = Some t3 \text{ and}
    e3: (e1 \cap_{gs} e2) = Some \ e3 \text{ and}
    c: c = Cond \ b \ t3 \ e3
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Cond \ b \ t3 \ e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s-in-b: s \in b
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps t3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-in-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s-notin-b: s \notin b
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle e3, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with Cond.hyps e3 noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    with s-notin-b c2 show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b bdy1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have (While b bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_{gs} bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = While \ b \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t\ n\ w\ w1\ w2
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w = While \ b \ bdy
    assume noFault: \neg isFault t
    from exec-w w noFault
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land
      \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (induct)
  prefer 10
  case (WhileTrue s b' bdy' n s' s'')
  have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
  from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
  have noFault-s": \neg isFault s" by fact
  {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
  have exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
  from While True
  have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ bdy, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Fault f)
    with exec-w have s''=Fault f
       by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
    with noFault-s" show ?thesis by simp
    case (Normal s''')
    with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ s'''
            \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'''
       by auto
    moreover
    {\bf from}\ Normal\ While True
    obtain
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy1, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
       \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
      by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using s-in-b Normal
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    case (Abrupt s''')
    \mathbf{with}\ exec\text{-}bdy\ bdy\ While.hyps
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'''
            \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s'''
       by auto
    moreover
    {\bf from}\ Abrupt\ While True
    obtain
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ bdy1, Abrupt\ s^{\prime\prime\prime} \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ s^{\prime\prime}
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Abrupt \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using s-in-b Abrupt
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
```

```
case Stuck
        with exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
        obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
               \Gamma \vdash_{n} \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
          by auto
        moreover
        from Stuck WhileTrue
        obtain
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy1,Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Stuck \rangle = n \Rightarrow s''
          by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using s-in-b Stuck
          by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      qed
    next
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    qed (simp-all)
  with this [OF exec-c c noFault] c2
  show ?case
    by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-guards-Call)
next
  case (DynCom\ f1)
  have noFault: \neg isFault t by fact
  have (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 f where
    c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
    f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_{gs} (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
    c: c=DynCom (\lambda s. the ((f1 s) \cap_{gs} (f2 s)))
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ the \ ((f1\ s) \cap_{gs} \ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by
simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume exec-f: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_{gs} f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from f-defined obtain f where (f1 s \cap_{gs} f2 s) = Some f
      by auto
    with DynCom.hyps this exec-f c2 noFault
    show ?thesis
      using execn.DynCom by fastforce
  qed
next
  case Guard thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases intro: execn.intros
        simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
```

```
next
  case Throw thus ?case
    by (fastforce elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases
         simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
next
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \ \mathbf{and}
    d1: (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    with d1 Catch.hyps
   obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ \text{and} \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt
      by auto
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d2 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle a2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle b2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
    assume \neg isAbr t
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    with d1 Catch.hyps noFault
    obtain \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t and \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
 case (Await b \ bdy1 \ e)
  have noFault: \neg isFault \ t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have (Await b bdy1 e \cap_{qs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2=Await\ b\ bdy2\ e\ {\bf and}
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_q bdy2) = Some bdy and
```

```
c: c=Await\ b\ bdy\ e
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Await)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by fact
  then have \Gamma \vdash_n \langle Await \ b \ bdy1 \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by (metis Semantic.isFaultE SemanticCon.execn-Normal-elim-cases(11) Se-
manticCon.isFault\text{-}simps(3) bdy c execn.AwaitFalse execn.AwaitTrue inter\text{-}guards\text{-}execn\text{-}Normal\text{-}noFault
noFault)
  thus ?case using exec-c
     by (metis Semantic.isFaultE SemanticCon.execn-Normal-elim-cases(11) Se-
manticCon.isFault-simps(3)\ bdy\ c\ execn. A waitFalse\ c2\ execn. A waitTrue\ inter-guards-execn-Normal-noFault
noFault)
qed
{f lemma}\ inter-guards-execn-noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
proof (cases\ s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c have t = Fault f
    by (auto intro: execn-Fault-end)
    with noFault show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-c have t=Abrupt s'
    by (simp add: execn-Abrupt-end)
  with Abrupt show ?thesis by auto
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-c have t=Stuck
    by (simp add: execn-Stuck-end)
  with Stuck show ?thesis by auto
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c noFault inter-guards-execn-Normal-noFault [OF c]
  show ?thesis
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma inter-guards-exec-noFault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes noFault: \neg isFault t
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
proof -
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from c this noFault
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \land \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-noFault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s \ n. \ [(c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c; \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f]
         \implies (\Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f)
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
next
  case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-quards-Basic)
next
  case (Spec r) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Spec)
  case (Seq a1 a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_{gs} \ c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
     c2: c2=Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c: c=Seq \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with c obtain s' where
     exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'  and
     exec-d2: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle d2, s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto elim: execn-Normal-elim-cases)
  show ?case
  proof (cases s')
    case (Fault f')
    with exec-d2 have f'=f
      by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with Fault d1 exec-d1
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ a1 \ a2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
         by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
        by simp
    next
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      hence \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ b1 \ b2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
```

```
by (auto intro: execn.intros)
      with c2 show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  next
    case Abrupt with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
  next
    case Stuck with exec-d2 show ?thesis by (auto dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  next
    case (Normal s'')
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1] obtain
      exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s'' and
      exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s''
      by simp
    moreover from d2 exec-d2 Normal
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a2, Normal\ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b2, Normal\ s'' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
      by (auto dest: Seq.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using c2 by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond \ b \ t1 \ e1)
  have (Cond b t1 e1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain t2 e2 t e where
     c2: c2 = Cond \ b \ t2 \ e2 and
    t: (t1 \cap_{qs} t2) = Some \ t \ \mathbf{and}
    e: (e1 \cap_{gs} e2) = Some \ e \ \mathbf{and}
    c: c = Cond \ b \ t \ e
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Cond \ b \ t \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    assume s \in b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with t have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle t2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault
f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \notin b
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with e have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle e1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle e2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault
f
      by (auto dest: Cond.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b bdy1)
```

```
have (While b bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_{qs} bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = While \ b \ bdy
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  {
    fix s t n w w1 w2
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w = While \ b \ bdy
    assume Fault: t=Fault f
    from exec-w w Fault
    have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \lor
           \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' bdy' n s's")
      have eqs: While b' bdy' = While b bdy by fact
      from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
      have Fault-s'': s''=Fault\ f by fact
      {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
      have exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow s' by simp
      from While True
      have exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ bdy,s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow s'' by simp
      show ?case
      proof (cases s')
        case (Fault f')
        with exec-w Fault-s'' have f'=f
           by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
        with Fault exec-bdy bdy While.hyps
        have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault
f
           by auto
         with s-in-b show ?thesis
           by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
        case (Normal s''')
        with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
        obtain \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal\ s'''
                \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ s^{\prime\prime\prime}
          \mathbf{by} auto
        moreover
        from Normal WhileTrue
        have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ bdy1, Normal\ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f \lor
               \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ bdy2, Normal \ s''' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
           by simp
         ultimately show ?thesis
           using s-in-b by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
      next
```

```
case (Abrupt s''')
       with exec-w Fault-s'' show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
     next
       case Stuck
       with exec-w Fault-s'' show ?thesis by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
     qed
   next
     case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.intros)
   qed (simp-all)
  with this [OF\ exec\-c\ c]\ c2
  show ?case
   by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Call)
  case (DynCom\ f1)
  have (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ by fact
  then obtain f2 where
    c2: c2=DynCom f2 and
   F-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_{gs} (f2 \ s)) \neq None \text{ and }
   c: c=DynCom(\lambda s. the((f1 s) \cap_{gs} (f2 s)))
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom \ (\lambda s. \ the \ ((f1\ s) \cap_{gs} \ (f2\ s))), Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
by simp
  then show ?case
  proof (cases)
   assume exec-F: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle the \ (f1 \ s \cap_{gs} f2 \ s), Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
   from F-defined obtain F where (f1 \ s \cap_{gs} f2 \ s) = Some \ F
     by auto
    with DynCom.hyps this exec-F c2
   show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Guard \ m \ g1 \ bdy1)
  have (Guard m g1 bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = Guard m g2 bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_{gs} bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c = Guard \ m \ (g1 \cap g2) \ bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard\ m\ (g1\ \cap\ g2)\ bdy, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f
   by simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
   assume f-m: Fault <math>f = Fault m
```

```
assume s \notin g1 \cap g2
    hence s \notin g1 \lor s \notin g2
      by blast
    with c2 f-m show ?thesis
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  next
    assume s \in g1 \cap g2
    moreover
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
Fault f
       by (rule Guard.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using c2
       by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
  case (Catch a1 a2)
  have (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
     c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 \ \mathbf{and}
    d1: (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 and d2: (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 and
    c{:}\ c{=}\,Catch\ d1\ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ fact
  with c have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Catch \ d1 \ d2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ by \ simp
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    fix s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF d1 this] obtain
       exec-a1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' and
       exec-b1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
       by simp
    moreover assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    ultimately show ?thesis
       using c2 by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
     with d1 have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle b1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow
Fault f
      by (auto dest: Catch.hyps)
    with c2 show ?thesis
      by (fastforce intro: execn.intros)
```

```
qed
\mathbf{next}
case (Await\ b\ bdy1\ e)
  have (Await b bdy1 e \cap_{qs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2=Await \ b \ bdy2 \ e \ \mathbf{and}
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c=Await\ b\ bdy\ e
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Await)
  have exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
    assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle w, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume w: w=Await b bdy e
    assume Fault: t=Fault f
    from exec-w w Fault
    have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ bdy1 \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor
          \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ bdy2 \ e, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
   using SemanticCon.execn-Normal-elim-cases(11) bdy execn.AwaitTrue inter-guards-execn-Fault
xstate.distinct(3)
   by (metis)
  with this [OF exec-c c] c2
  show ?case
    by auto
qed
lemma inter-guards-execn-Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c
 assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
 \mathbf{shows} \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ f \ \lor \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2,s \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ \mathit{Fault} \ f
proof (cases s)
  case (Fault f)
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
next
  case (Abrupt s')
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (fastforce dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
next
  case Stuck
  with exec-c show ?thesis
    by (fastforce dest: execn-Stuck-end)
  case (Normal s')
  with exec-c inter-guards-execn-Normal-Fault [OF c]
```

```
show ?thesis
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma inter-guards-exec-Fault:
  assumes c: (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c
  assumes exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
proof
  from exec-c obtain n where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f \lor \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault f
    by (rule inter-guards-execn-Fault)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
6.9
        Restriction of Procedure Environment
lemma restrict-SomeD: (m|_A) x = Some y \Longrightarrow m \ x = Some y
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
lemma restrict-dom-same [simp]: m|_{dom\ m}=m
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: restrict-map-def)
  apply (simp only: not-None-eq [symmetric])
  apply rule
  apply (drule sym)
  apply blast
  done
lemma restrict-in-dom: x \in A \Longrightarrow (m|_A) \ x = m \ x
  by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def)
lemma restrict-eq: (\Gamma|_A)_{\neg a} = (\Gamma_{\neg a})|_A
unfolding no-await-body-def
apply rule
apply (split option.split)
apply auto
apply (auto simp add:restrict-map-def)
by (meson\ option.distinct(1))
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}exec\text{:}
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
\mathbf{using}\ exec	ext{-}restrict\ notStuck
proof (induct)
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p ca t)
  have \Gamma_{\neg a}|_A = \Gamma_p
    by (simp add: AwaitTrue.hyps(2) restrict-eq)
  hence \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle ca, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    using AwaitTrue.hyps(3) AwaitTrue.prems exec-restrict-to-exec by blast
  thus ?case
    by (simp add: AwaitTrue.hyps(1) exec.AwaitTrue)
qed (auto intro: exec.intros dest: restrict-SomeD Stuck-end)
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}execn\text{:}
  assumes exec-restrict: \Gamma|_A \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes notStuck: t \neq Stuck
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
using exec-restrict notStuck
proof (induct)
 case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p ca n t)
 have \Gamma_{\neg a}|_A = \Gamma_p
    by (simp\ add: AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ restrict-eq)
  hence \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle ca, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    using AwaitTrue.hyps(3) AwaitTrue.prems execn-restrict-to-execn by blast
  thus ?case
    by (simp add: AwaitTrue.hyps(1) execn.AwaitTrue)
qed(auto intro: execn.intros dest: restrict-SomeD execn-Stuck-end)
lemma restrict-NoneD: m \ x = None \Longrightarrow (m|_A) \ x = None
 by (auto simp add: restrict-map-def split: if-split-asm)
lemma execn-to-execn-restrict:
 assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 shows \exists t'. \ \Gamma|_{P} \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
                (\forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\}) \land (t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t)
using execn
proof (induct)
  case Skip show ?case by (blast intro: execn.Skip)
  case Guard thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Guard)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.GuardFault)
next
  case FaultProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.FaultProp)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Basic)
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.Spec)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case SpecStuck thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.SpecStuck)
next
 case Seq thus ?case by (metis insertCI execn.Seq StuckProp)
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondTrue)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CondFalse)
next
  case While True thus ?case by (metis insertCI execn. While True StuckProp)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. WhileFalse)
next
 case (Call p bdy n s s')
 have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases p \in P)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   with Call have (\Gamma|_P) p = Some \ bdy
     by (simp)
   with Call show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn.intros)
  \mathbf{next}
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by simp
   thus ?thesis
     by (auto intro: execn. Call Undefined)
 qed
next
 case (CallUndefined p n s)
 have \Gamma p = None by fact
 hence (\Gamma|_P) p = None by (rule\ restrict-NoneD)
  thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.CallUndefined)
next
 case StuckProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.StuckProp)
 case DynCom thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.DynCom)
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: execn. Throw)
  case AbruptProp thus ?case by (auto intro: execn.AbruptProp)
next
  case (CatchMatch c1 s n s' c2 s'')
 from CatchMatch.hyps
  obtain t' t'' where
   exec-res-c1: \Gamma|_{P}\vdash_{p}\langle c1, Normal\ s\rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t' and
   t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s' and
   exec-res-c2: \Gamma|_{P}\vdash_{p}\langle c2, Normal\ s'\rangle = n \Rightarrow t'' and
   s''-Stuck: s'' = Stuck \longrightarrow t'' = Stuck and
   s''-Fault: \forall f. \ s'' = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t'' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} and
```

```
t''-notStuck: t'' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t'' = s''
   by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases t'=Stuck)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   with exec-res-c1
   have \Gamma|_{p}\vdash_{p}\langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\rangle = n \Rightarrow Stuck
     by (auto intro: execn.CatchMiss)
   thus ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case False
   with t'-notStuck have t'= Abrupt s'
     by simp
    with exec-res-c1 exec-res-c2
   have \Gamma|_{p}\vdash_{p}\langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\rangle = n \Rightarrow t''
     by (auto intro: execn. CatchMatch)
   with s''-Stuck s''-Fault t''-notStuck
   show ?thesis
     by blast
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (CatchMiss\ c1\ s\ n\ w\ c2)
  have exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow w by fact
  from CatchMiss.hyps obtain w' where
    exec-c1': \Gamma|_{P}\vdash_{p}\langle c1, Normal\ s\rangle = n \Rightarrow w' and
   w-Stuck: w = Stuck \longrightarrow w' = Stuck and
   w-Fault: \forall f. \ w = Fault \ f \longrightarrow w' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} \ and
   w'-noStuck: w' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow w' = w
   by auto
  have noAbr-w: \neg isAbr w by fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases w')
   case (Normal s')
   with w'-noStuck have w'=w
     by simp
   with exec-c1' Normal w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
   show ?thesis
     by (fastforce intro: execn.CatchMiss)
  next
   case (Abrupt s')
   with w'-noStuck have w'=w
     by simp
   with noAbr-w Abrupt show ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Fault f)
   with w'-noStuck have w'=w
     by simp
   with exec-c1' Fault w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
```

```
show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn.CatchMiss)
  next
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1' w-Stuck w-Fault w'-noStuck
    show ?thesis
       by (fastforce intro: execn. CatchMiss)
  qed
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c n t)
   have \Gamma_{\neg a}|_P = (\Gamma|_P)_{\neg a}
    by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ restrict-eq)
   thus ?case using execn-to-execn-restrict by (metis (full-types) AwaitTrue.hyps(1)
AwaitTrue.hyps(2) AwaitTrue.hyps(3) execn.AwaitTrue)
  case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (fastforce intro: execn.AwaitFalse)
qed
lemma exec-to-exec-restrict:
  assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  shows \exists t'. \ \Gamma|_{P} \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t' \land (t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck) \land
                   (\forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\}) \land (t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t)
proof -
  from exec obtain n where
     execn-strip: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto simp add: exec-iff-execn)
  from execn-to-execn-restrict [where P=P,OF this]
  obtain t' where
    \Gamma|_{P}\vdash_{p}\langle c,s\rangle = n \Rightarrow t'
    t = Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Stuck \ \forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, Stuck\} \ t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: execn-to-exec)
qed
lemma notStuck-GuardD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard \ m \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in g \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. Guard)
lemma notStuck-SeqD1:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rbrace
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-SeqD2:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq )
```

```
lemma notStuck-SeqD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \land (\forall \ s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s' \rangle
\Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\})
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.Seq)
lemma notStuck-CondTrueD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondTrue)
lemma notStuck-CondFalseD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{\mathit{Stuck}\};\ s \notin b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{\mathit{Stuck}\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CondFalse)
lemma notStuck-WhileTrueD1:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Stuck\text{-}While True D2:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'; \ s \in b \rrbracket
     \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. While True)
\mathbf{lemma}\ notStuck	ext{-}AwaitTrueD1:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \exists \Gamma 1. \ \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (meson Semantic.noStuckI' SemanticCon.noStuck-def' exec.AwaitTrue)
lemma notStuck-AwaitTrueD2:
    \llbracket \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ s \in b; \ \Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a} \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   unfolding Semantic.final-notin-def final-notin-def
    by (meson SemanticCon.exec-Normal-elim-cases(11))
lemma notStuck-CallD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy \rrbracket
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec. Call)
lemma notStuck-CallDefinedD:
   \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
     \Longrightarrow \Gamma \ p \neq None
   by (cases \Gamma p)
       (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CallUndefined)
```

```
lemma notStuck-DynComD:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle (c \ s), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.DynCom)
lemma notStuck-CatchD1:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} 
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch exec.CatchMiss)
lemma notStuck-CatchD2:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest: exec.CatchMatch)
          Miscellaneous
6.10
lemma no-guards-bdy:\Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a} \Longrightarrow
                      \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ noquards \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
                       \implies \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma 1. \ Language.noguards \ (the \ (\Gamma 1 \ p))
proof
  \mathbf{fix} p
  assume a1:\Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a}
  assume a2: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. LanguageCon.noguards (the (\Gamma p))
  assume a3:p \in dom \Gamma 1
  with all all obtain t where t:\Gamma p = Some t
     by (meson domD in-gamma-in-noawait-gamma)
  with a3 obtain s where s:\Gamma 1 p = Some s by blast
  with t s a1 have noaw-t:noawaits t by (meson no-await-some-no-await)
  with a1 a3 s t lam1-seq have s=sequential t by fastforce
  moreover have LanguageCon.noquards t
   using a2 t by force
  ultimately have Language.noguards s
   using noaw-t noawaits-noguards-seq by blast
  then show Language.noguards (the (\Gamma 1 p))by (simp add: s)
qed
lemma execn-noguards-no-Fault:
 assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes noguards-c: noguards c
 assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
 shows \neg isFault t
  using execn noguards-c s-no-Fault
  proof (induct)
    case (Call p bdy n s t) with noguards-\Gamma show ?case
      apply -
      apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
      apply auto
      done
```

```
next
   case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
     with Semantic.execn-noguards-no-Fault no-guards-bdy
     have s1: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma 1. Language.noquards (the (\Gamma 1 \ p)) using noquards-\Gamma
     proof -
       have \forall a. a \notin dom \ \Gamma 1 \ \lor \ Language.noguards (the (\Gamma 1 \ a))
         by (metis\ (no-types)\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ no-guards-bdy\ noguards-\Gamma)
       then show ?thesis
         by metis
     \mathbf{qed}
     have Language.noguards c
       using AwaitTrue.prems(1) LanguageCon.noguards.simps(12) by blast
     hence \neg Semantic.isFault t
     \mathbf{by}\;(meson\;AwaitTrue.hyps(3)\;Semantic.isFault-simps(1)\;s1\;execn-noguards-no-Fault)
     thus ?case
       using SemanticCon.not-isFault-iff by force
  qed (auto)
lemma exec-noquards-no-Fault:
assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes noguards-c: noguards c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes s-no-Fault: \neg isFault s
shows \neg isFault t
 using exec noguards-c s-no-Fault
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy s t) with noguards-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 next
  case (AwaitTrue) thus ?case
     by (meson Semantic.exec-to-execn SemanticCon.execn-noguards-no-Fault ex-
ecn.AwaitTrue\ noquards-\Gamma)
  qed auto
lemma no-throws-bdy:\Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a} \Longrightarrow \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma p))
                   \implies \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma 1. \ Language.nothrows (the (\Gamma 1 p))
proof
 \mathbf{fix} p
 assume a1:\Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a}
 assume a2: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. LanguageCon.nothrows (the (\Gamma p))
 assume a3:p \in dom \Gamma 1
  with all all obtain t where t:\Gamma p = Some t
    by (meson domD in-gamma-in-noawait-gamma)
  with a3 obtain s where s:\Gamma 1 p = Some s by blast
```

```
with t s a1 have noaw-t:noawaits t by (meson no-await-some-no-await)
  with a1 a3 s t lam1-seq have s=sequential t by fastforce
  moreover have LanguageCon.nothrows t
  using a2 t by force
  ultimately have Language.nothrows s
  using noaw-t noawaits-nothrows-seq by blast
  then show Language.nothrows (the (\Gamma 1 p))by (simp add: s)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt:
assumes execn: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
shows \neg(isAbr\ t)
 using execn nothrows-c s-no-Abrupt
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy n s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
     apply -
     apply (drule bspec [where x=p])
     apply auto
     done
 next
case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c n t)
     with Semantic.execn-noguards-no-Fault no-throws-bdy
     have s: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma 1. Language.nothrows (the (\Gamma 1 \ p)) using nothrows-\Gamma
     proof -
       have \forall a. a \notin dom \ \Gamma 1 \lor Language.nothrows (the (\Gamma 1 a))
         by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ no-throws-bdy\ nothrows-\Gamma)
       then show ?thesis
         by metis
     qed
     have Language.nothrows c
       using AwaitTrue.prems(1) LanguageCon.nothrows.simps(12) by blast
     hence \neg Semantic.isAbr t
    by (meson AwaitTrue.hyps(3) Semantic.execn-to-exec Semantic.isAbr-simps(1)
s \ exec-nothrows-no-Abrupt)
    thus ?case using Semantic.isAbr-def SemanticCon.isAbrE by fastforce
 qed (auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exec\text{-}nothrows\text{-}no\text{-}Abrupt:
assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes s-no-Abrupt: \neg(isAbr\ s)
shows \neg (isAbr\ t)
 using exec nothrows-c s-no-Abrupt
 proof (induct)
   case (Call p bdy s t) with nothrows-\Gamma show ?case
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{apply} - \\ \mathbf{apply} \ (drule \ bspec \ [\mathbf{where} \ x=p]) \\ \mathbf{apply} \ auto \\ \mathbf{done} \\ \mathbf{next} \\ \mathbf{case} \ (AwaitTrue) \ \mathbf{thus} \ ?case \\ \mathbf{by} \ (meson \ Semantic.exec-to-execn \ execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt \ execn.AwaitTrue \\ nothrows-\Gamma) \\ \mathbf{qed} \ (auto) \\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

7 Terminating Programs

theory TerminationCon imports SemanticCon EmbSimpl/Termination begin

7.1 Inductive Characterisation: $\Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s$

```
inductive terminates::('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow bool
    (-\vdash_p - \downarrow - [60,20,60] \ 89)
   for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) body
where
    Skip: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Skip \downarrow (Normal\ s)
\mid Basic: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Basic \ f \ e \ \downarrow (Normal \ s)
\mid Spec: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Spec \ r \ e \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Guard: [s \in g; \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow (Normal \ s)]
                \Gamma \vdash_{p} Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| GuardFault: s \notin g
                        \Gamma \vdash_{p} Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Fault [intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Fault f
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p c_1 \downarrow \mathit{Normal} \ s; \ \forall \ s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_2 \downarrow s \, \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash_n Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| CondTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma \vdash_p c_1 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]
                     \Gamma \vdash_p Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
```

```
| CondFalse: [s \notin b; \Gamma \vdash_p c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)]|
                        \Gamma \vdash_p Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow (Normal \ s)
 \begin{array}{c} | \ \mathit{WhileTrue} \colon \llbracket s \in b; \ \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow (\mathit{Normal} \ s); \\ \forall \, s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, \mathit{Normal} \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \, s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \mathit{While} \ b \ c \downarrow s' \rrbracket \end{array} 
                          \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| AwaitTrue: [s \in b; \Gamma_p = \Gamma_{\neg a} ; \Gamma_p \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s)]
                          \Gamma \vdash_p Await \ b \ c \ e \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| AwaitFalse: [s \notin b]
                          \Gamma \vdash_{p} Await \ b \ c \ e \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| \ WhileFalse: \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket
                            \Gamma \vdash_{p} While \ b \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
\mid \mathit{Call} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \ \mathit{p=Some bdy} ; \Gamma \vdash_{p} \mathit{bdy} \downarrow (\mathit{Normal } s) \rrbracket
                   \Gamma \vdash_{n} Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
\mid \mathit{CallUndefined} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \ p = \mathit{None} \rrbracket
                                   \Gamma \vdash_p Call \ p \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Stuck [intro, simp]: \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Stuck
\mid \mathit{DynCom} \colon \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p (c\ s) {\downarrow} (\mathit{Normal}\ s) \rrbracket
                        \Gamma \vdash_{p} DynCom \ c \downarrow (Normal \ s)
| Throw: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Throw \downarrow (Normal\ s)
|Abrupt[intro,simp]: \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Abrupt s
| Catch: [\Gamma \vdash_p c_1 \downarrow Normal s;
                    \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c_1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ ]
                   \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
inductive-cases terminates-elim-cases [cases set]:
   \Gamma \vdash_p Skip \downarrow s
   \Gamma \vdash_p Guard f g \ c \downarrow s
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_p Basic\ f\ e \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Spec \ r \ e \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Call \ p \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p DynCom \ c \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Throw \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Await \ b \ c \ e \downarrow s
inductive-cases terminates-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} Skip \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Basic\ f\ e\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Spec \ r \ e \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Throw \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  \Gamma \vdash_p Await \ b \ c \ e \downarrow Normal \ s
lemma terminates-Skip': \Gamma \vdash_p Skip \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Call-body:
 \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p Call \ p \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash_p (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \downarrow s
  by (cases\ s)
      (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-Normal-Call-body:
 p \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash_{p} Call \ p \ \downarrow Normal \ s = \Gamma \vdash_{p} (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \downarrow Normal \ s
  by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-implies-exec:
  assumes terminates: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  shows \exists t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
using terminates
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Spec \ r \ e \ s) thus ?case
```

```
by (cases \exists t. (s,t) \in r) (auto\ intro:\ exec.intros)
next
 case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
 case GuardFault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec-Seq')
next
 case CondTrue thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case CondFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
 case While True thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
 case WhileFalse thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Call p bdy s)
 then obtain s' where
   \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle bdy, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
  moreover have \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
 ultimately show ?case
   by (cases s') (iprover intro: exec.intros)+
next
 case CallUndefined thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Stuck thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case DynCom thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
next
 case Abrupt thus ?case by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
 then obtain s' where exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   by iprover
  thus ?case
 proof (cases s')
   case (Normal s'')
   with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.intros)
  next
   case (Abrupt s'')
   with exec-c1 Catch.hyps
   obtain t where \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s'' \rangle \Rightarrow t
     by auto
   with exec-c1 Abrupt show ?thesis by (auto intro: exec.intros)
```

```
next
    case Fault
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
    case Stuck
    with exec-c1 show ?thesis by (auto intro!: exec.CatchMiss)
  qed
  next
    case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c)
    then obtain t where \Gamma_p \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
     using terminates-implies-exec by fastforce
    then have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       using AwaitTrue.hyps(2) \langle \Gamma_p \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \rangle by blast
    thus ?case
       by (meson\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ exec.AwaitTrue)
    case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (fastforce intro: exec.intros(13))
qed
lemma terminates-block:
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p block \ init \ ei \ bdy \ return \ er \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim!: exec-Normal-elim-cases
         dest!: not-isAbrD)
done
lemma terminates-block-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p block init ei bdy return er c \downarrow Normal s
assumes e: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
          \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return
s(t)
          ]\!] \Longrightarrow P
shows P
proof -
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Basic\ init\ ei, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (init\ s)
    by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  with termi
  have \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s)
    apply (unfold block-def)
    apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    by simp
  moreover
    assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
    have \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
    proof -
```

```
from exec-bdy
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ (Seq \ (Basic \ init \ ei) \ bdy)
                                  (\textit{Seq (Basic (return s) er) Throw}), \textit{Normal s}\rangle \Rightarrow \textit{Normal t}
         by (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
     with termi have \Gamma \vdash_p DynCom(\lambda t. Seq(Basic(return s) er)(c s t)) \downarrow Normal
t
         \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{unfold} \ \mathit{block-def})
         apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        by simp
       thus ?thesis
         apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
         apply (auto intro: exec.intros)
         done
    \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show P by (iprover intro: e)
qed
lemma terminates-call:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
  \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t) 
 \implies \Gamma \vdash_p call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  apply (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-callUndefined:
\llbracket \Gamma \ p = None \rrbracket
 \implies \Gamma \vdash_p call \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ result \downarrow Normal \ s
  apply (unfold call-def)
  apply (rule terminates-block)
  apply (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  apply (auto elim: exec-Normal-elim-cases)
  done
lemma terminates-call-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p call init ei p return er <math>c \downarrow Normal s
assumes bdy: \bigwedge bdy. \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s);
      \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s)
\mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{undef} \colon \llbracket \Gamma\ p = \mathit{None} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
apply (cases \Gamma p)
apply (erule undef)
using termi
apply (unfold call-def)
```

```
apply (erule terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
apply simp
apply (frule\ (1)\ bdy)
apply (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
apply assumption
apply simp
done
lemma terminates-dynCall:
\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p call \ init \ ei \ (p \ s) \ return \ er \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket
 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} dynCall \ init \ ei \ p \ return \ er \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
 apply (unfold dynCall-def)
 apply (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-call)
  done
lemma terminates-dynCall-elim [cases set, consumes 1]:
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p dynCall\ init\ ei\ p\ return\ er\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
assumes \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p call \ init \ ei \ (p \ s) \ return \ er \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P
shows P
using termi
apply (unfold dynCall-def)
apply (elim terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
apply fact
done
        Lemmas about LanguageCon.sequence, LanguageCon.flatten
        and Language Con.normalize
lemma terminates-sequence-app:
  \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s \ []
\Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} sequence \ Seq \ (xs \ @ \ ys) \downarrow \ Normal \ s
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have termi-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (x \# xs) \downarrow Normal s by fact
 have termi-ys: \forall s'. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ (x \# xs), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p sequence
Seq \ ys \downarrow s' \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  show ?case
 proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with termi-x-xs termi-ys show ?thesis
      by (cases ys) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    case Cons
    from termi-x-xs Cons
```

apply (erule terminates-block-elim)

```
have \Gamma \vdash_p x \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   moreover
      fix s'
      assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
      have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow s'
        from exec-x termi-x-xs Cons
        have termi-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
          by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases s')
          case (Normal s'')
          with exec-x termi-ys Cons
          have \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s'' \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ ys
\downarrow s'
            by (auto intro: exec.intros)
          from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs [simplified Normal] this]
          have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal s''.
          with Normal show ?thesis by simp
        next
          case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
      \mathbf{qed}
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appD:
  \bigwedge s. \ \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal \ s
   \implies \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq \ xs \downarrow Normal \ s \land
       (\forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s')
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases
         intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  have termi-x-xs-ys: \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq ((x \# xs) @ ys) \downarrow Normal s by fact
 show ?case
```

```
proof (cases xs)
  {\bf case}\ Nil
  with termi-x-xs-ys show ?thesis
    by (cases ys)
       (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases exec-Normal-elim-cases
         intro: terminates-Skip')
next
  case Cons
  with termi-x-xs-ys
  obtain termi-x: \Gamma \vdash_p x \downarrow Normal \ s and
          termi-xs-ys: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ (xs@ys)
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs) \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
    show \Gamma \vdash_{p} x \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (rule \ termi-x)
 \mathbf{next}
    fix s'
    assume exec-x: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq xs \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from termi-xs-ys [rule-format, OF exec-x]
      have termi-xs-ys': \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (xs@ys) \downarrow s'.
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases s')
        \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{Normal}\ s^{\,\prime\prime})
        from Cons.hyps [OF termi-xs-ys' [simplified Normal]]
        show ?thesis
          using Normal by auto
        case Abrupt thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        case Fault thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        case Stuck thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
  moreover
  {
    assume exec-x-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ x \ (sequence \ Seq \ xs), Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq ys \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-x-xs obtain t where
        exec-x: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle x, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t and
        exec-xs: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs,t \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by cases
```

```
show ?thesis
        proof (cases t)
          case (Normal t')
          with exec-x termi-xs-ys have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (xs@ys) \downarrow Normal t'
          from Cons.hyps [OF this] exec-xs Normal
          show ?thesis
            by auto
        next
          case (Abrupt \ t')
          with exec-xs have s' = Abrupt t'
            by (auto dest: Abrupt-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        \mathbf{next}
          case (Fault f)
          with exec-xs have s'=Fault f
            by (auto dest: Fault-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        next
          case Stuck
          with exec-xs have s'=Stuck
            by (auto dest: Stuck-end)
          thus ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
        qed
      \mathbf{qed}
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons
      by auto
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma terminates-sequence-appE [consumes 1]:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (xs @ ys) \downarrow Normal s;
    \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_{p} sequence \ Seq \ xs \ \downarrow \ Normal \ s;
     \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle sequence \ Seq \ xs, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \ \Gamma \vdash_p sequence \ Seq \ ys \downarrow s' \parallel \Longrightarrow
P
 by (auto dest: terminates-sequence-appD)
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
 shows \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s
using termi
by (induct)
   (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
     exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec)
```

lemma terminates-to-terminates-normalize:

```
assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p normalize c \downarrow s
using termi
proof induct
  case Seq
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                 terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
\mathbf{next}
  case WhileTrue
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
next
  case Catch
  thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros terminates-sequence-app
                terminates-to-terminates-sequence-flatten
        dest: exec-sequence-flatten-to-exec exec-normalize-to-exec)
next
 case AwaitTrue
 thus ?case
  {f using}\ terminates-to-terminates-normalize
  by (simp add: terminates-to-terminates-normalize terminates.AwaitTrue)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{:}
 shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten c) \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten (Seq c1 c2)) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-app: \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten c1 @ flatten c2) \downarrow s by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
   case (Normal s')
   have \Gamma \vdash_{p} Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
   proof (rule terminates.Seg [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten c1) \downarrow Normal s'
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
       by simp
   \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten c2) \downarrow s''
       by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      with Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash_n c2 \downarrow s''
        \mathbf{by} \ simp
    qed
    with Normal show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
lemma terminates-normalize-to-terminates:
 shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash_p normalize c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
proof (induct \ c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates-Skip')
  case Basic thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Spec thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
 have \Gamma \vdash_p normalize (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-app: \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1) @ flatten (normalize
(c2))\downarrow s
    by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases s)
    case (Normal s')
    have \Gamma \vdash_p Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
    proof (rule terminates.Seq [rule-format])
      from termi-app [simplified Normal]
      have \Gamma \vdash_{p} sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c1)) \downarrow Normal s'
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE)
      from terminates-sequence-flatten-to-terminates [OF this] Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
        by simp
   \mathbf{next}
      fix s''
      assume \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      from exec-to-exec-normalize [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''.
      from termi-app [simplified Normal] exec-to-exec-sequence-flatten [OF this]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p sequence Seq (flatten (normalize c2)) \downarrow s''
        by (cases rule: terminates-sequence-appE) auto
      {\bf from}\ terminates\text{-}sequence\text{-}flatten\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\ [OF\ this]\ Seq.hyps
      show \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s''
        by simp
    qed
    with Normal show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  thus ?case
   by (cases\ s)
       (auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p normalize (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s by fact
  hence termi-norm-w: \Gamma \vdash_p While\ b\ (normalize\ c) \downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  {
    assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash_p w \downarrow t
    have w = While \ b \ (normalize \ c) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow t
      using termi-w
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue t' b' c')
      from WhileTrue obtain
        t'-b: t' \in b and
        \textit{termi-norm-c} \colon \Gamma {\vdash_p} \textit{normalize } c \downarrow \textit{Normal } t' \text{ and }
        termi-norm-w': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ t' \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow
s'
      from While.hyps [OF termi-norm-c]
      have \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Normal \ t'.
      moreover
      from termi-norm-w'
      \mathbf{have}\ \forall\, s'.\ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal\ t'\ \rangle \,\Rightarrow\, s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p \mathit{While}\ b\ c\,\downarrow\, s'
        by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-normalize)
      ultimately show ?case
        using t'-b
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 from this [OF termi-norm-w]
 show ?case
    by auto
next
  case Call thus ?case by simp
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros rangeI elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Guard thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  case Throw thus ?case by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Catch
```

```
thus ?case
    by (cases\ s)
       (auto\ dest:\ exec-to-exec-normalize\ elim!:\ terminates-Normal-elim-cases
         intro!: terminates.Catch)
next
  case (Await b c) thus ?case
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-normalize-to-terminates terminates. AwaitTrue
terminates. AwaitFalse rangeI elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}iff\text{-}terminates\text{-}normalize\text{:}
\Gamma \vdash_p normalize \ c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  by (auto intro: terminates-to-terminates-normalize
    terminates-normalize-to-terminates)
7.3
         Lemmas about Language Con.strip-guards
lemma terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates: \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash_{v} strip-guards F c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{v} c \downarrow s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by simp
next
  case Basic thus ?case by simp
next
  case Spec thus ?case by simp
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s: s=Normal s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    hence \Gamma \vdash_{p} c1 \downarrow Normal s'
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
    moreover
    assume c2:
      \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s'' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s''
      fix s'' assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
      have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s''
```

proof (cases s")
case (Normal s"")
with exec-c1

```
have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
          by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
          by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
      next
        case (Abrupt s''')
        with exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow s''
          by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
          by (iprover intro: Seq.hyps)
      \mathbf{next}
        case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
        case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Cond b c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash_{p} Cond \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c1) \ (strip-guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash_p Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s' \in b \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s' \ s = Normal \ s'
    thus ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  next
    fix s'
    assume s' \notin b \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards F c2 \downarrow Normal s' s = Normal s'
    thus ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros Cond.hyps)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b \ c)
  have hyp-c: \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash_p strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s \ \text{by } fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c) \downarrow s \ \mathbf{using} \ While.prems \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  moreover
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ sw
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p sw \downarrow s
    then have sw = While \ b \ (strip-guards \ F \ c) \Longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_p While\ b\ c\ \downarrow\ s
    proof (induct)
      case (While True s b' c')
      have eqs. While b' c' = While b (strip-guards F c) by fact
      with \langle s \in b' \rangle have b: s \in b by simp
      from eqs \langle \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal \ s \rangle have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by simp
      hence term-c: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal s
        by (rule\ hyp-c)
      moreover
        \mathbf{fix} \ t
        assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
        have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow t
        proof (cases \ t)
          case Fault
          thus ?thesis by simp
        next
          case Stuck
          thus ?thesis by simp
        next
          case (Abrupt \ t')
          thus ?thesis by simp
        next
          case (Normal t')
          with exec-c
          have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
            by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
          with WhileTrue.hyps eqs Normal
          show ?thesis
            by fastforce
        qed
      }
      ultimately
      show ?case
        using b
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed simp-all
  ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow s
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Call thus ?case by simp
```

```
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
next
  case Guard
  thus ?case
    by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates.intros
                   split: if-split-asm)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  hence \Gamma \vdash_p Catch (strip-guards \ F \ c1) (strip-guards \ F \ c2) \downarrow s \ by \ simp
  thus \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{P}} Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow s
  proof (cases)
    fix f assume s=Fault f thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume s=Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
    fix s' assume s=Abrupt s' thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix s'
    assume s: s=Normal s'
    assume \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s'
    hence \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
      by (rule Catch.hyps)
    moreover
    assume c2:
      \forall s''. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
              \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s''
      \mathbf{fix}\ s^{\prime\prime}\ \mathbf{assume}\ \mathit{exec\text{-}c1} \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle \mathit{c1}, \mathit{Normal}\ s^{\prime} \, \rangle \, \Rightarrow \, \mathit{Abrupt}\ s^{\prime\prime}
      have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal s''
      proof -
        from exec-c1
        have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s''
          by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards)
        with c2
        show ?thesis
          by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
      qed
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using s
      by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  ged
next case (Await b c) thus ?case
   by (cases s) (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates
```

```
terminates.intros
                   split: if-split-asm)
qed
{f lemma}\ terminates-strip-to-terminates:
  assumes termi-strip: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
using termi-strip
proof induct
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      thus ?thesis
        by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis
        by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec this] While True.hyps
      \mathbf{show}~? the sis
        by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
```

```
by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_n c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    from exec-to-exec-strip [OF exec] Catch.hyps
   have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by auto
  }
  ultimately show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros terminates-strip-quards-to-terminates)
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c)
  then have eq-fun:Language.strip F(\Gamma_{\neg a}) = \Gamma_p
    by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ strip-eq)
  then have Language.strip F (\Gamma_{\neg a})\vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \ using \ AwaitTrue.hyps(3)
    by auto
  thus ?case by
  (fast force\ intro:\ Await True\ .hyps(1)\ terminates. Await True\ terminates-strip-to-terminates)
qed (auto intro: terminates.intros)
7.4
        Lemmas about c_1 \cap_q c_2
lemma inter-guards-terminates:
  \bigwedge c \ c2 \ s. \ \llbracket (c1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c; \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} c1 \downarrow s \ \rrbracket
        \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
proof (induct c1)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Skip)
  case (Basic f) thus ?case by (fastforce simp add: inter-guards-Basic)
next
  case (Spec \ r) thus ?case by (fastforce \ simp \ add: inter-guards-Spec)
next
  case (Seq a1 \ a2)
  have (Seq \ a1 \ a2 \cap_{gs} \ c2) = Some \ c \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
    c2: c2 = Seq b1 b2 and
    d1: (a1 \cap_{gs} b1) = Some \ d1 \text{ and } d2: (a2 \cap_{gs} b2) = Some \ d2 \text{ and}
    c: c{=}Seq \ d1 \ d2
    by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Seq)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Seq \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
```

```
proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   with d1 \ termi-c1
   have \Gamma \vdash_p d1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Seq.hyps)
   moreover
    {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
     have \Gamma \vdash_p d2 \downarrow t
     \mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{cases}\ t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
       case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case (Normal t')
       with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
         by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash_p a2 \downarrow Normal \ t'
         by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash_p d2 \downarrow Normal t'
         by (auto intro: Seq.hyps)
       with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   }
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash_p Seq \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
 qed
  with c show ?case by simp
next
  case Cond thus ?case
   \mathbf{by} - (cases\ s,
         auto intro: terminates.intros elim!: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
              simp add: inter-guards-Cond)
\mathbf{next}
 case (While b bdy1)
 have (While b bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some c by fact
 then obtain bdy2 bdy where
```

```
c2: c2 = While \ b \ bdy2 and
 bdy: (bdy1 \cap_{gs} bdy2) = Some bdy and
 c: c = While \ b \ bdy
 by (auto simp add: inter-guards-While)
have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ bdy1 \downarrow s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
moreover
 fix s w w1 w2
 assume termi-w: \Gamma \vdash_p w \downarrow s
 assume w: w = While b bdy1
 {f from}\ termi-w\ w
 have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ bdy \downarrow s
 proof (induct)
   case (WhileTrue s b' bdy1')
   have eqs: While b' bdy1' = While b bdy1 by fact
   from While True have s-in-b: s \in b by simp
   from While True have termi-bdy1: \Gamma \vdash_p bdy1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ by simp
   show ?case
   proof -
      from bdy termi-bdy1
     have \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow (Normal\ s)
       by (rule While.hyps)
     moreover
      {
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ bdy \downarrow t
       \mathbf{proof} (cases t)
         case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
       next
          case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
       next
          case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
       \mathbf{next}
          case (Normal t')
          with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF bdy exec-bdy]
         have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
          with While True have \Gamma \vdash_{p} While \ b \ bdy \downarrow Normal \ t'
           by simp
          with Normal show ?thesis by simp
       qed
      }
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using s-in-b
       by (blast intro: terminates. While True)
   qed
 next
   case WhileFalse thus ?case
```

```
by (blast intro: terminates. WhileFalse)
   \mathbf{qed}\ (simp\text{-}all)
  ultimately
 show ?case using c by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case by (simp add: inter-guards-Call)
next
  case (DynCom\ f1)
 have (DynCom\ f1\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain f2 f where
   c2: c2 = DynCom f2 and
   f-defined: \forall s. ((f1 \ s) \cap_{gs} (f2 \ s)) \neq None \ \mathbf{and}
   c: c=DynCom\ (\lambda s.\ the\ ((f1\ s)\ \cap_{gs}\ (f2\ s)))
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-DynCom)
 have termi: \Gamma \vdash_{p} DynCom f1 \downarrow s by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s')
   from f-defined obtain f where f: ((f1 \ s') \cap_{gs} (f2 \ s')) = Some f
     by auto
   from Normal termi
   have \Gamma \vdash_p f1 \ s' \downarrow (Normal \ s')
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   from DynCom.hyps f this
   have \Gamma \vdash_p f \downarrow (Normal\ s')
     by blast
   with c f Normal
   show ?thesis
     by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
 qed
next
  case (Guard\ f\ g1\ bdy1)
 have (Guard f g1 \ bdy1 \cap_{gs} c2) = Some \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  then obtain g2 bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = Guard \ f \ g2 \ bdy2 and
   bdy: (bdy1 \cap_{gs} bdy2) = Some bdy and
   c: c = Guard f (g1 \cap g2) bdy
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Guard)
 have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p Guard \ f \ g1 \ bdy1 \downarrow s \ by \ fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
```

```
next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Normal s')
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases s' \in g1)
     case False
     with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
     note s-in-g1 = this
     \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
     proof (cases s' \in g2)
       case False
       with Normal c show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
     next
       case True
       with termi-c1 s-in-g1 Normal have \Gamma \vdash_p bdy1 \downarrow Normal s'
         by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
       with c bdy Guard.hyps Normal True s-in-g1
       show ?thesis by (auto intro: terminates.Guard)
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
 qed
next
 case Throw thus ?case
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Throw)
next
 case (Catch a1 a2)
 have (Catch\ a1\ a2\ \cap_{gs}\ c2) = Some\ c\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
 then obtain b1 b2 d1 d2 where
   c2: c2 = Catch \ b1 \ b2 and
   d1: (a1 \cap_{qs} b1) = Some \ d1 \ \text{and} \ d2: (a2 \cap_{qs} b2) = Some \ d2 \ \text{and}
   c: c = Catch \ d1 \ d2
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Catch)
  have termi-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} Catch \ a1 \ a2 \downarrow s \ by fact
 have \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow s
 proof (cases s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Normal s')
   note Normal-s = this
   with d1 termi-c1
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash_{p} d1 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases intro: Catch.hyps)
   moreover
     assume exec-d1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle d1, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     have \Gamma \vdash_p d2 \downarrow Normal\ t
       from inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF d1 exec-d1]
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle a1, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
         by simp
       with termi-c1 Normal-s have \Gamma \vdash_p a2 \downarrow Normal\ t
         \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{elim}\colon \mathit{terminates}\text{-}\mathit{Normal-elim-cases})
       with d2 have \Gamma \vdash_p d2 \downarrow Normal t
         by (auto intro: Catch.hyps)
       with Normal show ?thesis by simp
     qed
    }
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ d1 \ d2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
     by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
   with Normal show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  with c show ?case by simp
next
   case (Await\ b\ bdy1\ e)
  have (Await b bdy1 e \cap_{qs} c2) = Some c by fact
  then obtain bdy2 bdy where
    c2: c2 = Await \ b \ bdy2 \ e \ and
    bdy: (bdy1 \cap_g bdy2) = Some bdy and
    c: c=Await\ b\ bdy\ e
   by (auto simp add: inter-guards-Await)
  have termi-c1:\Gamma\vdash_p Await\ b\ bdy1\ e\downarrow s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ s)
   case Fault thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case Stuck thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Abrupt thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   case (Normal s') thus ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types) Await.prems(2) TerminationCon.terminates-Normal-elim-cases(12)
bdy c
         inter-guards-terminates terminates. AwaitFalse terminates. AwaitTrue)
 qed
qed
lemma inter-guards-terminates':
 assumes c: (c1 \cap_{qs} c2) = Some c
```

```
assumes termi-c2: \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s

shows \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s

proof —

from c have (c2 \cap_{gs} c1) = Some c

by (rule\ inter-guards-sym)

from this termi-c2 show ?thesis

by (rule\ inter-guards-terminates)

qed
```

7.5 Lemmas about LanguageCon.mark-guards

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{:}
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Guard thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Fault thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
    assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow t
    proof -
      from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
        t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
        t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
        \textit{t'-noFault} \colon \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        {\bf case}\  \, True
        with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
        thus ?thesis
          by (auto elim: isFaultE)
```

```
next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 Seq.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case CondTrue thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case CondFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
 have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have \Gamma \vdash_{p} mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  moreover
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t
   have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ t and
       \textit{t'-noFault:} \neg \textit{isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
       by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases is Fault t')
       {\bf case}\ {\it True}
       with t'-Fault have isFault t by simp
       thus ?thesis
          by (auto elim: isFaultE)
      next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=t by simp
       with exec-c1 WhileTrue.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     qed
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
```

```
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Stuck thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case Throw thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 s c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \textbf{by} \ fact
 moreover
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
   assume exec-mark: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
   have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
   proof -
     from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec-mark] obtain t' where
        exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
       t'-Fault-f: t' = Fault f \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t and
       t'-Fault: isFault\ t' \longrightarrow isFault\ (Abrupt\ t) and
       t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
       by fastforce
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases isFault t')
       \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
       with t'-Fault have isFault (Abrupt t) by simp
       thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       case False
       with t'-noFault have t'=Abrupt t by simp
       with exec-c1 Catch.hyps
       show ?thesis
         by auto
     \mathbf{qed}
   qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
   case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c)
  then have \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  using AwaitTrue.hyps(2) AwaitTrue.hyps(3) terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards
```

```
by blast
   thus ?case
  by (simp add: AwaitTrue.hyps(1) terminates.AwaitTrue terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards)
next
  case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.AwaitFalse)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates-Normal:
  \bigwedge s. \ \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct c)
 case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  then obtain
    termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p mark-guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                           \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ iprover
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE)
    next
      case False
      from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec-c1 False]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (While b c)
   fix u c'
   assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal \ u
   assume c': c' = mark-guards f (While b c)
   have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
   proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
     have \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
       using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
      with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal s
       by auto
      moreover
      have hyp-w: \forall w. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-guards } f \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow
11)
       using WhileTrue by simp
      hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow w
       apply -
       apply (rule allI)
       apply (case-tac \ w)
       apply (auto dest: exec-to-exec-mark-guards)
       done
      ultimately show ?case
       using s-in-b
       by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
   qed auto
  with While show ?case by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} mark\text{-}guards \ f \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  then obtain
    termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} mark-guards \ f \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ and
```

```
termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark-guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow
                             \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ iprover
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by iprover
    qed
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Await b c) thus ?case
  {f using}\ terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates-Normal
 by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros(11) terminates.intros(12) elim: terminates.Normal-elim-cases)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}mark\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}terminates:
  \Gamma \vdash_p mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c \downarrow s \implies \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow \ s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
         Lemmas about Language Con. merge-guards
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}merge\text{-}guards:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case (Guard s \ g \ c \ f)
  have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
  have termi-merge-c: \Gamma \vdash_p merge-guards \ c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  show ?case
  proof (cases \exists f' \ g' \ c'. merge-guards c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c')
    case False
    \mathbf{hence}\ \mathit{merge-guards}\ (\mathit{Guard}\ f\ g\ c) = \ \mathit{Guard}\ f\ g\ (\mathit{merge-guards}\ c)
      by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
    with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  next
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then obtain f'g'c' where
```

```
mc: merge-guards \ c = Guard \ f' \ g' \ c'
      by blast
    \mathbf{show}~? the sis
    proof (cases f = f')
     {f case} False
      with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
        by (simp add: Let-def)
      with s-in-g termi-merge-c show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case True
      with mc have merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
      \mathbf{with}\ s\text{-}in\text{-}g\ mc\ True\ termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c
     show ?thesis
        by (cases s \in q')
           (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (GuardFault\ s\ g\ f\ c)
 have s \notin g by fact
  thus ?case
   by (cases merge-guards c)
       (auto intro: terminates.intros split: if-split-asm simp add: Let-def)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma 1 c)
  thus ?case
    by (simp add: terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards terminates.AwaitTrue)
qed (fastforce intro: terminates.intros dest: exec-merge-guards-to-exec)+
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}merge\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}terminates\text{-}Normal:
 shows \bigwedge s. \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal\ s
proof (induct c)
  case Skip thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} merge\text{-}guards (Seq c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
    termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c1: \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{and}
    termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge-guards \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow
                          \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards \ c2 \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Seq.hyps
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ iprover
```

```
moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash_n \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow s'.
      {\bf from}\ termi\text{-}merge\text{-}c2\ [rule\text{-}format,\ OF\ this]\ Seq.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by (cases s') (auto)
    qed
  }
 ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Cond thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
\mathbf{next}
  case (While b c)
  {
    fix u c'
    assume termi-c': \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal \ u
    assume c': c' = merge-guards (While b c)
    have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ u
      using termi-c' c'
    proof (induct)
      case (WhileTrue s b' c')
      have s-in-b: s \in b using WhileTrue by simp
      have \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
        using WhileTrue by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
      with While.hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by auto
      moreover
     have hyp-w: \forall w. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        using WhileTrue by simp
      hence \forall w. \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow w \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow w
        by (simp add: exec-iff-exec-merge-guards [symmetric])
      ultimately show ?case
        using s-in-b
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed auto
  }
  with While show ?case by simp
next
  case Call thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros )
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case DynCom thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
next
  case (Guard f g c)
 have termi-merge: \Gamma \vdash_n merge-guards (Guard f \ g \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
 show ?case
 proof (cases \exists f' g' c'. merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c')
   hence m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
     by (cases merge-guards c) (auto simp add: Let-def)
   from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
 next
   case True
   then obtain f' q' c' where
     mc: merge-guards c = Guard f' g' c'
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f = f')
     case False
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f g (merge-guards c)
       by (simp add: Let-def)
     from termi-merge Guard.hyps show ?thesis
     by (simp\ only:\ m)
        (fastforce intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   next
     case True
     with mc have m: merge-guards (Guard f g c) = Guard f (g \cap g') c'
       by simp
     from termi-merge Guard.hyps
     show ?thesis
       by (simp \ only: m \ mc)
          (auto intro: terminates.intros elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   qed
 qed
next
  case Throw thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch c1 c2)
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} merge-guards (Catch c1 c2) \downarrow Normal s by fact
  then obtain
   termi-merge-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p merge-guards \ c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{and}
   termi-merge-c2: \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle merge-guards \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow
                        \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s'
   by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  from termi-merge-c1 Catch.hyps
 have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ iprover
```

```
moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec-c1]
      have \Gamma \vdash_n \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c1, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ s'.
      from termi-merge-c2 [rule-format, OF this] Catch.hyps
      show ?thesis
        by iprover
    qed
  }
  ultimately show ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case (Await b c) thus ?case
    using terminates-merge-quards-to-terminates-Normal
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros(11) terminates.intros(12) elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
{\bf lemma}\ terminates\text{-}merge\text{-}guards\text{-}to\text{-}terminates:
   \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates-Normal)
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{terminates-iff-terminates-merge-guards} :
  \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s = \Gamma \vdash_p merge\text{-}guards \ c \downarrow s
  by (iprover intro: terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards
    terminates-merge-guards-to-terminates)
         Lemmas about c_1 \subseteq_q c_2
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-fewer-guards-Normal}:
  shows \bigwedge c s. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal \ s; \ c \subseteq_{gs} \ c'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \rrbracket
                \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
proof (induct \ c')
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-quardsD)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
  case (Seq c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p Seq\ c1'\ c2' \downarrow\ Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p c1' \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{and}
    termi-c2': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c2' \downarrow s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq\ c1'\ c2', Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
```

```
by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
have c \subseteq_{gs} Seq c1' c2' by fact
from subseteq-guards-Seq [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
  c: c = Seq c1 c2 and
 c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{gs} c1' and
 c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_{gs} c2'
 by blast
from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
 by (rule Seq.hyps)
moreover
{
 \mathbf{fix} \ t
 assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 have \Gamma \vdash_{p} c2 \downarrow t
 proof -
   from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
      exec\text{-}c1': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
     t-Fault: isFault \ t \longrightarrow isFault \ t' and
     t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
     by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases isFault t')
     {\bf case}\  \, True
     with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
     have False
       by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
     thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
     with t'-noFault have t': t'=t by simp
     with termi-c2' exec-c1'
     have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash_p c2' \downarrow t
       by auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases t)
       case Fault thus ?thesis by auto
       case Abrupt thus ?thesis by auto
     next
       case Stuck thus ?thesis by auto
      next
       case (Normal u)
       with noFault exec-c1' t'
       have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', Normal\ u \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
         by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       from termi-c2' [simplified Normal] c2-c2' this
       have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal \ u
         by (rule Seq.hyps)
```

```
with Normal exec-c1
          show ?thesis by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case (Cond b c1' c2')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV \ by fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have c \subseteq_{gs} Cond \ b \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Cond [OF\ this] obtain c1\ c2 where
    c: c = Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2  and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{qs} c1' and
    c2\text{-}c2': c2 \subseteq_{gs} c2'
    by blast
  thus ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in b)
    case True
    with termi have termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p c1' \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    from True noFault have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
      by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    from termi-c1' c1-c1' this
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule Cond.hyps)
    with True c show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro}:\ \mathit{terminates}.\mathit{intros})
  next
    case False
    with termi have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash_p c2' \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    from False noFault have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
      by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
    have \Gamma \vdash_{p} c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule Cond.hyps)
    with False c show ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While b c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'UNIV\ by\ fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c' \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  have c \subseteq_{gs} While b c' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-While [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = While \ b \ c'' and
```

```
c''-c': c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'
     \mathbf{by} blast
     \mathbf{fix} \ d \ u
     assume termi: \Gamma \vdash_p d \downarrow u
     assume d: d = While b c'
    assume noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c',u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' UNIV have \Gamma \vdash_p While\ b\ c'' \downarrow u
     \mathbf{using}\ termi\ d\ noFault
     proof (induct)
       case (WhileTrue u b' c''')
       have u-in-b: u \in b using While True by simp
       have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal \ u \ using \ While True \ by \ simp
       have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'UNIV\ using\ While True
by simp
       hence noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', Normal\ u\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV using u-in-b
          by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
       \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{While.hyps} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{termi-c'} \ \mathit{c''-c'} \ \mathit{this}]
       have \Gamma \vdash_p c'' \downarrow Normal \ u.
       moreover
       {\bf from}\ \mathit{WhileTrue}
       \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{hyp\text{-}w}\colon\forall\,s^{\,\prime}.\ \Gamma\vdash_p\langle\,c^{\,\prime},\!Normal\ u\,\,\rangle\,\Rightarrow\,s^{\,\prime}\,\,\longrightarrow\,\Gamma\vdash_p\langle\,While\ b\ c^{\,\prime},\!s^{\,\prime}\,\,\rangle\,\Rightarrow\not\in\mathit{Fault}
' UNIV
                               \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{p} While \ b \ c'' \downarrow s'
          \mathbf{by} simp
       {
          \mathbf{fix} \ v
          assume exec-c": \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c'', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v
          have \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v
          proof -
             from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c"-c' exec-c"] obtain v' where
               exec-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', Normal \ u \rangle \Rightarrow v' and
               v-Fault: isFault \ v \longrightarrow isFault \ v' and
               v'-noFault: \neg isFault v' \longrightarrow v' = v
               by auto
             show ?thesis
             proof (cases isFault v')
               case True
               with exec-c' noFault u-in-b
               have False
                  by (fastforce
                        simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros elim: isFaultE)
               thus ?thesis ..
             next
               case False
               with v'-noFault have v': v'=v
                  by simp
               with noFault exec-c' u-in-b
               have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While \ b \ c', v \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV
```

```
by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
             from hyp-w [rule-format, OF exec-c' [simplified v'] this]
             show \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c'' \downarrow v.
           qed
        qed
      }
      ultimately
      show ?case using u-in-b
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
      case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed auto
  }
  with c noFault termi show ?case
    by auto
  case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-guardsD)
next
  case (DynCom\ C')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p DynCom\ C' \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ fact
  hence termi-C': \Gamma \vdash_p C' s \downarrow Normal s
    by cases
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{have} \ \ noFault \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle DynCom \ C', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \ \textbf{by} \ fact \\ \textbf{hence} \ \ noFault \text{-} C' \colon \Gamma \vdash_p \langle C' \ s, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \ \end{array}
    by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  have c \subseteq_{qs} DynCom C' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-DynCom [OF this] obtain C where
    c: c = DynCom \ C and
    C\text{-}C': \forall s. \ C \ s \subseteq_{gs} \ C' \ s
    by blast
  from DynCom.hyps termi-C' C-C' [rule-format] noFault-C'
  have \Gamma \vdash_p C s \downarrow Normal s
    by fast
  with c show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case (Guard f' g' c')
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f' \ g' \ c', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \ by \ fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p \widetilde{G}uard f' g' c' \downarrow Normal s  by fact
  have c \subseteq_{gs} Guard f' g' c' by fact
  hence c-cases: (c \subseteq_{gs} c') \lor (\exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'))
    by (rule subseteq-guards-Guard)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases \ s \in g')
    {f case} True
    note s-in-g' = this
    with noFault have noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV
      by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    from termi\ s-in-g' have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow Normal\ s
```

```
by cases auto
    from c-cases show ?thesis
    proof
      assume c \subseteq_{gs} c'
      from termi-c' this noFault-c'
      show \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
      assume \exists c''. c = Guard f' g' c'' \land (c'' \subseteq_{gs} c')
      then obtain c'' where
        c: c = Guard f' g' c'' and c''-c': c'' \subseteq_{gs} c'
        by blast
      from termi-c' c''-c' noFault-c'
      have \Gamma \vdash_{p} c'' \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule Guard.hyps)
      with s-in-q' c
      show ?thesis
        by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  next
    case False
    with noFault have False
      by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
 case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros dest: subseteq-quardsD)
next
  case (Catch c1' c2')
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ c1' \ c2' \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  then obtain
    termi-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p c1' \downarrow Normal \ s \ \mathbf{and}
    termi-c2': \forall s'. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c2' \downarrow \ Normal \ s'
    by (auto elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1' \ c2', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV by fact
  hence noFault-c1': \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1', Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'UNIV
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{fastforce\ intro:\ exec.intros\ simp\ add:\ final-notin-def})
  have c \subseteq_{as} Catch \ c1' \ c2' by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Catch [OF this] obtain c1 c2 where
    c: c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \ and
    c1-c1': c1 \subseteq_{gs} c1' and
    c2-c2': c2 \subseteq_{gs} c2'
    by blast
  from termi-c1' c1-c1' noFault-c1'
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule Catch.hyps)
  moreover
   \mathbf{fix} \ t
```

```
assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
    have \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal \ t
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c1-c1' exec-c1] obtain t' where
         exec-c1': \Gamma \vdash_n \langle c1', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt t
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases isFault t')
        case True
        with exec-c1' noFault-c1'
        have False
          by (fastforce elim: isFaultE dest: Fault-end simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-noFault have t': t'=Abrupt t by simp
        with termi-c2' exec-c1'
        have termi-c2': \Gamma \vdash_{\mathcal{D}} c2' \downarrow Normal \ t
          by auto
        with noFault exec-c1' t'
        have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2', Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `UNIV
          by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
        from termi-c2' c2-c2' this
        show \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal\ t
          by (rule Catch.hyps)
      qed
    qed
  ultimately show ?case using c by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Await b \ c' \ e)
  have noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Await \ b \ c' \ e, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV \ by \ fact
  have termi: \Gamma \vdash_p Await \ b \ c' \ e \downarrow Normal \ s \ by fact
  have c \subseteq_{qs} Await \ b \ c' \ e \ by fact
  from subseteq-guards-Await [OF this]
  obtain c'' where
    c: c = Await \ b \ c'' \ e \ and
    c^{\prime\prime}-c^{\prime}: c^{\prime\prime} \subseteq_g c^{\prime}
  by blast
  with c c''-c' noFault termi
  show ?case using terminates-fewer-guards-Normal
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Semantic.final-notinI SemanticCon.final-notin-def TerminationCon.terminates-Normal-elim-cases}
exec. Await True\ terminates. Await False\ terminates. Await True)
qed
theorem terminates-fewer-guards:
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p c' \downarrow s; \ c \subseteq_{qs} c'; \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c', s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `UNIV" \rrbracket
```

```
\Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
  by (cases s) (auto intro: terminates-fewer-guards-Normal)
lemma terminates-noFault-strip-guards:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_n c \downarrow Normal \ s
  shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash_p strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Guard s \ g \ c \ f)
  have s-in-q: s \in q by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Guard.hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  with s-in-g show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Seq.hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ simp
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-guards-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
      with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
```

```
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using Seq.hyps by simp
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case CondTrue thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case
   by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
next
  case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
 have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F\ by\ fact
  with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
   by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with While True. hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c \downarrow Normal\ s\ by\ simp
  moreover
  {
   fix s'
   assume exec-strip-guards-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow s'
   have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards \ F \ (While \ b \ c) \downarrow s'
   proof (cases isFault s')
     case True
     thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
   next
     {f case} False
     with exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c] noFault-c
     have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
     moreover
     from this s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using WhileTrue.hyps by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
   using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Call thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case
   by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
   by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  with Catch.hyps have \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ c1 \downarrow Normal\ s\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  moreover
   \mathbf{fix} \ s'
   assume exec-strip-guards-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle strip\text{-guards } F \ c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
   have \Gamma \vdash_{p} strip\text{-}guards \ F \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
   proof -
     from exec-strip-guards-to-exec [OF exec-strip-guards-c1] noFault-c1
     have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
     moreover
     from this noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
       by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     ultimately show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
   using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c)
  with terminates-noFault-strip-guards
  have \Gamma_p \vdash Language.strip-guards \ F \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
   by (simp add: terminates-noFault-strip-guards Semantic.final-notinI Semantic-
Con.final-notin-def exec.AwaitTrue)
  thus ?case
    by (simp\ add:\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ terminates.AwaitTrue)
  case (AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (simp add: terminates.AwaitFalse)
\mathbf{qed}
        Lemmas about Language Con. strip-quards
```

7.8

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ terminates-noFault-strip:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Normal s
```

```
shows \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F \rrbracket \implies strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
using termi
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Spec thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Guard \ s \ g \ c \ f)
  have s-in-g: s \in g by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ `F \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
  with s-in-g have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Guard.hyps)
  with s-in-q show ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
\mathbf{next}
  case GuardFault thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Fault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  have noFault-Seq: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by \ fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Seq.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Seq have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Seq.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
    \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case
```

```
by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case (While True s \ b \ c)
  have s-in-b: s \in b by fact
  have noFault-while: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F\ by\ fact
  with s-in-b have noFault-c: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_{p} c \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: WhileTrue.hyps)
  moreover
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c: strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    proof (cases isFault s')
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (auto elim: isFaultE intro: terminates.intros)
    next
      case False
      with exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c] noFault-c
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this s-in-b noFault-while have \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using WhileTrue.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
   qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using WhileTrue.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Call p bdy s)
  have bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy by fact
  have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault\ 'F by fact
  with bdy have bdy-noFault: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ f
    by (auto intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  then have strip-bdy-noFault: strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle bdy, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def dest!: exec-strip-to-exec elim!: isFaultE)
  from bdy-noFault have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p bdy \downarrow Normal \ s \ by (simp \ add: Call.hyps)
  from terminates-noFault-strip-guards [OF this strip-bdy-noFault]
```

```
have strip\ F\ \Gamma \vdash_p strip\text{-}guards\ F\ bdy\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s.
  with bdy show ?case
    by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
  case CallUndefined thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Stuck thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  case DynCom thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.intros exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  case Throw thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case Abrupt thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.intros)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have noFault-Catch: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault \ 'F \ by fact
  hence noFault-c1: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault `F
    by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  then have strip F \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s \ by \ (simp \ add: Catch.hyps)
  moreover
  {
    fix s'
    assume exec-strip-c1: strip F \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    have strip \ F \ \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal \ s'
    proof -
      from exec-strip-to-exec [OF exec-strip-c1] noFault-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def elim!: isFaultE)
      moreover
      from this noFault-Catch have \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c2, Normal\ s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault 'F
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using Catch.hyps by (simp del: strip-simp)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    using Catch.hyps by (auto intro: terminates.intros simp del: strip-simp)
next
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c)
  with terminates-noFault-strip have Language.strip F \Gamma_p \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: terminates-no Fault-strip \ Semantic. final-not in I \ Semantic \ Con. final-not in-def
exec.AwaitTrue)
  then have Language.strip F \Gamma_p = (LanguageCon.strip F \Gamma)_{\neg a}
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon AwaitTrue.hyps(2)\ strip\text{-}eq)
  then have (LanguageCon.strip\ F\ \Gamma)_{\neg a} \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
    using \langle Language.strip \ F \ \Gamma_p = (LanguageCon.strip \ F \ \Gamma)_{\neg a} \rangle \langle Language.strip \ F
\Gamma_p \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
 by presburger
```

```
thus ?case
    by (meson\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ terminates.AwaitTrue)
  case(AwaitFalse s b) thus ?case by (simp add:terminates.AwaitFalse)
qed
         Miscellaneous
7.9
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminates-while-lemma}:
  assumes termi: \Gamma \vdash_p w \downarrow fk
  shows \bigwedge k b c. [fk = Normal (f k); w=While b c;
                        \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal\ (f\ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (f\ (Suc\ i)) 
         \implies \exists i. f i \notin b
using termi
proof (induct)
  case WhileTrue thus ?case by blast
next
  case WhileFalse thus ?case by blast
qed simp-all
lemma terminates-while:
  \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ (f \ k);
    \forall i. \ \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal\ (f\ i) \ \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ (f\ (Suc\ i)) ]
         \implies \exists i. f i \notin b
  by (blast intro: terminates-while-lemma)
lemma wf-terminates-while:
 wf \{(t,s). \Gamma \vdash_p (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land \}
             \Gamma \vdash_{p} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
apply(subst\ wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
apply(rule\ notI)
apply clarsimp
apply(insert terminates-while)
apply blast
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{terminates-restrict-to-terminates}:
  assumes terminates-res: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p} c \downarrow s
  assumes not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
  shows \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow s
using terminates-res not-Stuck
proof (induct)
  case Skip show ?case by (rule terminates.Skip)
next
  case Basic show ?case by (rule terminates.Basic)
next
  case Spec show ?case by (rule terminates.Spec)
next
```

case Guard thus ?case

```
by (auto intro: terminates.Guard dest: notStuck-GuardD)
next
  case GuardFault thus ?case by (auto intro: terminates.GuardFault)
  case Fault show ?case by (rule terminates.Fault)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq c1 \ s \ c2)
  \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{not\text{-}Stuck}\colon \Gamma|_{M} \vdash_{p} \langle \mathit{Seq}\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fact}
  hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c1,Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{notStuck}\text{-}\mathit{SeqD1})
  show \Gamma \vdash_p Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
  proof (rule terminates. Seq, safe)
    {f from}\ c1	ext{-}notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash_{p} c1 \downarrow Normal s
      by (rule Seq.hyps)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
         exec-res: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
         t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t'=Stuck)
        case True
         with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
           by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
        with not-Stuck exec-res
        have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash_{p} \langle c2, s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
           by (auto dest: notStuck-SeqD2)
        with exec-res t' Seq.hyps
        show ?thesis
           by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case CondTrue thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondTrue dest: notStuck-CondTrueD)
next
  case CondFalse thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.CondFalse dest: notStuck-CondFalseD)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (While True \ s \ b \ c)
  have s: s \in b by fact
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle While\ b\ c,Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}\ by fact
  with WhileTrue have c-notStuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (iprover intro: notStuck-WhileTrueD1)
  show ?case
  proof (rule terminates. While True [OF s], safe)
    from c-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash_p c \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule WhileTrue.hyps)
  next
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
    show \Gamma \vdash_p While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
    proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = s'
       by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t'=Stuck)
        {f case} True
        with c-notStuck exec-res have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case False
        with t'-notStuck have t': t'=s' by simp
        \mathbf{with}\ not\text{-}Stuck\ exec\text{-}res\ s
        have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}} \vdash_{p} \langle While\ b\ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
          by (auto dest: notStuck-WhileTrueD2)
        with exec-res t' While True.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
     qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case WhileFalse then show ?case by (iprover intro: terminates. WhileFalse)
next
  case Call thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.Call dest: notStuck-CallD restrict-SomeD)
  case CallUndefined
  thus ?case
    by (auto dest: notStuck-CallDefinedD)
  case Stuck show ?case by (rule terminates.Stuck)
next
```

```
case DynCom
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro: terminates.DynCom dest: notStuck-DynComD)
  case Throw show ?case by (rule terminates. Throw)
\mathbf{next}
  case Abrupt show ?case by (rule terminates.Abrupt)
next
  case (Catch\ c1\ s\ c2)
  have not-Stuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\} by fact
  hence c1-notStuck: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c1, Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
    by (rule notStuck-CatchD1)
  show \Gamma \vdash_p Catch \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (rule terminates. Catch, safe)
    from c1-notStuck
    show \Gamma \vdash_p c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule Catch.hyps)
  next
    fix s'
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash_p \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    show \Gamma \vdash_p c2 \downarrow Normal s'
   proof -
      from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec] obtain t' where
        exec-res: \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p}\langle c1,Normal\ s\ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
        t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = Abrupt s'
        by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases t' = Stuck)
        \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
        with c1-notStuck exec-res have False
          by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
        {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        with t'-notStuck have t': t'=Abrupt s' by simp
        with not-Stuck exec-res
        have \Gamma|_{\mathcal{M}}\vdash_{p}\langle c2,Normal\ s'\rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
          by (auto dest: notStuck-CatchD2)
        with exec-res t' Catch.hyps
        show ?thesis
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (AwaitTrue s b \Gamma_p c e)
  then have (\Gamma|_M)_{\neg a} = (\Gamma_{\neg a})|_M using restrict-eq by auto
  with AwaitTrue terminates-restrict-to-terminates have (\Gamma_{\neg a})|_{M} \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
  by force
```

```
then have \neg \Gamma|_{M}\vdash_{p} \langle Await\ b\ c\ e,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck by (fastforce\ intro:\ AwaitTrue.prems\ SemanticCon.noStuckE) hence \neg \Gamma_{\neg a}|_{M}\vdash \langle c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ AwaitTrue.hyps(1)\ \langle (\Gamma|_{M})_{\neg a} = \Gamma_{\neg a}|_{M}\rangle\ exec.AwaitTrue) then have \Gamma_{\neg a}\vdash_{c}\downarrow Normal\ s using Semantic.noStuckI'\ \langle \Gamma_{\neg a}|_{M}\vdash_{c}\downarrow Normal\ s \rangle\ terminates\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}terminates by blast thus ?case using AwaitTrue by (simp\ add:\ terminates.AwaitTrue) next case (AwaitFalse\ s\ b) thus ?case by (simp\ add:\ terminates.AwaitFalse) qed
```

CIIG

8 Small-Step Semantics and Infinite Computations

 ${\bf theory} \ Small Step Con \ {\bf imports} \ EmbSimpl/Small Step \ Semantic Con \\ Termination Con$

primrec $redex:: ('s,'p,'f,'e)com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e)com$

begin

The redex of a statement is the substatement, which is actually altered by the next step in the small-step semantics.

```
where
redex Skip = Skip \mid
redex (Basic f e) = (Basic f e) \mid
redex (Spec \ r \ e) = (Spec \ r \ e)
redex (Seq c_1 c_2) = redex c_1
redex (Cond b c_1 c_2) = (Cond b c_1 c_2) \mid
redex (While b c) = (While b c)
redex (Call p) = (Call p)
redex (DynCom d) = (DynCom d)
redex (Guard f b c) = (Guard f b c) \mid
redex (Throw) = Throw \mid
redex (Catch c_1 c_2) = redex c_1 \mid
redex (Await \ b \ c \ e) = (Await \ b \ c \ e)
        Small-Step Computation: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
type-synonym (s, p, f, e) config = (s, p, f, e) com \times (s, f) xstate
      step-e :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body, ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config, ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config] \Rightarrow bool
                               (-\vdash_c (-\to_e/-) [81,81,81] 100)
 for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) body
where
Env: \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps, Normal \ s) \rightarrow_e (Ps, \ t)
|Env-n: (\forall t'. \ t \neq Normal \ t') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps, \ t) \rightarrow_e (Ps, \ t)
```

```
lemma etran
E: \Gamma \vdash_c c \rightarrow_e c' \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge P \ s \ t. \ c = (P, \ s) \Longrightarrow c' = (P, \ t) \Longrightarrow Q) \Longrightarrow
 by (induct c, induct c', erule step-e.cases, blast)
inductive-cases stepe-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Ps, Normal\ s) \rightarrow_e (Ps, t)
inductive-cases stepe-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,t)
inductive-cases stepe-not-norm-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps,s) \rightarrow_e (Ps,Abrupt\ t)
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,Stuck)
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,Fault\ t)
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,Normal\ t)
lemma env-c-c'-false:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s')
   shows ^{\sim}(c=c') \implies P
using step-m etranE by blast
lemma eenv-normal-s'-normal-s:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', Normal \ s')
   shows (\bigwedge s1. \ s \neq Normal \ s1) \implies P
using step-m
by (cases, auto)
lemma env-normal-s'-normal-s:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', Normal s')
  shows \exists s1. s = Normal s1
using step-m
by (cases, auto)
lemma env-c-c':
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s')
   shows (c=c')
using env-c-c'-false step-m by fastforce
lemma env-normal-s:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') \land s \neq s'
   shows \exists sa. \ s = Normal \ sa
using prod.inject step-e.cases step-m by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{env-not-normal-s}:
   assumes a1:\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') and a2:(\forall t. s \neq Normal t)
   shows s=s'
using a1 a2
by (cases rule:step-e.cases,auto)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{env-not-normal-s-not-norma-t}:
   assumes a1:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') and a2:(\forall t. s \neq Normal t)
   shows (\forall t. \ s' \neq Normal \ t)
using a1 a2 env-not-normal-s
\mathbf{bv} blast
lemma stepe-not-Fault-f-end:
  assumes step-e: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, s) \rightarrow_e (c_1', s')
  shows s' \notin Fault 'f \implies s \notin Fault 'f
proof (cases\ s)
  case (Fault f')
    assume s'-f:s' \notin Fault 'f and
            s = Fault f'
    then have s=s' using step-e
    using env-normal-s xstate.distinct(3) by blast
  thus ?thesis using s'-f Fault by blast
qed (auto)
inductive
       stepc::[('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config] \Rightarrow bool
                                    (-\vdash_c (-\to/-) [81,81,81] 100)
  for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) body
where
  Basicc: \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s) \to (Skip, Normal\ (f\ s))
 Specc: (s,t) \in r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s) \to (Skip, Normal \ t)
 SpecStuckc: \forall t. (s,t) \notin r \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s) \to (Skip, Stuck)
| Guardc: s \in g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Guard f g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (c, Normal \ s)
| GuardFaultc: s \notin g \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Guard f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
\mid Seqc: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1',s')
         \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s')
 SegSkipc: \Gamma \vdash_c (Seg Skip \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (c_2, s)
| SeqThrowc: \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ Throw\ c_2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Throw,\ Normal\ s)
 CondTruec: s \in b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_1, Normal \ s)
| CondFalsec: s \notin b \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s) \to (c_2, Normal \ s)
| While Truec: [s \in b]
                \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c.Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
| WhileFalsec: \llbracket s \notin b \rrbracket
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Normal \ s)
| Awaitc: [s \in b; \Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a}; \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle ca1, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow t;
                \neg(\exists t'. t = Abrupt t') \Longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ ca1 \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, t)
| AwaitAbruptc: [s \in b; \Gamma 1 = \Gamma_{\neg a}; \Gamma 1 \vdash \langle ca1, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow t;
                       t = Abrupt \ t' \longrightarrow
                     \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ ca1 \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Throw, Normal \ t')
| Callc: \llbracket \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy \ ; \ bdy \neq Call \ p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (bdy, Normal \ s)
| CallUndefinedc: \Gamma p=None \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_{c} (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, Stuck)
| DynComc: \Gamma \vdash_c (DynCom\ c,Normal\ s) \rightarrow (c\ s,Normal\ s)
| Catche: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1,s) \rightarrow (c_1',s') \rrbracket
            \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
  Catch Throwc: \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ s)
| CatchSkipc: \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,s) \to (Skip,s)
  FaultPropc: \llbracket c \neq Skip; \ redex \ c = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Fault \ f) \to (Skip, Fault \ f)
  \mathit{StuckPropc} \colon \ \llbracket c \neq \mathit{Skip}; \ \mathit{redex} \ c = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c, \mathit{Stuck}) \to (\mathit{Skip}, \mathit{Stuck})
  AbruptPropc: \llbracket c \neq Skip; \ redex \ c = c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Abrupt \ f) \to (Skip, Abrupt \ f)
lemmas stepc-induct = stepc.induct [of - (c,s) (c',s'), split-format (complete),
case{-names}
Basicc Spec SpecStucke Guarde GuardFaulte Seqc SeqSkipe SeqThrowe CondTruec
CondFalsec
While Truec While Falsec Awaitc Await Abruptc Callc Call Undefined C Dyn Comc Catche
CatchThrowc CatchSkipc
FaultPropc StuckPropc AbruptPropc, induct set]
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Skip,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Guard f g c,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic\ f\ e,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c,s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c2 \ e,s) \rightarrow u
```

```
\Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (DynCom\ c,s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Throw, s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
{\bf inductive\text{-} cases} \ \textit{stepc-not-normal-elim-cases} :
\Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow (p', s')
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p, \ Fault \ f) \to (p',s')
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p, \ Stuck) \rightarrow (p',s')
lemma Guardc-not-c: Guard f g c \neq c
proof (induct c)
qed auto
lemma Catch-not-c1:Catch c1 c2 \neq c1
proof (induct c1)
qed auto
lemma Catch-not-c: Catch c1 c2 \neq c2
proof (induct c2)
\mathbf{qed} auto
lemma seq-not-eq1: Seq c1 c2 \neq c1
 by (induct c1) auto
lemma seq-not-eq2: Seq c1 c2 \neq c2
  by (induct c2) auto
lemma if-not-eq1: Cond b c1 c2 \neq c1
  by (induct c1) auto
lemma if-not-eq2: Cond b c1 c2 \neq c2
 by (induct c2) auto
lemmas seq-and-if-not-eq [simp] = seq-not-eq1 seq-not-eq2
seq-not-eq1 [THEN not-sym] seq-not-eq2 [THEN not-sym]
if-not-eq1 if-not-eq2 if-not-eq1 [THEN not-sym] if-not-eq2 [THEN not-sym]
Catch-not-c1 Catch-not-c Catch-not-c1 [THEN not-sym] Catch-not-c[THEN not-sym]
Guardc-not-c Guardc-not-c[THEN not-sym]
inductive\text{-}cases \ \mathit{stepc\text{-}elim\text{-}cases\text{-}Seq\text{-}Seq\text{:}}
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c1' \ c2,s')
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Seq-Seq1:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2, Fault\ f) \to (q, s')
{f thm}\ stepc\text{-}elim\text{-}cases\text{-}Seq\text{-}Seq1
```

```
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-Catch1:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2, Fault\ f) \to (q, s')
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Seq-skip:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq Skip \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq (Guard f g c1) c2,s) \rightarrow u
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-skip:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ Skip \ c2,s) \rightarrow u
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Await-skip:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Skip, t)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Await-throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Throw, t)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (Throw, Normal \ s1)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-skip-c2:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (c2,s)
inductive-cases stepc-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash_c(Skip,Normal\ s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic\ f\ e, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_{c} (Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Call \ p, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (DynCom\ c, Normal\ s) \to u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Throw, Normal\ s) \rightarrow u
 \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
```

inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-Catch: $\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \to (Catch \ c1' \ c2,s')$

The final configuration is either of the form (Skip, -) for normal termination, or (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s) in case the program was started in a Normal state and terminated abruptly. The Abrupt state is not used to model abrupt termination, in contrast to the big-step semantics. Only if the program starts in an Abrupt states it ends in the same Abrupt state.

```
definition final:: ('s,'p,'f,'e) config \Rightarrow bool where final cfg \equiv (fst \ cfg = Skip \lor ((fst \ cfg = Throw) \land (\exists \ s. \ snd \ cfg = Normal \ s)))
```

```
definition final-valid::('s,'p,'f,'e) config \Rightarrow bool where
final\text{-}valid\ cfg = ((fst\ cfg = Skip\ \lor\ fst\ cfg = Throw)\ \land\ (\exists\ s.\ snd\ cfg = Normal\ s))
abbreviation
 stepc\text{-}rtrancl :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body, ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config, ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config] \Rightarrow bool
                                 (-\vdash_c (-\to^*/-) [81,81,81] 100)
 where
 \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow^* cf1 \equiv ((CONST\ stepc\ \Gamma))^{**}\ cf0\ cf1
abbreviation
 stepc-trancl :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body,('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config,('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config] \Rightarrow bool
                                 (-\vdash_c (-\to^+/-) [81,81,81] 100)
 \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow^+ cf1 \equiv (CONST \ stepc \ \Gamma)^{++} \ cf0 \ cf1
lemma
   assumes
           step-a: \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow (t,u)
   shows step-await-step-c:(\Gamma_{\neg a})\vdash(c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (sequential \ t,u)
using step-a
proof cases
  fix t1
  assume
      (t, u) = (Skip, t1) \ s \in b \ (\Gamma_{\neg a}) \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t1 \ \forall t'. \ t1 \neq Abrupt \ t'
  thus ?thesis
  by (cases \ u)
  (auto intro: exec-impl-steps-Fault exec-impl-steps-Normal exec-impl-steps-Stuck)
next
 fix t1
  assume (t, u) = (Throw, Normal\ t1)\ s \in b\ (\Gamma_{\neg a}) \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t1
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt)
qed
lemma
   assumes
           step-a: \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, \ Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
   shows step-await-final1:final u
using step-a
proof cases
  case (1 t) thus final u by (simp add: final-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (2 t)
  thus final u by (simp add: exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt final-def)
lemma step-Abrupt-end:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, s) \to (c_1', s')
 shows s'=Abrupt x \implies s=Abrupt x
```

```
by induct auto
lemma step-Stuck-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Stuck \Longrightarrow
            s{=}Stuck \ \lor
            (\exists r \ x \ e. \ redex \ c_1 = Spec \ r \ e \land s = Normal \ x \land (\forall t. \ (x,t) \notin r)) \lor
            (\exists p \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = \ Call \ p \land s = Normal \ x \land \Gamma \ p = None) \lor
          (\exists b \ c \ x \ e. \ redex \ c_1 = Await \ b \ c \ e \land s = Normal \ x \land x \in b \land (\Gamma_{\neg a}) \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow s')
using step
by induct auto
lemma step-Fault-end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, s) \rightarrow (c_1', s')
  shows s' = Fault f \Longrightarrow
            s{=}Fault\ f\ \lor
            (\exists g \ c \ x. \ redex \ c_1 = Guard \ f \ g \ c \land s = Normal \ x \land x \notin g) \lor
                  (\exists b \ c1 \ x \ e. \ redex \ c_1 = Await \ b \ c1 \ e \ \land \ s=Normal \ x \ \land \ x \in b \ \land
(\Gamma_{\neg a}) \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
using step
by induct auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ step	entirespin - not	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}f	ext{-}end:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, s) \to (c_1', s')
  shows s' \notin Fault 'f \implies s \notin Fault 'f
using step
by induct auto
inductive
 (-c, (-), p, f, e) \ config, ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ (-c, (-), e) \ [81,81,81] \ 100) for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body
       step-ce::[('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config] \Rightarrow bool
where
c-step: \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow cf1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce} cf1
| e-step: \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_e cf1 \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce} cf1
lemmas step-ce-induct = step-ce-induct [of - (c,s) (c',s'), split-format (complete),
case{-}names
c-step e-step, induct set]
inductive-cases step-ce-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_c \mathit{cf0} \rightarrow_{\mathit{ce}} \mathit{cf1}
```

using step

```
lemma step-c-normal-normal: assumes a1: \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow cf1
      shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ s'. \llbracket cf0 = (c_1, Normal \ s); cf1 = (c_1, s'); (\forall \ sa. \ \neg(s'=Normal \ sa)) \rrbracket
          \implies P
using a1
by (induct rule: stepc.induct, induct, auto)
lemma normal-not-normal-eq-p:
  assumes a1: \Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce} cf1
  shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ s'. \llbracket cf\theta = (c_1, Normal \ s); cf1 = (c_1, s'); (\forall \ sa. \ \neg (s'=Normal \ sa)) \rrbracket
          \implies \Gamma \vdash_c \mathit{cf0} \rightarrow_e \mathit{cf1} \ \land \ \lnot(\ \Gamma \vdash_c \mathit{cf0} \rightarrow \mathit{cf1})
by (meson step-c-normal-normal step-e.intros)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{call-not-normal-skip-always}\colon
  assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(Call\ p,s)\to(p1,s1) and
          a1: \forall sn. \ s \neq Normal \ sn \ and
          a2:p1 \neq Skip
  shows P
proof(cases \ s)
  case Normal thus ?thesis using a1 by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
  case Stuck
  then have a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(Call\ p,Stuck)\to (p1,s1) using a\theta by auto
  show ?thesis using at a 2 stepc-not-normal-elim-cases(3)[OF a0] by fastforce
next
  case (Fault f)
  then have a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(Call\ p,Fault\ f)\to (p1,s1) using a\theta by auto
  show ?thesis using a1 a2 stepc-not-normal-elim-cases(2)[OF a0] by fastforce
next
  case (Abrupt \ a)
  then have a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(Call\ p,Abrupt\ a)\to (p1,s1) using a\theta by auto
  show ?thesis using a1 a2 stepc-not-normal-elim-cases(1)[OF a0] by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{call-f-step-not-s-eq-t-false} \colon
  assumes
     a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(P,s)\to (Q,t) and
     a1:(redex P = Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = Some\ bdy \land s=Normal\ s' \land \sim (s=t)) \lor
         (redex\ P = Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = Some\ bdy \land s = Normal\ s' \land s = t \land P = Q \land \Gamma
fn \neq Some (Call fn)
  shows False
using a\theta a1
proof (induct rule:stepc-induct)
qed(fastforce+, auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{call-f-step-ce-not-s-eq-t-env-step}\colon
  assumes
     a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(P,s)\to_{ce}(Q,t) and
     a1:(redex P = Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = Some\ bdy \land s = Normal\ s' \land {}^{\sim}(s = t)) \lor
         (redex\ P = Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = Some\ bdy \land s = Normal\ s' \land s = t \land P = Q \land \Gamma
```

```
fn \neq Some (Call fn)
  shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to_e (Q,t)
proof-
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow_e (Q,t) \vee \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow (Q,t)
  using a0 step-ce-elim-cases by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using call-f-step-not-s-eq-t-false a1 by fastforce
\mathbf{qed}
```

abbreviation

$$stepce-rtrancl :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body,('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config,('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config] \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash_c (-\to_{ce}^*/-) [81,81,81] \ 100)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce}^* cf1 \equiv ((CONST \ step\text{-}ce \ \Gamma))^{**} \ cf0 \ cf1$$

abbreviation

$$stepce-trancl :: [('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body, ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config, ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ config] \Rightarrow bool \\ (\vdash_c (-\to_{ce}{}^+/\ -)\ [81,81,81]\ 100)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce}^+ cf1 \equiv (CONST \ step\text{-}ce \ \Gamma)^{++} \ cf0 \ cf1$$

Parallel Computation: $\Gamma \vdash (c, s) \rightarrow_p (c', s')$

type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e)
$$par-Simpl = ('s,'p,'f,'e)com\ list$$

type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) $par-config = ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ par-Simpl \times ('s,'f)\ xstate$

definition final-c::
$$('s,'p,'f,'e)$$
 par-config \Rightarrow bool where final-c $cfg = (\forall i. i < length (fst cfg) \longrightarrow final ((fst cfg)!i, snd cfg))$

inductive

$$step-pe::[('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ par-config,('s,'p,'f,'e)\ par-config] \Rightarrow bool$$

$$(\vdash_p (-\rightarrow_e/\ -)\ [81,81,81]\ 100)$$
 for $\Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body$ where

 $ParEnv: \Gamma \vdash_{p} (Ps, Normal \ s) \rightarrow_{e} (Ps, Normal \ t)$

lemma
$$ptranE: \Gamma \vdash_p c \rightarrow_e c' \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge P \ s \ t. \ c = (P, \ s) \Longrightarrow c' = (P, \ t) \Longrightarrow Q$$

by (induct c, induct c', erule step-pe.cases, blast)

inductive-cases step-pe-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]: $\Gamma \vdash_p (PS, Normal\ s) \rightarrow_e (Ps, t)$

inductive-cases step-pe-elim-cases [cases set]:

```
\Gamma \vdash_{p} (PS,s) \rightarrow_{e} (Ps,t)
inductive-cases step-pe-not-norm-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma \vdash_n (Ps,s) \rightarrow_e (Ps,Abrupt\ t)
 \Gamma \vdash_p (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,Stuck)
 \Gamma \vdash_p (Ps,s) \to_e (Ps,Fault\ t)
lemma env-pe-c-c'-false:
   assumes step\text{-}m: \Gamma \vdash_p (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s')
   shows (c=c') \implies P
using step-m ptranE by blast
lemma env-pe-c-c':
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_p (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s')
   shows (c=c')
using env-pe-c-c'-false step-m by fastforce
lemma env-pe-normal-s:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_p (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') \land s \neq s'
   shows \exists sa. \ s = Normal \ sa
using prod.inject step-pe.cases step-m by fastforce
lemma env-pe-not-normal-s:
   assumes a1:\Gamma\vdash_p(c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') and a2:(\forall t. s \neq Normal t)
   shows s=s'
using a1 \ a2
by (cases rule:step-pe.cases,auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{env-pe-not-normal-s-not-norma-t}\colon
   assumes a1:\Gamma\vdash_p(c, s) \to_e (c', s') and a2:(\forall t. s \neq Normal t)
   shows (\forall t. \ s' \neq Normal \ t)
using a1 a2 env-pe-not-normal-s
by blast
inductive
step-p::[('s,'p,'f,'e)\ body,\ ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ par-config,
 ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ par-config] \Rightarrow bool  (-\(-p \left(-\rightarrow\right/-) \left[81,81,81\right] \ 100\right)
where
 ParComp: [[i < length Ps; \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps!i,s) \rightarrow (r,s')]] \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_p (Ps, s) \to (Ps[i:=r], s')
lemmas steppe-induct = step-p.induct [of - (c,s) (c',s'), split-format (complete),
case{-}names
ParComp, induct set]
inductive-cases step-p-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_p (Ps, s) \to u
```

```
inductive-cases step-p-pair-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_p (Ps, s) \to (Qs, t)
inductive-cases step-p-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_p (Ps, Normal \ s) \rightarrow u
lemma par-ctranE: \Gamma \vdash_p c \rightarrow c' \Longrightarrow
  (\bigwedge i \ Ps \ s \ r \ t. \ c = (Ps, s) \Longrightarrow c' = (Ps[i := r], t) \Longrightarrow i < length \ Ps \Longrightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash_c (Ps!i, s) \to (r, t) \Longrightarrow P \Longrightarrow P
by (induct c, induct c', erule step-p.cases, blast)
8.3
         Computations
8.3.1 Sequential computations
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) confs =
  (s, p, f, e) body \times ((s, p, f, e) config) list
inductive-set cptn :: (('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ confs) \ set
where
  CptnOne: (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cptn
|CptnEnv: [\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow_e (P,t); (\Gamma,(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn] \implies
             (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn
|CptnComp: [\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to (Q,t); (\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn] \implies
              (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn
inductive-cases cptn-elim-cases [cases set]:
(\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cptn
(\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn
(\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn
inductive-cases cptn-elim-cases-pair [cases set]:
(\Gamma, [x]) \in cptn
(\Gamma, x \# x 1 \# x s) \in cptn
lemma cptn-dest:(\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn
by (auto dest: cptn-elim-cases)
lemma cptn-dest-pair:(\Gamma, x \# x1 \# xs) \in cptn \Longrightarrow (\Gamma, x1 \# xs) \in cptn
proof -
 assume (\Gamma, x \# x1 \# xs) \in cptn
 thus ?thesis using cptn-dest prod.collapse by metis
qed
lemma cptn-dest1:(\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(P,s)\#[(Q,t)]) \in cptn
proof -
```

assume a1: $(\Gamma, (P, s) \# (Q, t) \# xs) \in cptn$

have $(\Gamma, [(Q, t)]) \in cptn$

```
by (meson\ cptn.CptnOne)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases s)
   case (Normal s')
    then have f1: (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s') \# (Q, t) \# xs) \in cptn
      using Normal a1 by blast
    have (\Gamma, [(P, t)]) \in cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, [(P, Normal s'), (P, t)]) \in cptn
      by (simp add: Env cptn.CptnEnv)
    thus ?thesis
     using f1 by (metis (no-types) Normal \langle (\Gamma, [(Q, t)]) \in cptn \rangle \ cptn.CptnComp
cptn-elim-cases(2))
   case (Abrupt x) thus ?thesis
     using \langle (\Gamma, [(Q, t)]) \in cptn \rangle a1 cptn.CptnComp\ cptn-elim-cases(2)\ CptnEnv
by metis
  next
   case (Stuck) thus ?thesis
     using \langle (\Gamma, [(Q, t)]) \in cptn \rangle a1 cptn.CptnComp\ cptn-elim-cases(2)\ CptnEnv
by metis
  next
   case (Fault f) thus ?thesis
     using \langle (\Gamma, [(Q, t)]) \in cptn \rangle a1 cptn.CptnComp\ cptn-elim-cases(2)\ CptnEnv
by metis
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma cptn-dest1-pair:(\Gamma, x\#x1\#xs) \in cptn \Longrightarrow (\Gamma, x\#[x1]) \in cptn
proof -
  assume (\Gamma, x \# x1 \# xs) \in cptn
 thus ?thesis using cptn-dest1 prod.collapse by metis
qed
lemma cptn-append-is-cptn [rule-format]:
\forall b \ a. \ (\Gamma, b\#c1) \in cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, a\#c2) \in cptn \longrightarrow (b\#c1)! length \ c1 = a \longrightarrow (\Gamma, b\#c1@c2) \in cptn
apply(induct c1)
apply simp
apply clarify
apply(erule cptn.cases,simp-all)
apply (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv)
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon cptn.CptnComp)
lemma cptn-dest-2:
  (\Gamma, a \# xs@ys) \in cptn \implies (\Gamma, a \# xs) \in cptn
proof (induct xs arbitrary: a)
  case Nil thus ?case using cptn.simps by fastforce
next
  case (Cons x xs')
  then have (\Gamma, a\#[x]) \in cptn by (simp\ add:\ cptn-dest1-pair)
  also have (\Gamma, x \# xs') \in cptn
```

```
using Cons.hyps Cons.prems cptn-dest-pair by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case using cptn-append-is-cptn [of \Gamma a [x] x xs']
   by force
qed
lemma last-not-F:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,xs)\in cptn
shows snd (last xs) \notin Fault 'F \Longrightarrow \forall i < length xs. <math>snd (xs!i) \notin Fault 'F
using a\theta
proof(induct) print-cases
 case (CptnOne \ \Gamma \ p \ s) thus ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnEnv \Gamma P s t xs)
 thus ?case using stepe-not-Fault-f-end
 proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ nn :: nat \}
   have snd (last ((P, t) \# xs)) \notin Fault ' F
     using CptnEnv.prems by force
    then have \neg nn < length ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \lor snd (((P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs))
xs) ! nn) \notin Fault 'F
    by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ CptnEnv.hyps(1)\ CptnEnv.hyps(3)\ length-Cons\ less-Suc-eq-0-disj
nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc snd-conv stepe-not-Fault-f-end)
 then have \forall n. \neg n < length ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \lor snd (((P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs))
(xs) ! n) \notin Fault 'F
   by meson
  then show ?thesis
   by metis
 qed
next
 case (CptnComp \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ Q \ t \ xs)
 have snd\ (last\ ((Q,\ t)\ \#\ xs))\notin Fault\ `F
   using CptnComp.prems by force
 then have all: \forall i < length ((Q, t) \# xs). snd (((Q, t) \# xs) ! i) \notin Fault 'F
   using CptnComp.hyps by force
  then have t \notin Fault ' F
   by force
  then have s \notin Fault ' F using step-not-Fault-f-end
   using CptnComp.hyps(1) by blast
  then have zero:snd (P,s) \notin Fault 'F by auto
 show ?case
 proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ nn :: nat \}
   have \neg nn < length ((P, s) \# (Q, t) \# xs) \lor snd (((P, s) \# (Q, t) \# xs) !
nn) \notin Fault 'F
    by (metis (no-types) \forall i < length ((Q, t) \# xs). snd (((Q, t) \# xs) ! i) \notin Fault
'F \land (snd (P, s) \notin Fault `F \land diff-Suc-1 length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons')
```

```
then show ?thesis
   by meson
 qed
qed
definition cp :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow
                  ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) confs) set where
  cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s \equiv \{(\Gamma 1, l). \ l!\theta = (P, s) \land (\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma\}
lemma cp-sub:
  assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, (x\#l\theta)@l1) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s
 shows (\Gamma,(x\#l\theta)) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s
proof -
  have (x\#l\theta)!\theta = (P,s) using a\theta unfolding cp-def by auto
 also have (\Gamma,(x\#l\theta)) \in cptn using a\theta unfolding cp\text{-}def
 using cptn-dest-2 by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis using a0 unfolding cp-def by blast
qed
           Parallel computations
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs = ('s,'p,'f,'e) body ×(('s,'p,'f,'e) par-config)
list
inductive-set par-cptn :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs set
where
  ParCptnOne: (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in par-cptn
| ParCptnEnv: [\Gamma \vdash_p (P,s) \rightarrow_e (P,t); (\Gamma,(P,t)\#xs) \in par-cptn ] \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(P,t)\#xs)
| ParCptnComp: [ \Gamma \vdash_p (P,s) \to (Q,t); (\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in par-cptn ] \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs)
\in par-cptn
inductive-cases par-cptn-elim-cases [cases set]:
(\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in par-cptn
(\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in par-cptn
lemma pe-ce:
  assumes a1:\Gamma\vdash_{p}(P,s)\to_{e}(P,t)
  shows \forall i < length P. \Gamma \vdash_c (P!i,s) \rightarrow_e (P!i,t)
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
   assume i < length P
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (P!i,s) \rightarrow_e (P!i,t) using a1
  by (metis Env Env-n env-pe-not-normal-s)
  thus \forall i < length P. \Gamma \vdash_c (P!i,s) \rightarrow_e (P!i,t) by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-com = ('s,'p,'f,'e) com list

definition par-cp :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com list \Rightarrow ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs) set

where

par-cp \Gamma P s \equiv \{(\Gamma 1,l). \ l!\theta = (P,s) \land (\Gamma,l) \in par-cptn \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma\}

lemma par-cptn-dest:(\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in par-cptn \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in par-cptn
by (auto dest: par-cptn-elim-cases)
```

lemmas about single step computation

8.4 Structural Properties of Small Step Computations

```
lemma redex-not-Seq: redex c = Seq c1 c2 \Longrightarrow P
  apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma redex-not-Catch: redex c = Catch \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow P
  apply (induct \ c)
 apply auto
 done
lemma no-step-final:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c,s) \to (c',s')
 shows final (c,s) \Longrightarrow P
using step
by induct (auto simp add: final-def)
lemma no-step-final':
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg \rightarrow cfg'
  shows final cfg \Longrightarrow P
using step
  by (cases cfg, cases cfg') (auto intro: no-step-final)
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}Abrupt:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
 shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Fault:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
```

```
shows \bigwedge f. s = Fault f \implies s' = Fault f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
  shows \bigwedge f. \ s = Stuck \implies s' = Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ step	enot	enormal	enot	enormal:
  assumes step:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
 shows \forall s1. \ s \neq Normal \ s1 \implies \forall s1. \ s' \neq Normal \ s1
using step step-Abrupt step-Stuck step-Fault
by (induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ step-not-normal-s-eq-t:
 assumes step:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', t)
 shows \forall s1. \ s \neq Normal \ s1 \implies s = t
using step step-Abrupt step-Stuck step-Fault
by (induct) auto
lemma ce-not-normal-s:
   assumes a1:\Gamma\vdash_c cf0 \rightarrow_{ce} cf1
   shows \land c_1 \ c_2 \ s \ s'. \ \llbracket cf\theta = (c_1,s); cf1 = (c_2,s'); (\forall sa. \ (s \neq Normal \ sa)) \rrbracket
using a1
apply (clarify, cases rule:step-ce.cases)
by (metis\ step-not-normal-s-eq-t\ env-not-normal-s)+
lemma SeqSteps:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
 shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. \ [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
          \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \to^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Trans\ cfg_1\ cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' using Trans.hyps(1) by blast
  have steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
  have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact +
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
   by (cases cfg'') auto
  from step \ cfg_1 \ cfg''
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1,s) \to (c_1'',s'')
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
```

```
hence \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2,s) \to (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2,s'') by (simp \ add: Seqc)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF cfg" cfg_2]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1'' \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma CatchSteps:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow^* cfg_2
  shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); \ cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
           \implies \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, \ s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans cfg<sub>1</sub> cfg'')
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' by fact
  have steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg'' \rightarrow^* cfg_2 by fact
  have cfg_1: cfg_1 = (c_1, s) and cfg_2: cfg_2 = (c_1', s') by fact +
  obtain c_1'' s'' where cfg'': cfg''=(c_1'',s'')
    by (cases cfg'') auto
  from step \ cfg_1 \ cfg''
  have s: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1,s) \to (c_1'',s'')
    by simp
  hence \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c_1'' \ c_2, s'')
    by (rule stepc. Catchc)
  also from Trans.hyps (3) [OF cfg" cfg<sub>2</sub>]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1{''} \ c_2, \ s'') \rightarrow^* (Catch \ c_1{'} \ c_2, \ s').
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma steps-Fault: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_2, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Fault \ f) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, Fault \ f).
  also
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq Skip \ c_2, Fault \ f) \rightarrow (c_2, Fault \ f) by (rule \ SeqSkipc)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Fault f) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Fault f) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \to^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f).
  also
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Fault \ f) \rightarrow (Skip, \ Fault \ f) by (rule \ Catch Skipc)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: stepc.intros)+
lemma steps-Stuck: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck)
\mathbf{proof} (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, Stuck).
  also
 have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Stuck) \to (c_2,\ Stuck) by (rule\ SeqSkipc)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Stuck) \to^* (Skip, Stuck) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c<sub>1</sub> refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck).
  also
 have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Stuck) \rightarrow (Skip, \ Stuck) by (rule \ Catch Skipc)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: stepc.intros)+
lemma steps-Abrupt: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s)
proof (induct c)
  case (Seq c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s) by fact
  have steps-c_2: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_2, Abrupt s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt s) by fact
  from SeqSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Seq \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ SeqSkipc)
  also note steps-c_2
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (Catch c_1 c_2)
  have steps-c_1: \Gamma \vdash_c (c_1, Abrupt \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Abrupt \ s) by fact
  from CatchSteps [OF steps-c_1 refl refl]
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s) \to^* (Catch \ Skip \ c_2, \ Abrupt \ s).
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch\ Skip\ c_2,\ Abrupt\ s) \to (Skip,\ Abrupt\ s) by (rule\ CatchSkipc)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed (fastforce intro: stepc.intros)+
lemma step-Fault-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
```

```
shows \bigwedge f. s=Fault\ f \implies s'=Fault\ f
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Abrupt-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
  shows \bigwedge x. s = Abrupt \ x \implies s' = Abrupt \ x
by (induct) auto
lemma step-Stuck-prop:
  assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
 \mathbf{shows}\ s{=}Stuck \implies s'{=}Stuck
using step
by (induct) auto
lemma steps-Fault-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 shows s=Fault f \implies s'=Fault f
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
  case (Trans\ c\ s\ c^{\prime\prime}\ s^{\prime\prime})
  thus ?case by (simp \ add: step	ext{-}Fault	ext{-}prop)
qed
lemma steps-Abrupt-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to^* (c', s')
 \mathbf{shows}\ s{=}Abrupt\ t \Longrightarrow s'{=}Abrupt\ t
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
  case (Trans c s c'' s'')
 thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Abrupt-prop)
qed
lemma steps-Stuck-prop:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
 \mathbf{shows}\ s{=}Stuck \implies s'{=}Stuck
using step
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans c s c" s")
  thus ?case
   by (auto intro: step-Stuck-prop)
```

```
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}seq\text{-}throw\text{-}normal:
assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s') and
        c\text{-val}: c\text{-Seq Throw }Q \land c'\text{-Throw}
shows \exists sa. s=Normal sa
using step \ c\text{-}val
proof (cases s)
  case Normal
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ by \ auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Abrupt
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases(5)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw,s')] by auto
next
  case Stuck
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases(5)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw,s')] by auto
next
  case Fault
    thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases(5)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw, s')] by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}catch\text{-}throw\text{-}normal:
assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s') and
        c\text{-val}: c=Catch\ Throw\ Q \land c'=Throw
shows \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa
using step c-val
proof (cases\ s)
 case Normal
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ by \ auto
next
  case Abrupt
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases (12)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw,s')] by auto
next
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Stuck}
  thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases (12)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw, s')] by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case Fault
    thus \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa \ using \ step \ c-val \ stepc-elim-cases (12)[of \ \Gamma \ Throw \ Q \ s
(Throw,s')] by auto
qed
```

lemma step-normal-to-normal[rule-format]: assumes step: $\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')$ and

```
sn: s = Normal \ sa \ and
       finalc':(\Gamma \vdash_c (c', s') \rightarrow^* (c1, s1) \land (\exists sb. s1 = Normal sb))
shows (\exists sc. s'=Normal sc)
using step sn finalc'
proof (induct arbitrary: sa rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
   case Refl show ?case by (simp add: Refl.prems)
 next
   case (Trans c s c'' s'') thm converse-rtranclpE2
    thus ?case
    proof (cases s'')
       case (Abrupt a1) thus ?thesis using finalc' by (metis steps-Abrupt-prop
Trans.hyps(2)
    next
    case Stuck thus ?thesis using finalc' by (metis steps-Stuck-prop Trans.hyps(2))
    case Fault thus ?thesis using finalc' by (metis steps-Fault-prop Trans.hyps(2))
     case Normal thus ?thesis using Trans.hyps(3) finalc' by blast
   qed
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}spec\text{-}skip\text{-}normal\text{-}normal:
  assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c (c,s) \to (c',s') and
         a1:c=Spec \ r \ e \ {\bf and}
         a2: s=Normal \ s1 and
         a3: c'=Skip and
         a4: (\exists t. (s1,t) \in r)
 shows \exists s1'. s'=Normal s1'
proof (cases s')
  case (Normal u) thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case Stuck
   have \forall f \ r \ b \ p \ e. \ \neg f \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Spec \ r \ e, Normal \ b) \rightarrow p \ \lor
           (\exists ba. \ p = (Skip::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ com, \ Normal \ ba) \land (b, ba) \in r) \lor
           p = (Skip, Stuck) \land (\forall ba. (b, ba) \notin r)
     by (meson stepc-Normal-elim-cases(4))
     thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a4 by blast
next
  case (Fault f)
  have \forall f \ r \ b \ p \ e. \ \neg f \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Spec \ r \ e, \ Normal \ b) \rightarrow p \ \lor
           (\exists ba. \ p = (Skip::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ com, \ Normal \ ba) \land (b, ba) \in r) \lor
           p = (Skip, Stuck) \land (\forall ba. (b, ba) \notin r)
   by (meson\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(4))
   thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a4 by blast
 have \forall f \ r \ b \ p \ e. \ \neg f \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Spec \ r \ e, \ Normal \ b) \rightarrow p \ \lor
       (\exists ba. \ p = (Skip::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ com, \ Normal \ ba) \land (b, ba) \in r) \lor
```

```
p = (Skip, Stuck) \land (\forall ba. (b, ba) \notin r)
    by (meson stepc-Normal-elim-cases(4))
    thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a4 by blast
if not Normal not environmental
lemma no-advance-seq:
assumes a\theta: P = Seq p1 p2 and
         a1: \Gamma \vdash_c (p1, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (p1, Normal\ s)
shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (P, Normal \ s)
by (simp add: Seqc a0 a1)
lemma no-advance-catch:
assumes a\theta: P = Catch \ p1 \ p2 and
        a1: \Gamma \vdash_{c} (p1, Normal\ s) \rightarrow (p1, Normal\ s)
shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (P, Normal \ s)
by (simp add: Catche a0 a1)
\mathbf{lemma} \ not\text{-}step\text{-}c\text{-}env:
\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c, s') \Longrightarrow
 (\bigwedge sa. \neg (s=Normal\ sa)) \Longrightarrow
  ( \land sa. \neg (s'=Normal\ sa) )
by (fastforce elim:stepe-elim-cases)
lemma step-c-env-not-normal-eq-state:
\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c, s') \Longrightarrow
 (\bigwedge sa. \neg (s=Normal\ sa)) \Longrightarrow
  s=s'
by (fastforce elim:stepe-elim-cases)
\mathbf{lemma} not-eq-not-env:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce} (c', s')
   shows (c=c') \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \to_e (c', s') \Longrightarrow P
using step-m etranE by blast
lemma step-ce-not-step-e-step-c:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce} (c', s')
   shows \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s')) \Longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s'))
using step-m step-ce-elim-cases by blast
{f lemma}\ step\text{-}ce\text{-}notNormal:
   assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce} (c', s')
   shows (\forall sa. \neg (s=Normal \ sa)) \Longrightarrow s'=s
using step-m
proof (induct rule:step-ce-induct)
  case (e-step a b a' b')
 have \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa \lor (\exists \ c. \ (\exists \ x. \ p = (c::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com,
(x)) \land (\exists x. pa = (c, x))
```

```
by (fastforce elim:etranE stepe-elim-cases)
  thus ?case
   using stepe-elim-cases e-step.hyps e-step.prems by blast
  case (c-step a b a' b')
  thus ?case
  proof (cases b)
    case (Normal) thus ?thesis using c-step.prems by auto
  next
   case (Stuck) thus ?thesis
     using SmallStepCon.step-Stuck-prop c-step.hyps by blast
   case (Fault f) thus ?thesis
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{SmallStepCon.step-Fault-prop} \ \mathit{c-step.hyps} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
   case (Abrupt a) thus ?thesis
     using SmallStepCon.step-Abrupt-prop c-step.hyps by fastforce
  qed
qed
lemma steps-ce-not-Normal:
  assumes step-m: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (c', s')
  shows \forall sa. \neg (s=Normal\ sa) \Longrightarrow s'=s
using step-m
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl then show ?case by auto
  case (Trans a b a' b')
 thus ?case using step-ce-notNormal by blast
lemma steps-not-normal-ce-c:
 assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce^*} (c', s')
                  (\ \forall \, sa. \ \neg(s=Normal\ sa)) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c,\ s) \to^* (c',\ s')
 shows
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by auto
  case (Trans a b a' b')
   then have b=b' using step-ce-notNormal by blast
    then have \Gamma \vdash_c (a', b') \rightarrow^* (c', s') using \langle b=b' \rangle Trans.hyps(3) Trans.prems
   then have \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \to (a', b') \lor \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \to_e (a', b')
     using Trans.hyps(1) by (fastforce\ elim:\ step-ce-elim-cases)
   thus ?case
   proof
     assume \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \to (a', b')
     thus ?thesis using \langle \Gamma \vdash_c (a', b') \rightarrow^* (c', s') \rangle by auto
   \mathbf{next}
```

```
assume \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \rightarrow_e (a', b')
       have a = a'
         by (meson\ Trans.hyps(1) \ \langle \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \rightarrow_e (a', b') \rangle \ not\text{-}eq\text{-}not\text{-}env)
         thus ?thesis using \langle \Gamma \vdash_c (a', b') \rightarrow^* (c', s') \rangle \langle b = b' \rangle by force
    qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma steps-c-ce:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
                    \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (c', s')
  shows
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans a b a' b')
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (a, b) \rightarrow_{ce} (a', b')
    using Trans.hyps(1) c-step by blast
  thus ?case
    by (simp\ add:\ Trans.hyps(3)\ converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ steps-not-normal-c-ce:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow^* (c', s')
                    (\forall sa. \neg (s=Normal\ sa)) \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (c,\ s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (c',\ s')
by (simp add: steps steps-c-ce)
lemma steps-not-normal-c-eq-ce:
assumes normal: ( \forall sa. \neg (s=Normal\ sa))
                   \Gamma \vdash_{c} (c, s) \xrightarrow{*} (c', s') = \stackrel{\frown}{\Gamma} \vdash_{c} (c, s) \xrightarrow{}_{ce} (c', s')
shows
using normal
using steps-c-ce steps-not-normal-ce-c by auto
lemma steps-ce-Fault: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Fault f) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Skip, Fault f)
by (simp add: SmallStepCon.steps-Fault steps-c-ce)
lemma steps-ce-Stuck: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Stuck) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Skip, Stuck)
by (simp add: SmallStepCon.steps-Stuck steps-c-ce)
lemma steps-ce-Abrupt: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, Abrupt \ a) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Skip, Abrupt \ a)
by (simp add: SmallStepCon.steps-Abrupt steps-c-ce)
lemma step-ce-seq-throw-normal:
assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce} (c', s') and
        c\text{-val}: c\text{-Seq Throw }Q \land c'\text{=Throw}
shows \exists sa. s=Normal sa
using step c-val not-eq-not-env
      step-ce-not-step-e-step-c step-seq-throw-normal by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ step\text{-}ce\text{-}catch\text{-}throw\text{-}normal:
```

```
assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce} (c', s') and
        c\text{-}val: c=Catch \ Throw \ Q \land c'=Throw
shows \exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa
using step c-val not-eq-not-env
      step-ce-not-step-e-step-c step-catch-throw-normal by blast
lemma step-ce-normal-to-normal[rule-format]:
assumes step:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (c', s') and
        sn: s = Normal \ sa \ and
        finalc': (\Gamma \vdash_c (c', s') \rightarrow_{ce}^* (c1, s1) \land (\exists sb. s1 = Normal sb))
shows
       (\exists sc. s'=Normal sc)
using step sn finalc' steps-ce-not-Normal by blast
lemma SegSteps-ce:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_{ce}^* cfg_2
 shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
          \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Seq \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Trans\ cfg_1\ cfg'')
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' \vee \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_e cfg''
  using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  thus ?case
  proof
   assume a1:\Gamma\vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_e cfg''
    have \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa \lor (\exists c.
                   x)))
      by (meson \ etranE)
    then obtain cc :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                               ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                               ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                               ('a, 'b, 'c,'d) LanguageCon.com and
                xx :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                        ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                          ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)
xstate and
                xxa :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                         ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow
                          ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)
xstate where
      f1: \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa \lor p = (cc \ f \ p \ pa, xx \ f \ p \ pa) \land pa = (cc \ f \ p \ pa, pa)
xxa f p pa
      by (metis\ (no\text{-}types))
```

```
have f2: \forall f \ c \ x \ xa. \ \neg f \vdash_c (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x) \rightarrow_e (c, \ xa)
                             (\exists a. \ x = Normal \ a) \lor (\forall a. \ xa \neq Normal \ a) \land x = xa
      by (metis stepe-elim-cases)
    have f3: (c_1, xxa \Gamma cfg_1 cfg'') = cfg''
      using f1 by (metis Trans.prems(1) a1 fst-conv)
   hence \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Seq c_1 c_2, xxa \Gamma cfg_1 cfg'') \rightarrow_{ce}^* (LanguageCon.com.Seq
c_1' c_2, s'
      using Trans.hyps(3) Trans.prems(2) by force
    thus ?thesis
     using f3 f2 by (metis (no-types) Env Trans.prems(1) a1 e-step r-into-rtranclp
                        rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-idemp)
 next
     assume \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg''
     thus ?thesis
      proof -
        have \forall p. \exists c \ x. \ p = (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ Language Con.com, \ x::('a, 'c) \ xstate)
          by auto
        thus ?thesis
         by (metis (no-types) Seqc Trans.hyps(3) Trans.prems(1) Trans.prems(2)
                    \langle \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' \rangle c-step converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
      qed
  qed
qed
lemma CatchSteps-ce:
  assumes steps: \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_{ce}^* cfg_2
 shows \bigwedge c_1 \ s \ c_1' \ s'. [cfg_1 = (c_1,s); \ cfg_2 = (c_1',s')]
           \implies \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Catch \ c_1' \ c_2, s')
using steps
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct [case-names Refl Trans])
  case Refl
  thus ?case
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Trans\ cfg_1\ cfg'')
then have \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' \lor \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_e cfg''
   using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  thus ?case
  proof
    assume a1:\Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow_e cfg''
    have \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa \lor (\exists c. (\exists x. p = (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ Language-
Con.com, x)) \wedge (\exists x. pa = (c, x)))
      by (meson \ etranE)
    then obtain cc :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                         ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                         ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
```

```
('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com and
                xx :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                       ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                       ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                       ('a, 'c) xstate and
                xxa :: ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow
                         ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow
                          ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)
xstate where
      f1: \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa \lor p = (cc \ f \ p \ pa, \ xx \ f \ p \ pa) \land pa = (cc \ f \ p \ pa,
xxa f p pa
      by (metis\ (no-types))
    have f2: \forall f \ c \ x \ xa. \ \neg f \vdash_c (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x) \rightarrow_e (c, \ xa)
                         (\exists a. \ x = Normal \ a) \lor (\forall a. \ xa \neq Normal \ a) \land x = xa
      by (metis stepe-elim-cases)
    have f3: (c_1, xxa \Gamma cfg_1 cfg'') = cfg''
      using f1 by (metis Trans.prems(1) a1 fst-conv)
  hence \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, xxa \ \Gamma \ cfg_1 \ cfg'') \rightarrow_{ce}^* (LanguageCon.com.Catch
c_1' c_2, s'
      using Trans.hyps(3) Trans.prems(2) by force
    thus ?thesis
     using f3 f2 by (metis (no-types) Env Trans.prems(1) a1 e-step r-into-rtranclp
rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-idemp)
  \mathbf{next}
    assume \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg''
    thus ?thesis
    proof -
      obtain cc :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'c)
'd) LanguageCon.com and xx :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) xstate
\Rightarrow ('a, 'c) xstate where
        f1: \forall p. p = (cc p, xx p)
        by (meson old.prod.exhaust)
    hence \bigwedge c. \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Catch \ c_1 \ c, s) \rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Catch
(cc \ cfg^{\prime\prime}) \ c, \ xx \ cfg^{\prime\prime})
        by (metis (no-types) Catche Trans.prems(1) \langle \Gamma \vdash_c cfg_1 \rightarrow cfg'' \rangle)
      thus ?thesis
     using f1 by (meson Trans.hyps(3) Trans.prems(2) c-step converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma step-change-p-or-eq-Ns:
    assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Q, s')
   \mathbf{shows} \ \neg (P = Q)
using step
proof (induct P arbitrary: Q s s')
qed(fastforce elim: stepc-Normal-elim-cases)+
```

```
lemma step-change-p-or-eq-s: assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to (Q,s') shows \neg (P=Q) using step proof (induct P arbitrary: Q \ s \ s') qed (fastforce elim: stepc-elim-cases)+
```

8.5 Relation between stepc-rtrancl and cptn

```
lemma stepc-rtrancl-cptn:
 assumes step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c,s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (cf,sf)
 shows \exists xs. (\Gamma,(c, s)\#xs) \in cptn \land (cf,sf) = (last ((c,s)\#xs))
proof (induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2 [case-names Refl Trans])
 case Refl thus ?case using cptn.CptnOne by auto
next
 case (Trans\ c\ s\ c'\ s')
 have \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow_e (c', s') \lor \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s) \rightarrow (c', s')
   by (meson Trans.hyps(1) step-ce.simps)
  then show ?case
 proof
   assume prem:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \to_e (c', s')
   then have ceqc':c=c' using prem env-c-c'
    obtain xs where xs-s:(\Gamma, (c', s') \# xs) \in cptn \land (cf, sf) = last ((c', s') \# xs)
xs)
     using Trans(3) by auto
   then have xs-f: (\Gamma, (c, s)\#(c', s') \# xs) \in cptn
   using cptn.CptnEnv ceqc' prem by fastforce
   also have last ((c', s') \# xs) = last ((c,s)\#(c', s') \# xs) by auto
   then have (cf, sf) = last ((c, s) \# (c', s') \# xs)
     using xs-s by auto
   thus ?thesis
     using xs-f by blast
   assume prem:\Gamma\vdash_c (c, s) \to (c', s')
    obtain xs where xs-s:(\Gamma, (c', s') \# xs) \in cptn \land (cf, sf) = last ((c', s') \# xs)
xs)
     using Trans(3) by auto
   have (\Gamma, (c, s) \# (c', s') \# xs) \in cptn \text{ using } cptn.CptnComp
     using xs-s prem by blast
   also have last ((c', s') \# xs) = last ((c,s)\#(c', s') \# xs) by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using xs-s by fastforce
 qed
qed
```

lemma cptn-stepc-rtrancl:

```
assumes cptn-step: (\Gamma, (c, s) \# xs) \in cptn and
          cf-last:(cf,sf) = (last ((c,s)\#xs))
  shows \Gamma \vdash_c (c,s) \to_{ce}^* (cf,sf)
using cptn-step cf-last
proof (induct xs arbitrary: c s)
  case Nil
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons\ a\ xs\ c\ s)
 then obtain ca sa where eq-pair: a=(ca,sa) and (cf,sf)=last ((ca,sa) \# xs)
       using Cons by (fastforce)
 have f1: \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg \ (f::'a \Rightarrow ('b, \neg, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \vdash_c p \rightarrow pa
\vee f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_{ce} pa
    by (simp \ add: \ c\text{-}step)
  have f2: (\Gamma, (c, s) \# (ca, sa) \# xs) \in cptn
    using \langle (\Gamma, (c, s) \# a \# xs) \in cptn \rangle eq\text{-pair by } blast
  have f3: \forall f \ p \ pa. \ \neg \ (f::'a \Rightarrow ('b, \neg, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \vdash_c p \rightarrow_e pa
\vee f \vdash_c p \rightarrow_{ce} pa
    using e-step by blast
  have \forall c \ x. \ (\Gamma, (c, x) \# xs) \notin cptn \lor (cf, sf) \neq last ((c, x) \# xs) \lor \Gamma \vdash_c (c, x)
\rightarrow_{ce}^* (cf, sf)
    using Cons.hyps by blast
  thus ?case
  using f3 f2 f1 by (metis (no-types) \langle (cf, sf) = last ((ca, sa) \# xs) \rangle converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp
cptn-elim-cases(2))
qed
{f lemma}\ three-elems-list:
 assumes a1:length \ l > 2
 shows \exists a0 \ a1 \ a2 \ l1. \ l=a0\#a1\#a2\#l1
using a1 by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc One-nat-def Suc-1 Suc-leI add-lessD1 drop-0
length-greater-0-conv\ list.size(3)\ not-numeral-le-zero\ one-add-one)
lemma cptn-stepc-rtran:
  assumes cptn-step: (\Gamma, x \# xs) \in cptn and
          a1:Suc\ i < length\ (x\#xs)
  shows \Gamma \vdash_c ((x \# xs)!i) \rightarrow_{ce} ((x \# xs)!(Suc\ i))
using cptn-step a1
proof (induct \ i \ arbitrary: x \ xs)
  case \theta
    then obtain x1 \ xs1 where xs:xs=x1 \# xs1
     by (metis length-Cons less-not-refl list.exhaust list.size(3))
    then have (x\#x1\#xs1)!Suc\ 0 = x1 by fastforce
    have x-x1-cptn:(\Gamma, x \# x1 \# xs1) \in cptn using \theta xs by auto
    then have (\Gamma, x1 \# xs1) \in cptn
      using cptn-dest-pair by fastforce
    then have \Gamma \vdash_c x \to_e x1 \lor \Gamma \vdash_c x \to x1
      using cptn-elim-cases-pair x-x1-cptn by blast
```

```
then have \Gamma \vdash_c x \rightarrow_{ce} x1
     by (metis c-step e-step)
   then show ?case
     by (simp add: xs)
next
   case (Suc\ i)
   then have Suc \ i < length \ xs \ by \ auto
   moreover then obtain x1 \ xs1 where xs:xs=x1 \# xs1
     by (metis\ (full-types)\ list.exhaust\ list.size(3)\ not-less0)
   moreover then have (\Gamma, x1 \# xs1) \in cptn using Suc cptn-dest-pair by blast
   ultimately have \Gamma \vdash_c ((x1 \# xs1) ! i) \rightarrow_{ce} ((x1 \# xs1) ! Suc i)
     using Suc by auto
   thus ?case using Suc xs by auto
qed
lemma cptn-stepconf-rtrancl:
  assumes cptn-step: (\Gamma, cfg1 \# xs) \in cptn and
         cf-last:cfg2 = (last (cfg1 #xs))
 shows \Gamma \vdash_c cfg1 \rightarrow_{ce}^* cfg2
using cptn-step cf-last
by (metis cptn-stepc-rtrancl prod.collapse)
\mathbf{lemma}\ cptn-all-steps-rtrancl:
  assumes cptn-step: (\Gamma, cfg1 \# xs) \in cptn
  shows \forall i < length (cfg1 # xs). \Gamma \vdash_c cfg1 \rightarrow_{ce}^* ((cfg1 # xs)!i)
using cptn-step
proof (induct xs arbitrary: cfq1)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs1) thus ?case
  proof -
    have hyp: \forall i < length (x \# xs1). \Gamma \vdash_c x \rightarrow_{ce}^* ((x \# xs1) ! i)
       \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Cons.hyps}\ \mathit{Cons.prems}\ \mathit{cptn-dest-pair}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
    thus ?thesis
    proof
    {
       \mathbf{fix} i
       assume a0:i < length (cfg1 \# x \# xs1)
       then have Suc \ \theta < length \ (cfg1 \ \# \ x \ \# \ xs1)
         by simp
       hence \Gamma \vdash_c (cfg1 \# x \# xs1) ! 0 \rightarrow_{ce} ((cfg1 \# x \# xs1) ! Suc 0)
         using Cons.prems cptn-stepc-rtran by blast
       then have \Gamma \vdash_c cfg1 \rightarrow_{ce} x using Cons by simp
       also have i < Suc (Suc (length xs1))
         using a\theta by force
        ultimately have \Gamma \vdash_c cfg1 \rightarrow_{ce}^* (cfg1 \# x \# xs1) ! i using hyp Cons
        using converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp hyp less-Suc-eq-0-disj
        by auto
```

```
} thus ?thesis by auto qed
 qed
qed
lemma cptn-env-same-prog:
assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
       a1: \forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))) and
       a2: Suc j < length l
shows fst(l!j) = fst(l!0)
using a\theta a1 a2
proof (induct j arbitrary: l)
 case 0 thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc j)
   then have fst(l!j) = fst(l!0) by fastforce
   thus ?case using Suc
     by (metis (no-types) env-c-c' lessI prod.collapse)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ takecptn\text{-}is\text{-}cptn\ [rule\text{-}format,\ elim!]:}
 \forall j. (\Gamma, c) \in cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, take (Suc j) c) \in cptn
apply(induct \ c)
apply(force elim: cptn.cases)
apply clarify
apply(case-tac\ j)
apply simp
apply(rule\ CptnOne)
apply simp
apply(force intro:cptn.intros elim:cptn.cases)
done
lemma dropcptn-is-cptn [rule-format,elim!]:
 \forall j < length \ c. \ (\Gamma, c) \in cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, drop \ j \ c) \in cptn
apply(induct \ c)
apply(force elim: cptn.cases)
apply clarify
apply(case-tac\ j,simp+)
apply(erule cptn.cases)
 apply simp
apply force
apply force
done
lemma takepar-cptn-is-par-cptn [rule-format,elim]:
 \forall j. (\Gamma, c) \in par\text{-}cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, take\ (Suc\ j)\ c) \in par\text{-}cptn
apply(induct c)
apply(force elim: cptn.cases)
```

```
apply clarify
apply(case-tac\ j, simp)
apply(rule\ ParCptnOne)
apply(force intro:par-cptn.intros elim:par-cptn.cases)
done
lemma droppar-cptn-is-par-cptn [rule-format]:
  \forall j < length \ c. \ (\Gamma, c) \in par-cptn \longrightarrow (\Gamma, drop \ j \ c) \in par-cptn
apply(induct c)
apply(force elim: par-cptn.cases)
apply clarify
apply(case-tac\ j,simp+)
apply(erule par-cptn.cases)
 apply simp
apply force
apply force
done
8.6
        Modular Definition of Computation
definition lift :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) config \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) config where
  lift Q \equiv \lambda(P, s). ((Seq P Q), s)
definition lift-catch :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) config \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) config
where
  lift-catch Q \equiv \lambda(P, s). (Catch P(Q, s))
inductive-set cptn-mod :: (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ set
where
  CptnModOne: (\Gamma, [(P, s)]) \in cptn-mod
| CptnModEnv: [\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow_e (P,t); (\Gamma,(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod ] \implies
               (\Gamma, (P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod
| CptnModSkip: [\Gamma \vdash_c(P,s) \rightarrow (Skip,t); redex P = P;
                (\Gamma, (Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \ ] \Longrightarrow
                (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Skip,\ t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod
|CptnModThrow: [\Gamma \vdash_c(P,s) \rightarrow (Throw,t); redex P = P;
                (\Gamma, (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \ ] \Longrightarrow
                (\Gamma, (P,s) \# (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod
|CptnModCondT: [(\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod; \ s \in b]| \Longrightarrow
                (\Gamma,((Cond\ b\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#(P0,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod
|CptnModCondF: [(\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod; \ s \notin b]| \Longrightarrow
                (\Gamma,((Cond\ b\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#(P1,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod
| CptnModSeq1:
  \llbracket (\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod; zs = map (lift P1) xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
  (\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod
```

```
| CptnModSeg2:
  \llbracket (\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod; fst(last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Skip;
    (\Gamma, (P1, snd(last((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod;
    zs = (map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs)@((P1, snd(last \ ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) ] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod
\mid CptnModSeg3:
  [(\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod;]
    fst(last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Throw;
    snd(last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s';
    (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod;
    zs = (map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys) \ ] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod
| CptnModWhile1:
  \llbracket (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod; \ s \in b;
    zs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs \ ] \Longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, ((While\ b\ P), Normal\ s) \#
      ((Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P)), Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod
| CptnModWhile 2:
  [\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod;
     fst(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs))=Skip;\ s\in b;
     zs = (map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs)@
      (While b P, snd(last((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys;
      (\Gamma, (While\ b\ P,\ snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in
        cptn-mod \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While\ b\ P,\ Normal\ s)\#
     (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P),\ Normal\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod
\mid CptnModWhile3:
  [ (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod; ]
     fst(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs))=Throw;\ s\in b;
     snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s';
    (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod;
     zs = (map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs)@((Throw,Normal \ s') \# ys)] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While\ b\ P,\ Normal\ s) \#
     (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P),\ Normal\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod
|CptnModCall: [(\Gamma, (bdy, Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod; \Gamma \ p = Some \ bdy; \ bdy \neq Call
p \parallel \Longrightarrow
                (\Gamma,((Call\ p),\ Normal\ s)\#(bdy,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod
|CptnModDynCom: [(\Gamma, (c \ s, \ Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod ]| \Longrightarrow
                  (\Gamma, (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s)\#(c\ s,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod
|CptnModGuard: [(\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod; \ s \in g]| \Longrightarrow
                 (\Gamma, (Guard\ f\ g\ c,\ Normal\ s)\#(c,\ Normal\ s)\#ys) \in cptn-mod
```

```
 | CptnModCatch1: [ (\Gamma,(P0,s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod; zs=map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ xs \ ] 
 \Rightarrow (\Gamma,((Catch \ P0 \ P1), \ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod 
| CptnModCatch2: [ (\Gamma,(P0,s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod; fst(last \ ((P0,s)\#xs)) = Skip; 
 (\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last \ ((P0,s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod; 
 zs=(map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ xs)@((Skip,snd(last \ ((P0,s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ ] \Rightarrow 
 (\Gamma,((Catch \ P0 \ P1), \ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod 
| CptnModCatch3: [ (\Gamma,(P0,Normal \ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod; fst(last \ ((P0,Normal \ s)\#xs)) = Throw; 
 snd(last \ ((P0,Normal \ s)\#xs)) = Normal \ s'; 
 (\Gamma,(P1,snd(last \ ((P0,Normal \ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod; 
 zs=(map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ xs)@((P1,snd(last \ ((P0,Normal \ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ ] \Rightarrow 
 (\Gamma,((Catch \ P0 \ P1),Normal \ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod
```

 $\label{lemmas} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{lemmas} & \textit{CptnMod-induct} = \textit{cptn-mod.induct} & [\textit{of} - [(\textit{c}, \textit{s})], \textit{split-format} & (\textit{complete}), \\ \textit{case-names} & \end{tabular}$

 $CptnModOne \ \ CptnModEnv \ \ CptnModSkip \ \ CptnModThrow \ \ CptnModCondT \ \ Cptn-ModCondF$

CptnModSeq1 CptnModSeq2 CptnModSeq3 CptnModSeq4 CptnModWhile1 CptnMod-While2 CptnModWhile3 CptnModCall CptnModDynCom CptnModGuard CptnModCatch1 CptnModCatch2 CptnModCatch3, induct set]

```
inductive-cases \ \textit{CptnMod-elim-cases} \ [\textit{cases set}]:
```

 $(\Gamma,(Skip,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Guard\,f\,g\,\,c,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Basic\,f\,e,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Spec\,\,r\,e,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Seq\,\,c1\,\,c2,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Cond\,\,b\,\,c1\,\,c2,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Await\,\,b\,\,c2\,\,e,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Call\,\,p,\,\,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(DynCom\,\,c,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$ $(\Gamma,(Throw,s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod$

inductive-cases CptnMod-Normal-elim-cases [cases set]:

 $(\Gamma, (Skip, Normal \ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Catch\ c1\ c2, s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Guard f g c, Normal s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Basic\ f\ e,\ Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Spec \ r \ e, \ Normal \ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(1,(Spec \ Te, Normal \ s)\#u\#xs) \in cpm-mou$

 $(\Gamma, (Seq\ c1\ c2,\ Normal\ s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,\ Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Await\ b\ c2\ e,\ Normal\ s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Call\ p,\ Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (DynCom\ c, Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

 $(\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod$

```
(\Gamma, (Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s) \# u \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Normal\ s) \# (P, s') \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Abrupt \ s) \# (P, Abrupt \ s') \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Stuck) \# (P, Stuck) \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Fault\ f) \# (P, Fault\ f) \# xs) \in cptn-mod
inductive-cases CptnMod-env-elim-cases [cases set]:
(\Gamma, (P, Normal\ s) \# (P, s') \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Abrupt \ s) \# (P, Abrupt \ s') \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Stuck) \# (P, Stuck) \# xs) \in cptn-mod
(\Gamma, (P, Fault f) \# (P, Fault f) \# xs) \in cptn-mod
8.7
        Equivalence of small semantics and computational
lemma last-length: ((a\#xs)!(length xs))=last (a\#xs)
  by (induct xs) auto
definition catch-cond
where
catch\text{-}cond\ zs\ Q\ xs\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma \equiv (zs=(map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land s = Normal\ s'' \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs))\#ys))))
\vee
               ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last ((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Skip,snd(last \ ((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys))))
lemma div-catch: assumes cptn-m:(\Gamma, list) \in cptn-mod
shows (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ list=(Catch \ P \ Q, \ s)\#zs \longrightarrow
      (\exists xs \ s' \ s''.
         (\Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
            catch-cond zs Q xs P s s'' s' <math>\Gamma))
unfolding catch-cond-def
using cptn-m
proof (induct rule: cptn-mod.induct)
case (CptnModOne \ \Gamma \ P \ s)
  thus ?case using cptn-mod.CptnModOne by blast
next
  case (CptnModSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ xs)
  from CptnModSkip.hyps
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Skip, t) by auto
  {\bf from} \ \ CptnModSkip.hyps
 have noskip: (P=Skip) using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
 have no-catch: \forall p1 p2. \neg (P=Catch p1 p2) using CptnModSkip.hyps(2) redex-not-Catch
by auto
```

```
from CptnModSkip.hyps
 have in-cptn-mod: (\Gamma, (Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by auto
  then show ?case using no-catch by simp
  case (CptnModThrow \Gamma P s t xs)
  from CptnModThrow.hyps
 have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Throw, t) by auto
 from CptnModThrow.hyps
 have in-cptn-mod: (\Gamma, (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by auto
 have no-catch: \forall p1 \ p2 . \ \neg (P=Catch \ p1 \ p2) using CptnModThrow.hyps(2) redex-not-Catch
by auto
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnModCondT \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
 thus ?case using CptnModOne by blast
  case (CptnModCondF \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
 thus ?case using CptnModOne by blast
  case (CptnModCatch1 \ sa \ P \ Q \ zs)
  thus ?case by blast
next
  case (CptnModCatch2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
  from CptnModCatch2.hyps(3)
 have last:fst\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = Skip
      by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod by fact
 then have zs = map (lift-catch P1) xs @((Skip,snd(last ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) by
(simp\ add:CptnModCatch2.hyps)
 show ?case
  proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ zs = (Catch\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa by auto
   then have (P\theta, s) = (P, sa) by auto
   have last ((P0, s) \# xs) = ((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs
     by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle last-length)
   then have zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Skip,snd(last ((P0, s)#xs)))#ys)
     using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map (lift-catch P1)
xs \otimes ((Skip, snd(last ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys))
     by force
   then have (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. \ ((\Gamma,(P,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \ \land)
           ((zs=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
           ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
             (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
             zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys))))
V
```

```
(s)\#xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
             zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Skip,snd(last ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys))))))
    using P0cptn \ \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \ last \ CptnMod-
Catch2.hyps(4) by blast
  thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case (CptnModCatch3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  from CptnModCatch3.hyps(3)
 have last:fst (((P0, Normal s) \# xs) ! length xs) = Throw
      by (simp add: last-length)
 from CptnModCatch3.hyps(4)
 have lastnormal:snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s'
     by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(\Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by fact
  from CptnModCatch3.hyps(5) have P1cptn:(\Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, Normal s) \# P1cptn)))))
(xs) ! length (xs)) # ys) \in cptn-mod
     by (simp add: last-length)
  then have zs = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal s) #
(xs))) # ys by (simp\ add:CptnModCatch3.hyps)
 show ?case
 proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq: (Catch P0 P1, Normal s) \# zs = (Catch P Q, Normal sa) \# zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ s a \land z = z s a \ by \ auto
   have last ((P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) = ((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs) ! length \ xs
      by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle
last-length)
    then have zsa = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ !)
length xs)) # ys
     using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map \rangle
(lift-catch P1) xs \otimes (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) by force
  then have (\Gamma, (P, Normal \, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land (fst(((P, Normal \, s) \# xs)!length))
xs)=Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
            (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, Normal s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                 zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Q, snd(((P, Normal \ s)\#xs))!length)
(xs)
     using lastnormal P1cptn P0cptn \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal
sa \wedge zs = zsa \land last
      by auto
   }note this [of P0 P1 s zs] thus ?thesis by blast qed
next
  case (CptnModEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ xs)
  then have step:(\Gamma, (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod by auto
  have step-e: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t) using CptnModEnv by auto
 show ?case
   proof (cases P)
```

```
case (Catch P1 P2)
     then have eq-P-Catch:(P, t) \# xs = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ P2, t) \#
xs by auto
     then obtain xsa\ t'\ t'' where
        p1:(\Gamma, (P1, t) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod \text{ and } p2:
    (xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa \lor
     fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
     snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
     t = Normal \ t^{\prime\prime} \wedge
     (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
           xs =
           map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
           (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \lor
           fst(((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
           (\exists ys.(\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)))\#ys)\in cptn-mod\ \land
           xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa @
           ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys)))
       using CptnModEnv(3) by auto
     have all-step:(\Gamma, (P1, s) \# ((P1, t) \# xsa)) \in cptn-mod
       by (metis p1 Env Env-n cptn-mod.CptnModEnv env-normal-s step-e)
     show ?thesis using p2
     proof
       assume xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa
       have (P, t) \# xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa)
         by (simp\ add: \langle xs = map\ (lift-catch\ P2)\ xsa\rangle\ lift-catch-def\ local.Catch)
       thus ?thesis using all-step eq-P-Catch by fastforce
     next
       assume
        fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
         snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
         t = Normal \ t^{\prime\prime} \wedge
         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              xs =
              map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
              (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \vee
              fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
          (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last ((P1, t) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
           xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
           ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys))
        then show ?thesis
        proof
          assume
           a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
           snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
           t = Normal \ t^{\prime\prime} \wedge
           (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
                     (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys)
           then obtain ys where p2-exec:(\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length)))
```

```
(xsa)) \# (ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
             xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P2) \ xsa \ @
                   (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys
          by fastforce
          from a1 obtain t1 where t-normal: t=Normal\ t1
            using env-normal-s'-normal-s by blast
              have f1:fst\ (((P1,\ s)\#(P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)) =
Language Con.com. Throw
            using a1 by fastforce
             from a1 have last-normal: snd (last ((P1, s)#(P1, t) # xsa)) =
Normal t'
          then have p2-long-exec: (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, s) \# (P1, t) \# ssa) ! length)))
((P1, s)\#xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
             (P, t)\#xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
                   (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xsa) ! length ((P1, s)\#xsa))) \#
ys using p2-exec
             by (simp add: lift-catch-def local.Catch)
           thus ?thesis using a1 f1 last-normal all-step eq-P-Catch
           by (clarify, metis (no-types) list.size(4) not-step-c-env step-e)
         next
         assume
          as1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last ((P1, t) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
          xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
          ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys))
            then obtain ys where p1:(\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)))\#ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                     (P, t)\#xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
                      ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys)
          proof -
             assume a1: \bigwedge ys. (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) #
(xsa)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land (P, t) \# xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
(LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) # xsa))) # ys \Longrightarrow thesis
           have (Language Con.com.Catch\ P1\ P2,\ t)\ \#\ map\ (lift-catch\ P2)\ xsa=
map (lift\text{-}catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa)
             by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
            thus ?thesis
             using a1 as1 eq-P-Catch by moura
         from as1 have p2: fst (((P1, s)#(P1, t) # xsa)! length ((P1, t) #xsa))
= LanguageCon.com.Skip
              by fastforce
          thus ?thesis using p1 all-step eq-P-Catch by fastforce
        qed
     qed
   qed (auto)
qed(force+)
```

```
definition seq-cond
where
seq-cond zs Q xs P s s'' s' \Gamma \equiv (zs = (map (lift Q) xs) \lor
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               zs=(map\ (lift\ (Q))\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length\ xs))\#ys))))
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
                (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                     zs = (map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw,Normal \ s') \# ys))))
lemma div-seq: assumes cptn-m:(\Gamma, list) \in cptn-mod
shows (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ list=(Seq \ P \ Q, \ s)\#zs \longrightarrow
      (\exists xs s' s''.
         (\Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
            seq\text{-}cond\ zs\ Q\ xs\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma))
unfolding seq-cond-def
using cptn-m
proof (induct rule: cptn-mod.induct)
  case (CptnModOne \ \Gamma \ P \ s)
  thus ?case using cptn-mod.CptnModOne by blast
next
  case (CptnModSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ xs)
  from CptnModSkip.hyps
 have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Skip, t) by auto
 from CptnModSkip.hyps
 have noskip: {}^{\sim}(P=Skip) using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
 have x: \forall c \ c1 \ c2. redex c = Seq \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow False
         using redex-not-Seq by blast
 {\bf from} \ \ CptnModSkip.hyps
 have in-cptn-mod: (\Gamma, (Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by auto
  then show ?case using CptnModSkip.hyps(2) SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq by
blast
next
  case (CptnModThrow \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ xs)
  from CptnModThrow.hyps
 have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Throw, t) by auto
 {f moreover\ from\ } {\it CptnModThrow.hyps}
 have in-cptn-mod: (\Gamma, (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by auto
 have no-seq: \forall p1 \ p2. \neg (P=Seq \ p1 \ p2) using CptnModThrow.hyps(2) redex-not-Seq
by auto
 ultimately show ?case by auto
 case (CptnModCondT \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
  thus ?case by auto
next
```

```
case (CptnModCondF \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
  thus ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnModSeq1 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  thus ?case by blast
  case (CptnModSeq2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 from CptnModSeq2.hyps(3) last-length have last:fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)
= Skip
       by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod by fact
 from CptnModSeq2.hyps(4) have P1cptn:(\Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length)))
(xs)) \# (ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod
      by (simp add: last-length)
  then have zs = map \ (\textit{lift P1}) \ \textit{xs} \ @ \ (\textit{P1}, \ \textit{snd} \ (\textit{last} \ ((\textit{P0}, \ \textit{s}) \ \# \ \textit{xs}))) \ \# \ \textit{ys} \ \text{by}
(simp\ add:CptnModSeg2.hyps)
  show ?case
  proof -{}
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ zs=(Seq\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa by auto
    have last ((P0, s) \# xs) = ((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs
            by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle last-length)
   then have zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys
        using \langle P0 = P \wedge P1 = Q \wedge s = sa \wedge zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map (lift P1) xs @
(P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
        by force
   then have (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. \ (\Gamma, (P, sa) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                       (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                        fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                             zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, snd \ (((P, sa) \# xs) ! \ length)
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                       ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                         snd(last\ ((P, sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land s=Normal\ s'' \land
                        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                              zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys)))))
       using P0cptn P1cptn \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle last
       by blast
   }
  thus ?case by auto qed
next
  case (CptnModSeq3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
  from CptnModSeq3.hyps(3)
  have last:fst (((P0, Normal s) \# xs) ! length xs) = Throw
       by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(\Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by fact
```

```
from CptnModSeq3.hyps(4)
 have lastnormal:snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs))=Normal\ s'
     by (simp add: last-length)
 then have zs = map (lift P1) xs @ ((Throw, Normal s') # ys) by (simp add: CptnModSeq3.hyps)
 show ?case
 proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Seq P0 P1, Normal s) \# zs = (Seq P Q, Normal sa) \# zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s=Normal \ sa \land zs=zsa by auto
   then have (P0, Normal \ s) = (P, Normal \ sa) by auto
   have last ((P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) = ((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs) ! length \ xs
                 by (simp add: P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal\ s = Normal\ sa \land zs
= zsa \mid last-length)
   then have zsa:zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw,Normal s') # ys)
                  using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle
\langle zs = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs \ @ \ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys) \rangle
   by force
  then have a1:(\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod using CptnModSeq3.hyps(5)
by blast
    have (P, Normal \ sa::('b, 'c) \ xstate) = (P0, Normal \ s)
   using \langle P\theta = P \wedge P1 = Q \wedge Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \wedge zs = zsa \rangle by auto
   then have (\exists xs \ s'. \ (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                      (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                       fst\ (((P,Normal\ sa)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = Skip\ \land
                           (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \#
ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                          zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ !
length xs)) # ys) \lor
                      ((fst((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs)! length \ xs) = Throw \land
                        snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                        zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys)))))
    using P0cptn zsa a1 last lastnormal
      by blast
  thus ?thesis by auto ged
next
  case (CptnModEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ zs)
  then have step:(\Gamma, (P, t) \# zs) \in cptn\text{-}mod by auto
  have step-e: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t) using CptnModEnv by auto
 show ?case
   proof (cases P)
     case (Seq P1 P2)
      then have eq-P:(P, t) \# zs = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 P2, t) \# zs by
auto
     then obtain xs t' t'' where
        p1:(\Gamma, (P1, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \text{ and } p2:
    (zs = map (lift P2) xs \lor
     fst (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
```

```
zs =
           map (lift P2) xs @
           (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \vee
     fst (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
     snd (last ((P1, t) \# xs)) = Normal t' \land
     t = Normal \ t'' \land (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ t') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
     map (lift P2) xs @
     ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ t')\#ys)))
       using CptnModEnv(3) by auto
     have all-step:(\Gamma, (P1, s) \# ((P1, t) \# xs)) \in cptn-mod
      \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{p1}\ \mathit{Env}\ \mathit{Env-n}\ \mathit{cptn-mod}.\mathit{CptnModEnv}\ \mathit{env-normal-s}\ \mathit{step-e})
     show ?thesis using p2
     proof
       assume zs = map (lift P2) xs
       have (P, t) \# zs = map (lift P2) ((P1, t) \# xs)
         by (simp \ add: \langle zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \rangle \ lift-def \ local.Seq)
       thus ?thesis using all-step eq-P by fastforce
     next
       assume
        fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
           zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ (P2, \ snd \ (((P1, \ t) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
         fst (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com. Throw \land
          snd (last ((P1, t) \# xs)) = Normal t' \land
          t = Normal \ t'' \land (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ t') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
          zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ t') \# ys))
        then show ?thesis
        proof
          assume
           a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              zs = map (lift P2) xs @ (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
              from a1 obtain ys where
                p2-exec:(\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod
\wedge
                      zs = map (lift P2) xs @
                     (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys
               by auto
                  have f1:fst\ (((P1,\ s)\#(P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ ((P1,\ t)\#xs)) =
Language Con.com. Skip
               using a1 by fastforce
              then have p2-long-exec:
               (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xs) ! length ((P1, t)\#xs))) \# ys)
\in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                 (P, t)\#zs = map (lift P2) ((P1, t) \# xs) @
                    (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xs) ! length ((P1, t)\#xs))) \# ys
            using p2-exec by (simp add: lift-def local.Seq)
```

 $(\exists ys. (\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land$

```
thus ?thesis using a1 f1 all-step eq-P by blast
         next
         assume
          a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
          snd\ (last\ ((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ t' \land t = Normal\ t'' \land
        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ t') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
           zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ t') \# ys))
           then have last-throw:
               fst\ (((P1,\ s)\#(P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ ((P1,\ t)\ \#xs)) = Language-
Con.com.Throw
         from a1 have last-normal: snd (last ((P1, s) \# (P1, t) \# xs)) = Normal
t'
            by fastforce
           have seq-lift:
            (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ P2,\ t)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ P2)\ xs = map\ (lift\ P2)
((P1, t) \# xs)
             by (simp add: a1 lift-def)
          thus ?thesis using a1 last-throw last-normal all-step eq-P
         by (clarify, metis (no-types, lifting) append-Cons env-normal-s'-normal-s
step-e
        qed
     qed
   qed (auto)
qed (force) +
{f lemma} cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod-aux:
assumes stepseq:\Gamma\vdash_c (P, s) \to (Q,t) and
       stepmod:(\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod
shows (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod
using stepseq\ stepmod
proof (induct arbitrary: xs)
 case (Basicc\ f\ s)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.Basicc)
next
 case (Specc \ s \ t \ r)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.Specc)
next
 case (SpecStuckc \ s \ r)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.SpecStuckc)
 case (Guardc \ s \ g \ f \ c)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModGuard)
next
 case (GuardFaultc)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.GuardFaultc)
next
```

```
case (Seqc c1 s c1' s' c2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c1, s) \to (c1', s') by (simp add: Seqc.hyps(1))
  then have nsc1: c1 \neq Skip using stepc\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(1) by blast
  have assum: (\Gamma, (Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs) \in cptn\text{-mod using } Seqc.prems\ by\ blast
  have divseq: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Seq \ c1' \ c2, \ s') \ \# \ xs = (Seq \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs \longrightarrow
                (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ ((\Gamma,(P,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                           (zs=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
                           ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
                            (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod
\land
                                   zs=(map\ (lift\ (Q))\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length)))
(xs))\#(ys)))) \vee
                           ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                              snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land \ s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
                             (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ sv') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                              zs = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal sv') # ys))
                 )) using div-seq [OF assum] unfolding seq-cond-def by auto
   \{ fix sa\ P\ Q\ zsa \}
       assume ass:(Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs=(Seq\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
       then have eqs:c1' = P \land c2 = Q \land s' = sa \land xs = zsa by auto
       then have (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ (\Gamma, (P, sa) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                       (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                        fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                             zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                       ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                          snd(last\ ((P,\ sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land \ s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
                          (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ sv') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                              zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal sv') # ys)))))
             using ass divseq by blast
    } note conc=this [of c1' c2 s' xs]
     then obtain xs' sa' sa"
       where split:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                     (xs = map (lift c2) xs' \lor
                     fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                          (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                          xs = map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs' \ @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length)
(xs')) # (ys) \vee
                     ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
                         snd(last\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sa') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                              xs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs')@((Throw, Normal \ sa') \# ys))
                         ))) by blast
  then have (xs = map (lift c2) xs' \lor
```

```
fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                        xs = map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs' \ @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length)
xs')) \# ys) \vee
                   ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
                       snd(last\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                       (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sa') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                            xs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs')@((Throw,Normal \ sa') \# ys))))) by
auto
 thus ?case
 proof {
      assume c1 'nonf:xs = map (lift c2) xs'
      then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod using split by blast
      then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
        using Seqc.hyps(2) by blast
      then have (Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\#xs = map\ (lift\ c2)\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')
           using c1'nonf
           by (simp add: CptnModSeq1 lift-def)
      thus ?thesis
           using c1'nonf c1'cptn induct-step by (auto simp add: CptnModSeq1)
   next
     assume fst (((c1', s') # xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
            (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                xs = map (lift c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) #
ys) \vee
            ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
               snd(last\ ((c1', s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s'=Normal\ sa'' \land
               (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sa') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                            xs = (map (lift c2) xs')@((Throw, Normal sa') # ys))))
     thus ?thesis
     proof
        assume assth:fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
            (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                xs = map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs' \ @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \#
ys)
        then obtain ys
               where split':(\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                xs = map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs' \ @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \#
ys
            by auto
        then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod using split by blast
        then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
        using Seqc.hyps(2) by blast
         then have segmap:(Seq\ c1\ c2,\ s)\#(Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\#xs = map\ (lift\ c2)
((c1,s)\#(c1', s')\#xs') \otimes (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys
       using split'
        by (simp add: CptnModSeq2 lift-def)
```

```
then have lastc1:last((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
        by (simp add: last-length)
      then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Skip
        using assth by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
         using segmap split' last-length cptn-mod.CptnModSeq2
              induct-step lastc1 lastc1skip
         by fastforce
   next
      assume assm:((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((c1', s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s'=Normal\ sa'' \land
             (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sa') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              xs = (map (lift c2) xs')@((Throw, Normal sa') # ys))))
      then have s'eqsa'': s'=Normal sa'' by auto
    then have snormal: \exists ns. s=Normal \ ns \ by \ (metis Seqc.hyps(1) \ step-Abrupt-prop
step-Fault-prop step-Stuck-prop xstate.exhaust)
        then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod using split by blast
      then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
      using Seqc.hyps(2) by blast
      then obtain ys where seqmap:(Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\#xs = (map\ (lift\ c2)\ ((c1',
s')#xs')@((Throw,Normal\ sa')#ys)
       using assm
      proof -
       assume a1: \bigwedge ys. (LanguageCon.com.Seq c1' c2, s') # xs = map (lift c2)
((c1', s') \# xs') \otimes (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal sa') \# ys \Longrightarrow thesis
        have (Language Con.com. Seq c1' c2, Normal sa'') \# map (lift c2) xs' =
map (lift c2) ((c1', s') \# xs')
          by (simp add: assm lift-def)
        thus ?thesis
          using a1 assm by moura
      then have lastc1:last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                by (simp add: last-length)
      then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Throw
           using assm by fastforce
      then have snd (last ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Normal sa'
           using assm by force
      thus ?thesis
          using assm c1'cptn induct-step lastc1skip snormal seqmap s'eqsa''
          by (auto simp add:cptn-mod.CptnModSeq3)
  qed
 }qed
next
 case (SeqSkipc\ c2\ s\ xs)
 have c2incptn:(\Gamma, (c2, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod by fact
```

```
then have 1:(\Gamma, [(Skip, s)]) \in cptn\text{-}mod by (simp\ add:\ cptn\text{-}mod\ CptnModOne)
  then have 2:fst(last([(Skip, s)])) = Skip by fastforce
  then have 3:(\Gamma,(c2,snd(last\ [(Skip,s)]))\#xs) \in cptn-mod
     using c2incptn by auto
  then have (c2,s)\#xs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ [])@(c2,\ snd(last\ [(Skip,\ s)]))\#xs
      by (auto simp add:lift-def)
  thus ?case using 1 2 3 by (simp add: CptnModSeq2)
next
  case (SeqThrowc\ c2\ s\ xs)
 have (\Gamma, [(Throw, Normal \, s)]) \in cptn-mod by (simp \, add: cptn-mod. CptnModOne)
  then obtain ys where ys-nil:ys=[] and last:(\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s)#ys)\in
cptn-mod
  by auto
  moreover have fst (last ((Throw, Normal s)#ys)) = Throw using ys-nil last
  moreover have snd (last ((Throw, Normal s)#ys)) = Normal s using ys-nil
last by auto
 moreover from ys-nil have (map (lift c2) ys) = [] by auto
  ultimately show ?case using SeqThrowc.prems cptn-mod.CptnModSeq3 by
fastforce
next
  case (CondTruec \ s \ b \ c1 \ c2)
  thus ?case by (simp\ add:\ cptn-mod.\ CptnModCondT)
next
  case (CondFalsec s b c1 c2)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModCondF)
next
case (While Truec s1 b c)
have sinb: s1 \in b by fact
have SeqcWhile: (\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# xs) \in cptn-mod\ by\ fact
have divseq: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), \ Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs = (Seq \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs
              (\exists xs \ s'. \ ((\Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                        (zs=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
                        ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
                         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod
                              zs=(map\ (lift\ (Q))\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length))
(xs))\#(ys)))) \vee
                       ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
                           snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                          (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              zs = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys)))))
               )) using div-seq [OF SeqcWhile] by (auto simp add: seq-cond-def)
\{fix sa\ P\ Q\ zsa
      assume ass: (Seq c (While b c), Normal s1) \# xs = (Seq P Q, sa) \# zsa
      then have eqs:c = P \land (While \ b \ c) = Q \land Normal \ s1 = sa \land xs = zsa \ by
auto
```

```
then have (\exists xs \ s'. \ (\Gamma, (P, sa) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                       (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                        fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod \land
                            zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                       ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                         snd(last\ ((P, sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                     zsa = (map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw,Normal \ s') #ys))
                       ))))
            using ass divseq by auto
   } note conc=this [of c While b c Normal s1 xs]
   then obtain xs's'
       where split:(\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs') \in cptn-mod \land
    (xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' \lor
     fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Skip \land
     (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
           \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
           xs =
           map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
           (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys) \vee
     fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Throw\ \land
     snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
     (\exists ys. (\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod \land
     xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @ ((Throw, Normal s') # ys))) by auto
 then have (xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' \lor
           fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Skip\ \land
           (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys)
                 \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
                 xs =
                 map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
                 (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys) \vee
           fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Throw \land
           snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
           (\exists ys. (\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod \land
         xs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ c)) \ xs' @ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys))) ...
 thus ?case
 proof{
   assume 1:xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs'
  have 3:(\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod \ using \ split \ by \ auto
  then show ?thesis using 1 cptn-mod.CptnModWhile1 sinb by fastforce
 next
   assume fst (((c, Normal\ s1) # xs')! length\ xs') = Skip \land
         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys)
               \in cptn-mod \land
               xs =
               map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
```

```
(While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys) \vee
        fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Throw\ \land
        snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod \land
        xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @ ((Throw, Normal s') #ys))
  thus ?case
  proof
    assume asm:fst (((c, Normal s1) # xs')! length xs') = Skip \land length xs'
           (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
           \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
           xs =
           map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs' @
           (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys)
    then obtain ys
      where asm':(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs'))) \ \# \ ys)
                \in cptn-mod
                \wedge xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
                    (While b c, snd (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs'))) \# ys
            by (auto simp add: last-length)
    moreover have 3:(\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod \ using \ split \ by \ auto
    moreover from asm have fst (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs')) = Skip
        by (simp add: last-length)
    ultimately show ?case using sinb by (auto simp add:CptnModWhile2)
  next
   assume asm: fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Throw \land
        snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
        (\exists ys. (\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod \land
        xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @ ((Throw, Normal s') #ys))
    moreover have 3:(\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod \ using \ split \ by \ auto
    moreover from asm have fst (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs')) = Throw
        by (simp add: last-length)
    ultimately show ?case using sinb by (auto simp add:CptnModWhile3)
  qed
}qed
\mathbf{next}
case (WhileFalsec s \ b \ c)
thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.WhileFalsec)
  case (Awaitc \ s \ b \ c \ t)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.Awaitc)
next
  case (AwaitAbruptc \ s \ b \ c \ t \ t')
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModThrow stepc.AwaitAbruptc)
next
  case (Callc\ p\ bdy\ s)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModCall)
  case (CallUndefinedc p s)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.CallUndefinedc)
```

```
next
  case (DynComc\ c\ s)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModDynCom)
  case (Catchc\ c1\ s\ c1'\ s'\ c2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c1, s) \to (c1', s') by (simp add: Catchc.hyps(1))
  then have nsc1: c1 \neq Skip using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
  have assum: (\Gamma, (Catch\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs) \in cptn-mod
  using Catche.prems by blast
  have divcatch: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Catch \ c1' \ c2, \ s') \ \# \ xs = (Catch \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs \longrightarrow
  (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. \ ((\Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
            (zs=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys))))
V
               ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                    zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Skip,snd(last \ ((P, \ s)\#xs)))\#ys))
                ))))
   )) using div-catch [OF assum] by (auto simp add: catch-cond-def)
   \{ fix sa\ P\ Q\ zsa \}
      assume ass:(Catch\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs=(Catch\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
      then have eqs:c1' = P \land c2 = Q \land s' = sa \land xs = zsa by auto
      then have (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ ((\Gamma,(P,sa)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
            (zsa=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
            ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((P, sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
             zsa = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Q, snd(((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys))))
V
               ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs)=Skip \land
             (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last((P, sa) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               zsa=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)@((Skip,snd(last\ ((P,\ sa)\#xs)))\#ys)))))
   ) using ass divcatch by blast
    } note conc=this [of c1' c2 s' xs]
    then obtain xs' sa' sa"
      where split:
        (\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod \land
         (xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' \lor
         fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
         snd\ (last\ ((c1',s')\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               xs = map (lift-catch c2) xs' @
               (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \lor
         fst\ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length\ xs') = Skip \land
         (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last ((c1', s') \# xs'))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
```

```
by blast
  then have (xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' \lor
          fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
          \mathit{snd}\ (\mathit{last}\ ((\mathit{c1'},\,\mathit{s'})\ \#\ \mathit{xs'})) = \mathit{Normal}\ \mathit{sa'} \land \mathit{s'} = \mathit{Normal}\ \mathit{sa''} \land
          (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd)(((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                xs = map (lift-catch c2) xs' @
                (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \lor
          fst\ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length\ xs') = Skip \land
         (\exists ys. \ (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last \ ((c1', \ s') \# xs'))) \# ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod \ \land
                xs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs')@((Skip,snd(last \ ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys)))
        by auto
  thus ?case
  proof{
       assume c1 'nonf:xs = map (lift-catch c2) xs'
       then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod using split by blast
       then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
         using Catche.hyps(2) by blast
       then have (Catch c1' c2, s')\#xs = map (lift-catch c2) ((c1', s')\#xs')
            using c1'nonf
            by (simp add: CptnModCatch1 lift-catch-def)
       thus ?thesis
           using c1'nonf c1'cptn induct-step by (auto simp add: CptnModCatch1)
    next
      assume fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                snd\ (last\ ((c1',\ s')\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ sa' \wedge s' = Normal\ sa'' \wedge
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs' @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length \ xs'))
\# ys) \vee
              fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
            (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys) \in cptn-mod \land
               xs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs')@((Skip,snd(last \ ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys))
      thus ?thesis
      proof
        assume assth:
              fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                snd\ (last\ ((c1', s') \# xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
              (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
              xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs' @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length \ xs'))
\# ys
             then have s'eqsa'': s'=Normal sa'' by auto
                then have snormal: \exists ns. \ s=Normal \ ns \ by \ (metis \ Catchc.hyps(1)
step-Abrupt-prop\ step-Fault-prop\ step-Stuck-prop\ xstate.exhaust)
             then obtain ys
                where split': (\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn\text{-}mod \ \land
              xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs' @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length \ xs'))
```

 $xs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs')@((Skip,snd(last \ ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys)))$

```
\# ys
                            using assth by auto
                then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod
                        using split by blast
                then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
                        using Catchc.hyps(2) by blast
            then have seqmap: (Catch\ c1\ c2,\ s) \# (Catch\ c1'\ c2,\ s') \# xs = map\ (lift-catch\ c1'\ c2
c2) ((c1,s)\#(c1', s')\#xs') @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys
                         using split' by (simp add: CptnModCatch3 lift-catch-def)
            then have lastc1:last ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                       by (simp add: last-length)
              then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Throw
                       using assth by fastforce
              then have \mathit{snd}\ (\mathit{last}\ ((\mathit{c1}\,,\,\mathit{s})\ \#\ (\mathit{c1}',\,\mathit{s}')\ \#\ \mathit{xs}')) = \mathit{Normal}\ \mathit{sa}'
                       using assth by force
          thus ?thesis using snormal segmap s'egsa'' split' last-length cptn-mod.CptnModCatch3
induct-step lastc1 lastc1skip
                       by fastforce
       next
              assume assm: fst (((c1', s') # xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                                             (\exists ys. (\Gamma, (Skip, snd(last ((c1', s') \# xs'))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod \land
                                xs = (map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs')@((Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys))
              then have c1'cptn:(\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod using split by blast
              then have induct-step: (\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod
              using Catchc.hyps(2) by blast
             then have map (lift-catch c2) ((c1', s') \# xs') = (Catch c1' c2, s') \# map
(lift-catch c2) xs'
                 by (auto simp add: lift-catch-def)
              then obtain ys
                            where segmap: (Catch c1' c2, s')\#xs = (map (lift-catch c2) ((c1',
(s')\#xs')@((Skip,snd(last\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')))\#ys)
              using assm by fastforce
            then have lastc1:last((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                                 by (simp add: last-length)
              then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Skip
                       using assm by fastforce
               then have snd (last ((c1, s) # (c1', s') # xs')) = snd (last ((c1', s') #
xs'))
                       using assm by force
              thus ?thesis
                              using assm c1'cptn induct-step lastc1skip seqmap by (auto simp
add:cptn-mod.CptnModCatch2)
       qed
    }qed
next
    case (CatchThrowc\ c2\ s)
```

```
have c2incptn:(\Gamma, (c2, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod by fact
 then have 1:(\Gamma, [(Throw, Normal \, s)]) \in cptn-mod by (simp \, add: \, cptn-mod. \, CptnModOne)
 then have 2:fst(last([(Throw, Normal s)])) = Throw by fastforce
 then have 3:(\Gamma,(c2,snd(last\ [(Throw,Normal\ s)]))\#xs) \in cptn-mod
     using c2incptn by auto
 then have (c2,Normal\ s)\#xs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ ||)@(c2,snd(last\ |(Throw,Normal\ s))
s)]))#xs
     by (auto simp add:lift-def)
 thus ?case using 1 2 3 by (simp add: CptnModCatch3)
next
 case (CatchSkipc\ c2\ s)
 have (\Gamma, [(Skip, s)]) \in cptn-mod by (simp\ add:\ cptn-mod.\ CptnModOne)
 then obtain ys where ys-nil:ys=[] and last:(\Gamma, (Skip, s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod
   by auto
 moreover have fst\ (last\ ((Skip,\ s)\#ys)) = Skip\ using\ ys-nil\ last\ by\ auto
 moreover have snd (last ((Skip, s)\#ys)) = s using ys-nil last by auto
 moreover from ys-nil have (map (lift-catch c2) ys) = [] by auto
 ultimately show ?case using CatchSkipc.prems by simp (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModCatch2
ys-nil)
next
 case (FaultPropc\ c\ f)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.FaultPropc)
 case (AbruptPropc \ c \ f)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.AbruptPropc)
next
 case (StuckPropc\ c)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod.CptnModSkip stepc.StuckPropc)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod:
assumes cptn-asm:(\Gamma, c) \in cptn
shows (\Gamma, c) \in cptn\text{-}mod
using cptn-asm
proof (induct)
case CptnOne thus ?case by (rule CptnModOne)
next
case (CptnEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ t \ xs \ s) thus ?case by (simp \ add: \ cptn-mod.CptnModEnv)
next
case CptnComp thus ?case
by (simp add: cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod-aux)
qed
lemma lift-is-cptn:
assumes cptn-asm:(\Gamma,c) \in cptn
shows (\Gamma, map \ (lift \ P) \ c) \in cptn
using cptn-asm
proof (induct)
case CptnOne thus ?case using cptn.simps by fastforce
```

```
next
 case (CptnEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ xs) thus ?case
     by (cases rule:step-e.cases,
         (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv step-e.Env lift-def),
         (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv step-e.Env-n lift-def))
next
  case CptnComp thus ?case by (simp add: Seqc cptn.CptnComp lift-def)
qed
lemma lift-catch-is-cptn:
assumes cptn-asm:(\Gamma, c) \in cptn
shows (\Gamma, map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P) \ c) \in cptn
using cptn-asm
proof (induct)
 case CptnOne thus ?case using cptn.simps by fastforce
 case CptnEnv thus ?case by (cases rule:step-e.cases,
         (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv step-e.Env lift-catch-def),
         (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv step-e.Env-n lift-catch-def))
 case CptnComp thus ?case by (simp add: Catchc cptn.CptnComp lift-catch-def)
qed
lemma last-lift: [xs \neq []; fst(xs!(length xs - (Suc \theta))) = Q]
\implies fst((map\ (lift\ P)\ xs)!(length\ (map\ (lift\ P)\ xs)-\ (Suc\ 0)))=Seq\ Q\ P
 by (cases\ (xs\ !\ (length\ xs\ -\ (Suc\ \theta))))\ (simp\ add: lift-def)
lemma last-lift-catch: [xs \neq []; fst(xs!(length xs - (Suc \theta))) = Q]
\implies fst((map (lift-catch P) xs)!(length (map (lift-catch P) xs)- (Suc 0)))=Catch
QP
 by (cases\ (xs\ !\ (length\ xs\ -\ (Suc\ 0))))\ (simp\ add: lift-catch-def)
lemma last-fst [rule-format]: P((a\#x)!length \ x) \longrightarrow \neg P \ a \longrightarrow P \ (x!(length \ x - a))
(Suc \ \theta)))
 by (induct \ x) \ simp-all
lemma last-fst-esp:
fst(((P,s)\#xs)!(length\ xs)) = Skip \Longrightarrow P \neq Skip \Longrightarrow fst(xs!(length\ xs - (Suc\ \theta))) = Skip
apply(erule\ last-fst)
apply simp
done
lemma last-snd: xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow
 snd(((map\ (lift\ P)\ xs))!(length\ (map\ (lift\ P)\ xs)\ -\ (Suc\ \theta))) = snd(xs!(length\ xs))
-(Suc \theta))
 by (cases\ (xs\ !\ (length\ xs\ -\ (Suc\ \theta))))\ (simp-all\ add: lift-def)
```

```
lemma last-snd-catch: xs \neq [] \implies
 snd(((map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P)\ xs))!(length\ (map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P)\ xs) - (Suc\ \theta))) = snd(xs!(length\ (map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P)\ xs))) = snd(xs!(length\ (map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P)\ xs)))))
xs - (Suc \ \theta))
 by (cases\ (xs\ !\ (length\ xs\ -\ (Suc\ \theta))))\ (simp-all\ add: lift-catch-def)
lemma Cons-lift: ((Seq\ P\ Q),\ s)\ \#\ (map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs) = map\ (lift\ Q)\ ((P,\ s)\ \#
xs)
 by (simp add:lift-def)
thm last-map eq-snd-iff list.inject list.simps(9) last-length
lemma Cons-lift-catch: ((Catch\ P\ Q),\ s) \# (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs) = map\ (lift-catch\ Q)
Q) ((P, s) \# xs)
 by (simp add:lift-catch-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Cons-lift-append}\colon
  ((Seq\ P\ Q),\ s)\ \#\ (map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ @\ ys = map\ (lift\ Q)\ ((P,\ s)\ \#\ xs)@\ ys
  by (simp add:lift-def)
lemma Cons-lift-catch-append:
 ((Catch\ P\ Q),\ s)\ \#\ (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ @\ ys = map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ ((P,\ s)\ \#
xs)@ys
 by (simp add:lift-catch-def)
lemma lift-nth: i < length \ xs \implies map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ ! \ i = lift \ Q \ (xs! \ i)
  by (simp add:lift-def)
lemma lift-catch-nth: i < length \ xs \implies map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs \ ! \ i = lift-catch \ Q \ (xs!)
 by (simp add:lift-catch-def)
thm\ list.simps(9)\ last-length\ lift-catch-def\ Cons-lift-catch
lemma snd-lift: i < length xs \implies snd(lift Q (xs ! i)) = snd (xs ! i)
  by (cases xs!i) (simp add:lift-def)
lemma snd-lift-catch: i < length \ xs \implies snd(lift-catch Q \ (xs \ ! \ i)) = snd \ (xs \ ! \ i)
  by (cases xs!i) (simp add:lift-catch-def)
lemma Normal-Normal:
assumes p1:(\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# a \# as) \in cptn and
       p2:(\exists sb. snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# a \# as)) = Normal sb)
shows \exists sa. snd \ a = Normal \ sa
proof -
   obtain la1\ la2 where last-prod:last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#\ a\#as)=(la1,la2) by
fastforce
  obtain a1 a2 where a-prod:a=(a1,a2) by fastforce
  from p1 have clos-p-a:\Gamma \vdash_c (P,Normal\ s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (a1,\ a2) using a-prod cptn-elim-cases(2)
   proof -
     have f1: (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# (a1, a2) \# as) \in cptn
       using a-prod p1 by fastforce
     have last [(a1, a2)] = (a1, a2)
       by auto
```

```
thus ?thesis
       using f1 by (metis (no-types) cptn-dest1 cptn-stepconf-rtrancl last-ConsR
not-Cons-self2)
   qed
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (fst \ a, \ snd \ a) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (la1, la2)
  proof -
    from p1 have (\Gamma,(a \# as)) \in cptn using a-prod cptn-dest by blast
   thus ?thesis by (metis\ cptn-stepconf-rtrancl\ last-ConsR\ last-prod\ list.distinct(1)
prod.collapse)
  qed
  then obtain bb where Normal bb = la2 using last-prod p2 by auto
  thus ?thesis by (metis (no-types) \langle \Gamma \vdash_c (fst \ a, snd \ a) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (la1, la2) \rangle steps-ce-not-Normal)
qed
lemma lift-P1:
assumes map-cptn:(\Gamma, map (lift Q) ((P, s) \# xs)) \in cptn and
        P-ends:fst (last ((P, s) \# xs)) = Skip
shows (\Gamma, map (lift Q) ((P, s) \# xs) @ [(Q, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs)))]) \in cptn
using map-cptn P-ends
proof (induct xs arbitrary: P s)
 case Nil
 have P0-skips: P=Skip using Nil.prems(2) by auto
 have (\Gamma, [(Seq\ Skip\ Q,\ s),\ (Q,\ s)]) \in cptn
   by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp SeqSkipc cptn.CptnOne)
  then show ?case using P0-skips by (simp add: lift-def)
next
  case (Cons a xs)
 have (\Gamma, map (lift Q) ((P, s) \# a \# xs)) \in cptn
   using Cons.prems(1) by blast
 have fst (last (a \# xs)) = Skip using Cons.prems(2) by auto
 also have seq-PQ:(\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, s) \# (map\ (lift\ Q)\ (a\#xs))) \in cptn
   by (metis\ Cons.prems(1)\ Cons-lift)
  then have (\Gamma, (map \ (lift \ Q) \ (a\#xs))) \in cptn
   proof -
     assume a1:(\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ Q)\ (a\ \#\ xs))\in cptn
    then obtain a1 a2 xs1 where a2: map (lift Q) (a\#xs) = ((a1,a2)\#xs1) by
fastforce
     thus ?thesis using cptn-dest using seq-PQ by auto
   qed
  then have (\Gamma, map (lift Q) (a\#xs) @ [(Q, snd (last ((a\#xs))))]) \in cptn
  by (metis Cons.hyps(1) calculation prod.collapse)
 then have t1:(\Gamma, (Seq (fst \ a) \ Q, (snd \ a)) \# map (lift \ Q) \ xs @ [(Q, snd (last ((P, P, P)) ) ] )
s)\#(a\#xs))))))) \in cptn
  by (simp add: Cons-lift-append)
  then have (\Gamma, (Seq \ P \ Q, s) \# (Seq \ (fst \ a) \ Q, \ (snd \ a)) \# map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs) \in cptn
  using seq-PQ by (simp add: Cons-lift)
  then have t2: (\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, s) \# [(Seq\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))]) \in cptn
  using cptn-dest1 by blast
```

```
then have ((Seq\ P\ Q,s)\ \#\ [(Seq\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))])!length\ [(Seq\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))])!
a))] = (Seq (fst a) Q, (snd a))
  by auto
 then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, s)\ \#\ [(Seq\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))]@map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs\ @\ [(Q,\ (snd\ a))]
snd\ (last\ ((P,\ s)\#(a\#xs)))))) \in cptn
  using cptn-append-is-cptn t1 t2 by blast
  then have (\Gamma, map (lift Q) ((P,s)\#(fst a, snd a)\#xs) @[(Q, snd (last ((P, snd a)\#xs))])
s)\#(a\#xs))))))\in cptn
  using Cons-lift-append append-Cons append-Nil by metis
 thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma lift-catch-P1:
assumes map-cptn:(\Gamma, map (lift-catch Q) ((P, Normal s) \# xs)) \in cptn and
       P-ends:fst (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs)) = Throw and
       P-ends-normal:\exists p. snd(last ((P, Normal s) \# xs)) = Normal p
s) \# xs))))) \in cptn
using map-cptn P-ends P-ends-normal
proof (induct xs arbitrary: P s)
 case Nil
 have P0-skips: P = Throw  using Nil.prems(2) by auto
 have (\Gamma, [(Catch\ Throw\ Q,\ Normal\ s),\ (Q,\ Normal\ s)]) \in cptn
   by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp CatchThrowc cptn.CptnOne)
 then show ?case using P0-skips by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
next
 case (Cons a xs)
 have s1:(\Gamma, map (lift-catch Q) ((P, Normal s) \# a \# xs)) \in cptn
   using Cons.prems(1) by blast
 have s2:fst\ (last\ (a\# xs))=Throw\ using\ Cons.prems(2) by auto
 then obtain p where s3:snd(last\ (a \# xs)) = Normal\ p\ using\ Cons.prems(3)
by auto
 also have seq-PQ:(\Gamma,(Catch\ P\ Q,Normal\ s)\ \#\ (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ (a\#xs)))\in
cptn
   by (metis Cons.prems(1) Cons-lift-catch) thm Cons.hyps
 then have axs-in-cptn:(\Gamma,(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ (a\#xs)))\in cptn
     assume a1:(\Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# map (lift-catch \ Q) (a \# xs)) \in
cptn
   then obtain a1 a2 xs1 where a2: map (lift-catch Q) (a\#xs) = ((a1,a2)\#xs1)
by fastforce
     thus ?thesis using cptn-dest using seq-PQ by auto
   qed
 then have (\Gamma, map (lift\text{-}catch Q) (a\#xs) @ [(Q, snd (last ((a\#xs))))]) \in cptn
   proof (cases xs = [])
   case True thus ?thesis using s2 s3 axs-in-cptn by (metis Cons.hyps eq-snd-iff
last-ConsL)
   next
```

 ${f case}$ False

from seq-PQ have seq: $(\Gamma, (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# (Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q, snd\ a)\#map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs) \in cptn$

by (simp add: Cons-lift-catch)

obtain cf sf where last-map-axs:(cf,sf)=last $(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ (a\#xs))$ using prod.collapse by blast

have $\forall p \ ps. \ (ps=[] \land last \ [p] = p) \lor (ps\ne[] \land last \ (p\#ps) = last \ ps)$ by simp

then have $tranclos: \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q, snd\ a)$ using Cons-lift-catch

by (metis (no-types) cptn-dest1 cptn-stepc-rtrancl not-Cons-self2 seq) have tranclos-a: $\Gamma\vdash_c$ (Catch (fst a) Q,snd a) \rightarrow_{ce}^* (cf,sf)

 $\mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Cons-lift-catch axs-in-cptn cptn-stepc-rtrancl last-map-axs} \\ \textit{prod.collapse})$

have snd-last:snd (last (map (lift-catch Q) (a#xs))) = snd (last (a #xs)) proof –

have $eqslist:snd(((map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ (a\#xs)))!(length\ (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)))=snd((a\#xs)!(length\ xs))$

using last-snd-catch by fastforce

have (lift-catch Q a)#(map (lift-catch Q) xs) = (map (lift-catch Q) (a#xs)) by auto

then have $(map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ (a\#xs))!(length \ (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)) = last \ (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ (a\#xs))$

using last-length [of (lift-catch Q a) (map (lift-catch Q) xs)] by auto thus ?thesis using eqslist by (simp add:last-length)

qed

then obtain p1 where $(snd \ a) = Normal \ p1$

by (metis tranclos-a last-map-axs s3 snd-conv step-ce-normal-to-normal tranclos)

moreover obtain a1 a2 where aeq:a = (a1,a2) by fastforce

moreover have fst (last ((a1,a2) # xs)) = Throw using <math>s2 False by auto moreover have $(\Gamma, map (lift-catch Q) ((a1,a2) \# xs)) \in cptn using aeq axs-in-cptn False by <math>auto$

moreover have $\exists p. \ snd \ (last \ ((a1,a2) \ \# \ xs)) = Normal \ p \ using \ s3 \ aeq \ by \ auto$

moreover have $a2 = Normal\ p1$ using $aeq\ calculation(1)$ by auto ultimately have $(\Gamma, map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ ((a1,a2)\ \#\ xs)\ @ [(Q,\ snd\ (last\ ((a1,a2)\ \#\ xs)))]) \in cptn$

using Cons.hyps aeq by blast

thus ?thesis using aeq by force

qed

then have $t1:(\Gamma, (Catch (fst \ a) \ Q, (snd \ a))\#map (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs @ [(Q, snd (last ((P, Normal \ s)\#(a\#xs)))]) \in cptn$

by (simp add: Cons-lift-catch-append)

then have $(\Gamma, (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# (Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q, (snd\ a)) \# map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs) \in cptn$

using seq-PQ by (simp add: Cons-lift-catch)

then have $t2: (\Gamma, (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# [(Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))]) \in cptn$ using cptn-dest1 by blast

```
then have ((Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# [(Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q, (snd\ a))])! length [(Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q, (snd\ a))]]
(fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))] = (Catch\ (fst\ a)\ Q,\ (snd\ a))
       by auto
       then have (\Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# [(Catch \ (fst \ a) \ Q, \ (snd \ a))]@map
(lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs\ @\ [(Q,\ snd\ (last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#(a\#xs))))])\in\ cptn
       using cptn-append-is-cptn t1 t2 by blast
     then have (\Gamma, map (lift-catch Q) ((P,Normal s)\#(fst a, snd a)\#xs) @[(Q, snd
(last\ ((P,Normal\ s)\#(a\#xs)))))\in cptn
       using Cons-lift-catch-append append-Cons append-Nil by metis
     thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma seq2:
assumes
         p1:(\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod and
         p2:(\Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# xs) \in cptn and
         p3:fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Skip\ and
         p4:(\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod and
         p5:(\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn and
         p6:zs = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys
shows (\Gamma, (Seq P0 P1, s) \# zs) \in cptn
using p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6
proof -
have last-skip:fst (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Skip using p3 by blast
    have (\Gamma, (map (lift P1) ((P0, s) \# xs))@(P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
\in cptn
     proof -
         have (\Gamma, map \ (lift \ P1) \ ((P0, s) \ \#xs)) \in cptn
               using p2 lift-is-cptn by blast
         then have (\Gamma, map \ (lift \ P1) \ ((P0, s) \ \#xs)@[(P1, snd \ (last \ ((P0, s) \ \#xs)))])
\in cptn
               using last-skip lift-P1 by blast
          then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ P1)\ xs@[(P1,\ snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#
(xs)))))) \in cptn
                     by (simp add: Cons-lift-append)
            moreover have last ((Seq P0 P1, s) # map (lift P1) xs @[(P1, snd (last
((P0, s) \# xs)))) = (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)))
              by auto
            moreover have last ((Seq P0 P1, s) \# map (lift P1) xs @[(P1, snd (last
((P0, s) \# xs))))) =
                                                  ((Seq P0 P1, s) \# map (lift P1) xs @[(P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1) ps ((P1, snd (last ((P0, s) ((P1, snd (last ((P0, s) ((P1, snd ((P0, snd ((
(xs))]!length (map (lift P1) xs @[(P1, snd (last ((P0, s) # xs)))])
               by (metis last-length)
        ultimately have (\Gamma, (Seq P0 P1, s) \# map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, snd ((P), snd ((P0, snd ((P), snd (
(s) \# (xs))) \# (ys) \in cptn
               using cptn-append-is-cptn p5 by fastforce
         thus ?thesis by (simp add: Cons-lift-append)
     ged
     thus ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: Cons-lift-append p6)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma seq3:
assumes
   p1:(\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod \ and
   p2:(\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn \ \mathbf{and}
   p3:fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)) =\ Throw\ {\bf and}
   p4:snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s' and
   p5:(\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys)\in cptn-mod\ \mathbf{and}
   p6:(\Gamma, (Throw, Normal\ s') \# ys) \in cptn\ \mathbf{and}
   p7:zs = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs \ @((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys)
shows (\Gamma, (Seq P0 P1, Normal s) \# zs) \in cptn
using p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 p6 p7
proof (induct xs arbitrary: zs P0 s)
 case Nil thus ?case using SeqThrowc cptn.simps by fastforce
 case (Cons a as)
 then obtain sa where snd \ a = Normal \ sa by (meson \ Normal-Normal)
 obtain a1 a2 where a-prod:a=(a1,a2) by fastforce
 obtain la1\ la2 where last-prod:last\ (a\#as)=(la1,la2) by fastforce
 then have lasst-aas-last: last (a\#as) = (last ((P0, Normal s) \# a \# as)) by
 then have la1 = Throw using Cons.prems(3) last-prod by force
 have la2 = Normal \ s' using Cons.prems(4) last-prod lasst-aas-last by force
 have f1: (\Gamma, (a1, a2) \# as) \in cptn
   using Cons.prems(2) a-prod cptn-dest by blast
 have f2: Normal sa = a2
   using \langle snd \ a = Normal \ sa \rangle a-prod by force
 have (\Gamma, a \# as) \in cptn\text{-}mod
   using f1 a-prod cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod by blast
 then have hyp:(\Gamma, (Seq \ a1 \ P1, Normal \ sa) \#
          map\ (lift\ P1)\ as\ @\ ((Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys)) \in cptn
    using Cons.hyps Cons.prems(3) Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(6)
a-prod f1 f2 by fastforce
 thus ?case
 proof -
   have (Seg a1 P1, a2) # map (lift P1) as @((Throw, Normal \ s') # ys) = zs
     by (simp add: Cons.prems(7) Cons-lift-append a-prod)
   thus ?thesis
       by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cons.prems(2) Seqc a-prod cptn.CptnComp
cptn.CptnEnv Env cptn-elim-cases(2) f2 hyp)
qed
lemma cptn-if-cptn-mod:
assumes cptn-mod-asm:(\Gamma,c) \in cptn-mod
shows (\Gamma, c) \in cptn
using cptn-mod-asm
```

```
proof (induct)
 case (CptnModOne) thus ?case using cptn.CptnOne by blast
next
  case CptnModSkip thus ?case by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp)
next
  case CptnModThrow thus ?case by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp)
next
  case CptnModCondT thus ?case by (simp add: CondTruec cptn.CptnComp)
next
  case CptnModCondF thus ?case by (simp add: CondFalsec cptn.CptnComp)
next
 case (CptnModSeq1 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
 have (\Gamma, map (lift P1) ((P0, s) \# xs)) \in cptn
   using CptnModSeq1.hyps(2) lift-is-cptn by blast
 thus ?case by (simp add: Cons-lift CptnModSeq1.hyps(3))
 case (CptnModSeq2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 thus ?case by (simp add:seq2)
  case (CptnModSeq3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case by (simp add: seq3)
next
 case (CptnModWhile1 \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ zs) thus ?case by (metis \ Cons-lift \ WhileTruec
cptn.CptnComp lift-is-cptn)
next
  case (CptnModWhile2 \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ zs \ ys)
  then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P), Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn
   by (simp add:seq2)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s)
   by (simp\ add:\ CptnMod\ While\ 2.hyps(4)\ While\ Truec)
  thus ?case
  by (simp\ add: (\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P), Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn \ cptn.CptnComp)
next
 case (CptnModWhile3 \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ s' \ ys \ zs)
 then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P),\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs) \in cptn
    by (simp \ add: seq3)
 then have \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s) by (simp)
add: CptnModWhile3.hyps(4) WhileTruec)
  thus ?case by (simp \ add: \langle (\Gamma, \ (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), \ Normal \ s) \# zs) \in cptn \rangle
cptn.CptnComp)
next
 case (CptnModCall \ \Gamma \ bdy \ s \ ys \ p) thus ?case by (simp \ add: Callc \ cptn.CptnComp)
next
 case (CptnModDynCom \Gamma c s ys) thus ?case by (simp add: DynComc cptn.CptnComp)
 case (CptnModGuard \Gamma c s ys q f) thus ?case by (simp add: Guardc cptn.CptnComp)
next
```

```
case (CptnModCatch1 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  have (\Gamma, map (lift\text{-}catch P1) ((P0, s) \# xs)) \in cptn
   using CptnModCatch1.hyps(2) lift-catch-is-cptn by blast
  thus ?case by (simp add: Cons-lift-catch CptnModCatch1.hyps(3))
  case (CptnModCatch2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
  thus ?case
 proof (induct xs arbitrary: zs P0 s)
   case Nil thus ?case using CatchSkipc cptn.simps by fastforce
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Cons a as)
   then obtain sa where snd \ a = sa by auto
   then obtain a1 a2 where a-prod:a=(a1,a2) and sa-a2: a2 =sa
         by fastforce
   obtain la1 la2 where last-prod:last (a\#as) = (la1, la2) by fastforce
   then have lasst-aas-last: last (a\#as) = (last ((P0, s) \# a \# as)) by auto
   then have la1 = Skip  using Cons.prems(3)  last-prod by force
   have f1: (\Gamma, (a1, a2) \# as) \in cptn
     using Cons.prems(2) a-prod cptn-dest by blast
   have (\Gamma, a \# as) \in cptn\text{-}mod
     using f1 a-prod cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod by blast
   then have hyp:(\Gamma, (Catch\ a1\ P1,\ a2)\ \#
            map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P1)\ as\ @\ ((Skip,\ la2)\#ys)) \in cptn
        using Cons.hyps Cons.prems a-prod f1 last-prod by fastforce
   thus ?case
   proof -
    have f1:(Catch\ a1\ P1,\ a2)\ \#\ map\ (lift-catch\ P1)\ as\ @\ ((Skip,\ la2)\#ys)=zs
      using Cons.prems(4) Cons-lift-catch-append a-prod last-prod by (simp add:
Cons.prems(6)
     have (\Gamma, map (lift\text{-}catch P1) ((P0, s) \# a \# as)) \in cptn
      using Cons.prems(2) lift-catch-is-cptn by blast
     hence (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1, s) \# (LanguageCon.com.Catch
a1 P1, a2) \# map (lift-catch P1) as) \in cptn
      by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-catch a-prod)
   hence (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ Po\ P1, s) \# zs) \in cptn \lor (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ Po\ P1, s) \# zs)
P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ a1\ P1,\ a2)\ \#\ map\ (lift-catch\ P1)\ as)\in
cptn \land (\neg \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Catch \ P0 \ P1, \ s) \rightarrow_e (LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0\ P1,\ a2) \lor (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ a2) \# map\ (lift-catch\ P1)\ as)
\notin cptn \vee LanguageCon.com.Catch\ a1\ P1 \neq LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1)
       using f1 cptn.CptnEnv hyp by blast
     thus ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) f1 cptn.CptnComp cptn-elim-cases(2) hyp)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (CptnModCatch3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  thus ?case
 proof (induct xs arbitrary: zs P0 s)
   case Nil thus ?case using CatchThrowc cptn.simps by fastforce
```

```
next
   case (Cons a as)
   then obtain sa where snd \ a = Normal \ sa by (meson \ Normal-Normal)
   obtain a1 a2 where a-prod:a=(a1,a2) by fastforce
   obtain la1 la2 where last-prod:last (a\#as) = (la1, la2) by fastforce
   then have lasst-aas-last: last (a\#as) = (last ((P0, Normal s) \# a \# as)) by
auto
   then have la1 = Throw using Cons.prems(3) last-prod by force
   have la2 = Normal \ s' \ using \ Cons.prems(4) \ last-prod \ lasst-aas-last \ by \ force
   have f1: (\Gamma, (a1, a2) \# as) \in cptn
     using Cons.prems(2) a-prod cptn-dest by blast
   have f2: Normal sa = a2
     using \langle snd \ a = Normal \ sa \rangle \ a\text{-prod by force}
   have (\Gamma, a \# as) \in cptn\text{-}mod
     using f1 a-prod cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod by blast
   then have hyp:(\Gamma, (Catch\ a1\ P1, Normal\ sa)\ \#
             map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P1)\ as\ @\ (P1,\ snd\ (last\ ((a1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ as)))\ \#
ys) \in cptn
         using Cons.hyps Cons.prems a-prod f1 f2 by auto
   thus ?case
   proof -
     have \Gamma \vdash_c (P0, Normal \ s) \rightarrow_e (P0, \ a2)
       by (fastforce intro: step-e.intros)
     then have transit:\Gamma \vdash_c (P0,Normal\ s) \rightarrow_{ce} (a1,Normal\ sa)
            by (metis (no-types) Cons.prems(2) a-prod c-step cptn-elim-cases(2)
e-step f2)
   then have transit-catch: \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch\ P0\ P1\ ,Normal\ s) \rightarrow_{ce} (Catch\ a1\ P1\ ,Normal\ s)
sa)
             by (metis (no-types) Catche e-step env-c-e' step-ce-elim-cases
step-e.intros(1)
     have (Catch a1 P1, a2) \# map (lift-catch P1) as @ (P1, la2) \# ys = zs
       using Cons.prems Cons-lift-catch-append a-prod last-prod by auto
     have a=(a1, Normal \ sa) using a-prod f2 by auto
     have snd (last ((a1, Normal sa) # as)) = Normal s'
         using \langle a = (a1, Normal \ sa) \rangle \langle snd \ (last \ ((P0, Normal \ s) \# \ a \# \ as)) =
Normal s' lasst-aas-last by fastforce
     hence f1: snd (last ((a1, Normal sa) \# as)) = la2
         using \langle la2 = Normal \ s' \rangle by blast
   have \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1\ ,\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow_{ce} (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1\ ,\ Normal\ s)
a1 \ P1, \ a2)
         using f2 transit-catch by blast
     thus ?thesis
      using f1 (LanguageCon.com.Catch a1 P1, a2) # map (lift-catch P1) as @
(P1, la2) \# ys = zs
         cptn.CptnComp\ cptn.CptnEnv\ f2\ hyp\ not-eq-not-env\ step-ce-not-step-e-step-c
       by metis
   qed
 qed
```

```
next
  case (CptnModEnv) thus ?case by (simp add: cptn.CptnEnv)
qed
lemma cptn-eq-cptn-mod:
shows (x \in cptn-mod) = (x \in cptn)
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ x,\ \mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{cptn-if-cptn-mod}\ \mathit{cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod})
lemma cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set:
shows cptn-mod = cptn
by (auto simp add: cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-onlyif-cptn-mod)
8.8
         Computational modular semantic for nested calls
inductive-set cptn-mod-nest-call :: (nat \times ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ confs) \ set
  CptnModNestOne: (n,\Gamma, [(P, s)]) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
|CptnModNestEnv: [\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow_e (P,t); (n,\Gamma,(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call]|
                      (n,\Gamma,(P, s)\#(P, t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
|CptnModNestSkip: [\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow (Skip,t); redex P = P;
                     \forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Skip \longrightarrow P \neq Call
f);
                 (n,\Gamma,(Skip,\ t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \ ] \Longrightarrow
                 (n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Skip, t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
| CptnModNestThrow: \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow (Throw,t); redex P = P;
                        \forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Throw \longrightarrow P \neq
Call f);
                       (n,\Gamma,(Throw,\ t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                       (n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Throw, t)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestCondT: [(n,\Gamma,(P0, Normal s)\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call; s \in b]
                           (n,\Gamma,((Cond\ b\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#(P0,\ Normal\ s)\#ys) \in
cptn{-}mod{-}nest{-}call
|CptnModNestCondF: [(n,\Gamma,(P1, Normal s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call; s \notin b]|
                           (n,\Gamma,((Cond\ b\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#(P1,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in
cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestSeq1:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma,(P0,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call; } zs=map (lift P1) xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestSeq2:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call; } fst(last ((P0, s)\#xs)) = Skip;
    (n,\Gamma,(P1, snd(last((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;
```

```
zs = (map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs)@((P1, snd(last \ ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ ] \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestSeq3:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;
   fst(last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Throw;
   snd(last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s';
   (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys)\in cptn-mod-nest-call;
    zs = (map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys) \ ] \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,((Seq\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestWhile 1:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call; \ s \in b;
    zs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs \ ] \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma, ((While\ b\ P), Normal\ s)\#
      ((Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P)), Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
CptnModNestWhile2:
  [(n,\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;]
     fst(last\ ((P, Normal\ s)\#xs))=Skip;\ s\in b;
     zs = (map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs)@
      (While b P, snd(last((P, Normal s)\#xs)))\#ys;
      (n,\Gamma,(While\ b\ P,\ snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in
        cptn-mod-nest-call \implies
   (n,\Gamma,(While\ b\ P,\ Normal\ s)\#
     (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P),\ Normal\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\mid CptnModNestWhile3:
  [(n,\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;]
     fst(last\ ((P, Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Throw;\ s \in b;
     snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s';
    (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;
     zs = (map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ P)) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys)] \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,(While\ b\ P,\ Normal\ s)\#
     (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P),\ Normal\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
|CptnModNestCall: [(n,\Gamma,(bdy, Normal s)\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call; \Gamma p = Some
bdy; bdy \neq Call p \parallel \Longrightarrow
            (Suc\ n, \Gamma, ((Call\ p), Normal\ s) \# (bdy, Normal\ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
|CptnModNestDynCom: [(n,\Gamma,(c\ s,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ ]|\Longrightarrow
             (n,\Gamma,(DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ s)\#(c\ s,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
|CptnModNestGuard: [(n,\Gamma,(c,Normal\ s)\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;\ s \in g]| \Longrightarrow
              (n,\Gamma,(Guard\ f\ g\ c,\ Normal\ s)\#(c,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
|CptnModNestCatch1: [(n,\Gamma,(P0,s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call; zs=map (lift-catch)]|
P1) xs
```

```
\implies (n,\Gamma,((Catch\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\mid CptnModNestCatch2:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call; } fst(last ((P0, s)\#xs)) = Skip;
    (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;
    zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P1) \ xs)@((Skip,snd(last \ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ ] \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,((Catch\ P0\ P1),\ s)\#zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
| CptnModNestCatch3:
  \llbracket (n,\Gamma,(P0,Normal\ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call; fst(last\ ((P0,Normal\ s)\#xs)) \rrbracket
= Throw;
  snd(last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s';
  (n,\Gamma,(P1, snd(last((P0, Normal s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call;
  zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P1) \ xs)@((P1, snd(last \ ((P0, Normal \ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ ] \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma,((Catch\ P0\ P1),\ Normal\ s)\#zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\mathbf{lemmas}\ \mathit{CptnMod-nest-call-induct} = \mathit{cptn-mod-nest-call.induct}\ [\mathit{of} - - [(\mathit{c},\mathit{s})], \mathit{split-format}
(complete),\ case-names
CptnModOne \ CptnModEnv \ CptnModSkip \ CptnModThrow \ CptnModCondT \ Cptn-
ModCondF
CptnModSeq1 CptnModSeq2 CptnModSeq3 CptnModSeq4 CptnModWhile1 CptnMod-
While 2\ CptnModWhile 3\ CptnModCall\ CptnModDynCom\ CptnModGuard
CptnModCatch1 CptnModCatch2 CptnModCatch3, induct set]
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases [cases set]:
(n,\Gamma,(Skip, s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
(n,\Gamma,(Guard\ f\ g\ c,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
(n,\Gamma,(Basic\ f\ e,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
(n,\Gamma,(Spec\ r\ e,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
(n,\Gamma,(Seq\ c1\ c2,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
(n,\Gamma,(Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
(n,\Gamma,(Await\ b\ c2\ e,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
(n,\Gamma,(Call\ p,\ s)\#u\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
(n,\Gamma,(DynCom\ c,s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
(n,\Gamma,(Throw,s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
(n,\Gamma,(Catch\ c1\ c2,s)\#u\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Seq-Seq':
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2,s) \rightarrow (Seq\ c1'\ c2',s')
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-Catch':
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (Catch \ c1' \ c2',s')
inductive-cases CptnModNest-same-elim-cases [cases set]:
(n,\Gamma,(u,s)\#(u,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Stuck [cases set]:
```

 $(n,\Gamma,(P,Stuck)\#(Skip,s)\#xs) \in cptn$ -mod-nest-call

```
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Fault [cases set]:
(n,\Gamma,(P, Fault f)\#(Skip, s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Abrupt [cases set]:
(n,\Gamma,(P,Abrupt\ as)\#(Skip,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Call-Stuck [cases set]:
(n,\Gamma,(Call\ p,\ s)\#(Skip,\ Stuck)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Call [cases set]:
(0, \Gamma, ((Call\ p), Normal\ s) \# (bdy, Normal\ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
inductive-cases CptnEmpty [cases set]:
(n, \Gamma, []) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
inductive-cases CptnModNest-elim-cases-Call-normal [cases set]:
(Suc\ n,\ \Gamma,((Call\ p),\ Normal\ s)\#(bdy,\ Normal\ s)\#ys)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
lemma cptn-mod-nest-mono1: (n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow (Suc\ n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn
cptn-mod-nest-call
proof (induct rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
 case (CptnModNestOne) thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne
by auto
next
 case (CptnModNestEnv) thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv
by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestSkip) thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip
by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestThrow) thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.intros(4) by
fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestCondT \ n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCondT[of\ Suc\ n] by fastforce
 case (CptnModNestCondF n) thus ?case
   using cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCondF[of\ Suc\ n] by fastforce
 case (CptnModNestSeq1 n) thus ?case
   using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1[of Suc n] by fastforce
 case (CptnModNestSeq2 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2[of Suc n] by fastforce
 case (CptnModNestSeq3 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3[of Suc n] by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestWhile1 n) thus ?case
```

```
using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile1[of Suc n] by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestWhile2\ n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile2[of Suc n] by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestWhile3 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile3[of Suc n] by fastforce
case (CptnModNestCall) thus ?case
    \mathbf{using}\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestCall\ \mathbf{by}\ fastforce
next
case (CptnModNestDynCom) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestDynCom by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
case (CptnModNestGuard n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestGuard[of Suc n] by fastforce
next
case (CptnModNestCatch1 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1[of Suc n] by fastforce
next
case (CptnModNestCatch2 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2[of Suc n] by fastforce
case (CptnModNestCatch3 n) thus ?case
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch3[of Suc n] by fastforce
qed
lemma cptn-mod-nest-mono2:
 (n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \implies m > n \implies
  (m,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
proof (induct \ m-n \ arbitrary: m \ n)
 case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Suc\ k)
 have m - Suc \ n = k
   using Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prems(2) Suc-diff-Suc Suc-inject by presburger
 then show ?case
  using Suc.hyps(1) Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) cptn-mod-nest-mono1 less-Suc-eq
by blast
qed
lemma cptn-mod-nest-mono:
 (n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \implies m \ge n \implies
  (m,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
proof (cases \ n=m)
 assume (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
        n = m thus ?thesis by auto
next
 assume (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
```

```
\begin{array}{c} n{\leq}m \text{ and} \\ n \neq m \\ \text{thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: cptn-mod-nest-mono2)} \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}
```

8.9 Lemmas on normalization

8.10 Equivalence of comp mod semantics and comp mod nested

```
definition catch-cond-nest
where
catch-cond-nest zs \ Q \ xs \ P \ s \ s'' \ s' \ \Gamma \ n \equiv (zs = (map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs) \ \lor
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land s = Normal\ s'' \land
              (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\land
               zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys))))
V
               ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
                (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Skip,snd(last ((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys))))
lemma div\text{-}catch\text{-}nest: assumes cptn\text{-}m:(n,\Gamma,list) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
shows (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ list=(Catch \ P \ Q, \ s)\#zs \longrightarrow
      (\exists xs \ s' \ s''.
         (n, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
            catch-cond-nest zs Q xs P s s'' s' <math>\Gamma n)
unfolding catch-cond-nest-def
using cptn-m
proof (induct rule: cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
case (CptnModNestOne \ \Gamma \ P \ s)
  thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
  from CptnModNestSkip.hyps
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Skip, t) by auto
  from CptnModNestSkip.hyps
  have noskip: {}^{\sim}(P=Skip) using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
  have no-catch: \forall p1 \ p2. \neg (P = Catch \ p1 \ p2) using CptnModNestSkip.hyps(2)
redex-not-Catch by auto
  {f from}\ CptnModNestSkip.hyps
  have in-cptn-mod: (n,\Gamma, (Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
  then show ?case using no-catch by simp
next
  case (CptnModNestThrow \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
  {f from}\ CptnModNestThrow.hyps
```

```
have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Throw, t) by auto
  {\bf from}\ \ CptnModNestThrow.hyps
 have in-cptn-mod: (n,\Gamma, (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
  have no-catch: \forall p1 \ p2. \neg (P=Catch \ p1 \ p2) using CptnModNestThrow.hyps(2)
redex-not-Catch by auto
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (CptnModNestCondT \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
  thus ?case using CptnModOne by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestCondF \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
  thus ?case using CptnModOne by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ sa \ P \ Q \ zs)
 thus ?case by blast
  case (CptnModNestCatch2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 from CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(3)
 have last: fst((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip
      by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by fact
 then have zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P1) \ xs \ @((Skip,snd(last \ ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \ by
(simp\ add:CptnModNestCatch2.hyps)
 show ?case
  proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ zs = (Catch\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa by auto
   then have (P\theta, s) = (P, sa) by auto
   have last ((P0, s) \# xs) = ((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs
     by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle last-length)
   then have zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Skip,snd(last ((P0, s)#xs)))#ys)
     using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map (lift-catch P1)
xs \otimes ((Skip, snd(last ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys))
     by force
   then have (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. ((n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
            ((zs=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
           ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
             (\exists \ ys. \ (n,\Gamma,(Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length\ xs))\#ys) \in \ cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
              zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs))\#ys))))
               (s)\#xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
             zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Skip,snd(last ((P0, s)\#xs)))\#ys))))))
   using P0cptn \ \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \ last \ CptnModNest-
Catch2.hyps(4) by blast
  }
```

```
thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case (CptnModNestCatch3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  from CptnModNestCatch3.hyps(3)
 have last:fst (((P0, Normal s) # xs) ! length xs) = Throw
      by (simp add: last-length)
  from CptnModNestCatch3.hyps(4)
  have lastnormal:snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s'
     by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by fact
 from CptnModNestCatch3.hyps(5)
    have P1cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, Normal s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn{-}mod{-}nest{-}call
     by (simp add: last-length)
  then have zs = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal s) #
(xs))) \# ys
   by (simp add:CptnModNestCatch3.hyps)
 show ?case
 proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Catch P0 P1, Normal s) \# zs = (Catch P Q, Normal sa) \# zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ s a \land zs = zsa by auto
   have last ((P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) = ((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs) ! length \ xs
      by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle
last-length)
   then have zsa = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ !)
length(xs)) # ys
     using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map \rangle
(lift-catch P1) xs \otimes (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) by force
   then have (n,\Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land (fst(((P, Normal s) \# xs)))
s)\#xs)!length xs)=Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q,snd(((P,Normals)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Q, snd(((P, Normal \ s)\#xs))!length)
(xs))\#(ys)))
     using lastnormal P1cptn P0cptn \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal
sa \wedge zs = zsa \land last
      by auto
   }note this [of P0 P1 s zs] thus ?thesis by blast qed
next
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
 have step-e: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t) using CptnModNestEnv by auto
 show ?case
   proof (cases P)
     case (Catch P1 P2)
     then have eq-P-Catch:(P, t) \# xs = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ P2, t) \#
```

```
xs by auto
     then obtain xsa\ t'\ t'' where
        p1:(n,\Gamma, (P1, t) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call  and
       p2: (xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa \lor
          fst(((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
           snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
           t = Normal \ t^{\prime\prime} \wedge
                (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
              xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P2) \ xsa @ (P2, snd \ (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! \ length)
(xsa)) # (ys) \vee
              fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
             (\exists ys.(n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
              xs = map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
              ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys)))
       using CptnModNestEnv(3) by auto
     have all-step:(n,\Gamma, (P1, s)\#((P1, t) \# xsa)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using p1 Env Env-n cptn-mod.CptnModEnv env-normal-s step-e
     proof -
       have f1: SmallStepCon.redex P = SmallStepCon.redex P1
         using local. Catch by auto
       obtain bb :: ('b, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow 'b \ where
         \forall x2. (\exists v5. x2 = Normal v5) = (x2 = Normal (bb x2))
         by moura
       then have s = t \lor s = Normal (bb s)
         by (metis (no-types) env-normal-s step-e)
       then show ?thesis
      using f1 by (metis (no-types) Env Env-n cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv
p1)
     qed
     show ?thesis using p2
     proof
       assume xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa
       have (P, t) \# xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa)
         by (simp\ add: \langle xs = map\ (lift-catch\ P2)\ xsa\rangle\ lift-catch-def\ local.Catch)
       thus ?thesis using all-step eq-P-Catch by fastforce
     \mathbf{next}
       assume
        fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
         snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
         t = \mathit{Normal}\ t^{\prime\prime} \land
      (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
              xs =
              map (lift-catch P2) xsa @
              (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \vee
              fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
          (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((P1, t)\#xsa)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
```

```
xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa @
          ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys))
       then show ?thesis
       proof
         assume
          a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
           snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)) = Normal t' \land
           t = Normal \ t'' \land 
                (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
              xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P2) \ xsa \ @
                    (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys)
         then obtain ys where p2-exec:(n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length)
(xsa)) \# (ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
              xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa @
                    (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys
          by fastforce
          from a1 obtain t1 where t-normal: t=Normal\ t1
            using env-normal-s'-normal-s by blast
              have f1:fst\ (((P1,\ s)\#(P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)) =
Language Con.com. Throw
            using a1 by fastforce
              from at have last-normal: snd (last ((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xsa)) =
Normal\ t'
             by fastforce
             then have p2-long-exec: (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xsa) !
length((P1, s)\#xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
              (P, t)\#xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
                    (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xsa) ! length ((P1, s)\#xsa))) \#
ys using p2-exec
             by (simp add: lift-catch-def local.Catch)
           thus ?thesis using a1 f1 last-normal all-step eq-P-Catch
           by (clarify, metis (no-types) list.size(4) not-step-c-env step-e)
         \mathbf{next}
         assume
          as1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ length\ xsa) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last((P1,t)\#xsa)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
          xs = map (lift\text{-}catch P2) xsa @
          ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys))
           then obtain ys where p1:(n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P1,\ t)\#xsa)))\#ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                      (P, t)\#xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
                      ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa)))\#ys)
          proof -
            assume a1: \bigwedge ys. (n,\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) #
(xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                     (P, t) \# xs = map (lift-catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa) @
                    (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P1, t) \# xsa))) \# ys \Longrightarrow
                      thesis
```

```
have (Language Con. com. Catch P1 P2, t) \# map (lift-catch P2) xsa =
map (lift\text{-}catch P2) ((P1, t) \# xsa)
               by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
             thus ?thesis
               using a1 as1 eq-P-Catch by moura
          from as1 have p2: fst (((P1, s)#(P1, t) # xsa)! length ((P1, t) #xsa))
= LanguageCon.com.Skip
                by fastforce
           thus ?thesis using p1 all-step eq-P-Catch by fastforce
     qed
   qed (auto)
qed(force+)
definition seq-cond-nest
where
seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ Q\ xs\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ n\equiv (zs=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs)=Skip \land
              (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
               zs=(map\ (lift\ (Q))\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length\ xs))\#ys))))
            ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
                snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
                (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                     zs = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys))))
lemma div-seq-nest: assumes cptn-m:(n,\Gamma,list) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
shows (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ list=(Seq \ P \ Q, \ s)\#zs \longrightarrow
      (\exists xs s' s''.
         (n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \wedge
            seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest \ zs \ Q \ xs \ P \ s \ s'' \ s' \ \Gamma \ n))
unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
using cptn-m
{f proof}\ (induct\ rule:\ cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
  case (CptnModNestOne \ \Gamma \ P \ s)
  thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne
  by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
  {f from}\ CptnModNestSkip.hyps
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Skip, t) by auto
  {\bf from}\ \ CptnModNestSkip.hyps
  have noskip: {}^{\sim}(P=Skip) using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
  have x: \forall c \ c1 \ c2. redex c = Seq \ c1 \ c2 \Longrightarrow False
         using redex-not-Seq by blast
```

```
from CptnModNestSkip.hyps
 have in-cptn-mod: (n,\Gamma,(Skip,t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
  then show ?case using CptnModNestSkip.hyps(2) SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq
by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestThrow \Gamma P s t xs)
 from CptnModNestThrow.hyps
 have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (Throw, t) by auto
 moreover from CptnModNestThrow.hyps
 have no-seq: \forall p1 \ p2 . \ \neg (P = Seq \ p1 \ p2) using CptnModNestThrow.hyps(2) redex-not-Seq
by auto
 ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnModNestCondT \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
 thus ?case by auto
 case (CptnModNestCondF \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ ys \ b \ P1)
 thus ?case by auto
  case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1) thus ?case
   by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 from CptnModNestSeq2.hyps(3) last-length have last:fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length
xs) = Skip
      by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} by fact
 from CptnModNestSeq2.hyps(4) have P1cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) !
length(xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     by (simp add: last-length)
  then have zs = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) # xs))) # ys by
(simp\ add:CptnModNestSeq2.hyps)
 show ?case
 proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ zs=(Seq\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa by auto
    have last ((P0, s) \# xs) = ((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs
           by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle last-length)
   then have zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys
        using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s = sa \land zs = zsa \rangle \langle zs = map (lift P1) xs @
(P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
        by force
   then have (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. \ (n,\Gamma, (P, sa) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                      (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                       fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                          (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                           zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length
```

```
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                      ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
                        snd(last\ ((P,\ sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
                       (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                             zsa=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)@((Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys)))))
       using P0cptn\ P1cptn\ \langle P0=P\ \wedge\ P1=Q\ \wedge\ s=sa\ \wedge\ zs=zsa\rangle\ last
       by blast
  thus ?case by auto qed
next
  case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 from CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(3)
 have last:fst (((P0, Normal s) # xs) ! length xs) = Throw
      by (simp add: last-length)
 have P0cptn:(n,\Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by fact
 from CptnModNestSeg3.hyps(4)
 have lastnormal:snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s'
     by (simp add: last-length)
 then have zs = map (lift P1) xs @ ((Throw, Normal s') # ys) by (simp add: CptnModNestSeq3.hyps)
 show ?case
 proof -{
   fix sa P Q zsa
   assume eq:(Seq P0 P1, Normal s) \# zs = (Seq P Q, Normal sa) \# zsa
   then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s=Normal \ sa \land zs=zsa by auto
   then have (P0, Normal \ s) = (P, Normal \ sa) by auto
   have last ((P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) = ((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs) ! length \ xs
                  by (simp add: \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs
= zsa \cdot last-length)
   then have zsa:zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw,Normal s') # ys)
                  using \langle P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \land zs = zsa \rangle
\langle zs = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xs \ @ \ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys) \rangle
   by force
   then have a1:(n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ using\ Cptn-
ModNestSeq3.hyps(5) by blast
    have (P, Normal \ sa::('b, 'c) \ xstate) = (P0, Normal \ s)
   using \langle P0 = P \wedge P1 = Q \wedge Normal \ s = Normal \ sa \wedge zs = zsa \rangle by auto
   then have (\exists xs \ s'. \ (n,\Gamma,\ (P,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \land
                      (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                       fst\ (((P,Normal\ sa)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = Skip\ \land
                            (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal sa) \# xs) ! length xs)))
\# ys \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                          zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ !
length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
                      ((fst((P, Normal \ sa) \# xs)! length \ xs) = Throw \land
                        snd(last\ ((P,\ Normal\ sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                        zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys)))))
    using P0cptn zsa a1 last lastnormal
```

```
by blast
  }
  thus ?thesis by auto qed
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ zs)
  then have step:(n,\Gamma, (P, t) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
 have step-e: \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t) using CptnModNestEnv by auto
 show ?case
   proof (cases P)
     case (Seq P1 P2)
      then have eq-P:(P, t) \# zs = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 P2, t) \# zs by
auto
     then obtain xs t' t'' where
        p1:(n,\Gamma, (P1, t) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and } p2:
    (zs = map (lift P2) xs \lor
     fst (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
    (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
           map (lift P2) xs @
           (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \lor
     fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
     snd\ (last\ ((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ t' \ \land
     t = Normal \ t'' \land (\exists \ ys. \ (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal \ t') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \ \land
     zs =
     map (lift P2) xs @
     ((Language Con.com.Throw, Normal\ t') \# ys)))
       using CptnModNestEnv(3) by auto
     have all-step:(n,\Gamma, (P1, s)\#((P1, t) \# xs)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using p1 Env Env-n cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv env-normal-s step-e
     proof -
       have SmallStepCon.redex\ P = SmallStepCon.redex\ P1
         by (metis\ SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4)\ local.Seq)
       then show ?thesis
            by (metis (no-types) Env Env-n cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv
env-normal-s p1 step-e)
     qed
     show ?thesis using p2
     proof
       assume zs = map (lift P2) xs
       have (P, t) \# zs = map (lift P2) ((P1, t) \# xs)
         by (simp \ add: \langle zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \rangle \ lift-def \ local.Seq)
       thus ?thesis using all-step eq-P by fastforce
     next
       assume
        fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
      (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
          zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ (P2, \ snd \ (((P1, \ t) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
```

```
fst (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
         snd (last ((P1, t) \# xs)) = Normal t' \land
        t = Normal\ t'' \land (\exists\ ys.\ (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ t')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
         zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ ((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal \ t') \# ys))
       then show ?thesis
       proof
         assume
          a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                   (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
             zs = map (lift P2) xs @ (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
             from a1 obtain ys where
                    p2-exec:(n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                    zs = map (lift P2) xs @
                    (P2, snd (((P1, t) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys
              by auto
                 have f1:fst\ (((P1,\ s)\#(P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ ((P1,\ t)\#xs)) =
Language Con.com.Skip
               using a1 by fastforce
             then have p2-long-exec:
               (n,\Gamma, (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xs) ! length ((P1, t)\#xs))) \#
ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
               (P, t)\#zs = map (lift P2) ((P1, t) \# xs) @
                   (P2, snd (((P1, s)\#(P1, t) \# xs) ! length ((P1, t)\#xs))) \# ys
           using p2-exec by (simp add: lift-def local.Seq)
           thus ?thesis using a1 f1 all-step eq-P by blast
         next
         assume
          a1:fst\ (((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
          snd\ (last\ ((P1,\ t)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ t' \land t = Normal\ t'' \land
        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ t')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
           zs = map \ (lift \ P2) \ xs \ @ \ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, \ Normal \ t') \# ys))
           then have last-throw:
               fst (((P1, s) \# (P1, t) \# xs) ! length ((P1, t) \# xs)) = Language
Con.com.Throw
             by fastforce
          from at have last-normal: snd (last ((P1, s)#(P1, t) # xs)) = Normal
t'
             by fastforce
           have seq-lift:
            (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ P2,\ t)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ P2)\ xs = map\ (lift\ P2)
((P1, t) \# xs)
              by (simp add: a1 lift-def)
          thus ?thesis using a1 last-throw last-normal all-step eq-P
         by (clarify, metis (no-types, lifting) append-Cons env-normal-s'-normal-s
step-e
```

```
qed
    qed
   qed (auto)
qed (force)+
lemma map-lift-eq-xs-xs':map (lift a) xs = map (lift a) xs' \Longrightarrow xs = xs'
proof (induct xs arbitrary: xs')
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xsa)
 then have a\theta:(lift a) x \# map (lift a) xsa = map (lift a) (x \# xsa)
   by fastforce
 also obtain x' xsa' where xs':xs' = x' \# xsa'
   using Cons by auto
 ultimately have a1:map (lift a) (x \# xsa) = map (lift a) (x' \# xsa')
   using Cons by auto
 then have xs:xsa=xsa' using a0 a1 Cons by fastforce
 then have (lift a) x' = (lift \ a) \ x  using a0 a1 by auto
 then have x' = x unfolding lift-def
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) LanguageCon.com.inject(3)
            case-prod-beta old.prod.inject prod.collapse)
 thus ?case using xs xs' by auto
qed
lemma map-lift-catch-eq-xs-xs':map (lift-catch a) xs = map (lift-catch a) xs' \Longrightarrow
xs = xs'
proof (induct xs arbitrary: xs')
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xsa)
 then have a\theta: (lift-catch a) x \# map (lift-catch a) xsa = map (lift-catch a) (x \# map)
\# xsa
   by auto
 also obtain x' xsa' where xs':xs' = x' \# xsa'
   using Cons by auto
 ultimately have a1:map (lift-catch a) (x \# xsa) = map (lift-catch a) (x' \# xsa')
   using Cons by auto
 then have xs:xsa=xsa' using a0 a1 Cons by fastforce
 then have (lift-catch a) x' = (lift-catch a) x using a0 a1 by auto
 then have x' = x unfolding lift-catch-def
   by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ LanguageCon.com.inject(9)
            case-prod-beta old.prod.inject prod.collapse)
 thus ?case using xs xs' by auto
qed
lemma map-lift-all-seq:
assumes a\theta:zs=map (lift a) xs and
       a1:i < length zs
shows \exists b. fst (zs!i) = Seq b a
```

```
using a\theta a1
proof (induct zs arbitrary: xs i)
       case Nil thus ?case by auto
       case (Cons z1 zsa) thus ?case unfolding lift-def
      proof -
             assume a1: z1 \# zsa = map (\lambda b. case b of (P, s) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq
P(a, s) xs
             have \forall p \ c. \ \exists x. \ \forall pa \ ca \ xa.
                                         (pa \neq (ca::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, xa::('a, 'c) \ xstate) \lor ca =
fst pa) \wedge
                                         ((c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com) \neq fst \ p \lor (c, x::('a, 'c) \ xstate) =
p)
                    by fastforce
            then obtain xx :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow (
'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) xstate where
                    \bigwedge p \ c \ x \ ca \ pa. \ (p \neq (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x::('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ \lor
c = fst \ p) \land (ca \neq fst \ pa \lor (ca, xx \ pa \ ca) = pa)
                    by (metis (full-types))
             then show ?thesis
                    using a1 \langle i < length (z1 \# zsa) \rangle
                    by (simp add: Cons.hyps Cons.prems(1) case-prod-beta')
       qed
qed
lemma map-lift-catch-all-catch:
   assumes a\theta:zs=map (lift-catch a) xs and
                               a1:i < length zs
   shows \exists b. fst (zs!i) = Catch b a
using a\theta a1
proof (induct zs arbitrary: xs i)
       case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
       case (Cons z1 zsa) thus ?case unfolding lift-catch-def
          assume a1: z1 # zsa = map (\lambda b. case b of (P, s) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Catch
P(a, s) xs
             have \forall p \ c. \ \exists x. \ \forall pa \ ca \ xa.
                                          (pa \neq (ca::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, xa::('a, 'c) \ xstate) \lor ca =
fst pa) \wedge
                                        ((c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com) \neq fst \ p \lor (c, x::('a, 'c) \ xstate) =
p)
                    by fastforce
            then obtain xx :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \ xstate
'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \ xstate \ where
                    \bigwedge p \ c \ x \ ca \ pa. \ (p \neq (c::('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x::('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ \lor
c = fst \ p) \land (ca \neq fst \ pa \lor (ca, xx \ pa \ ca) = pa)
                    by (metis (full-types))
             then show ?thesis
```

```
using a1 \langle i < length (z1 \# zsa) \rangle
     by (simp add: Cons.hyps Cons.prems(1) case-prod-beta')
 qed
qed
lemma map-lift-some-eq-pos:
assumes a\theta:map (lift P) xs @ (P1, s1)#ys =
           map (lift P) xs'@ (P2, s2) \# ys' and
       a1: \forall p0. P1 \neq Seq p0 P and
       a2: \forall p0. P2 \neq Seq p0 P
shows length xs = length xs'
proof -
 {assume ass:length \ xs \neq length \ xs'}
  { assume ass:length \ xs < length \ xs'
    then have False using a0 map-lift-all-seq a1 a2
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv length-map nth-append nth-append-length)
  note l = this
  { assume ass:length \ xs > length \ xs'
    then have False using a0 map-lift-all-seq a1 a2
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv length-map nth-append nth-append-length)
    then have False using l ass by fastforce
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma map-lift-some-eq:
assumes a0:map\ (lift\ P)\ xs\ @\ (P1,\ s1)\#ys =
           map (lift P) xs'@ (P2, s2)#ys' and
      a1: \forall p0. P1 \neq Seq p0 P and
      a2: \forall p0. P2 \neq Seq p0 P
shows xs' = xs \land ys = ys'
proof -
 have length xs = length xs' using a0 map-lift-some-eq-pos a1 a2 by blast
 also have xs' = xs using a assms calculation map-lift-eq-xs-xs' by fastforce
 ultimately show ?thesis using a0 by fastforce
qed
lemma map-lift-catch-some-eq-pos:
assumes a0:map (lift-catch P) xs @ (P1, s1) # ys =
           map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ P)\ xs'@\ (P2,\ s2)\#ys' and
       a1: \forall p0. P1 \neq Catch p0 P and
       a2: \forall p0. P2 \neq Catch p0 P
shows length xs = length xs'
proof -
 {assume ass:length \ xs \neq length \ xs'}
  { assume ass:length \ xs < length \ xs'
    then have False using a0 map-lift-catch-all-catch a1 a2
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv length-map nth-append nth-append-length)
  note l=this
```

```
{ assume ass:length \ xs > length \ xs'
    then have False using a0 map-lift-catch-all-catch a1 a2
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv length-map nth-append nth-append-length)
  } then have False using l ass by fastforce
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma map-lift-catch-some-eq:
assumes a0:map (lift-catch P) xs @ (P1, s1) # ys =
           map (lift-catch P) xs'@ (P2, s2) \# ys' and
       a1: \forall p0. P1 \neq Catch p0 P and
       a2: \forall p0. P2 \neq Catch p0 P
shows xs' = xs \wedge ys = ys'
proof -
 have length xs = length xs' using a map-lift-catch-some-eq-pos a 1 a 2 by blast
 also have xs' = xs using a \theta assms calculation map-lift-catch-eq-xs-xs' by fastforce
 ultimately show ?thesis using a0 by fastforce
lemma Seq-P-Not-finish:
assumes
   a\theta:zs = map (lift Q) xs and
   a1:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ {\bf and}
   a2:seg\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ Q\ xs'\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ m
shows xs=xs'
using a2 unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
proof
 assume zs = map (lift Q) xs'
 then have map (lift Q) xs' =
            map (lift Q) xs using a\theta by auto
 thus ?thesis using map-lift-eq-xs-xs' by fastforce
next
 assume
   ass:fst\ (((P,\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
        zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
       fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
        s = Normal s'' \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys)
  {assume
    ass: fst(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs') = Language Con.com. Skip \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
```

```
zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \# ys)
     then obtain ys where
        zs:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys
           by auto
     then have zs-while:fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs'))) =
                 Q by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
     have length zs = length \pmod{lift Q} xs' @
        (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
         using zs by auto
     then have (length (map (lift Q) xs')) <
                length zs by auto
     then have ?thesis using a0 zs-while map-lift-all-seq
        using seq-and-if-not-eq(4) by fastforce
  note l = this
   {assume ass: fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.\ Throw\ \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
        s = Normal s'' \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
        zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys)
     then obtain ys where
           zs:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @
               (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys by auto
     then have zs-while:
      fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs'))) = Throw by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
        have length zs = length (map (lift Q) xs' @(LanguageCon.com.Throw,
Normal s') # ys)
          using zs by auto
      then have (length (map (lift Q) xs')) <
                length zs by auto
      then have ?thesis using a0 zs-while map-lift-all-seq
        \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{seq-and-if-not-eq}(4)\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
  } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
qed
lemma Seq-P-Ends-Normal:
assumes
   a0:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys \ and
   a0': fst (last ((P, s) # xs)) = Skip and
   a1:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ {\bf and}
   a2:seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ Q\ xs'\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ m
shows xs=xs' \land (m,\Gamma,(Q,snd(((P,s)\#xs)!length\ xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a2 unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
proof
 assume ass:zs=map (lift Q) xs'
 then have map (lift Q) xs' =
              map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs\ @\ (Q,\ snd\ (last\ ((P,\ s)\ \#\ xs)))\ \#\ ys\ {\bf using}\ a\theta\ {\bf by}
auto
```

```
then have zs-while:fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs))) = Q
   by (metis a0 fstI nth-append-length)
 also have length zs =
           length (map (lift Q) xs @ (Q, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
   using a\theta by auto
  then have (length (map (lift Q) xs)) < length zs by auto
  then show ?thesis using ass zs-while map-lift-all-seq
          using seq-and-if-not-eq(\mathcal{L})
  by metis
next
 assume
   ass:fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
      (\exists ys.\ (m,\Gamma,(Q,snd\ (((P,s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs'))\ \#\ ys)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
\wedge
        zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
        fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
        s = Normal s'' \land
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys)
   {assume
    ass:fst\ (((P,s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\land
        zs = map (lift Q) xs' @ (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
     then obtain ys' where
        zs:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \# ys' \land
          (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
           by auto
     then have ?thesis
       using map-lift-some-eq[of Q xs Q - ys xs' Q - ys']
             zs a0 seq-and-if-not-eq(4)[of Q]
       by auto
  note l = this
   {assume ass:fst (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
        s = Normal s'' \land
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
        zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys)
     then obtain ys' where
        zs:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys' \ \land
         (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        by auto
     then have zs-while:
      fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs'))) = Throw by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
```

```
have False
       by (metis (no-types) LanguageCon.com.distinct(17)
            LanguageCon.com.distinct(71)
            a0 a0' ass last-length
            map-lift-some-eq seq-and-if-not-eq(4) zs)
     then have ?thesis
       by metis
  } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Seq-P-Ends-Abort:
assumes
  a0:zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Throw, Normal \ s') \ \# \ ys \ and
  a0':fst (last ((P, Normal s) # xs)) = Throw and
  a0'': snd(last((P, Normal s) \# xs)) = Normal s' and
   a1:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
and
  a2:seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ Q\ xs'\ P\ (Normal\ s)\ ns''\ ns'\ \Gamma\ m
shows xs=xs' \land (m,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a2 unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
proof
  assume ass:zs=map (lift Q) xs'
 then have map (lift Q) xs' =
            map (lift Q) xs @ (Throw, Normal s') # ys using a\theta by auto
  then have zs-while:fst\ (zs!(length\ (map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs))) = Throw
   by (metis a0 fstI nth-append-length)
 also have length zs =
           length (map (lift Q) xs @ (Throw, Normal s') # ys)
   using a\theta by auto
  then have (length (map (lift Q) xs)) < length zs by auto
  then show ?thesis using ass zs-while map-lift-all-seq
   by (metis\ (no-types)\ LanguageCon.com.simps(82))
next
 assume
   ass: fst (((P, Normal s) # xs')! length xs') = Language Con.com. Skip \land
       (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
        \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
       zs = map (lift Q) xs' @
            (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \lor
       fst\ (((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
       snd\ (last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ ns' \land
       Normal\ s = Normal\ ns'' \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal ns') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
          zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ ns') \ \# \ ys)
  {assume
    ass:fst (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
       (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
```

```
\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
       zs = map (lift Q) xs' @
            (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
     then obtain ys' where
       zs:(m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys')
             \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
          zs = map (lift Q) xs' @
            (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys'
           by auto
     then have ?thesis
       using a \theta seq-and-if-not-eq(4)[of Q]
       by (metis LanguageCon.com.distinct(17) LanguageCon.com.distinct(71)
           a0' ass last-length map-lift-some-eq)
  note l = this
  {assume ass:fst\ (((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw
        snd\ (last\ ((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ ns' \land
       Normal\ s = Normal\ ns'' \land
     (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal ns') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ ns') \ \# \ ys)
     then obtain ys' where
     zs:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal ns') \# ys') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
          zs = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ ns') \ \# \ ys'
       by auto
     then have zs-while:
        fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs'))) = Throw
       by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
     then have ?thesis using a0 ass map-lift-some-eq by blast
  } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
qed
lemma Catch-P-Not-finish:
assumes
  a\theta:zs = map (lift-catch Q) xs and
  a1:catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s s'' s' \Gamma m
shows xs=xs'
using a1 unfolding catch-cond-nest-def
proof
 assume zs = map (lift\text{-}catch Q) xs'
 then have map (lift-catch Q) xs' =
            map (lift-catch Q) xs using a\theta by auto
 thus ?thesis using map-lift-catch-eq-xs-xs' by fastforce
next
 assume
   ass:
       fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
       snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
```

```
s = Normal \, s'' \wedge
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\land
        zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
        fst (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
        (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
         zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, s)
\# xs'))) \# ys)
  {assume
    ass:fst\ (((P,s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
        (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
         zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, s))) \ )
\# xs'))) \# ys)
     then obtain ys where
          zs:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
          zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, s))))
\# xs'))) \# ys
           by auto
     then have zs-while:fst\ (zs!(length\ (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs'))) = Skip
       by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
     have length zs = length \pmod{lift Q} xs' @
        (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys)
         using zs by auto
     then have (length (map (lift Q) xs')) <
                length zs by auto
     then have ?thesis using a0 zs-while map-lift-catch-all-catch
        using seq-and-if-not-eq(12) by fastforce
   note l = this
   {assume ass:fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal s' \land
        s = Normal \ s^{\prime\prime} \wedge
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
        zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
     then obtain ys where
           zs:zs = map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs'))
\# ys  by auto
     then have zs-while:
       fst (zs!(length (map (lift Q) xs'))) = Q
        by (metis (no-types) eq-fst-iff length-map nth-append-length zs)
        have length zs = length (map (lift Q) xs' @(LanguageCon.com.Throw,
Normal s') # ys)
          using zs by auto
      then have (length (map (lift Q) xs')) <
                length zs by auto
```

```
then have ?thesis using a0 zs-while map-lift-catch-all-catch
        by fastforce
  } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
qed
lemma Catch-P-Ends-Normal:
assumes
   a0:zs = map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ Normal \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys
and
   a\theta': fst (last ((P, Normal s) # xs)) = Throw and
   a0'':snd (last ((P, Normal s) # xs)) = Normal s' and
   a1:catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P (Normal s) ns'' ns' \Gamma m
shows xs=xs' \wedge (m,\Gamma,(Q,snd(((P,Normals)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a1 unfolding catch-cond-nest-def
proof
 assume ass:zs=map (lift-catch Q) xs'
 then have map (lift-catch Q) xs' =
              map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ Normal \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys
using a\theta by auto
  then have zs-while: fst (zs!(length (map (lift-catch Q) xs))) = Q
   by (metis a0 fstI nth-append-length)
 also have length zs =
            length (map (lift-catch Q) xs \otimes (Q, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs)))
\# ys)
   using a\theta by auto
  then have (length (map (lift-catch Q) xs)) < length zs by auto
  then show ?thesis using ass zs-while map-lift-catch-all-catch
          using seq-and-if-not-eq(12)
 \mathbf{by}\ met is
\mathbf{next}
  assume
   ass:fst (((P, Normal s) \# xs')! length xs') = LanguageCon.com. Throw \land
        snd\ (last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ ns' \land
        Normal\ s = Normal\ ns'' \land
           (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
       zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, Normal \ s) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs'))
\# ys) \vee
        fst\ (((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
       (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs'))) \#
ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
          zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, P, P, P))))
Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')))\ \#\ ys)
  {assume
    ass:fst\ (((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
       (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs'))) \#
ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
          zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, P, P))) \ )
Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')))\ \#\ ys)
```

```
then obtain ys' where
         zs:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs'))) \#
ys' \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
            zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, P, P))) \ )
Normal s) \# xs')) \# ys'
           by auto
     then have ?thesis
       using map-lift-catch-some-eq[of Q xs Q - ys xs' Skip - ys']
             zs a0 seq-and-if-not-eq(12)[of Q]
         by (metis\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(17)\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(19)
a0' ass last-length)
   note l = this
  \{assume\ ass:fst\ (((P,Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs')=LanguageCon.com.Throw
              snd\ (last\ ((P,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ ns' \land
              Normal\ s = Normal\ ns'' \land
               (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
             zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, Normal \ s) \# xs') ! length))
xs')) # ys)
     then obtain ys' where
            zs:(m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, Normal s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys') \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
             zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ \ (Q, snd \ (((P, Normal \ s) \# xs') \ ! \ length
xs')) # ys'
        by auto
     then have zs-while:
      fst (zs!(length (map (lift-catch Q) xs'))) = Q by (metis fstInth-append-length)
     then have ?thesis
       using LanguageCon.com.distinct(17) LanguageCon.com.distinct(71)
          a0 a0' ass last-length map-lift-catch-some-eq[of Q xs Q - ys xs' Q - ys']
           seq-and-if-not-eq(12) zs
       by blast
   } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
qed
lemma Catch-P-Ends-Skip:
assumes
   a0:zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Skip, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys \ and
   a0': fst (last ((P,s) \# xs)) = Skip  and
   a1:catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s ns'' ns' \Gamma m
shows xs=xs' \land (m,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last\ ((P,s)\ \#\ xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a1 unfolding catch-cond-nest-def
proof
 assume ass:zs=map (lift-catch Q) xs'
 then have map (lift-catch Q) xs' =
             map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Skip, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys \ using
```

```
a\theta by auto
  then have zs-while:fst\ (zs!(length\ (map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs))) = Skip
   by (metis a0 fstI nth-append-length)
  also have length zs =
           length (map (lift-catch Q) xs \otimes (Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
   using a\theta by auto
  then have (length (map (lift-catch Q) xs)) < length zs by auto
  then show ?thesis using ass zs-while map-lift-catch-all-catch
   by (metis\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(19))
next
 assume
    ass:fst\ (((P,s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal ns' \land
        s = Normal \ ns^{\prime\prime} \land
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge
        zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
        fst\ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length\ xs') = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
        (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
         zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, s)
\# xs'))) \# ys)
   {assume
    ass: fst(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs') = Language Con.com. Skip \land
        (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
         zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, s)
\# xs'))) \# ys)
     then obtain ys' where
          zs:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs'))) \# ys') \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
            zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' \ @ \ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd \ (last \ ((P, P, P, P))))
(s) \# xs'))) \# ys'
           by auto
     then have ?thesis
     using a0 seq-and-if-not-eq(12)[of Q] a0' ass last-length map-lift-catch-some-eq
       using LanguageCon.com.distinct(19) by blast
   note l = this
   {assume ass:fst (((P, s) \# xs')! length xs') = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
        snd (last ((P, s) \# xs')) = Normal ns' \land
        s = Normal \ ns'' \land
      (\exists ys. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
        zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys)
     then obtain ys' where
       zs:(m, \Gamma, (Q, snd(((P, s) \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
        zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs' @ (Q, snd \ (((P, s) \# xs') ! length \ xs')) \# ys'
```

```
by auto
     then have zs-while:
         fst (zs!(length (map (lift-catch Q) xs'))) = Q
       by (metis fstI nth-append-length)
     then have ?thesis
     using a0 seq-and-if-not-eq(12)[of Q] a0' ass last-length map-lift-catch-some-eq
        by (metis\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(17)\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(19))
   } thus ?thesis using l ass by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}func\text{-}redex\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}n'\text{:}
assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c (P,s)\to (Q, t) and
        a1:(n,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
       a2:(\forall fn. \ redex \ P \neq Call \ fn) \ \lor
           (redex P = Call fn \land \Gamma fn = None) \lor
           (redex \ P = Call \ fn \land (\forall \ sa. \ s \neq Normal \ sa))
shows (n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
using a0 a1 a2
proof (induct arbitrary: xs)
  case (Basicc\ f\ s)
 thus ?case by (simp add: Basice cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.Basice)
next
  case (Specc \ s \ t \ r)
 thus ?case by (simp add: Specc cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.Specc)
next
  case (SpecStuckc\ s\ r)
 thus ?case by (simp add: SpecStuckc cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.SpecStuckc)
  case (Guardc \ s \ g \ f \ c)
   thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestGuard)
\mathbf{next}
  case (GuardFaultc \ s \ g \ f \ c)
   thus ?case by (simp add: GuardFaultc cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip
stepc.GuardFaultc)
next
case (Seqc c1 s c1' s' c2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c1, s) \to (c1', s') by (simp add: Seqc.hyps(1))
  then have nsc1: c1 \neq Skip using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
 have assum: (n, \Gamma, (Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ using\ Seqc.prems
by blast
  have divseq: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Seq \ c1' \ c2, \ s') \ \# \ xs = (Seq \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs \longrightarrow
               (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ ((n,\Gamma,(P,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
                          (zs=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
                          ((fst(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs)=Skip \land
                                    (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
```

```
zs = (map \ (lift \ (Q)) \ xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length)))
(xs))\#(ys)))) \vee
                          ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
                              snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land \ s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
                          (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sv')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                              zs = (map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ sv') \# ys))
                              ))))
                 )) using div-seq-nest [OF assum] unfolding seq-cond-nest-def by
auto
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ sa \ P \ Q \ zsa \} 
       assume ass:(Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs=(Seq\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
       then have eqs:c1' = P \land c2 = Q \land s' = sa \land xs = zsa by auto
       then have (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ (n,\Gamma,\ (P,\ sa) \ \# \ xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \ \land
                       (zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                        fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                            (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                             zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                       ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                         snd(last\ ((P, sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
                         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sv')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                              zsa = (map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw,Normal \ sv') \# ys)))))
            using ass divseq by blast
    } note conc=this [of c1' c2 s' xs]
     then obtain xs' sa' sa"
       where split:(n,\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                     (xs = map (lift c2) xs' \lor
                    fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                         xs = map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs' \ @ \ (c2, snd \ (((c1', s') \# xs') ! \ length)
xs')) \# ys) \vee
                     ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
                        snd(last\ ((c1', s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sa')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                             xs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs')@((Throw,Normal \ sa') # ys))
                        ))) by blast
  then have (xs = map (lift c2) xs' \lor
                  fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                         xs = map (lift c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length)
xs')) \# ys) \vee
                  ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
                      snd(last\ ((c1', s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                      (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sa')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                           xs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs')@((Throw,Normal \ sa') \# ys))))
```

```
by auto
  thus ?case
 proof{
      assume c1 'nonf:xs = map (lift c2) xs'
      then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split
      then have induct-step: (n,\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
       using Seqc.hyps(2) Seqc.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4) by auto
      then have (Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\#xs = map\ (lift\ c2)\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')
        using c1'nonf
       by (simp add: lift-def)
      thus ?thesis
        using c1'nonf c1'cptn induct-step by (auto simp add: CptnModNestSeq1)
   next
     assume fst (((c1', s') # xs')! length xs') = Skip \land
                 (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
               xs = map (lift c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) #
ys) \vee
           ((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((c1', s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s'=Normal\ sa'' \land
              (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sa')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                          xs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs')@((Throw,Normal \ sa') # ys))))
     thus ?thesis
     proof
      assume assth:fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
       (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
              xs = map (lift c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) #
ys)
      then obtain ys
            where split':(n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
            xs = map (lift c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys
      then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma,(c1',s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split
by blast
      then have induct-step: (n,\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using Seqc.hyps(2) Seqc.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4) by auto
        then have seqmap:(Seq\ c1\ c2,\ s)\#(Seq\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\#xs = map\ (lift\ c2)
((c1,s)\#(c1', s')\#xs') \otimes (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys
     using split' by (simp add: lift-def)
     then have lastc1:last ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
       by (simp add: last-length)
     then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Skip
         using assth by fastforce
     thus ?thesis
```

```
using seqmap split' cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2
            induct-step lastc1 lastc1skip
      by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append)
   \mathbf{next}
      assume assm:((fst(((c1', s')\#xs')!length xs')=Throw \land
              snd(last\ ((c1',\ s')\#xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land \ s'=Normal\ sa'' \land
              (\exists ys.(n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ sa')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
              xs = (map (lift c2) xs')@((Throw, Normal sa') # ys))))
       then have s'eqsa'': s'=Normal\ sa'' by auto
    then have snormal: \exists \ ns. \ s=Normal \ ns \ \mathbf{by} \ (metis \ Seqc.hyps(1) \ step-Abrupt-prop
step-Fault-prop step-Stuck-prop xstate.exhaust)
       then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma,(c1',s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split
by blast
      then have induct-step: (n,\Gamma,(c1,s) \# (c1',s')\#xs') \in cptn\text{-mod-nest-call}
      using Seqc.hyps(2) Seqc.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4) by auto
      then obtain ys where segmap: (Seq c1' c2, s')\#xs = (map (lift c2) ((c1', c2')))
s' \#xs')@((Throw, Normal sa')\#ys)
      using assm
      proof -
       assume a1: \bigwedge ys. (LanguageCon.com.Seq c1' c2, s') # xs = map (lift c2)
((c1', s') \# xs') \otimes (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal sa') \# ys \Longrightarrow thesis
         have (LanguageCon.com.Seq c1' c2, Normal sa'') # map (lift c2) xs' =
map (lift c2) ((c1', s') \# xs')
          by (simp add: assm lift-def)
        thus ?thesis
          using a1 assm by moura
      then have lastc1:last((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                by (simp add: last-length)
      then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Throw
           using assm by fastforce
      then have snd (last ((c1, s) # (c1', s') # xs')) = Normal\ sa'
           using assm by force
      thus ?thesis
          using assm c1'cptn induct-step lastc1skip snormal segmap s'eqsa''
          by (auto simp add:cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3)
  qed
  }qed
next
  case (SeqSkipc \ c2 \ s \ xs)
  have c2incptn:(n,\Gamma,(c2,s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by fact
  then have 1:(n,\Gamma, [(Skip, s)]) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
  then have 2:fst(last([(Skip, s)])) = Skip by fastforce
  then have 3:(n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last\ [(Skip,s)]))\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using c2incptn by auto
  then have (c2,s)\#xs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ [])@(c2,\ snd(last\ [(Skip,\ s)]))\#xs
      by (auto simp add:lift-def)
```

```
thus ?case using 1 2 3 by (simp add: CptnModNestSeq2)
next
  case (SeqThrowc\ c2\ s\ xs)
  have (n,\Gamma, [(Throw, Normal s)]) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
  then obtain ys where
   ys-nil:ys=[] and
   last:(n, \Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ s) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
  by auto
  moreover have fst (last ((Throw, Normal s)\#ys)) = Throw using ys-nil last
by auto
  moreover have snd (last ((Throw, Normal s)#ys)) = Normal s using ys-nil
last by auto
 moreover from ys-nil have (map (lift c2) ys) = [] by auto
 ultimately show ?case using SeqThrowc.prems cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3
by fastforce
next
 case (CondTruec\ s\ b\ c1\ c2)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCondT)
  case (CondFalsec s b c1 c2)
  thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCondF)
case (While Truec s1 b c)
have sinb: s1 \in b by fact
have SeqcWhile: (n,\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
have divseq: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), \ Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs = (Seq \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs
              (\exists xs \ s'. \ ((n,\Gamma,(P,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \ \land
                        (zs=(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
                        ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs)=Skip \land
                                 (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                               zs=(map\ (lift\ (Q))\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ s)\#xs)!length))
(xs))\#(ys)))) \vee
                        ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
                            snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
               zs = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') # ys)))))
                       )) using div-seq-nest [OF SeqcWhile] by (auto simp add:
seq-cond-nest-def)
\{fix sa\ P\ Q\ zsa
      assume ass: (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs = (Seq\ P\ Q,\ sa)\ \#\ zsa
      then have eqs:c = P \land (While \ b \ c) = Q \land Normal \ s1 = sa \land xs = zsa by
auto
      then have (\exists xs \ s'. \ (n,\Gamma, \ (P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
```

```
(zsa = map (lift Q) xs \lor
                       fst (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs) = Skip \land
                           (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (Q, snd (((P, sa) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                            zsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @ \ (Q, \ snd \ (((P, \ sa) \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ length
(xs)) # (ys) \vee
                      ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
                        snd(last\ ((P,\ sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                     zsa = (map (lift Q) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') #ys))
                      ))))
            using ass divseq by auto
   } note conc=this [of c While b c Normal s1 xs]
   then obtain xs's'
       where split:(n,\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \ \land
    (xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' \lor
     fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Skip \land
     (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \# ys)
           \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
           xs =
           map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
           (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs') \# ys) \vee
     fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Throw\ \land
     snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
     (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
     xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @ ((Throw, Normal s') # ys))) by auto
 then have (xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' \lor
           fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Skip \land
           (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs')) \ \# \ ys)
                 \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                 xs =
                 map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
                 (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs') \# ys) \vee
           fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Throw\ \land
           snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
           (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
         xs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ c)) \ xs' @ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys))) \dots
 thus ?case
 proof{
   assume 1:xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs'
  have 3:(n, \Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split by auto
   then show ?thesis
    using 1 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile1 sinb by fastforce
 next
   assume fst (((c, Normal s1) # xs')! length xs') = Skip \land s
         (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \# ys)
               \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
               xs =
```

```
map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
              (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys) \vee
        fst\ (((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\ !\ length\ xs') = Throw\ \land
        snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
        xs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ c)) \ xs' @ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys))
  thus ?case
  proof
    assume asm:fst (((c, Normal\ s1) # xs')! length\ xs') = Skip \land
           (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! \ length \ xs')) \# ys)
           \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
           map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
           (While b c, snd (((c, Normal s1) \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys)
    then obtain ys
      where asm':(n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs'))) \ \# \ ys)
                \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                \wedge xs = map (lift (While b c)) xs' @
                    (While b c, snd (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs'))) \# ys
            by (auto simp add: last-length)
     moreover have 3:(n,\Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \ using
split by auto
    moreover from asm have fst (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs')) = Skip
         by (simp add: last-length)
    ultimately show ?case using sinb by (auto simp add:CptnModNestWhile2)
  next
   assume asm: fst (((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs') ! length \ xs') = Throw \land
        snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ s' \land
        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, ((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
        xs = map \ (lift \ (While \ b \ c)) \ xs' \ @ \ ((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys))
    moreover have 3:(n,\Gamma,(c,Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs')\in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using split by auto
    moreover from asm have fst (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs')) = Throw
        by (simp add: last-length)
    ultimately show ?case using sinb by (auto simp add:CptnModNestWhile3)
  qed
}qed
next
case (WhileFalsec s \ b \ c)
thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.WhileFalsec)
next
  case (Awaitc \ s \ b \ c \ t)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.Awaitc)
next
 case (AwaitAbruptc s b c t t')
 thus ?case by (simp\ add:\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestThrow\ stepc.\ AwaitAbruptc)
next
 case (Callc\ p\ bdy\ s)
```

```
thus ?case using SmallStepCon.redex.simps(7) by auto
next
  case (CallUndefinedc \ p \ s)
  then have p = fn by auto
  thus ?case using CallUndefinedc
 proof -
    have (LanguageCon.com.Call fn \cap_{gs} (LanguageCon.com.Skip::('b, 'a, 'c,'d)
LanguageCon.com) \neq Some\ LanguageCon.com.Skip
     by simp
   then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) CallUndefinedc.hyps LanguageCon.com.inject(6) Lan-
Stuck) \# xs \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSkip stepc. CallUndefinedc)
 qed
next
 case (DynComc\ c\ s)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestDynCom)
next
  case (Catchc\ c1\ s\ c1'\ s'\ c2)
  have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (c1, s) \to (c1', s') by (simp add: Catche.hyps(1))
  then have nsc1: c1 \neq Skip using stepc-elim-cases(1) by blast
 have assum: (n,\Gamma, (Catch\ c1'\ c2,\ s')\ \#\ xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
  using Catche.prems by blast
  have divcatch: (\forall s \ P \ Q \ zs. \ (Catch \ c1' \ c2, \ s') \ \# \ xs = (Catch \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs \longrightarrow
  (\exists xs \ s' \ s''. \ ((n,\Gamma,(P,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
           (zs=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
           ((fst((P, s)\#xs)!length xs) = Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land \ s=Normal\ s'' \land
             (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
              zs = (map (lift-catch Q) xs)@((Q, snd(((P, s)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys))))
              ((fst(((P, s)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
                 (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last((P, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                  zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Skip,snd(last \ ((P, \ s)\#xs)))\#ys))
  )) using div-catch-nest [OF assum] by (auto simp add: catch-cond-nest-def)
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ sa \ P \ Q \ zsa \} 
      assume ass: (Catch c1' c2, s') \# xs = (Catch P Q, sa) \# zsa
      then have eqs:c1' = P \land c2 = Q \land s' = sa \land xs = zsa by auto
      then have (\exists xs \ sv' \ sv''. \ ((n, \Gamma, (P, sa) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
           (zsa=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)\ \lor
           ((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length \ xs) = Throw \land
             snd(last\ ((P, sa)\#xs)) = Normal\ sv' \land s'=Normal\ sv'' \land
            (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Q,snd(((P,sa)\#xs)!length xs))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
            zsa=(map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs)@((Q,\ snd(((P,\ sa)\#xs)!length\ xs))\#ys))))
```

```
((fst((P, sa)\#xs)!length \ xs)=Skip \land
                                     (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((P, sa)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                               zsa=(map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ xs)@((Skip,snd(last\ ((P,\ sa)\#xs)))\#ys)))))
      ) using ass divcatch by blast
         } note conc=this [of c1' c2 s' xs]
          then obtain xs' sa' sa''
              where split:
                  (n,\Gamma, (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                    (xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' \lor
                    fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                    snd\ (last\ ((c1', s') \# xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
              (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd(((c1', s') \# xs')! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
                                 xs = map (lift-catch c2) xs' @
                                (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \lor
                    fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                                 xs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs')@((Skip,snd(last \ ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys)))
                by blast
    then have (xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' \lor
                    fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                    snd\ (last\ ((c1',\ s')\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ sa' \wedge s' = Normal\ sa'' \wedge s' = Normal\ s
              (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
                                 xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' @
                                 (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \lor
                    fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                        (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                                 xs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs')@((Skip,snd(last \ ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys)))
                by auto
   thus ?case
    proof{
              assume c1 'nonf:xs = map (lift-catch c2) xs'
               then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma,(c1',s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split
by blast
              then have induct-step: (n, \Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
                 using Catche.hyps(2) Catche.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(11) by
auto
              then have (Catch c1' c2, s')\#xs = map (lift-catch c2) ((c1', s')\#xs')
                        using c1'nonf
                        by (simp add: CptnModCatch1 lift-catch-def)
              thus ?thesis
                        using c1'nonf c1'cptn induct-step
```

```
by (auto simp add: CptnModNestCatch1)
      next
          assume fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                           snd\ (last\ ((c1',\ s')\ \#\ xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                                    (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                         xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs'))
\# ys) \vee
                         fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
                           (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
                          xs = (map (lift-catch c2) xs')@((Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys))
          thus ?thesis
          proof
              assume assth:
                         fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Throw \land
                           snd\ (last\ ((c1', s') \# xs')) = Normal\ sa' \land s' = Normal\ sa'' \land
                                    (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                         xs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs' @ \ (c2, \ snd \ (((c1', \ s') \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ length \ xs'))
\# ys
                     then have s'eqsa'': s'=Normal sa'' by auto
                           then have snormal: \exists ns. \ s=Normal \ ns by (metis Catche.hyps(1))
step-Abrupt-prop\ step-Fault-prop\ step-Stuck-prop\ xstate.exhaust)
                     then obtain ys
                         where split':(n,\Gamma, (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                         xs = map (lift\text{-}catch c2) xs' @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs'))
\# ys
                          using assth by auto
              then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma,(c1',s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
                       using split by blast
              then have induct-step: (n,\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
                      using Catche.hyps(2) Catche.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(11)
by auto
            then have segmap: (Catch\ c1\ c2,\ s) \# (Catch\ c1'\ c2,\ s') \# xs = map\ (lift-catch\ c1'\ c2',\ s') \# xs = map\ (lift-catch\ c1') \# xs = map\ (lift-catch\ c1') \# xs = map\ (lift-catch\ c1'\
(c2) ((c1,s)\#(c1', s')\#xs') @ (c2, snd (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs')) \# ys
                       using split' by (simp add: CptnModCatch3 lift-catch-def)
            then have lastc1:last ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                     by (simp add: last-length)
             then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Throw
                     using assth by fastforce
             then have snd (last ((c1, s) # (c1', s') # xs')) = Normal\ sa'
                     using assth by force
             thus ?thesis using snormal seqmap s'eqsa'' split'
                       last-length cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch3
                        induct-step lastc1 lastc1skip
                       using Cons-lift-catch-append by fastforce
```

```
next
      assume assm: fst (((c1', s') \# xs') ! length xs') = Skip \land
               (\exists ys. (n,\Gamma,(Skip,snd(last((c1',s')\#xs')))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\land
               xs = (map (lift-catch c2) xs')@((Skip,snd(last ((c1', s') \# xs'))) \# ys))
       then have c1'cptn:(n,\Gamma,(c1',s') \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using split
by blast
      then have induct-step: (n,\Gamma, (c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
       using Catche.hyps(2) Catche.prems(2) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(11) by
auto
      then have map (lift-catch c2) ((c1', s') \# xs') = (Catch c1' c2, s') \# map
(lift-catch c2) xs'
        by (auto simp add: lift-catch-def)
      then obtain ys
             where segmap: (Catch c1' c2, s')\#xs = (map (lift-catch c2) ((c1',
s')\#xs') @((Skip,snd(last ((c1', s')\#xs')))\#ys)
      using assm by fastforce
      then have lastc1:last((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs') = ((c1', s') \# xs') ! length
xs'
                by (simp add: last-length)
      then have lastc1skip:fst\ (last\ ((c1, s) \# (c1', s') \# xs')) = Skip
           using assm by fastforce
       then have snd (last ((c1, s) # (c1', s') # xs')) = snd (last ((c1', s') #
xs'))
           using assm by force
      thus ?thesis
          using assm c1'cptn induct-step lastc1skip segmap
          by (auto simp add:cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2)
   qed
 }qed
next
 case (CatchThrowc\ c2\ s)
 have c2incptn:(n,\Gamma, (c2, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by fact
 then have 1:(n,\Gamma, [(Throw, Normal s)]) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
 then have 2:fst(last([(Throw, Normal s)])) = Throw by fastforce
 then have 3:(n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last\ [(Throw,Normal\ s)]))\#xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using c2incptn by auto
 then have (c2,Normal\ s)\#xs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ ]])@(c2,snd(last\ [(Throw,Normal\ s)\#xs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ ]])
s)]))#xs
      by (auto simp add:lift-def)
 thus ?case using 1 2 3 by (simp add: CptnModNestCatch3)
next
  case (CatchSkipc\ c2\ s)
 have (n,\Gamma, [(Skip, s)]) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
  then obtain ys where
   ys-nil:ys=[] and
```

```
last:(n,\Gamma, (Skip, s)\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   by auto
  moreover have fst\ (last\ ((Skip,\ s)\#ys)) = Skip\ using\ ys-nil\ last\ by\ auto
  moreover have snd (last ((Skip, s) \# ys)) = s  using ys-nil  last by auto
 moreover from ys-nil have (map (lift-catch c2) ys) = [] by auto
  ultimately show ?case using CatchSkipc.prems
    by simp (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2 ys-nil)
 case (FaultPropc\ c\ f)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.FaultPropc)
 case (AbruptPropc\ c\ f)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.AbruptPropc)
 case (StuckPropc\ c)
 thus ?case by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip stepc.StuckPropc)
qed
lemma not-func-redex-cptn-mod-nest-n-env:
assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c (P,s)\to_e (P,t) and
       a1:(n,\Gamma,(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
shows (n,\Gamma,(P,s)\#(P,t)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
 by (simp add: a0 a1 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv)
lemma cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod:(n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn-mod
by (induct rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct, (fastforce simp:cptn-mod.intros)+)
\mathbf{lemma}\ cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
proof (induct rule:cptn-mod.induct)
  case (CptnModSkip \Gamma P s t xs)
  then obtain n where cptn-nest:(n, \Gamma, (Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call by
auto
   {assume asm: \forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Skip \longrightarrow P \neq Call
f
     then have ?case using CptnModNestSkip[OF\ CptnModSkip(1)\ CptnMod-
Skip(2) asm cptn-nest] by auto
   note t1 = this
   {assume asm: \neg (\forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Skip \longrightarrow P \neq
Call f)
    then obtain f where asm:((\exists sn.\ s = Normal\ sn) \land (\Gamma\ f) = Some\ Skip \land P
= Call f) by auto
     then obtain sn where normal-s:s=Normal sn by auto
    then have t-eq-s:t=s using asm\ cptn-nest\ normal-s
```

```
by (metis\ CptnModSkip.hyps(1)\ LanguageCon.com.simps(22)
         Language Con.inter-guards.simps(79) Language Con.inter-guards-Call
         Pair-inject\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9))
   then have (Suc\ n, \Gamma, ((Call\ f), Normal\ sn) \# (Skip, Normal\ sn) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using asm cptn-nest normal-s CptnModNestCall by fastforce
    then have ?case using asm normal-s t-eq-s by fastforce
   \mathbf{note}\ t2 = this
   then show ?case using t1 t2 by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModThrow \Gamma P s t xs)
  then obtain n where cptn-nest:(n, \Gamma, (Throw, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    {assume asm: \forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Throw \longrightarrow P \neq
Call f)
     then have ?case using CptnModNestThrow[OF CptnModThrow(1) Cptn-
ModThrow(2) asm cptn-nest] by auto
   \mathbf{note}\ t1 = this
    {assume asm: \neg (\forall f. ((\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land (\Gamma \ f) = Some \ Throw \longrightarrow P
\neq Call f
    then obtain f where asm:((\exists sn.\ s = Normal\ sn) \land (\Gamma\ f) = Some\ Throw\ \land
P = Call f) by auto
     then obtain sn where normal-s:s=Normal sn by auto
    then have t-eq-s:t=s using asm\ cptn-nest\ normal-s
      by (metis\ CptnModThrow.hyps(1)\ LanguageCon.com.simps(22)
         Language Con.inter-guards.simps(79) Language Con.inter-guards-Call
         Pair-inject\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9))
      then have (Suc\ n,\ \Gamma,((Call\ f),\ Normal\ sn)\#(Throw,\ Normal\ sn)\#xs) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
      using asm cptn-nest normal-s CptnModNestCall by fastforce
    then have ?case using asm normal-s t-eq-s by fastforce
   \mathbf{note}\ t2 = this
   then show ?case using t1 t2 by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModSeq2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} using CptnMod\text{-}
Seg2(2) by auto
   also obtain m where m:(m, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModSeq2(5) by auto
  ultimately show ?case
  proof (cases \ n \ge m)
    case True thus ?thesis
    using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of\ m\ \Gamma-n]\ m\ n\ CptnModSeq2\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestSeq2
by blast
  next
    {f case}\ {\it False}
    thus ?thesis
      using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of n \Gamma - m] m n CptnModSeq2
           cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2 le-cases3 by blast
```

```
qed
next
  case (CptnModSeq3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
   obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P0, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using
CptnModSeg3(2) by auto
  also obtain m where m:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys)
\in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModSeq3(6) by auto
  ultimately show ?case
  proof (cases \ n \ge m)
    case True thus ?thesis
    using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of\ m\ \Gamma\ -n]\ m\ n\ CptnModSeq3\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestSeq3
     by fastforce
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of n \Gamma - m] m n CptnModSeq3
           cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestSeq3\ le-cases3
     proof -
      have f1: \neg n \leq m \vee (m, \Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        by (metis\ cptn-mod-nest-mono[of\ n\ \Gamma\ -\ m]\ n)
      have n \leq m
        using False by linarith
      then have (m, \Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using f1 by metis
      then show ?thesis
       by (metis (no-types) CptnModSeq3(3) CptnModSeq3(4) CptnModSeq3(7)
                cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3 m)
     qed
  qed
next
  case (CptnModWhile2 \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ zs \ ys)
   obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using
CptnModWhile2(2) by auto
  also obtain m where
    m: (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.While \ b \ P, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ Normal \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \#
ys) \in
        cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModWhile2(7) by auto
  ultimately show ?case
  proof (cases \ n \ge m)
    case True thus ?thesis
      using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of m \Gamma - n] m n
           CptnModWhile2 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile2 by metis
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
   proof -
```

```
have f1: \neg n \leq m \vee (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of n \Gamma - m] n by presburger
     have n \leq m
      using False by linarith
     then have (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using f1 by metis
     then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) CptnModWhile2(3) CptnModWhile2(4) CptnMod-
While 2(5)
               cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile2 m)
   qed
  qed
next
  case (CptnModWhile3 \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ s' \ ys \ zs)
  obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModWhile3(2) by auto
  also obtain m where
    m: (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModWhile3(7) by auto
  ultimately show ?case
  proof (cases \ n \ge m)
    case True thus ?thesis
    proof -
    have (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using True cptn-mod-nest-mono[of m \Gamma - n] m by presburger
     then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) CptnModWhile3.hyps(3) CptnModWhile3.hyps(4)
       CptnModWhile3.hyps(5) CptnModWhile3.hyps(8) cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile3
n)
    qed
  next
    {f case} False
  thus ?thesis using m \ n \ cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile 3 \ cptn-mod-nest-mono[of]
n \Gamma - m
     by (metis\ CptnModWhile3.hyps(3)\ CptnModWhile3.hyps(4)
         CptnModWhile3.hyps(5) CptnModWhile3.hyps(8) le\text{-}cases)
  qed
next
 case (CptnModCatch2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call using } CptnMod
Catch2(2) by auto
  also obtain m where m:(m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) #
(xs)) # (ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call)
    using CptnModCatch2(5) by auto
  ultimately show ?case
  proof (cases \ n \ge m)
    case True thus ?thesis
     using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of m \Gamma - n] m n
           CptnModCatch2 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2 by blast
```

```
next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
       using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of n \Gamma - m] m n CptnModCatch2
             cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2 le-cases3 by blast
   qed
next
  case (CptnModCatch3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
  obtain n where n:(n, \Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModCatch3(2) by auto
   also obtain m where m:(m, \Gamma, (ys, snd (last ((P0, Normal s) \# xs))) \# zs)
\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
    using CptnModCatch3(6) by auto
   ultimately show ?case
   proof (cases n > m)
    case True thus ?thesis
    using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of\ m\ \Gamma\ -n]\ m\ n\ CptnModCatch3\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestCatch3
       by fastforce
   \mathbf{next}
    case False
    thus ?thesis
       using cptn-mod-nest-mono[of n \Gamma - m] m n CptnModCatch3
             cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch3 le-cases3
      proof -
       have f1: \neg n \leq m \vee (m, \Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
         using \langle \bigwedge cfs. \ [(n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call; } n \leq m] \Longrightarrow (m, \Gamma, cfs) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call\rangle n by presburger
       have n < m
         using False by auto
       then have (m, \Gamma, (P0, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
         using f1 by meson
       then show ?thesis
       by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ P1 = map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ ys)\ xs\ @\ (ys,\ snd\ (last\ (P0,\ Nor-
(mal\ s)\ \#\ xs)))\ \#\ zs (fst\ (last\ ((P0,Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs))=LanguageCon.com.\ Throws
\langle snd \ (last \ ((P0, Normal \ s) \# xs)) = Normal \ s' \rangle \ cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch3
m)
      qed
   qed
qed(fastforce\ intro:\ cptn-mod-nest-call.intros)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}eq\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest:
  (\Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
  using cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by auto
lemma cptn-mod-eq-cptn-mod-nest':
  \exists n. ((\Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod \longleftrightarrow (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
  using cptn-mod-eq-cptn-mod-nest by auto
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ cptn-mod-eq-cptn-mod-nest1$:

```
(\Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod = (\exists n. (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)

using cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod by auto
```

```
lemma cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest: (\Gamma, cfs) \in cptn = (\exists n. (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call) using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod-by blast
```

8.11 computation on nested calls limit

8.12 Elimination theorems

```
lemma mod-env-not-component:
          \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow (P, t)
shows
proof
  assume a3:\Gamma\vdash_c (P, s) \to (P, t)
  thus False using step-change-p-or-eq-s a3 by fastforce
lemma elim-cptn-mod-nest-step-c:
 assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1
 shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to (Q,t) \lor \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to_e (Q,t)
proof-
  have (\Gamma, cfg) \in cptn using a\theta cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
   using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set by auto
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \rightarrow_{ce} (Q,t) using a1
   by (metis\ c\text{-step}\ cptn\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(2)\ e\text{-}step)
  thus ?thesis
    using step-ce-not-step-e-step-c by blast
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-env:
 assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(P,t)\#cfg1 and
        a2: \forall f. \ \Gamma \ f = Some \ (LanguageCon.com.Call \ f) \ \land
                     (\exists sn. \ s = Normal \ sn) \land s = t \longrightarrow SmallStepCon.redex \ P \neq
Language Con.com.Call\ f
 shows (n,\Gamma,(P,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
 using a\theta a1 a2
proof (induct arbitrary: P cfq1 s t rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then obtain xs' where xs = (P\theta, t)\#xs' unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0, t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call using } CptnModNest\text{-}
Seq1 by fastforce
   have (P, t) = lift P1 (P0, t) \wedge cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs'
      using CptnModNestSeq1.hyps(3) CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1) \langle xs = (P0, t) \rangle
\# xs'  by auto
  then have (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
```

```
by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 local.step)
   then show ?case
     using CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1) by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestSeg2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:x=(P\theta,t)
   proof-
     have zs = (Seq P0 P1, t) # cfg1 using Cons by fastforce
     thus ?thesis using Cons(7) unfolding lift-def
     proof -
       assume zs = map \ (\lambda a. \ case \ a \ of \ (P, s) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ P \ P1,
s)) (x \# xs') @
                  (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
       then have LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Seq P0
      by (simp\ add: \langle zs = (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ t) \# cfg1 \rangle \ case-prod-beta)
      then show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
     qed
   qed
    then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0, t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} using Cons by
   have fst (last ((P0, t) \# xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
     using Cons.prems(3) \langle x = (P0, t) \rangle by force
   then show ?case
     using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(6) CptnModNestSeq2.prems(1) x
          cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2 local.step by fastforce
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:x=(P\theta,t)
   proof-
     have zs:zs=(Seq\ P0\ P1,t)\#cfq1 using Cons by fastforce
     have (LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift P1 x
       by (simp add: lift-def prod.case-eq-if)
    then have Language Con.com.Seq (fst x) P1 = Language Con.com.Seq P0 P1
       using Cons.prems(7) zs by force
     then show ?thesis
```

```
by fastforce
   qed
    then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0, t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
fastforce
   then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
   using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
    by (metis\ snd\text{-}eqD)
  then show ?case using x Cons(5) Cons(6) cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSeq3
step
   proof -
    have last ((P0, Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
      using t x by force
    then have fst (last ((P0, Normal t') # xs')) = LanguageCon.com. Throw
      using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
    then show ?thesis
      using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
           CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1) cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3
           local.step \ t \ x \ by \ fastforce
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then obtain xs' where xs = (P\theta, t) \# xs' unfolding lift-catch-def by fastforce
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0, t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using CptnModNest-
Catch1 by fastforce
  have (P, t) = lift\text{-}catch P1 (P0, t) \land cfg1 = map (lift\text{-}catch P1) xs'
   t) # xs' by auto
   then have (n, \Gamma, (Catch \ P0 \ P1, t) \# \ cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1 local.step)
   then show ?case
    using CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:x=(P\theta,t)
   proof-
    have zs:zs=(Catch\ P0\ P1,t)\#cfg1 using Cons by fastforce
    have (Language Con. com. Catch (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift-catch P1 x
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def prod.case-eq-if)
     then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0 \ P1 \land snd \ x = t
       using Cons.prems(6) zs by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
```

```
by fastforce
       qed
        then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P\theta, t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} using Cons by
fastforce
       have fst (last ((P0, t) \# xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
           using Cons.prems(3) x by auto
       then show ?case
           using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(6) CptnModNestCatch2.prems(1)
                      cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch2\ local. step\ x\ {\bf by}\ fastforce
    qed
\mathbf{next}
   case (CptnModNestCatch3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
   thus ?case
   proof (induct xs)
       case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
       case (Cons x xs')
       then have x:x=(P\theta,t)
       proof-
           have zs:zs=(Catch\ P0\ P1,t)\#cfg1 using Cons by fastforce
           thus ?thesis using Cons(8) lift-catch-def unfolding lift-def
           proof -
              assume zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P1) \ (x \# xs') \ @ \ (P1, snd \ (last \ ((P0, Normal \ P1) \ (P1, Snd \ 
(sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
              then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0 \ P1 \land snd \ x = t
                  by (simp add: case-prod-unfold lift-catch-def zs)
              then show ?thesis
                  by fastforce
          qed
       qed
         then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0, t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
fastforce
       then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
        using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
           by (metis\ snd\text{-}eqD)
       then show ?case
       proof -
          have last ((P0, Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
              using t x by force
           then have fst (last ((P0, Normal t') # xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Throw
              using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
           then show ?thesis
              using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
                       CptnModNestCatch3.prems(1)\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch3
                         local.step \ t \ x \ by \ fastforce
       qed
    qed
```

```
lemma elim-cptn-mod-nest-not-env-call:
assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
       a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1 and
       a2:(\forall f. \ redex \ P \neq Call \ f) \ \lor
           SmallStepCon.redex\ P = LanguageCon.com.Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = None \lor
          (redex P = Call fn \land (\forall sa. s \neq Normal sa))
shows (n,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
using a\theta a1 a2
proof (induct arbitrary: P Q cfg1 s t rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then obtain P0'xs' where xs = (P0', t)\#xs' unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using CptnModNest-
Seq1 by fastforce
  have Q:(Q, t) = lift P1 (P0', t) \wedge cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs'
    using CptnModNestSeq1.hyps(3) CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1) \langle xs = (P0', t) \rangle
\# xs'  by auto
  also then have (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0'P1, t) \# cfq1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 local.step)
  ultimately show ?case
    using CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1)
    by (simp add: Cons-lift Q)
next
  case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
  next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
     obtain P0'' where zs: zs = (Seq P0'' P1,t) # cfg1 using <math>Cons(7) Cons(8)
      unfolding lift-def by (simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv case-prod-beta')
     thus ?thesis using Cons(7) unfolding lift-def
     proof -
       assume zs = map \ (\lambda a. \ case \ a \ of \ (P, \ s) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ P \ P1,
s)) (x \# xs') @
                  (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
      then have LanguageCon.com.Seq~(fst~x)~P1 = LanguageCon.com.Seq~P0''
P1 \wedge snd x = t
        by (simp add: zs case-prod-beta)
       also have sa=s using Cons by fastforce
      ultimately show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
     qed
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
    then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
```

qed(fastforce+)

```
force
   have fst\ (last\ ((P0',\ t)\ \#\ xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
    using Cons.prems(3) x by force
   then show ?case
    using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(6) CptnModNestSeq2.prems(1) x
          local.step\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeg2[of\ n\ \Gamma\ P0'\ t\ xs'\ P1\ ys]
Cons-lift-append
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) last-ConsR list.inject list.simps(3))
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons x xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
    obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Seq P0' P1,t)#cfg1 using Cons(8) Cons(9)
      unfolding lift-def
      unfolding lift-def by (simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv case-prod-beta')
    have (LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift P1 x
       by (simp add: lift-def prod.case-eq-if)
     then have LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Seq P0'
P1 \wedge snd x = t
       using zs by (simp \ add: Cons.prems(7))
    then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
   ged
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   proof -
    have f1: LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1 = P \land Normal \ sa = s
      using CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1) by blast
    then have SmallStepCon.redex\ P = SmallStepCon.redex\ P0
      by (metis\ SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4))
    then show ?thesis
      using f1\ Cons.prems(2)\ CptnModNestSeq3.prems(2)\ x by presburger
   then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
   using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
x
    by (metis\ snd\text{-}eqD)
  then show ?case using x Cons(5) Cons(6) cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3
step
   proof -
    have last ((P0', Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
      using t x by force
   also then have fst (last ((P0', Normal t') \# xs')) = LanguageCon.com. Throw
      using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
           CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1)\ cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSeq3[of\ n
\Gamma P0't'xs's'ys
           local.step t x Cons-lift-append
     by (metis\ (no\text{-types},\ lifting)\ list.sel(3))
   qed
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then obtain P0'xs' where xs:xs = (P0', t)\#xs' unfolding lift-catch-def by
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using CptnModNest-
Catch1 by fastforce
  have Q:(Q, t) = lift\text{-}catch P1 (P0', t) \land cfg1 = map (lift\text{-}catch P1) xs'
   using CptnModNestCatch1.hyps(3) CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) xs by auto
   then have (n, \Gamma, (Catch P0' P1, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1 local.step)
   then show ?case
     using CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) by (simp add:Cons-lift-catch Q)
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch2\ n\ \Gamma\ P0\ sa\ xs\ ys\ zs\ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
     obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Catch P0' P1,t)#cfg1 using Cons unfolding
lift-catch-def
      by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
     have (Language Con. com. Catch (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift-catch P1 x
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def prod.case-eq-if)
     then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0' P1 \wedge snd x = t
       using Cons.prems(6) zs by fastforce
     then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   \mathbf{using}\ Cons.prems(2)\ CptnModNestCatch2.prems(1)\ CptnModNestCatch2.prems(2)
x by force
   have skip:fst\ (last\ ((P0',\ t)\ \#\ xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
     using Cons.prems(3) x by auto
   show ?case
   proof -
    have (P, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1 = (LanguageCon.com.Catch P0 P1, sa) \# map
```

```
(lift-catch P1) (x \# xs') @
           (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
      using CptnModNestCatch2.prems Cons.prems(6) by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using Cons-lift-catch-append Cons.prems(4)
            cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2[OF local.step skip] last.simps
list.distinct(1)
      by (metis\ (no-types)\ list.sel(3)\ x)
   qed
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
     obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Catch P0' P1,t)#cfg1 using Cons unfolding
lift-catch-def
      by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
    thus ?thesis using Cons(8) lift-catch-def unfolding lift-def
    proof -
      assume zs = map (lift-catch P1) (x \# xs') @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal
(sa) \# x \# xs')) \# ys
      then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0' P1 \wedge snd x = t
        by (simp add: case-prod-unfold lift-catch-def zs)
      then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
    qed
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons
   using Cons.prems(2) CptnModNestCatch3.prems(1) CptnModNestCatch3.prems(2)
x by force
   then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
   using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
    by (metis \ snd-eqD)
   then show ?case
   proof -
    have last ((P0', Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
      using t x by force
   also then have fst\ (last\ ((P0', Normal\ t') \ \#\ xs')) = LanguageCon.com.\ Throw
      using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
```

```
CptnModNestCatch3.prems(1) cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch3[of]
n \Gamma P0' t' xs' s' P1
            local.step\ t\ x\ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ Cons-lift-catch-append\ list.sel(3))
   qed
 ged
next
case (CptnModNestWhile1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s' \ xs \ b \ zs)
 thus ?case
  using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 list.inject by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestWhile2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s' \ xs \ b \ zs \ ys)
 have (Language Con. com. While b P0, Normal s') = (P, s) \land
       (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 (LanguageCon.com.While b P0), Normal s') #
zs = (Q, t) \# cfg1
   using CptnModNestWhile2.prems by fastforce
 then show ?case
   using CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(1) CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(3)
         CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(5) CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(6)
         cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2 by blast
next
  case (CptnModNestWhile3\ n\ \Gamma\ P0\ s'\ xs\ b\ zs) thus ?case
  by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(1)\ CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(3)
CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(5)
                         CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(6) CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(8)
CptnModNestWhile 3.prems
                      cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3 list.inject)
qed(fastforce+)
inductive-cases stepc-call-skip-normal:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Call\ p, Normal\ s) \to (Skip, s')
\mathbf{lemma}\ elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-greater-zero:
assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a1:cfg = (P,Normal\ s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1\ \land\ P = Call\ f\ \land\ \Gamma\ f = Some\ Q\ \land
P \neq Q
shows n > 0
 using a0 a1 by (induct rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct, fastforce+)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-0-False} :
assumes a\theta:(\theta,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a1:cfg = (P,Normal\ s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1\ \land\ P = Call\ f\ \land\ \Gamma\ f = Some\ Q\ \land
P \neq Q
shows PP
using a 0 a 1 elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-greater-zero
by fastforce
lemma elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-dec1:
assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
```

```
a1:cfg = (P, Normal\ s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1\ \land\ P = Call\ f\ \land\ \Gamma\ f = Some\ Q\ \land\ t=
Normal s \land P \neq Q
shows (n-1,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a\theta a1
 by (induct rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct,fastforce+)
lemma elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-dec:
assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,(Call\ f,Normal\ s)\#(the\ (\Gamma\ f),Normal\ s)\#cfg1)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
and
        a1:\Gamma f = Some \ Q \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ a2:Call \ f \neq the \ (\Gamma f)
      shows (n-1,\Gamma,(the\ (\Gamma\ f),Normal\ s)\#cfg1)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
 using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-dec1
using a\theta a1 a2
 by fastforce
lemma elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n:
assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a1:cfg = (P, s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1
shows (n,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
using a\theta a1
proof (induct arbitrary: P Q cfg1 s t rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct )
case (CptnModNestCall\ n\ \Gamma\ bdy\ sa\ ys\ p)
  thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-mono1 list.inject by blast
next
case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then obtain P0'xs' where xs = (P0', t)\#xs' unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call using } CptnModNest\text{-}
Seq1 by fastforce
  have Q:(Q, t) = lift P1 (P0', t) \wedge cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs'
    using CptnModNestSeq1.hyps(3) CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1) \langle xs = (P0', t) \rangle
  also then have (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0'P1, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 local.step)
  ultimately show ?case
    using CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1)
    by (simp \ add: Cons-lift \ Q)
next
  case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
     obtain P0'' where zs: zs = (Seq P0'' P1,t) # cfq1 using <math>Cons(7) Cons(8)
       unfolding lift-def by (simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv case-prod-beta')
     thus ?thesis using Cons(7) unfolding lift-def
```

```
proof -
       assume zs = map \ (\lambda a. \ case \ a \ of \ (P, \ s) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ P \ P1,
s)) (x \# xs') @
                 (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
      then have Language Con.com.Seq (fst x) P1 = Language Con.com.Seq P0''
P1 \wedge snd x = t
        by (simp add: zs case-prod-beta)
      also have sa=s using Cons by fastforce
      ultimately show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
     qed
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
force
   have fst\ (last\ ((P0',\ t)\ \#\ xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
     using Cons.prems(3) x by force
   then show ?case
     using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(6) CptnModNestSeq2.prems(1) x
          local.step\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2[of\ n\ \Gamma\ P0'\ t\ xs'\ P1\ ys]
Cons-lift-append
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) last-ConsR list.inject list.simps(3))
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
    obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Seq P0' P1,t)\#cfg1 using Cons(8) Cons(9)
      unfolding lift-def
      unfolding lift-def by (simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv case-prod-beta')
     have (Language Con.com. Seq (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift P1 x
       by (simp add: lift-def prod.case-eq-if)
     then have LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Seq P0'
P1 \wedge snd x = t
       using zs by (simp \ add: Cons.prems(7))
     then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call using } Cons by
fastforce
   then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
   using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
\boldsymbol{x}
     by (metis\ snd-eqD)
  then show ?case using x Cons(5) Cons(6) cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3
```

```
step
      proof -
          have last ((P0', Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
             using t x by force
       also then have fst (last ((P0', Normal t') \# xs')) = LanguageCon.com. Throw
             using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
          ultimately show ?thesis
             using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
                       CptnModNestSeq 3.prems (1) \ cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSeq 3 \\ [of nest-call and color by the color by
\Gamma P0't'xs's'ys
                       local.step t x Cons-lift-append
          by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ list.sel(3))
      qed
   qed
next
   case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
    then obtain P0'xs' where xs:xs = (P0', t)\#xs' unfolding lift-catch-def by
fast force
    then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using CptnModNest-
 Catch1 by fastforce
     have Q:(Q, t) = lift\text{-}catch P1 (P0', t) \land cfg1 = map (lift\text{-}catch P1) xs'
       using CptnModNestCatch1.hyps(3) CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) xs by auto
      then have (n, \Gamma, (Catch P0' P1, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1 local.step)
      then show ?case
          using CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) by (simp add:Cons-lift-catch Q)
   case (CptnModNestCatch2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ ys \ zs \ P1)
   thus ?case
   proof (induct xs)
      case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
      case (Cons \ x \ xs')
      then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
      proof-
           obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Catch P0' P1,t)#cfq1 using Cons unfolding
lift-catch-def
             by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
          have (Language Con. com. Catch (fst x) P1, snd x) = lift-catch P1 x
              by (simp add: lift-catch-def prod.case-eq-if)
           then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0' P1 \wedge snd x = t
               using Cons.prems(6) zs by fastforce
          then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
      then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
       then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
fast force
      have skip:fst\ (last\ ((P0',\ t)\ \#\ xs')) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
```

```
using Cons.prems(3) x by auto
   show ?case
   proof -
    have (P, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1 = (LanguageCon.com.Catch P0 P1, sa) \# map
(lift\text{-}catch\ P1)\ (x\ \#\ xs')\ @
           (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# x \# xs'))) \# ys
      using CptnModNestCatch2.prems Cons.prems(6) by auto
     then show ?thesis
      using Cons-lift-catch-append Cons.prems(4)
            cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestCatch2[OF\ local.step\ skip]\ last.simps
list.distinct(1)
      by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ list.sel(3)\ x)
   qed
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xs \ s' \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 thus ?case
 proof (induct xs)
   case Nil thus ?case using Nil.prems(6) Nil.prems(7) by force
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   then have x:\exists P\theta'. x=(P\theta',t)
   proof-
     obtain P0' where zs:zs=(Catch P0' P1,t)#cfq1 using Cons unfolding
lift-catch-def
      by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
     thus ?thesis using Cons(8) lift-catch-def unfolding lift-def
     proof -
      assume zs = map (lift-catch P1) (x \# xs') @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, Normal
(sa) \# x \# xs')) \# ys
      then have LanguageCon.com.Catch (fst x) P1 = LanguageCon.com.Catch
P0' P1 \wedge snd x = t
        by (simp add: case-prod-unfold lift-catch-def zs)
      then show ?thesis by (meson eq-snd-iff)
    qed
   qed
   then obtain P\theta' where x:x=(P\theta',t) by auto
   then have step:(n, \Gamma, (P0', t) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using Cons by
fastforce
   then obtain t' where t:t=Normal\ t'
   using Normal-Normal Cons(2) Cons(5) cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
    by (metis\ snd\text{-}eqD)
   then show ?case
   proof -
     have last ((P0', Normal\ t') \# xs') = last\ ((P0, Normal\ sa) \# x \# xs')
      using t x by force
   also then have fst (last ((P0', Normal t') \# xs')) = LanguageCon.com. Throw
```

```
using Cons.prems(3) by presburger
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5) Cons.prems(7)
         CptnModNestCatch3.prems(1) cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch3[of
n \Gamma P0't'xs's'P1
            local.step \ t \ x \ by \ (metis \ Cons-lift-catch-append \ list.sel(3))
   qed
 qed
next
case (CptnModNestWhile1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s' \ xs \ b \ zs)
 thus ?case
  using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 list.inject by blast
next
 case (CptnModNestWhile2\ n\ \Gamma\ P0\ s'\ xs\ b\ zs\ ys)
 have (Language Con.com. While b P0, Normal s') = (P, s) \land
       (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ P0),\ Normal\ s')\ \#
zs = (Q, t) \# cfg1
   using CptnModNestWhile2.prems by fastforce
 then show ?case
   using CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(1) CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(3)
         CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(5) CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(6)
        cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2 by blast
\mathbf{next}
 case (CptnModNestWhile3 n Γ P0 s' xs b zs) thus ?case
  by (metis (no-types) CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(1) CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(3)
CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(5)
                        CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(6) CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(8)
CptnModNestWhile 3.prems\\
                     cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSeq 3\ list.inject)
qed (fastforce+)
definition min-call where
min-call n \Gamma cfs \equiv (n, \Gamma, cfs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge (\forall m < n, \neg((m, \Gamma, cfs) \in Cptn)
cptn-mod-nest-call))
lemma minimum-nest-call:
 (m,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
  \exists n. min-call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfs
unfolding min-call-def
proof (induct arbitrary: m rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
case (CptnModNestOne) thus ?case using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne
by blast
next
 case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
 then have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow (P, t)
  using mod-env-not-component step-change-p-or-eq-s by blast
```

```
then obtain min-n where min:(min-n, \Gamma, (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                          (\forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (P, t) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   using CptnModNestEnv by blast
  then have (min-n, \Gamma, (P,s)\#(P, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv CptnModNestEnv by blast
  also have (\forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
    using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnModNestSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
  then obtain min-n where
    min:(min-n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
      (\forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, t) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
 then have (min-n, \Gamma, (P,s)\#(LanguageCon.com.Skip, t) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSkip CptnModNestSkip by blast
  also have (\forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# (LanguageCon.com.Skip, t) \# xs) \notin
cptn-mod-nest-call)
   using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min by blast
  ultimately show ?case by fastforce
  case (CptnModNestThrow \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs) thus ?case
    by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestThrow elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n)
  case (CptnModNestCondT \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ b \ P1) thus ?case
   by (meson\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestCondT\ elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n)
next
  case (CptnModNestCondF \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ s \ xs \ b \ P0) thus ?case
   by (meson cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCondF elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n)
next
  case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ zs \ Q) thus ?case
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Seq-P-Not-finish cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestSeq1
div-seq-nest)
next
  case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ Q \ ys \ zs)
  then obtain min-p where
    \mathit{min-p}:(\mathit{min-p}, \, \Gamma, \, (P, \, \, s) \, \# \, \mathit{xs}) \in \mathit{cptn-mod-nest-call} \, \wedge \,
       (\forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
  from CptnModNestSeq2(5) obtain min-q where
    min-q:(min-q, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
     (\forall\,m{<}min{-}q.\;(m,\,\Gamma,\,(\,Q,\,snd\;(last\;((P,\,s)\;\#\;xs)))\;\#\;ys)\notin cptn{-}mod{-}nest{-}call)
 by auto
  thus ?case
  proof(cases min-p \ge min-q)
   case True
```

```
then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P,s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-q using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
   then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using conjunct1[OF\ min-p]\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2[of\ min-p]\ \Gamma
P \ s \ xs \ Q \ ys \ zs
          CptnModNestSeq2(6) CptnModNestSeq2(3)
   by blast
   also have \forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (metis CptnModNestSeq2.hyps(3) CptnModNestSeq2.hyps(6) Seq-P-Ends-Normal
div-seq-nest min-p)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using conjunct1[OF\ min-q]\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq2[of\ min-q]\ \Gamma
P \ s \ xs \ Q \ ys \ zs
          CptnModNestSeq2(6) CptnModNestSeq2(3)
   also have \forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
    proof -
     \{fix m
     assume min-m:m < min-q
     then have (m, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs)\notin cptn-mod-nest-call
     proof -
     {assume ass:(m, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call}
      then obtain xs's's'' where
        m\text{-}cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                 seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ Q\ xs'\ P\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ m
        using
        div\text{-}seq\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs]
        by fastforce
      then have seq-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s s'' s' \Gamma m by auto
      then have ?thesis
       using Seq-P-Ends-Normal[OF CptnModNestSeq2(6) CptnModNestSeq2(3)
ass
             min-m min-q
        by (metis last-length)
     } thus ?thesis by auto
     qed
     }thus ?thesis by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestSeg3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ Q)
  then obtain min-p where
    min-p:(min-p, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
```

```
(\forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
 from CptnModNestSeq3(6) obtain min-q where
   min-q:(min-q, \Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
       (\forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
 by auto
 thus ?case
 \mathbf{proof}(cases\ min-p \geq min-q)
   case True
   then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-q using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
   then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Seq P Q, Normal s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using conjunct1[OF\ min-p]\ cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq3[of\ min-p]
P s xs s' ys zs Q
          CptnModNestSeg3(4) CptnModNestSeg3(3) CptnModNestSeg3(7)
   by blast
   also have \forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (metis\ CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(3)\ CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(4)\ CptnModNest-
Seq3.hyps(7) Seq-P-Ends-Abort div-seq-nest min-p)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using conjunct1[OF\ min-q]\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestSeq3[of\ min-q]\ \Gamma
P \ s \ xs \ s' \ ys \ zs \ Q
          CptnModNestSeq3(4) CptnModNestSeq3(3) CptnModNestSeq3(7)
   by blast
   also have \forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
   by (metis\ CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(3)\ CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(4)\ CptnModNest-
Seq3.hyps(7) Seq-P-Ends-Abort div-seq-nest min-q)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestWhile1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ zs)
 then obtain min-n where
    min:(min-n, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
       (\forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
 then have (min-n, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s)
\# zs \in cptn-mod-nest-call
  using cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile1 [of min-n \Gamma P s xs b zs] CptnModNestWhile1
   by meson
  also have \forall m < min-n. (m, \Gamma, (While b P, Normal s) \# (Seq P (While b P),
Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\ \notin\ cptn-mod-nest-call
  by (metis CptnModNestWhile1.hyps(4) Seq-P-Not-finish div-seq-nest elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n
min)
 ultimately show ?case by auto
```

```
next
    case (CptnModNestWhile2\ n\ \Gamma\ P\ s\ xs\ b\ zs\ ys)
   then obtain min-n-p where
        min-p:(min-n-p, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
              (\forall m < min-n-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
      by auto
   from CptnModNestWhile2 obtain min-n-w where
         min-w:(min-n-w, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.While b P, snd (last ((P, Normal s)
\# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
            (\forall m < min-n-w. (m, \Gamma, (Language Con.com. While b P, snd (last ((P, Normal P, Normal
(s) \# (xs))) \# (ys)
                          \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
      by auto
   thus ?case
   proof (cases min-n-p \ge min-n-w)
      case True
      then have (min-n-p, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma)
            (Language Con.com. While \ b \ P, \ snd \ (last \ ((P, \ Normal \ s) \ \# \ xs))) \ \# \ ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
          using min-w using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
      then have (min-n-p, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal
s) \# zs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
            using min-p cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile2[of min-n-p \Gamma P s xs b
zs] CptnModNestWhile2
          by blast
      also have \forall m < min-n-p. (m, \Gamma, (While b P, Normal s) \# (Seq P (While b P), Normal s)
Normal s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
          by (metis CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(3) CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(5)
                            Seq	ext{-}P	ext{-}Ends	ext{-}Normal\ div	ext{-}seq	ext{-}nest\ elim	ext{-}cptn	ext{-}mod	ext{-}nest	ext{-}call	ext{-}n\ min	ext{-}p)
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      then have False:min-n-p < min-n-w by auto
      then have (min-n-w, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
      then have (min-n-w, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal
s) \# zs \in cptn-mod-nest-call
           using min-w min-p cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile2[of min-n-w \Gamma P
s \ xs \ b \ zs] CptnModNestWhile2
      also have \forall m < min-n-w. (m, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P),
Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\ \notin\ cptn-mod-nest-call
      proof -
          \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
          assume min-m:m < min-n-w
           then have (m, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s)
\# zs) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
          proof -
          {assume (m, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s) \#
```

```
zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    then have a1:(m, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P), Normal\ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using elim-cptn-mod-nest-not-env-call by fastforce
      then obtain xs's's'' where
         m\text{-}cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                 seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ (While\ b\ P)\ xs'\ P\ (Normal\ s)\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ m
         div\text{-}seq\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b
P), Normal\ s) \#\ zs
         by fastforce
     then have seq-cond-nest zs (While b P) xs' P (Normal s) s'' s' \Gamma m by auto
      then have ?thesis unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
            by (metis\ CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(3)\ CptnModNestWhile2.hyps(5)
Seq-P-Ends-Normal a1 last-length m-cptn min-m min-w)
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
    }thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case (CptnModNestWhile3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ b \ s' \ ys \ zs)
  then obtain min-n-p where
    min-p:(min-n-p, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
       (\forall m < min-n-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
 from CptnModNestWhile3 obtain min-n-w where
      min-w:(min-n-w, \Gamma, (Throw, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
       (\forall m < min-n-w. (m, \Gamma, (Throw, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys)
             \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
   by auto
  thus ?case
 proof (cases min-n-p \ge min-n-w)
   case True
   then have (min-n-p, \Gamma, \Gamma, \Gamma)
     (Throw, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-w using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
   then have (min-n-p, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal
s) \# zs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
    using min-p cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile3[of min-n-p \Gamma P s xs b s'
ys zs
          CptnModNestWhile3
     by fastforce
   also have \forall m < min-n-p. (m, \Gamma, (While b P, Normal s) \# (Seq P (While b P),
Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\ \notin\ cptn-mod-nest-call
      by (metis\ CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(3)\ CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(5)\ Cptn-
ModNestWhile3.hyps(8)
```

```
Seq-P-Ends-Abort div-seq-nest elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-p)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  next
   {f case} False
   then have False:min-n-p<min-n-w by auto
   then have (min-n-w, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
   then have (min-n-w, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal
s) \# zs \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-w min-p cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestWhile3 [of min-n-w \Gamma P
s xs b s' ys zs
           CptnModNestWhile3
     by fastforce
   also have \forall m < min-n-w. (m, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P),
Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\ \notin\ cptn-mod-nest-call
   proof -
     \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
     assume min-m:m < min-n-w
     then have (m, \Gamma, (While \ b \ P, Normal \ s) \# (Seq \ P \ (While \ b \ P), Normal \ s)
\# zs) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
     proof -
     {assume (m, \Gamma, (While\ b\ P, Normal\ s) \# (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P), Normal\ s) \#
zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    then have s1:(m, \Gamma, (Seq\ P\ (While\ b\ P), Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using elim-cptn-mod-nest-not-env-call by fastforce
      then obtain xs's's'' where
         m-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                 seq\text{-}cond\text{-}nest\ zs\ (While\ b\ P)\ xs'\ P\ (Normal\ s)\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ m
       using
         div\text{-}seq\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b
P), Normal\ s) \#\ zs]
         by fastforce
     then have seq-cond-nest zs (While b P) xs' P (Normal s) s'' s' \Gamma m by auto
      then have ?thesis unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
       by (metis CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(3) CptnModNestWhile3.hyps(5) Cpt-
nModNestWhile3.hyps(8) Seq-P-Ends-Abort s1 m-cptn min-m min-w)
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
    }thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestCall\ n\ \Gamma\ bdy\ s\ xs\ f) thus ?case
  proof -
   { fix nn :: nat \Rightarrow nat
    obtain nna :: nat where
     ff1: (nna, \Gamma, (bdy, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land (\forall n. \neg n < nna)
```

```
\vee (n, \Gamma, (bdy, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
     by (meson\ CptnModNestCall.hyps(2))
   moreover
   { assume (nn \ (nn \ (Suc \ nna)), \ \Gamma, \ (bdy, \ Normal \ s) \ \# \ xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call}
     then have \neg Suc (nn (nn (Suc nna))) < Suc nna
       using ff1 by blast
     then have (nn (Suc nna), \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Call f, Normal s) \# (bdy,
Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \longrightarrow (\exists n. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Call f,
Normal\ s)\ \#\ (bdy,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
               (\neg nn \ n < n \lor (nn \ n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Call f, Normal s) \#
(bdy, Normal \ s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
       using ff1 by (meson\ CptnModNestCall.hyps(3)\ CptnModNestCall.hyps(4)
cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCall less-trans-Suc) }
  ultimately have \exists n. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Call f, Normal s) \# (bdy, Nor-
mal\ s) \# xs \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land (\neg nn\ n < n \lor (nn\ n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Call
f, Normal s) \# (bdy, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
      by (metis (no-types) CptnModNestCall.hyps(3) CptnModNestCall.hyps(4)
cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCall elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n) }
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
 qed
next
case (CptnModNestDynCom\ n\ \Gamma\ c\ s\ xs) thus ?case
  by (meson\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestDynCom\ elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n)
  case (CptnModNestGuard\ n\ \Gamma\ c\ s\ xs\ g\ f) thus ?case
   by (meson\ cptn-mod-nest-call\ .CptnModNestGuard\ elim-cptn-mod-nest-call\ .)
next
case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ zs \ Q) thus ?case
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Catch-P-Not-finish cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1
div-catch-nest)
next
case (CptnModNestCatch2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ xs \ ys \ zs \ Q)
then obtain min-p where
    min-p:(min-p, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
       (\forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
  from CptnModNestCatch2(5) obtain min-q where
   min-q:(min-q, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
     (\forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((P, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
  by auto
  thus ?case
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ min-p \geq min-q)
   case True
  then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((P,s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-q using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
   then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using conjunct1[OF min-p] cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2[of min-p]
\Gamma P s xs
```

```
CptnModNestCatch2(6) CptnModNestCatch2(3)
   by blast
   also have \forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
    proof -
     \{fix m
     assume min-m:m < min-p
     then have (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
     {assume ass:(m, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call}
      then obtain xs' s' s'' where
         m\text{-}cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                 catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s s'' s' \Gamma m
        using
         div\text{-}catch\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (Catch\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs]
         by fastforce
      then have catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s s'' s' \Gamma m by auto
      then have xs=xs'
            using Catch-P-Ends-Skip[OF CptnModNestCatch2(6) CptnModNest-
Catch2(3)
        by fastforce
      then have (m, \Gamma, (P,s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using m-cptn by auto
      then have False using min-p min-m by fastforce
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   \mathbf{qed}
   }thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
     using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using conjunct1[OF min-q] cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch2[of min-q]
\Gamma P s xs
           CptnModNestCatch2(6) CptnModNestCatch2(3)
   by blast
   also have \forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
    proof -
     \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
     \mathbf{assume}\ \mathit{min-m}{:}\mathit{m< min-q}
     then have (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, \ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
     {assume ass:(m, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      then obtain xs's's'' where
         m-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, s) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                 catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P s s'' s' \Gamma m
        using
         div\text{-}catch\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (Catch\ P\ Q,\ s)\ \#\ zs]
```

```
by fastforce
      then have catch-cond-nest zs \ Q \ xs' \ P \ s \ s'' \ s' \ \Gamma \ m by auto
      then have ?thesis
           using Catch-P-Ends-Skip[OF CptnModNestCatch2(6) CptnModNest-
Catch2(3)
             min-m min-q
      by blast
     } thus ?thesis by auto
     qed
     }thus ?thesis by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
case (CptnModNestCatch3\ n\ \Gamma\ P\ s\ xs\ s'\ Q\ ys\ zs ) then obtain min\text{-}p where
    min-p:(min-p, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
       (\forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
   by auto
 from CptnModNestCatch3(6) CptnModNestCatch3(4) obtain min-q where
  min-q:(min-q, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
         (\forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \notin
cptn-mod-nest-call)
 by auto
 thus ?case
 proof(cases min-p \ge min-q)
   case True
     then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-q using cptn-mod-nest-mono by blast
   then have (min-p, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using conjunct1[OF min-p] cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch3[of min-p]
\Gamma P s xs s' Q ys zs
        CptnModNestCatch3(4) CptnModNestCatch3(3) CptnModNestCatch3(7)
  also have \forall m < min-p. (m, \Gamma, (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
    proof -
     \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
     assume min-m:m < min-p
     then have (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
     proof -
     {assume ass:(m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call}
      then obtain xs' ns'' ns'' where
        m-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land m
                catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P (Normal\ s) ns'' ns' \Gamma m
       using
        div\text{-}catch\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (Catch\ P\ Q,\ Normal\ s)\ \#\ zs]
        by fastforce
     then have catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P (Normal s) ns'' ns' \Gamma m by auto
```

```
then have xs=xs'
       using Catch-P-Ends-Normal[OF CptnModNestCatch3(7) CptnModNest-
Catch3(3) CptnModNestCatch3(4)
       by fastforce
     then have (m, \Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
       using m-cptn by auto
     then have False using min-p min-m by fastforce
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   }thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (P, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-p cptn-mod-nest-mono by force
   then have (min-q, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, Normal s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using conjunct1[OF min-q] cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch3[of min-q
\Gamma P s xs s'
        CptnModNestCatch3(4) CptnModNestCatch3(3) CptnModNestCatch3(7)
   by blast
  also have \forall m < min-q. (m, \Gamma, (Catch\ P\ Q, Normal\ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
    proof -
     \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
    assume min-m:m < min-q
     then have (m, \Gamma, (Catch \ P \ Q, Normal \ s) \# zs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
     {assume ass:(m, \Gamma, (Catch P Q, Normal s) \# zs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     then obtain xs' ns' ns" where
        m-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# xs') \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land n
               catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P (Normal\ s) ns'' ns' \Gamma m
       using
        div-catch-nest[of m \Gamma (Catch P Q, Normal s) # zs]
        by fastforce
     then have catch-cond-nest zs Q xs' P (Normal s) ns'' ns' \Gamma m by auto
     then have ?thesis
        using Catch-P-Ends-Normal[OF CptnModNestCatch3(7) CptnModNest-
Catch3(3) CptnModNestCatch3(4)
            min-m min-q
       by (metis last-length)
     } thus ?thesis by auto
     qed
     }thus ?thesis by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
qed
 lemma elim-cptn-mod-min-nest-call:
```

```
assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1 and
        a2:(\forall f. \ redex \ P \neq Call \ f) \ \lor
            SmallStepCon.redex\ P = LanguageCon.com.Call\ fn \land \Gamma\ fn = None \lor
           (redex\ P = Call\ fn \land (\forall sa.\ s \neq Normal\ sa)) \lor
           (redex P = Call fn \land P = Q)
 shows min-call n \Gamma ((Q,t)\#cfg1)
proof -
  have a\theta: (n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
      a0': (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
  using a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
  then have (n,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   using a0 a1 elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n by blast
  also have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q,t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
  proof-
  { assume \neg (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q,t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)}
   then obtain m where
     asm\theta:m < n and
     asm1:(m, \Gamma, (Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   by auto
   then have (m, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using a0 a1 a2 cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv
          cptn-elim-cases(2) not-func-redex-cptn-mod-nest-n'
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) mod-env-not-component)
   then have False using a\theta' asm\theta by auto
  } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ elim\text{-}call\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}min\text{-}nest\text{-}call\text{:}
assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1 and
        a2:P = Call f \land
            \Gamma f = Some \ Q \land (\exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa) \land P \neq Q
 shows min\text{-}call\ (n-1)\ \Gamma\ ((Q,t)\#cfg1)
proof -
  obtain s' where a\theta: (n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
      a\theta': (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call) and
      a2': s = Normal s'
   using a0 a2 unfolding min-call-def by auto
  then have (n-1,\Gamma,(Q,t)\#cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   using a1 a2 a2' elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-dec[of n \Gamma f s' cfg1 Q]
  by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ SmallStepCon.redex.simps(7)\ call-f-step-not-s-eq-t-false
       cptn-elim-cases(2) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod option.sel)
```

```
thus ?thesis
  proof -
    obtain nn :: (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \Rightarrow
                ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \ where
      \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists v3 < x2. \ (v3, x1, x0) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call) =
                  (nn \ x0 \ x1 \ x2 < x2 \land (nn \ x0 \ x1 \ x2, \ x1, \ x0) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
      by moura
    then have f1: \forall n \ f \ ps. \ (\neg \ min\text{-}call \ n \ f \ ps \ \lor \ (n, f, ps) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
                            (\forall na. \neg na < n \lor (na, f, ps) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call})) \land
                            (min\text{-}call\ n\ f\ ps\ \lor\ (n,\,f,\,ps)\notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \lor
                    nn \ ps \ f \ n < n \land (nn \ ps \ f \ n, f, ps) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
      by (meson min-call-def)
    then have f2: (n, \Gamma, (P, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call } \land
             (\forall na. \neg na < n \lor (na, \Gamma, (P, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
     using a1 \ assms(1) by blast
    obtain bb :: 'b where
      f3: s = Normal\ bb
      using a2 by blast
    then have f_4: (Language Con. com. Call f, Normal bb) = (P, s)
      using a2 by blast
    have f5: n - 1 < n
    using f2 by (metis (no-types) Suc-diff-Suc a2 diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-greater-zero
lessI minus-nat.diff-0)
    have f6: (LanguageCon.com.Call f, Normal bb) = (P, s)
      using f3 a2 by blast
    have f7: Normal bb = t
      using f4 f2 by (metis (no-types) SmallStepCon.redex.simps(7) a2
                            call-f-step-not-s-eq-t-false cptn-elim-cases(2)
                            cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod)
  have (nn(Q, t) \# cfg1) \Gamma (n-1), \Gamma, (Q, Normal bb) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
              (Suc (nn ((Q, t) # cfg1) \Gamma (n - 1)), \Gamma,
              (LanguageCon.com.Call\ f,\ Normal\ bb)\ \#\ (Q,\ Normal\ bb)\ \#\ cfg1) \in
cptn{-}mod{-}nest{-}call
      using a2 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCall by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
        using f7 f6 f5 f2 f1 \langle (n-1, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \rangle
less-trans-Suc by blast
  qed
qed
lemma redex-not-call-seq-catch:
 assumes a\theta: redex P = Call f \land P \neq Call f
 shows \exists p1 \ p2. \ P = Seq \ p1 \ p2 \lor P = Catch \ p1 \ p2
using a\theta unfolding min-call-def
proof(induct P)
qed(fastforce+)
```

```
lemma skip-all-skip:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma,cfg)\in cptn and
        a1:cfg = (Skip,s)\#cfg1
 shows \forall i < length \ cfg. \ fst(cfg!i) = Skip
using a\theta a1
proof(induct cfg1 arbitrary:cfg s)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then obtain s' where x:x = (Skip, s')
   by (metis CptnMod-elim-cases(1) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set stepc-elim-cases(1))
 moreover have cptn:(\Gamma, x \# xs) \in cptn
   using Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(2) cptn-dest-pair by blast
 moreover have
   xs:x \# xs = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, s') \# xs  using x by auto
 ultimately show ?case using Cons(1)[OF\ cptn\ xs]\ Cons(3)
   using diff-Suc-1 fstI length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons' by auto
qed
lemma skip-all-skip-throw:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma,cfg)\in cptn and
        a1:cfg = (Throw,s) \# cfg1
 shows \forall i < length \ cfg. \ fst(cfg!i) = Skip \ \lor \ fst(cfg!i) = Throw
using a\theta a1
proof(induct cfg1 arbitrary:cfg s)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then obtain s' where x:x = (Skip, s') \lor x = (Throw, s')
   by (metis CptnMod-elim-cases(10) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set)
 then have cptn:(\Gamma, x \# xs) \in cptn
   using Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(2) cptn-dest-pair by blast
 show ?case using x
 proof
   assume x = (Skip, s') thus ?thesis using skip-all-skip Cons(3)
   using cptn fstI length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons' nth-Cons-Suc skip-all-skip
     by fastforce
 next
   assume x:x=(Throw,s')
   moreover have cptn:(\Gamma,x\#xs)\in cptn
     using Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(2) cptn-dest-pair by blast
   moreover have
     xs:x \# xs = (LanguageCon.com.Throw, s') \# xs  using x by auto
   ultimately show ?case using Cons(1)[OF cptn xs] Cons(3)
   using diff-Suc-1 fstI length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons' by auto
 ged
qed
```

```
lemma skip-min-nested-call-\theta:
     assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
                          a1:cfg = (Skip,s)\#cfg1
     shows n=0
proof -
      have asm\theta:(n, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
                  asm1: (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
                  using a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
     show ?thesis using a1 asm0 asm1
     proof (induct cfg1 arbitrary: cfg s n)
          case Nil thus ?case
               using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne neg0-conv by blast
    next
           case (Cons \ x \ xs)
               then obtain Q s' where cfg:cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, s) # <math>(Q,s') #
              then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma cfg using Cons unfolding min-call-def by
auto
                  then have (\forall f. SmallStepCon.redex Skip \neq LanguageCon.com.Call f) by
auto
               then have min-call n \Gamma((Q, s') \# xs)
                     using elim-cptn-mod-min-nest-call[OF min-call cfg] cfg
                    by simp
               thus ?case using Cons cfg unfolding min-call-def
               proof -
                       assume a1: (n, \Gamma, (Q, s') \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (
(Q, s') \# xs) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
                    have LanguageCon.com.Skip = Q
                        by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ ((n,\ \Gamma,\ cfg)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)\ cfg\ cptn\text{-}dest1\text{-}pair
cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod fst-conv last.simps last-length length-Cons
lessI not-Cons-self2 skip-all-skip)
                    then show ?thesis
                          using a1 by (meson Cons.hyps)
               qed
    qed
qed
lemma throw-min-nested-call-0:
     assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
                           a1:cfg = (Throw,s) \# cfg1
    shows n=0
proof -
     have asm\theta:(n, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
                  asm1: (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
                  using a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
     show ?thesis using a1 asm0 asm1
     proof (induct cfg1 arbitrary: cfg s n)
          case Nil thus ?case
```

```
using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne neq0-conv by blast
 next
   case (Cons \ x \ xs)
     then obtain s' where x:x = (Skip, s') \lor x = (Throw, s')
        using CptnMod-elim-cases(10) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
        by (metis cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod)
     then obtain Q where cfg:cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Throw, s) # <math>(Q,s') #
xs
       using Cons by force
     then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma cfg using Cons unfolding min-call-def by
auto
      then have (\forall f. SmallStepCon.redex Skip \neq LanguageCon.com.Call f) by
auto
     then have min-call':min-call n \Gamma((Q, s') \# xs)
       using elim-cptn-mod-min-nest-call [OF min-call cfg] cfg
       by simp
     from x show ?case
     proof
       assume x = (Skip, s')
      thus ?thesis using skip-min-nested-call-0 min-call' Cons(2) cfq by fastforce
       assume x = (Throw, s')
       thus ?thesis using Cons(1,2) min-call' cfg unfolding min-call-def
     \mathbf{qed}
 \mathbf{qed}
function to calculate that there is not any subsequent where the nested call
definition cond-seq-1
cond\text{-}seq\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys \equiv ((n,\Gamma,\ (c1,\ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
                     fst(last((c1,s)\#xs)) = Skip \land
                     (n,\Gamma,((c2,snd(last((c1,s)\#xs)))\#ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                     zs = (map (lift c2) xs)@((c2, snd(last ((c1, s)#xs)))#ys))
definition cond-seq-2
where
cond\text{-}seq\text{-}2\ n\ \Gamma\ c1\ s\ xs\ c2\ zs\ ys\ s'\ s'' \equiv\ s=\ Normal\ s''\ \land
                  (n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                  fst(last((c1, s)\#xs)) = Throw \land
                  snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                  (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                    zs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys)
definition cond-catch-1
where
cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys \equiv ((n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
```

```
fst(last((c1,s)\#xs)) = Skip \wedge
                          (n,\Gamma,((Skip, snd(last ((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\land
                       zs = (map (lift-catch c2) xs)@((Skip, snd(last ((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys))
definition cond-catch-2
where
cond\text{-}catch\text{--}2\ n\ \Gamma\ c1\ s\ xs\ c2\ zs\ ys\ s'\ s'' \equiv s = Normal\ s''\ \land
                       (n,\Gamma,(c1,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                       fst(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)) = Throw\ \land
                       snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \ \land
                       (n,\Gamma,(c2,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                        zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs)@((c2,Normal \ s') \# ys)
fun biggest-nest-call :: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com \Rightarrow
                             ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow
                             (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ config) \ list \Rightarrow
                             ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) body \Rightarrow
                             nat \Rightarrow bool
where
 biggest-nest-call (Seq c1 c2) s zs \Gamma n =
   (if (\exists xs. ((min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma ((c1,s)\#xs)) \land (zs=map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs))) then
       let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((c1,s)\#xs)) \land (zs=map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs)) \ in
        (biggest-nest-call c1 s xsa \Gamma n)
     else if (\exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-seq-1} \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys) then
          let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ \exists \ ys. \ cond\text{-seq-1} \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys);
               ysa = (SOME\ ys.\ cond\text{-}seq\text{-}1\ n\ \Gamma\ c1\ s\ xsa\ c2\ zs\ ys)\ in
           if (min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xsa)))\#ysa)) then True
          else (biggest-nest-call c1 s xsa \Gamma n)
   else let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ \exists \ ys \ s' \ s''. \ cond-seq-2 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys \ s' \ s'') \ in
            (biggest-nest-call\ c1\ s\ xsa\ \Gamma\ n))
| biggest-nest-call (Catch c1 c2) s zs \Gamma n =
  (if (\exists xs. ((min-call \ n \ \Gamma ((c1,s)\#xs)) \land (zs=map (lift-catch \ c2) \ xs))) then
    let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((c1,s)\#xs)) \land (zs=map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs))
in
        (biggest-nest-call c1 s xsa \Gamma n)
     else if (\exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys) then
          let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ \exists \ ys. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys) \ in
                    (biggest-nest-call c1 s xsa \Gamma n)
   else let xsa = (SOME \ xs. \ \exists \ ys \ s' \ s''. \ cond-catch-2 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xs \ c2 \ zs \ ys \ s' \ s'');
              ysa = (SOME \ ys. \ \exists \ s' \ s''. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}2 \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \ xsa \ c2 \ zs \ ys \ s' \ s'') \ in
           if (min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xsa)))\#ysa))\ then\ True
          else (biggest-nest-call c1 s xsa \Gamma n))
|biggest-nest-call - - - - = False
lemma min-call-less-eq-n:
  (n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
```

```
min\text{-}call\ p\ \Gamma\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs) \land min\text{-}call\ q\ \Gamma\ ((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
  p \le n \land q \le n
unfolding min-call-def
using le-less-linear by blast
lemma min-call-seq-less-eq-n':
  (n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   min\text{-}call\ p\ \Gamma\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs) \implies
  p \le n
\mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{min-call-def}
using le-less-linear by blast
lemma min-call-seq2:
  min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs) \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   fst(last((c1, s)\#xs)) = Skip \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   zs = (map (lift c2) xs)@((c2, snd(last ((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma ((c1, s) \# xs) \vee min-call n \Gamma ((c2, snd(last ((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
proof -
  assume a0:min-call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs) and
         a1:(n,\Gamma,(c1,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a2:fst(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs))=Skip\ \mathbf{and}
         a3:(n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         a4:zs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ xs)@((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
  then obtain p q where min-calls:
    min\text{-}call\ p\ \Gamma\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs) \land min\text{-}call\ q\ \Gamma\ ((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
   using a1 a3 minimum-nest-call by blast
  then have p-q:p \le n \land q \le n using a0 a1 a3 a4 min-call-less-eq-n by blast
   assume ass\theta:p < n \land q < n
   then have (p,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
             (q,\Gamma,(c2, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
   then have ?thesis
   proof (cases p \le q)
      case True
      then have q-cptn-c1:(q, \Gamma, (c1, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using cptn-mod-nest-mono min-calls unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
    have q-cptn-c2:(q, \Gamma, (c2, snd (last ((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
      then have (q,\Gamma,((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs))\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using True min-calls a2 a4 CptnModNestSeq2[OF q-cptn-c1 a2 q-cptn-c2
a4
       by auto
      thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   next
```

```
case False
     then have q-cptn-c1:(p, \Gamma, (c1, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using min-calls unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
    have q-cptn-c2:(p, \Gamma, (c2, snd (last ((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls False unfolding min-call-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) cptn-mod-nest-mono2 not-less)
     then have (p,\Gamma,((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs))\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using False min-calls a2 a4 CptnModNestSeq2[OF q-cptn-c1 a2 q-cptn-c2
a4
       by auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
  note l=this
   assume ass\theta: p > n \lor q > n
   then have ?thesis using p-q min-calls by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using l by fastforce
qed
lemma min-call-seq3:
  min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs) \Longrightarrow
   s = Normal \ s^{\prime\prime} \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   fst(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)) = Throw \Longrightarrow
   snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma,(Throw, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   zs = (map \ (lift \ c2) \ xs)@((Throw, snd(last \ ((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma ((c1, s) \# xs)
proof -
  assume a0:min-call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs) and
        a0':s = Normal s'' and
        a1:(n,\Gamma,(c1,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a2:fst(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs))=Throw\ \mathbf{and}
        a2':snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs))=Normal\ s' and
        a3:(n,\Gamma,(Throw, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
        a4:zs=(map\ (lift\ c2)\ xs)@((Throw,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
  then obtain p where min-calls:
   min\text{-}call\ p\ \Gamma\ ((c1,s)\#xs) \land min\text{-}call\ \theta\ \Gamma\ ((Throw,\ snd(last\ ((c1,s)\#xs)))\#ys)
   using a1 a3 minimum-nest-call throw-min-nested-call-0 by metis
  then have p-q:p \le n \land 0 \le n using a0 a1 a3 a4 min-call-less-eq-n by blast
   assume ass\theta : p < n \land \theta < n
   then have (p,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
             (0,\Gamma,(Throw, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
   then have ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases p \le \theta)
     case True
     then have q-cptn-c1:(0, \Gamma, (c1, Normal s') \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using cptn-mod-nest-mono min-calls a0' unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
    have q-cptn-c2:(0, \Gamma, (Throw, snd (last <math>((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
     then have (0,\Gamma,((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs))\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call)
       using True min-calls a2 a4 a2' a0' CptnModNestSeq3[OF q-cptn-c1]
       by auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   next
     case False
     then have q-cptn-c1:(p, \Gamma, (c1, Normal s'') \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using min-calls a0' unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
    have q-cptn-c2:(p, \Gamma, (Throw, snd (last <math>((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls False unfolding min-call-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) cptn-mod-nest-mono2 not-less)
     then have (p,\Gamma,((Seq\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs))\in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using False min-calls a2 a4 a0' a2' CptnModNestSeq3[OF q-cptn-c1]
       by auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   qed
  note l=this
  {
   assume ass\theta: p \ge n \lor \theta \ge n
   then have ?thesis using p-q min-calls by fastforce
 thus ?thesis using l by fastforce
qed
lemma min-call-catch2:
  min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Catch\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs) \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma,(c1,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   fst(last((c1, s)\#xs)) = Skip \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma,(Skip, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
    zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs)@((Skip, snd(last \ ((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma ((c1, s) \# xs)
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma ((Catch \ c1 \ c2,s)\#zs) and
        a1:(n,\Gamma,(c1,s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a2:fst(last((c1, s)\#xs)) = Skip and
        a3:(n,\Gamma,(Skip, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
        a4:zs=(map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ c2)\ xs)@((Skip,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
  then obtain p where min-calls:
   min\text{-}call\ p\ \Gamma\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs) \land min\text{-}call\ 0\ \Gamma\ ((Skip,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
   using a1 a3 minimum-nest-call skip-min-nested-call-0 by metis
```

```
then have p-q:p \le n \land 0 \le n using a0 a1 a3 a4 min-call-less-eq-n by blast
   assume ass\theta : p < n \land \theta < n
   then have (p,\Gamma, (c1, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
            (0,\Gamma,(Skip, snd(last((c1, s)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
   then have ?thesis
   proof (cases p \le \theta)
     case True
     then have q-cptn-c1:(0, \Gamma, (c1, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using cptn-mod-nest-mono min-calls unfolding min-call-def
    have q-cptn-c2:(0, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
     then have (0,\Gamma,((Catch\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs)) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
       using True min-calls a2 a4 CptnModNestCatch2[OF q-cptn-c1]
       bv auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   next
     case False
     then have q-cptn-c1:(p, \Gamma, (c1, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using min-calls unfolding min-call-def
    have q-cptn-c2:(p, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((c1, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls False unfolding min-call-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) cptn-mod-nest-mono2 not-less)
     then have (p,\Gamma,((Catch\ c1\ c2,s)\#zs)) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
       using False min-calls a2 a4 CptnModNestCatch2[OF q-cptn-c1]
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   qed
  note l=this
   assume ass\theta: p \ge n \lor \theta \ge n
   then have ?thesis using p-q min-calls by fastforce
 thus ?thesis using l by fastforce
qed
lemma min-call-catch-less-eq-n:
 (n,\Gamma, (c1, Normal \ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,Normals)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   min-call p \Gamma ((c1, Normal s) \# xs) \wedge min-call q \Gamma ((c2, snd(last ((c1, Normal s) \# xs))))
(s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
  p \le n \land q \le n
unfolding min-call-def
using le-less-linear by blast
lemma min-call-catch3:
```

```
min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((Catch\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s) \# zs) \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma, (c1, Normal \ s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \Longrightarrow
   fst(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Throw \Longrightarrow
   snd(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \Longrightarrow
  (n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,Normals)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   zs = (map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ c2) \ xs)@((c2, snd(last \ ((c1, Normal \ s)\#xs)))\#ys) \Longrightarrow
   min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)\ \lor\ min\text{-}call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs))))))
(s) \# (xs)) \# (ys)
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma ((Catch \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s) #zs) and
        a1:(n,\Gamma,(c1,Normal\ s)\#xs)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ and
        a2:fst(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs))=Throw\ {\bf and}
        a2':snd(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' and
       a3:(n,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,Normals)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
        a4:zs=(map\ (lift-catch\ c2)\ xs)@((c2,\ snd(last\ ((c1,\ Normal\ s)\#xs)))\#ys)
  then obtain p q where min-calls:
   (s) \# (xs))) \# (ys)
   using a1 a3 minimum-nest-call by blast
  then have p-q:p \le n \land q \le n
   using a1 a2 a2' a3 a4 min-call-less-eq-n by blast
   assume ass\theta:p < n \land q < n
   then have (p,\Gamma, (c1, Normal \ s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
            (q,\Gamma,(c2,snd(last((c1,Normals)\#xs)))\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
   then have ?thesis
   proof (cases p \le q)
     case True
     then have q-cptn-c1:(q, \Gamma, (c1, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using cptn-mod-nest-mono min-calls unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
       have q-cptn-c2:(q, \Gamma, (c2, snd (last ((c1, Normal s) \# xs))) <math>\# ys) \in
cptn{-}mod{-}nest{-}call
      using min-calls unfolding min-call-def by auto
     then have (q,\Gamma,((Catch\ c1\ c2,\ Normal\ s)\#zs))\in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using True min-calls a2 a2' a4 CptnModNestCatch3[OF q-cptn-c1 a2 a2'
q-cptn-c2 a4]
       by auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
   next
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
     then have q-cptn-c1:(p, \Gamma, (c1, Normal s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using min-calls unfolding min-call-def
       by blast
       have q-cptn-c2:(p, \Gamma, (c2, snd (last ((c1, Normal s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
      using min-calls False unfolding min-call-def
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) cptn-mod-nest-mono2 not-less)
     then have (p,\Gamma,((Catch\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s)\#zs)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          using False min-calls a2 a4 CptnModNestCatch3[OF q-cptn-c1 a2 a2'
q-cptn-c2 a4]
       by auto
     thus ?thesis using ass0 a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
    qed
  \mathbf{note}\ l=this
  {
   assume ass\theta:p\geq n \lor q \geq n
   then have ?thesis using p-q min-calls by fastforce
 thus ?thesis using l by fastforce
qed
lemma min-call-seq-c1-not-finish:
  min-call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfg \Longrightarrow
   cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1 \Longrightarrow
   (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
  (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs)
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
       a1: cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s) \# (Q,\ t) \# cfg1 and
        a2:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
       a3:(Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs
  then have (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} using a2 by auto
  moreover have \forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
  proof-
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
    assume ass:m < n
    { assume ass1:(m, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
      then have (m,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using a1 a3 CptnModNestSeq1[OF ass1] by auto
      then have False using ass a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
    then have (m, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} by auto
    } then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
qed
lemma min-call-seq-not-finish:
   min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \Longrightarrow
   cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) \# cfg1 \Longrightarrow
   cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma cfg
```

```
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma ((P\theta, s) \# xs) and
       a1: cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) \# cfg1 and
       a2: cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs
  then have (n, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
  using a0 a1 a2 CptnModNestSeq1 [of n \Gamma P0 s xs cfg1 P1] unfolding min-call-def
  moreover have \forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
  proof-
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
    assume ass:m < n
    { assume ass1:(m, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
      then have (m,\Gamma,(P\theta, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using a1 a2 by (metis (no-types) Seq-P-Not-finish div-seq-nest)
      then have False using ass a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
    then have (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
    } then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
qed
lemma min-call-catch-c1-not-finish:
  min-call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfg \Longrightarrow
   cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1 \Longrightarrow
   (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
   (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs \Longrightarrow
   min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs)
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
       a1: cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1 and
       a2:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
        a3:(Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs
  then have (n, \Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} using a2 by auto
  moreover have \forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call
  proof-
    \{ fix m \}
    assume ass:m < n
    { assume ass1:(m, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
      then have (m,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using a1 a3 CptnModNestCatch1[OF ass1] by auto
      then have False using ass a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
    then have (m, \Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# xs) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
    } then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{min-call-catch-not-finish} :
  min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P\theta, s)\#xs) \Longrightarrow
   cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ cfg1 \Longrightarrow
   cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs \Longrightarrow
  min-call n \Gamma cfg
proof -
  assume a\theta:min-call n \Gamma ((P\theta, s)\#xs) and
       a1: cfg = (Catch \ P0 \ P1, \ s) \# \ cfg1 and
       a2: cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs
  then have (n, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using a0 a1 a2 CptnModNestCatch1[of\ n\ \Gamma\ P0\ s\ xs\ cfg1\ P1] unfolding
min-call-def
   by auto
 moreover have \forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
 proof-
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ m \}
    assume ass:m < n
    { assume ass1:(m, \Gamma, cfg) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
      then have (m,\Gamma,(P\theta, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
        using a1 a2 by (metis (no-types) Catch-P-Not-finish div-catch-nest)
      then have False using ass a0 unfolding min-call-def by auto
    then have (m, \Gamma, cfg) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call by auto
   } then show ?thesis by auto
 ged
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
qed
lemma seq-xs-no-empty: assumes
    seq:seq:cond-nest\ ((Q,t)\#cfg1)\ P1\ xs\ P0\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ n\ {\bf and}
    cfg:cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1 and
   a0:SmallStepCon.redex\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1) = LanguageCon.com.Call
    shows\exists Q' xs'. Q=Seq Q' P1 \land xs=(Q',t)\#xs'
using seq
unfolding lift-def seq-cond-nest-def
proof
   assume (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Seq P P1, s)) xs
   thus ?thesis by auto
 assume fst (((P0, s) # xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip <math>\land
      (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd(((P0, s) \# xs)! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
             (Q, t) \# cfg1 =
             map\ (\lambda(P, s).\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ P1,\ s))\ xs\ @
             (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \lor
```

```
fst\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
      snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
       s = Normal s'' \land
    (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com. Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
            (Q, t) \# cfg1 =
            map (\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Seq P P1, s)) xs @
            (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys)
 thus ?thesis
 proof
   assume ass:fst (((P0, s) # xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
     (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
            (Q, t) \# cfg1 =
            map\ (\lambda(P, s).\ (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ P1,\ s))\ xs\ @
            (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs)
     case Nil thus ?thesis using cfg a0 ass by auto
     case (Cons xa xsa)
     then obtain a b where xa:xa = (a,b) by fastforce
     obtain pps :: (('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ list where
          (Q, t) \# cfg1 = ((case (a, b) of (c, x) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq c P1,
x)) \# map (\lambda(c, y).
                         (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ P1,\ y))\ xsa)\ @
                         (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# pps
      using xa ass local. Cons by moura
      then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: xa local.Cons)
   qed
 next
   assume ass: fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com. Throw \land
      snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
       s = Normal s'' \land
    (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normals') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
            map (\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Seq P P1, s)) xs @
            (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys)
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases xs)
     case Nil thus ?thesis using cfg a0 ass by auto
   next
     case (Cons xa xsa)
     then obtain a b where xa:xa=(a,b) by fastforce
    obtain pps :: (('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ list where
      (Q, t) \# cfg1 = ((case (a, b) of (c, x) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq c P1, x))
\#\ map\ (\lambda(c,\ y).
```

```
(Language Con.com.Seq\ c\ P1,\ y))\ xsa)\ @\ (Language Con.com.Throw,
Normal s') # pps
       using ass local. Cons xa by force
     then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: local.Cons xa)
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma catch-xs-no-empty: assumes
    seq: catch-cond-nest \ ((Q,t)\#cfg1) \ P1 \ xs \ P0 \ s \ s'' \ s' \ \Gamma \ n \ and
    cfg:cfg = (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\ \#\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1\ and
   a0:SmallStep Con.redex \ (Language Con.com. Catch \ P0\ P1) = Language Con.com. Call
    shows\exists Q' xs'. Q = Catch Q' P1 \land xs = (Q',t) \# xs'
using seq
unfolding lift-catch-def catch-cond-nest-def
   assume (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Catch P P1, s))
   thus ?thesis by auto
next
  assume fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com. Throw <math>\land
   snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
   s = Normal \ s^{\prime\prime} \wedge
   (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
Λ
        (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (\lambda(P, s), (LanguageCon.com.Catch P P1, s)) xs @
                                     (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \lor
   fst\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
     (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
        (Q, t) \# cfg1 =
        map\ (\lambda(P, s).\ (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P\ P1,\ s))\ xs\ @
                      (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) # xs))) # ys)
 thus ?thesis
 proof
   assume ass: fst((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com. Throw \land
              snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
              s = Normal s'' \land
                   (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Catch P P1, s))
xs @
                                     (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
   \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
   proof (cases xs)
     case Nil thus ?thesis using cfg a0 ass by auto
   next
```

```
case (Cons xa xsa)
     then obtain a b where xa:xa = (a,b) by fastforce
     obtain pps :: (('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ list where
      (Q, t) \# cfq1 = ((case (a, b) of (c, x) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Catch c P1,
x)) #
          map\ (\lambda(c, y).\ (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ c\ P1, y))\ xsa)\ @
                        (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# pps
      using ass local. Cons xa by moura
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: local.Cons xa)
   qed
 next
   assume ass:fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
    (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
         (Q, t) \# cfq1 =
         map\ (\lambda(P,\ s).\ (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P\ P1,\ s))\ xs\ @
                      (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) # xs))) # ys)
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases xs)
     case Nil thus ?thesis using cfg a0 ass by auto
   next
     case (Cons xa xsa)
     then obtain a b where xa:xa = (a,b) by fastforce
     obtain pps :: (('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('a, 'c) \ xstate) \ list where
       (Q, t) \# cfg1 = ((case (a, b) of (c, x) \Rightarrow
          (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ c\ P1,\ x)) \# map\ (\lambda(c,\ y).
           (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ c\ P1,\ y))\ xsa) @
             (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# pps
       using ass local. Cons xa by force
     then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: local.Cons xa)
   qed
 qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ redex-call-cptn-mod-min-nest-call-gr-zero:
assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1 and
        a2:redex\ P = Call\ f \land
           \Gamma f = Some \ bdy \land (\exists \ sa. \ s=Normal \ sa) \land t=s \ \mathbf{and}
        a3:\Gamma\vdash_c(P,s)\to(Q,t)
shows n > 0
using a0 a1 a2 a3
{f proof}\ (induct\ P\ arbitrary:\ Q\ cfg1\ cfg\ s\ t\ n)
  case (Call f1) thus ?case
    \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis SmallStepCon.redex.simps} (\textit{7}) \ \textit{elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-greater-zero} \\
min-call-def option.distinct(1) stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9))
next
```

```
case (Seq P0 P1)
  then obtain xs s' s'' where
         p0-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         seq:seq-cond-nest\ ((Q,t)\#cfg1)\ P1\ xs\ P0\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ n
  using div\text{-}seq\text{-}nest[of\ n\ \Gamma\ cfg] unfolding min\text{-}call\text{-}def by blast
  then obtain m where min:min-call m \Gamma ((P0, s)#xs)
   using minimum-nest-call by blast
  have xs':\exists Q'xs'. Q=Seq Q'P1 \land xs=(Q',t)\#xs'
    using seq Seq seq-xs-no-empty by auto
  then have 0 < m using Seq(1,5,6) min
   using SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4) stepc-elim-cases-Seq-Seq by fastforce
  thus ?case by (metis min min-call-def not-gr0 p0-cptn)
next
 case (Catch P0 P1)
then obtain xs s' s'' where
         p\theta-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         seq: catch-cond-nest \ ((Q,t)\#cfg1) \ P1 \ xs \ P0 \ s \ s^{\prime\prime} \ s^\prime \ \Gamma \ n
 using div-catch-nest[of \ n \ \Gamma \ cfg] unfolding min-call-def by blast
  then obtain m where min:min-call m \Gamma ((P0, s)#xs)
   using minimum-nest-call by blast
  obtain Q' xs' where xs': Q = Catch Q' P1 \land xs = (Q',t) \# xs'
    using catch-xs-no-empty[OF seq Catch(4)] Catch by blast
  then have 0 < m using Catch(1,5,6) min
   using SmallStepCon.redex.simps(4) stepc-elim-cases-Catch-Catch by fastforce
  thus ?case by (metis min min-call-def not-gr0 p0-cptn)
qed(auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ elim\text{-}redex\text{-}call\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}min\text{-}nest\text{-}call\text{:}}
assumes a\theta:min-call n \Gamma cfg and
        a1:cfg = (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#cfg1 and
        a2:redex\ P = Call\ f \land
            \Gamma f = Some \ bdy \land (\exists sa. \ s=Normal \ sa) \land t=s \  and
        a3:biggest-nest-call\ P\ s\ ((Q,t)\#cfg1)\ \Gamma\ n
shows min-call n \Gamma ((Q,t)\#cfg1)
using a0 a1 a2 a3
proof (induct P arbitrary: Q cfg1 cfg s t n)
  case Cond thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Seq P0 P1)
  then obtain xs s' s" where
         p0-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         seq:seq-cond-nest\ ((Q,t)\#cfg1)\ P1\ xs\ P0\ s\ s''\ s'\ \Gamma\ n
  using div\text{-}seq\text{-}nest[of\ n\ \Gamma\ cfg] unfolding min\text{-}call\text{-}def by blast
  show ?case using seq unfolding seq-cond-nest-def
 proof
   assume ass:(Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs
```

```
then obtain Q'xs' where xs':Q=Seq\ Q'P1 \land xs=(Q',t)\#xs'
     unfolding lift-def by fastforce
   then have ctpn-P0:(P0, s) \# xs = (P0, s) \# (Q', t) \# xs' by auto
   then have min-p\theta:min-call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((P\theta,\ s)\#xs)
     using min-call-seq-c1-not-finish [OF Seq(3) Seq(4) p0-cptn] ass by auto
   then have ex-xs:\exists xs. \ min-call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \land (Q, t) \# \ cfg1 = map \ (lift
P1) xs
     using ass by auto
   then have min-xs:min-call n \Gamma((P0, s) \# xs) \wedge (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1)
xs
     using min-p\theta ass by auto
   have xs = (SOME \ xs. \ (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P\theta, s) \# xs) \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map \ (lift
P1) xs))
   proof
    have \forall xsa. \ min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s) \# xsa) \land (Q, t) \# \ cfg1 = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xsa
  \rightarrow xsa = xs
       using xs' ass by (metis map-lift-eq-xs-xs')
     thus ?thesis using min-xs some-equality by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
   then have big:biggest-nest-call P0 s ((Q', t) # xs') \Gamma n
     using biggest-nest-call.simps(1)[of P0 P1 s ((Q, t) # cfg1) \Gamma n]
           Seq(6) xs' ex-xs by auto
   have reP0:redex\ P0=(Call\ f)\land\Gamma\ f=Some\ bdy\land
            (\exists saa.\ s = Normal\ saa) \land t = s\ \mathbf{using}\ Seq(5)\ xs'\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((Q', t) \# xs')
      using Seq(1)[OF min-p0 ctpn-P0 reP0] big xs' ass by auto
   thus ?thesis using min-call-seq-not-finish[OF min-call] ass xs' by blast
  next
   assume ass:fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                    (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                  (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) !
length \ xs)) \ \# \ ys) \ \lor
              fst\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
                s = Normal s'' \land
                     (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                   (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Throw,
Normal s') # ys)
    {assume ass: fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
       (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd(((P0, s) \# xs)! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
           (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length)
xs)) # ys)
    have ?thesis
    proof (cases xs)
      case Nil thus ?thesis using Seq ass by fastforce
    next
```

```
case (Cons xa xsa)
      then obtain ys where
       seq2-ass:fst (((P0, s) # xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip <math>\land
        (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
         (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) (xa\#xsa) @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs))!
length xs)) # ys
        using ass by auto
       then obtain mq mp1 where
       min-call-q:min-call mq \Gamma ((P\theta, s) \# xs) and
        min-call-p1:min-call mp1 \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
      using seq2-ass minimum-nest-call p0-cptn by fastforce
      then have mp: mq \le n \land mp1 \le n
       using seq2-ass min-call-less-eq-n[of \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ys \ mq \ mp1]
           Seg(3,4) p0-cptn by (simp add: last-length)
      have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs) \vee
           min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
       using seq2-ass min-call-seq2[of n \Gamma P0 P1 s (Q, t) \# cfg1 xs ys]
           Seq(3,4) p0-cptn by (simp add: last-length local. Cons)
      from seq2-ass obtain Q' where Q':Q=Seq\ Q'\ P1\ \land\ xa=(Q',t)
      unfolding lift-def
       by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fst-conv length-greater-0-conv
                list.simps(3) list.simps(9) nth-Cons-0 nth-append prod.case-eq-if
prod.collapse snd-conv)
     then have q'-n-cptn:(n,\Gamma,(Q',t)\#xsa)\in cptn-mod-nest-call using p\theta-cptn Q'
Cons
       using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases mp1=n)
       case True
       then have min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
         using min-call-p1 by auto
        then have min-P1:min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd ((xa # xsa) ! length xsa)) #
ys)
         using Cons seq2-ass by fastforce
       then have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
         using Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
       also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
       proof-
        { fix m
         assume ass:m < n
         { assume Q-m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          then have False using min-P1 ass Q' Cons unfolding min-call-def
          proof -
               assume a1: (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd ((xa \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \land (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (P1, snd ((xa \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \notin
cptn-mod-nest-call)
           have f2: \forall n \ f \ ps. \ (n, f, ps) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call \lor (\forall x \ c \ ca \ psa. \ ps \neq a)
```

```
(LanguageCon.com.Seq\ (c::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd)\ LanguageCon.com)\ ca,\ x) \ \#\ psa\ \lor\ (\exists\ ps)
b ba. (n, f, (c, x) \# ps) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land seq-cond-nest psa ca ps c x ba b f
n))
              using div-seq-nest by blast
            have f3: (P1, snd (last ((Q', t) \# ssa))) \# ys = (P1, snd (((P0, s)
\# xs)! length xs)) \# ys
             by (simp add: Q' last-length local.Cons)
            have fst (last ((Q', t) # xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
          by (metis (no-types) Q' last-ConsR last-length list.distinct(1) local.Cons
seq2-ass)
            then show ?thesis
          using f3 f2 a1 by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append Q' Seq-P-Ends-Normal
Q-m ass seq2-ass)
          qed
         }
        } then show ?thesis by auto
       ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
      next
       case False
       then have mp1 < n using mp by auto
        then have not-min-call-p1-n:\neg min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (last ((P0, s) #
(xs))) \# (ys)
         using min-call-p1 last-length unfolding min-call-def by metis
       then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs)
         using min-call last-length unfolding min-call-def by metis
       then have (P0, s) \# xs = (P0, s) \# xa\#xsa
         using Cons by auto
       then have big:biggest-nest-call\ P0\ s\ (((Q',t))\#xsa)\ \Gamma\ n
       proof-
         have \neg(\exists xs. min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map \ (lift \ P1)
xs)
           using min-call seq2-ass Cons
          proof -
           have min-call n \Gamma ((Language Con.com.Seq P0 P1, s) # (Q, t) # cfg1)
              using Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2) by blast
            then show ?thesis
              by (metis (no-types) Seq-P-Not-finish append-Nil2 list.simps(3)
                      local. Cons min-call-def same-append-eq seq seq2-ass)
          qed
          moreover have \exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}seq\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ((Q, t) \# \ cfg1) \ ys
            using seq2-ass p0-cptn unfolding cond-seq-1-def
            by (metis last-length local.Cons)
          moreover have (SOME xs. \exists ys. cond-seq-1 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q, t) #
cfg1) ys) = xs
          proof
            let ?P = \lambda xsa. \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
               (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
```

```
\wedge
                                   (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xsa @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) #
xsa))) # ys
                        have (\bigwedge x. \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                         fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ x)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                         (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# x))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                         (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) x @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# x))) \#
ys \Longrightarrow
                                x = xs
                            \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Seq-P-Ends-Normal cptn-mod-nest-call}. \textit{CptnModNestSeq2})
seq)
                        moreover have \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                                fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                             (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                                    (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) #
(xs))) # ys
                            using ass p0-cptn by (simp add: last-length)
                        ultimately show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P xs]
                             unfolding cond-seq-1-def by blast
                    moreover have (SOME ys. cond-seq-1 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q, t) # cfg1)
ys) = ys
                     proof -
                         let P = \lambda ys. (n, \Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                                fst (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                             (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                                    (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) #
(xs))) # ys
                            have (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                                fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                              (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                                    (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd (last ((P0, s) \# P1)) xs @ (P1, snd ((P0, snd ((P0
(xs))) # ys
                             using p0-cptn seq2-ass Cons by (simp add: last-length)
                            then show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P ys]
                             unfolding cond-seq-1-def by fastforce
                    qed
                     ultimately have biggest-nest-call P0 s xs \Gamma n
                        using not-min-call-p1-n Seq(6)
                                  biggest-nest-call.simps(1)[of P0 P1 s (Q, t) \# cfg1 \Gamma n]
                        by presburger
                     then show ?thesis using Cons Q' by auto
                 have C:(P0, s) \# xs = (P0, s) \# (Q', t) \# xsa using Cons Q' by auto
                 have reP0:redex\ P0=(Call\ f)\land\Gamma\ f=Some\ bdy\land
                     (\exists saa.\ s = Normal\ saa) \land t = s\ \mathbf{using}\ Seq(5)\ Q'\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
              then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma((Q', t) \# xsa) using Seq(1)[OF min-call
C \ reP0 \ big]
                    by auto
```

```
have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          using Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
         also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
         proof-
          { fix m
            assume ass:m < n
            { assume Q\text{-}m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
             then obtain xsa' s1 s1' where
                p0-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (Q', t)\#xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
                seq:seq-cond-nest\ cfg1\ P1\ xsa'\ Q'\ t\ s1\ s1'\ \Gamma\ m
             using div-seq-nest[of m \Gamma (Q, t) \# cfg1] Q' by blast
             then have xsa=xsa'
               using seq2-ass
               Seq	ext{-}P	ext{-}Ends	ext{-}Normal[of\ cfg1\ P1\ xsa\ Q'\ t\ ys\ m\ \Gamma\ xsa'\ s1\ s1']\ Cons
                by (metis Cons-lift-append Q' Q-m last.simps last-length list.inject
list.simps(3))
              then have False using min-call p0-cptn ass unfolding min-call-def
by auto
          } then show ?thesis by auto qed
        ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
      qed
    qed
    note l=this
    {assume ass: fst((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com. Throw <math>\land
           snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
          s = Normal \ s'' \land (\exists \ ys. \ (n, \ \Gamma, \ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, \ Normal \ s') \ \#
ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
          (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal)
s') # ys)
    have ?thesis
    proof (cases \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) \rightarrow (Q,t))
      case True
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases xs)
         case Nil thus ?thesis using Seq ass by fastforce
      next
        case (Cons xa xsa)
        then obtain ys where
          \mathit{seq2-ass:fst}\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ \mathit{xs})\ !\ \mathit{length}\ \mathit{xs}) = \mathit{LanguageCon.com}. \mathit{Throw}\ \land
            snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
           s = Normal \ s'' \land (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys)
\in \mathit{cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \ \land
          (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal)
s') # ys
           using ass by auto
        then have t-eq:t=Normal s" using Seq by fastforce
```

```
obtain mq mp1 where
          min-call-q:min-call mq \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs) and
         min\text{-}call\text{-}p1\text{:}min\text{-}call\ mp1\ \Gamma\ ((Throw,\ snd\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs))\ \#
ys)
        using seq2-ass minimum-nest-call p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
        then have mp1-zero:mp1=0 by (simp add: throw-min-nested-call-0)
        then have min-call: min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs)
          using seq2-ass min-call-seq3[of n \Gamma PO P1 s (Q, t) \# cfq1 s'' xs s' ys]
            Seq(3,4) p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
        have n-z:n>0 using redex-call-cptn-mod-min-nest-call-gr-zero[OF Seq(3)]
Seq(4) Seq(5) True
          by auto
        from seq2-ass obtain Q' where Q':Q=Seq\ Q'\ P1\ \land\ xa=(Q',t)
          unfolding lift-def using Cons
         proof -
          assume a1: \bigwedge Q'. Q = LanguageCon.com.Seq Q'P1 <math>\land xa = (Q', t) \Longrightarrow
thesis
           have (Language Con. com. Seq (fst xa) P1, snd xa) = ((Q, t) \# cfg1) ! 0
            using seq2-ass unfolding lift-def
             by (simp add: Cons case-prod-unfold)
           then show ?thesis
             using a1 by fastforce
         qed
        have big-call:biggest-nest-call P0 s ((Q',t)\#xsa) \Gamma n
        proof-
         have \neg(\exists xs. \ min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map \ (lift \ P1)
xs)
            using min-call seq2-ass Cons Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2)
       by (metis Seq-P-Not-finish append-Nil2 list.simps(3) min-call-def same-append-eq
seq)
           moreover have \neg(\exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}seq\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ((Q, t) \# \ cfg1)
ys)
            using min-call seq2-ass p0-cptn Cons\ Seq.prems(1)\ Seq.prems(2)
            unfolding cond-seq-1-def
           by (metis\ com.distinct(17)\ com.distinct(71)\ last-length
                    map-lift-some-eq seq-and-if-not-eq(4))
           moreover have (SOME xs. \exists ys \ s' \ s''. cond-seq-2 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q,
t) \# cfg1) \ ys \ s' \ s'') = xs
          proof-
            let ?P = \lambda xsa. \exists ys s' s''. s = Normal s'' \land
                  (n,\Gamma, (P0, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                  fst(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)) = Throw\ \land
                  snd(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                  (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                   ((Q, t) \# cfg1) = (map (lift P1) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)
            have (\bigwedge x. \exists ys \ s' \ s''. \ s = Normal \ s'' \land
                  (n,\Gamma, (P0, s)\#x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                  fst(last((P0, s)\#x)) = Throw \land
                  snd(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#x)) = Normal\ s' \land
```

```
(n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                 ((Q, t) \# cfg1) = (map (lift P1) x)@((Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \Longrightarrow
                 x=xs) using map-lift-some-eq seq2-ass by fastforce
           moreover have \exists ys \ s' \ s''. s = Normal \ s'' \land
                 (n,\Gamma, (P\theta, s)\#xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                 fst(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)) = Throw\ \land
                 snd(last\ ((P0,\ s)\#xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                 (n,\Gamma,(Throw,Normal\ s')\#ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call\ \land
                   ((Q, t) \# cfg1) = (map (lift P1) xs)@((Throw, Normal s') \# ys)
              using ass p0-cptn by (simp add: last-length Cons)
          ultimately show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P xs]
               unfolding cond-seq-2-def by blast
       qed
         ultimately have biggest-nest-call P0 s xs \Gamma n
          using Seq(6)
                biggest-nest-call.simps(1)[of P0 P1 s (Q, t) \# cfq1 \Gamma n]
          by presburger
         then show ?thesis using Cons Q' by auto
       have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((Q',t)\#xsa)
         using Seq(1)[OF min-call - - big-call] Seq(5) Cons Q' by fastforce
       then have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
          using Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
        also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
         proof-
         { fix m
           assume ass:m < n
            { assume Q\text{-}m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
             then obtain xsa' s1 s1' where
                p\theta-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (Q', t) \# xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
                seq:seq-cond-nest\ cfg1\ P1\ xsa'\ Q'\ (Normal\ s'')\ s1\ s1'\ \Gamma\ m
             using div\text{-seq-nest}[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1]\ Q'\ t\text{-eq}\ by\ blast
             then have xsa=xsa'
               using seq2-ass
               Seq-P-Ends-Abort[of cfq1 P1 xsa s' ys Q' s'' m \Gamma xsa' s1 s1'] Cons
Q' Q-m
               by (simp add: Cons-lift-append last-length t-eq)
             then have False using min-call p0-cptn ass unfolding min-call-def
by auto
         } then show ?thesis by auto qed
        ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
      qed
    next
      case False
      then have env: \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ s) \rightarrow_e (Q,t) using Seq
       by (meson elim-cptn-mod-nest-step-c min-call-def)
      moreover then have Q:Q=Seq P0 P1 using env-c-c' by blast
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis using Seq
       proof -
         obtain nn :: (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \Rightarrow
                        ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \ option) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
where
            f1: \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists v3 < x2. \ (v3, \ x1, \ x0) \in cptn-mod-nest-call) = (nn \ x0)
x1 \ x2 < x2 \land (nn \ x0 \ x1 \ x2, \ x1, \ x0) \in cptn-mod-nest-call)
           by moura
           have f2: (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \land (\forall n. \neg n < n \lor (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, s) #
(Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
           using local.Seq(3) local.Seq(4) min-call-def by blast
         then have \neg nn ((Q, t) \# cfg1) \Gamma n < n \lor (nn ((Q, t) \# cfg1) \Gamma n, \Gamma,
(Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
           using False env env-c-c' not-func-redex-cptn-mod-nest-n-env
           by (metis Seq.prems(1) Seq.prems(2) min-call-def)
         then show ?thesis
           using f2 f1 by (meson elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def)
       qed
    qed
   thus ?thesis using l ass by fastforce
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch P0 P1)
then obtain xs s' s'' where
         p0-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         catch: catch-cond-nest ((Q,t)\#cfg1) \ P1 \ xs \ P0 \ s \ s'' \ s' \ \Gamma \ n
  using div-catch-nest[of n \Gamma cfg] unfolding min-call-def by blast
 show ?case using catch unfolding catch-cond-nest-def
   assume ass:(Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs
   then obtain Q'xs' where xs':Q=Catch\ Q'P1 \land xs=(Q',t)\#xs'
     unfolding lift-catch-def by fastforce
   then have ctpn-P\theta:(P\theta, s) \# xs = (P\theta, s) \# (Q', t) \# xs' by auto
   then have min-p\theta:min-call\ n\ \Gamma\ ((P\theta,\ s)\#xs)
       using min-call-catch-c1-not-finish[OF Catch(3) Catch(4) p0-cptn] ass by
auto
     then have ex-xs:\exists xs. min-call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, \ s)\#xs) \ \land \ (Q, \ t) \ \# \ cfg1 = map
(lift-catch P1) xs
     using ass by auto
   then have min-xs:min-call n \Gamma((P0, s) \# xs) \wedge (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch)
P1) xs
     using min-p\theta ass by auto
    have xs = (SOME \ xs. \ (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, \ s)\#xs) \land (Q, \ t) \ \# \ cfg1 = map
(lift\text{-}catch\ P1)\ xs))
   proof -
      have \forall xsa. \ min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xsa) \land (Q, t) \ \# \ cfg1 = map \ (lift\text{-}catch)
```

```
P1) xsa \longrightarrow xsa = xs
       using xs' ass by (metis map-lift-catch-eq-xs-xs')
     thus ?thesis using min-xs some-equality by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
   then have big:biggest-nest-call P0 s ((Q', t) # xs') \Gamma n
     using biggest-nest-call.simps(2)[of P0 P1 s ((Q, t) # cfg1) \Gamma n]
           Catch(6) xs' ex-xs by auto
   have reP0:redex\ P0=(Call\ f)\wedge\Gamma\ f=Some\ bdy\wedge
            (\exists saa.\ s = Normal\ saa) \land t = s\ \mathbf{using}\ Catch(5)\ xs'\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   have min-call:min-call n \Gamma((Q', t) \# xs')
      using Catch(1)[OF min-p0 ctpn-P0 reP0] big xs' ass by auto
   thus ?thesis using min-call-catch-not-finish[OF min-call] ass xs' by blast
 next
   assume ass:fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
              snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
              s = Normal s'' \land
                   (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs)))
! length(xs)) # ys) \vee
                 fst (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \#
ys) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip,
snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
    \{ \textbf{assume} \ \textit{ass:fst} \ (((P\theta, \, s) \ \# \ \textit{xs}) \ ! \ \textit{length} \ \textit{xs}) = \textit{LanguageCon.com.Throw} \ \land \\
              snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
              s = Normal \, s'' \wedge
                   (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in
cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \ \land
               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs)))
! length(xs)) # ys)
    have ?thesis
    proof (cases xs)
      case Nil thus ?thesis using Catch ass by fastforce
    next
      case (Cons xa xsa)
      then obtain ys where
        catch2-ass:fst (((P0, s) # xs)! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \wedge
              snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
              s = Normal s'' \land
            (n, \Gamma, (P1, snd(((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
              (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) !
length xs)) # ys
         using ass by auto
       then obtain mq mp1 where
        min-call-q:min-call mq \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs) and
        min-call-p1:min-call mp1 \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
```

```
using catch2-ass minimum-nest-call p0-cptn by fastforce
      then have mp: mq \le n \land mp1 \le n
       using catch2-ass min-call-less-eq-n
           Catch(3.4) p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
      have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((P\theta, s) \# xs) \vee
           min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
       using catch2-ass min-call-catch3 [of n \Gamma P0 P1 s''(Q, t) \# cfg1 xs s' ys]
           Catch(3,4) p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
     from catch2-ass obtain Q' where Q':Q=Catch Q'P1 \land xa=(Q',t)
      unfolding lift-catch-def
      proof -
         assume a1: \bigwedge Q'. Q = LanguageCon.com.Catch Q' P1 <math>\land xa = (Q', t)
\implies thesis
       assume fst (((P0, s) # xs)! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Throw <math>\land snd
(last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs))=Normal\ s'\land s=Normal\ s''\land (n,\ \Gamma,\ (P1,\ snd\ (((P0,\ s)
\# xs)! length xs)) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (<math>\lambda(P, s).
(LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P\ P1,\ s))\ xs\ @\ (P1,\ snd\ (((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ length\ xs))
         then have (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ (fst\ xa)\ P1,\ snd\ xa) = ((Q,\ t)\ \#
cfg1)! 0
          by (simp add: local.Cons prod.case-eq-if)
        then show ?thesis
          using a1 by force
     then have q'-n-cptn:(n,\Gamma,(Q',t)\#xsa)\in cptn-mod-nest-call using p0-cptn Q'
Cons
       using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases mp1=n)
       case True
       then have min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) \# ys)
         using min-call-p1 by auto
        then have min-P1:min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd ((xa # xsa) ! length xsa)) #
ys)
         using Cons catch2-ass by fastforce
       then have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
      using Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
       also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
       proof-
       { fix m
         assume ass:m < n
         { assume Q-m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
            then have t-eq-s:t=Normal s" using Catch catch2-ass by fastforce
          then obtain xsa' s1 s1' where
               p0-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (Q', t) \# xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
               catch-cond:catch-cond-nest cfg1 P1 xsa' Q' (Normal s'') s1 s1' \Gamma m
```

```
using Q-m div-catch-nest[of m \Gamma (Q, t) # cfg1] Q' by blast
          have fst:fst\ (last\ ((Q', Normal\ s'')\ \#\ xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw
             using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
          have cfg:cfg1 = map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ xsa \ @ \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ ((Q', \ Normal \ P1) \ A)) \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ ((Q', \ Normal \ P1) \ A))))
s'') # xsa))) # ys
            using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
           have snd:snd (last ((Q', Normal s'') # xsa)) = Normal s'
             using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
           then have xsa=xsa' \land
                  (m, \Gamma, (P1, snd (((Q', Normal s'') \# xsa) ! length xsa)) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
           using catch2-ass Catch-P-Ends-Normal[OF cfg fst snd catch-cond] Cons
            by auto
          then have False using min-P1 ass Q' t-eq-s unfolding min-call-def by
auto
        } then show ?thesis by auto
        qed
        ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        then have mp1 < n using mp by auto
         then have not-min-call-p1-n:\neg min-call n \Gamma ((P1, snd (last ((P0, s) #
(xs))) # ys)
          using min-call-p1 last-length unfolding min-call-def by metis
        then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) \# xs)
          using min-call last-length unfolding min-call-def by metis
        then have (P0, s) \# xs = (P0, s) \# xa\#xsa
          using Cons by auto
        then have big:biggest-nest-call\ P0\ s\ (((Q',t))\#xsa)\ \Gamma\ n
        proof-
        have \neg(\exists xs. min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map \ (lift\text{-}catch)
P1) xs)
            using min-call catch2-ass Cons
           proof -
            have min-call n \Gamma ((Catch P0 P1, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfq1)
               using Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) by blast
             then show ?thesis
               by (metis (no-types) Catch-P-Not-finish append-Nil2 list.simps(3)
                   same-append-eq catch catch2-ass)
           \mathbf{qed}
          moreover have \neg(\exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ((Q, \ t) \ \# \ cfg1)
ys)
             \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1\text{-}def}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{catch2\text{-}ass}
                by (metis Catch-P-Ends-Skip LanguageCon.com.distinct(17) catch
last-length)
            moreover have \exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ((Q, t) \# \ cfg1)
ys s' s''
            using catch2-ass p0-cptn unfolding cond-catch-2-def last-length
```

```
by metis
          moreover have (SOME xs. \exists ys \ s's''. cond-catch-2 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q,
t) \# cfg1) \ ys \ s' \ s'') = xs
           proof -
             let ?P = \lambda xsa. \ s = Normal \ s'' \land
                             (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                             fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                             snd (last ((P0, s) \# xsa)) = Normal s' \land
                              (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                              (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xsa @ (P1, Normal)
s') # ys
             have (  x. \exists ys \ s' \ s''. \ s = Normal \ s'' \land 
                             (n, \Gamma, (P\theta, s) \# x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                             fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ x)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                             snd (last ((P0, s) \# x)) = Normal s' \land
                              (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                                (Q, t) \# cfq1 = map (lift-catch P1) x @ (P1, Normal)
s') # ys \Longrightarrow
                  x = xs
             by (metis Catch-P-Ends-Normal catch)
             moreover have \exists ys. \ s = Normal \ s'' \land
                             (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                             fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                             snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
                              (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal \ s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, Normal)
s') # ys
               using ass p\theta-cptn by (metis (full-types) last-length)
             ultimately show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P xs]
                unfolding cond-catch-2-def by blast
            moreover have (SOME ys. \exists s' s''. cond-catch-2 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q,
t) \# cfg1) \ ys \ s' \ s'') = ys
           proof -
              let ?P = \lambda ysa. \ s = Normal \ s'' \land
                             (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                             fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                             snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = Normal\ s' \land
                              (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal \ s') \# ysa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land
                               (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, Normal)
s') # ysa
               have (\bigwedge x. \exists s' s''. s = Normal s'' \land
                         (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                         fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                         snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
                        (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal \ s') \# x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call} \land (Q, t) \#
cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, Normal s') # x \Longrightarrow
                         x = ys) using catch2-ass by auto
```

moreover have $s = Normal s'' \land$

```
fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                    snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = Normal s' \land
                   (n, \Gamma, (P1, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
                   (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (P1, Normal s') \# ys
            using ass p0-cptn by (metis (full-types) catch2-ass last-length p0-cptn)
              ultimately show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P ys]
               unfolding cond-catch-2-def by blast
          qed
          ultimately have biggest-nest-call P0 s xs \Gamma n
            using not-min-call-p1-n Catch(6)
                  biggest-nest-call.simps(2)[of\ P0\ P1\ s\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1\ \Gamma\ n]
            by presburger
          then show ?thesis using Cons Q' by auto
        have C:(P0, s) \# xs = (P0, s) \# (Q', t) \# xsa  using Cons Q' by auto
         have reP0:redex\ P0=(Call\ f)\land \Gamma\ f=Some\ bdy\land
          (\exists saa.\ s = Normal\ saa) \land t = s\ using\ Catch(5)\ Q' by auto
          then have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((Q', t) \# xsa) using Catch(1)[OF]
min-call C reP0 big]
          by auto
         have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
       using Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
         also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
         proof-
         { fix m
           assume ass:m < n
            { assume Q\text{-}m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
             then have t-eq-s:t=Normal s'' using Catch catch2-ass by fastforce
             then obtain xsa' s1 s1' where
               p0-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (Q', t) \# xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
               catch-cond:catch-cond-nest cfg1 P1 xsa' Q' (Normal\ s'') s1 s1' \Gamma m
             using Q-m div-catch-nest[of m \Gamma (Q, t) # cfg1] Q' by blast
           have fst:fst\ (last\ ((Q', Normal\ s'')\ \#\ xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.\ Throw
               using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
           have cfg:cfg1 = map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ xsa \ @ \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ (Q', \ Normal)) \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ (Q', \ Normal)) \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ (Q', \ Normal)))
s^{\prime\prime}) # xsa))) # ys
               using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
            have snd:snd (last ((Q', Normal s'') # xsa)) = Normal s'
              using catch2-ass Cons Q' by (simp add: last-length t-eq-s)
             then have xsa=xsa'
                using catch2-ass Catch-P-Ends-Normal[OF cfg fst snd catch-cond]
Cons
             then have False using min-call p0-cptn ass unfolding min-call-def
by auto
```

 $(n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land$

```
} then show ?thesis by auto qed
       ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
     qed
    qed
   note l=this
   {assume ass:fst ((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
           (\exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys)
\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
           (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd
(last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)))\ \#\ ys)
    have ?thesis
    proof (cases \Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ P0 \ P1, \ s) \rightarrow (Q, t))
      case True
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases xs)
        case Nil thus ?thesis using Catch ass by fastforce
      \mathbf{next}
       case (Cons xa xsa)
       then obtain ys where
         catch2-ass:fst (((P0, s) # xs) ! length xs) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \wedge
             (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xs))) \# ys) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge
          (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd
(last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)))\ \#\ ys
          using ass by auto
       then have t-eq:t=s using Catch by fastforce
       obtain mq mp1 where
         min-call-q:min-call mq \Gamma ((P\theta, s) \# xs) and
          min-call-p1:min-call mp1 \Gamma ((Skip, snd (((P0, s) \# xs) ! length xs)) #
ys)
       using catch2-ass minimum-nest-call p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
       then have mp1-zero:mp1=0 by (simp add: skip-min-nested-call-0)
       then have min-call: min-call n \Gamma ((P0, s) # xs)
         using catch2-ass min-call-catch2 [of n \Gamma P0 P1 s (Q, t) \# cfg1 xs ys]
           Catch(3,4) p0-cptn by (metis last-length)
      have n-z:n>0 using redex-call-cptn-mod-min-nest-call-gr-zero[OF Catch(3)]
Catch(4) \ Catch(5) \ True
         by auto
      from catch2-ass obtain Q' where Q':Q=Catch\ Q'\ P1\ \land\ xa=(Q',t)
         unfolding lift-catch-def using Cons
        proof -
          assume a1: \bigwedge Q'. Q = Catch \ Q' \ P1 \ \land \ xa = (Q', t) \Longrightarrow thesis
          have (Catch\ (fst\ xa)\ P1,\ snd\ xa) = ((Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1)\ !\ 0
           using catch2-ass unfolding lift-catch-def
           by (simp add: Cons case-prod-unfold)
          then show ?thesis
            using a1 by fastforce
        qed
```

```
proof-
         have \neg(\exists xs. min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ ((P0, s)\#xs) \land (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map \ (lift\text{-}catch)
P1) xs)
            using min-call catch2-ass Cons
          proof -
            have min-call n \Gamma ((Catch P0 P1, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1)
              using Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) by blast
            then show ?thesis
              by (metis (no-types) Catch-P-Not-finish append-Nil2 list.simps(3)
                    same-append-eq catch catch2-ass)
           moreover have (\exists xs \ ys. \ cond\text{-}catch\text{-}1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ P1 \ ((Q, t) \# \ cfg1)
ys)
            using catch2-ass p0-cptn unfolding cond-catch-1-def last-length
          moreover have (SOME xs. \exists ys. cond-catch-1 n \Gamma P0 s xs P1 ((Q, t) #
cfg1) ys) = xs
          proof -
            let ?P = \lambda xsa. \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                          fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                            (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip,
                             snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \land
                            (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xsa @
                            (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xsa))) \# ys
            have \bigwedge xsa. \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                            fst (last ((P0, s) \# xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                            (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip,
                             snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \land
                            (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xsa @
                               (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) \# xsa))) \#
ys \Longrightarrow
                          xsa = xs
             using Catch-P-Ends-Skip catch catch2-ass map-lift-catch-some-eq by
fast force
            moreover have \exists ys. (n, \Gamma, (P0, s) \# xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
                              fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ \land
                            (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip,
                              snd\ (last\ ((P0,\ s)\ \#\ xs)))\ \#\ ys)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ \land
                            (Q, t) \# cfg1 = map (lift-catch P1) xs @
                            (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd (last ((P0, s) # xs))) # ys
              using ass p\theta-cptn by (simp add: last-length)
            ultimately show ?thesis using some-equality[of ?P xs]
                unfolding cond-catch-1-def by blast
          qed
          ultimately have biggest-nest-call P0 s xs \Gamma n
           using Catch(6)
                 biggest-nest-call.simps(2)[of P0 P1 s (Q, t) \# cfg1 \Gamma n]
           by presburger
```

have big-call:biggest-nest-call P0 s ((Q',t)#xsa) Γ n

```
then show ?thesis using Cons Q' by auto
        qed
        have min-call:min-call n \Gamma ((Q',t)\#xsa)
          using Catch(1)[OF min-call - - big-call] Catch(5) Cons Q' by fastforce
        then have p1-n-cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def
by blast
        also then have (\forall m < n. (m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
         proof-
          { fix m
            assume ass:m < n
            { assume Q\text{-}m:(m, \Gamma, (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call}
             then obtain xsa' s1 s1' where
                p\theta-cptn:(m, \Gamma, (Q', t) \# xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
                seq:catch-cond-nest\ cfg1\ P1\ xsa'\ Q'\ t\ s1\ s1'\ \Gamma\ m
             using div\text{-}catch\text{-}nest[of\ m\ \Gamma\ (Q,\ t)\ \#\ cfg1]\ Q'\ t\text{-}eq\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
             then have xsa=xsa
               using catch2-ass
               Catch-P-Ends-Skip[of cfg1 P1 xsa Q' t ys xsa' s1 s1']
               Cons Q' Q-m
               by (simp add: last-length)
              then have False using min-call p0-cptn ass unfolding min-call-def
by auto
          } then show ?thesis by auto qed
        ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def by auto
      qed
    next
      case False
      then have env:\Gamma\vdash_c(Catch\ P0\ P1,\ s)\rightarrow_e(Q,t) using Catch
        by (meson elim-cptn-mod-nest-step-c min-call-def)
      moreover then have Q:Q=Catch \ P0 \ P1 \ using \ env-c-c' by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis using Catch
       proof -
        obtain nn :: (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \Rightarrow ('a)
\Rightarrow ('b, 'a, 'c,'d) LanguageCon.com option) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat where
            f1: \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists v3 < x2. \ (v3, x1, x0) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call) = (nn \ x0)
x1 \ x2 < x2 \land (nn \ x0 \ x1 \ x2, \ x1, \ x0) \in cptn-mod-nest-call)
           by moura
         have f2: (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1, s) \# (Q, t) \# cfg1) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \land (\forall n. \neg n < n \lor (n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Catch P0 P1, s))
\# (Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn-mod-nest-call)
           using local.Catch(3) local.Catch(4) min-call-def by blast
         then have \neg nn ((Q, t) \# cfg1) \Gamma n < n \lor (nn ((Q, t) \# cfg1) \Gamma n, \Gamma,
(Q, t) \# cfg1) \notin cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
           using False env env-c-c' not-func-redex-cptn-mod-nest-n-env
           by (metis Catch.prems(1) Catch.prems(2) min-call-def)
         then show ?thesis
```

```
using f2 f1 by (meson elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n min-call-def)
       \mathbf{qed}
    qed
   thus ?thesis using l ass by fastforce
 ged
qed (fastforce)+
lemma cptn-mod-nest-n-1:
 assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a1:cfs=(p,s)\#cfs' and
         a2:\neg (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfs)
 shows (n-1,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
using a\theta a1 a2
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-1 Suc-leI cptn-mod-nest-mono less-nat-zero-code
min-call-def not-less)
lemma cptn-mod-nest-tl-n-1:
 assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a1:cfs=(p,s)\#(q,t)\#cfs' and
         a2:\neg (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfs)
 shows (n-1,\Gamma,(q,t)\#cfs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
  using a0 a1 a2
by (meson elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n cptn-mod-nest-n-1)
lemma cptn-mod-nest-tl-not-min:
 assumes a\theta:(n,\Gamma,cfg) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
         a1:cfg=(p,s)\#cfg' and
         a2:\neg (min\text{-}call \ n \ \Gamma \ cfg)
 shows \neg (min-call n \Gamma cfg')
proof (cases cfg')
 case Nil
 have (\Gamma, []) \notin cptn
   using cptn.simps by auto
 then show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def
   using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod local.Nil by blast
  case (Cons xa cfga)
  then obtain q t where xa = (q,t) by fastforce
 then have (n-1,\Gamma,cfg') \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   using a0 a1 a2 cptn-mod-nest-tl-n-1 Cons by fastforce
 also then have (n,\Gamma,cfg') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   using cptn-mod-nest-mono Nat.diff-le-self by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding min-call-def
   using a0 a2 min-call-def by force
qed
```

```
definition cpn :: nat \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ body \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow
                  ('s,'f) xstate \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) confs) set
where
 cpn\ n\ \Gamma\ P\ s \equiv \{(\Gamma 1, l).\ l!\theta = (P, s) \land (n, \Gamma, l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma\}
lemma cptn-mod-same-n:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma,cfs)\in cptn\text{-}mod
 shows \exists n. (n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
proof -
show ?thesis using cptn-mod-nest-mono cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest
by (metis a0 cptn-mod-nest-mono2 leI)
qed
lemma cptn-mod-same-n1:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma,cfs)\in cptn\text{-}mod and
          a1:(\Gamma,cfs1) \in cptn-mod
 shows \exists n. (n,\Gamma,cfs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land (n,\Gamma,cfs1) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
 show ?thesis using cptn-mod-nest-mono cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest
 by (metis a0 a1 cptn-mod-nest-mono2 leI)
qed
lemma dropcptn-is-cptn1 [rule-format,elim!]:
 \forall j < length \ c. \ (n, \Gamma, c) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \longrightarrow (n, \Gamma, drop \ j \ c) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ j \}
   assume j < length \ c \land (n,\Gamma,c) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   then have (n,\Gamma, drop \ j \ c) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   proof(induction \ j \ arbitrary: \ c)
     case \theta then show ?case by auto
   next
     case (Suc j)
     then obtain a\ b\ c' where c=a\#b\#c'
       by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc Suc-lessE drop-0 less-trans-Suc zero-less-Suc)
     then also have j < length (b \# c') using Suc by auto
      ultimately moreover have (n, \Gamma, drop \ j \ (b \# c')) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
using elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n[of n \Gamma c] Suc
       by (metis surj-pair)
     ultimately show ?case by auto
 } thus ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

8.13 Compositionality of the Semantics

8.13.1 Definition of the conjoin operator

```
definition same-length :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) confs) list \Rightarrow bool
where
  same-length\ c\ clist \equiv (\forall\ i < length\ clist.\ length(snd\ (clist!i)) = length\ (snd\ c))
lemma same-length-non-pair:
  assumes a1:same-length c clist and
           a2:clist'=map\ (\lambda x.\ snd\ x)\ clist
  shows (\forall i < length \ clist'. \ length(\ (clist'!i)) = length \ (snd \ c))
using a1 a2 by (auto simp add: same-length-def)
definition same-state :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) confs) list \Rightarrow bool
where
   same-state c clist \equiv (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ (snd \ c). \ snd((snd \ c)!j) =
snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j))
lemma same-state-non-pair:
  assumes a1:same-state c clist and
           a2:clist'=map\ (\lambda x.\ snd\ x)\ clist
 shows (\forall i < length \ clist', \ \forall j < length \ (snd \ c), \ snd((snd \ c)!j) = snd((clist'!i)!j))
using a1 a2 by (auto simp add: same-state-def)
definition same-program :: ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) par-confs \Rightarrow (('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) confs) list \Rightarrow bool
where
   same-program c clist \equiv (\forall j < length (snd c). fst((snd c)!j) = map (\lambda x. fst(nth c)!j)
(snd \ x) \ j)) \ clist)
lemma same-program-non-pair:
  assumes a1:same-program c clist and
           a2:clist'=map\ (\lambda x.\ snd\ x)\ clist
  shows (\forall j < length (snd c), fst((snd c)!j) = map (\lambda x, fst(nth x j)) clist')
using a1 a2 by (auto simp add: same-program-def)
definition same-functions :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) confs) list \Rightarrow
bool where
 same-functions c clist \equiv \forall i < length \ clist. \ fst \ (clist!i) = fst \ c
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{compat-label} :: (\textit{'s}, \textit{'p}, \textit{'f}, \textit{'e}) \ \textit{par-confs} \Rightarrow ((\textit{'s}, \textit{'p}, \textit{'f}, \textit{'e}) \ \textit{confs}) \ \textit{list} \Rightarrow \textit{bool}
where
  compat-label c clist \equiv
     (\forall j. \ Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ c) \longrightarrow
         (((fst\ c)\vdash_{p}((snd\ c)!j)\ \rightarrow ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ j)))\ \land
             (\exists i < length \ clist.
                ((fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ j))) \land
             (\forall l < length \ clist.
                l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j))
```

```
))) ∨
         ((fst\ c)\vdash_p((snd\ c)!j)\ \rightarrow_e ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ j))\ \land
          (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (fst\ (clist!i)) \vdash_c (snd\ (clist!i))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc))
j))
\mathbf{lemma}\ compat\text{-}label\text{-}tran\text{-}0:
 assumes assm1:compat-label\ c\ clist\ \land\ length\ (snd\ c) > Suc\ \theta
 shows ((fst\ c)\vdash_p((snd\ c)!\theta)\ \to ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ \theta)))\ \lor
      ((fst\ c)\vdash_p((snd\ c)!\theta)\ \rightarrow_e ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ \theta)))
  using assm1 unfolding compat-label-def
 by blast
definition conjoin :: (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ par-confs) \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ list \Rightarrow bool
\propto -[65,65] 64) where
  c \propto clist \equiv (same-length \ c \ clist) \land (same-state \ c \ clist) \land (same-program \ c \ clist)
                 (compat-label\ c\ clist) \land (same-functions\ c\ clist)
lemma conjoin-same-length:
   c \propto clist \Longrightarrow \forall i < length (snd c). length (fst ((snd c)!i)) = length clist
proof (auto)
  \mathbf{fix} i
  assume a1:c \propto clist
  assume a2:i < length (snd c)
  then have (\forall j < length (snd c), fst((snd c)!j) = map (\lambda x. fst(nth (snd x) j))
clist)
    using a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by auto
  thus length (fst (snd c ! i)) = length clist by (simp add: a2)
qed
lemma c \propto clist \Longrightarrow
       i < length (snd c) \land j < length (snd c) \Longrightarrow
       length (fst ((snd c)!i)) = length (fst ((snd c)!j))
using conjoin-same-length by fastforce
lemma conjoin-same-length-i-suci:c \propto clist \Longrightarrow
       Suc \ i < length \ (snd \ c) \Longrightarrow
       length (fst ((snd c)!i)) = length (fst ((snd c)!(Suc i)))
using conjoin-same-length by fastforce
lemma conjoin-same-program-i:
  c \propto clist \Longrightarrow
   j < length (snd c) \Longrightarrow
   i < length \ clist \Longrightarrow
   fst\ ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) = (fst\ ((snd\ c)!j))!i
proof -
  assume a\theta:c \propto clist and
```

```
a1:j < length (snd c) and
        a2:i < length \ clist
 have length (fst ((snd c)!j)) = length clist
   using conjoin-same-length a0 a1 by fastforce
 also have fst (snd c ! j) = map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! j)) clist
   using a0 a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis using a2 by fastforce
qed
lemma conjoin-same-program-i-j:
  c \propto clist \Longrightarrow
  Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ c) \Longrightarrow
  \forall l < length \ clist. \ fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!j) = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j)) \Longrightarrow
  fst\ ((snd\ c)!j) = (fst\ ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ j)))
proof -
 assume a\theta:c \propto clist and
        a1:Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ c) and
        a2: \forall l < length \ clist. \ fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!j) = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j))
 have length (fst ((snd c)!j)) = length clist
   using conjoin-same-length a0 a1 by fastforce
 then have map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! j)) clist = map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! (Suc j))) clist
   using a2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-set-conv-nth map-eq-conv)
  moreover have fst (snd \ c \ ! \ j) = map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (snd \ x \ ! \ j)) \ clist
   using a0 a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by fastforce
  moreover have fst (snd \ c \ ! \ Suc \ j) = map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (snd \ x \ ! \ Suc \ j)) \ clist
   using a0 a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
lemma conjoin-last-same-state:
 assumes a\theta: (\Gamma,l) \propto clist and
  a1: i < length \ clist \ and
  a2: (snd (clist!i)) \neq []
  shows snd (last (snd (clist!i))) = snd (last l)
proof -
 have length l = length (snd (clist!i))
   using a0 a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
  also then have length-l:length l \neq 0 using a2 by fastforce
  ultimately have last (snd\ (clist!i)) = (snd\ (clist!i))!((length\ l)-1)
   using a1 \ a2
   by (simp add: last-conv-nth)
  thus ?thesis using length-l a0 a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
   by (simp add: a2 last-conv-nth)
qed
lemma list-eq-if [rule-format]:
  \forall ys. \ xs = ys \longrightarrow (length \ xs = length \ ys) \longrightarrow (\forall i < length \ xs. \ xs! i = ys! i)
 by (induct xs) auto
```

```
lemma list-eq: (length xs = length ys \land (\forall i < length xs. xs!i=ys!i)) = (xs=ys)
apply(rule iffI)
apply clarify
 apply(erule nth-equalityI)
apply simp +
done
lemma nth-tl: [ys!\theta=a; ys\neq ]] \implies ys=(a\#(tl\ ys))
  by (cases ys) simp-all
lemma nth-tl-if [rule-format]: ys \neq [] \longrightarrow ys! \theta = a \longrightarrow P \ ys \longrightarrow P \ (a\#(tl \ ys))
  by (induct ys) simp-all
lemma nth-tl-onlyif [rule-format]: ys \neq [] \longrightarrow ys!\theta = a \longrightarrow P (a\#(tl\ ys)) \longrightarrow P\ ys
  by (induct ys) simp-all
lemma nth-tl-eq [rule-format]: ys \neq [] \longrightarrow ys!\theta = a \longrightarrow P(a\#(tl\ ys)) = P\ ys
  by (induct ys) simp-all
lemma nth-tl-pair: [p=(u,ys); ys!\theta=a; ys\neq []] \implies p=(u,(a\#(tl\ ys)))
by (simp add: SmallStepCon.nth-tl)
lemma nth-tl-eq-Pair [rule-format]: p=(u,ys) \longrightarrow ys \neq [] \longrightarrow ys! \theta = a \longrightarrow P ((u,a\#(tl))
(ys))) = P((u,ys))
  by (induct ys) simp-all
lemma tl-in-cptn: [(g,a\#xs) \in cptn; xs \neq []] \implies (g,xs) \in cptn
  by (force elim: cptn.cases)
lemma tl-zero[rule-format]:
   \mathit{Suc}\ j{<}\mathit{length}\ ys\ \longrightarrow\ P\ (ys!\mathit{Suc}\ j)\ \longrightarrow\ P\ (\mathit{tl}(ys)!j)
  by (simp add: List.nth-tl)
lemma tl-zero1[rule-format]:
  Suc \ j < length \ ys \longrightarrow P \ (tl(ys)!j) \longrightarrow P \ (ys!Suc \ j)
 by (simp add: List.nth-tl)
lemma tl-zero-eq [rule-format]:
  Suc \ j < length \ ys \longrightarrow (P \ (tl(ys)!j) = P \ (ys!Suc \ j))
by (simp add: List.nth-tl)
lemma tl-zero-eq':
   \forall j. \ Suc \ j < length \ ys \longrightarrow (P \ (tl(ys)!j) = P \ (ys!Suc \ j))
using tl-zero-eq by blast
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{tl\text{-}zero\text{-}pair}{:}i < \mathit{length} \ \mathit{ys} \Longrightarrow \mathit{length} \ \mathit{ys} = \mathit{length} \ \mathit{zs} \Longrightarrow
       Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ (ys!i)) \Longrightarrow
       snd (zs!i) = tl (snd (ys!i)) \Longrightarrow
       P((snd(ys!i))!(Suc j)) =
       P((snd(zs!i))!j)
  by (simp add: tl-zero-eq)
lemma tl-zero-pair': \forall i < length \ ys. \ length \ ys = length \ zs \longrightarrow
       Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       snd (zs!i) = tl (snd (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       (P\ ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ j)) =
       P((snd(zs!i))!j))
using tl-zero-pair by blast
lemma tl-zero-pair2:i < length ys \implies length ys = length zs \implies
       Suc\ (Suc\ j) < length\ (snd\ (ys!i)) \Longrightarrow
       snd (zs!i) = tl (snd (ys!i)) \Longrightarrow
       P ((snd (ys!i))!(Suc (Suc j))) ((snd (ys!i))!(Suc j)) =
       P ((snd (zs!i))!(Suc j)) ((snd (zs!i))!j)
 by (simp add: tl-zero-eq)
lemma tl-zero-pair2':\forall i < length \ ys. \ length \ ys = length \ zs \longrightarrow
       Suc\ (Suc\ j) < length\ (snd\ (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       snd\ (zs!i) = tl\ (snd\ (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       P((snd(ys!i))!(Suc(Suc(j))) ((snd(ys!i))!(Suc(j))) =
       P ((snd (zs!i))!(Suc j)) ((snd (zs!i))!j)
using tl-zero-pair2 by blast
lemma tl-zero-pair21:\forall i < length \ ys. \ length \ ys = length \ zs \longrightarrow
       Suc\ (Suc\ j) < length\ (snd\ (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       snd\ (zs!i) = tl\ (snd\ (ys!i)) \longrightarrow
       P ((snd (ys!i))!(Suc j)) ((snd (ys!i))!(Suc (Suc j))) =
       P ((snd (zs!i))!j) ((snd (zs!i))!(Suc j))
by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl list.size(3) not-less0 nth-Cons-Suc)
lemma tl-pair:Suc (Suc \ j) < length \ l \Longrightarrow
       l1 = tl \ l \Longrightarrow
       P(l!(Suc(Suc j)))(l!(Suc j)) =
       P(l1!(Suc\ j))(l1!j)
by (simp add: tl-zero-eq)
lemma list-as-map:
 assumes
     a1:length\ clist > 0 and
     a2: xs = (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) clist) and
     a3: ys = (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist) and
     a4: \forall i < length \ clist. \ length \ (clist!i) > 0 \ and
```

```
a5: \forall i < length \ clist. \forall j < length \ clist. \forall k < length \ (clist!i).
          snd\ ((clist!i)!k) = snd\ ((clist!j)!k) and
    a6: \forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ clist.
           length (clist!i) = length (clist!j)
    shows clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (fst \ i, snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ i) \ (zip \ xs \ ys)
proof-
  let ?clist' = map (\lambda i. (fst i, snd ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd i) (zip xs ys)
 have lens:length\ clist = length\ ?clist' using a2 a3 by auto
  have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! \ i = ?clist'! \ i)
 proof -
   {
     \mathbf{fix} i
     assume a11:i < length \ clist
     have xs-clist:xs!i = fst (hd (clist!i)) using a2 a11 by auto
     have ys-clist:ys!i = tl (clist ! i) using a3 a11 by auto
     have snd\text{-}zero:snd (hd (clist!i)) = snd ((clist!0)!0) using a5 a4
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) at all hd-conv-nth less-numeral-extra(3)
list.size(3)
       then have (\lambda i. (fst \ i, snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ i) \ ((zip \ xs \ ys)!i) = clist \ !i
       proof -
         have f1: length xs = length clist
           using a2 length-map by blast
         have \neg (\theta :: nat) < \theta
           by (meson less-not-refl)
         thus ?thesis
           using f1 by (metis (lifting) a11 a3 a4
                       fst-conv length-map list.exhaust-sel
                       list.size(3) nth-zip prod.collapse
                       snd-conv snd-zero xs-clist ys-clist)
     then have clist ! i = ?clist' ! i using lens a11 by force
   thus ?thesis by auto
 thus ?thesis using lens list-eq by blast
qed
lemma list-as-map':
 assumes
    a1:length\ clist > 0 and
    a2: xs = (map (\lambda x. hd x) clist) and
    a3: ys = (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist) and
    a4: \forall i < length \ clist. \ length \ (clist!i) > 0
    shows clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (fst \ i) \# snd \ i) \ (zip \ xs \ ys)
proof-
 let ?clist'= map (\lambda i.(fst\ i)\#snd\ i) (zip\ xs\ ys)
 have lens:length\ clist = length\ ?clist' using a2 a3 by auto
 have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! \ i = ?clist'! \ i)
```

```
proof -
     \mathbf{fix} i
     assume a11:i < length \ clist
     have xs-clist:xs!i = hd (clist!i) using a2 a11 by auto
     have ys\text{-}clist:ys!i = tl \ (clist ! i) using a3 a11 by auto
     then have (\lambda i. fst i \# snd i) ((zip xs ys)!i) = clist !i
       using xs-clist ys-clist a11 a2 a3 a4 by fastforce
     then have clist ! i = ?clist' ! i using lens a11 by force
   thus ?thesis by auto
 thus ?thesis using lens list-eq by blast
qed
lemma conjoin-tl:
 assumes
   a1: (\Gamma, x \# xs) \propto ys and
   a2:zs = map (\lambda i. (fst i, tl (snd i))) ys
  shows (\Gamma, xs) \propto zs
proof -
  have s-p:same-program (\Gamma, x \# xs) ys using a1 unfolding conjoin-def by simp
  have s-l:same-length (\Gamma, x \# xs) ys using a1 unfolding conjoin-def by simp
 have \forall i < length zs. snd (zs!i) = tl (snd (ys!i))
   by (simp \ add: \ a2)
  {
   have same-length (\Gamma,xs) zs using a1 a2 unfolding conjoin-def
    by (simp add: same-length-def)
  } moreover note same-len = this
  {
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ j
     assume a11:j < length (snd (\Gamma, xs))
      then have fst-suc:fst (snd (\Gamma, xs) ! j) = fst(snd (\Gamma, x\#xs)! Suc j)
      then have fst (snd (\Gamma, xs) ! j) = map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! j)) zs
      proof -
        have s-l-y-z:length ys = length zs using a2 by fastforce
        have Suc-j-l-ys:\forall i < length ys. <math>Suc j < length (snd (ys!i))
          using a11 s-l unfolding same-length-def by fastforce
        have tail: \forall i < length \ ys. \ snd \ (zs!i) = tl \ (snd \ (ys!i)) \ using \ a2
         by fastforce
        then have l-xs-zs-eq:length (fst (snd (\Gamma, xs) ! j)) = length zs
         using fst-suc s-l-y-z s-p a11 unfolding same-program-def by auto
        then have \forall i < length ys.
         fst \ (snd \ (\Gamma, x\#xs) \ ! \ Suc \ j)!i = fst \ (snd \ (ys!i) \ ! \ (Suc \ j))
            using s-p a11 unfolding same-program-def by fastforce
        then have \forall i < length zs.
```

```
fst \ (snd \ (\Gamma, x\#xs) \ ! \ Suc \ j)!i = fst \ (snd \ (zs!i) \ ! \ (j))
        using Suc-j-l-ys tail s-l-y-z tl-zero-pair by metis
     then have \forall i < length zs.
        fst \ (snd \ (\Gamma, xs) \ ! \ j)!i = map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (snd \ x \ ! \ j)) \ zs!i
       using fst-suc by auto
     also have length (fst (snd (\Gamma, xs) ! j)) =
                length (map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! j)) zs)
       using l-xs-zs-eq by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis using l-xs-zs-eq list-eq by metis
    qed
 then have same-program (\Gamma, xs) zs
 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def same-length-def
 by blast
moreover note same-prog = this
 have same-state (\Gamma, xs) zs
 using a1 a2 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def same-state-def
 apply auto
by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) List.nth-tl Suc-less-eq diff-Suc-1 length-tl nth-Cons-Suc)
moreover note same-sta = this
 have same-functions (\Gamma, xs) zs
  using a1 a2 unfolding conjoin-def
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: same-functions-def)
  done
moreover note same-fun = this
{ {
   \mathbf{fix} \ j
   assume a11:Suc j < length (snd (\Gamma, xs))
   have s-l-y-z:length ys = length zs using a2 by fastforce
   have Suc\ j-l-ys: \forall\ i < length\ ys.\ Suc\ (Suc\ j) < length\ (snd\ (ys!i))
     using a11 s-l unfolding same-length-def by fastforce
   have tail: \forall i < length \ ys. \ snd \ (zs!i) = tl \ (snd \ (ys!i)) \ using \ a2
     by fastforce
   have same-env: \forall i < length \ ys. \ (fst \ (ys!i)) = \Gamma
     using a1 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by auto
   have fst: \forall x. fst(\Gamma, x) = \Gamma by auto
   then have fun-ys-eq-fun-zs: \forall i < length \ ys. \ (fst \ (ys!i)) = (fst \ (zs!i))
     using same-env s-l-y-z
     proof -
       have \forall n. \neg n < length ys \lor fst (zs! n) = fst (ys! n)
         by (simp add: a2)
       thus ?thesis
         by presburger
     qed
   have suc\mbox{-}j\mbox{:}Suc\mbox{ }(Suc\mbox{ }j) \mbox{-} length\mbox{ }(snd\mbox{ }(\Gamma,\mbox{ }x\#xs)) \mbox{ } using\mbox{ } a11\mbox{ } by\mbox{ } auto
```

```
then have or-compat:((\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc j)))
x\#xs))!(Suc\ (Suc\ j)))) \land
                                             (\exists i < length ys.)
                                                         ((fst\ (ys!i))\vdash_{c} ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ (Suc\ j))))
\land
                                             (\forall l < length \ ys.
                                                      l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (ys!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (ys!l))!(Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (ys!l))!(Suc \ (Suc \ j)))!(Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (ys!l))!(Suc \ j))!(Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (ys!
j))) ))) ∨
                                             (\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ j)) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ (Suc \ j))) \land
                                             (\forall i < length \ ys. \ (fst \ (ys!i)) \vdash_c \ (snd \ (ys!i))!(Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (ys!i))!(Suc \ j))!(Suc \ j) )
(Suc\ j)))))
                           using suc-j a1 same-env unfolding conjoin-def compat-label-def fst by auto
                           then have
                                   ((fst (\Gamma, xs) \vdash_{p} ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!(j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!((Suc j)))) \land
                                                    (\exists i < length zs.
                                                                ((fst\ (zs!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ (Suc\ j)))) \land
                                                    (\forall l < length zs.
                                                               l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (zs!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (zs!l))! (j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (zs!l))! ((Suc \ j)))
))))∨
                                                        ((fst \ (\Gamma, xs) \vdash_p ((snd \ (\Gamma, xs))!(j)) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (\Gamma, xs))!((Suc \ j))) \land
                                          (\forall i < length \ zs. \ (fst \ (zs!i)) \vdash_c \ (snd \ (zs!i))!(j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (zs!i))!((Suc \ j)))
)))
                                  assume a21:( (\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ j)) \rightarrow ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ j))
(Suc\ j))))\ \land
                                                    (\exists i < length ys.
                                                            ((fst\ (ys!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ (Suc\ j))))
\land
                                                    (\forall l < length ys.
                                                                       l \neq i \, \longrightarrow \, (\mathit{fst} \ (\mathit{ys}!l)) \vdash_c \, (\mathit{snd} \ (\mathit{ys}!l))! (\mathit{Suc} \ j) \ \rightarrow_e \, ((\mathit{snd} \ (\mathit{ys}!l))! (\mathit{Suc} \ j)) \, )
(Suc\ j)))\ )))
                                      then obtain i where
                                                      f1:((\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc (Suc (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc (Suc (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc (Suc (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc (Suc (\Gamma, x\#xs))!(Suc (\Gamma, x\#xs))!
j)))) \wedge
                                                    (i < length \ ys \ \land
                                                            ((fst\ (ys!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (ys!i))!(Suc\ (Suc\ j))))
\land
                                                    (\forall l < length ys.
                                                                        l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (ys!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (ys!l))!(Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (ys!l))!(Suc \ j))
(Suc\ j)))\ )))
                                          by auto
                                       then have ((\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc j)))
(Suc\ j))))\ \land
                                                    (\exists i < length ys.
                                                               ((fst\ (ys!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ j))\ \rightarrow ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ (Suc\ j))))\ \land
                                                    (\forall l < length ys.)
                                                              l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (ys!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (zs!l))!(j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (zs!l))!(\ (Suc \ j)))
)))
                                             proof -
```

```
have f1: \Gamma \vdash_p snd (\Gamma, x \# xs) ! Suc j \rightarrow snd (\Gamma, x \# xs) ! Suc (Suc
(j) \land i < length \ ys \land fst \ (ys \ ! \ i) \vdash_c snd \ (ys \ ! \ i) \ ! \ Suc \ j \rightarrow snd \ (ys \ ! \ i) \ ! \ Suc \ (Suc \ Suc 
(j) \land (\forall n. (\neg n < length \ ys \lor n = i) \lor fst \ (ys ! n) \vdash_c snd \ (ys ! n) ! Suc \ j \rightarrow_e snd)
(ys ! n) ! Suc (Suc j))
                                    using f1 by blast
                                 have f2: j < length (snd (\Gamma, xs))
                                    by (meson Suc-lessD a11)
                                 have f3: \forall n. \neg n < length zs \lor length (snd (zs!n)) = length (snd
(\Gamma, xs)
                                    using same-len same-length-def by blast
                                 have \forall n. \neg n < length ys \lor snd (zs! n) = tl (snd (ys! n))
                                    using tail by blast
                                 thus ?thesis
                                     using f3 f2 f1 by (metis (no-types) List.nth-tl a11 s-l-y-z)
                           then have (\Gamma \vdash_{p} ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!(j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!((Suc j)))) \land
                            (\exists i < length zs.
                                   ((fst\ (zs!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ (Suc\ j)))) \land
                            (\forall l < length zs.
                                  l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (zs!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (zs!l))!(\ j) \ \rightarrow_e ((\ snd \ (zs!l))!(\ (Suc \ j)))
)))
                            using same-env s-l-y-z fun-ys-eq-fun-zs by force
                            then have (fst (\Gamma, xs) \vdash_{p} ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!(j)) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, xs))!((Suc
j)))) \wedge
                            (\exists i < length zs.
                                   ((fst\ (zs!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ j)) \rightarrow ((snd\ (zs!i))!(\ (Suc\ j)))) \land
                            (\forall l < length zs.
                                  l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (zs!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (zs!l))! (j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (zs!l))! (Suc \ j)))
)))
                          by auto
                          thus ?thesis
                          by auto
            next
                assume a22:
                        (\Gamma \vdash_{p} ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ j)) \rightarrow_{e} ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ (Suc \ j))) \land
                        (\forall i < length \ ys. \ (fst \ (ys!i)) \vdash_c \ (snd \ (ys!i))! (Suc \ j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (ys!i))! (Suc \ j))
(Suc\ j)))))
                then have
                    (\Gamma \vdash_{p} ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ j)) \rightarrow_{e} ((snd \ (\Gamma, x \# xs))!(Suc \ (Suc \ j))) \land
                      (\forall i < length \ ys. \ (fst \ (ys!i)) \vdash_c (snd \ (zs!i))!(j) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (zs!i))!((Suc \ j)))
))
                using Suc-j-l-ys tail s-l-y-z tl-zero-pair21 by metis
                then have
                    (\Gamma \vdash_p ((snd \ (\Gamma, xs))!(j)) \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (\Gamma, xs))!((Suc \ j))) \land
                      (\forall i < length \ zs. \ (fst \ (zs!i)) \vdash_c \ (snd \ (zs!i))!(j) \ \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (zs!i))!((Suc \ j)))
))
                    using same-env s-l-y-z fun-ys-eq-fun-zs by fastforce
                thus ?thesis by auto
            qed
```

```
then have compat-label (\Gamma, xs) zs
    using compat-label-def by blast
  } note same-label = this
  ultimately show ?thesis using conjoin-def by auto
qed
lemma clist-tail:
 assumes
    a1:length \ xs = length \ clist \ and
    a2: ys = (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i)) (zip xs clist))
shows \forall i < length ys. tl (snd (ys!i)) = clist!i
using a1 a2
proof -
  show ?thesis using a2
  by (simp add: a1)
qed
lemma clist-map:
  assumes
    a1:length \ xs = length \ clist
  shows clist = map ((\lambda p. \ tl \ (snd \ p)) \circ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ s) \ \# \ snd \ i))) \ (zip \ xs \ clist)
proof -
  have f1: map \ snd \ (zip \ xs \ clist) = clist
   using a1 map-snd-zip by blast
 have map snd (zip xs clist) = map ((\lambda p. tl (snd p)) \circ (\lambda p. (\Gamma, (fst p, s) # snd
p))) (zip \ xs \ clist)
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using f1 by presburger
qed
lemma clist-map1:
  assumes
    a1:length \ xs = length \ clist
  shows clist = map \ (\lambda p. \ tl \ (snd \ p)) \ (map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# snd \ i)) \ (zip \ xs \ clist))
proof -
  have clist = map ((\lambda p. \ tl \ (snd \ p)) \circ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ s) \ \# \ snd \ i))) (zip \ xs \ clist)
  using a1 clist-map by fastforce
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma clist-map2:
     (clist = map \ (\lambda p. \ tl \ (snd \ p)) \ (l::('a \times 'b \ list) \ list)) \Longrightarrow
      clist = map \ (\lambda p. \ (snd \ p)) \ (map \ (\lambda p. \ (fst \ p, \ tl \ (snd \ p))) \ (l::('a \times 'b \ list) \ list))
```

```
lemma map-snd:
  assumes a1: y = map(\lambda x. f x) l
  shows y=(map \ snd \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ (g \ x, f \ x)) \ l))
by (simp add: assms)
lemmas map\text{-}snd\text{-}sym = map\text{-}snd[THEN sym]
lemma map-snd':
              map\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x)\ l = (map\ snd\ (map\ (\lambda x.\ (g\ x,\ f\ x))\ l))
  \mathbf{shows}
\mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma clist-snd:
assumes a1: (\Gamma, a \# ys) \propto map(\lambda x. (fst x, tl (snd x)))
                    (map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ s) \ \# \ snd \ i)) \ (zip \ xs \ clist)) and
         a2: length\ clist > 0 \land length\ clist = length\ xs
 shows clist = (map \ snd)
          (map\ (\lambda x.\ (\Gamma,\ (fst\ x,\ snd\ (clist\ !\ 0\ !\ 0))\ \#\ snd\ x))
            (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ x)) \ clist) \ (map \ tl \ clist))))
proof -
     let ?concat-zip = (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# snd \ i))
     let ?clist-ext = map ?concat-zip (zip xs clist)
     let ?exec\text{-}run = (xs, s) \# a \# ys
     let ?exec = (\Gamma, ?exec\text{-}run)
     let ?exec\text{-}ext = map(\lambda x. (fst x, tl (snd x))) ?clist\text{-}ext
     let ?zip = (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ x)) \ clist)
                         (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist))
  have \Gamma-all: \forall i < length ?clist-ext. fst (?clist-ext !i) = \Gamma
       by auto
  have len:length xs = length clist using a2 by auto
  then have len-clist-exec:
  length\ clist = length\ ?exec-ext
  by fastforce
  then have len-clist-exec-map:
    length ?exec-ext =
              length (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x,snd ((clist!\theta)!\theta))#snd x))
                          ?zip)
  by fastforce
  then have clist-snd:clist = map(\lambda x. snd x) ?exec-ext
    using clist-map1 [of xs clist \Gamma s] clist-map2 len by blast
  then have clist-len-eq-ays:
      \forall i < length \ clist. \ length(\ (clist!i)) = length(\ snd(\Gamma, a \# ys))
    using len same-length-non-pair a1 conjoin-def
    by blast
  then have clist-gz: \forall i < length \ clist. length \ (clist!i) > 0
    bv fastforce
  have clist-len-eq:
```

by auto

```
\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ clist.
        length (clist ! i) = length (clist ! j)
    using clist-len-eq-ays by auto
  have clist-same-state:
    \forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ clist. \ \forall k < length \ (clist!i).
       snd\ ((clist!i)!k) = snd\ ((clist!j)!k)
  proof -
    have
      (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ a \# ys)). \ snd((snd \ (\Gamma, \ a \# ys))!j) =
snd((clist!i)!j))
      using len clist-snd conjoin-def a1 conjoin-def same-state-non-pair
    by blast
    thus ?thesis using clist-len-eq-ays by (metis (no-types))
  qed
  then have clist-map:
    clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (fst \ i.snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \#snd \ i) \ ?zip
    using list-as-map a2 clist-qz clist-len-eq by blast
  moreover have map (\lambda i. (fst \ i.snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ i) \ ?zip =
             map snd (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst \ x, snd \ (clist \ ! \ 0 \ ! \ 0)) \# snd \ x))
       (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ x)) \ clist) \ (map \ tl \ clist)))
  using map-snd' by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma list-as-zip:
 assumes a1: (\Gamma, a \# ys) \propto map(\lambda x. (fst x, tl (snd x)))
                    (map\ (\lambda i.\ (\Gamma,\ (fst\ i,\ s)\ \#\ snd\ i))\ (zip\ xs\ clist)) and
         a2: length\ clist > 0 \land length\ clist = length\ xs
 shows map (\lambda x. (fst x, tl (snd x)))
                    (\mathit{map}\ (\lambda i.\ (\Gamma,\ (\mathit{fst}\ i,\ s)\ \#\ \mathit{snd}\ i))\ (\mathit{zip}\ \mathit{xs}\ \mathit{clist})) =
          map \ (\lambda x. \ (\Gamma, (fst \ x, snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ x))
                        (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ x)) \ clist)
                          (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist))
proof -
     let ?concat-zip = (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# snd \ i))
     let ?clist-ext = map ?concat-zip (zip xs clist)
     let ?exec\text{-}run = (xs, s) \# a \# ys
     let ?exec = (\Gamma, ?exec\text{-}run)
     let ?exec\text{-}ext = map (\lambda x. (fst x, tl (snd x))) ?clist\text{-}ext
     let ?zip = (zip (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) clist)
                          (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist))
  have \Gamma-all: \forall i < length ?clist-ext. fst (?clist-ext !i) = \Gamma
       by auto
  have len:length xs = length clist using a2 by auto
  then have len-clist-exec:
   length\ clist = length\ ?exec-ext
   bv fastforce
  then have len-clist-exec-map:
    length ?exec-ext =
```

```
length (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x,snd ((clist!\theta)!\theta))#snd x))
                         ?zin)
  by fastforce
  then have clist-snd:clist = map (\lambda x. snd x) ?exec-ext
   using clist-map1 [of xs clist \Gamma s] clist-map2 len by blast
  then have clist-len-eq-ays:
     \forall i < length \ clist. \ length(\ (clist!i)) = length(\ snd(\Gamma, a \# ys))
   using len same-length-non-pair a1 conjoin-def
   by blast
  then have clist-gz:\forall i < length clist. length <math>(clist!i) > 0
   by fastforce
 have clist-len-eq:
    \forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ clist.
       length (clist ! i) = length (clist ! j)
   using clist-len-eq-ays by auto
 have clist-same-state:
   \forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ clist. \ \forall k < length \ (clist!i).
      snd\ ((clist!i)!k) = snd\ ((clist!j)!k)
 proof -
   have
     (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ a \# ys)). \ snd((snd \ (\Gamma, \ a \# ys))!j) =
snd((clist!i)!j))
     using len clist-snd conjoin-def a1 conjoin-def same-state-non-pair
   by blast
   thus ?thesis using clist-len-eq-ays by (metis (no-types))
  qed
  then have clist-map:
    clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (fst \ i, snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ i) \ ?zip
   using list-as-map a2 clist-gz clist-len-eq by blast
  then have \forall i < length \ clist.
               clist ! i = (fst (?zip!i), snd ((clist!0)!0)) # snd (?zip!i)
  using len nth-map length-map by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  then have
   \forall i < length \ clist.
     ?exec-ext! i = (\Gamma, (fst (?zip!i), snd ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd (?zip!i))
 using \Gamma-all len by fastforce
 moreover have \forall i < length \ clist.
   (\Gamma, (fst \ (?zip!i), snd \ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd \ (?zip!i)) =
   (map\ (\lambda x.\ (\Gamma,\ (fst\ x,snd\ ((clist!0)!0))\#snd\ x))
                         ?zip)!i
 by auto
  ultimately have
    \forall i < length \ clist.
      ?exec-ext! i = (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x, snd ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd x))
                         ?zip)!i
 by auto
  then also have length\ clist = length\ ?exec-ext
  using len by fastforce
  ultimately have exec-ext-eq-clist-map:
```

```
\forall i < length ?exec-ext.
               ?exec-ext! i = (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x, snd ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd x))
                                                        ?zip)!i
    by presburger
    then moreover have length ?exec-ext =
                              length (map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x,snd ((clist!0)!0))#snd x))
                                                        ?zip)
    using len clist-map by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
          using list-eq by blast
qed
lemma hd-nth:
      assumes a1:i < length \ l \land (length((l!i)) > 0)
     shows f (hd (l!i)) = f (nth (l!i) \theta)
using assms hd-conv-nth by fastforce
lemma map-hd-nth:
      assumes a1:(\forall i < length \ l. \ length(\ (l!i)) > 0)
      shows map (\lambda x. f (hd x)) l = map (\lambda x. f (nth (x) 0)) l
proof -
      have \forall i < length \ l. \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (hd \ x)) \ l)!i = f \ (nth \ (l!i) \ \theta)
        using hd-nth a1 by auto
    moreover have \forall i < length \ l. \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x \ \theta)) \ l)!i = f \ (nth \ (l!i) \ \theta)
        using hd-nth a1 by auto
    ultimately have f1: \forall i < length \ l. \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (hd \ x)) \ l)!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (nth \ x)))!i = (map \ (nth \ x))!i = (map \ (nth \ x))!i = (map \ (nth \ x))!i = (map \ (
(x \theta)(l)!i
        by auto
    moreover have f2: length (map (\lambda x. f (hd x)) l) = length l
        by auto
    moreover have length (map (\lambda x. f (nth x \theta)) l) = length l by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using nth-equalityI by metis
qed
lemma i < length clist \implies clist!i = (x1,ys) \implies ys = (map\ (\lambda x.\ (fst\ (hd\ (snd
(x),s)\#tl\ (snd\ x))\ clist)!i \Longrightarrow
                   ys = (map (\lambda x. (fst x, s) \# snd x)
                               (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ (snd \ x))) \ clist)
                                           (map (\lambda x. tl (snd x)) clist))!i
proof (induct ys)
    case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
    case (Cons \ y \ ys)
    have \forall n \ ps \ f. \ \neg \ n < length \ ps \ \lor \ map \ f \ ps \ ! \ n = (f \ (ps \ ! \ n::'a \times ('b \times 'c)
list)::('b \times 'c) list)
        by force
    hence y \# ys = (fst \ (hd \ (snd \ (clist \ ! \ i))), \ s) \# tl \ (snd \ (clist \ ! \ i))
        using Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(3) by presburger
    thus ?case
```

```
lemma clist-map-zip:xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow (\Gamma,(xs,s) \# ys) \propto clist \Longrightarrow
     clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# (snd \ i))) \ (zip \ xs \ ((map \ (\lambda x. \ tl \ (snd \ x))) \ clist))
proof -
  let ?clist = map \ snd \ clist
  assume a1: xs \neq []
  assume a2: (\Gamma,(xs,s)\#ys) \propto clist
  then have all-in-clist-not-empty: \forall i < length ? clist. (? clist!i) \neq []
  unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by auto
  then have hd\text{-}clist: \forall i < length ?clist. hd (?clist!i) = (?clist!i)!0
    by (simp add: hd-conv-nth)
  then have all-xs: \forall i < length ? clist. fst (hd (? clist!i)) = xs!i
  using a2 unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by auto
  then have all-s: \forall i < length ? clist. snd (hd (? clist!i)) = s
   using a2 hd-clist unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
  have fst-clist-\Gamma:\forall i < length clist. <math>fst \ (clist!i) = \Gamma
   using a2 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by auto
   have p2:length xs = length \ clist \ using \ conjoin-same-length \ a2
  by fastforce
  then have \forall i < length (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) ?clist).
              (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) ?clist)!i = xs!i
   using all-xs by auto
  also have length (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) ?clist) = length xs using p2 by auto
  ultimately have (map\ (\lambda x.\ fst\ (hd\ x))\ ?clist) = xs
  \mathbf{using}\ nth\text{-}equalityI\ \mathbf{by}\ met is
  then have xs-clist:map (\lambda x. fst (hd (snd x))) clist = xs by auto
  have clist-hd-tl: \forall i < length ? clist. ? clist!i = hd (? clist!i) # (tl (? clist!i))
  using all-in-clist-not-empty list.exhaust-sel by blast
 then have \forall i < length ? clist. ? clist!i = (fst (hd (? clist!i)), snd (hd (? clist!i))) #
(tl\ (?clist!i))
   by auto
  then have ?clist = map(\lambda x. (fst (hd x), snd (hd x)) #tl x) ?clist
  using length-map list-eq-iff-nth-eq list-update-id map-update nth-list-update-eq
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map list-eq-iff-nth-eq list-update-id map-update
nth-list-update-eq)
  then have ?clist = map(\lambda x. (fst (hd x),s) # tl x) ?clist
  using all-s length-map nth-equality Inth-map
   by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  then have map-clist:map (\lambda x. (fst (hd (snd x)),s)\#tl (snd x)) clist = ?clist
  by auto
  then have (map (\lambda x. (fst x, s) \# snd x))
```

using Cons.prems(1) by auto

qed

```
(zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ (snd \ x))) \ clist)
                    (map\ (\lambda x.\ tl\ (snd\ x))\ clist))) = ?clist
    using map-clist by (auto intro: nth-equalityI)
  then have \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist!i = (\Gamma, (map \ (\lambda x. \ (fst \ x, \ s) \# snd \ x))
               (zip xs)
                   (map\ (\lambda x.\ tl\ (snd\ x))\ clist)))!i)
  using xs-clist fst-clist-\Gamma by auto
  also have length clist = length (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst \ i,s) \# (snd \ i))) (zip xs ((map
(\lambda x. \ tl \ (snd \ x))) \ clist)))
    using p2 by auto
  ultimately show clist = map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# (snd i))) (zip xs ((map (\lambda x. tl) + (snd i))))
(snd x)) clist))
    using length-map length-zip nth-equalityI nth-map
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
lemma aux-if':
 assumes a:length clist > 0 \land length clist = length xs \land length
             (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# clist!i) \in cptn) \land
             ((\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst\ i,s)\#snd\ i)) (zip\ xs\ clist))
  shows (\Gamma,(xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn
using a
proof (induct ys arbitrary: xs s clist)
  case Nil then show ?case by (simp add: par-cptn.ParCptnOne)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons a ys xs s clist)
     let ?concat-zip = (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
     let ?com\text{-}clist\text{-}xs = map ?concat\text{-}zip (zip xs clist)
     let ?xs-a-ys-run = (xs, s) \# a \# ys
     let ?xs-a-ys-run-exec = (\Gamma, ?xs-a-ys-run)
     let ?com-clist' = map (\lambda x. (fst \ x, \ tl \ (snd \ x))) ?com-clist-xs
     let ?xs' = (map (\lambda x. fst (hd x)) clist)
     let ?clist' = (map (\lambda x. tl x) clist)
     let ?zip-xs'-clist' = zip ?xs'
                            ?clist'
     obtain as sa where a-pair:a=(as,sa) by fastforce
     let ?comp\text{-}clist'\text{-}alt = map\ (\lambda x.\ (\Gamma, (fst\ x, snd\ ((clist!0)!0)) \# snd\ x))\ ?zip\text{-}xs'\text{-}clist'
       let ?clist'-alt = map (\lambda x. snd x) ?comp-clist'-alt
       let ?comp - a - ys = (\Gamma, (as, sa) \# ys)
     have conjoin-hyp1:
       (\Gamma, (as,sa) \# ys) \propto ?com\text{-}clist'
       using conjoin-tl using a-pair Cons by blast
     then have conjoin-hyp:
     (\Gamma, (as, sa) \# ys) \propto map(\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x, snd((clist!0)!0)) \# snd x)) ?zip-xs'-clist'
     using list-as-zip Cons.prems by fastforce
     have len:length xs = length clist using Cons by auto
     have clist-snd-map:
        (map snd
```

```
(map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x, snd (clist ! 0 ! 0)) \# snd x)))
        (zip \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ (hd \ x)) \ clist) \ (map \ tl \ clist)))) = clist
      using clist-snd Cons.prems conjoin-hyp1 by fastforce
    have eq-len-clist-clist':
      length ?clist' > 0 using Cons.prems by auto
    have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ (snd ?comp-a-ys). \ snd((snd ?comp-a-ys)!j)
= snd((clist!i)!j))
     using clist-snd-map conjoin-hyp conjoin-def same-state-non-pair of?comp-a-ys
?comp-clist'-alt ?clist'-alt]
        by fastforce
    then have \forall i < length \ clist.
                sa = snd \ (\ (clist ! i)!0)  by fastforce
    also have clist-i-grz:(\forall i < length \ clist. \ length(\ (clist!i)) > 0)
     using clist-snd-map conjoin-hyp conjoin-def same-length-non-pair of?comp-a-ys
?comp-clist'-alt ?clist'-alt]
    by fastforce
    ultimately have all-i-sa-hd-clist: \forall i < length \ clist.
                sa = snd \ (hd \ (clist \ ! \ i))
    by (simp add: hd-conv-nth)
    have as-sa-eq-xs'-s':as = ?xs' \land sa = snd ((clist!\theta)!\theta)
    proof -
      have (\forall j < length (snd ?comp-a-ys). fst((snd ?comp-a-ys)!j) =
              map (\lambda x. fst(nth x j)) ?clist'-alt)
    using conjoin-hyp conjoin-def same-program-non-pair of ?comp-a-ys ?comp-clist'-alt
?clist'-alt]
      by fast
      then have are-eq:fst((snd ?comp-a-ys)!\theta) =
              map (\lambda x. fst(nth x \theta)) ?clist'-alt by fastforce
      have fst-exec-is-as:fst((snd ?comp-a-ys)!0) = as by auto
      then have map (\lambda x. fst(hd x)) clist=map (\lambda x. fst(x!0)) clist
        using map-hd-nth clist-i-grz by auto
        then have map (\lambda x. fst(nth x 0)) ?clist'-alt = ?xs' using clist-snd-map
map-hd-nth
       by fastforce
       moreover have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \forall j < length \ (snd ?comp-a-ys). \ snd((snd
?comp-a-ys)!j) = snd((clist!i)!j))
     using clist-snd-map conjoin-hyp conjoin-def same-state-non-pair of?comp-a-ys
?comp-clist'-alt ?clist'-alt]
        by fastforce
      ultimately show ?thesis using are-eq fst-exec-is-as
         \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{Cons.prems}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{force}
   qed
   then have conjoin-hyp:
      (\Gamma, (as, sa) \# ys) \propto map (\lambda x. (\Gamma, (fst x, sa) \# snd x))
                         (zip as (map tl clist))
   using conjoin-hyp by auto
   then have eq-len-as-clist':
      length \ as = length \ ?clist'  using Cons.prems \ as-sa-eq-xs'-s' by auto
   then have len-as-ys-eq:length as = length xs using Cons.prems by auto
```

```
have (\forall i < length \ as. \ (\Gamma, ((as!i), sa) \# (map \ (\lambda x. \ tl \ x) \ clist)!i) \in cptn)
     using Cons.prems cptn-dest clist-snd-map len
    proof -
      have \forall i < length\ clist.\ clist!i = (hd\ (clist!i)) \#(tl\ (clist!i))
      using clist-i-qrz
      by auto
      then have (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (\Gamma,\ (xs\ !\ i,\ s)\ \#\ (hd\ (clist!i))\#(tl\ (clist!i))) \in
cptn)
      using Cons.prems by auto
      then have f1:(\forall i < length \ clist. \ (\Gamma, \ (hd \ (clist!i)) \# (tl \ (clist!i))) \in cptn)
      by (metis\ list.distinct(2)\ tl-in-cptn)
      then have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ (\Gamma, ((as!i), sa) \# (tl \ (clist!i))) \in cptn)
      using as-sa-eq-xs'-s' all-i-sa-hd-clist by auto
     then have (\forall i < length \ clist. \ (\Gamma, ((as!i), sa) \# (map \ (\lambda x. \ tl \ x) \ clist)!i) \in cptn)
      by auto
      thus ?thesis using len clist-i-qrz len-as-ys-eq by auto
  qed
   then have a-ys-par-cptn:(\Gamma, (as, sa) \# ys) \in par-cptn
   using
    conjoin-hyp eq-len-clist-clist' eq-len-as-clist' [THEN sym] Cons.hyps
   bv blast
  have \Gamma-all: \forall i < length ?com-clist-xs. fst (?com-clist-xs !i) = \Gamma
  by auto
  have Gamma: \Gamma = (fst ?xs-a-ys-run-exec) by fastforce
  have exec: ?xs-a-ys-run = (snd ?xs-a-ys-run-exec) by fastforce
  have split-par:
      \Gamma \vdash_{p} ((xs, s) \# a \# ys) ! 0 \rightarrow ((a \# ys) ! 0) \vee
       \Gamma \vdash_p ((xs, s) \# a \# ys) ! 0 \rightarrow_e ((a \# ys) ! 0)
       \mathbf{using}\ compat\text{-}label\text{-}def\ compat\text{-}label\text{-}tran\text{-}0
             Cons.prems Gamma exec
             compat-label-tran-0 [of (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys)]
                                   (map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# snd \ i)) \ (zip \ xs \ clist))]
      unfolding conjoin-def by auto
     assume \Gamma \vdash_p ((xs, s) \# a \# ys) ! \theta \rightarrow ((a \# ys) ! \theta)
      then have (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \in par-cptn
      using a-ys-par-cptn a-pair par-cptn.ParCptnComp by fastforce
     } note env-sol=this
     assume \Gamma \vdash_p ((xs, s) \# a \# ys) ! \theta \rightarrow_e ((a \# ys) ! \theta)
      then have env-tran: \Gamma \vdash_p (xs, s) \rightarrow_e (as, sa) using a-pair by auto
      have xs = as
      by (meson env-pe-c-c'-false env-tran)
      then have (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \in par-cptn
      using a-ys-par-cptn a-pair env-tran ParCptnEnv by blast
    then show (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \in par-cptn  using env-sol Cons split-par by
fastforce
qed
```

```
lemma mapzip-upd: length as = length clist \implies
      (map\ (\lambda j.\ (as\ !\ j,\ sa)\ \#\ clist\ !\ j)\ [0..< length\ as]) =
      map \ (\lambda j. \ ((fst \ j, \ sa) \# snd \ j)) \ (zip \ as \ clist)
proof -
   assume a2: length as = length clist
    have \forall i < length \ (map \ (\lambda j. \ (as ! j, sa) \# clist ! j) \ [0..< length \ as]). \ (map
(\lambda j. (as!j, sa) \# clist!j) [0..< length as])!i = map (\lambda j. ((fst j, sa) \# snd j)) (zip)
as\ clist)!i
    using a2
     by auto
 moreover have length (map (\lambda j. (as ! j, sa) \# clist ! j) [0..< length as]) =
         length (map (\lambda j. ((fst j, sa)\#snd j)) (zip as clist))
    using a2 by auto
 ultimately have (map \ (\lambda j. \ (as \ ! \ j, \ sa) \ \# \ clist \ ! \ j) \ [0..< length \ as]) = map \ (\lambda j.
((fst \ j, \ sa) \# snd \ j)) \ (zip \ as \ clist)
    using nth-equalityI by blast
  thus map (\lambda j. (as ! j, sa) \# clist ! j) [0..< length as] =
       map\ (\lambda j.\ (fst\ j,\ sa)\ \#\ snd\ j)\ (zip\ as\ clist)
     by auto
qed
lemma \ aux-if :
  assumes a: length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
            (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# clist!i) \in cptn) \land
            ((\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst\ i,s)\#snd\ i)) (zip\ xs\ clist))
  shows (\Gamma,(xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn
using a
proof (cases length clist)
 case \theta
   then have clist-empty:clist = [] by auto
   then have map-clist-empty:map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# snd \ i)) (zip \ xs \ clist) = []
     by fastforce
   then have conjoin:(\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto [] using a by auto
   then have all-eq: \forall j < length (snd (\Gamma,(xs, s) \# ys)). fst (snd (\Gamma,(xs, s) \# ys) ! j)
     using conjoin-def same-program-def
   by (simp add: conjoin-def same-program-def)
   from conjoin
   show ?thesis using conjoin
   proof (induct ys arbitrary: s xs)
      case Nil then show ?case by (simp add: par-cptn.ParCptnOne)
   \mathbf{next}
      case (Cons\ a\ ys)
        then have conjoin-ind:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \propto [] by auto
        then have (\Gamma,(a \# ys)) \propto []
          by (auto simp add:conjoin-def same-length-def
                same-state-def same-program-def same-functions-def
                compat-label-def)
```

```
moreover obtain as sa where pair-a: a=(as,sa) using Cons by fastforce
          ultimately have ays-par-cptn:(\Gamma, a \# ys) \in par-cptn using Cons.hyps
by auto
         have \forall j. Suc j < length (snd (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)) \longrightarrow
                   \neg (\exists i < length \ [].
                     ((fst\ ([]!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ ([]!i))!j)\ \rightarrow ((snd\ ([]!i))!(Suc\ j))))
         \mathbf{using}\ conjoin\text{-}def\ compat\text{-}label\text{-}def\ \mathbf{by}\ fastforce
         then have (\forall j. \ Suc \ j < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma,(xs,\ s) \# (as,sa) \# ys)) \longrightarrow
                     ((fst (\Gamma,(xs, s)\#(as,sa)\#ys))\vdash_p((snd (\Gamma,(xs, s)\#(as,sa)\#ys))!j)
\rightarrow_e ((snd (\Gamma,(xs, s)\#(as,sa)\#ys))!(Suc j))))
         using conjoin-def compat-label-def conjoin-ind pair-a by blast
         then have env-tran:\Gamma \vdash_p (xs, s) \rightarrow_e (as, sa) by auto
         then show (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \in par-cptn
         using ays-par-cptn pair-a env-tran ParCptnEnv env-pe-c-c'-false by blast
   qed
\mathbf{next}
 case Suc
    then have length \ clist > 0 by auto
    then show ?thesis using a aux-if' by blast
qed
lemma snormal-environment:s = Normal \ nsa \lor s = sa \land (\forall sa. \ s \neq Normal \ sa)
        \Gamma \vdash_c (x, s) \rightarrow_e (x, sa)
by (metis Env Env-n)
lemma aux-onlyif [rule-format]: \forall xs \ s. \ (\Gamma,(xs,\ s) \# ys) \in par-cptn \longrightarrow
  (\exists clist. (length clist = length xs) \land
  (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# (snd i))) (zip xs clist) \wedge
  (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i,s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn))
proof (induct ys)
  case Nil
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ xs \ s \}
    assume (\Gamma, [(xs, s)]) \in par-cptn
    have f1:length \ (map \ (\lambda i. \parallel) \ [0..< length \ xs]) = length \ xs \ by \ auto
   have f2:(\Gamma, [(xs, s)]) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                               (zip \ xs \ (map \ (\lambda i. \ []) \ [0..< length \ xs]))
  unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def same-functions-def same-state-def same-program-def
compat-label-def
      \mathbf{by}(simp, rule\ nth\text{-}equalityI, simp, simp)
    note h = conjI[OF f1 f2]
    have f3:(\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, \ (xs ! i, s) \# (map \ (\lambda i. \ []) \ [0.. < length \ xs]) ! i) \in
cptn)
      by (simp add: cptn.CptnOne)
    note this = conjI[OF \ h \ f3]
     thus ?case by blast
next
  case (Cons a ys)
```

```
\{fix xs s
assume a1:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \# a \# ys) \in par-cptn
then obtain as sa where a-pair: a=(as,sa) by fastforce
then have par-cptn':(\Gamma,((as,sa)\#ys)) \in par-cptn
 using a1 par-cptn-dest by blast
 then obtain clist where hyp:
           length \ clist = length \ as \ \land
           (\Gamma, (as, sa) \#
                 ys) \propto map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ sa) \ \# \ snd \ i)) \ (zip \ as \ clist) \ \land
            (\forall i < length \ as. \ (\Gamma, (as!i, sa) \# clist!i) \in cptn)
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{Cons.hyps} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
have a11:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys) \in par-cptn  using a1 a-pair by auto
 have par-cptn-dest:\Gamma\vdash_p(xs,\ s)\rightarrow_e(as,\ sa)\vee\Gamma\vdash_p(xs,\ s)\rightarrow(as,\ sa)
  using par-cptn-elim-cases par-cptn' a1 a-pair by blast
 {
  assume a1: \Gamma \vdash_p (xs, s) \rightarrow_e (as, sa)
  then have xs-as-eq:xs=as by (meson env-pe-c-c'-false)
  then have ce: \forall i < length \ xs. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (xs!i, s) \rightarrow_e (as!i, sa) \ using \ a1 \ pe-ce \ by
  let ?clist = (map (\lambda j. (xs!j, sa) \# (clist!j)) [0..< length xs])
  have s1:length ?clist = length xs
    by auto
  have s2:(\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# ?clist!i) \in cptn)
      using a1 hyp CptnEnv xs-as-eq ce by fastforce
  have s3:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \#
                    (as,sa) \# ys \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                (zip xs ?clist)
  proof -
      have s-len:same-length (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
            using hyp conjoin-def same-length-def xs-as-eq a1 by fastforce
      have s-state: same-state (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
           using hyp
           apply (simp add:hyp conjoin-def same-state-def a1)
           apply clarify
           apply(case-tac\ j)
           by (simp add: xs-as-eq, simp add: xs-as-eq)
      have s-function: same-functions (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
           using hyp conjoin-def same-functions-def a1 by fastforce
      have s-program: same-program (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                (zip xs ?clist))
           using hyp
          apply (simp add:hyp conjoin-def same-program-def same-length-def a1)
```

```
apply clarify
          apply(case-tac\ j)
            apply(rule \ nth\text{-}equalityI)
            apply(simp, simp)
          by(rule nth-equalityI, simp add: hyp xs-as-eq, simp add:xs-as-eq)
     have s-compat:compat-label (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (xs, sa) \# ys)
                      (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                              (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
        using hyp a1 pe-ce
        apply (simp add:hyp conjoin-def compat-label-def)
        apply clarify
        apply(case-tac\ j,simp\ add:\ xs-as-eq)
           apply blast
          apply (simp add: xs-as-eq step-e.intros step-pe.intros)
         apply clarify
        apply(erule-tac \ x=nat \ in \ all E, erule \ impE, assumption)
        apply(erule \ disjE, simp)
        apply clarify
        apply(rule-tac \ x=i \ in \ exI)
        using hyp by (fastforce)+
    thus ?thesis using s-len s-program s-state s-function conjoin-def xs-as-eq
        by blast
 qed
 then have
  (\exists clist.
              length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
              (\Gamma, (xs, s) \#
                  a \# ys \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                              (zip \ xs \ clist) \ \land
              (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, \ (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i) \in cptn))
 using s1 s2 a-pair by blast
} note s1 = this
 assume a1':\Gamma\vdash_p (xs, s) \to (as, sa)
 then obtain i r where
   inter-tran: i < length \ xs \land \Gamma \vdash_c (xs ! i, s) \rightarrow (r, sa) \land as = xs[i := r]
 using step-p-pair-elim-cases by metis
 then have xs-as-eq-len: length xs = length as by simp
 from inter-tran
  have s-states: \exists nsa. s=Normal nsa \lor (s=sa \land (\forall sa. (s\neq Normal sa)))
  using step-not-normal-s-eq-t by blast
 have as-xs: \forall i' < length \ as. \ (i'=i \land as!i'=r) \lor (as!i'=xs!i')
   using xs-as-eq-len by (simp add: inter-tran nth-list-update)
let ?clist = (map (\lambda j. (as!j, sa) \# (clist!j)) [0.. < length xs]) [i:=((r, sa) \# (clist!i))]
 have s1:length ?clist = length xs
   by auto
 have s2:(\forall i' < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs ! i', s) \# ?clist ! i') \in cptn)
    proof -
```

```
\{ \text{fix } i' \}
    assume a1:i' < length xs
    have (\Gamma, (xs ! i', s) \# ?clist ! i') \in cptn
    proof (cases i=i')
      case True
       thus ?thesis using inter-tran hyp cptn.CptnComp
        apply simp
        by fastforce
    next
      case False
      thus ?thesis using s-states inter-tran False hyp cptn.CptnComp a1
        apply clarify
        apply simp
        apply(erule-tac \ x=i' \ in \ all E)
        apply (simp)
        apply(rule CptnEnv)
        by (auto simp add: Env Env-n)
    \mathbf{qed}
  thus ?thesis by fastforce
qed
then have s3:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \#
                 (as,sa) \# ys \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                            (zip xs ?clist)
proof -
  from hyp have
   len-list:length\ clist = length\ as\ by\ auto
  from hyp have same-len:same-length (\Gamma, (as, sa) \# ys)
                (map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ sa) \ \# \ snd \ i)) \ (zip \ as \ clist))
    using conjoin-def by auto
  have s-len: same-length (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                    (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                            (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
    using
      same\text{-}len \hspace{0.2cm} inter\text{-}tran
      unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def
      apply clarify
      apply(case-tac\ i=ia)
      by (auto simp add: len-list)
  have s-state: same-state (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                     (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                            (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
        using hyp inter-tran unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
         apply clarify
         \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{case-tac}\ j,\ \mathit{simp},\ \mathit{simp}\ (\mathit{no-asm-simp}))
         apply(case-tac\ i=ia,simp\ ,\ simp\ )
         by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) as-xs nth-list-update-eq xs-as-eq-len)
  have s-function: same-functions (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
```

```
(map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                               (zip xs ?clist))
            using hyp conjoin-def same-functions-def a1 by fastforce
       have s-program: same-program (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                               (zip \ xs \ ?clist))
         using hyp inter-tran unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def
         apply clarify
         apply(case-tac\ j, simp)
         apply(rule\ nth-equalityI, simp, simp)
         apply simp
         apply(rule\ nth\text{-}equalityI,simp,simp)
         apply(erule-tac x=nat and P=\lambda j. H j \longrightarrow (fst (a j))=((b j)) for H a b
in allE)
         apply(case-tac nat)
          apply clarify
          \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{case-tac}\ i=ia,simp,simp)
         apply clarify
          \mathbf{by}(case\text{-}tac\ i=ia,simp,simp)
       have s-compat:compat-label (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# (as, sa) \# ys)
                        (map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                               (zip xs ?clist))
       using inter-tran hyp s-states
       unfolding conjoin-def compat-label-def
        apply clarify
        apply(case-tac\ j)
         apply(rule\ conjI, simp)
         apply(erule ParComp, assumption)
         apply clarify
         apply(rule\ exI[where\ x=i],simp)
         apply clarify
         apply (rule snormal-environment, assumption)
        apply simp
         apply(erule-tac x=nat and P=\lambda j. H j \longrightarrow (P j \lor Q j) for H P Q in
allE, simp)
        apply (thin-tac s = Normal \ nsa \lor s = sa \land (\forall sa. \ s \neq Normal \ sa))
       apply(erule \ disjE)
        apply clarify
        apply(rule-tac \ x=ia \ in \ exI,simp)
        apply(rule conjI)
        apply(case-tac\ i=ia,simp,simp)
        apply clarify
        apply(case-tac\ i=l,simp)
        apply(case-tac\ l=ia,simp,simp)
        apply(erule-tac \ x=l \ in \ all E, erule \ impE, assumption, erule \ impE, \ assump-
tion, simp)
        apply simp
        apply(erule-tac \ x=l \ in \ all E, erule \ impE, assumption, erule \ impE, \ assump-
tion, simp)
```

```
apply clarify
        apply (thin-tac \forall ia < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs[i := r] ! ia, sa) \# clist ! ia) \in cptn)
          apply(erule-tac x=ia and P=\lambda j. H j \longrightarrow (P j) for H P in allE, erule
impE, assumption)
         \mathbf{bv}(case\text{-}tac\ i=ia,simp,simp)
         thus ?thesis using s-len s-program s-state s-function conjoin-def
           by blast
     qed
     then have (\exists clist.
                    length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
                    (\Gamma, (xs, s) \#
                          a \# ys \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                       (zip \ xs \ clist) \land
                    (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# clist!i) \in cptn))
     using s1 s2 a-pair by blast
   then have
      (\exists clist.
                    length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
                    (\Gamma, (xs, s) \#
                          a \# ys \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                                       (zip \ xs \ clist) \land
                    (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, \ (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i) \in cptn))
      using s1 par-cptn-dest by fastforce
  thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma one-iff-aux-if:xs \neq [] \implies (\forall ys. ((\Gamma,((xs, s)\#ys)) \in par-cptn) =
 (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
 ((\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst i,s)\#(snd i))) (zip xs clist)) \wedge
 (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn))) \Longrightarrow
 (par-cp \ \Gamma \ (xs) \ s = \{(\Gamma 1, c). \ \exists \ clist. \ (length \ clist) = (length \ xs) \land
 (\forall i < length\ clist.\ clist! i \in cp\ \Gamma\ (xs!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \})
proof
  assume a1:xs\neq [
  assume a2: \forall ys. ((\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn) =
          (\exists clist.
               length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
              (\Gamma,
               (xs, s) \#
               ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                         (zip \ xs \ clist) \land
               (\forall i < length xs.)
                   (\Gamma, (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i) \in cptn))
   show par-cp \Gamma xs s \subseteq
              \{(\Gamma 1, c). \exists clist.
                length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
                (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! \ i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs! \ i) \ s) \land
```

```
(\Gamma, c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
   proof-{
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     let ?show = x \in \{(\Gamma 1, c). \exists clist.
       length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
       (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist \ ! \ i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ s) \ \land
        (\Gamma, c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
     assume a3:x \in par-cp \Gamma xs s
     then obtain y where x-pair: x=(\Gamma,y)
       unfolding par-cp-def by auto
     have ?show
     \mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{cases}\ y)
        case Nil then
         show ?show using a1 a2 a3 x-pair
           unfolding par-cp-def cp-def
           by (force elim:par-cptn.cases)
     next
        case (Cons a list) then
           show ?show using a1 a2 a3 x-pair
           unfolding par-cp-def cp-def
             by(auto, rule-tac x=map (\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst i, s) # snd i)) (zip xs clist) in
exI, simp)
     qed
   } thus ?thesis using a1 a2 by auto
   qed
   {
   show \{(\Gamma 1, c). \exists clist.
           length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
           (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist \ ! \ i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ s) \ \land
           (\Gamma, c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \} \subseteq par-cp \ \Gamma \ xs \ s \ using \ a1 \ a2
   proof-
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume a3:x \in \{(\Gamma 1, c). \exists clist.
           length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
           (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist \ ! \ i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ s) \ \land
           (\Gamma, c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
     then obtain c where x-pair: x=(\Gamma,c) by auto
     then obtain clist where
      props:length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
            (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! \ i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs! \ i) \ s) \land 
            (\Gamma, c) \propto clist using a3 by auto
     then have x \in par-cp \Gamma xs s
       proof (cases c)
         case Nil
         have clist-\theta:
            clist! \theta \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs! \ \theta) \ s \ using \ props \ a1
         by auto
         thus x \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ xs \ s
```

```
using a1 a2 props Nil x-pair
        unfolding cp-def conjoin-def same-length-def
        apply clarify
        by(erule cptn.cases, fastforce, fastforce, fastforce)
        case (Cons\ a\ ys)
        then obtain a1 a2 where a-pair: a=(a1,a2)
          using props by fastforce
        from a2 have
              a2:(((\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn) =
                  (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
                 (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i)) (zip xs clist) \wedge
                  (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, \ (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i) \in cptn))) by auto
        have a2-s:a2=s using a1 props a-pair Cons
          unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def cp-def
          by force
        have a1-xs:a1 = xs
          using props a-pair Cons
          unfolding par-cp-def conjoin-def same-program-def cp-def
          apply clarify
          apply(erule-tac x=0 and P=\lambda j. H j \longrightarrow (fst (s j))=((t j)) for H s t in
allE)
          \mathbf{by}(rule\ nth\text{-}equalityI, auto)
        then have conjoin-clist-xs:(\Gamma, (xs,s)\# ys) \propto clist
          using a1 props a-pair Cons a1-xs a2-s by auto
        also then have clist = map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, (fst \ i, s) \# (snd \ i))) \ (zip \ xs \ ((map \ (\lambda x.
tl (snd x)) clist)
          using clist-map-zip a1 by fastforce
         ultimately have conjoin-map: (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s)))
\# snd i)) (zip xs ((map (\lambda x. tl (snd x))) clist))
          using props x-pair Cons a-pair a1-xs a2-s by auto
        have \bigwedge n. \neg n < length xs \lor clist ! n \in \{(f, ps). ps ! \theta = (xs ! n, a2) \land
(\Gamma, ps) \in cptn \land f = \Gamma\}
              using a1-xs a2-s props cp-def by fastforce
        then have clist-cptn: (\forall i < length \ clist. \ (fst \ (clist!i) = \Gamma) \land 
                               (\Gamma, snd (clist!i)) \in cptn \land
                               (snd\ (clist!i))!\theta = (xs!i,s))
        using a1-xs a2-s props by fastforce
         \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
         assume a4: i < length xs
         then have clist-i-cptn:(fst\ (clist!i) = \Gamma) \land
                    (\Gamma, snd (clist!i)) \in cptn \land
                    (snd\ (clist!i))!0 = (xs!i,s)
          using props clist-cptn by fastforce
         from a4 props have a4':i<length clist by auto
         have lengz:length (snd (clist!i))>0
           using conjoin-clist-xs a4'
           unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def
```

```
by auto
         then have clist-hd-tl:snd (clist!i) = hd (snd (clist!i)) # tl (snd (clist!
i))
          by auto
         also have hd (snd (clist!i)) = (snd (clist!i))!0
           using a4' lengz by (simp add: hd-conv-nth)
         ultimately have clist-i-tl:snd (clist!i) = (xs!i,s) \# tl (snd (clist! i))
           using clist-i-cptn by fastforce
         also have tl\ (snd\ (clist\ !\ i)) = map\ (\lambda x.\ tl\ (snd\ x))\ clist!i
           using nth-map a4'
         by auto
         ultimately have snd-clist:snd (clist!i) = (xs ! i, s) # map (\lambda x. tl (snd
x)) clist! i
           by auto
         also have (clist!i) = (fst \ (clist!i), snd \ (clist!i))
           by auto
        ultimately have (clist!i) = (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# map (\lambda x. tl (snd x)) clist!i)
          using clist-i-cptn by auto
         then have (\Gamma, (xs ! i, s) \# map (\lambda x. tl (snd x)) clist ! i) \in cptn
           using clist-i-cptn by auto
         }
        then have clist-in-cptn: (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs ! i, s) \# ((map \ (\lambda x. \ tl \ (snd
(x)) (clist)!i) \in cptn
         by auto
        have same-length-clist-xs:length ((map (\lambda x. tl (snd x))) clist) = length xs
          using props by auto
        then have (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
                       (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \propto map(\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i)) (zip xs)
clist) \wedge
                      (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# clist!i) \in cptn))
        using a1 props x-pair a-pair Cons a1-xs a2-s conjoin-clist-xs clist-in-cptn
           conjoin-map clist-map by blast
        then have (\Gamma, c) \in par\text{-}cptn using a1 a2 props x-pair a-pair Cons a1-xs
a2-s
        unfolding par-cp-def by simp
        thus x \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ xs \ s
          using a1 a2 props x-pair a-pair Cons a1-xs a2-s
            unfolding par-cp-def conjoin-def same-length-def same-program-def
same-state-def same-functions-def compat-label-def
          \mathbf{by} \ simp
      \mathbf{qed}
    thus ?thesis using a1 a2 by auto
  \mathbf{qed}
 }
qed
```

```
lemma one-iff-aux-only-if:xs \neq [] \implies
 (par-cp \ \Gamma \ (xs) \ s = \{(\Gamma 1, c). \ \exists \ clist. \ (length \ clist) = (length \ xs) \land
 (\forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs!i) \ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \}) \Longrightarrow
(\forall ys. ((\Gamma,((xs, s)\#ys)) \in par-cptn) =
 (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
 ((\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst i,s)\#(snd i))) (zip xs clist)) \wedge
 (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn)))
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ ys
  assume a1: xs \neq []
  assume a2: par-cp \Gamma xs s =
           \{(\Gamma 1, c).
            \exists clist.
                length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
                (\forall i < length \ clist.
                     clist ! i \in cp \Gamma (xs ! i) s) \land
                (\Gamma, c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
  from a1 a2 show
  ((\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn) =
                length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
                (\Gamma,
                 (xs, s) \#
                 ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                          (zip \ xs \ clist) \land
                (\forall \, i {<} length \, \, xs.
                    (\Gamma, (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i) \in cptn))
  proof auto
     {assume a3:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \in par-cptn
     then show \exists clist.
        length\ clist = length\ xs\ \land
         (xs, s) \#
         ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma, (fst i, s) \# snd i))
                  (zip \ xs \ clist) \land
        (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# clist!i) \in cptn)
        using a1 a2 by (simp add: aux-onlyif)
     \{fix clist :: (('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com <math>\times \}
               ('a, 'c) xstate) list list
    assume a3: length\ clist = length\ xs
    assume a4:(\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \propto
                 map \ (\lambda i. \ (\Gamma, \ (fst \ i, \ s) \ \# \ snd \ i))
                  (zip \ xs \ clist)
    assume a5: \forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs ! i, s) \# clist ! i)
                        \in cptn
    show (\Gamma, (xs, s) \# ys) \in par\text{-}cptn
    using a3 a4 a5 using aux-if by blast
    }
```

```
qed
qed
lemma one-iff-aux: xs \neq [] \implies (\forall ys. ((\Gamma, ((xs, s) \# ys)) \in par-cptn) =
 (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
 ((\Gamma,(xs, s)\#ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst i,s)\#(snd i))) (zip xs clist)) \wedge
 (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i,s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn))) =
 (par-cp \ \Gamma \ (xs) \ s = \{(\Gamma 1,c), \ \exists \ clist. \ (length \ clist) = (length \ xs) \ \land
 (\forall i < length\ clist.\ clist!i \in cp\ \Gamma\ (xs!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \})
proof
  assume a1:xs\neq [
  {assume a2:(\forall ys. ((\Gamma,((xs, s)\#ys)) \in par-cptn) =
   (\exists clist. length clist= length xs \land
   ((\Gamma,(xs, s) \# ys) \propto map (\lambda i. (\Gamma,(fst i,s) \# (snd i))) (zip xs clist)) \wedge
   (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn)))
    then show (par-cp \ \Gamma \ (xs) \ s = \{(\Gamma 1,c), \ \exists \ clist. \ (length \ clist) = (length \ xs) \ \land
   (\forall i < length\ clist.\ clist! i \in cp\ \Gamma\ (xs!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \})
    by (auto simp add: a1 a2 one-iff-aux-if)
  {assume a2:(par-cp \ \Gamma \ (xs) \ s = \{(\Gamma 1,c), \ \exists \ clist. \ (length \ clist) = (length \ xs) \ \land \ 
   (\forall i < length\ clist.\ clist!i \in cp\ \Gamma\ (xs!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \land \Gamma 1 = \Gamma \})
    then show (\forall ys. ((\Gamma,((xs, s)\#ys)) \in par-cptn) =
   (\exists clist. length clist = length xs \land
   ((\Gamma,(xs,\ s)\#ys)\propto map\ (\lambda i.\ (\Gamma,(fst\ i,s)\#(snd\ i)))\ (zip\ xs\ clist))\ \land
   (\forall i < length \ xs. \ (\Gamma, (xs!i, s) \# (clist!i)) \in cptn)))
   by (auto simp add: a1 a2 one-iff-aux-only-if)
  }
qed
theorem one:
xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow
par-cp \Gamma xs s =
    \{(\Gamma 1,c). \exists clist. (length clist) = (length xs) \land
               (\forall i < length \ clist. \ (clist!i) \in cp \ \Gamma \ (xs!i) \ s) \ \land
               (\Gamma,c) \propto clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
apply(frule one-iff-aux)
apply(drule sym)
apply(erule iffD2)
apply clarify
apply(rule iffI)
apply(erule aux-onlyif)
apply clarify
apply(force intro:aux-if)
done
```

9 Hoare Logic for Partial Correctness

theory HoarePartialDef imports Semantic begin

 $\textbf{type-synonym} \ ('s,'p) \ quadruple = ('s \ assn \times 'p \times 's \ assn \times 's \ assn)$

9.1 Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A$

definition

```
valid :: [('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] =>\ bool\ (-\models_{'/\_}/\ -\ -\ -,-\ [61,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60)
```

where

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \equiv \\ \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash_{\langle c, s \rangle} \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow s \in Normal \ `P \longrightarrow \\ t \notin Fault \ `F \longrightarrow \\ t \in Normal \ `Q \cup Abrupt \ `A \end{array}$$

definition

cvalid::

$$\begin{array}{l} [('s,'p,'f)\ body, ('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,'f\ set,\\ 's\ assn, ('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] => bool\\ (-,-\models_{'/_}/\ -\ -\ -,-\ [61,60,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60) \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \equiv \\ (\forall \, (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \end{array}$$

definition

where

$$\Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \equiv \forall \ s \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \ \rangle = n \Rightarrow \ t \longrightarrow s \in Normal \ `P \longrightarrow t \not \in Fault \ `F$$

$$\longrightarrow t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A$$

definition

cnvalid::

$$[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,nat,'f\ set,$$

's $assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] \Rightarrow bool$

```
(-,-\models -: '/_-/ - - -,- [61,60,60,60,1000, 20, 1000,1000] 60)
where
 \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \equiv (\forall (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A) \longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A)
P \ c \ Q, A
notation (ASCII)
    \mathit{nvalid} \ \ (-|=-:'/-/ - - -,- [61,60,60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60) and
    cnvalid (-,-|=-:'/-/ - - -,- [61,60,60,60,1000, 20, 1000,1000] 60)
9.2
                Properties of Validity
lemma valid-iff-nvalid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A = (\forall \ n. \ \Gamma \models_{n:/F} P \ c \ Q, A)
    apply (simp only: valid-def nvalid-def exec-iff-execn)
   apply (blast dest: exec-final-notin-to-execn)
    done
lemma cnvalid-to-cvalid: (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    apply (unfold cvalid-def cnvalid-def valid-iff-nvalid [THEN eq-reflection])
   apply fast
    done
lemma nvalidI:
  Abrupt `A
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
   by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
lemma validI:
  \llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t; s \in P; \ t \notin Fault \ `F \rrbracket \implies t \in Normal \ `Q \cup Abrupt
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
   by (auto simp add: valid-def)
lemma cvalidI:
 \llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; s \in P; t \notin Fault
 F
                    \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
    \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
   by (auto simp add: cvalid-def valid-def)
lemma cvalidD:
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; s
\in P; t \notin Fault `F
    \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
    by (auto simp add: cvalid-def valid-def)
```

```
lemma cnvalidI:
 \llbracket \bigwedge s \ t. \ \llbracket \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) {\in} \Theta. \ \Gamma {\models} n:_{/F} \ P \ (Call \ p) \ \ Q,A;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; s \in P; t \notin Fault \ `F"
           \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (auto simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
lemma cnvalidD:
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A;
   \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle = n \Rightarrow t; s \in P;
   t \notin Fault 'F
  \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  by (auto simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
{\bf lemma}\ nvalid\hbox{-} augment\hbox{-} Faults\hbox{:}
  assumes validn:\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  assumes F': F \subseteq F'
  shows \Gamma \models n:_{/F'} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F'
  with F' have t \notin Fault ' F
    by blast
  with exec P validn
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}augment\text{-}Faults\text{:}
  assumes validn:\Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes F': F \subseteq F'
 shows \Gamma \models_{/F'} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F'
  with F' have t \notin Fault ' F
    by blast
  with exec P validn
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by (auto simp add: valid-def)
qed
```

```
lemma nvalid-to-nvalid-strip:
  assumes validn:\Gamma\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
 assumes F': F' \subseteq -F
 shows strip F' \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume exec-strip: strip F' \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assume P: s \in P
 assume F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec-strip obtain t' where
    exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    t': t' \in Fault ' (-F') \longrightarrow t' = t \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by (blast dest: execn-strip-to-execn)
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases t' \in Fault `F)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    with t' F F' have False
      by blast
   thus ?thesis ..
  next
    {f case} False
    with exec P validn
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
    moreover
    from this t' have t'=t
      by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis
      by simp
 qed
qed
lemma valid-to-valid-strip:
  assumes valid:\Gamma\models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes F': F' \subseteq -F
 shows strip F' \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec-strip: strip F' \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec-strip obtain t' where
    exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
    t': t' \in Fault \ (-F') \longrightarrow t' = t \neg isFault \ t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by (blast dest: exec-strip-to-exec)
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases t' \in Fault `F)
    case True
```

```
with t' F F' have False
       by blast
     thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
     with exec P valid
     have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
       by (auto simp add: valid-def)
     moreover
     from this t' have t'=t
       by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} \ simp
  qed
qed
           The Hoare Rules: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
9.3
lemma mono-WeakenContext: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow
          (\lambda(P, c, Q, A'). (\Gamma, \Theta, F, P, c, Q, A') \in A) x \longrightarrow
          (\lambda(P, c, Q, A'). (\Gamma, \Theta, F, P, c, Q, A') \in B) x
apply blast
done
inductive hoarep::[('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,'f\ set,
     s\ assn,(s,'p,'f)\ com,\ s\ assn,s\ assn] => bool
     ((3\text{-},\text{-}/\vdash_{'/\text{-}}(\text{-}/\text{(-)}/\text{-},\text{/-}))\ [60,60,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
  for \Gamma :: ('s, 'p, 'f) body
where
   Skip: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} Q Skip Q, A
| Basic: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ f\ s\in Q\}\ (Basic\ f)\ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Spec} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{ s. \ (\forall \ t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in \mathit{Q}) \ \land \ (\exists \ t. \ (s,t) \in r) \} \ (\mathit{Spec} \ r) \ \mathit{Q}, \mathit{A}
\mid \mathit{Seq} \colon \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Seq c_1 c_2) Q,A
| Cond: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \cap b) \ c_1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \cap -b) \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
| While: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b) c P,A
            \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (While b c) (P \cap - b),A
\mid Guard: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A
```

$$\begin{array}{c} \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (g \cap P) \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q, A \\ | \ Guarantee: \ \llbracket f \in F; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q, A \\ | \ CallRec: \ \llbracket (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs; \\ \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land \Gamma, \Theta \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q, A \ \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ DynCom: \\ \forall s \in P. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (c \ s) \ Q, A \\ \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (DynCom \ c) \ Q, A \\ | \ Throw: \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ C_1 \ Q, R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q, A \\ | \ Conseq: \ \forall s \in P. \ \exists \ P' \ Q' \ A' \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A \\ \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \\ | \ Asm: \ \llbracket (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A \\ | \ Coll P \cap_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \$$

| ExFalso: $[\![\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \ \neg \ \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A]\!] \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A$ — This is a hack rule that enables us to derive completeness for an arbitrary context Θ , from completeness for an empty context.

Does not work, because of rule ExFalso, the context Θ is to blame. A weaker version with empty context can be derived from soundness and completeness later on.

```
lemma hoare-strip-\Gamma:
assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P p Q, A
shows strip (-F) \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P p Q, A
using deriv
proof induct
case Skip thus ?case by (iprover\ intro:\ hoarep.Skip)
next
case Basic thus ?case by (iprover\ intro:\ hoarep.Basic)
next
case Spec thus ?case by (iprover\ intro:\ hoarep.Spec)
next
```

```
case Seq thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Seq)
next
  case Cond thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Cond)
  case While thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep. While)
next
  case Guard thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Guard)
next
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{DynCom}
 thus ?case
    \mathbf{by} - (rule\ hoarep.DynCom, best\ elim!:\ ballE\ exE)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Throw)
next
  case Catch thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Catch)
next
  case Asm thus ?case by (iprover intro: hoarep.Asm)
  case ExFalso
  thus ?case
    oops
lemma hoare-augment-context:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ p \ Q, A
 shows \wedge \Theta'. \Theta \subseteq \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta' \vdash_{/F} P \ p \ Q, A
using deriv
proof (induct)
  {f case} CallRec
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs \Theta F \Theta')
 {\bf from}\ {\it CallRec.prems}
 have \Theta \cup Specs
       \subseteq \Theta' \cup Specs
    by blast
  with CallRec.hyps (2)
  have \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. p \in dom \ \Gamma \land \Gamma,\Theta' \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
    by fastforce
  with CallRec show ?case by - (rule hoarep.CallRec)
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{DynCom}\ \mathbf{thus}\ \mathit{?case}\ \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{blast\ intro:\ hoarep.DynCom})
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A \Theta')
  from Conseq
  have \forall s \in P.
         (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A)
    by blast
  with Conseq show ?case by - (rule hoarep.Conseq)
```

```
case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A\ \Theta')
  have valid-ctxt: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \Theta \subseteq \Theta' by fact+
  hence \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta' \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (simp add: cnvalid-def) blast
  moreover have invalid: \neg \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A by fact
  ultimately show ?case
    by (rule hoarep.ExFalso)
qed (blast intro: hoarep.intros)+
          Some Derived Rules
lemma Conseq': \forall s. s \in P \longrightarrow
              (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'.
                 (\forall \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P^{\,\prime}\,Z) \ c \ (Q^{\,\prime}\,Z),(A^{\,\prime}\,Z)) \ \land \\
                        (\exists\,Z.\ s^{'}\in P^{\,\prime}\,Z\,\wedge\,(\,Q^{\,\prime}\,Z\subseteq\,Q)\,\wedge\,(A^{\,\prime}\,Z\subseteq\,A)))
             \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule Conseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (clarify)
apply (rule-tac \ x=P'\ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ Z \ in \ exI)
apply (rule-tac x=A'Z in exI)
apply blast
done
lemma conseq: [\forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z)\ c\ (Q'\ Z),(A'\ Z);
                 \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
                 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq) blast
theorem conseqPrePost [trans]:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P'\ c\ Q',A'\Longrightarrow P\subseteq P'\Longrightarrow\ Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A\Longrightarrow\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  by (rule conseq [where ?P'=\lambda Z. P' and ?Q'=\lambda Z. Q']) auto
lemma conseqPre\ [trans]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P'\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow P\subseteq P'\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPost [trans]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q',A'\Longrightarrow Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A
 \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule conseq) auto
lemma CallRec':
  [p \in Procs; Procs \subseteq dom \ \Gamma;]
```

next

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall \, p \in Procs. \\ \forall \, Z. \, \, \Gamma, \Theta \, \cup \, \, (\bigcup \, p \in Procs. \, \bigcup \, Z. \, \, \{((P \, p \, Z), p, Q \, p \, Z, A \, p \, Z)\}) \\ \qquad \qquad \vdash_{/F} \, (P \, p \, Z) \, (the \, (\Gamma \, p)) \, (Q \, p \, Z), (A \, p \, Z)] \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \, (P \, p \, Z) \, (Call \, p) \, (Q \, p \, Z), (A \, p \, Z) \\ \text{apply } \, (rule \, CallRec \, [\textbf{where } Specs = \bigcup \, p \in Procs. \, \bigcup \, Z. \, \{((P \, p \, Z), p, Q \, p \, Z, A \, p \, Z)\}]) \\ \text{apply } \, blast \\ \text{apply } \, blast \\ \text{done} \\ \text{end} \end{array}
```

10 Properties of Partial Correctness Hoare Logic

theory HoarePartialProps imports HoarePartialDef begin

10.1 Soundness

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{hoare-cnvalid}\colon
 assumes hoare: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 shows \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using hoare
proof (induct)
  case (Skip \Theta F P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P Skip P,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ s \in P
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
       by cases auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Basic \Theta F f P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{s.\ f\ s \in P\}\ (Basic\ f)\ P,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t\ s \in \{s.\ f\ s \in P\}
    thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
       by cases auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Spec \Theta F r Q A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/F}\{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in r\longrightarrow t\in Q)\land (\exists\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in r)\}\ Spec\ r\ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s, t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s, t) \in r)\}
    from exec P
```

```
show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases auto
  qed
next
  case (Seq \Theta F P c1 R A c2 Q)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P c1 R,A by fact
  have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} R c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P: s \in P
    from exec P obtain r where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r \text{ and } exec-c2: \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
     by cases auto
    with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault 'F
     by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
    with valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P
    have r: r \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'A
     by (rule\ cnvalidD)
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases \ r)
     case (Normal r')
      with exec-c2 r
      show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
        apply -
        apply (rule cnvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F])
       apply auto
        done
    next
     case (Abrupt r')
      with exec-c2 have t=Abrupt r'
       by (auto elim: execn-elim-cases)
      with Abrupt r show ?thesis
       by auto
   \mathbf{next}
      case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
      case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond \Theta F P b c1 Q A c2)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap b) c1 Q,A by fact
 have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap -b) c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
```

```
proof (rule cnvalidI)
    fix s t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    \mathbf{show}\ t \in \mathit{Normal}\ `\ Q \ \cup \ \mathit{Abrupt}\ `\ A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
      case True
      with exec have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
      with P True
      show ?thesis
        by - (rule cnvalidD [OF valid-c1 ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
      case False
      with exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
      with P False
      show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by} - (rule\ cnvalidD\ [OF\ valid-c2\ ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (While \Theta \ F \ P \ b \ c \ A \ n)
  have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (P \cap b) c P,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \text{ While } b \text{ } c \text{ } (P \cap -b),A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
      case True
        fix d:('b,'a,'c) com fix s t
        assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle d, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
        assume d: d = While b c
        assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
        from exec d ctxt
        have [s \in Normal 'P; t \notin Fault 'F]
                \implies t \in Normal \ (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt A
        proof (induct)
          case (While True s b' c' n r t)
          have t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F by fact
          have eqs: While b'c' = While b c by fact
```

```
note valid-c
       moreover have ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A by fact
       moreover from WhileTrue
       obtain \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow r and
         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{and}
         Normal s \in Normal \ (P \cap b) by auto
       moreover with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault ' F
         by (auto dest: execn-Fault-end)
       ultimately
       have r: r \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
         \mathbf{by} - (rule\ cnvalidD, auto)
       from this - ctxt
       show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
       proof (cases \ r)
         case (Normal r')
         with r ctxt eqs t-notin-F
         show ?thesis
           \mathbf{by} - (rule\ WhileTrue.hyps, auto)
         case (Abrupt r')
         have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b' \ c', r \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
         with Abrupt have t=r
           by (auto dest: execn-Abrupt-end)
         with r Abrupt show ?thesis
           by blast
       next
         case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
         case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
       qed
     \mathbf{qed} auto
   with exec ctxt P t-notin-F
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   with exec P have t=Normal s
     by cases auto
   with P False
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
qed
case (Guard \Theta F g P c Q A f)
have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A by fact
show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) Guard f g \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    from exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by cases auto
    from valid-c ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
       by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
next
  case (Guarantee f F \Theta g P c Q A)
  have valid-c: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A by fact
  have f-F: f \in F by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ \textit{Guard} \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in P
    from exec f-F t-notin-F have g: s \in g
      by cases auto
    with P have P': s \in g \cap P
      by blast
    from exec P g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
       by cases auto
    from valid-c ctxt this P' t-notin-F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
       by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
next
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs \Theta F)
  have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
  have valid-body:
     \forall \, (P,p,Q,A) \, \in \, \mathit{Specs.} \, \, p \, \in \, \mathit{dom} \, \, \Gamma \, \wedge \, (\forall \, \mathit{n.} \, \, \Gamma,\Theta \, \cup \, \mathit{Specs.} \, \models \mathit{n:}_{/F} \, P \, \left(\mathit{the} \, \, (\Gamma \, \, p)\right)
Q,A)
    using CallRec.hyps by blast
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q,A
  proof -
    {
       have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
         \implies \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
       proof (induct n)
         case \theta
         show \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models \theta:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
by (fastforce elim!: execn-elim-cases simp add: nvalid-def)
next
  case (Suc \ m)
  have hyp: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
        \implies \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A \ \textbf{by} \ fact
  have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models Suc\ m:_{/F} P\ (Call\ p)\ Q, A\ by\ fact
  hence ctxt-m: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (fastforce simp add: nvalid-def intro: execn-Suc)
  hence valid-Proc:
    \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
    \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{hyp})
 let ?\Theta' = \Theta \cup Specs
  from valid-Proc ctxt-m
  have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in ?\Theta'. \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by fastforce
  with valid-body
  have valid-body-m:
    \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \forall \ n. \ \Gamma \models m:_{/F} P \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
    by (fastforce simp add: cnvalid-def)
  show \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \Gamma \models Suc m:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  proof (clarify)
    fix P p Q A assume p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs
    show \Gamma \models Suc \ m:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    proof (rule nvalidI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
      assume exec-call:
        \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
      assume Pre: s \in P
      assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
      from exec-call
      show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      proof (cases)
        fix bdy m'
        assume m: Suc m = Suc m'
        assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
        assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle = m' \Rightarrow t
        from Pre valid-body-m exec-body bdy m p t-notin-F
        show ?thesis
          by (fastforce simp add: nvalid-def)
        assume \Gamma p = None
        with valid-body p have False by auto
        thus ?thesis ..
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
```

}

```
with p show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp add: cnvalid-def)
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ P\ \Theta\ F\ c\ Q\ A)
  hence valid-c: \forall s \in P. (\forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P (c s) Q, A) by auto
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q,A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      from cnvalidD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt this P t-notin-Fault]
      show ?thesis.
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Throw \Theta F A Q)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} A \ Throw \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t \ s \in A
    then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by cases simp
  qed
next
  case (Catch \Theta F P c_1 Q R c_2 A)
  have valid-c1: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P c_1 Q,R by fact
  have valid-c2: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} R c_2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    fix s t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-Fault: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      fix s'
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
      assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{cnvalidD} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{valid-c1} \ \mathit{ctxt} \ \mathit{exec-c1} \ \mathit{P} \ ]
      have Abrupt \ s' \in Abrupt \ `R
        by auto
```

```
with cnvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-Fault] exec-c2
      show ?thesis
        by fastforce
    \mathbf{next}
      assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      assume notAbr: \neg isAbr t
      from cnvalidD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P t-notin-Fault]
      have t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'R.
      with notAbr
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A)
  hence adapt: \forall s \in P. (\exists P' Q' A'. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P' c Q', A' \land A')
                            s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
    by blast
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cnvalidI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof -
      from P adapt obtain P' Q' A' Z where
        spec: \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P' c Q', A' and
        P': s \in P' and strengthen: Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
        by auto
      \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{spec} \ [\mathit{rule-format}] \ \mathit{ctxt} \ \mathit{exec} \ \mathit{P'} \ \mathit{t-notin-F}
      have t \in Normal 'Q' \cup Abrupt 'A'
        by (rule cnvalidD)
      with strengthen show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  qed
  case (Asm \ P \ p \ Q \ A \ \Theta \ F)
  have asm: (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
  \mathbf{proof} (rule \mathit{cnvalidI})
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from asm ctxt have \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q, A by auto
    moreover
```

```
assume s \in P \ t \notin Fault ' F
    ultimately
    \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
       using exec
       by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
  qed
next
  case ExFalso thus ?case by iprover
qed
theorem hoare-sound: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (iprover intro: cnvalid-to-cvalid hoare-cnvalid)
10.2
             Completeness
lemma MGT-valid:
\Gamma \models_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\} \ c
   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
           s \in \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
           t \not\in \mathit{Fault} \, \, \lq \, F
  thus t \in Normal ' \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\} \cup
               Abrupt ` \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t \}
    by (cases t) (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
qed
The consequence rule where the existential Z is instantiated to s. Usefull in
proof of MGT-lemma.
lemma ConseqMGT:
  assumes modif: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),(A'Z)
  assumes impl: \bigwedge s. \ s \in P \stackrel{\text{$'$}}{\Longrightarrow} s \in P' \ s \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in Q' \ s \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in A)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using impl
by - (rule conseq [OF modif], blast)
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD1:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot F)
proof (rule final-notinI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  show t \notin \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
  proof
    assume t \in \{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' F
    moreover
```

```
assume t = Stuck
       with exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
        by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort have False
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
    }
    moreover
    {
      assume t \in Fault ' F
       then obtain f where
       t: t=Fault f and f: f \in F
        by auto
       from t exec-c1
       have \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault f
        by (auto intro: exec-Seq')
       with noabort f have False
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
       hence False ..
    }
    ultimately show False by auto
  qed
qed
lemma Seq-NoFaultStuckD2:
  assumes noabort: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2,s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ 'F)
  shows \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, s \rangle \Rightarrow t \longrightarrow t \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ 'F) \longrightarrow
              \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault 'F)
using noabort
by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec-Seq')
{f lemma}\ MGT-implies-complete:
  assumes MGT: \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault)\}
(-F)
                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  using MGT
  apply (rule ConseqMGT)
  apply (insert valid)
  apply (auto simp add: valid-def intro!: final-notinI)
  done
Equipped only with the classic consequence rule [?\Gamma,?\Theta\vdash_{/?F}?P'?c?Q',?A';
?P \subseteq ?P'; ?Q' \subseteq ?Q; ?A' \subseteq ?A] \Longrightarrow ?\Gamma,?\Theta \vdash_{/?F} ?P ?c'?Q,?A \text{ we can only}
```

derive this syntactically more involved version of completeness. But semantically it is equivalent to the "real" one (see below)

```
lemma MGT-implies-complete': assumes MGT: \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\} \ c \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A shows \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in A\} using MGT [rule-format, of Z] apply (rule conseqPrePost) apply (insert valid) apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def final-notin-def) apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def) apply (fastforce simp add: valid-def) done
```

Semantic equivalence of both kind of formulations

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}involved\text{-}to\text{-}valid:
```

```
assumes valid: \forall Z. \ \Gamma {\models_{/F}} \ \{s. \ s{=}Z \land s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in A\}  shows \Gamma {\models_{/F}} \ P \ c \ Q, A using valid apply (simp add: valid-def) apply clarsimp apply clarsimp apply (erule-tac x{=}x in allE) apply (erule-tac x{=}x in allE) apply (erule-tac x{=}t in allE) apply (erule-tac x{=}t in allE) apply fastforce done
```

The sophisticated consequence rule allow us to do this semantical transformation on the hoare-level, too. The magic is, that it allow us to choose the instance of Z under the assumption of an state $s \in P$

lemma

```
assumes deriv: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in A\} shows \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A apply (rule \ ConseqMGT \ [OF \ deriv]) apply auto done
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}to\text{-}valid\text{-}involved:$

```
\begin{array}{l} \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma \models_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ s \in P\} \ c \ \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q\}, \{t. \ Z \in P \longrightarrow t \in A\} \end{array}
```

```
by (simp add: valid-def Collect-conv-if)
lemma
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=\stackrel{'}{Z}\land s\in P\}\ c\ \{t.\ Z\in P\longrightarrow t\in Q\},\{t.\ Z\in P\longrightarrow t\in Q\}\}
   apply (rule conseqPrePost [OF deriv])
  apply auto
  done
lemma\ conseq-extract-state-indep-prop:
  assumes state\text{-}indep\text{-}prop: \forall s \in P. R
  assumes to-show: R \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{clarify})
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=Q in exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
  using state-indep-prop to-show
  by blast
lemma MGT-lemma:
  assumes MGT-Calls:
     \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F}
         \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
          (Call p)
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  \mathbf{shows} \  \, \bigwedge \! Z. \  \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \  \, \land \  \, \Gamma \vdash_{} \langle c, Normal \  \, s \rangle \  \, \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \  \, \cup \  \, Fault \  \, ` \  \, (-F))\}
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (induct \ c)
  case Skip
   show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Skip,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
Skip
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     by (rule hoarep.Skip [THEN conseqPre])
         (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
next
   case (Basic\ f)
  \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Basic}\ f, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\}
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\},\
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoarep.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
         (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
```

```
next
  case (Spec \ r)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec\ r, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoarep.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
     apply (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def)
     apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
     apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     done
next
   case (Seq c1 c2)
   have hyp\text{-}c1: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)) c1
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{hyp-c2}\colon\forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle\mathit{c2},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle\ \Rightarrow\not\in(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `
(-F))} c2
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
  from hyp-c1
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                        \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\},
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
         (auto dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1 [simplified] Seq-NoFaultStuckD2 [simplified]
                  intro: exec.Seq)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                          Seq c1 c2
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule hoarep.Seq )
     show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{t.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle c1,Normal\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow Normal\ t\ \land
                              \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `\ (-F))\}
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
     \mathbf{next}
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
              assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
              then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
                  by (iprover intro: exec.intros)
         ged
    \mathbf{qed}
next
    case (Cond b c1 c2)
     have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash_{\langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle} \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
c1
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         using Cond.hyps by iprover
     hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `
(-F)\cap b
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                (fastforce intro: exec.CondTrue simp add: final-notin-def)
    moreover
    have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
c2
                                               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         using Cond.hyps by iprover
     hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \lang{Cond}\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\}\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``
(-F))\}\cap-b)
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                (fastforce intro: exec.CondFalse simp add: final-notin-def)
     ultimately
     show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ function for all the sum of the s
(-F))
                                        Cond b c1 c2
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule hoarep.Cond)
next
     case (While b \ c)
    let ?unroll = (\{(s,t).\ s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\})^*
    let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                                               (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                                            \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                                                    (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                                                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))
    let ?A' = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
\mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle\ While\ b\ c,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))\}
                     While b c
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P' = ?P'
                                  and ?Q'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z\cap -b and ?A'=?A'
   show \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (?P'Z) \ (While \ b \ c) \ (?P'Z \cap -b), (?A'Z)
   proof (rule allI, rule hoarep. While)
      \mathbf{fix} \ Z
      from While
     \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash_{} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))\}
                                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \ \mathbf{by} \ iprover
      then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(?P'Z\cap b)\ c\ (?P'Z),(?A'Z)
      proof (rule ConseqMGT)
        \mathbf{fix} \ s
         assume s \in \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                             (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                     \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                           (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))
                         \cap b
         then obtain
            Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
            noabort: \forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                      (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
            s-in-b: s \in b
            by blast
         show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\} \land 
         (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
                t \in \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                        (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                               \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                     (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))\}) \land
          (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
                t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
            (is ?C1 ∧ ?C2 ∧ ?C3)
         proof (intro\ conjI)
            from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b show ?C1 by blast
         next
               \mathbf{fix} \ t
               assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
               moreover
```

c

```
have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
                 by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
               moreover note noabort
               ultimately
               have (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                       (\forall \ e. \ (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                               \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                    (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                           \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u))
                 by iprover
             }
             then show ?C2 by blast
          \mathbf{next}
             {
               \mathbf{fix} \ t
               assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
               from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
               have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                 by blast
             } thus ?C3 by simp
          qed
       qed
     qed
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ s
      assume P: s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)
     hence WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
       by auto
     show s \in ?P's \land
     (\forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap -b) \longrightarrow
           t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}) \land
     (\forall t. \ t \in ?A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A' \ Z)
     proof (intro conjI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ e
          assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
          from this WhileNoFault
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                   (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
          proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)
             with e-in-b WhileNoFault
             have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
               by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
```

from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b

```
moreover
              fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                by (blast intro: exec.intros)
            }
            ultimately
            show ?Prop e e
              \mathbf{by} iprover
         next
            fix Z r
            assume e-in-b: e \in b
             assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ '
(-F)
           assume hyp: [e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))]
                           \implies ?Prop r e
            assume Z-r:
              (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r\}
            with WhileNoFault
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
              by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            from hyp [OF e-in-b this] obtain
              cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ and
              Abrupt-r: \forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                 \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              by simp
              fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
              moreover from Z-r obtain
                Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
                \mathbf{by} \ simp
              ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                by (blast intro: exec.intros)
            with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
              by iprover
         qed
       with P show s \in ?P's
         by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       {
         \mathbf{fix} t
         assume termination: t \notin b
         assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
         hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
```

```
from termination
            show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
               by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
          next
            \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
            assume first-body:
                     (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\}
            assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
            assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            proof -
               from first-body obtain
                 Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
                 by fast
               moreover
               from rest-loop have
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                 by fast
               ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                 by (rule exec. While True)
            qed
         \mathbf{qed}
       with P
       show (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b)
               \longrightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})
         by blast
     next
       from P show \forall t. \ t \in ?A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A' \ Z by simp
     qed
  qed
next
  case (Call \ p)
  let ?P = \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
  from noStuck-Call have \forall s \in ?P. p \in dom \Gamma
     by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
  then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} ?P (Call p)
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
    assume p-definied: p \in dom \Gamma
     with MGT-Calls show
       \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\land
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (auto)
  qed
```

```
next
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp:
    \bigwedge s' \cdot \forall Z \cdot \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s \cdot s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c \cdot s', Normal \cdot s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot (-F))\}
c s'
        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     using DynCom by simp
  have hyp':
  \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``\ (-F))\}\ c
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \}
\Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     by (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp])
         (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
   show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `
(-F))
                    DynCom c
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoarep.DynCom)
     apply (clarsimp)
    apply (rule hyp' [simplified])
     done
next
  case (Guard f g c)
   have hyp-c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash_{} \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) c
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Guard by iprover
  show ?case
  proof (cases f \in F)
     case True
     from hyp-c
    have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s=Z\land
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\})
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \textit{c}, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule ConseqMGT)
       apply (insert True)
       apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
       done
     from True this
     show ?thesis
       by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
  next
     case False
```

```
from hyp-c
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}
             (g \cap \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\})
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        apply (rule ConseqMGT)
        apply clarify
        apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
        apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
        done
     then show ?thesis
        apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoarep.Guard])
        apply clarify
        apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
        apply auto
        done
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case Throw
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
Throw
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule conseqPre [OF hoarep.Throw]) (blast intro: exec.intros)
next
  case (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2)
  \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))\}
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Catch.hyps by iprover
   hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle \mathit{Catch}\ c_1\ c_2,\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `
(-F)) c_1
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \ \land \}
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
         (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
  moreover
   have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
c_2
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Catch.hyps by iprover
  hence \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1,Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s \ \land \}
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      by (rule ConseqMGT)
          (fastforce intro: exec.intros simp add: final-notin-def)
   ultimately
   show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash\langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``
(-F))
                             Catch c_1 c_2
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      by (rule hoarep.Catch)
qed
lemma MGT-Calls:
 \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
       \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ ` \ (-F))\}
                  (Call\ p)
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof -
   {
      fix p Z
     assume defined: p \in dom \Gamma
      have
         \Gamma,(\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z.
               \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                   \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\},
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})\})
          \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\}
               (the (\Gamma p))
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         (is \Gamma,?\Theta \vdash_{/F} (?Pre \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (?Post \ p \ Z), (?Abr \ p \ Z))
     proof -
        have MGT-Calls:
          \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, ?\Theta \vdash_{/F}
            \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
             (Call\ p)
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           by (intro ballI allI, rule HoarePartialDef.Asm,auto)
           have \forall Z. \ \Gamma,?\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p) \ ,Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ f(Stuck)\} \}
Fault'(-F))
                                    (the (\Gamma p))
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
thus \Gamma,?\Theta\vdash_{/F}(?Pre\ p\ Z)\ (the\ (\Gamma\ p))\ (?Post\ p\ Z),(?Abr\ p\ Z)
         apply (rule ConseqMGT)
         apply (clarify,safe)
       proof -
         assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
         with defined show \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
            by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def
                   intro: exec.intros)
       next
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
         assume \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         with defined
         show \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            by (auto intro: exec.Call)
       next
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
         assume \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
         with defined
         show \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
            by (auto intro: exec.Call)
       \mathbf{qed}
    qed
  }
  then show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (intro ballI allI)
    apply (rule CallRec' [where Procs=dom \Gamma and
       P=\lambda p Z. \{s. s=Z \land
                     \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}and
       Q=\lambda p Z.
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \} and
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}]
    apply simp+
     done
\mathbf{qed}
theorem hoare-complete: \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  by (iprover intro: MGT-implies-complete MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Calls])
lemma hoare-complete':
  assumes cvalid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
proof (cases \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A)
  {f case}\ True
  hence \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoare-complete)
```

by (iprover intro: MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Calls])

```
thus \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoare-augment-context) simp
next
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  with cvalid
 show ?thesis
    by (rule ExFalso)
qed
lemma hoare-strip-\Gamma:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{/F} P \ p \ Q,A
 assumes F': F' \subseteq -F'
 shows strip F' \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P p Q, A
proof (rule hoare-complete)
  from hoare-sound [OF deriv] have \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ p \ Q, A
    by (simp add: cvalid-def)
 from this F'
 show strip F' \Gamma \models_{/F} P p Q, A
    by (rule valid-to-valid-strip)
qed
          And Now: Some Useful Rules
10.3
10.3.1
            Consequence
{f lemma}\ Liberal Conseq	ext{-}sound:
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \ P' \ c
Q',A') \wedge
                ((s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                               \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ \ Q \cup Abrupt \ \ A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
 show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
 proof -
    from P cons obtain P' Q' A' where
      spec: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \ and
      adapt: (s \in P' \xrightarrow{\cdot} t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                              \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      apply -
      apply (drule (1) bspec)
```

apply (erule-tac x=t in allE)

```
apply (elim \ exE \ conjE)
      apply iprover
      done
    from exec spec ctxt t-notin-F
   have s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
      by (simp add: cnvalid-def nvalid-def)
    with adapt show ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma LiberalConseq:
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A' \land
               ((s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A')
                             \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ Q \cup Abrupt \ A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule LiberalConseq-sound)
using cons
apply (clarify)
apply (drule (1) bspec)
apply (erule-tac x=t in allE)
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac x=P' in exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ in \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
apply assumption
done
lemma \forall s \in P. \ \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq \ Q \land A' \subseteq A
           \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q,A
  apply (rule LiberalConseq)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (drule (1) bspec)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac x=P' in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=Q' in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=A' in exI)
  apply auto
  done
lemma
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \exists P' Q' A'. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' c Q', A' \land
```

```
(\forall (t::('s,'f) \ xstate). \ (s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ `Q' \cup Abrupt \ `A')
                                  \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (insert cons)
  apply (drule (1) bspec)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P' \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=Q' in exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply assumption
  oops
lemma LiberalConseq':
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes cons: \forall s \in P. \exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \land (\forall (t :: ('s, 'f) \ xstate). \ (s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ `Q' \cup Abrupt \ `A')
                                  \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule LiberalConseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rule allI)
apply (insert cons)
apply (drule (1) bspec)
apply clarify
apply (rule-tac \ x=P' \ in \ exI)
apply (rule-tac x=Q' in exI)
apply (rule-tac x=A' in exI)
apply iprover
done
lemma LiberalConseq'':
fixes F:: 'f set
assumes \mathit{spec} \colon \forall \, \mathit{Z} \ldotp \, \Gamma , \Theta \vdash_{/F} (\mathit{P'} \, \mathit{Z}) \, \, c \, \, (\mathit{Q'} \, \mathit{Z}) , (\mathit{A'} \, \mathit{Z})
assumes cons: \forall s \ (t::('s, 'f) \ xstate).
                   (\forall Z. \ s \in P'Z \longrightarrow t \in Normal \ Q'Z \cup Abrupt \ A'Z)
                    \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule LiberalConseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (rule allI)
apply (insert cons)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (erule-tac x=t in allE)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{case-tac} \ t \in \mathit{Normal} \ `Q \cup \mathit{Abrupt} \ `A)
```

```
apply (insert spec)
{\bf apply} \quad iprover
apply auto
done
primrec procs:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow 'p set
where
procs\ Skip = \{\} \mid
procs\ (Basic\ f) = \{\}\ |
procs (Seq c_1 c_2) = (procs c_1 \cup procs c_2) \mid
procs (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (procs \ c_1 \cup procs \ c_2) \mid
procs (While b c) = procs c
procs\ (Call\ p) = \{p\}
procs (DynCom c) = (\bigcup s. procs (c s)) \mid
procs (Guard f g c) = procs c \mid
procs\ Throw = \{\}\ |
procs (Catch c_1 c_2) = (procs c_1 \cup procs c_2)
primrec noSpec:: ('s, 'p, 'f) com \Rightarrow bool
where
noSpec \ Skip = True \mid
noSpec (Basic f) = True \mid
noSpec (Spec \ r) = False \mid
noSpec (Seq c_1 c_2) = (noSpec c_1 \land noSpec c_2) \mid
noSpec \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noSpec \ c_1 \land noSpec \ c_2) \mid
noSpec (While b c) = noSpec c
noSpec (Call p) = True \mid
noSpec\ (DynCom\ c) = (\forall\ s.\ noSpec\ (c\ s))\ |
noSpec (Guard f g c) = noSpec c \mid
noSpec \ Throw = True \mid
noSpec \ (Catch \ c_1 \ c_2) = (noSpec \ c_1 \land noSpec \ c_2)
lemma exec-noSpec-no-Stuck:
 assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
 assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
 assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes s-no-Stuck: s \neq Stuck
 shows t \neq Stuck
using exec noSpec-c procs-subset s-no-Stuck proof induct
  case (Call p bdy s t) with noSpec-\Gamma procs-subset-\Gamma show ?case
   by (auto dest!: bspec [of - - p])
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ c\ s\ t) then show ?case
  by auto blast
ged auto
```

lemma execn-noSpec-no-Stuck:

```
assumes exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
 assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
 assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
 assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
 assumes s-no-Stuck: s \neq Stuck
 shows t \neq Stuck
using exec noSpec-c procs-subset s-no-Stuck proof induct
  case (Call p bdy n s t) with noSpec-\Gamma procs-subset-\Gamma show ?case
    by (auto dest!: bspec [of - - p])
next
  case (DynCom\ c\ s\ t) then show ?case
    by auto blast
\mathbf{qed} auto
lemma\ \it Liberal Conseq-noquards-nothrows-sound:
assumes spec: \forall Z. \forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
assumes cons: \forall s \ t. \ (\forall Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \longrightarrow t \in Q' \ Z)
                   \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q)
assumes noguards-c: noguards c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
{\bf assumes}\ nothrows\hbox{-}c\hbox{:}\ nothrows\ c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
 proof -
    from execn-noguards-no-Fault [OF exec noguards-c noguards-\Gamma]
     execn-nothrows-no-Abrupt [OF exec nothrows-c nothrows-\Gamma]
     execn-noSpec-no-Stuck [OF exec
              noSpec\mbox{-}c noSpec\mbox{-}\Gamma procs\mbox{-}subset
      procs-subset-\Gamma
    obtain t' where t: t=Normal t'
      by (cases \ t) auto
    with exec spec ctxt
    have (\forall Z. \ s \in P' Z \longrightarrow t' \in Q' Z)
      by (unfold cnvalid-def nvalid-def) blast
    with cons P t show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LiberalConseq-noguards-nothrows}:
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
assumes cons: \forall s \ t. \ (\forall Z. \ s \in P' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q' Z)
                     \longrightarrow (s \in P \longrightarrow t \in Q)
assumes noguards-c: noguards c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{rule Liberal Conseq-noguards-nothrows-sound}
               [\mathit{OF} - \mathit{cons} \mathit{noguards}-\mathit{c} \mathit{nothrows}-\mathit{c} \mathit{nothrows}-\mathit{\Gamma}
                    noSpec-c noSpec-\Gamma
                    procs-subset procs-subset-\Gamma)
apply (insert spec)
apply (intro allI)
apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
by (rule hoare-cnvalid)
lemma
\textbf{assumes} \ \textit{spec} \colon \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\textit{s. s=fst} \ Z \ \land \ P \ \textit{s} \ (\textit{snd} \ Z)\} \ \textit{c} \ \{\textit{t.} \ \textit{Q} \ (\textit{fst} \ Z) \ (\textit{snd} \ Z)\}
assumes noquards-c: noquards c
assumes noguards-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noguards (the (\Gamma \ p))
assumes nothrows-c: nothrows c
assumes nothrows-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. nothrows (the (\Gamma p))
assumes noSpec-c: noSpec c
assumes noSpec-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. noSpec \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p))
assumes procs-subset: procs c \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
assumes procs-subset-\Gamma: \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. procs (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \subseteq dom \ \Gamma
shows \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ s=\sigma\} \ c \ \{t.\ \forall \ l.\ P \ \sigma \ l \longrightarrow Q \ \sigma \ l \ t\}, \{\}
apply (rule allI)
{f apply} \ (\it rule \ Liberal Conseq-noguards-nothrows
                [OF\ spec\ -\ noguards{-}c\ noguards{-}\Gamma\ nothrows{-}c\ nothrows{-}\Gamma
                     noSpec-c noSpec-\Gamma
                     procs-subset procs-subset-\Gamma)
apply auto
done
```

10.3.2 Modify Return

```
lemma ProcModifyReturn-sound:
  assumes valid-call: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-modif:
    \forall \sigma. \ \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{IUNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                               \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{IUNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from \ exec
  show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
    assume n: n = Suc m
    from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.Call)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
    with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
      Normal\ (return\ s\ t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-call)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    assume n: n = Suc m
    assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
```

```
also from exec-body n bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
    finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
    with exec\text{-}body\ bdy\ n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m f
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    assume \Gamma p = None
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    then have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
```

 ${f lemma}\ ProcModifyReturn:$

```
assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (call init p return ' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes return-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
              \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule ProcModifyReturn-sound
           [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
             OF - result-conform return-conform])
using spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
done
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModify Return Same Faults-sound:
  assumes valid-call: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-modif:
    \forall \sigma. \ \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma),(Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                               \longrightarrow \mathit{return'} \; s \; t = \mathit{return} \; s \; t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
    assume n: n = Suc m
    from exec-body n bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
```

```
have t' \in Modif (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
   Normal (return s t')
   by simp
 with exec-body exec-c bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-call)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy m t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume n: n = Suc m
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also
 from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
   by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy m f
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m and
   t: t = Fault f
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
 assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
   t = Stuck
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    \mathbf{assume}\ \Gamma\ p=\mathit{None}
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    then have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    from valid-call [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
{f lemma}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes return-conform:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\}\ \mathit{Call}\ p\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma, \stackrel{\frown}{\Theta}\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q, A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ ({\it rule\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound}
           [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
          OF - result-conform return-conform])
using spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
done
10.3.3
             DynCall
lemma dynProcModifyReturn-sound:
assumes valid-call: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \forall n.
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ \mathit{Call} \ (p \ s) \ (\mathit{Modif} \ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr} \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                               \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif': \forall \sigma. \forall n.
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{IUNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: execn-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
    assume n: n = Suc m
    from exec-body n bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modif have Normal (return' s t') = Normal (return s t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy n
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-call)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    assume n: n = Suc m
    assume t: t = Abrupt (return \ s \ t')
    also from exec-body n bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt' this] P
```

```
have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
    by simp
  finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
  with exec\text{-}body\ bdy\ n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{call\ init\ }(p\ s)\ \mathit{return'\ } c.Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow\ t
    by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
  from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  fix bdy m f
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m
    t = Fault f
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
  from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by (rule cnvalidD)
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
    t = Stuck
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
  from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by (rule cnvalidD)
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ m
  assume \Gamma(p s) = None
  and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-dynCall)
  \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{valid-call}\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}\ \mathit{P}\ \mathit{t-notin-F}
  show ?thesis
    by (rule cnvalidD)
qed
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ dyn Proc Modify Return:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                                 \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma.
       \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ \mathit{Call} \ (p\ s)\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule \ dynProcModifyReturn-sound \ [where \ Modif=Modif \ and \ ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
           OF hoare-cnvalid [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-cnvalid [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ dyn Proc Modify Return Same Faults-sound:
assumes valid-call: \bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \forall n.
        \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
assumes \ ret{-modif}:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif': \forall \sigma. \forall n.
    \Gamma,\Theta {\models} n:_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ \mathit{Call} \ (\mathit{p} \ \mathit{s}) \ (\mathit{Modif} \ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr} \ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: execn-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  proof (cases rule: execn-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy m t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
```

```
assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
 assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle = Suc \ m \Rightarrow t
 assume n: n = Suc m
 from exec\text{-}body \ n \ bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: execn.Call)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
 have t' \in Modif (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modif have Normal (return' s t') = Normal (return s t')
   by simp
 with exec-body exec-c bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-call)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
next
 fix bdy m t'
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
 assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
 assume n: n = Suc m
 assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also from exec-body n bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle = n \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: execn.intros)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of n init s] ctxt this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
   by auto
 with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
   by simp
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy n
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-callAbrupt)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (rule\ execn-dynCall)
 from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
next
 fix bdy m f
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Fault\ f\ n = Suc\ m and
   t: t = Fault f
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: execn-callFault)
```

```
hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy \ m
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle = m \Rightarrow Stuck\ n = Suc\ m
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callStuck)
   hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c,Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ m
    assume \Gamma(p s) = None
    and n = Suc \ m \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: execn-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
      by (rule execn-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule cnvalidD)
 qed
qed
lemma dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
           \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                              \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
\mathbf{apply} (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound
        [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
           OF hoare-cnvalid [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-cnvalid [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
```

10.3.4 Conjunction of Postcondition

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{PostConjI-sound}:
assumes valid-Q: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-R: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ R,B
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B)
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  \mathbf{assume}\ t\textit{-}notin\textit{-}F\colon\thinspace t\notin\mathit{Fault}\ `F
  from valid-Q [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt
    by (rule cnvalidD)
 moreover
 from valid-R [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt
    by (rule cnvalidD)
  ultimately show t \in Normal '(Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt '(A \cap B)
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma PostConjI:
  assumes deriv-Q: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes \mathit{deriv}\text{-}R\text{: }\Gamma\text{,}\Theta\vdash_{/F}^{'}P\ c\ R\text{,}B
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c (Q\cap R),(A\cap B)
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule PostConjI-sound)
using deriv-Q
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-R
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Merge-PostConj-sound}\colon
  assumes validF: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes validG: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/G} P' \ c \ R,X
  assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
  assumes P - P': P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  with F-G have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/G} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  with P-P' have P': s \in P'
   by auto
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap X)
   from cnvalidD [OF validF [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-noFault]
   have t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
   moreover from this have t \notin Fault ' G
   from cnvalidD [OF validG [rule-format] ctxt' exec P' this]
   have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'X .
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
lemma Merge-PostConj:
  assumes validF: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
 assumes validG: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash^{'}_{/G} P' c R, X
  assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
  assumes P - P' : P \subseteq P'
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c (Q\cap R),(A\cap X)
apply (rule hoare-complete')
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{allI})
apply (rule Merge-PostConj-sound [OF - - F-G P-P'])
using validF apply (blast intro:hoare-cnvalid)
using validG apply (blast intro:hoare-cnvalid)
done
10.3.5
            Weaken Context
lemma WeakenContext-sound:
  assumes valid-c: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta' \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes valid-ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta'. \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  with valid-ctxt
  have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta'. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (simp add: cnvalid-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from valid-c [rule-format] ctxt' exec P t-notin-F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
```

```
by (rule\ cnvalidD)
qed
{f lemma} WeakenContext:
  assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes deriv\text{-}ctxt\colon\forall\,(\stackrel{.}{P},p,Q,A){\in}\Theta'.\ \Gamma,\Theta{\vdash}_{\left/F\right.}P\ (Call\ p)\ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule WeakenContext-sound)
\mathbf{using}\ \mathit{deriv-c}
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-ctxt
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
done
             Guards and Guarantees
10.3.6
lemma SplitGuards-sound:
assumes valid-c1: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q,A
assumes valid-c2: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c_2 \ UNIV, UNIV
assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) = Some c
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
    case Normal
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cnvalidD)
  next
    case Abrupt
    with inter-guards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by} (rule cnvalidD)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Fault f)
    with exec inter-quards-execn-Fault [OF c]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
```

```
by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      from Fault cavalidD [OF valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P] t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{Fault}\ \mathit{cnvalidD}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{valid-c2}\ [\mathit{rule-format}]\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}\ \mathit{P}]\ \mathit{t-notin-F}
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  next
    case Stuck
    with inter-quards-execn-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 [rule-format] ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cnvalidD)
  qed
qed
lemma SplitGuards:
  assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) = Some c
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c_1 Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}^{'}P c_2 UNIV,UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule\ SplitGuards\text{-}sound\ [OF - - c])
using deriv-c1
apply (blast intro: hoare-cnvalid)
using deriv-c2
apply (blast intro: hoare-cavalid)
done
lemma CombineStrip-sound:
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-strip: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{f} \ P \ (strip-guards \ (-F) \ c) \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
```

```
assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
 \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
 proof (cases t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt \ t')
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     {f case}\ True
     hence f \notin -F by simp
     with exec Fault
     have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ (-F) \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (auto intro: execn-to-execn-strip-guards-Fault)
     from cnvalidD [OF valid-strip [rule-format] ctxt this P] Fault
     have False
      by auto
     thus ?thesis ..
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
     with cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
      by auto
   qed
 next
   {\bf case}\ Stuck
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 qed
qed
lemma CombineStrip:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 assumes deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule CombineStrip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv-strip])
done
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuardsFlip\text{-}sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
 assumes validFlip: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P(Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: nvalid-augment-Faults)
  from ctxt have ctxtFlip: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{f} P (Call p) Q, A
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ intro:\ nvalid-augment-Faults})
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt t')
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     {\bf case}\ {\it True}
     hence f \notin -F by simp
      with cnvalidD [OF validFlip [rule-format] ctxtFlip exec P] Fault
      have False
       by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
      with cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
 next
   case Stuck
   from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt' exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  qed
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma GuardsFlip:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 assumes derivFlip: \Gamma_{-F}^{'}P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule GuardsFlip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF derivFlip])
done
lemma MarkGuardsI-sound:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} \ P \ c \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{f} P mark-guards f c Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-mark-guards-to-execn [OF exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
    \textit{t'-noFault} \colon \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c P]
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by blast
    with t'-noFault
    show ?thesis
      by auto
 qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsI}\colon
 assumes \mathit{deriv} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{\big/\big\{\big\}} P \ c \ Q, A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P \ mark-guards \ f \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MarkGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma MarkGuardsD-sound:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} \ P \ mark-guards \ f \ c \ Q,A
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases isFault t)
    case True
    with execn-to-execn-mark-guards-Fault [OF exec]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards\ f\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow Fault\ f'
      by (fastforce elim: isFaultE)
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    have False
      by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    {f case} False
    from execn-to-execn-mark-guards [OF exec False]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma MarkGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \text{ mark-guards } f \in Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{MarkGuardsD-sound})
\mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{iprover\ intro:\ hoare-cnvalid}\ [\mathit{OF\ deriv}])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsI-sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \text{ merge-guards } c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}quards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-merge-guards-to-execn [OF exec-merge]
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
```

```
from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F]
 \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `\ Q \ \cup\ Abrupt\ `\ A.
qed
lemma MergeGuardsI:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P merge-guards c\ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MergeGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma Merge Guards D-sound:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} \ P \ merge-guards \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-to-execn-merge-guards [OF exec]
  have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards\ c, Normal\ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-merge P t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
qed
lemma MergeGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P merge-guards c\ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule MergeGuardsD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma SubsetGuards-sound:
  assumes c 	ext{-} c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/\{\}} \ P \ c' \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models n:_{/\{\}}\ P\ c\ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  from execn-to-execn-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
```

```
t'-noFault: \neg isFault t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c' P] t'-noFault t-noFault
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards}\colon
  assumes c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule SubsetGuards-sound [OF c-c'])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NormalizeD-sound} :
  assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
  hence exec-norm: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-to-execn-normalize)
  assume P: s \in P
 assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
 from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-norm P noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
lemma NormalizeD:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (normalize c) Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule NormalizeD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
lemma NormalizeI-sound:
 assumes valid: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models n:_{/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cnvalidI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
```

```
assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 hence exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
    by (rule execn-normalize-to-execn)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cnvalidD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec P noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NormalizeI}:
 assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (normalize c) Q,A
apply (rule hoare-complete')
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule NormalizeI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoare-cnvalid [OF deriv])
done
```

10.3.7 Restricting the Procedure Environment

```
lemma nvalid-restrict-to-nvalid:
assumes valid-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models n:_{/F}P c Q,A
shows \Gamma \models n:_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule nvalidI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t
 assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof -
    from execn-to-execn-restrict [OF exec]
    obtain t' where
      exec-res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle = n \Rightarrow t' and
      t-Fault: \forall f. \ t = Fault \ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault \ f, \ Stuck\} \ \mathbf{and}
      t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
      \mathbf{by} blast
    from t-Fault t-notin-F t'-notStuck have t' \notin Fault 'F
      by (cases t') auto
    with valid-c exec-res P
   have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: nvalid-def)
    with t'-notStuck
    show ?thesis
      by auto
 qed
qed
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ valid ext{-}restrict ext{-}to ext{-}valid:$

```
assumes valid-c: \Gamma|_M\models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
shows \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule validI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
  proof -
    from exec-to-exec-restrict [OF exec]
    obtain t' where
      exec\text{-}res: \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
      t-Fault: \forall f.\ t = Fault\ f \longrightarrow t' \in \{Fault\ f,\ Stuck\} and
      t'-notStuck: t' \neq Stuck \longrightarrow t' = t
      by blast
    from t-Fault t-notin-F t'-notStuck have t' \notin Fault ' F
      by (cases t') auto
    with valid-c exec-res P
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (auto simp add: valid-def)
    with t'-notStuck
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
qed
lemma augment-procs:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma|_{M},{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoare-complete)
  apply (rule valid-restrict-to-valid)
  apply (insert hoare-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalid-def)
lemma augment-Faults:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes F: F \subseteq F'
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/F'} P\ c\ Q,A
  apply (rule hoare-complete)
  apply (rule valid-augment-Faults [OF - F])
  apply (insert hoare-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalid-def)
end
theory LocalRG-HoareDef
```

11 Validity of Correctness Formulas

11.1 Aux

```
abbreviation (input)
      set-fun :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (-<sub>f</sub>) where
      set-fun s \equiv \lambda v. \ v \in s
abbreviation (input)
     fun\text{-}set :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\text{-}_s) \ \mathbf{where}
     fun\text{-}set f \equiv \{\sigma. f \sigma\}
lemma tl-pair:Suc (Suc j) < length l \Longrightarrow
                    l1 = tl \ l \Longrightarrow
                     P(l!(Suc\ j))(l!(Suc\ (Suc\ j))) =
                     P (l1!j) (l1!(Suc j))
by (simp add: tl-zero-eq)
lemma for-all-k-sublist:
assumes a\theta:Suc (Suc j)<length l and
                  a1:(\forall k < j. \ P\ ((tl\ l)!k)\ ((tl\ l)!(Suc\ k))) and
                  a2:P(l!0)(l!(Suc\ 0))
shows (\forall k < Suc j. P(l!k) (l!(Suc k)))
proof -
      \{ \mathbf{fix} \ k \}
        assume aa\theta:k < Suc j
        have P(l!k)(l!(Suc\ k))
        proof (cases k)
               case 0 thus ?thesis using a2 by auto
               case (Suc k1) thus ?thesis using aa0 a0 a1 a2
               \textbf{by} \ (met is \ Small Step Con.nth-tl \ Suc-less-SucD \ dual-order. strict-trans \ length-greater-0-convolution \ dual-order. st
nth-Cons-Suc zero-less-Suc)
      } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
```

11.2 Validity for Component Programs.

```
type-synonym ('s,'f) tran = ('s,'f) xstate \times ('s,'f) xstate

type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) rgformula =

(('s,'p,'f,'e) com \times

('s set) \times

(('s,'f) tran) set \times

(('s,'f) tran) set \times
```

```
('s \ set) \times
               ('s \ set))
type-synonym ('s,'p,'f,'e) sextuple =
           ('p \times
               ('s \ set) \times
               (('s,'f) tran) set \times
               (('s,'f) tran) set \times
               ('s \ set) \times
               ('s \ set))
definition Sta :: 's \ set \Rightarrow (('s, 'f) \ tran) \ set \Rightarrow bool \ where
       Sta \equiv \lambda f \ g. \ (\forall x \ y \ x'. \ x' \in f \land x = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists \ y'. \ y = Normal \ x' \longrightarrow (x,y) \in g \longrightarrow (x,y) 
y' \wedge y' \in f)
lemma Sta-intro:Sta \ a \ R \Longrightarrow Sta \ b \ R \Longrightarrow Sta \ (a \cap b) \ R
unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
lemma Sta-assoc:Sta (a \cap (b \cap c)) R = Sta ((a \cap b) \cap c) R
unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
lemma Sta\text{-}comm:Sta\ (a\cap b)\ R=Sta\ (b\cap a)\ R
unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
lemma Sta-add:Sta (a \cap b) R \Longrightarrow Sta (a \cap c) R \Longrightarrow
                           Sta (a \cap b \cap c) R
unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
lemma Sta-tran:Sta a R \implies a = b \implies Sta b R
by auto
definition Norm:: (('s,'f) tran) set \Rightarrow bool where
        Norm \equiv \lambda g. \ (\forall x \ y. \ (x, \ y) \in g \longrightarrow (\exists x' \ y'. \ x=Normal \ x' \land y=Normal \ y'))
definition env-tran::
               ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com \ option)
                   \Rightarrow ('s \ set)
                               \Rightarrow (('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) LanguageCon.com \times ('s, 'f) xstate) list
                                          \Rightarrow ('s,'f) tran set \Rightarrow bool
env-tran \Gamma q l rely \equiv snd(l!0) \in Normal 'q \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                                                                  \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                                                                         (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in rely)
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{env-tran-right} ::
               ('p \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com \ option)
                               \Rightarrow (('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('s, 'f) \ xstate) \ list
                                          \Rightarrow ('s,'f) tran set \Rightarrow bool
```

```
where
env-tran-right \Gamma l rely <math>\equiv
  (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
        (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in rely)
lemma env-tran-tail:env-tran-right \Gamma (x#l) R \Longrightarrow env-tran-right \Gamma l R
unfolding env-tran-right-def
by fastforce
lemma env-tran-subr:
assumes a\theta:env-tran-right \Gamma (l1@l2) R
shows env-tran-right \Gamma l1 R
unfolding env-tran-right-def
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
  assume a1:Suc \ i < length \ l1
  assume a2:\Gamma\vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e l1 ! Suc i
  then have Suc \ i < length \ (l1@l2) using a1 by fastforce
  also then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l1@l2) ! i \rightarrow_e (l1@l2) ! Suc i
  proof -
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add: Suc-lessD a1 a2 nth-append)
  \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately have f1:(snd\ ((l1@l2)!\ i),\ snd\ ((l1@l2)\ !\ Suc\ i))\in R
  using a0 unfolding env-tran-right-def by auto
  then have (snd\ (l1!\ i),\ snd\ (l1\ !\ Suc\ i)) \in R
  using a1
proof -
  have \forall ps \ psa \ n. if n < length \ ps \ then \ (ps @ psa) \ ! \ n = (ps ! \ n: (b, 'a, 'c, 'd))
LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) xstate)
                   else\ (ps\ @\ psa)\ !\ n=psa\ !\ (n-length\ ps)
    by (meson nth-append)
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 \langle Suc \ i < length \ l1 \rangle by force
qed
  } then show
  \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l1 \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e l1 ! Suc i \longrightarrow
        (snd\ (l1\ !\ i),\ snd\ (l1\ !\ Suc\ i))\in R
  by blast
qed
definition Satis where Satis \equiv True
definition sep\text{-}conj where sep\text{-}conj \equiv True
lemma env-tran-subl:env-tran-right \Gamma (l1@l2) R \Longrightarrow env-tran-right \Gamma l2 R
```

```
proof (induct l1)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
  case (Cons a l1) thus ?case by (fastforce intro:append-Cons env-tran-tail)
qed
lemma env-tran-R-R':env-tran-right \Gamma l R \Longrightarrow
                      (R \subseteq R') \Longrightarrow
                      env-tran-right \Gamma l R'
unfolding env-tran-right-def Satis-def sep-conj-def
apply clarify
apply (erule \ all E)
apply auto
done
lemma env-tran-normal:
assumes a0:env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely \wedge snd(l!i) = Normal s1 \wedge s1 \in q
        a1:Suc \ i < length \ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ i))
shows \exists s1 \ s2. \ snd(l!i) = Normal \ s1 \ \land \ snd(l!(Suc \ i)) = Normal \ s2 \ \land \ s2 \in q
using a0 a1 unfolding env-tran-right-def Sta-def by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma} no-env-tran-not-normal:
assumes a0:env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely \wedge snd(l!i) = Normal s1 \wedge s1\inq
and
        a1:Suc \ i < length \ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ i)) and
         a2:(\forall s1. \neg (snd(l!i) = Normal s1)) \lor (\forall s2. \neg (snd (l!Suc i) = Normal s1))
s2))
shows P
using a0 a1 a2 unfolding env-tran-right-def Sta-def by fastforce
definition assum ::
  ('s \ set \times ('s,'f) \ tran \ set) \Rightarrow (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ set \ where
  assum \equiv \lambda(pre, rely).
             \{c. \ snd((snd \ c)!\theta) \in Normal \ `pre \land \}
                  (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ (snd \ c) \longrightarrow
                  (fst\ c)\vdash_c ((snd\ c)!i)\ \rightarrow_e ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                    (snd((snd\ c)!i),\ snd((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))) \in rely)
definition assum1 ::
  ('s \ set \times ('s, 'f) \ tran \ set) \Rightarrow
   'f set \Rightarrow
     (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ set \ where
  assum1 \equiv \lambda(pre, rely) F.
             \{(\Gamma, comp). \ snd(comp!\theta) \in Normal \ `pre \land
                  (\forall i. Suc i < length comp \longrightarrow
                  \Gamma \vdash_c (comp!i) \rightarrow_e (comp!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                   (snd(comp!i), snd(comp!(Suc\ i))) \in rely)
```

```
lemma assum-R-R':
  (\Gamma, l) \in assum(p, R) \Longrightarrow
   snd(l!0) \in Normal ' p' \Longrightarrow
   R \subseteq R' \implies
   (\Gamma, l) \in assum(p', R')
proof -
assume a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in assum(p, R) and
      a1:snd(l!0) \in Normal ' p' and
      a2: R \subseteq R'
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma l R
   unfolding assum-def using env-tran-right-def
   by force
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma l R'
   using a2 env-tran-R-R' by blast
  thus ?thesis using a1 unfolding assum-def unfolding env-tran-right-def
   by fastforce
qed
lemma same-prog-p:
  (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in cptn \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) \Longrightarrow
   Sta \ p \ R \implies
  \exists t1. t=Normal t1 \land t1 \in p
proof -
assume a\theta: (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)\in cptn and
        a1: (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) and
        a2: Sta p R
  then have Suc \ \theta < length \ ((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)
   by fastforce
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!0) \to_{ce} (((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!(Suc \ 0))
   using a0 cptn-stepc-rtran by fastforce
  then have step\text{-}ce:\Gamma\vdash_c(((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!0) \rightarrow_e (((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!(Suc\ 0)) \lor
            \Gamma \vdash_c (((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!0) \to (((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)!(Suc\ 0))
   using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  then obtain s1 where s:s=Normal\ s1\ \land\ s1\in p
   using a1 unfolding assum-def
   by fastforce
  have \exists t1. t=Normal t1 \land t1 \in p
  using step-ce
  proof
    {assume step-e:\Gamma \vdash_c ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# l) ! \theta \rightarrow_e
         ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# l) ! Suc 0
    have ?thesis
    using a2 a1 s unfolding Sta-def assum-def
    proof -
```

```
have (Suc \ \theta < length \ ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# l))
       by fastforce
      then have assm:(s, t) \in R
       using s a1 step-e
       unfolding assum-def by fastforce
      then obtain t1 s2 where s-t:s= Normal s2 \land t= Normal t1
       using a2 s unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
      then have R:(s,t)\in R
       using assm unfolding Satis-def by fastforce
      then have s2=s1 using s s-t by fastforce
      then have t1 \in p
       using a2 s s-t R unfolding Sta-def Norm-def by blast
      thus ?thesis using s-t by blast
    qed thus ?thesis by auto
   }
   next
     assume step:\Gamma\vdash_c ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# l) ! 0 \rightarrow
        ((P, s) \# (P, t) \# l) ! Suc 0
     then have P \neq P \lor s = t
     proof -
      have \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (P, t)
        using local.step by force
      then show ?thesis
        using step-change-p-or-eq-s by blast
     qed
     then show ?thesis using s by fastforce
   }
 qed thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma tl-of-assum-in-assum:
  (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in cptn \Longrightarrow
  (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) \Longrightarrow
  Sta \ p \ R \implies
  (\Gamma, (P,t) \# l) \in assum (p,R)
proof -
 assume a\theta: (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(P,t)\#l)\in cptn and
        a1: (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) and
       a2: Sta p R
  then obtain t1 where t1:t=Normal\ t1\ \land\ t1\ \in p
  using same-prog-p by blast
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma ((P,s)\#(P,t)\#l) R
   using env-tran-right-def a1 unfolding assum-def
   by force
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma ((P,t)#l) R
   using env-tran-tail by auto
```

```
qed
lemma tl-of-assum-in-assum1:
  (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#l) \in cptn \Longrightarrow
  (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) \Longrightarrow
   t \in Normal 'q \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (Q,t)\#l) \in assum (q,R)
proof -
  assume a\theta: (\Gamma,(P,s)\#(Q,t)\#l)\in cptn and
        a1: (\Gamma, (P,s)\#(Q,t)\#l) \in assum (p,R) and
        a2: t \in Normal 'q
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma ((P,s)\#(Q,t)\#l) R
   using env-tran-right-def a1 unfolding assum-def
   by force
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma ((Q,t)\#l) R
   using env-tran-tail by auto
  thus ?thesis using a2 unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by auto
qed
lemma sub-assum:
  assumes a\theta: (\Gamma,(x\#l\theta)@l1) \in assum (p,R)
  shows (\Gamma, x \# l\theta) \in assum (p, R)
proof -
  {have p\theta: snd x \in Normal ' p
   using a0 unfolding assum-def by force
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma ((x\#l\theta)@l1) R
   using a\theta unfolding assum-def
   by (auto simp add: env-tran-right-def)
  then have env:env-tran-right \ \Gamma \ (x\#l\theta) \ R
   using env-tran-subr by blast
  also have snd\ ((x\#l\theta)!\theta) \in Normal\ 'p
   using p\theta by fastforce
  ultimately have snd\ ((x\#l\theta)!\theta) \in Normal\ `p \land
                 (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ (x \# l0) \longrightarrow
                     \Gamma \vdash_c ((x \# l\theta)!i) \rightarrow_e ((x \# l\theta)!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                      (snd((x\#l0)!i), snd((x\#l0)!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
   unfolding env-tran-right-def by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding assum-def by auto
qed
lemma sub-assum-r:
  assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l\theta@x1\#l1) \in assum (p,R) and
         a1: (snd \ x1) \in Normal \ 'q
  shows (\Gamma, x1 \# l1) \in assum (q,R)
proof -
  have env-tran-right \Gamma (l0@x1#l1) R
```

thus ?thesis using t1 unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by auto

```
using a0 unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def
    by fastforce
  then have env-tran-right \Gamma (x1#l1) R
    using env-tran-subl by auto
  thus ?thesis using a1 unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by fastforce
\mathbf{qed}
definition comm ::
  (('s,'f) tran) set \times
   ('s \ set \times 's \ set) \Rightarrow
   'f set \Rightarrow
     (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ set \ where
  comm \equiv \lambda(guar, (q,a)) F.
             \{c.\ snd\ (last\ (snd\ c))\notin Fault\ `F\longrightarrow
                 (\forall i.
                   Suc \ i < length \ (snd \ c) \longrightarrow
               (fst\ c)\vdash_c ((snd\ c)!i)\ \to ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                     (snd((snd\ c)!i),\ snd((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))) \in guar) \land
                   (final\ (last\ (snd\ c))\ \longrightarrow
                      ((fst (last (snd c)) = Skip \land
                        snd\ (last\ (snd\ c)) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                      (fst (last (snd c)) = Throw \land
                        snd\ (last\ (snd\ c)) \in Normal\ `\ a))\}
definition comm1 ::
  (('s,'f) tran) set \times
   ('s \ set \times 's \ set) \Rightarrow
   'f set \Rightarrow
     (('s,'p,'f,'e) \ confs) \ set \ where
  comm1 \equiv \lambda(guar, (q,a)) F.
             \{(\Gamma, comp). \ snd \ (last \ comp) \notin Fault \ `F \longrightarrow
                 (\forall i.
                  Suc \ i < length \ comp \longrightarrow
                  \Gamma \vdash_c (comp!i) \rightarrow (comp!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                     (snd(comp!i), snd(comp!(Suc\ i))) \in guar) \land
                   (final\ (last\ comp)\ \longrightarrow
                      ((fst\ (last\ comp) = Skip\ \land
                        snd\ (last\ comp) \in Normal\ ``q)) \lor
                      (fst\ (last\ comp) = Throw\ \land
                        snd\ (last\ comp) \in Normal\ `a))
lemma comm-dest:
(\Gamma, l) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F \Longrightarrow
 snd\ (last\ l) \notin Fault\ `F \Longrightarrow
 (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
    (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
unfolding comm-def
apply clarify
```

```
apply (drule mp)
{\bf apply} \ \textit{fastforce}
apply (erule conjE)
apply (erule allE)
by auto
lemma comm-dest1:
(\Gamma, l) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F \Longrightarrow
 snd\ (last\ l) \notin Fault\ `F \Longrightarrow
 Suc \ i < length \ l \Longrightarrow
\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \Longrightarrow
(snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G
unfolding comm-def
apply clarify
apply (drule mp)
apply fastforce
apply (erule conjE)
apply (erule allE)
by auto
lemma comm-dest2:
 assumes a\theta \colon (\Gamma,\ l) \in \ comm \ (G,(q,a)) \ F and
          a1: final (last l) and
          a2: snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
 shows ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
            snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
            (fst (last l) = Throw \land
            snd (last l) \in Normal 'a)
 show ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
lemma comm-des3:
 assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F and
          a1: snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
 shows final (last l) \longrightarrow ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
            snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `q))\ \lor
            (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
            snd (last l) \in Normal 'a)
using a0 a1 unfolding comm-def by auto
lemma commI:
 assumes a0:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F \Longrightarrow
             (\forall i.
                 Suc\ i < length\ l \longrightarrow
                 \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                   (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G) \land
                 (final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                    ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
```

```
snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                     (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                       snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `a))
shows (\Gamma, l) \in comm \ (G, (q, a)) \ F
using a\theta unfolding comm-def
apply clarify
\mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma comm-conseq:
  (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G', (q', a')) F \Longrightarrow
       G^{\,\prime}\subseteq\,G\,\wedge\,
       q' \subseteq q \land
       a' \subseteq a \Longrightarrow
      (\Gamma, l) \in comm (G, (q, a)) F
proof -
  assume a\theta:(\Gamma,l)\in comm(G',(q',a')) F and
         a1: G' \subseteq G \land
        \begin{array}{c} q^{\,\prime} \subseteq \, q \, \wedge \\ a^{\,\prime} \subseteq \, a \end{array}
  {
    assume a:snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
    have l:(\forall i.
           Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
    proof -
      \{fix i ns ns' \}
      assume a00:Suc i < length l and
              a11:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))
      have (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G
      proof -
        have (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G'
        using comm-dest1 [OF a0 a a00 a11] by auto
        thus ?thesis using a1 unfolding Satis-def sep-conj-def by fastforce
      } thus ?thesis by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
    have (final (last l) \longrightarrow
                     ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land)
                       snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                     (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                       snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `a))
    proof -
      {assume a33:final (last l)
      then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                       snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q')) \lor
                     (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                       snd (last l) \in Normal 'a'
      using comm-dest2[OF a0 a33 a] by auto
```

```
then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                       snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                     (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                       snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `a)
      using a1 by fastforce
     } thus ?thesis by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
    note res1 = conjI[OF \ l \ this]
  } thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by simp
qed
lemma no-comp-tran-no-final-comm:
  assumes a0: \forall i < length \ l. \ \neg \ final \ (l!i) and
          a1: \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = C \ and \ a2: length \ l > 0
        shows (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
proof-
  have n\text{-}comp: \forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow \neg \ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!Suc \ i)) using a1
    by (metis Suc-lessD mod-env-not-component prod.collapse)
  {assume a00:snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
      assume Suc \ i < length(l) and
             \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))
      then have False using n-comp by auto
    }
    moreover {
      assume final (last l)
      then have False using a\theta a2
        using last-conv-nth by force
      ultimately have ?thesis unfolding comm-def using a00 by auto
  } thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
definition com\text{-}validity::
  ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow 'f set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow
    's \ set \Rightarrow (('s,'f) \ tran) \ set \Rightarrow (('s,'f) \ tran) \ set \Rightarrow
    's \ set \Rightarrow \ 's \ set \Rightarrow \ bool
    (-\models_{1/2}/-sat\ [-,-,-,-]\ [61,60,0,0,0,0,0,0]\ 45) where
  \Gamma \models_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \equiv
  \forall s. \ cp \ \Gamma \ Pr \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a)) \ F
definition com-cvalidity::
 ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) body \Rightarrow
    ('s,'p,'f,'e) sextuple set \Rightarrow
    'f set \Rightarrow
    ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow
    's \ set \Rightarrow
    (('s,'f) tran) set \Rightarrow
    (('s,'f) tran) set \Rightarrow
```

```
's \ set \Rightarrow
     's \ set \Rightarrow
       bool
     (-,-\models_{'/-}/-sat\ [-,-,-,-,-]\ [61,60,0,0,0,0,0,0]\ 45) where
  \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a] \equiv
   (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \models_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{com-validityn} ::
  ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'f set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow
     's \ set \Rightarrow (('s,'f) \ tran) \ set \Rightarrow (('s,'f) \ tran) \ set \Rightarrow
     's\ set\ \Rightarrow\ 's\ set\ \Rightarrow\ bool
     (- \models -'/_{-}/ - sat [-,-,-,-] [61,0,60,0,0,0,0,0,0] 45) where
  \Gamma \models n_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \equiv
   \forall s. \ cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Pr \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
definition com\text{-}cvalidityn::
 ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow
     ('s,'p,'f,'e) sextuple set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow
     'f set \Rightarrow
     ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow
     's \ set \Rightarrow
     (('s,'f) tran) set \Rightarrow
     (('s,'f) tran) set \Rightarrow
     's \ set \Rightarrow
     's \ set \Rightarrow
       bool
     (-,- \models - \frac{1}{2} / - sat [-,-,-,-] [61,60,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] 45) where
  \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \equiv
   (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \models n_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
lemma com-valid-iff-nvalid: (\Gamma \models_{/F} Pr \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]) = (\forall n. \ \Gamma \models_{/F} Pr \ sat
[p, R, G, q, a]
 apply (simp only: com-validity-def com-validityn-def cp-def cprn-def cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest)
  by fast
\mathbf{lemma}\ com\text{-}cnvalid\text{-}to\text{-}cvalid\text{:}\left(\forall\ n.\ (\Gamma,\Theta\models n_{/F}\ Pr\ sat\ [p,\,R,\,G,\,q,a]\right)\right)\Longrightarrow (\Gamma,\Theta\models_{/F}\ Pr\ sat\ [p,\,R,\,G,\,q,a])
Pr\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a])
   apply (unfold com-cvalidityn-def com-cvalidity-def com-valid-iff-nvalid [THEN
eq-reflection])
  by fast
```

lemma *etran-in-comm*:

 $(\Gamma, (P, t) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F \Longrightarrow$

```
\neg (\Gamma \vdash_c ((P,s)) \rightarrow ((P,t))) \Longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, (P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
proof -
  assume a1:(\Gamma,(P, t) \# xs) \in comm(G,(q,a)) F and
         a2:\neg \Gamma \vdash_c ((P,s)) \rightarrow ((P,t)) and
         a3:(\Gamma,(P, s) \# (P, t) \# xs) \in cptn
  show ?thesis using comm-def a1 a2 a3
  proof -
     {
     let ?l1 = (P, t) \# xs
     let ?l = (P, s) \# ?l1
     assume a00:snd (last ?l) \notin Fault 'F
     have concl:(\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ ?l \longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                 (snd(?l!i), snd(?l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
     proof -
       \{ \text{fix } i \text{ } ns \text{ } ns' \}
        assume a11:Suc\ i < length\ ?l and
               a12:\Gamma\vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!Suci)
        have p1:(\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ ?l1 \longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash_c (?l1!i) \rightarrow (?l1!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
               (snd(?l1!i), snd(?l1!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
        using a1 a3 a00 unfolding comm-def by auto
        have (snd \ (?l ! i), snd \ (?l ! Suc \ i)) \in G
        proof (cases i)
          case \theta
          have \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \to (P, t) using a12 0 by auto
          thus ?thesis using a2 by auto
        next
          case (Suc \ n) thus ?thesis
          proof -
            have f1: \Gamma \vdash_c ((P, t) \# xs) ! n \rightarrow ((P, t) \# xs) ! Suc n
              using Suc a12 by fastforce
            have f2: Suc n < length ((P, t) \# xs)
              using Suc a11 by fastforce
            have snd (last ((P, t) \# xs)) \notin Fault ' F
                by (metis (no-types) a00 last.simps list.distinct(1))
            hence (snd\ (((P,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ n),\ snd\ (((P,\ t)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ Suc\ n))\in G
              using f2 f1 a1 comm-dest1 by blast
            thus ?thesis
              by (simp \ add: Suc)
          qed
        qed
       } thus ?thesis by auto
     qed
     have concr:(final\ (last\ ?l)\ \longrightarrow
                    ((fst (last ?l) = Skip \land
                      snd\ (last\ ?l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
```

```
(fst (last ?l) = Throw \land
                    snd\ (last\ ?l) \in Normal\ `a))
    using a1 a00 unfolding comm-def by auto
    note res1=conjI[OF concl concr] }
    thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by auto qed
qed
lemma ctran-in-comm:
   (Normal\ s, Normal\ s) \in G \implies
  (\Gamma, (Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \Longrightarrow
  (\Gamma, (P, Normal \ s) \# (Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
proof -
 assume a1:(Normal\ s,Normal\ s)\in G and
        a2:(\Gamma,(Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
 show ?thesis using comm-def a1 a2
 proof -
    let ?l1 = (Q, Normal s) \# xs
    let ?l = (P, Normal s) # ?l1
     assume a00:snd (last ?l) \notin Fault 'F
    have concl:(\forall i. Suc i < length ?l \longrightarrow
             \Gamma \vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
               (snd(?l!i), snd(?l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
    proof -
      \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \ ns \ ns' \}
       assume a11:Suc\ i < length\ ?l and
             a12:\Gamma\vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!Suci)
       have p1:(\forall i. Suc i < length ?l1 \longrightarrow
             \Gamma \vdash_c (?l1!i) \rightarrow (?l1!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
             (snd(?l1!i), snd(?l1!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
       using a2 a00 unfolding comm-def by auto
       have (snd \ (?l ! i), snd \ (?l ! Suc i)) \in G
       proof (cases i)
         case \theta
         then have snd (((P, Normal s) # (Q, Normal s) # xs)! i) = Normal s
\wedge
                  snd\ (((P, Normal\ s)\ \#\ (Q, Normal\ s)\ \#\ xs)\ !\ (Suc\ i)) = Normal
           by fastforce
         also have (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s) \in G
            using Satis-def a1 by blast
         ultimately show ?thesis using a1 Satis-def by auto
         case (Suc n) thus ?thesis using p1 a2 a11 a12
         proof -
          have f1: \Gamma \vdash_c ((Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) ! n \rightarrow ((Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) ! Suc \ n
            using Suc a12 by fastforce
           have f2: Suc n < length ((Q, Normal s) \# xs)
            using Suc a11 by fastforce
```

```
thus ?thesis using Suc f1 nth-Cons-Suc p1 by auto
         qed
       qed
      } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
    have concr:(final\ (last\ ?l)\ \longrightarrow
                 snd (last ?l) \notin Fault `F \longrightarrow
                  ((fst (last ?l) = Skip \land
                     snd\ (last\ ?l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                  (fst (last ?l) = Throw \land
                    snd\ (last\ ?l) \in Normal\ `\ a))
    using a2 unfolding comm-def by auto
    note res=conjI[OF concl concr]}
    thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by auto qed
qed
lemma not-final-in-comm:
(\Gamma, (Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \Longrightarrow
  \neg final (last ((Q, Normal s) \# xs)) \Longrightarrow
 (\Gamma, (Q, Normal \ s) \# xs) \in comm(G, (q',a')) F
unfolding comm-def by force
lemma comm-union:
assumes
   a\theta: (\Gamma, xs) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F and
   a1: (\Gamma, ys) \in comm(G, (q', a')) F and
  a2: xs \neq [] \land ys \neq [] and
  a3: (snd (last xs), snd (ys!0)) \in G and
  a4: (\Gamma, xs@ys) \in cptn
shows (\Gamma, xs@ys) \in comm(G, (q',a')) F
proof -
 let ?l=xs@ys
 assume a00:snd (last (xs@ys)) \notin Fault ' F
 have last-ys:last (xs@ys) = last ys using a2 by fastforce
 have concl:(\forall i. Suc i < length ?l \longrightarrow
            \Gamma \vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd(?l!i), snd(?l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
  proof -
    {fix i ns ns'
     assume a11:Suc i < length ?l and
            a12:\Gamma\vdash_c (?l!i) \rightarrow (?l!Suci)
     have all-ys:\forall i \ge length \ xs. \ (xs@ys)!i = ys!(i - (length \ xs))
         by (simp add: nth-append)
     have all-xs: \forall i < length \ xs. \ (xs@ys)!i = xs!i
           by (simp add: nth-append)
     have (snd(?l!i), snd(?l!(Suc\ i))) \in G
     proof (cases Suc i > length xs)
       \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
```

```
have Suc\ (i - (length\ xs)) < length\ ys\ using\ a11\ True\ by\ fastforce
       moreover have \Gamma \vdash_c (ys ! (i-(length \ xs))) \rightarrow (ys ! ((Suc \ i)-(length \ xs)))
         using a12 all-ys True by fastforce
       moreover have snd (last ys) \notin Fault 'F using last-ys a00 by fastforce
       ultimately have (snd(ys!(i-(length\ xs))),\ snd(ys!Suc\ (i-(length\ xs)))) \in
G
       using a1 comm-dest1 [of \Gamma ys G q' a' F i-length xs] True Suc-diff-le by
fastforce
       thus ?thesis using True all-ys Suc-diff-le by fastforce
     next
       case False note F1=this thus ?thesis
       proof (cases Suc i < length xs)
         case True
         then have snd ((xs@ys)!(length xs -1)) \notin Fault `F
          using a00 a2 a4
           by (simp\ add:\ last-not-F)
           then have snd (last xs) \notin Fault 'F using all-xs a2 by (simp add:
last-conv-nth)
         moreover have \Gamma \vdash_c (xs ! i) \rightarrow (xs ! Suc i)
          using True all-xs a12 by fastforce
         ultimately have(snd(xs!i), snd(xs!(Suc\ i))) \in G
          using a 0 comm-dest1 [of \Gamma xs G q a F i] True by fastforce
         thus ?thesis using True all-xs by fastforce
       next
         case False
         then have suc-i:Suc i = length xs using F1 by fastforce
         then have i:i=length \ xs -1 \ using \ a2 \ by \ fastforce
         then show ?thesis using a3
          by (simp add: a2 all-xs all-ys last-conv-nth)
       qed
     qed
    } thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  have concr:(final\ (last\ ?l)\ \longrightarrow
                ((fst (last ?l) = Skip \land
                  snd (last ?l) \in Normal `q')) \lor
                (fst (last ?l) = Throw \land
                  snd\ (last\ ?l) \in Normal\ `a'))
  using a1 last-ys a00 a2 comm-des3 by fastforce
  note res=conjI[OF concl concr]}
  thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
lemma cpn-rule1:(\forall s. cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F) \Longrightarrow
     (\forall s \ l. \ (\Gamma, l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land (\Gamma, l) \in assum \ (p, R) \longrightarrow (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a))
proof-
```

```
assume a0: \forall s. \ cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a)) \ F
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ s \ l \}
    assume a00:(\Gamma,l)\in cpn\ n\ \Gamma\ P\ s\ \wedge\ (\Gamma,l)\in assum\ (p,\ R)
     then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \ using \ a\theta \ by
    then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F using a00 unfolding cpn-def assum-def
comm-def
      by blast
    } then show ?thesis by auto
  \mathbf{qed}
lemma cpn-rule2:(\forall s \ l. \ (\Gamma, l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land (\Gamma, l) \in assum \ (p, R) \longrightarrow (\Gamma, l) \in l
comm(G, (q,a)) F) \Longrightarrow
                 (\forall s. \ cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F)
proof-
 assume a0: \forall s \ l. \ (\Gamma, l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land (\Gamma, l) \in assum \ (p, R) \longrightarrow (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, R)
(q,a)) F
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ s \ l \}
     assume a00:(\Gamma,l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land (\Gamma,l) \in assum(p,R)
    then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F using a0 unfolding cpn-def assum-def
comm-def
      by blast
   } then show ?thesis unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
 qed
lemma cpn-rule:(\forall s \ l. \ (\Gamma,l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ \land \ (\Gamma,l) \in assum \ (p,\ R) \longrightarrow (\Gamma,l) \in
comm(G, (q,a)) F) =
                 (\forall s. \ cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F)
  using cpn-rule1 cpn-rule2
  by metis
lemma split-list-i:i<length <math>l \Longrightarrow
                     \exists l1 \ l2. \ l = l1@(l!i\#l2)
proof(induct\ l\ arbitrary:\ i)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons\ a\ l)
  then show ?case
    using id-take-nth-drop by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma sub-assum1:
  assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l\theta@l1) \in assum (p,R) and a1:l\theta \neq []
  shows (\Gamma, l\theta) \in assum (p, R)
 by (metis a0 a1 append-self-conv2 id-take-nth-drop length-greater-0-conv sub-assum
take-0
```

11.3 Validity for Parallel Programs.

```
definition All-End :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-config \Rightarrow bool where
  All-End xs \equiv fst \ xs \neq [] \land (\forall i < length \ (fst \ xs). \ final \ ((fst \ xs)!i, snd \ xs))
definition par-assum ::
  ('s \ set \times
   (('s,'f) tran) set) \Rightarrow
   (('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs) set where
  par-assum \equiv
     \lambda(pre, rely). { c.
        snd((snd\ c)!0) \in Normal\ 'pre \land (\forall i.\ Suc\ i < length\ (snd\ c) \longrightarrow
        (fst\ c)\vdash_{p}((snd\ c)!i)\ \rightarrow_{e}((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))\longrightarrow
          (snd((snd\ c)!i),\ snd((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))) \in rely)
definition par-comm ::
  ((('s,'f) tran) set \times
     ('s \ set \times 's \ set)) \Rightarrow
     'f set \Rightarrow
   (('s,'p,'f,'e) par-confs) set where
  par-comm \equiv
     \lambda(guar, (q,a)) F.
     \{c.\ snd\ (last\ (snd\ c))\notin Fault\ `F\longrightarrow
          (\forall i.
              Suc \ i < length \ (snd \ c) \longrightarrow
              (fst\ c)\vdash_p((snd\ c)!i)\ \to ((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))\ \longrightarrow
                (snd((snd\ c)!i),\ snd((snd\ c)!(Suc\ i))) \in guar) \land
                   (All\text{-}End\ (last\ (snd\ c))\longrightarrow
                      (\exists j < length (fst (last (snd c))). fst (last (snd c))!j = Throw \land
                            snd\ (last\ (snd\ c))\in Normal\ ``a)\ \lor
                      (\forall j < length (fst (last (snd c))). fst (last (snd c))!j = Skip \land
                            snd (last (snd c)) \in Normal `q))
\textbf{definition} \ \textit{par-com-validity} ::
  ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow
   'f set \Rightarrow
   ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-com \Rightarrow
   ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
   ((('s,'f) tran) set) \Rightarrow
   ((('s,'f) tran) set) \Rightarrow
   ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
   ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
(- \models_{1/2} / - SAT [-, -, -, -, -] [61,60,0,0,0,0,0,0] 45) where
  \Gamma \models_{/F} \mathit{Ps} \; \mathit{SAT} \; [\mathit{pre}, \, R, \; \mathit{G}, \; q, a] \equiv
   \forall s. \ par-cp \ \Gamma \ Ps \ s \cap par-assum(pre, R) \subseteq par-comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
definition par-com-cvalidity ::
  ('s,'p,'f,'e) body \Rightarrow
```

```
('s,'p,'f,'e) sextuple set \Rightarrow
    'f set \Rightarrow
   ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-com \Rightarrow
    ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
    ((('s,'f) tran) set) \Rightarrow
    ((('s,'f) tran) set) \Rightarrow
    ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
    ('s \ set) \Rightarrow
       bool
(-,- \models_{//-}/ - SAT [-,-,-,-] [61,60,0,0,0,0,0,0] 45) where
  \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Ps \ SAT \ [p, R, G, q,a] \equiv
  (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. (\Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a])) \longrightarrow
   \Gamma \models_{/F} Ps \ SAT \ [p, R, G, q,a]
declare Un-subset-iff [simp del] sup.bounded-iff [simp del]
inductive
lrghoare :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) \ body,
                 ('s,'p,'f,'e) sextuple set,
                   'f set,
                   ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com,
                   ('s \ set),
                   (('s,'f) tran) set, (('s,'f) tran) set,
                   's set,
                    's \ set] \Rightarrow bool
     (-,-\vdash_{'/-}-sat\ [-,-,-,-]\ [61,61,60,60,0,0,0,0]\ 45)
where
 Skip: \llbracket Sta \ q \ R; \ (\forall \ s. \ (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ s) \in G) \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
            \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} Skip \ sat \ [q,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
|Spec: [Sta \ p \ R; Sta \ q \ R;]
          (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G);
            p \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in q) \ \land \ (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\} \ ] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Spec\ r\ e)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
\mid Basic: \mid Sta p R; Sta q R;
               (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \land (t = f \ s) \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G);
                p \subseteq \{s. f s \in q\} \ ] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Basic\ f\ e)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
\mid \mathit{If} \colon \llbracket \mathit{Sta} \ p \ \mathit{R} ; \ (\forall \, \mathit{s.} \ (\mathit{Normal} \ \mathit{s}, \ \mathit{Normal} \ \mathit{s}) \in \mathit{G});
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, q,a];
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [p \cap (-b), R, G, q,a]] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F}^{\cdot} (\mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2})\ \mathit{sat}\ [\mathit{p},\ \mathit{R},\ \mathit{G},\ \mathit{q},\mathit{a}]
| While: [Sta\ p\ R; Sta\ (p\cap (-b))\ R; Sta\ a\ R; (\forall s.\ (Normal\ s,Normal\ s)\in G);
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p,a]] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (While\ b\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ p\cap (-b),a]
```

```
| Seq: [Sta\ a\ R;\ Sta\ p\ R;\ (\forall\ s.\ (Normal\ s,Normal\ s)\in\ G);
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a]; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [q, R, G, r,a]] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Seq\ c1\ c2)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ r,a]
| Await: [ Sta p R; Sta q R; Sta a R; ]
               \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
                      (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) c
                       (\{s. \ (Normal \ V, \ Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),   (\{s. \ (Normal \ V, \ Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a)] \Longrightarrow 
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Await\ b\ c\ e)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
| Guard: [Sta (p \cap g) R; (\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G);
             \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a]] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Guard f g c) sat [p \cap g, R, G, q,a]
| Guarantee: [Sta\ p\ R;\ (\forall\ s.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s)\in\ G);\ f\in F;
                     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a] \ ] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Guard f g c) sat [p, R, G, q,a]
| CallRec: [(c,p,R,G,q,a) \in Specs;
                \forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in Specs. \ c \in dom \ \Gamma \ \land
                 Sta p \ R \land (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \land
                \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} (the (\Gamma c)) sat [p, R, G, q,a];
               Sta p R; (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G)] \Longrightarrow
               \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
|Asm: [(c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta] \implies
         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
| Call: [
           Sta p R; (\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G); c \in dom \Gamma;
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (the (\Gamma c)) sat [p, R, G, q,a]] \implies
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
| DynCom: [(Sta \ p \ R) \land (Sta \ q \ R) \land (Sta \ a \ R) \land
               (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G);
               (\forall s \in p. (\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (c \ s) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]))] \Longrightarrow
               \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(DynCom\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
| Throw: [Sta\ a\ R;\ (\forall\ s.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s)\in G)\ ]] \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} Throw sat [a, R, G, q,a]
| Catch: [Sta\ q\ R;\ (\forall\ s.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s)\in\ G);
             \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,r];
              \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [r,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]] \Longrightarrow
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (Catch\ c1\ c2)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
```

```
| Conseq: \forall s \in p.
                     (\exists\,p^{\,\prime}\,R^{\,\prime}\,G^{\,\prime}\,q^{\,\prime}\,a^{\,\prime}\,\Theta^{\,\prime}.
                     (s \in p') \land
                      R \subseteq R' \wedge
                    G' \subseteq G \land 
q' \subseteq q \land 
a' \subseteq a \land \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \land 
                   (\Gamma,\Theta'\vdash_{/F}P sat [p',R',G',q',a']))
                   \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \stackrel{'}{\Theta} \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, \ R, \ G, \ q, a]
| Conj\text{-post}: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
                         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}^{'}P\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q',a']
                   \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q \cap q', a \cap a']
| Conj\text{-}Inter: sa \neq (\{\}::nat\ set) \Longrightarrow
                       \forall i \in Sa. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q \ i,a] \Longrightarrow
                        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\bigcap i\in sa.\ q\ i,a]
inductive-cases hoare-elim-cases [cases set]:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} Skip \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
thm hoare-elim-cases
```

definition $Pre :: ('s,'p,'f,'e)rgformula \Rightarrow ('s set)$ where $Pre \ x \equiv fst(snd \ x)$

definition Rely :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) rgformula \Rightarrow (('s,'f) tran) set where Rely $x \equiv fst(snd(snd\ x))$

definition Com :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) rgformula \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com where Com $x \equiv fst$ x

inductive

```
par-rghoare :: [('s,'p,'f,'e) body,
               ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) sextuple set,
               'f set,
               (('s,'p,'f,'e) rgformula) list,
               (('s,'f) tran) set, (('s,'f) tran) set,
                's set,
     \begin{array}{c} \textit{'s set}] \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \\ (\textit{-,-} \vdash_{\textit{'/-}} \textit{-} \textit{SAT} \; [\textit{-, -, -, -,-}] \; [\textit{61,60,60,0,0,0}] \; \textit{45}) \end{array} 
where
  Parallel:
  \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar(xs!j))) \subseteq (Rely(xs!i));
    (\bigcup j < length \ xs. \ (Guar(xs!j))) \subseteq G;
     p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre(xs!i)));
    (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Post(xs!i))) \subseteq q;
    (\bigcup i < length \ xs. \ (Abr(xs!i))) \subseteq a;
   \forall \ i < length \ xs. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} Com(xs!i) \ sat \ [Pre(xs!i), Rely(xs!i), Guar(xs!i), Post(xs!i), Abr(xs!i)]
   \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} xs \ SAT \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
12
          Soundness
lemma skip-suc-i:
  assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land fst (l!i) = Skip
  assumes a2:i+1 < length l
  shows fst (l!(i+1)) = Skip
proof -
  from a2 a1 obtain l1 ls where l=l1 \# ls
    by (metis\ list.exhaust\ list.size(3)\ not-less0)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ i)) using cptn-stepc-rtran a1 a2
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using a1 a2 step-ce-elim-cases
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{no-types})\ \mathit{Suc-eq-plus1}\ \mathit{not-eq-not-env}\ \mathit{prod.collapse}\ \mathit{stepc-elim-cases}(1))
qed
lemma throw-suc-i:
  assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land (fst(l!i) = Throw \land snd(l!i) = Normal s1)
  assumes a2:Suc \ i < length \ l
  assumes a3:env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely \wedge s1 \in q
  shows fst (l!(Suc\ i)) = Throw \land (\exists s2. snd(l!(Suc\ i)) = Normal\ s2 \land s2 \in q)
proof
  have fin:final (l!i) using a1 unfolding final-def by auto
  from a2 a1 obtain l1 ls where l=l1 \# ls
    by (metis\ list.exhaust\ list.size(3)\ not-less0)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ i)) using cptn-stepc-rtran a1 a2
    by fastforce then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \to (l!(Suc\ i)) \lor \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \to_e (l!(Suc\ i))
    using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
```

thus ?thesis proof

```
assume \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) thus ?thesis using fin no-step-final' by blast
 next
   assume \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) thus ?thesis
       using a1 a3 a2 env-tran-normal by (metis (no-types, lifting) env-c-c'
prod.collapse)
 qed
qed
lemma i-skip-all-skip:assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land fst (l!i) = Skip
     assumes a2: i \le j \land j < (length l)
     assumes a\beta: n=j-i
     shows fst(l!j) = Skip
using a1 a2 a3
proof (induct \ n \ arbitrary: i \ j)
 then have Suc\ i = Suc\ j by simp
 thus ?case using \theta.prems skip-suc-i by fastforce
 case (Suc\ n)
 then have length l > Suc i by auto
 then have i < j using Suc by fastforce
 moreover then have j-1 < length l using Suc by fastforce
 moreover then have j - i = Suc \ n  using Suc  by fastforce
 ultimately have fst (l ! (j)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip using Suc skip-suc-i
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus 1 Suc-le I \langle Suc\ i < length \rangle
l > diff-Suc-1
 also have j=j using Cons using Suc.prems(2) by linarith
 ultimately show ?case using Suc by (metis (no-types))
qed
lemma i-throw-all-throw: assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land (fst (l!i) = Throw \land snd
(l!i) = Normal \ s1)
     assumes a2: i \le j \land j < (length l)
     assumes a\beta: n=j-i
     assumes a4:env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely \wedge s1\inq
     shows fst(l!j) = Throw \land (\exists s2. snd(l!j) = Normal s2 \land s2 \in q)
using a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct n arbitrary: i j s1)
 case \theta
 then have Suc \ i = Suc \ j by simp
 thus ?case using 0.prems \ skip-suc-i by fastforce
next
 case (Suc\ n)
 then have l-suc:length l > Suc i by linarith
 then have i < j using Suc.prems(3) by linarith
 moreover then have j-1 < length l by (simp add: Suc.prems(2) less-imp-diff-less)
 moreover then have j - Suc \ i = n by (metis Suc-diff-Suc Suc-inject (i < j))
```

```
Suc(4)
 ultimately obtain s2 where fst (l!(j-1)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw <math>\land snd
(l!(j-1)) = Normal \ s2 \land s2 \in q
   using Suc(1)[of \ i \ s1 \ j-1] \ Suc(2) \ Suc(5)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred diff-zero less-imp-Suc-add
not-le not-less-eq-eq zero-less-Suc)
  also have Suc\ (j-1) < length\ l\ using\ Suc\ by\ arith
  ultimately have fst (l!(j)) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land (\exists s2. snd(l!j)) =
Normal s2 \land s2 \in q)
   using Suc(2-5) throw-suc-i[of \Gamma l j-1 s2 rely q] a4
   by fastforce
 also have j=j using Cons using Suc.prems(2) by linarith
 ultimately show ?case using Suc by (metis (no-types))
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-j:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: fst(l!i) = Skip \lor fst(l!i) = Throw and
        a1': snd(l!i) = Normal \ x \land x \in q \ \mathbf{and}
        a2: i \le j \land Suc j < length l and
        a3: (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
        a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
  shows P
proof -
  have fst(l!j) = Skip \lor (fst(l!i) = Throw \land snd(l!i) = Normal x)
  using a0 a1 a1' a2 a3 a4 i-skip-all-skip by fastforce
  also have (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) using a3 by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
by (meson SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final' Suc-lessD a0 a2 a4
i-throw-all-throw a1')
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-all-j:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: fst(l!i) = Skip \lor (fst(l!i) = Throw \land snd(l!i) = Normal s1) and
        a1': snd (l!i) = Normal \ x \land x \in q \ and
        a2: Suc \ i < length \ l \ and
        a3: \forall j. \ i \leq j \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j))) and
        a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
  shows P
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a1' only-one-component-tran-j
by (metis lessI less-Suc-eq-le)
lemma zero-skip-all-skip:
     assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land fst (l!0) = Skip \land i < length l
     shows fst(l!i) = Skip
using a1 i-skip-all-skip by blast
```

```
lemma all-skip:
  assumes
      a\theta:(\Gamma,x)\in cptn and
      a1:x!\theta = (Skip,s)
shows (\forall i < length \ x. \ fst(x!i) = Skip)
using a0 a1 zero-skip-all-skip by fastforce
lemma zero-throw-all-throw:
     assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land fst (l!0) = Throw \land
                  snd(l!0) = Normal\ s1 \land i < length\ l \land s1 \in q
      assumes a2: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
      shows fst(l!i) = Throw \land (\exists s2. snd(l!i) = Normal s2)
using a1 a2 i-throw-all-throw by (metis le0)
lemma only-one-component-tran-\theta:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: (fst (l!0) = Skip) \lor (fst (l!0) = Throw) and
         a1': snd(l!\theta) = Normal \ x \land x \in q \ \mathbf{and}
         a2: Suc j < length l and
         a3: (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
         a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
  shows P
  proof-
  have a2':0 \le j \land Suc \ j < length \ l \ using \ a2 \ by \ arith
  show ?thesis
   using only-one-component-tran-j[OF a0 a1 a1' a2' a3 a4] by auto
qed
lemma not-step-comp-step-env:
 assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: (Suc\ j < length\ l) and
         a2: (\forall k < j. \neg ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc k)))))
  shows (\forall k < j. ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc k)))))
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ k \}
  assume asm: k < j
  also then have Suc\ k < length\ l\ using\ a1\ a2\ by\ auto
  ultimately have (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ k))) using a0 cptn-stepc-rtran
  proof -
    obtain nn :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat where
      f1: \forall x0 \ x1. \ (\exists \ v2>x1. \ x0 = Suc \ v2) = (x1 < nn \ x0 \ x1 \land x0 = Suc \ (nn \ x0)
x1))
      by moura
    obtain pp :: nat \Rightarrow (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \Rightarrow
                (b, 'a, 'c, 'd) LanguageCon.com \times (b, 'c) xstate and
           pps :: nat \Rightarrow (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \Rightarrow
                  (('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com \times ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \ list \ where
     \forall x0 \ x1. \ (\exists \ v2 \ v3. \ x1 = v2 \ \# \ v3 \land length \ v3 = x0) = (x1 = pp \ x0 \ x1 \ \# \ pps
x0 \ x1 \land length \ (pps \ x0 \ x1) = x0)
```

```
by moura
    then have f2: l = pp \ (nn \ (length \ l) \ k) \ l \ \# \ pps \ (nn \ (length \ l) \ k) \ l \land \ length
(pps (nn (length l) k) l) = nn (length l) k
     using f1 by (meson Suc-lessE \langle Suc | k < length | l \rangle length-Suc-conv)
   then have f3: Suc k < length (pp (nn (length l) k) l \# pps (nn (length l) k)
l)
     by (metis \langle Suc \ k < length \ l \rangle)
   have (\Gamma, pp (nn (length l) k) l \# pps (nn (length l) k) l) \in cptn
     using f2 a0 by presburger
   then have \Gamma \vdash_c (pp \ (nn \ (length \ l) \ k) \ l \ \# \ pps \ (nn \ (length \ l) \ k) \ l) \ ! \ k \rightarrow_{ce} (pp
(nn (length l) k) l \# pps (nn (length l) k) l) ! Suc k
     using f3 by (meson cptn-stepc-rtran)
   then show ?thesis
     using f2 by auto
  qed
  also have \neg((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))) using a2 asm by auto
  ultimately have ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k)))) using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma cptn-i-env-same-prog:
assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: \forall k < j. \ k \geq i \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
        a2\colon i{\le}j \, \wedge \, j \, < \, length \, \, l
shows fst(l!j) = fst(l!i)
using a\theta a1 a2
proof (induct \ j-i \ arbitrary: \ l \ j \ i)
 case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc\ n)
   then have lenl:length\ l>Suc\ 0 by fastforce
   have j > \theta using Suc by linarith
   then obtain j1 where prev:j=Suc\ j1
     using not0-implies-Suc by blast
   then obtain a0 a1 l1 where l:l=a0\#l1@[a1]
   using Suc lend by (metis add.commute add.left-neutral length-Cons list.exhaust
list.size(3) not-add-less1 rev-exhaust)
   then have al1-cptn:(\Gamma, a0 \# l1) \in cptn
     using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(3) tl-in-cptn cptn-dest-2
   have i-j:i \le j1 using Suc\ prev\ by\ auto
   have \forall k < j1. \ k \geq i \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c ((a0 \# l1)!k) \rightarrow_e ((a0 \# l1)!(Suc \ k)))
   proof -
       \{ \mathbf{fix} \ k \}
       assume a\theta:k < j1 \land k \ge i
       then have (\Gamma \vdash_c ((a0\#l1)!k) \rightarrow_e ((a0\#l1)!(Suc\ k)))
       using l Suc(4) prev lenl Suc(5)
       proof -
         have suc-k-j: Suc k < j using a0 prev by blast
```

```
have j1-l1:j1 < Suc (length l1)
          using Suc.prems(3) l prev by auto
        have k < Suc j1
          using \langle k < j1 \wedge i \leq k \rangle less-Suc-eq by blast
        hence f3: k < j
          using prev by blast
        hence ksuc:k < Suc (Suc j1)
          using less-Suc-eq prev by blast
        hence f_4: k < Suc (length l1)
          using prev Suc.prems(3) l a0 j1-l-l1 less-trans
          by blast
        have f6: \Gamma \vdash_c l ! k \rightarrow_e (l ! Suc k)
          using f3 Suc(4) a\theta by blast
        have k-l1:k < length l1
          using f3 Suc.prems(3) i-j l suc-k-j by auto
        thus ?thesis
        proof (cases k)
          case 0 thus ?thesis using f6 l k-l1
             by (simp add: nth-append)
          case (Suc k1) thus ?thesis
           using f6 f4 l k-l1
           by (simp add: nth-append)
        qed
      \mathbf{qed}
      }thus ?thesis by auto
   then have fst:fst ((a0\#l1)!i)=fst ((a0\#l1)!j1)
     using Suc(1)[of j1 \ i \ a0 \# l1]
          Suc(2) Suc(3) Suc(4) Suc(5) prev al1-cptn i-j
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc-diff-le Suc-less-eq diff-Suc-1 l length-Cons
length-append-singleton)
   have len-l:length l = Suc \ (length \ (a0 \# l1)) using l by auto
   then have f1:i < length (a0 # l1) using Suc.prems(3) i-j prev by linarith
   then have f2:j1 < length (a0 \# l1) using Suc.prems(3) len-l prev by auto
   have i-l:fst (l!i) = fst ((a0 # l1)!i)
     using l prev f1 f2 fst
     by (metis (no-types) append-Cons nth-append)
   also have j1-l:fst (l!<math>j1) = fst ((a0 \# l1)!j1)
   using l prev f1 f2 fst
     by (metis (no-types) append-Cons nth-append)
   then have fst(l!i) = fst(l!j1) using
     i-l j1-l fst by auto
   thus ?case using Suc prev by (metis env-c-c' i-j lessI prod.collapse)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ cptn-tran-ce-i:
  assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land i + 1 < length l
```

```
shows \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ i))
proof -
 from a1
 obtain a1 l1 where l=a1\#l1 using cptn.simps by blast
 thus ?thesis using a1 cptn-stepc-rtran by fastforce
qed
lemma zero-final-always-env-0:
     assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
             a2: fst(l!0) = Skip \lor fst(l!0) = Throw and
             a2': snd(l!0) = Normal \ s1 \land s1 \in q \ \mathbf{and}
             a3: Suc i < length l and
             a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
     shows \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i))
proof -
  have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ i)) using all all all all centeran-ce-i by auto
  also have \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))) using a1 a2 a3 a4 a2'
    using only-one-component-tran-0 by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: step-ce.simps)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{final-always-env-i}:
     assumes a1:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
             a2: fst(l!0) = Skip \lor fst(l!0) = Throw and
             a2': snd(l!0) = Normal \ s1 \land s1 \in q \ \mathbf{and}
             a3: j≥i ∧ Suc j<length l and
             a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta q rely
     shows \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j))
proof -
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)) \vee \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))
    using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)) then show ?thesis by auto
    assume a01:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))
     then have \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
       using a1 a2 a3 a4 a2' only-one-component-tran-j [OF a1]
       by blast
     then show ?thesis using a01 ce-tran by (simp add: step-ce.simps)
  qed
qed
12.1
         Skip Sound
lemma stable-q-r-q:
```

assumes $a\theta$:Sta qR and

```
a1: snd(l!i) \in Normal 'q and
         a2:(snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R
 shows snd(l!(Suc\ i)) \in Normal\ '\ q
using a\theta at a2
unfolding Sta-def by fastforce
lemma stability:
assumes a\theta:Sta q R and
         a1: snd(l!j) \in Normal ' q and
         a2: j \le k \land k < (length \ l) and
         a3: n=k-j and
         a4: \forall i. j \leq i \land i < k \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) and
         a5:env-tran-right <math>\Gamma l R
     shows snd (l!k) \in Normal 'q \land fst (l!j) = fst (l!k)
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5
proof (induct n arbitrary: j k)
 case \theta
   thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc\ n)
   then have length l > j + 1 by arith
   moreover then have k-1 < length \ l using Suc by fastforce
   moreover then have (k-1) - j = n using Suc by fastforce
   moreover then have j \le k-1 using Suc by arith
   \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ i. \ j \leq i \ \land \ i < k-1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l \ ! \ i) \rightarrow_e (l \ ! \ \mathit{Suc} \ i)
     using Suc by fastforce
   ultimately have induct:snd (l! (k-1)) \in Normal 'q \land fst (l!j) = fst (l!(k-1))
using Suc
     by blast
   also have j-1:k-1+1=k using Cons Suc.prems(4) by auto
    have f1: \forall i. j \leq i \land i < k \longrightarrow (snd((snd(\Gamma,l))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma,l))!(Suc(i))) \in
   using Suc unfolding env-tran-right-def by fastforce
   have k1:k - 1 < k
     by (metis (no-types) Suc-eq-plus1 j-1 lessI)
   then have (snd((snd(\Gamma,l))!(k-1)), snd((snd(\Gamma,l))!(Suc(k-1)))) \in R
   using \langle j \leq k - 1 \rangle f1 by blast
    ultimately have snd(l!k) \in Normal 'q using stable-q-r-q Suc(2) Suc(5)
by fastforce
   also have fst(l!j) = fst(l!k)
   proof -
     have \Gamma \vdash_c (l ! (k-1)) \rightarrow_e (l ! k) using Suc(6) k1 \langle j \leq k-1 \rangle by fastforce
     thus ?thesis using k1 prod.collapse env-c-c' induct by metis
   ultimately show ?case by meson
qed
lemma stable-only-env-i-j:
 assumes a\theta:Sta q R and
```

```
a1: snd(l!i) \in Normal 'q and
         a2: i < j \land j < (length \ l) and
         a3: n=j-i-1 and
         a4: \forall k \geq i. \ k < j \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)) and
         a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
     shows snd(l!j) \in Normal'q
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 by (meson less-imp-le-nat stability)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{stable-only-env-1}\colon
 assumes a\theta:Sta q R and
         a1: snd(l!i) \in Normal 'q and
         a2: i < j \land j < (length \ l) and
         a3: n=j-i-1 and
         a4: \forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ i)) and
         a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
     shows snd\ (l!j) \in Normal ' q
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5
by (meson stable-only-env-i-j less-trans-Suc)
lemma stable-only-env-q:
 assumes a\theta:Sta q R and
         a1: \forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ i)) and
         a2: env-tran \Gamma q l R
     shows \forall i. i < length l \longrightarrow snd (l!i) \in Normal 'q
proof (cases \theta < length l)
 case False thus ?thesis using a2 unfolding env-tran-def by fastforce
next
 case True
 thus ?thesis
 proof - {
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume aa1:i < length l
   have post-0:snd (l!0) \in Normal 'q
     using a2 unfolding env-tran-def by auto
   then have snd (l!i) \in Normal 'q
   proof (cases i)
     case 0 thus ?thesis using post-0 by auto
   next
     case (Suc \ n)
     have env-tran-right \Gamma l R
       using a2 env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
     also have 0 < i using Suc by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using post-0 stable-only-env-1 a0 a1 a2 aa1 by blast
   qed
  } then show ?thesis by auto qed
```

```
lemma Skip-sound1:
  assumes a\theta:Sta q R and
   a1:(\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G) and
   a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ Skip \ s \ {\bf and}
   a11:c \in assum(q, R)
  shows c \in comm (G, (q,a)) F
proof -
  obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
   assume snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
    have cp:l!0=(Skip,s) \land (\Gamma,l) \in cptn \land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cp-def c-prod by
fast force
   have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal 'q \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
            (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
     using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
   have concl:(\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
          \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
            (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
   proof
    { fix i
     assume asuc:Suc\ i < length\ l
     then have \neg (\Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)))
     by (metis Suc-lessD cp prod.collapse prod.sel(1) stepc-elim-cases(1) zero-skip-all-skip)
    } thus ?thesis by auto qed
   have concr:(final (last l) -
              ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land)
               snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
               (fst \ (last \ l) = Throw \land
               snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)))
   proof-
     assume valid:final (last l)
     have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
    then obtain a l1 where l:l=a\#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
     have last-l:last\ l=l!(length\ l-1)
       using last-length [of a l1] l by fastforce
     then have fst-last-skip:fst (last l) = Skip
       by (metis \ \langle 0 < length \ l \rangle \ cp \ diff-less \ fst-conv \ zero-less-one \ zero-skip-all-skip)
     have last-q: snd (last l) \in Normal ' q
     proof -
       have env: env-tran \Gamma q l R using env-tran-def assum cp by blast
       have env-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R using a0 env-tran-right-def assum cp
by metis
```

```
also obtain s1 where snd(l!0) = Normal \ s1 \land s1 \in q
         using assum by auto
       ultimately have all-tran-env: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc
i))
         using final-always-env-i cp zero-final-always-env-0 a0
         by fastforce
       then have \forall i. \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow snd \ (l!i) \in Normal \ `q
         \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{stable-only-env-q} \ \ \mathit{a0} \ \ \mathit{env} \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
        thus ?thesis using last-l using len-l by fastforce
     qed
     note res = conjI [OF fst-last-skip last-q]
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
  } thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
lemma Skip-sound:
  Sta \ q \ R \Longrightarrow
   (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \implies
  \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} Skip \ sat \ [q,R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof-
  assume
  a\theta:Sta q R and
  a1:(\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G)
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   have ass:cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Skip \ s \cap assum(q, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a)) \ F
   proof-
    { fix c
     assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Skip \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(q, R)
     then have a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ Skip \ s
       using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F using Skip-sound1[OF a0 a1 a10 a11] by auto
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   \mathbf{qed}
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
lemma Throw-sound 1:
  assumes a1:Sta\ a\ R and
   a2:(\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G) and
   a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ Throw \ s \ {\bf and}
   a11:c \in assum(a, R)
shows c \in comm (G, (q,a)) F
proof -
```

```
obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
   assume snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
    have cp:l!\theta=(Throw,s) \land (\Gamma,l) \in cptn \land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cp-def c-prod by
fast force
   have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal ' (a) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l <math>\longrightarrow
            (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in (R))
     using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
   then have env-tran-right \Gamma l R using cp env-tran-right-def by auto
   obtain a1 where a-normal:snd(l!0) = Normal \ a1 \land a1 \in a
     using assum by auto
   have concl: (\forall i \text{ ns ns'}. \text{ Suc } i < length \ l \longrightarrow
          \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
            (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in (G))
   proof
   { fix i
     assume asuc:Suc\ i < length\ l
     then have asuci:i < length \ l by fastforce
     then have fst (l! 0) = LanguageCon.com.Throw using cp by auto
     moreover obtain s1 where snd (l!0) = Normal s1 using assum by auto
     ultimately have fst\ (l\ !\ i) = Throw \land (\exists s2.\ snd\ (l\ !\ i) = Normal\ s2)
       using cp a1 assum a-normal env-tran asuci zero-throw-all-throw
       by fastforce
     then have \neg (\Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)))
       by (meson SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final')
    } thus ?thesis by auto qed
   have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
             ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
               snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
               (fst (last l) = Throw \land
               snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
   proof-
     assume valid:final (last l)
     have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
    then obtain a l l l where l: l=a1 \#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con. nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
     have last-l:last l = l!(length l-1)
       using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
     then have fst-last-skip:fst (last l) = Throw
          by (metis a1 a-normal cp diff-less env-tran fst-conv len-l zero-less-one
zero-throw-all-throw)
     have last-q: snd (last l) \in Normal ' (a)
     proof -
       have env: env-tran \Gamma a l R using env-tran-def assum cp by blast
       have env-right:env-tran-right \Gamma l R using env-tran-right-def assum cp by
metis
       then have all-tran-env: \forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ i))
        using final-always-env-i a1 assum cp zero-final-always-env-0 by fastforce
```

```
then have \forall i. \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow snd \ (l!i) \in Normal \ `(a)
       using stable-only-env-q a1 env by fastforce
       thus ?thesis using last-l using len-l by fastforce
      ged
      {f note}\ res=conjI\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{fst-last-skip}\ \mathit{last-q}]
   } thus ?thesis by auto qed
   note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
   thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
lemma Throw-sound:
  Sta \ a \ R \implies
   (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} Throw sat [a, R, G, q,a]
proof -
 assume
   a1:Sta a R and
   a2: (\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G)  {
   have ass:cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Throw \ s \cap assum(a, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
   proof-
    { fix c
     assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Throw \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(a, R)
      then have a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ Throw \ s
       using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
     have c \in comm(G, (q, a)) F using Throw-sound1 [OF a1 a2 a10 a11] by auto
    } thus ?thesis by auto
   \mathbf{qed}
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma no-comp-tran-before-i-0-g:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = c and
         a2: Suc i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
        a3: j < i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
        a4: \forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
        a5: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                         (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw \land (\exists s21. \ s2=Normal\ s21)) and
        a6: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal `p \wedge
                                       Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
  shows P
  proof -
  have Suc j < length l using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 by fastforce
```

```
then have fst(l!i) = c
            using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 cptn-env-same-prog[of \Gamma l j] by fastforce
       then obtain s s1 c1 where l-\theta: l!j = (c, s) \land l!(Suc j) = (c1, s1)
            by (metis (no-types) prod.collapse)
        moreover have snd(!!j) \in Normal 'p using a4 stability[of p rely | l | 0 | j | j] | a6
a3 \ a2
         proof -
               have \forall B \ r \ ps \ n \ na \ nb \ f. \ \neg \ Sta \ B \ r \ \lor \ snd \ (ps \ ! \ n) \notin Normal \ `B \ \lor \ \neg \ n \le n
na \lor \neg na < length \ ps \lor na - n \neq nb \lor (\exists \ nb \geq n. \ nb < na \land \neg f \vdash_c ps \ ! \ nb \rightarrow_e f \vdash_c ps \ ! \ nb \rightarrow
ps ! Suc nb) \lor \neg env-tran-right f ps r \lor snd (ps ! na) \in Normal `B \land (fst (ps ! na) )
n)::('b, 'a, 'c, 'd) \ LanguageCon.com) = fst \ (ps! \ na)
                   using stability by blast
              then show ?thesis
                   using Suc\text{-}lessD \langle Suc j < length \ l \rangle a4 a6 by blast
         qed
       then have suc-0-skip: (fst\ (l!Suc\ j) = Skip \lor fst\ (l!Suc\ j) = Throw) \land
                                                                 (\exists s2. \ snd(l!Suc \ j) = Normal \ s2)
                   using a5 a6 a3 SmallStepCon.step-Stuck-prop using fst-conv imageE l-0
snd-conv by auto
       thus ?thesis using only-one-component-tran-j
         proof -
              have \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ n < na \lor Suc \ n \leq na
                    using Suc-leI by satx
             thus ?thesis using only-one-component-tran-j[OF a0] suc-0-skip a6 a0 a2 a3
                   using imageE by blast
         qed
qed
lemma no-comp-tran-before-i:
    assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
                      a1: fst (l!k) = c and
                      a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
                     a3: k \le j \land j < i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
                     a4: \forall k < j. \ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
                        a5: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, \ s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                                                              (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw \land (\exists s21. s2 = Normal s21)) and
                      a6: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal `p \wedge
                                                                                               Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
       shows P
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
proof (induct k arbitrary: l i j)
     case 0 thus ?thesis using no-comp-tran-before-i-0-g by blast
next
     case (Suc \ n)
     then obtain a1\ l1 where l: l=a1\#l1
         by (metis less-nat-zero-code list.exhaust list.size(3))
     then have l1notempty: l1 \neq [] using Suc by force
     then obtain i' where i': i=Suc i' using Suc
```

```
using less-imp-Suc-add by blast
     then obtain j' where j': j=Suc\ j' using Suc
          using Suc-le-D by blast
     have (\Gamma, l1) \in cptn using Suc \ l
          using tl-in-cptn l1notempty by blast
     moreover have fst(l1!n) = c
          using Suc l l1notempty by force
     moreover have Suc i' < length \ l1 \land n \leq i' \land \Gamma \vdash_c l1 \ ! \ i' \rightarrow (l1 \ ! \ Suc \ i')
          using Suc l l1notempty i' by auto
     moreover have n \leq j' \wedge j' < i' \wedge \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! j' \rightarrow (l1 ! Suc j')
          \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{Suc} \ \mathit{l} \ \mathit{l1notempty} \ \mathit{i'} \ \mathit{j'} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
     moreover have \forall k < j'. \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! k \rightarrow_e (l1 ! Suc k)
          using Suc l l1notempty j' by auto
    moreover have env-tran-right \Gamma 11 rely \wedge Sta q rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (11!0)
\in Normal 'p \land
                                                                                                      Sta q rely \land snd (l1!Suc j') \in Normal 'q
     proof -
          have suc\theta: Suc \theta < length l using Suc by auto
          have j > \theta using j' by auto
          then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!0) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ 0)) using Suc(6) by blast
         then have (snd(l!Suc \ \theta) \in Normal \ 'p)
               using Suc(8) suc\theta unfolding Sta-def env-tran-right-def by blast
          also have snd\ (l!Suc\ j) \in Normal\ 'q\ using\ Suc(8) by auto
       ultimately show ?thesis using Suc(8) l by (metis env-tran-tail j' nth-Cons-Suc)
     qed
     ultimately show ?case using Suc(1)[of\ l1\ i'\ j'] Suc(7) Suc(8)\ j'\ l by auto
lemma exists-first-occ: P(n::nat) \Longrightarrow \exists m. \ P \ m \land (\forall i < m. \ \neg \ P \ i)
proof (induct \ n)
     case \theta thus ?case by auto
next
     case (Suc\ n) thus ?case
    by (metis ex-least-nat-le not-less0)
qed
lemma exist-first-comp-tran':
assumes a1: Suc i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i)))
shows \exists j. (Suc \ j < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k < j. \ \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land (\forall \ k <
(l!(Suc\ k)))
proof -
    let ?P = (\lambda n. Suc \ n < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!n) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ n))))
    show ?thesis using exists-first-occ[of ?P i] a1 by auto
qed
lemma exist-first-comp-tran:
assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
                    a1: Suc i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i)))
```

```
shows \exists j. j \leq i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))))
proof -
  obtain j where pj:(Suc\ j < length\ l\ \land\ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j)\ \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))))\ \land
                     (\forall k < j. \neg (Suc \ k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))))
    using a1 exist-first-comp-tran' by blast
  then have j \le i using a1 pj by (cases j \le i, auto)
  moreover have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)) using pj by auto
  moreover have (\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))))
  proof -
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ k \}
    assume kj:k < j
    then have Suc \ k \geq length \ l \lor \neg ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))) using pj by
    then have (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k)))
    proof
      {assume length \ l \leq Suc \ k}
      thus ?thesis using kj pj by auto
      {assume \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
      also have k + 1 < length l using kj pj by auto
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using a0 cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases by blast
   qed
    } thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma skip-com-all-skip:
assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1:fst\ (l!i) = Skip\ \mathbf{and}
        a2:i < length l
   shows \forall j. j \geq i \land j < length l \longrightarrow fst (l!j) = Skip
using a\theta a1 a2
proof (induct length l - (i + 1) arbitrary: i)
 case 0 thus ?case by (metis Suc-eq-plus 1 Suc-leI diff-is-0-eq nat-less-le zero-less-diff)
next
  case (Suc \ n)
  then have l:Suc i < length l by arith
  have n:n = (length \ l) - (Suc \ i + 1) using Suc by arith
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_{ce} l ! Suc i using cptn-tran-ce-i Suc
   by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps(2) a0 cptn-tran-ce-i zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow l ! Suc i \lor \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_e l ! Suc i
    using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  then have or:fst(l!Suc\ i) = Skip
  proof
```

```
{assume \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_e l ! Suc i
    thus ?thesis using Suc(4) by (metis env-c-c' prod.collapse)
    }
  next
  {assume step:\Gamma\vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow l ! Suc i
    {assume fst(l!i) = Skip
     then have ?thesis using step
       using SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final' by blast
    note left = this
    {assume fst(l!i) = Throw
     then have ?thesis using step stepc-elim-cases
     proof -
       have \exists x. \ l \ ! \ Suc \ i = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, x)
           by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ (fst\ (l\ !\ i) = LanguageCon.com.Throw)\ local.step
stepc-elim-cases(11) surjective-pairing)
       then show ?thesis
         by fastforce
    } then show ?thesis using Suc(4) left by auto
 qed
 show ?case using Suc(1)[OF \ n \ a0 \ or \ l] \ Suc(4) \ Suc(5) by (metis le-less-Suc-eq
not-le)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{terminal-com-all-term}\colon
assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
       a1:fst\ (l!i) = Skip \lor fst\ (l!i) = Throw\ and
       a2:i < length l
  shows \forall j. j \ge i \land j < length l \longrightarrow fst (l!j) = Skip \lor fst (l!j) = Throw
using a0 a1 a2
proof (induct length l - (i + 1) arbitrary: i)
 case 0 thus ?case by (metis Suc-eq-plus 1 Suc-le I diff-is-0-eq nat-less-le zero-less-diff)
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 then have l:Suc\ i < length\ l by arith
 have n:n = (length \ l) - (Suc \ i + 1) using Suc by arith
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_{ce} l ! Suc i using cptn-tran-ce-i Suc
   by (metis (no-types) Suc.hyps(2) a0 cptn-tran-ce-i zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow l ! Suc i \lor \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_e l ! Suc i
   using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
  then have or:fst(l!Suc\ i) = Skip \lor fst(l!Suc\ i) = Throw
 proof
    {assume \Gamma \vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow_e l ! Suc i
    thus ?thesis using Suc(4) by (metis env-c-c' prod.collapse)
  next
  {assume step:\Gamma\vdash_c l ! i \rightarrow l ! Suc i
```

```
{assume fst(l!i) = Skip
     then have ?thesis using step
       using SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final' by blast
    \mathbf{note}\ left = this
     {assume fst(l!i) = Throw
     then have ?thesis using step stepc-elim-cases
     proof -
       have \exists x. \ l \ ! \ Suc \ i = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, x)
           by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ (fst\ (l\ !\ i) = LanguageCon.com.Throw)\ local.step
stepc-elim-cases (11) surjective-pairing)
       then show ?thesis
         by fastforce
     qed
    } then show ?thesis using Suc(4) left by auto
   }
 qed
 show ?case using Suc(1)[OF \ n \ a0 \ or \ l] \ Suc(4) \ Suc(5) by (metis le-less-Suc-eq
not-le)
qed
lemma only-one-c-comp-tran:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: fst (l!0) = c and
         a2: Suc i<length l \wedge (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))) and
         a3: i < j \land Suc j < length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j))) \land fst (l!j) = c
and
        a4: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                       ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
        a5: (\forall k < i. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
  shows P
proof -
  have fst:fst\ (l!i) = c using a0\ a1\ a5
   by (simp add: a2 cptn-env-same-prog)
  then have suci:fst\ (l!Suc\ i) = Skip \lor fst\ (l!Suc\ i) = Throw
   using a4 by (metis a2 surjective-pairing)
  then have fst(l!j) = Skip \lor fst(l!j) = Throw
  proof -
   have Suc \ i \leq j
     using Suc-leI a3 by presburger
   then show ?thesis
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{Suc\text{-}lessD} \ \ \mathit{terminal\text{-}com\text{-}all\text{-}term}[\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{a0} \ \mathit{suci}] \ \mathit{a2} \ \mathit{a3} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    {assume fst (l ! j) = Skip
   then show ?thesis using a3 SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final'
by blast
    }
 next
```

```
{assume asm:fst (l ! j) = Throw
    then show ?thesis
      proof (cases \ snd \ (l!i))
        case Normal
        thus ?thesis using a3 a2 fst asm
          by (metis SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final')
      next
        case Abrupt thus ?thesis using a3 a2 fst asm skip-com-all-skip
         suci by (metis Suc-leI Suc-lessD a0 mod-env-not-component prod.collapse)
      next
        case Fault thus ?thesis using a3 a2 fst asm skip-com-all-skip
         suci by (metis Suc-leI Suc-lessD a0 mod-env-not-component prod.collapse)
      \mathbf{next}
        case Stuck thus ?thesis using a3 a2 fst asm skip-com-all-skip
         suci by (metis Suc-leI Suc-lessD a0 mod-env-not-component prod.collapse)
      qed
   }
 qed
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran1:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: fst(l!0) = c and
        a2: Suc i<length l \wedge (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))) and
         a3: j \neq i \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j))) \land fst \ (l!j) = c
and
        a4: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                       ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
        a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                       Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
  shows P
proof (cases j=i)
  case True thus ?thesis using a3 by auto
  case False note j-neg-i=this
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases j < i)
   case True
   thus ?thesis
   proof -
     obtain bb :: 'b \ set \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) \ xstate) \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) \ xstate \Rightarrow 'b \ where
        \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists \ v3. \ x2 = x1 \ v3 \land v3 \in x0) = (x2 = x1 \ (bb \ x0 \ x1 \ x2) \land bb
x\theta \ x1 \ x2 \in x\theta
       by moura
     then have f1: \forall x f B. x \notin f' B \lor x = f' (bb B f x) \land bb B f x \in B
       by (meson\ imageE)
     then have \Gamma \vdash_c (c, snd \ (l \ ! \ j)) \rightarrow (fst \ (l \ ! \ Suc \ j), \ Normal \ (bb \ q \ Normal \ (snd \ ))
(l ! Suc j))))
```

```
by (metis (no-types) a3 a5 surjective-pairing)
     then show ?thesis
       using f1 by (meson Suc-leI a0 a2 a4 a5 True only-one-component-tran-j)
   qed
  next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   obtain j1
   where all-ev:j1 \le i \land
                (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j1) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j1))) \land
                (\forall k < j1. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
     using a0 a2 a3 exist-first-comp-tran by blast
   then have fst:fst\ (l!j1) = c
     using a0 a1 a2 cptn-env-same-prog le-imp-less-Suc less-trans-Suc by blast
   have suc:Suc\ j1 < length\ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c l\ !\ j1 \rightarrow l\ !\ Suc\ j1 using all-ev a2
      using Suc-lessD le-eq-less-or-eq less-trans-Suc by linarith
   have evs:(\forall k < j1. (\Gamma \vdash_{c} (l!k) \rightarrow_{e} (l!(Suc k)))) using all-ev by auto
   have j:j1 < j \land Suc j < length l \land \Gamma \vdash_c l ! j \rightarrow l ! Suc j \land fst (l ! j) = c
     using a3 all-ev False by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using only-one-c-comp-tran[OF a0 a1 suc j a4 evs] by auto
  qed
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-i:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
        a1: fst(l!k) = c and
        a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
        a3: k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc j < length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j))) \land fst (l!j)
= c and
        a4: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                       ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
        a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                      Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
  shows P
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5
proof (induct k arbitrary: l i j p q)
  case \theta show ?thesis using only-one-component-tran1[OF \theta(1) \theta(2)] \theta by
blast
next
  case (Suc\ n)
  then obtain a1\ l1 where l: l=a1\#l1
   by (metis\ less-nat-zero-code\ list.exhaust\ list.size(3))
  then have l1notempty: l1 \neq [] using Suc by force
  then obtain i' where i': i=Suc i' using Suc
   using less-imp-Suc-add using Suc-le-D by meson
  then obtain j' where j': j=Suc\ j' using Suc
   using Suc-le-D by meson
  have a\theta:(\Gamma,l1)\in cptn using Suc\ l
   using tl-in-cptn l1notempty by meson
```

```
moreover have a1:fst(l1!n) = c
    using Suc l l1notempty by force
  moreover have a2:Suc\ i' < length\ l1 \land n \leq i' \land \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i' \rightarrow (l1 ! Suc\ i')
    using Suc l l1notempty i' by auto
  moreover have a3:n \leq j' \wedge j' \neq i' \wedge Suc j' < length l1 \wedge \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! j' \rightarrow (l1 !
Suc\ j') \wedge fst\ (l1!j') = c
    using Suc\ l\ l1notempty\ i'\ j' by auto
  moreover have a4:env-tran-right \Gamma l1 rely \wedge
                   Sta p rely \wedge snd (l1!n) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                   Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l1\ !\ Suc\ j')\in Normal\ `q
     using Suc(7) l j' unfolding env-tran-right-def by fastforce
 show ?case using Suc(1)[OF \ a0 \ a1 \ a2 \ a3 \ Suc(6) \ a4] by auto
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = c and
         a2: k \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i)
= c and
         a3: k \le j \land Suc j < length l and
         a4: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow
                         ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
         a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                          Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ i)\in Normal\ `q
   shows (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 only-one-component-tran-i
  {assume (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \lor (\neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
   then have (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
   proof
     assume \Gamma \vdash_c l ! j \rightarrow (l ! Suc j)
       then have j:Suc\ j < length\ l\ \land\ k \leq j\ \land\ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j)\ \to\ (l!(Suc\ j))) using a3 by
auto
       show ?thesis using only-one-component-tran-i[OF a0 a1 j a2 a4 a5]
        by blast
      assume \neg \Gamma \vdash_c l ! j \rightarrow (l ! Suc j)
        thus ?thesis
          by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 a0 a3 cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases)
   ged
  } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-all-env:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = c and
         a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = c \ and
         a3: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow
                         ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
```

```
a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                              Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ i)\in Normal\ `q
   \mathbf{shows} \ \forall j. \ k \leq j \ \land \ j \neq i \ \land \ Suc \ j < (\mathit{length} \ l) \ \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (\mathit{l!} \mathit{j}) \ \rightarrow_e (\mathit{l!} (\mathit{Suc} \ \mathit{j})))
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ j \}
  assume ass:k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l)
  then have a2:k \leq i \land i \neq j \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c l \ ! \ i \rightarrow l \ ! \ Suc \ i \land fst \ (l)
    using a2 by auto
  then have (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
    using only-one-component-tran[OF a0 a1 ] a2 a3 ass a4 by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ only\ one\ -component\ -tran-all\ -not\ -comp:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = c and
          a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = c and
          a3: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (c, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow
                            ((c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw)) and
          a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                              Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ `q
   shows \forall j. \ k \leq j \land j \neq i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ j \}
  assume ass:k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l)
  then have \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran-i ass by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma final-exist-component-tran1:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
           a1: fst(l!i) = c and
           a2: env-tran \Gamma q l R \wedge Sta q R and
           a3: i < j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j) and
           a5: c \neq Skip \land c \neq Throw
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
proof -
  \{ \textbf{assume} \ \forall \, k. \ k \geq i \ \land \ k < j \longrightarrow \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \ \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \}
   then have \forall k. \ k \ge i \land \ k < j \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-eq-plus 1 a0 a3 cptn-tran-ce-i less-trans-Suc
step-ce-elim-cases)
   then have fst(l!j) = fst(l!i) using cptn-i-env-same-prog a0 a3 by blast
   then have False using a3 a1 a5 unfolding final-def by auto
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
```

```
lemma final-exist-component-tran:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!i) = c and
          a2: i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j) and
          a3: c \neq Skip \land c \neq Throw
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
proof -
  {assume \forall k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
  then have \forall k. \ k \geq i \land \ k < j \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-eq-plus1 a0 a2 cptn-tran-ce-i less-trans-Suc
step-ce-elim-cases)
  then have fst(l!j) = fst(l!i) using cptn-i-env-same-prog a0 a2 by blast
  then have False using a2 a1 a3 unfolding final-def by auto
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma suc-not-final-final-c-tran:
 assumes a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: Suc j < length \ l \land \neg final \ (l!j) \land final \ (l!Suc \ j)
 shows (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
proof -
   obtain x xs where l:l = x \# xs using a0 cptn.simps by blast
  obtain c1 s1 c2 s2 where l1:l!j = (c1,s1) \land l!(Suc j) = (c2,s2) using a1 by
fast force
  have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j))
  proof -
      { assume a:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j))
        then have eq\text{-}fst\text{:}fst\ (l!j) = fst\ (l!Suc\ j) by (metis\ env\text{-}c\text{-}c'\ prod.collapse)
        { assume fst (l!Suc j) = Skip
          then have False using a1 eq-fst unfolding final-def by fastforce
        note p1 = this
        { assume fst\ (l!Suc\ j) = Throw \land (\exists s.\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j) = Normal\ s)}
          then have False using a1 eq-fst unfolding final-def
          by (metis a eenv-normal-s'-normal-s local.l1 snd-conv)
        then have False using a1 p1 unfolding final-def by fastforce
      } thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  also have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(Suc\ j)) using l\ cptn-stepc-rtran a0\ a1 by fastforce
   ultimately show ?thesis using step-ce-not-step-e-step-c local.l1 by fastforce
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{final-exist-component-tran-final}:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a2: i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j) and
          a3: \neg final(l!i)
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final(l!(Suc \ k))
```

```
proof -
 let ?P = \lambda j. \ i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j)
  obtain k where k:?P \ k \land (\forall i < k. \neg ?P \ i) using a2 exists-first-occ[of ?P j] by
  then have i-k-not-final: \forall i' < k. \ i' \geq i \longrightarrow \neg final \ (l!i') using a2 by fastforce
  have i-eq-j:i<j using a2 a3 using le-imp-less-or-eq by auto
  then obtain pre-k where pre-k: Suc\ pre-k = k using a2\ k
    by (metis a3 eq-iff le0 lessE neq0-conv)
  then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!pre-k) \rightarrow (l!k)
  proof -
    have pre-k \ge i using pre-k i-eq-j using a3 k le-Suc-eq by blast
    then have \neg(final\ (l!pre-k)) using i-k-not-final pre-k by auto
    thus ?thesis using suc-not-final-final-c-tran a0 a2 pre-k k by fastforce
  qed
 thus ?thesis using pre-k by (metis a2 a3 i-k-not-final k le-Suc-eq not-less-eq)
qed
          Basic Sound
12.2
lemma basic-skip:
  \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic f e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow c1 = Skip
proof -
  {fix s1 s2 c1
  assume \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic\ f\ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2))
  then have c1 = Skip using stepc-elim-cases(3) by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma no-comp-tran-before-i-basic:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = Basic f e and
         a2: Suc i<length l \land k \leq i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))) and
         a3: k \le j \land j < i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
         a4: \forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                        Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ j) \in Normal \ `q
  shows P
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic \ f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 no-comp-tran-before-i by blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-i-basic:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = Basic f e and
         a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
         a3: k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc j < length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j))) \land fst (l!j)
= Basic f e and
```

```
a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                            Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
   shows P
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran-i[OF a0 a1 a2] by
blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-basic:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Basic f e and
          a2: k \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i)
= Basic f e  and
          a3: k \le j \land Suc j \le length l and
          a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                           Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ 'q
   shows (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic \ f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ only\text{-}one\text{-}component\text{-}tran\text{-}all\text{-}env\text{-}basic:}
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Basic f e and
          a2: k \le i \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Basic \ f
e and
          a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                           Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ `q
   shows \forall j. \ k \leq j \land j \neq i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ j)))
  have b: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by blast
  show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-basic)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp-basic:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Basic f e and
         a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Basic \ f
e and
          a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                           Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ i)\in Normal\ `q
   shows \forall j. \ k \leq j \land j \neq i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
```

```
have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Basic \ f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp by blast
qed
lemma one-component-tran-basic:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Basic f e and
         a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                        Sta q rely and
        a4:p\subseteq\{s.\ fs\in q\}
  shows \forall j. \ 0 \leq j \land j \neq k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_{c} (Basic \ f \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using basic-skip by blast
  also obtain j where first:(Suc j<length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j)))) \land
                 (\forall k < j. \neg ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))))
    by (metis (no-types) a2 exist-first-comp-tran')
  moreover then have prg-j:fst (l!j) = Basic f e using a1 a0
  by (metis cptn-env-same-prog not-step-comp-step-env)
  moreover have sta-j:snd (l!j) \in Normal ' p
  proof -
    have a\theta': \theta \le j \land j < (length \ l) using first by auto
    have a1': (\forall k. \ 0 \le k \land k < j \longrightarrow ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)))))
      using first not-step-comp-step-env a0 by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using stability first a3 a1' a0' by blast
  qed
  then have snd (l!Suc j) \in Normal 'q using a4 first prg-j
  proof -
    obtain s where snd (l!j) = Normal \ s \land s \in p  using sta-j by fastforce
   moreover then have fst(l!Suc\ j) = Skip \land snd(l!Suc\ j) = Normal\ (f\ s) using
    by (metis fst-conv prg-j snd-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(3) surjective-pairing)
    ultimately show ?thesis using a4 by fastforce
  qed
  then have \forall i. \ 0 \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i)))
    using only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp-basic [OF a0 a1] first a3
          a0 a1 calculation(1) only-one-component-tran1 prg-j by blast
  moreover then have k=j using a2 by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ one-component\text{-}tran\text{-}basic\text{-}env:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Basic f e and
         a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal 'p \wedge
```

```
Sta q rely and
         a4:p \subseteq \{s. fs \in q\}
  shows \forall j. \ 0 \leq j \land j \neq k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ j))
proof -
  have \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
  using one-component-tran-basic [OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a4] by auto
  thus ?thesis using a0
     by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases)
qed
lemma final-exist-component-tran-basic:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!i) = Basic f e and
          a2: env-tran \ \Gamma \ q \ l \ R and
          a3: i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j)
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
proof -
 show ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 final-exist-component-tran by blast
lemma Basic-sound1:
  assumes a\theta:p\subseteq\{s.\ fs\in q\} and
      a1:(\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \land (t=fs) \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G) and
      a2:Sta p R and
      a3:Sta q R and
      a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Basic \ f \ e) \ s \ \mathbf{and} \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
    shows c \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
proof
  obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
   have cp:l!0=(Basic\ f\ e,s)\ \land\ (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\ \land\ \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cp-def c-prod by
fastforce
  have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
             (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
               (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
   using all c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
   have concl:(\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
           \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
           (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
   proof -
   { fix k
     assume a00:Suc k < length l and
            a11:\Gamma 1\vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
     have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
    then obtain a l1 where l:l=a\#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
     have last-l:last l = l!(length l-1)
       using last-length [of a l1] l by fastforce
     have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
```

```
then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
      using env-tran env-tran-right-def a2 unfolding env-tran-def by auto
     then have all-event: \forall j. \ 0 \leq j \land j \neq k \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e
      using one-component-tran-basic-env[of \Gamma l f e k R] a0 a00 a11 a2 a3 assum
cp
            env-tran-right fst-conv
      by metis
    then have before-k-all-evn: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j < k \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
          using a00 a11 by fastforce
    then have k-basic:fst(l!k) = Basic \ f \ e \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ `(p)
      using cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 a11 stability of p R l 0 k k \Gamma
      by force
    have suc\text{-}k\text{-}skip\text{-}q\text{:}fst(l!Suc\ k) = Skip \land snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)) \in Normal `q
    proof
      show suc-skip: fst(l!Suc\ k) = Skip
        using a0 a00 a11 k-basic by (metis basic-skip surjective-pairing)
    next
      obtain s' where k-s: snd (l!k)=Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p)
        using a00 a11 k-basic by auto
      then have snd (l!(Suc k)) = Normal (f s')
        using a00 \ a11 \ k-basic stepc-Normal-elim-cases(3)
        by (metis prod.inject surjective-pairing)
      then show snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)) \in Normal\ 'q using\ a0\ k-s\ by\ blast
    qed
    obtain s' s'' where
       ss:snd\ (l!k) = Normal\ s' \land s' \in (p) \land
          snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)) = Normal\ s'' \land s'' \in q
      using suc-k-skip-q k-basic by fastforce
    then have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
      using a0 a1 a2
     by (metis Pair-inject a11 k-basic prod.exhaust-sel stepc-Normal-elim-cases(3))
   } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
             snd\ (last\ l) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow
             ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
              snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
              (fst (last l) = Throw \land
              snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
  proof-
  {
    assume valid:final (last l)
    have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
   then obtain a l1 where l:l=a\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
    have last-l:last l = l!(length l-1)
      using last-length [of a l1] l by fastforce
    have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
    then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
      using env-tran env-tran-right-def a2 unfolding env-tran-def by auto
```

```
have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
    proof -
      have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
      moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l  using len-l by auto
      moreover have final (l!(length l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
      moreover have fst(l!0) = Basic \ f \ e \ using \ cp \ by \ auto
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using cp final-exist-component-tran-basic env-tran a2 by blast
    qed
    then obtain k where k-comp-tran: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k))
\rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
      by auto
    moreover then have Suc \ k < length \ l by auto
    ultimately have all-event: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j))
\rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
      using one-component-tran-basic-env[of \Gamma l f e k R] a0 a11 a2 a3 assum cp
            env-tran-right fst-conv by metis
    then have before-k-all-evn: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j < k \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
          using k-comp-tran by fastforce
    then have k-basic:fst(l!k) = Basic\ f\ e \land snd\ (l!k) \in Normal\ `(p)
      using cp env-tran-right a2 assum k-comp-tran stability [of p R l 0 k k \Gamma]
      by force
    have suc\text{-}k\text{-}skip\text{-}q\text{:}fst(l!Suc\ k) = Skip \land snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)) \in Normal\ `q
    proof
      show suc-skip: fst(l!Suc\ k) = Skip
        using a0 k-comp-tran k-basic by (metis basic-skip surjective-pairing)
      obtain s' where k-s: snd (l!k)=Normal s' \land s' \in (p)
        using k-comp-tran k-basic by auto
      then have snd (l!(Suc k)) = Normal (f s')
        using k-comp-tran k-basic stepc-Normal-elim-cases (3)
        by (metis prod.inject surjective-pairing)
      then show snd (l!(Suc k)) \in Normal 'q using a0 using k-s by blast
    have after-k-all-evn: \forall j. (Suc k) \leq j \wedge Suc j < (length l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e
(l!(Suc\ j)))
          using all-event k-comp-tran by fastforce
    then have fst-last-skip:fst (last l) = Skip \land l
                       snd\ ((last\ l)) \in Normal\ '\ q
    using a2 last-l len-l cp env-tran-right a3 suc-k-skip-q assum k-comp-tran
           stability [of q R l Suc k ((length l) - 1) - \Gamma]
      by fastforce
  } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
  thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
```

lemma Basic-sound:

```
p \subseteq \{s. \ f \ s \in q\} \Longrightarrow
      (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land \ (t = f \ s) \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G) \Longrightarrow
        Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
        Sta \ q \ R \Longrightarrow
        \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Basic f e) sat [p, R, G, q,a]
proof -
assume
    a\theta:p\subseteq\{s.\ fs\in q\} and
    a1: (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \land (t=fs) \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G) and
    a2:Sta \ p \ R and
    a3:Sta q R
{
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Basic \ f \ e) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix} c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Basic \ f \ e) \ s \ {\bf and} \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Basic \ f \ e) \ s
         using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
      have c \in comm(G, (q, a)) F using Basic-sound1 [OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a10 a11] by
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def [of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
           Spec Sound
12.3
lemma spec-skip:
   \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow c1 = Skip
proof -
  {fix s1 s2 c1
   assume \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2))
   then have c1 = Skip using stepc-elim-cases(4) by force
  } thus ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ no\text{-}comp\text{-}tran\text{-}before\text{-}i\text{-}spec\text{:}
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
          a3: k \le j \land j < i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
          a4: \forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
          a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                             Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
   shows P
```

```
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 no-comp-tran-before-i by blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-i-spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
         a3: k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j))) \land fst \ (l!j)
= Spec r e and
          a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                            Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
   shows P
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran-i[OF a0 a1 a2] by
blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: k \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i)
= Spec r e and
         a3: k ≤ j ∧ Suc j < length l and
         a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                           Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ 'q
   shows (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran by blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-all-env-spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: k \le i \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Spec \ r
e and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                            Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ i)\in Normal\ `q
   \mathbf{shows} \ \forall j. \ k \leq j \ \land \ j \neq i \ \land \ Suc \ j < (\mathit{length} \ l) \ \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (\mathit{l!}j) \ \rightarrow_e (\mathit{l!}(\mathit{Suc} \ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis by (metis (no-types) a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-spec)
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ only \textit{-} one \textit{-} component \textit{-} tran\textit{-} all \textit{-} not \textit{-} comp \textit{-} spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!k) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: k \leq i \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_{c} (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Spec \ r
e and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                            Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ i)\in Normal\ `q
   shows \forall j. \ k \leq j \land j \neq i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp by blast
qed
lemma one-component-tran-spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
         a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                           Sta q rely and
         a4:p\subseteq \{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,t)\in r\longrightarrow t\in q)\land (\exists\ t.\ (s,t)\in r)\}
  shows \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  also obtain j where first: (Suc\ j < length\ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!Suc\ j))) \land
                  (\forall k < j. \neg ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))))
    by (metis (no-types) a2 exist-first-comp-tran')
  moreover then have prg-j:fst(l!j) = Spec \ r \ e \ using \ a1 \ a0
   by (metis cptn-env-same-prog not-step-comp-step-env)
  moreover have sta-j:snd (l!j) \in Normal ' p
  proof -
    have a\theta': \theta \le j \land j < (length \ l) using first by auto
    have a1': (\forall k. \ 0 \le k \land k < j \longrightarrow ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)))))
      using first not-step-comp-step-env a0 by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using stability first a3 a1' a0' by blast
  qed
  then have snd (l!Suc j) \in Normal 'q using a4 first prg-j
  proof -
    obtain s where s:snd (l!j) = Normal \ s \land s \in p using sta-j by fastforce
    then have suc\text{-}skip: fst(l!Suc\ j) = Skip
      using spec-skip first prg-j a4 by (metis (no-types, lifting) prod.collapse)
    moreover obtain s' where snd (l!Suc j) = Normal s' \land (s,s') \in r
       { have f1:(\Gamma \vdash_c (fst(l!j), snd(l!j)) \rightarrow (fst(l!Suc\ j), snd(l!Suc\ j)))} using first
by auto
```

```
obtain t where snd (l!Suc\ j) = Normal\ t
            using step-spec-skip-normal-normal[of \Gamma fst(l!j) snd(l!j) fst(l!Suc j)
snd(l!Suc\ j)\ r
         suc-skip prg-j s a4 f1 by blast
      moreover then have (s,t) \in r using a 4 s prq-j f1 suc-skip stepc-Normal-elim-cases (4)
              by (metis (no-types, lifting) stepc-Normal-elim-cases(4) prod.inject
xstate.distinct(5) \ xstate.inject(1))
        ultimately have \exists t. snd (l!Suc j) = Normal t \land (s,t) \in r by auto
      then show (\land s'. snd \ (l ! Suc \ j) = Normal \ s' \land (s, s') \in r \Longrightarrow thesis) \Longrightarrow
thesis ..
    qed
    then show ?thesis using a4 sta-j s by auto
  then have \forall i. \ 0 \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i)))
    using only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp-spec[OF a0 a1] first a3
          a0 a1 calculation(1) only-one-component-tran1 prg-j by blast
  moreover then have k=j using a2 by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ one\text{-}component\text{-}tran\text{-}spec\text{-}env:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
         a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                          Sta q rely and
         a4:p \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}
  shows \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ j))
proof -
  have \forall j. \ 0 \leq j \land j \neq k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
  using one-component-tran-spec[OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a4] by auto
  thus ?thesis using a0
     by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases)
qed
lemma final-exist-component-tran-spec:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
          a1: fst(l!i) = Spec \ r \ e \ and
          a2: env-tran \Gamma q l R and
          a3: i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j)
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
proof -
  have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Spec \ r \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip)
    using spec-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 final-exist-component-tran by blast
lemma Spec-sound 1:
       p\subseteq \{s.\; (\forall\, t.\; (s,t){\in}r \longrightarrow t\in q) \; \land \; (\exists\, t.\; (s,t)\in r)\} \Longrightarrow
```

```
(\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ t) \in G) \Longrightarrow
              Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
              Sta \ q \ R \Longrightarrow
              c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Spec \ r \ e) \ s \Longrightarrow
              c \in assum(p, R) \Longrightarrow
              c \in comm (G, (q,a)) F
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:p\subseteq\{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,t)\in r\longrightarrow t\in q)\land (\exists\ t.\ (s,t)\in r)\}\ and
    a1: (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, Normal \ t) \in G) and
    a2:Sta \ p \ R \ \mathbf{and}
    a3:Sta q R and
    a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Spec \ r \ e) \ s \ {\bf and}
    a11:c \in assum(p, R)
    obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
    have cp:l!\theta=(Spec\ r\ e,s)\ \wedge\ (\Gamma,l)\ \in\ cptn\ \wedge\ \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cp-def c-prod by
fastforce
      have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                           (\Gamma 1)\vdash_{c}(l!i) \rightarrow_{e} (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                               (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
      using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
      have concl: (\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
                       \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                           (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
      proof -
      { fix k
          assume a00:Suc k<length l and
                         a11:\Gamma 1\vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
          obtain ck sk csk ssk where tran-pair:
              \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (ck, sk) \rightarrow (csk, ssk) \land (ck = fst (l!k)) \land (sk = snd (l!k)) \land (csk = snd (l!
fst\ (l!(Suc\ k))) \land (ssk = snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)))
              using a11 by fastforce
          have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
       then obtain a l1 where l:l=a\#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
          have last-l:last <math>l = l!(length \ l-1)
              using last-length [of a l1] l by fastforce
          have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
          then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
              using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
           then have all-event: \forall j. \ 0 \leq j \land j \neq k \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e
(l!(Suc\ j)))
              using a00 a11 one-component-tran-spec-env[of \Gamma l r e k R]
                           env-tran-right fst-conv a0 a2 a3 cp len-l assum
              by fastforce
          then have before-k-all-evn: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j < k \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
                       using a00 a11 by fastforce
          then have k-basic:ck = Spec \ r \ e \land sk \in Normal \ (p)
            using cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 a11 stability [of p R l 0 k k \Gamma] tran-pair
```

```
by force
         have suc-skip: csk = Skip
               using a0 a00 k-basic tran-pair spec-skip by blast
         obtain s' where ss:sk = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p)
             using k-basic bv fastforce
         obtain s'' where suc\text{-}k\text{-}skip\text{-}q\text{:}ssk = Normal\ s'' \land (s',s'') \in r
         proof -
             {from ss obtain t where ssk = Normal t
                 using step-spec-skip-normal-normal[of \Gamma 1 \ ck \ sk \ csk \ ssk \ r \ e \ s']
                            k-basic tran-pair a0 suc-skip
                by blast
             moreover then have (s',t) \in r using a \theta k-basic ss a 11 suc-skip
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) stepc-Normal-elim-cases(4) tran-pair prod.inject
xstate.distinct(5) \ xstate.inject(1))
             ultimately have \exists t. \ ssk = Normal \ t \ \land (s',t) \in r \ by \ auto
         then show (\land s''. ssk = Normal \ s'' \land (s',s'') \in r \Longrightarrow thesis) \Longrightarrow thesis ...
         qed
         then have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
             using ss a1 tran-pair by force
      } thus ?thesis by auto qed
     have concr:(final (last l) \longrightarrow ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                                                                                       snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                                                                                       (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                                                                                       snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
     proof-
         assume valid:final (last l)
         have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
       then obtain a l1 where l:l=a\#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
         have last-l:last <math>l = l!(length \ l-1)
             using last-length [of a l1] l by fastforce
         have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
         then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
             using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
         have \exists k. \ k > 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
         proof -
             have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
             moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l  using len-l by auto
             moreover have final (l!(length\ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
             moreover have fst(l!0) = Spec \ r \ e \ using \ cp \ by \ auto
             ultimately show ?thesis
                 using cp final-exist-component-tran-spec env-tran by blast
          then obtain k where k-comp-tran: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k))
\rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
            by auto
         then obtain ck sk csk ssk where tran-pair:
             \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (ck, sk) \rightarrow (csk, ssk) \land (ck = fst (l!k)) \land (sk = snd (l!k)) \land (csk = snd (l!
```

```
fst\ (l!(Suc\ k))) \land (ssk = snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)))
       using cp by fastforce
     moreover then have Suc \ k < length \ l \ using \ k-comp-tran \ by \ auto
     ultimately have all-event: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j))
\rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
       using one-component-tran-spec-env[of \Gamma l r e k R] a0 a11 a2 a3 assum cp
             env-tran-right fst-conv
       by fastforce
     then have before-k-all-evn: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j < k \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
           using k-comp-tran by fastforce
     then have k-basic:ck = Spec \ r \ e \land sk \in Normal \ (p)
      using cp env-tran-right a2 assum tran-pair k-comp-tran stability of p R l 0 k
k \Gamma] tran-pair
      by force
     have suc-skip: csk = Skip
       using a0 k-basic tran-pair spec-skip by blast
     have suc\text{-}k\text{-}skip\text{-}q\text{:}ssk \in Normal ' q
     proof -
       obtain s' where k-s: sk = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p)
         using k-basic by auto
       then obtain t where ssk = Normal t
       using step-spec-skip-normal-normal[of \Gamma 1 \ ck \ sk \ csk \ ssk \ r] \ k-basic \ tran-pair
a0 suc-skip
      by blast
       then obtain t where ssk = Normal t by fastforce
       then have (s',t) \in r using k-basic k-s all suc-skip
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) stepc-Normal-elim-cases (4) tran-pair prod.inject
xstate.distinct(5) \ xstate.inject(1))
       thus ssk \in Normal 'q using a0 \text{ k-s} \langle ssk = Normal \text{ t} \rangle by blast
     have after-k-all-evn: \forall j. (Suc k) \leq j \wedge Suc j < (length l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e
(l!(Suc\ j)))
           using all-event k-comp-tran by fastforce
     then have fst-last-skip:fst (last l) = Skip \land l
                        snd\ ((last\ l)) \in Normal\ 'q
     using l tran-pair suc-skip last-l len-l cp
           env-tran-right a3 suc-k-skip-q
           assum k-comp-tran stability [of q R l Suc k ((length l) - 1) - \Gamma]
   by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus 1 Suc-le I Suc-mono diff-Suc-1 less I list.size(4))
   } thus ?thesis by auto qed
   note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
  thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
 qed
lemma Spec-sound:
       p \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\} \Longrightarrow
       (\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ t) \in G) \Longrightarrow
       Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
```

```
Sta\ q\ R \Longrightarrow
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Spec \ r \ e) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
proof -
 assume
    a\theta: p \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}  and
    a1:(\forall s \ t. \ s \in p \ \land (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow (Normal \ s,Normal \ t) \in G) and
    a2:Sta p R and
    a3:Sta\ q\ R
{
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Spec \ r \ e) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Spec \ r \ e) \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Spec \ r \ e) \ s
        using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F using Spec-sound1 [OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a10 a11] by
auto
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
12.4
           Await Sound
lemma await-skip:
   \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow c1 = Skip \lor (c1 = Throw \land a)
(\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
proof -
  {fix s1 s2 c1
   assume \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2))
    then have c1=Skip \lor (c1 = Throw \land (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21)) using
stepc-elim-cases(8) by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma no-comp-tran-before-i-await:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \ and
         a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
         a3: k \le j \land j < i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) and
         a4: \forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a5: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge l
                                            Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ j) \in Normal \ `q
   shows P
proof -
 have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow c1 = Skip \lor (c1 = Throw
```

```
\wedge (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
        using await-skip by blast
    thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 no-comp-tran-before-i by blast
{f lemma} only-one-component-tran-i-await:
    assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
                   a1: fst(l!k) = Await b c e and
                    a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) and
                   a3: k \le j \land j \ne i \land Suc j < length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j))) \land fst (l!j)
= Await \ b \ c \ e \ and
                   a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                                                                        Sta\ q\ rely\ \land\ snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `q
      shows P
proof -
   have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ . \Gamma \vdash_{c} (Await \ b \ c \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip) \lor (c1 = Throw
\wedge (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
        using await-skip by blast
    thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran-i by blast
qed
lemma only-one-component-tran-await:
     assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
                    a1: fst(l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \ and
                    a2: k \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i)
= Await \ b \ c \ e \ and
                   a3: k \le j \land Suc j < length l and
                   a4: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                                                                     Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ `q
      shows (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
proof -
   have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1 \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, s1) \rightarrow ((c1, s2)) \longrightarrow (c1 = Skip) \lor (c1 = Throw
\land (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
        using await-skip by blast
    thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 only-one-component-tran by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ only-one-component\text{-}tran\text{-}all\text{-}env\text{-}await:}
    assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
                    a1: fst(l!k) = Await b c e and
                    a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Await
b \ c \ e \ \mathbf{and}
                   a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal 'p \wedge
                                                                                      Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ 'q
      \mathbf{shows} \ \forall j. \ k \leq j \ \land \ j \neq i \ \land \ Suc \ j \ < \ (length \ l) \ \longrightarrow \ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \ \ \rightarrow_e \ (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
     have a: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=S
 Throw)
        using await-skip by blast
```

```
lemma only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp-await:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!k) = Await b c e and
          a2: Suc i < length \ l \land k \le i \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i))) \land fst \ (l!i) = Await
b \ c \ e \ \mathbf{and}
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!k) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                          Sta \ q \ rely \land snd \ (l!Suc \ i) \in Normal \ `q
   shows \forall j. \ k \leq j \land j \neq i \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))
proof -
 have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw
\wedge (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
    using await-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp by blast
qed
lemma one-component-tran-await:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Await b c e and
         a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
         a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                           Sta q rely \wedge
                                           Sta a rely and
         a4: \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
              (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) c
              (\{s.\ (Normal\ V,\ Normal\ s)\in G\}\cap q),
              (\{s.\ (Normal\ V,\ Normal\ s)\in G\}\cap a) and
         a5:snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
  shows (\forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land
          (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ (p) \land snd \ (l!k) =
Normal\ s \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s' \land
             (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap g) \lor
              snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
proof -
  have suc\text{-}skip: \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip) \lor
(c1 = Throw \land (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
    using await-skip by blast
  also obtain j where first:(Suc j<length l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc j)))) \land
                  (\forall k < j. \neg ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))))
    by (metis (no-types) a2 exist-first-comp-tran')
  moreover then have prg-j:fst (l!j) = Await b c e using a 1 a 0
   by (metis cptn-env-same-prog not-step-comp-step-env)
  moreover have sta-j:snd (l!j) \in Normal ' p
  proof -
    have a\theta': \theta \leq j \land j < (length \ l) using first by auto
```

thus ?thesis by (metis (no-types) a0 a1 a2 a3 only-one-component-tran-await)

qed

```
have a1': (\forall k. \ 0 \le k \land k < j \longrightarrow ((\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k)))))
      using first not-step-comp-step-env a0 by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using stability first a3 a1' a0' by blast
  from sta-j obtain s where
      k-basic:fst\ (l!j) = Await\ b\ c\ e\ \land\ snd\ (l!j) = Normal\ s\ \land\ s\in p\ \land\ snd(l!j) \in
Normal ' p
      using sta-j prg-j by fastforce
  then have conc:snd\ (l!Suc\ j)\in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s')\in G\}\cap
q) \vee
              snd\ (l!Suc\ j) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)
  proof -
    have \Gamma_{\neg a},{}\models_{/F}
                       (p \cap b \cap \{s\}) c
                       (\{s'. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s') \in G\} \cap q),
                       (\{s'. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s') \in G\} \cap a)
      using a4 hoare-sound by fastforce
    then have e-auto:\Gamma_{\neg a} \models_{/F} (p \cap b \cap \{s\}) c
                       (\{s'. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s') \in G\} \cap q),
                       (\{s'. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s') \in G\} \cap a)
      unfolding cvalid-def by auto
    have f': \Gamma \vdash_{c} (fst (l!j), snd(l!j)) \rightarrow (fst(l!(Suc j)), snd(l!(Suc j)))
      using first by auto
    have step-await:Suc j < length \ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, snd(l!j)) \rightarrow (fst(l!(Suc \ j)), length \ l \land \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, snd(l!j))
snd(l!(Suc\ j)))
              using f' k-basic first by fastforce
     then have s'-in-bp:s \in b \land s \in p using k-basic stepc-Normal-elim-cases(8)
by metis
    then have s \in (p \cap b) by fastforce
    moreover have test:
      \exists t. \ \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \land
       ((\exists t'. \ t = Abrupt \ t' \land snd(l!Suc \ j) = Normal \ t') \lor
       (\forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t' \land snd(l!Suc j)=t))
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
       { assume fst(l!Suc\ j) = Skip
         then have step:\Gamma\vdash_c (Await\ b\ c\ e,Normal\ s) \rightarrow (Skip,\ snd(l!Suc\ j))
           using step-await k-basic by fastforce
        have s'-b:s \in b using s'-in-bp by fastforce
        note step = stepc-elim-cases-Await-skip[OF step]
         have h:(s \in b \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow snd(l!Suc \ j) \Longrightarrow \forall t'. \ snd(l!Suc
j) \neq Abrupt \ t' \Longrightarrow
               \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow snd(l!Suc \ j) \land (\forall \ t'. \ snd(l!Suc \ j) \neq Abrupt \ t'))
by auto
        have ?thesis
           using step[OF h] by fastforce
       } note left = this
       { assume fst(l!Suc\ j) = Throw \land (\exists s1.\ snd(l!Suc\ j) = Normal\ s1)
        then obtain s1 where step:fst(l!Suc\ j) = Throw \land snd(l!Suc\ j) = Normal
```

```
s1
          by fastforce
       then have step: \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Throw, snd(l!Suc \ j))
          using step-await k-basic by fastforce
       have s'-b:s \in b using s'-in-bp by fastforce
       note step = stepc-elim-cases-Await-throw[OF step]
        have h:(\bigwedge t'.\ snd(l!Suc\ j) = Normal\ t' \Longrightarrow s \in b \Longrightarrow \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle
\Rightarrow Abrupt \ t' \Longrightarrow
                \Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t' \land snd(l!Suc \ j) = Normal \ t'
       by auto
       have ?thesis using step[OF h] by blast
      } thus ?thesis using suc-skip left step-await suc-skip by blast
   qed
   then obtain t where e-step:\Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \land s
             ((\exists t'. \ t = Abrupt \ t' \land snd(l!Suc \ j) = Normal \ t') \lor
             (\forall t'. t \neq Abrupt t' \land snd(l!Suc j)=t)) by fastforce
   moreover have t \notin Fault ' F
   proof -
       {assume a10:t \in Fault `F
       then obtain tf where t=Fault\ tf \land tf \in F by fastforce
       then have snd(l!Suc\ j) = Fault\ tf \land tf \in F \ using\ e\text{-step} by fastforce
       also have snd(l!Suc\ j) \notin Fault 'F
         using last-not-F[of \Gamma l F] a5 a1 step-await a0 by blast
       ultimately have False by auto
       } thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   ultimately have t-q-a:t \in Normal '(\{s'. (Normal s, Normal s') \in G\} \cap q) \cup
                              Abrupt '(\{s', (Normal s, Normal s') \in G\} \cap a)
      using e-auto unfolding valid-def by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using e-step t-q-a by blast
  then have \forall i. \ 0 \le i \land i \ne j \land Suc \ i < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ i)))
   using only-one-component-tran-all-not-comp-await[OF a0 a1] first a3
          a0 a1 calculation(1) only-one-component-tran1 prg-j by blast
 moreover then have k:k=j using a2 by fastforce
 ultimately have (\forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc
j)))) by auto
  also from conc k k-basic have
      (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ (p) \land snd \ (l!k) =
Normal s \wedge snd (l!Suc k) = Normal s' \wedge
            (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap q) \lor
             snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
     by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma one-component-tran-await-env:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
         a1: fst(l!0) = Await b c e and
```

```
a2: Suc k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) and
          a3: env-tran-right \Gamma l rely \wedge Sta p rely \wedge snd (l!0) \in Normal ' p \wedge
                                             Sta\ q\ rely\ \land
                                             Sta a rely and
         a4: \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
              (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) c
              (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
              (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a) and
          a5:snd\ (last\ l)\notin Fault\ `F
  shows (\forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land
            (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ `(p) \land 
                   snd\ (l!k) = Normal\ s \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s' \land
                  (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ '\ (\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap g) \lor
                   snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
proof -
  have (\forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land
         (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ c \ e \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ (p) \land
                  snd\ (l!k) = Normal\ s \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s' \land
                  (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap q) \lor
                    snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
  using one-component-tran-await[OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5] by auto
  thus ?thesis using a0
  by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases)
qed
lemma final-exist-component-tran-await:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn and
           a1: fst(l!i) = Await \ b \ c \ e \ and
           a2: env-tran \Gamma q l R and
           a3: i \le j \land j < length \ l \land final \ (l!j)
  shows \exists k. \ k \geq i \land k < j \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
 have \forall s1 \ s2 \ c1. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e,s1) \rightarrow ((c1,s2)) \longrightarrow (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw
\land (\exists s21. \ s2 = Normal \ s21))
    using await-skip by blast
  thus ?thesis using a0 a1 a2 a3 final-exist-component-tran by blast
qed
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Await-Fault:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Await \ b \ c \ e, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (u, Fault \ f)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Await\text{-}sound1}:
 \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
    (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) e
    (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
    (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a) \Longrightarrow
 Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow Sta \ q \ R \Longrightarrow Sta \ a \ R \Longrightarrow
 c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ s \Longrightarrow
 c \in assum(p, R) \Longrightarrow
```

```
c \in comm (G, (q,a)) F
proof -
 assume
  a\theta: \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
        (p \cap b \cap \{\vec{V}\}) e
       (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
        (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a) and
  a2:Sta p R and
  a3:Sta q R and
  a4:Sta a R and
  a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
  a11:c \in assum(p, R)
  obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
  {assume last-fault:snd\ (last\ l)\notin Fault\ `F
  have cp:l!\theta=(Await\ b\ e\ e1,s)\land (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cp-def c-prod
by fastforce
   have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
             (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
   using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
  have concl: (\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
          \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
            (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
  proof -
   { fix k ns ns'
    assume a00:Suc k < length l and
           a11:\Gamma 1\vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
    have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
   then obtain a l l l where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis\ Small\ Step\ Con.nth-tl\ length-greater-0-conv)
    have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
    then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
       using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
    then have all-event:
         (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ e \ e1 \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ `(p) \land snd \ (l!k) =
                   Normal\ s \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s' \land
                (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap q) \lor
                 snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
       using a00 a11 one-component-tran-await-env[of \Gamma l b e e1 k R p q a F G]
env-tran-right cp len-l
    using a0 a2 a3 a4 assum fst-conv last-fault by auto
    then obtain s' s'' where ss:
       snd\ (l!k) = Normal\ s' \land s' \in (p) \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s''
        \land (s'' \in ((\{s. (Normal \ s', Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q)) \lor
          s'' \in ((\{s. (Normal \ s', Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a)))
    by fastforce
    then have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc k))) \in G
       using a2 by force
   } thus ?thesis using c-prod by auto qed
```

```
have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                            ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land
                              snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                              (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                              snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
     proof-
         assume valid:final (last l)
         have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
       then obtain a 1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStep Con.nth-tl length-greater-0-conv)
         have last-l:last <math>l = l!(length \ l-1)
             using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
         have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using assum env-tran-def cp by blast
         then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
             using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
         have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k)))
         proof -
             have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
             moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l  using len-l by auto
             moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
             moreover have fst(l!0) = Await \ b \ e \ e1 using cp by auto
             ultimately show ?thesis
                 using cp final-exist-component-tran-await env-tran by blast
         then obtain k where k-comp-tran: k \ge 0 \land Suc \ k < length \ l \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow length)
(l!(Suc\ k)))
             by fastforce
         then obtain ck sk csk ssk where tran-pair:
             \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (ck, sk) \rightarrow (csk, ssk) \land (ck = fst (l!k)) \land (sk = snd (l!k)) \land (csk = snd (l!
fst\ (l!(Suc\ k))) \land (ssk = snd\ (l!(Suc\ k)))
             using cp by fastforce
         have all-event:
                   (\forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j \ne k \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ j)))) \land
                   (\exists s \ s'. \ fst \ (l!k) = Await \ b \ e \ e1 \land snd \ (l!k) \in Normal \ (p) \land snd \ (l!k) =
                                    Normal\ s \land snd\ (l!Suc\ k) = Normal\ s' \land
                               (snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap g) \lor
                                  snd\ (l!Suc\ k) \in Normal\ `(\{s'.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s') \in G\} \cap a)))
              using one-component-tran-await-env[of \Gamma l b e e1 k R p q a F G] a0 a11
a2 a3 a4 assum cp
                           env-tran-right len-l fst-conv last-fault k-comp-tran by fastforce
         then have before-k-all-evn: \forall j. \ 0 \le j \land j < k \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j)))
                     using k-comp-tran by fastforce
         then obtain s' where k-basic:ck = Await \ b \ e \ e1 \ \land \ sk \in Normal \ `(p) \ \land \ sk
= Normal s'
             using cp env-tran-right a2 assum tran-pair k-comp-tran stability[of p R l 0 k
k \Gamma tran-pair
             by force
         have \Gamma_{\neg a},{}\models_{/F}
                               (p \cap b \cap \{s'\}) e
```

```
(\{s. (Normal \ s', Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
                (\{s. (Normal \ s', Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a)
       using a0 hoare-sound k-basic
        by fastforce
      then have e-auto:\Gamma_{\neg a} \models_{/F} (p \cap b \cap \{s'\}) e

(\{s. (Normal \ s', \ Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),

(\{s. (Normal \ s', \ Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a)
        unfolding cvalid-def by auto
     have after-k-all-evn: \forall j. (Suc \ k) \leq j \land Suc \ j < (length \ l) \longrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow_e
(l!(Suc\ j)))
          \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{all-event} \ \mathit{k-comp-tran} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
    have suc\text{-}skip: csk = Skip \lor (csk = Throw \land (\exists s1. ssk = Normal s1))
       using a0 k-basic tran-pair await-skip by blast
    moreover {
       assume at: csk = Skip
       then have atom-tran:\Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle e, sk \rangle \Rightarrow ssk
          using k-basic tran-pair k-basic cp stepc-elim-cases-Await-skip
          by metis
       have sk-in-normal-pb:sk \in Normal ' (p \cap b)
        using k-basic tran-pair at cp stepc-elim-cases-Await-skip
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI image-iff)
       then have fst (last l) = Skip \land
                  snd\ ((last\ l)) \in Normal\ 'q
       proof (cases ssk)
        case (Normal t)
        then have ssk \in Normal ' q
        using sk-in-normal-pb k-basic e-auto Normal atom-tran unfolding valid-def
          by blast
        thus ?thesis
          using at l tran-pair last-l len-l cp
             env-tran-right a3 after-k-all-evn
             assum k-comp-tran stability [of q R l Suc k ((length l) - 1) - \Gamma]
              by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Suc-leI diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred diff-less
less-one zero-less-diff)
      next
         case (Abrupt \ t)
         thus ?thesis
          using at k-basic tran-pair k-basic cp stepc-elim-cases-Await-skip
            by metis
       next
         case (Fault f1)
         then have ssk \in Normal 'q \lor ssk \in Fault 'F
          using k-basic sk-in-normal-pb e-auto Fault atom-tran unfolding valid-def
by auto
         thus ?thesis
         proof
            assume ssk \in Normal 'q thus ?thesis using Fault by auto
            assume suck-fault:ssk \in Fault ' F
```

```
have \forall i < length \ l. \ snd \ (l!i) \notin Fault `F
            using last-not-F[of \Gamma l F] last-fault cp by auto
          thus ?thesis
            using cp tran-pair a11 k-comp-tran suck-fault
               by (meson diff-less len-l less-imp-Suc-add less-one less-trans-Suc)
        qed
      next
        case (Stuck)
        then have ssk \in Normal ' q
        using k-basic sk-in-normal-pb e-auto Stuck atom-tran unfolding valid-def
        thus ?thesis using Stuck by auto
     qed
    moreover {
      assume at:(csk = Throw \land (\exists t. ssk = Normal t))
      then obtain t where ssk-normal:ssk=Normal t by auto
      then have atom-tran:\Gamma_{\neg a} \vdash \langle e, sk \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
      using at k-basic tran-pair k-basic ssk-normal cp stepc-elim-cases-Await-throw
xstate.inject(1)
         by metis
      also have sk \in Normal ' (p \cap b)
      using k-basic tran-pair k-basic ssk-normal at cp stepc-elim-cases-Await-throw
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntI imageE image-eqI stepc-elim-cases-Await-throw)
      then have ssk \in Normal 'a
       using e-auto k-basic ssk-normal atom-tran unfolding valid-def
       by blast
      then have (fst (last l) = Throw \land snd (last l) \in Normal `(a))
      using at l tran-pair last-l len-l cp
         env-tran-right a4 after-k-all-evn
         assum k-comp-tran stability [of a R l Suc k ((length l) - 1) - \Gamma
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) Suc-leI diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred diff-less less-one
zero-less-diff)
    ultimately have fst\ (last\ l) = Skip\ \land
                     snd\ ((last\ l)) \in Normal\ '\ q\ \lor
                    (fst (last l) = Throw \land snd (last l) \in Normal `(a))
    by blast
  } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
 thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
qed
```

12.5 If sound

lemma cptn-assum-induct:

```
assumes
  a\theta \colon (\Gamma, l) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c \ s) \land ((\Gamma, l) \in assum(p, R)) and
  a1: k < length \ l \land l!k = (c1, Normal \ s') \land s' \in p1
shows (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in ((cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ (Normal \ s')) \cap assum(p1, R))
proof -
  have drop\text{-}k\text{-}s:(drop\ k\ l)!0=(c1,Normal\ s') using a1 by fastforce
  have p1:s' \in p1 using a1 by auto
  have k-l:k < length l using a1 by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ (Normal \ s')
    unfolding cp-def
    using dropcptn-is-cptn a0 a1 drop-k-s cp-def
   by fastforce
  \mathbf{next}
    let ?c = (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l)
    have l:snd((snd ?c!\theta)) \in Normal `p1
     using p1 drop-k-s by auto
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
     assume a00:Suc i < length (snd ?c)
     assume a11:(fst\ ?c)\vdash_c((snd\ ?c)!i)\ \rightarrow_e ((snd\ ?c)!(Suc\ i))
     have (snd((snd ?c)!i), snd((snd ?c)!(Suc i))) \in R
     using a0 unfolding assum-def using a00 a11 by auto
    } thus (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in assum \ (p1, R)
      using l unfolding assum-def by fastforce
  qed
qed
lemma Await-sound:
\forall~V.~\Gamma_{\neg a},\!\{\}\vdash_{/F}
    (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) e
    (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
    (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a) \Longrightarrow
 Sta\ p\ R \Longrightarrow Sta\ q\ R \Longrightarrow Sta\ a\ R \Longrightarrow
 \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof -
 assume
  a\theta: \forall V. \Gamma_{\neg a}, \{\} \vdash_{/F}
        (p \cap b \cap \{V\}) e
        (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap q),
        (\{s. (Normal \ V, Normal \ s) \in G\} \cap a) and
  a2:Sta \ p \ R \ \mathbf{and}
  a3:Sta q R and
  a4:Sta\ a\ R
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
   proof -
    {
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ s \ {\bf and} \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10:c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Await \ b \ e \ e1) \ s
        using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
     have c \in comm(G, (q, a)) F using Await-sound1 [OF a0 a2 a3 a4 a10 a11] by
auto
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
lemma cptn-comm-induct:
assumes
  a\theta: (\Gamma,l) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c \ s) and
  a1: l1 = drop \ j \ l \wedge (\Gamma, \ l1) \in comm(G, \ (q,a)) \ F and
  a2: k \geq j \wedge j < length l
shows snd (last (l)) \notin Fault 'F \longrightarrow ((Suc k < length l \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_{c} (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
       (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G)
      \land (final (last (l)) \longrightarrow
            ((fst\ (last\ (l)) = Skip\ \land
              snd\ (last\ (l)) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
            (fst (last (l)) = Throw \land
              snd\ (last\ (l)) \in Normal\ `(a))))
proof -
  have pair-\Gamma l: fst (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd (\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
 have a03:snd (last (l1)) \notin Fault ' F \longrightarrow (\forall i.
               Suc i < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l1)) \longrightarrow
                      fst \ (\Gamma, \ l1) \vdash_c ((snd \ (\Gamma, \ l1))!i) \rightarrow ((snd \ (\Gamma, \ l1))!(Suc \ i)) \longrightarrow
                  (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
               (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1)))\ \longrightarrow
                 snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow
                   ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) = Skip \land
                     snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                   (fst \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l1))) = Throw \land
                     snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \in Normal\ `(a)))
  using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
  have last-l:last l1 = last l  using a1 a2  by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    assume snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
    then have l1-f:snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F
     using a03 a1 a2 by force
      { assume Suc\ k < length\ l
      then have a2: k \ge j \land Suc \ k < length \ l \ using \ a2 by auto
```

```
have k \leq length \ l \ using \ a2 \ by \ fastforce
     then have l1-l:(l!k = l1! (k - j)) \land (l!Suc k = l1!Suc (k - j))
       using a1 a2 by fastforce
     have a00:Suc (k - j) < length l1 using a1 a2 by fastforce
     have \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!(k-j)) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ (k-j))) \longrightarrow
        (snd((snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))!(k-j)),\ snd((snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))!(Suc\ (k-j))))\in G
     using pair-\Gamma l a00 l1-f a03 by presburger
     then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
        (snd\ (l\ !\ k),\ snd\ (l\ !\ Suc\ k))\in G
       using l1-l last-l by auto
    } then have l-side:Suc k < length \ l \longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash_c l ! k \rightarrow l ! Suc k \longrightarrow
   (snd\ (l!k),\ snd\ (l!Suc\ k))\in G by auto
     assume a10:final (last (l))
     then have final-eq: final (last (l1))
        using a10 a1 a2 by fastforce
     also have snd (last (l1)) \notin Fault 'F
        using last-l l1-f by fastforce
     ultimately have ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) = Skip \land
                       snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                     (fst\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) = Throw\ \land
                       snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) \in Normal `(a))
       using pair-\Gamma l a03 by presburger
     then have ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l))) = Skip \land
             snd \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l))) \in Normal \ `q)) \lor
             (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l))) = Throw \land
             snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l))) \in Normal `(a))
       using final-eq a1 a2 by auto
    } then have
     r-side:
     SmallStepCon.final\ (last\ l) \longrightarrow
     fst\ (last\ l) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q \lor
      fst\ (last\ l) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `a
      by fastforce
    note res=conjI[OF l-side r-side]
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
qed
lemma cpn-assum-induct:
assumes
  a\theta: (\Gamma, l) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c \ s) \land ((\Gamma, l) \in assum(p, R)) and
  a1: k < length \ l \land l!k = (c1, Normal \ s') \land s' \in p1
shows (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in ((cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ (Normal \ s')) \cap assum(p1, R))
proof -
  have drop\text{-}k\text{-}s:(drop\ k\ l)!0 = (c1,Normal\ s') using a1 by fastforce
 have p1:s' \in p1 using a1 by auto
 have k-l:k < length l using a1 by auto
```

```
show ?thesis
  proof
    show (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ (Normal \ s')
    unfolding cp-def
    using a\theta \ a1
    by (simp add: cpn-def dropcptn-is-cptn1)
  next
    let ?c = (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l)
    have l:snd((snd ?c!\theta)) \in Normal `p1
     using p1 drop-k-s by auto
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
     assume a00:Suc i < length (snd ?c)
     assume a11:(fst ?c)\vdash_c((snd ?c)!i) \rightarrow_e ((snd ?c)!(Suc i))
     have (snd((snd ?c)!i), snd((snd ?c)!(Suc i))) \in R
     using a0 unfolding assum-def using a00 a11 by auto
    } thus (\Gamma, drop \ k \ l) \in assum \ (p1, R)
      using l unfolding assum-def by fastforce
  qed
qed
lemma cpn-comm-induct:
  assumes
  a1: l1 = drop \ j \ l \wedge (\Gamma, \ l1) \in comm(G, \ (q,a)) \ F and
  a2: k \geq j \wedge j < length l
shows snd (last (l)) \notin Fault `F \longrightarrow ((Suc \ k < length \ l \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
       (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G)
      \land (final (last (l)) \longrightarrow
            ((fst\ (last\ (l)) = Skip\ \land
              snd\ (last\ (l)) \in Normal\ ``q))\ \lor
            (fst (last (l)) = Throw \land
              snd\ (last\ (l)) \in Normal\ `(a))))
proof -
 have pair-\Gamma l:fst (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd(\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
 have a03:snd\ (last\ (l1))\notin Fault\ `F\longrightarrow (\forall\ i.
               Suc i < length (snd (\Gamma, l1)) \longrightarrow
                      fst (\Gamma, l1) \vdash_c ((snd (\Gamma, l1))!i) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, l1))!(Suc i)) \longrightarrow
                 (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
               (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1)))\ \longrightarrow
                snd \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l1))) \notin Fault \ `F \longrightarrow
                  ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) = Skip \land
                    snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                   (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) = Throw \land
                    snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1)))\in Normal\ `\ (a)))
  using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
  have last-l:last l1 = last l  using a1 a2  by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof -
```

```
{
   assume snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
   then have l1-f:snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F
     using a03 a1 a2 by force
      { assume Suc\ k < length\ l
      then have a2: k \ge j \land Suc \ k < length \ l \ using \ a2 by auto
      have k \leq length \ l \ using \ a2 \ by \ fastforce
      then have l1-l:(l!k = l1! (k - j)) \land (l!Suc k = l1!Suc (k - j))
        using a1 a2 by fastforce
      have a00:Suc (k - j) < length 11 using a1 a2 by fastforce
      have \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!(k-j)) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ (k-j))) \longrightarrow
        (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(k-j)), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(k-j)))) \in G
      using pair-\Gamma l a00 l1-f a03 by presburger
      then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
        (snd\ (l\ !\ k),\ snd\ (l\ !\ Suc\ k))\in G
       using l1-l last-l by auto
    } then have l-side:Suc k < length \ l \longrightarrow
   \Gamma \vdash_c l \; ! \; k \rightarrow l \; ! \; Suc \; k \longrightarrow
    (snd\ (l!k), snd\ (l!Suc\ k)) \in G by auto
    {
      assume a10:final (last (l))
      then have final-eq: final (last (l1))
        using a10 a1 a2 by fastforce
      also have snd (last (l1)) \notin Fault 'F
        using last-l l1-f by fastforce
      ultimately have ((fst\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) = Skip\ \land
                       snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l1))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                     (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) = Throw \land
                       snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l1))) \in Normal `(a))
       using pair-\Gamma l a03 by presburger
      then have ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l))) = Skip \land
             snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
             (fst\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l))) = Throw \land
             snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l)))\in Normal\ `\ (a))
       using final-eq a1 a2 by auto
     } then have
      r-side:
      SmallStepCon.final\ (last\ l) \longrightarrow
      fst\ (last\ l) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q \lor
      fst\ (last\ l) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `a
      by fastforce
     note res = conjI[OF \ l\text{-}side \ r\text{-}side]
   } thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
lemma If-sound:
     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
```

```
(\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, \ R, \ G, \ q,a]) \Longrightarrow
        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [p \cap (-b), \ R, \ G, \ q,a] \Longrightarrow
        (\forall\, n.\ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F}\ c2\ sat\ [p\,\cap\, (-b),\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) \Longrightarrow
        Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
        \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a]
proof -
assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, q,a] \ \mathbf{and}
    a1:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [p \cap (-b), R, G, q,a] \ and
    a2: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, q,a] \ and
    a3: \forall n. \Gamma,\Theta \models n'_{/F} c2 sat [p \cap (-b), R, G, q,a] and
    a4: Sta \ p \ R \ \mathbf{and}
    a5: (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a3:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [p \cap (-b), R, G, q, a]
      using a3 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have a2:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, q, a]
      using a2 all-call com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ s \ {\bf and} \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10': c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ s \ unfolding \ cp-def \ cpn-def
         using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
       {assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
        have cp:l!\theta=((Cond\ b\ c1\ c2),s)\land (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def
c-prod by fastforce
        have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
        have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                   (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c(l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                     (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
        using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
        then have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
        then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
          using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
        have concl:(\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
                \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                   (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
        proof -
        \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
          assume a00:Suc k < length l and
```

```
a21:\Gamma\vdash_{c}(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
        obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall k)
\langle j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))) \rangle
          using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \land sj = snd\ (l!j) \land csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \land ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
          by fastforce
        have k-basic:cj = (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \land sj \in Normal \ `(p)
          using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a4 assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
        by force
        then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
         then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s' using before-k-all-evnt k-basic
pair-j
          by (metis prod.collapse snd-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
        have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          using ss a2 unfolding Satis-def
        proof (cases k=j)
          case True
           have (Normal s', Normal s') \in G
             using a5 by blast
           thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
             using pair-j k-basic True ss ssj-normal-s by auto
        next
          case False
          have j-length: Suc j < length \ l \ using \ a00 \ before-k-all-evnt \ by \ fastforce
          have l-suc:l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
           using before-k-all-evnt pair-j ssj-normal-s
           by fastforce
          have l-k:j < k using before-k-all-evnt False by fastforce
          have s' \in b \lor s' \notin b by auto
          thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
          proof
           assume a000:s' \in b
           then have cj:csj=c1 using k-basic pair-j ss
             by (metis (no-types) fst-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
           moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap b) using a000 \ ss by blast
             moreover have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \ \cap \ assum((p \cap b), \ R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
             using calculation a2 com-validityn-def cj by blast
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
             using l-suc j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                   cpn-assum-induct[of \Gamma l n (LanguageCon.com.Cond b c1 c2) s p
R Suc j c1 s' (p \cap b)]
             by blast
           show ?thesis
             using l-k drop-comm a00 a21 a10 \Gamma 1 l-f
             cpn-comm-induct
             by fastforce
```

```
next
           assume a000:s'\notin b
            then have cj:csj=c2 using k-basic pair-j ss
                by (metis (no-types) fst-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
           moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap (-b)) using a000 \text{ ss by } fastforce
             moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \ \cap \ assum((p \cap (-b)), \ R) \subseteq
comm(G, (q,a)) F
             using a3 com-validityn-def cj by blast
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
             using l-suc j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                  cpn-assum-induct[of \Gamma l n (LanguageCon.com.Cond b c1 c2) s p
R Suc j c2 s' (p \cap (-b))
             by fastforce
           show ?thesis
           using l-k drop-comm a00 a21 a10 \Gamma 1 l-f
           cpn-comm-induct
           unfolding Satis-def by fastforce
          qed
        qed
      } thus ?thesis by (simp add: c-prod cp) qed
      have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land)
                  snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                  snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
      proof-
       assume valid:final (last l)
       assume not-fault: snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
        have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final
(l!(Suc\ k))
       proof
         have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
            then obtain a1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl
length-greater-0-conv)
         have last-l:last l = l!(length l-1)
           using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
          have final-\theta:\neg final(l!\theta) using cp unfolding final-def by auto
          have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
          moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l \ using \ len-l \ by \ auto
          moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
          moreover have fst (l!0) = LanguageCon.com.Cond b c1 c2 using cp
by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis
           using cp final-exist-component-tran-final env-tran-right final-0
           by blast
        ged
        then obtain k where a21: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow l)
(l!(Suc\ k))) \land final\ (l!(Suc\ k))
```

```
by auto
       then have a00:Suc k<length l by fastforce
       then obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land
(\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
          using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
      then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj = fst
(l!j) \wedge sj = snd (l!j) \wedge csj = fst (l!(Suc j)) \wedge ssj = snd(l!(Suc j))
        by fastforce
        have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
      then have k-basic:cj = (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \land sj \in Normal \ (p)
        using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a4 assum a00 stability of p
R \ l \ \theta \ j \ j \ \Gamma
      by fastforce
      then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
     then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s' using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j
        by (metis prod.collapse snd-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
      have l-suc:l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
          using before-k-all-evnt pair-j ssj-normal-s
          by fastforce
      have s' \in b \lor s' \notin b by auto
      then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                  snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `q))\ \lor
                  (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                  snd (last l) \in Normal '(a)
      proof
        assume a000:s' \in b
        then have c_j:c_{sj}=c_1 using k-basic pair-j ss
                by (metis (no-types) fst-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
        moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap b) using a000 \text{ ss by } blast
        moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \ \cap \ assum((p \cap b), R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
          using a2 com-validityn-def cj by blast
        ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
          using l-suc j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                cpn-assum-induct[of \Gamma l n (LanguageCon.com.Cond b c1 c2) s p R
Suc j c1 s' (p \cap b)
          by blast
        thus ?thesis
          using j-length drop-comm a10 \Gamma1 cpn-comm-induct valid not-fault
          by blast
      next
        assume a000:s' \notin b
        then have cj:csj=c2 using k-basic pair-j ss
                by (metis (no-types) fst-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(6))
        moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap (-b)) using a000 \ ss by blast
       moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum((p \cap (-b)), R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
          using a3 com-validityn-def cj by blast
```

```
ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
          using l-suc j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                cpn-assum-induct[of \Gamma l n (LanguageCon.com.Cond b c1 c2) s p R
Suc j c2 s' (p \cap (-b))
          by blast
        thus ?thesis
          using j-length drop-comm a10 \Gamma1 cpn-comm-induct valid not-fault
          by blast
      qed
      } thus ?thesis using l-f by fastforce qed
      note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
     thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto qed
   } thus ?thesis by auto qed
} thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def [of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma Asm-sound:
  (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a]
proof -
 assume
  a\theta:(c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ s \}
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, \ R, \ G, \ q, a]
    then have \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \ using \ a\theta \ by \ auto
  } thus ?thesis unfolding com-cvalidityn-def by auto
qed
lemma events-p:
  assumes a\theta:(\Gamma, cfg\#l) \in cptn and
   a1:(\Gamma, cfg\#l) \in assum (p,R) and
  a2:i < length (cfg # l) and
  a3: \forall k \leq i. \ fst \ ((cfg\#l)!k) = fst \ cfg \ \mathbf{and}
  a4:Sta p R
shows \exists t1. snd((cfg\#l)!i)=Normal\ t1 \land t1 \in p
 using a2 \ a3
proof(induct \ i)
 case \theta
  then show ?case using a1 a2 unfolding assum-def by auto
next
  case (Suc\ n)
 then have \exists t1. \ snd \ ((cfg \# l) ! \ n) = Normal \ t1 \land t1 \in p \ by \ auto
 moreover have \Gamma \vdash_c ((cfg\#l)!n) \rightarrow_e ((cfg\#l)!(Suc\ n)) using Suc\ a\theta
   by (metis Env calculation less-Suc-eq-le less-not-reft nat-le-linear prod.collapse)
  then have (snd\ ((cfg\#l)!n),snd\ ((cfg\#l)!(Suc\ n))) \in R using a Suc(2)
   unfolding assum-def by auto
```

```
ultimately show ?case using a4 unfolding Sta-def by auto qed
```

```
lemma not\text{-}val\text{-}zero:c \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow \Gamma \models \theta_{/F} \ Call \ c \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]
  assume a\theta: c \in dom \Gamma
  assume a1:Sta p R
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ l \ s \}
   assume a01:(\Gamma,l) \in cpn \ 0 \ \Gamma \ (Call \ c) \ s \land (\Gamma,l) \in assum(p,R)
   then have length l \geq 1 unfolding cpn-def using CptnEmpty
       \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \ \textit{lifting}) \ \textit{One-nat-def} \ \textit{Product-Type}. \textit{Collect-case-prodD}
Suc\-leI\ length\-greater\-0\-conv\ snd\-conv)
   moreover {assume a02:length \ l=1
     then have l = [(Call \ c,s)]
     proof -
       have l! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Call c, s) using a\theta 1 unfolding cpn-def
         by fastforce
       then show ?thesis using a02
              by (metis One-nat-def Suc-leI impossible-Cons length-greater-0-conv
list.size(3) neq-Nil-conv nth-Cons-0 zero-neq-one)
     then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F unfolding comm-def final-def by auto
   moreover {assume a02:length \ l > 1
       then obtain a1 ls where l:l = (Call \ c, \ s) \# a1 \# ls using a01 unfolding
cpn-def
       apply auto
           by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus 1 less-not-refl
list.exhaust\ list.size(3)\ list.size(4)\ not-less-zero\ nth-Cons-0\ prod.collapse)
     have l-cptn:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn using a01 unfolding cpn-def
       using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by blast
     then obtain m where min-call:min-call m \Gamma l
        using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest minimum-nest-call by
blast
      { assume a03: \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = Call \ c
       then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
         using no\text{-}comp\text{-}tran\text{-}no\text{-}final\text{-}comm[OF-a03]} a02 unfolding final\text{-}def
         by fastforce
     moreover { assume a03:\neg (\forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = Call \ c)
       then obtain i where i:(i < length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) \neq Call \ c)
         by auto
       then obtain j where cfg-j:fst (l!j) \neq Call c \land (\forall k < j. fst (l!k) = Call c)
         by (fast dest: exists-first-occ[of \lambda i. fst (l!i) \neq Call\ c\ i])
       moreover have j:j>0 \land j<length\ l\ using\ l\ i\ calculation
         by (metis gr0I fstI leI le-less-trans nth-Cons')
       ultimately have step:(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!(j-1)) \to (l!j))
```

```
using l l-cptn
           by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred cptn-stepc-rtran diff-less not-eq-not-env
prod.\,collapse
                  step-ce-elim-cases zero-less-one)
       moreover obtain s' where j-1-cfg:snd (l!(j-1)) = Normal \ s' \land s' \in p
       using cfg-j l a01 [simplified l] j [simplified l] i a1 events-p [OF l-cptn [simplified
l] - - - a1, of j-1]
         by force
       then have j-cfg:l!j = (the (\Gamma c), Normal s') using cfg-j a\theta
          stepc-Normal-elim-cases (9) calculation
         by (metis diff-less domIff j option.sel prod.collapse zero-less-one)
       ultimately have False
       proof-
         have (0,\Gamma, drop (j-1) l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
           using a01 unfolding cpn-def
           by (simp add: dropcptn-is-cptn1 j less-imp-diff-less)
         then show ?thesis
            using redex-call-cptn-mod-min-nest-call-gr-zero j j-cfg j-1-cfg cfg-j step
a0
           by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc One-nat-def SmallStepCon.redex.simps(7)
Suc-pred
             \it diff-less\ dom Iff\ less-imp-diff-less\ min-call-def\ not-less-zero\ prod\ . collapse
                stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9) zero-less-one)
       qed
     ultimately have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F by auto
   ultimately have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F by fastforce
  } then show ?thesis unfolding com-validityn-def cpn-def by auto
qed
lemma Call-sound:
     f \in dom \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow
      \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ (the \ (\Gamma \ f)) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
      Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
      \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Call f) \ sat [p, R, G, q, a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:f\in dom\ \Gamma\ {\bf and}
    a2: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ (the \ (\Gamma \ f)) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] \ and
    a3: Sta p R and
    a4: (\forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G)
  obtain bdy where a\theta:\Gamma f = Some \ bdy \ using \ a\theta \ by \ auto
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
   then have a2:\Gamma \models n_{/F} bdy \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
     using a0 a2 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
```

```
have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Call \ f) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a)) \ F
    proof -
    {
     \mathbf{fix} c
     assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Call \ f) \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \Gamma (Call f) s
     unfolding cpn-def cp-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
by fastforce
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
      {assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
        have cp:l!\theta=((Call\ f),s) \land (\Gamma,l) \in cptn \land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def\ c-prod
by fastforce
        have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
        have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                 (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                   (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
       using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
       then have env-tran: env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
       then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
         using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
       have concl:(\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
               \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                 (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
       proof -
       \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
         assume a00:Suc k<length l and
               a21:\Gamma\vdash_{c}(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
         obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall k)
\langle j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))) \rangle
           using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
          then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \land sj = snd\ (l!j) \land csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \land ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
           by fastforce
        have k-basic:cj = (Call\ f) \land sj \in Normal\ `(p)
           using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a3 assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
           by force
        then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
         then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
           using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0
         by (metis\ not\text{-}None\text{-}eq\ snd\text{-}conv\ stepc\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(9))
         have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
           using ss a2
         proof (cases k=j)
           case True
           have (Normal\ s',\ Normal\ s') \in G
             using a4 by fastforce
```

```
thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
           using pair-j k-basic True ss ssj-normal-s by auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case False
         have j-k:j < k using before-k-all-evnt False by fastforce
         thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
         proof -
           have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
           have cj:csj=bdy using k-basic pair-j ss a\theta
         by (metis\ fst\text{-}conv\ option.distinct(1)\ option.sel\ stepc\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(9))
           moreover have p1:s' \in p using ss by blast
          moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a))
F
             using a2 com-validityn-def cj by blast
           moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
             using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
             by fastforce
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
             using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
            by (meson contra-subsetD cpn-assum-induct)
            then show ?thesis
            using a00 \ a21 \ \Gamma 1 \ j-k \ j-length \ l-f
            cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma \ l \ Call \ fs - Suc \ j \ G \ q \ a \ Fk]
            Suc-leI a10' by blast
         qed
      qed
      } thus ?thesis by (simp add: c-prod cp) qed
      have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                  snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                  (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                  snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)))
      \mathbf{proof} -
      {
        assume valid:final (last l)
        have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final
(l!(Suc\ k))
        proof -
         have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
            then obtain a1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl
length-greater-\theta-conv)
         have last-l:last <math>l = l!(length \ l-1)
          using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
          have final-\theta:\neg final(l!\theta) using cp unfolding final-def by auto
          have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
          moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l \ using \ len-l \ by \ auto
          moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
          moreover have fst(l!0) = Call f using cp by auto
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
            using cp final-exist-component-tran-final env-tran-right final-0
            by blast
         qed
          then obtain k where a21: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow l) \land (l!k) \rightarrow l!
(l!(Suc\ k))) \land final\ (l!(Suc\ k))
           by auto
         then have a00:Suc k < length \ l by fastforce
          then obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
\wedge \ (\forall \ k < j. \ (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \ \rightarrow_e \ (l!(Suc \ k))))
           using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
          then obtain cj sj csj ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \wedge sj = snd\ (l!j) \wedge csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \wedge ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
           by fastforce
         have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                   snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                   (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                   snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a))
         proof -
            have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
           then have k-basic:cj = (Call f) \land sj \in Normal `(p)
           using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a3 assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
             by force
           then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
           then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
             using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0
             by (metis not-None-eq snd-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9))
           have cj:csj=bdy using k-basic pair-j ss a\theta
         by (metis\ fst\text{-}conv\ option.\ distinct(1)\ option.\ sel\ stepc\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(9))
           moreover have p1:s' \in p using ss by blast
          moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a))
F
             using a2 com-validityn-def cj by blast
           moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
             using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
             by fastforce
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G,\ (q,a))\ F
             using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
             by (meson contra-subsetD cpn-assum-induct)
           thus ?thesis
             using j-length l-f drop-comm a10' \Gamma1 cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l Call f s
- Suc j G q a F Suc j valid
             by blast
          ged
        } thus ?thesis by auto
        qed
```

```
note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
       thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by force qed
    } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
ged
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{CallRec-sound} :
    (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs \Longrightarrow
     \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs.
        c \in dom \ \Gamma \land
        Sta p \ R \land (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \land
        \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} the (\Gamma c) sat [p, R, G, q,a] \land
        (\forall x. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \models x /_F the \ (\Gamma \ c) \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]) \Longrightarrow
    Sta p \ R \Longrightarrow (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
     \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof -
  assume a\theta: (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs and
     a1:
    \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs.
        c \in dom \ \Gamma \land Sta \ p \ R \land (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \land
       \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \vdash_{/F} the (\Gamma c) sat [p, R, G, q,a] \wedge
        (\forall x. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \models x /_F the \ (\Gamma \ c) \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a])
  then have a1': c \in dom \ \Gamma and
        a1 ": \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \models n /F the (\Gamma \ c) sat [p,R,\ G,\ q,a] using a0 by auto
    from a1 have
      valid-body:
      \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs.
        c \in dom \ \Gamma \land Stap \ R \land (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \land
        (\forall x. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs \models x \mid_F the \ (\Gamma \ c) \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]) by fastforce
  assume a5: Sta p R and
          a6 \colon (\forall \, s. \; (Normal \; s, Normal \; s) \, \in \, G)
  obtain bdy where \Gamma bdy:\Gamma c = Some \ bdy \ using \ a1' by auto
          \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \Longrightarrow
           \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models n_{/F} \ Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
  \mathbf{proof}(induct\ n)
    show \forall (c, p, R, G, a, d) \in Specs. \Gamma \models \theta_{/F} LanguageCon.com.Call c sat [p, R, d]
G, a,d
    proof-
       \{fix c p R G a d
         assume a00:(c, p, R, G, a, d) \in Specs
        then have c \in dom \ \Gamma \land Sta \ p \ R using a1 by auto
        then have \Gamma \models \theta_{/F} (LanguageCon.com.Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,R,\ G,\ a,d]
           using not-val-zero by fastforce
      } then show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  next
```

```
case (Suc \ n)
   have hyp: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} \ Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \Longrightarrow
            \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models n_{/F} \ Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \ by \ fact
    have body: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models Suc n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] by
fact
   then show ?case
   proof-
      \{ \text{ fix } c p R G q a \}
        assume a000:(c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs
       have ctxt-m: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p,R, G, q, a]
          using body cptn-mod-nest-mono unfolding com-validityn-def cpn-def
          by (fastforce simp add: cpn-rule)
        then have valid-Proc: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs. \Gamma \models n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, q, a] \in Specs.
G, q, a
          using hyp by auto
       have Sta:Sta p R using a1 a000 by auto
       have c\text{-}dom: c \in dom \Gamma using all allow by auto
       have guar: \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G using a 1 a 0 0 0 by a uto
       let ?\Theta' = \Theta \cup Specs
       from valid-Proc ctxt-m
       have \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in ?\Theta'. \Gamma \models n_{/F} Call \ c \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
          by fastforce
        with valid-body
       have valid-body-m:
          \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in Specs. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (the (\Gamma c)) sat [p,R, G, q,a]
          by (fastforce simp:com-cvalidityn-def)
       then have valid-body: \Gamma \models n_{/F} (the (\Gamma c)) sat [p,R,G,q,a] using a000 by
auto
       then have \Gamma \models Suc\ n_{/F}\ Call\ c\ sat\ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]
       proof-
        \{ \text{ fix } l \ s \}
          assume a01:(\Gamma,l) \in cpn \ (Suc \ n) \ \Gamma \ (Call \ c) \ s \land (\Gamma,l) \in assum(p, R)
          then have length l \geq 1 unfolding cpn-def using CptnEmpty
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Product-Type. Collect-case-prodD
Suc-leI length-greater-0-conv snd-conv)
          moreover {
            assume a02:length l = 1
            then have l = [(Call \ c, s)]
                 have l ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Call c, s) using a\theta 1 unfolding
cpn-def
               by fastforce
             then show ?thesis using a02
                by (metis One-nat-def Suc-leI impossible-Cons
                             length-greater-0-conv\ list.size(3)\ neq-Nil-conv\ nth-Cons-0
zero-neg-one)
            qed
           then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F unfolding comm-def final-def by
```

```
auto
         }
         moreover {assume a02:length\ l > 1
          then obtain a1 ls where l:l = (Call\ c,\ s) \# a1 \# ls using a01 unfolding
cpn-def
            apply auto
             by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 less-not-refl
list.exhaust list.size(3) list.size(4) not-less-zero nth-Cons-0 prod.collapse)
           have l-cptn:(\Gamma, l) \in cptn using a01 unfolding cpn-def
            using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by blast
           then obtain m where min-call:min-call m \Gamma l
            using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-cptn-mod-nest minimum-nest-call
\mathbf{by} blast
           { assume a03: \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = Call \ c
            then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
             using no-comp-tran-no-final-comm[OF - a03] a02 unfolding final-def
              by fastforce
           }
           moreover{
            assume a03:\neg(\forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = Call \ c)
            then obtain i where i:(i < length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) \neq Call \ c)
              by auto
           then obtain j where cfg-j:fst(l!j) \neq Call \ c \land (\forall k < j. \ fst(l!k) = Call
c)
              by (fast dest: exists-first-occ[of \lambda i. fst (l!i) \neq Call\ c\ i])
            moreover have j:j>0 \land j< length\ l\ using\ l\ i\ calculation
              by (metis gr0I fstI leI le-less-trans nth-Cons')
            ultimately have step:(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!(j-1)) \to (l!j))
              using l l-cptn
            by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred cptn-stepc-rtran diff-less not-eq-not-env
prod.collapse
                 step-ce-elim-cases zero-less-one)
            then obtain s' where j-1-cfg:snd (l!(j-1)) = Normal s' \land s' \in p
                   using cfg-j l a01[simplified l] j[simplified l] i Sta events-p[OF]
l-cptn[simplified l] - - - Sta, of j-1]
              by force
            then have j-cfg:l!j = (the (\Gamma c), Normal s')
              using cfq-j c-dom stepc-Normal-elim-cases(9) step
              by (metis diff-less domIff j option.sel prod.collapse zero-less-one)
            then have suc\text{-}n\text{-}call: (Suc\ n,\Gamma,\ drop\ (j-1)\ l)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
              using a\theta 1 unfolding cpn\text{-}def
              by (simp add: dropcptn-is-cptn1 j less-imp-diff-less)
            have (n,\Gamma, drop \ j \ l) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
            proof-
              have \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!(j-1)) \rightarrow_e (l!j)) using step
                by (metis etranE mod-env-not-component)
            then have (Suc n,\Gamma, (Call c, Normal s')#(the (\Gamma c), Normal s')#(drop
(j+1) l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                using a01 j step cfg-j j-cfg j-1-cfg suc-n-call
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cons-nth-drop-Suc One-nat-def
Suc-eq-plus1
                 Suc-less-eq Suc-pred diff-less less-SucI prod.collapse zero-less-one)
                     then have (n,\Gamma, (the (\Gamma c), Normal s') \# (drop (j+1) l)) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call
                  using cfg-j j-cfg elim-cptn-mod-nest-call-n-dec[OF - ] c-dom by
fast force
              then show ?thesis
                by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 j j-cfg)
            moreover have (\Gamma, drop \ j \ l) \in assum(p,R)
            proof-
              have (\Gamma, take \ j \ l \ @ \ l \ ! \ j \ \# \ drop \ (Suc \ j) \ l) \in assum \ (p, R)
               using conjunct2[OF\ a01]\ id\text{-}take\text{-}nth\text{-}drop[OF\ conjunct2[OF\ j]]} by
auto
              then show ?thesis
                \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{sub-assum-r}[\mathit{OF}\ ]\ \mathit{j-1-cfg}\ \mathit{l}\ \mathit{j-cfg}\ \mathit{j}
                by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc image-eqI snd-conv)
            ultimately have comm-drop:(\Gamma, drop \ j \ l) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
              using valid-body j-cfg j unfolding com-validityn-def cpn-def
              by fastforce
            have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
            proof-
             have h: \forall j < length (take j l). fst ((take j l)!j) = (Call c) using j \ cfg-j
by fastforce
              then have comm-take:(\Gamma, take \ j \ l) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
               using no-comp-tran-no-final-comm[of take j l Call c] j-1-cfg l j-cfg j
cfg-j
                unfolding final-def by auto
              moreover have (snd\ (last\ (take\ j\ l)),\ snd\ (drop\ j\ l\ !\ \theta)) \in G
              proof-
                have length (take j l) = jusing l j-1-cfg j j-cfg by auto
                moreover have (take\ j\ l)!(j-1) = l!(j-1)
                 using l j-1-cfq j j-cfq by auto
                ultimately have last (take\ j\ l) = l!(j-1)
                using j by (metis last-conv-nth less-numeral-extra(3) list.size(3))
                then show ?thesis using l j-1-cfg j j-cfg guar by auto
              qed
              ultimately show ?thesis using j-1-cfg j-cfg j cfg-j j l-cptn
                comm-union[OF comm-take comm-drop] by fastforce
            qed
           } ultimately have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F by auto
          }ultimately have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F by fastforce
        } thus ?thesis unfolding com-validityn-def using cpn-rule2 by blast
      qed
     } thus ?case by fastforce
```

```
qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis using a0 unfolding com-cvalidityn-def by auto
lemma Seq-env-P:assumes a\theta:\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq P Q,s) \rightarrow_e (Seq P Q,t)
      shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to_e (P,t)
by (metis env-not-normal-s snormal-environment)
lemma map-eq-state:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
shows
 \forall i < length \ l1. \ snd \ (l1!i) = snd \ (l2!i)
using a0 a1 a2 unfolding cp-def
by (simp add: snd-lift)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{map-eq-seq-c}\colon
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
shows
  \forall i < length \ l1. \ fst \ (l1!i) = Seq \ (fst \ (l2!i)) \ c2
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
  assume a3:i < length 11
 have fst (l1!i) = Seq (fst (l2!i)) c2
  using a0 a1 a2 a3 unfolding lift-def
   by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
  }thus ?thesis by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{same-env-seq-c} :
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
\forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
            \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
proof -
  have a0a:(\Gamma,l1) \in cptn \land l1!0 = ((Seq\ c1\ c2),s)
    using a\theta unfolding cp-def by blast
 have a1a: (\Gamma, l2) \in cptn \land l2!0 = (c1,s)
    using a1 unfolding cp-def by blast
```

```
{
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume a3:Suc i < length l2
   have \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc\ i)) =
          \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
   proof
    {
     assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow_e l2 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1 ! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2! Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Seq \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Seq \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 map-eq-seq-c l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD fst-conv length-map)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod map-eq-state l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD nth-map snd-conv snd-lift)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e (l1 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
       by (metis Env-n env-c-c' env-not-normal-s step-e.Env)
   }
     assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e l1 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2! Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Seq \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Seq \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 map-eq-seq-c l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD fst-conv length-map)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod map-eq-state l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD nth-map snd-conv snd-lift)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow_e (l2 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
          by (metis Env-n LanguageCon.com.inject(3) env-c-c' env-not-normal-s
step-e.Env)
   }
   \mathbf{qed}
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma same-comp-seq-c:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
```

```
a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
shows
\forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
proof -
  have a0a:(\Gamma,l1) \in cptn \land l1!0 = ((Seq\ c1\ c2),s)
    using a\theta unfolding cp-def by blast
  have a1a: (\Gamma, l2) \in cptn \land l2!0 = (c1,s)
   using a1 unfolding cp-def by blast
  {
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume a3:Suc i < length l2
   have \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc\ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   proof
     assume a4:\Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow l2 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2! Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Seq \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Seq \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 map-eq-seq-c l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD fst-conv length-map)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod map-eq-state l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD nth-map snd-conv snd-lift)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow (l1 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
       by (simp \ add: Seqc)
     assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow l1 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2!Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Seq \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Seq \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 map-eq-seq-c l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD fst-conv length-map)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod map-eq-state l1prod
       by (metis Suc-lessD nth-map snd-conv snd-lift)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow (l2 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod stepc-elim-cases-Seq-Seq
     by auto
    }
   qed
```

```
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma assum-map:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) \land ((\Gamma,l1) \in assum(p,R)) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
shows
  ((\Gamma, l2) \in assum(p, R))
proof -
 have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-env-seq-c by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst\ (\Gamma,l1)=\Gamma \wedge snd\ (\Gamma,l1)=l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2:fst\ (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd\ (\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a1 cp-def by blast
  have eq-length:length l1 = length \ l2 using a2 by auto
  obtain s' where normal-s:s = Normal \ s'
   using a0 unfolding cp-def assum-def by fastforce
  then have p1:s' \in p using a0 unfolding cp-def assum-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof -
   let ?c = (\Gamma, l2)
   have l:snd((snd ?c!0)) \in Normal `(p)
    using p1 drop-k-s a1 normal-s unfolding cp-def by auto
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
     assume a00:Suc i < length (snd ?c)
     assume a11:(fst ?c)\vdash_c((snd ?c)!i) \rightarrow_e ((snd ?c)!(Suc i))
     have (snd((snd ?c)!i), snd((snd ?c)!(Suc i))) \in R
     using a0 a1 a2 a3 map-eq-state unfolding assum-def
     using a00 a11 eq-length by fastforce
    } thus (\Gamma, l2) \in assum (p, R)
      using l unfolding assum-def by fastforce
  qed
qed
lemma comm-map':
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma, l2) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift \ c2) \ l2
shows
  snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow (Suc\ k < length\ l1 \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
       (snd(l1!k), snd(l1!(Suc k))) \in G) \land
   (fst\ (last\ l1) = (Seq\ c\ c2) \land final\ (c,\ snd\ (last\ l1)) \longrightarrow
      (fst (last l1) = (Seq Skip c2) \land
```

```
(snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ 'q) \lor
     (fst (last l1) = (Seq Throw c2) \land
       snd (last l1) \in Normal '(a)))
proof -
  have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-comp-seq-c
   by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd (\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2: fst (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd(\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a cp-def by blast
  have eq-length:length l1 = length \ l2 using a2 by auto
  then have len\theta: length l1>0 using a\theta unfolding cp-def
   using Collect-case-prodD drop-k-s eq-length by auto
  then have l1-not-empty:l1 \neq [] by auto
  then have l2-not-empty: l2 \neq [] using a2 by blast
  have last-lenl1:last l1 = l1!((length l1) - 1)
        using last-conv-nth l1-not-empty by auto
  have last-lenl2: last l2 = l2!((length l2) - 1)
      using last-conv-nth l2-not-empty by auto
  have a03:snd (last l2) \notin Fault 'F \longrightarrow (\forall i \ ns \ ns'.
              Suc i < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2)) \longrightarrow
                     fst (\Gamma, l2) \vdash_c ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!i) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!(Suc i)) \longrightarrow
                (snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
              (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2)))\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Skip \land
                   snd \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2))) \in Normal \ `q)) \lor
                 (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Throw \land
                   snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) \in Normal `(a)))
  using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis unfolding comm-def
  proof -
  \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
   assume a00a:snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F
   assume a00:Suc k < length 11
   then have k < length 11 using a2 by fastforce
   have a00:Suc k < length l2 using eq-length a00 by fastforce
   then have a00a:snd (last l2) \notin Fault ' F
   proof-
     have snd\ (l1!((length\ l1)\ -1)) = snd\ (l2!((length\ l2)\ -1))
       using a2 a1 a0 map-eq-state eq-length l2-not-empty last-snd
       by fastforce
     then have snd(last l2) = snd(last l1)
       using last-lenl1 last-lenl2 by auto
     thus ?thesis using a00a by auto
   qed
   then have snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
```

```
(snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!k), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(k))) \in G
   using pair-\Gamma l1 pair-\Gamma l2 a00 a03 a3 eq-length a00a
    by (metis Suc-lessD a0 a1 a2 map-eq-state)
  } note l=this
   assume a00: fst (last l1) = (Seq c c2) \land final (c, snd (last l1)) and
          a01:snd (last (l1)) \notin Fault ' F
   then have c:c=Skip \lor c=Throw
    unfolding final-def by auto
   then have fst-last-l2:fst (last l2) = c
     using last-lenl1 a00 l1-not-empty eq-length len0 a2 last-conv-nth last-lift
     by fastforce
   also have last-eq:snd (last l2) = snd (last l1)
     using l2-not-empty a2 last-conv-nth last-lenl1 last-snd
     by fastforce
   ultimately have final (fst (last l2),snd (last l2))
    using a00 by auto
   then have final (last l2) by auto
   also have snd (last (l2)) \notin Fault ' F
      using last-eq a01 by auto
   ultimately have (fst (last l2)) = Skip \land
                  snd (last l2) \in Normal 'q \lor
                (fst (last l2) = Throw \land
                  snd (last l2) \in Normal '(a))
   using a\theta 3 by auto
   then have (fst (last l1) = (Seq Skip c2) \land
                  snd (last l1) \in Normal 'q) \lor
                (fst (last l1) = (Seq Throw c2) \land
                  snd (last l1) \in Normal '(a)
   using last-eq fst-last-l2 a00 by force
 thus ?thesis using l by auto qed
qed
lemma comm-map":
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma, l2) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift \ c2) \ l2
  snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow ((Suc\ k < length\ l1 \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
      (snd(l1!k), snd(l1!(Suc k))) \in G) \land
  (final\ (last\ l1) \longrightarrow
     (fst (last l1) = Skip \land
       (snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `r) \lor
     (fst (last l1) = Throw \land
       snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `(a))))
```

```
proof -
  have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-comp-seq-c
   by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd(\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2:fst\ (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd\ (\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a cp-def by blast
  have eq-length: length l1 = length \ l2 using a2 by auto
  then have len\theta: length l1>0 using a\theta unfolding cp-def
   using Collect-case-prodD drop-k-s eq-length by auto
  then have l1-not-empty:l1 \neq [] by auto
  then have l2-not-empty: l2 \neq [] using a2 by blast
  have last-lenl1:last l1 = l1!((length l1) - 1)
       using last-conv-nth l1-not-empty by auto
  have last-lenl2:last l2 = l2!((length l2) - 1)
      using last-conv-nth l2-not-empty by auto
 have a03:snd (last l2) \notin Fault ' F \longrightarrow (\forall i \ ns \ ns'.
              Suc i < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2)) \longrightarrow
                     fst (\Gamma, l2) \vdash_c ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!i) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!(Suc i)) \longrightarrow
                (snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
              (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2)))\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2))) = Skip \ \land
                   snd \ (last \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2))) \in Normal \ `q)) \lor
                 (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Throw \land
                   snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) \in Normal `(a)))
  using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis unfolding comm-def
  proof -
  \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
   assume a00a:snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F
   assume a00:Suc k < length 11
   then have k \leq length \ l1 using a2 by fastforce
   have a00:Suc k < length \ l2 using eq-length a00 by fastforce
   then have a00a:snd (last l2) \notin Fault ' F
   proof-
     have snd\ (l1!((length\ l1)\ -1)) = snd\ (l2!((length\ l2)\ -1))
       using a2 a1 a0 map-eq-state eq-length l2-not-empty last-snd
       by fastforce
     then have snd(last l2) = snd(last l1)
       using last-lenl1 last-lenl2 by auto
     thus ?thesis using a00a by auto
   qed
   then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
        (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!k), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(k)))) \in G
      using pair-Γl1 pair-Γl2 a00 a03 a3 eq-length a00a
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) a2 Suc-lessD nth-map snd-lift)
    } note l = this
```

```
assume a00: final (last l1)
    then have c:fst\ (last\ l1)=Skip\ \lor\ fst\ (last\ l1)=\ Throw
      unfolding final-def by auto
    moreover have fst (last l1) = Seq (fst (last l2)) c2
      using a2 last-lenl1 eq-length
     proof -
       have last l2 = l2! (length l2 - 1)
         using l2-not-empty last-conv-nth by blast
       then show ?thesis
         by (metis One-nat-def a2 l2-not-empty last-lenl1 last-lift)
     ultimately have False by simp
    } thus ?thesis using l by auto qed
qed
lemma comm-map:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma, l2) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map (lift c2) l2
shows
  (\Gamma, l1) \in comm(G, (r,a)) F
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
  have snd (last l1) \notin Fault 'F \longrightarrow (Suc i < length (l1) \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_c (l1 ! i) \rightarrow (l1 ! (Suc i)) \longrightarrow
       (snd\ (l1\ !\ i),\ snd\ (l1\ !\ Suc\ i))\in G)\ \land
       (SmallStepCon.final\ (last\ l1) \longrightarrow
                  fst\ (last\ l1) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                  snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `r \lor
                  fst\ (last\ l1) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land
                  snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `a)
     using comm-map''[of \Gamma l1 c1 c2 s l2 G q a F i r] a0 a1 a2
     by fastforce
   } then show ?thesis using comm-def unfolding comm-def by force
qed
lemma Seq-sound1:
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
  a3:\neg final (last x) and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely and
  a5:snd\ (x!0)\in Normal\ `p\wedge Sta\ p\ rely\wedge Sta\ a\ rely\  and
  a6: \Gamma \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, \ rely, \ G, \ q, a]
```

```
shows
 \exists xs. (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land x = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
proof (induct arbitrary: P s p)
 case (CptnModNestOne \ n \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
  then have (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \wedge [(C, s1)] = map \ (lift \ Q) \ [(P,s)]
   unfolding cpn-def lift-def
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
  thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
  then have C:C=Seq\ P\ Q unfolding lift-def by fastforce
 have \exists xs. (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) xs
 proof -
     have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P Q, t1) using C by
    moreover have \forall i < length((C, t1) \# xsa). fst(((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
      using CptnModNestEnv(5) by fastforce
     moreover have \neg SmallStepCon.final (last ((C, t1) # xsa)) using Cptn-
ModNestEnv(6)
      by fastforce
    moreover have snd (((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta) \in Normal `p]
      using CptnModNestEnv(8) CptnModNestEnv(1) CptnModNestEnv(7)
      unfolding env-tran-right-def Sta-def by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
     using CptnModNestEnv(3) CptnModNestEnv(7) CptnModNestEnv(8) Cpt-
nModNestEnv(9) env-tran-tail by blast
 then obtain xs where hi:(\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \# xsa = map \ (lift
Q) xs
   by fastforce
 have s1-s:s1=s using CptnModNestEnv unfolding cpn-def by auto
 obtain xsa' where xs:xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (n, \Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
\wedge (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,t1) \# xsa')
   using hi unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
 have env-tran:\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s1) \rightarrow_e (P,t1) using CptnModNestEnv Seq-env-P by (metis
fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
  then have (n, \Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   using xs env-tran cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using cpn-def s1-s by fastforce
  moreover have (C,s1)\#(C,t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa')
   using xs C unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case (CptnModNestSkip)
  thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
next
 case (CptnModNestThrow)
```

```
thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
    case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
    then have a1:LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q = LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1
       bv fastforce
   have f1: sa = s
       using CptnModNestSeq1.prems(1) by force
    have f2: P = P0 \land Q = P1 using a by auto
    hence (\Gamma, (P0, sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
       using f2 f1 CptnModNestSeq1.hyps(1) by (simp\ add:\ cpn-def)
    thus ?case
       using Cons-lift CptnModNestSeq1.hyps(3) a1 by fastforce
next
    case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
   then have P0 = P \wedge P1 = Q by auto
   then obtain i where zs:fst\ (zs!i) = Q \land (i < (length\ zs)) using CptnModNestSeq2
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
    then have Suc \ i < length \ ((Seq \ P0 \ P1, sa) \# zs) by fastforce
    then have fst (((Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)!Suc\ i)=Q\ using\ zs\ by\ fastforce
    thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq2(8) zs by auto
next
    case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ sa \ xsa \ s' \ ys \ zs \ Q1)
   have s'-a:s' \in a
   proof -
       have cpP1:(\Gamma, (P1, Normal sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ (Normal sa)
          using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
       then have cpP1':(\Gamma, (P1, Normal sa) \# xsa) \in cp \Gamma P1 (Normal sa)
       using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
          unfolding cp-def by fastforce
         have map:((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa)\#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)=map\ (lift\ Q1)
((P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa)
          using CptnModSeq3 by (simp add: Cons-lift)
       have (\Gamma,((LanguageCon.com.Seg\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ (map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)))
\in assum (p,rely)
       proof -
            have env-tran-right \Gamma ((Language Con.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# (map
(lift\ Q1)\ xsa))\ rely
              using CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(7) map
                   by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(7) Cptn-
ModNestSeg3.prems(4) env-tran-subr
          thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(12)
          unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by fastforce
       qed
       moreover have (\Gamma, ((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa) \#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)) \in cpn\ n
\Gamma (Seq P1 Q1) (Normal sa)
        \textbf{using} \ \textit{CptnModNestSeq3} \ (7) \ \textit{CptnModNestSeq3}. \\ \textit{hyps} \ (1) \ \ \textit{cptn-mod-nest-call}. \\ \textit{CptnModNestSeq3}. \\ \textit{CptnModNestSeq4}. \\ \textit{Cp
```

```
unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
   then have (\Gamma,((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa)\#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa))\in cp\ \Gamma\ (Seq
P1 Q1) (Normal sa)
   using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod.CptnModSeq1
cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
    unfolding cp-def by fastforce
   ultimately have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in assum (p, rely)
    using assum-map map cpP1' by fastforce
   then have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F
     using cpP1 CptnModNestSeq3(13) CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1) unfolding
com-validityn-def by auto
   thus ?thesis
    using CptnModNestSeq3(3) CptnModNestSeq3(4)
    unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
 have final (last ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys))
 proof -
  have cptn-mod:(n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normals') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    using CptnModNestSeq3(5) by (simp add: cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set)
   then have cptn:(\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn
    using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by auto
   moreover have throw-\theta:((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys)!\theta =
(Throw, Normal s') \land 0 < length((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal s') # ys)
    moreover have last:last ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ s') \#\ ys) =
Normal s') \# ys)) -1)
    using last-conv-nth by auto
  moreover have env-tran:env-tran-right \Gamma ((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal
s') # ys) rely
   using CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(7) env-tran-subl env-tran-tail
by blast
  ultimately obtain st' where fst (last ((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal s')
\# ys)) = Throw \land
              snd\ (last\ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw,\ Normal\ s')\ \#\ ys)) = Normal
st'
  using zero-throw-all-throw[of \Gamma ((Throw, Normal s') # ys) s' (length ((Throw,
Normal s') \# ys))-1 a rely]
       s'-a CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(12) by fastforce
   thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(10) final-def by blast
 thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq3(10) CptnModNestSeq3(7)
   by force
qed (auto)
lemma Seg-sound2:
assumes
 a\theta:(\Gamma,x)\in cptn\text{-}mod and
```

```
a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
   a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q and
   a3:fst\ (last\ x)=Throw\ \land\ snd\ (last\ x)=Normal\ s' and
   a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
  \exists xs \ s' \ ys. \ (\Gamma, xs) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land x = ((map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys))
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: P s s')
   case (CptnModOne \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
   then have (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s \wedge [(C,s1)] = map \ (lift \ Q) \ [(P,s)]@[(Throw,s)]
Normal\ s')
      unfolding cp-def lift-def by (simp add: cptn.CptnOne)
   thus ?case by fastforce
next
   case (CptnModEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ xsa)
   then have C:C=Seq\ P\ Q unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  have \exists xs \ s' \ ys. \ (\Gamma, xs) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs@((Throw, t1)) \ \ xsa = map \
Normal s')#ys)
   proof -
          have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P Q, t1) using C by
auto
        moreover have \forall i < length((C, t1) \# xsa). fst(((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
            using CptnModEnv(5) by fastforce
         moreover have fst (last ((C, t1) \# xsa)) = Throw \land snd (last ((C, t1) \#
(xsa)) = Normal\ s' using CptnModEnv(6)
           by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis
            using CptnModEnv(3) CptnModEnv(7) env-tran-tail by blast
   qed
   then obtain xs s'' ys where hi:(\Gamma, xs) \in cp \Gamma P t1 \wedge (C, t1) \# xsa = map
(lift Q) xs@((Throw, Normal\ s'') \# ys)
      by fastforce
   have s1-s:s1=s using CptnModEnv unfolding cp-def by auto
  have \exists xsa's''ys. xs = ((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (\Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn \land (C,t1)\#xsa
= map (lift Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Throw, Normal s'')\#ys)
      using hi unfolding cp-def
   proof -
          have (\Gamma, xs) \in cptn \land xs! \theta = (P, t1) using hi unfolding cp-def by fastforce
          moreover then have xs \neq [] using cptn.simps by fastforce
        ultimately obtain xsa' where xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') using SmallStepCon.nth-tl
\mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
          thus ?thesis
             using hi using \langle (\Gamma, xs) \in cptn \wedge xs \mid 0 = (P, t1) \rangle by auto
   then obtain xsa's''ys where xs:xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \wedge (\Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn
\land (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Throw, Normal s'')\#ys)
      bv fastforce
    have env\text{-}tran:\Gamma\vdash_{c}(P,s1)\rightarrow_{e}(P,t1) using CptnModEnv\ Seq\text{-}env\text{-}P by (metis
fst-conv nth-Cons-\theta)
```

```
then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cptn using xs env-tran CptnEnv by fast-
force
 then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using cp-def s1-s by fastforce
 moreover have (C,s1)\#(C,t1)\#xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa')@((Throw,
Normal s'')#ys)
   using xs C unfolding lift-def by fastforce
 ultimately show ?case by auto
next
 case (CptnModSkip)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModThrow)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModSeq1 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof -
   have a1:\forall c \ p. \ fst \ (case \ p \ of \ (ca::('s, 'a, 'd, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x::('s, 'd)
xstate) \Rightarrow
             (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ ca\ c,\ x)) = LanguageCon.com.Seq\ (fst\ p)\ c
     by simp
   then have [] = xsa
   proof -
    have [] \neq zs
      using CptnModSeq1 by force
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) LanguageCon.com.distinct(71) One-nat-def CptnMod-
Seq1(3,6)
                       last.simps last-conv-nth last-lift)
   qed
   then have \forall c. Throw = c \vee [] = zs
     using CptnModSeq1(3) by fastforce
   then show ?thesis
     using CptnModSeq1.prems(3) by force
 qed
next
 case (CptnModSeq2 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 then have P0 = P \wedge P1 = Q by auto
 then obtain i where zs:fst\ (zs!i)=Q \land (i<(length\ zs)) using CptnModSeq2
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
 then have Suc \ i < length \ ((Seq P0 \ P1,sa) \# zs) by fastforce
 then have fst (((Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs)!Suc i) = Q using zs by fastforce
 thus ?case using CptnModSeq2(8) zs by auto
next
 case (CptnModSeq3 \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ s'' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s=Normal\ sa\ by\ auto
 moreover then have (\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s
```

```
using CptnModSeq3(1)
   by (simp add: cp-def cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set)
 moreover have last zs=(Throw, Normal s') using CptnModSeq3(10) CptnMod-
Seq3.hyps(7)
   by (simp\ add:\ prod-eqI)
  ultimately show ?case using CptnModSeq3(7)
   using Cons-lift-append by blast
qed (auto)
lemma Seq-sound2':
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
  a3:fst\ (last\ x)=Throw\ \land\ snd\ (last\ x)=Normal\ s' and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
  \exists xs \ s' \ ys. \ (\Gamma,xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land x = ((map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs)@((Throw, Normal))
s')\#ys))
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: P s s')
 case (CptnModNestOne \ n \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
 then have (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land [(C, s1)] = map \ (lift \ Q) \ [(P,s)]@[(Throw, s1)]
Normal \ s')
   unfolding cp-def lift-def by (simp add: cptn.CptnOne)
  thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
 then have C:C=Seq\ P\ Q unfolding lift-def by fastforce
  have \exists xs \ s' \ ys. \ (\Gamma, \ xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \ \land \ (C, \ t1) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q)
xs@((Throw, Normal s')\#ys)
 proof -
     have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P Q, t1) using C by
    moreover have \forall i < length ((C, t1) \# xsa). fst (((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
      using CptnModNestEnv(5) by fastforce
    moreover have fst (last ((C, t1) \# xsa)) = Throw \land snd (last ((C, t1) \# xsa)) = Throw \land snd
(xsa)) = Normal s'
      using CptnModNestEnv(6)
      by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using CptnModNestEnv(3) CptnModNestEnv(7) env-tran-tail by blast
 then obtain xs s'' ys where hi:(\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \# xsa = map
(lift Q) xs@((Throw, Normal\ s'') \# ys)
   bv fastforce
 have s1-s:s1=s using CptnModNestEnv unfolding cp-def by auto
 have \exists xsa' s'' ys. xs = ((P,t1) \# xsa') \land (n, \Gamma, ((P,t1) \# xsa')) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
```

```
\land (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Throw, Normal s'')\#ys)
       using hi unfolding cp-def
   proof -
              have (n, \Gamma, xs) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land xs!\theta = (P, t1) using hi unfolding
cpn-def by fastforce
           moreover then have xs \neq [] using cptn-mod-nest-call.simps by fastforce
         ultimately obtain xsa' where xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') using SmallStepCon.nth-tl
by fastforce
           thus ?thesis
             using hi using \langle (n, \Gamma, xs) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land xs \mid \theta = (P, t1) \rangle by auto
  then obtain xsa's''ys where xs:xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (n, \Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
                                     (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Throw, Normal))
s^{\prime\prime})#ys)
       by fastforce
  have env-tran:\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s1) \rightarrow_e (P,t1) using CptnModNestEnv Seq-env-P by (metis
fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
     then have (n, \Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using xs env-tran
cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv by blast
   then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
       using cpn-def s1-s by fastforce
  moreover have (C, s1) \# (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift Q) ((P, s1) \# (P, t1) \# xsa')@((Throw, t1) \# (P, t1) \#
Normal s'')#ys)
       using xs C unfolding lift-def by fastforce
    ultimately show ?case by auto
next
    case (CptnModNestSkip)
   thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
   case (CptnModNestThrow)
    thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
    case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
   thus ?case
   proof -
        have a1:\forall c \ p. \ fst \ (case \ p \ of \ (ca::('s, 'a, 'd, 'e) \ LanguageCon.com, \ x::('s, 'd)
xstate) \Rightarrow
                              (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ ca\ c,\ x)) = LanguageCon.com.Seq\ (fst\ p)\ c
           by simp
       then have [] = xsa
       proof -
        have [] \neq zs
             using CptnModNestSeq1 by force
         then show ?thesis
                  by (metis (no-types) LanguageCon.com.distinct(71) One-nat-def Cptn-
ModNestSeq1(3,6)
                                                    last.simps last-conv-nth last-lift)
```

```
qed
   then have \forall c. Throw = c \lor [] = zs
     using CptnModNestSeq1(3) by fastforce
   then show ?thesis
     using CptnModNestSeq1.prems(3) by force
  qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 then have P\theta = P \wedge P1 = Q by auto
 then obtain i where zs:fst\ (zs!i) = Q \land (i < (length\ zs)) using CptnModNestSeq2
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
  then have Suc\ i < length\ ((Seq\ P0\ P1,sa) \# zs)\ by\ fastforce
 then have fst (((Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs)!Suc i) = Q using zs by fastforce
 thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq2(8) zs by auto
  case (CptnModNestSeq3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ s'' \ ys \ zs \ P1)
 then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s=Normal\ sa\ by\ auto
 moreover then have (\Gamma, (P0, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using CptnModNestSeq3(1)
   by (simp add: cpn-def)
 moreover have last zs=(Throw, Normal s') using CptnModNestSeq3(10) Cpt-
nModNestSeq3.hyps(7)
   by (simp \ add: \ prod-eqI)
  ultimately show ?case using CptnModNestSeq3(7)
   using Cons-lift-append by blast
qed (auto)
lemma Last-Skip-Exist-Final:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,x)\in cptn and
  a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
  a3:fst(last\ x) = Skip
shows
  \exists c \ s' \ i. \ i < length \ x \land x!i = (Seq \ c \ Q,s') \land final \ (c,s')
using a0 a1 a2 a3
proof (induct arbitrary: P s)
  case (CptnOne \ \Gamma \ c \ s1) thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (CptnEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ t \ xsa)
 thus ?case
 proof -
   have LanguageCon.com.Seq P Q = C
     using CptnEnv.prems(1) by auto
   then show ?thesis
     using CptnEnv.hyps(3) CptnEnv.prems(2) CptnEnv.prems(3) by fastforce
 qed
next
```

```
case (CptnComp \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ C' \ st' \ xsa)
  then have c-seq:C = (Seq P Q) \land st = s by force
  from CptnComp show ?case proof(cases)
   case (Seqc P1 P1' P2)
   then have \exists c \ s' \ i. \ i < length ((C', st') \# xsa) \land
                    ((C', st') \# xsa) ! i = (LanguageCon.com.Seq c Q, s') \land
                     SmallStepCon.final(c, s')
     using CptnComp last.simps by fastforce
   thus ?thesis by fastforce
  next
   case (SeqThrowc C2 s')
   thus ?thesis
   proof -
     have LanguageCon.com.Seq LanguageCon.com.Throw Q = C
       using \langle C = LanguageCon.com.Seq LanguageCon.com.Throw C2 \rangle c-seq by
blast
     then show ?thesis
       using \langle st = Normal \ s' \rangle unfolding final-def by force
   qed
 next
   case (FaultPropc) thus ?thesis
     using c-seq redex-not-Seq by blast
   case (StuckPropc) thus ?thesis
     using c-seq redex-not-Seq by blast
 next
   case (AbruptPropc) thus ?thesis
    using c-seq redex-not-Seq by blast
 qed (auto)
qed
lemma Seq-sound3:
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q and
  a3:fst(last x) = Skip and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely and
  a5:snd (x!0) \in Normal 'p \wedge Stap rely \wedge Stap rely and
  a6: \Gamma \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, \ rely, \ G, \ q, a]
shows
  False
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
proof (induct \ arbitrary: P \ s \ p)
 case (CptnModNestOne \ n \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
   thus ?case by fastforce
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
 then have C:C=Seq\ P\ Q unfolding lift-def by fastforce
```

```
thus ?case
 proof -
    have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P Q, t1) using C by
auto
    moreover have \forall i < length((C, t1) \# xsa). fst(((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
     using CptnModNestEnv(5) by fastforce
    moreover have fst\ (last\ ((C,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ using
CptnModNestEnv(6)
     by (simp add: SmallStepCon.final-def)
    moreover have snd (((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta) \in Normal ' p
     using CptnModNestEnv(8) CptnModNestEnv(1) CptnModNestEnv(7)
     unfolding env-tran-right-def Sta-def by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
     using CptnModNestEnv(3) CptnModNestEnv(7) CptnModNestEnv(8) Cpt-
nModNestEnv(9) env-tran-tail
     by blast
 \mathbf{qed}
next
 case (CptnModNestSkip)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModNestThrow)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
next
 case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
 obtain cl where fst (last ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs)) = Seq cl
P1
  using CptnModNestSeq1(3) by (metis One-nat-def fst-conv last.simps last-conv-nth
last-lift map-is-Nil-conv)
 thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq1(6) by auto
next
case (CptnModNestSeq2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
 then have P\theta = P \wedge P1 = Q by auto
 then obtain i where zs: fst(zs!i) = Q \wedge (i < (length zs)) using CptnModNestSeq2
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
 thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq2(8) zs by auto
  case (CptnModNestSeq3 n \Gamma P1 sa xsa s' ys zs Q1 )
 have s'-a:s' \in a
 proof -
   have cpnP1:(\Gamma, (P1, Normal sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ (Normal sa)
     using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) unfolding cpn-def
     by fastforce
   then have cpP1:(\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P1 \ (Normal \ sa)
     using \ CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) \ cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod \ cptn-if-cptn-mod
unfolding cp-def cpn-def
    by fastforce
    have map:((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa)\#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)=map\ (lift\ Q1)
```

```
((P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa)
         using CptnModNestSeq3 by (simp add: Cons-lift)
      have (\Gamma,((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ (map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)))
\in assum (p, rely)
      proof -
          have env-tran-right \Gamma ((Language Con.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# (map
            using CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(7) map
                by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(7) Cptn-
ModNestSeq3.prems(4) env-tran-subr)
         thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(12)
         unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by fastforce
      qed
      moreover have (\Gamma, ((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa) \#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)) \in cpn\ n
\Gamma (Seq P1 Q1) (Normal sa)
         using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1)
                  CptnModNestSeq1
         unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
      then have (\Gamma, ((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa) \# (map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)) \in cp\ \Gamma\ (Seq\ P1\ Q1)
Q1) (Normal sa)
         using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) cp-def cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
                  cptn-mod.CptnModSeq1 cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
      ultimately have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in assum (p, rely)
         using assum-map map cpP1 by fastforce
      then have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F
        using cpnP1 CptnModNestSeq3(13) CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1) unfolding
com-validityn-def by auto
      thus ?thesis
         using CptnModNestSeq3(3) CptnModNestSeq3(4)
         unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
   qed
   have fst (last ((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal s') # ys)) = Throw
   proof -
      have cptn:(\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys) \in cptn
         using CptnModNestSeg3(5)
         using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by blast
       moreover have throw-0:((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys)!0 =
(Throw, Normal \ s') \land 0 < length((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal \ s') \# ys)
        moreover have last:last ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ s') # ys) =
((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ s') \# ys)!((length\ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Throw, the properties of the properties 
Normal\ s')\ \#\ ys))-1)
         using last-conv-nth by auto
     moreover have env-tran:env-tran-right \Gamma ((Language Con. com. Throw, Normal
s') # ys) rely
      using CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(7) env-tran-subl env-tran-tail
by blast
     ultimately obtain st' where fst (last ((LanguageCon.com. Throw, Normal s')
```

```
\# ys)) = Throw \land
                                  snd\ (last\ ((LanguageCon.com.Throw,\ Normal\ s')\ \#\ ys)) = Normal
      using zero-throw-all-throw[of \Gamma ((Throw, Normal s') # ys) s' (length ((Throw,
Normal s') # ys))-1 a rely]
                  s'-a CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(12) by fastforce
       thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(10) final-def by blast
    thus ?case using CptnModNestSeq3(10) CptnModNestSeq3(7)
       by force
\mathbf{qed}(\mathit{auto})
lemma map-xs-ys:
   assumes
    a\theta:(\Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod and
    a1:fst\ (last\ ((P0,sa)\ \#\ xsa))=C and
    a2:(\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod and
    a3:zs = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xsa \ @ \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ ((P0, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa))) \ \# \ ys \ and
   a4:((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ \theta=(LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,
s) and
  a5:i < length ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs) \land ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \land ((La
P0 \ P1, \ sa) \# \ zs) ! \ i = (Q, \ sj) \ and
    a6: \forall j < i. \text{ fst } (((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) # zs) ! j) \neq Q
shows
   \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, \ xs) \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land
                      (\Gamma, ys) \in cp \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! (i-1))) \land (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ P0 \ P1,
sa) \# zs = map (lift Q) xs @ ys
proof -
   let ?P0 = (P0, sa) \# xsa
   have P-Q:P=P0 \land s=sa \land Q = P1 using a4 by force
   have i:i=(length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))
   proof (cases i = (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa)))
       case True thus ?thesis by auto
   next
       case False
       then have i:i < (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa)) \lor i > (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa)) by
auto
           assume i:i < (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa))
          then have eq-map: ((Language Con.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs) ! i = map (lift
P1) ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i
          using a3 Cons-lift-append by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-append)
           then have \exists ci \ si. \ map \ (lift \ P1) \ ((P0, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ i = (Seq \ ci \ P1, si)
              using i unfolding lift-def
              proof -
                 have map (\lambda(c, y)). (Language Con.com. Seq c P1, y)) ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i
= (case ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i of (c, x) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq c P1, x))
                      by (meson \langle i < length ((P0, sa) \# xsa) \rangle nth-map)
```

```
then show \exists c \ x. \ map \ (\lambda(c, x). \ (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ P1, x)) \ ((P0, x), x)
sa) \# xsa)! i = (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ P1, \ x)
                     by (simp add: case-prod-beta)
              qed
           then have ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs) ! i \neq (Q, sj)
              using P-Q eq-map by fastforce
           then have ?thesis using a5 by auto
       \mathbf{note}\ l=this
       {
           assume i:i>(length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))
           have fst (((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs) ! (length ?P0)) = Q
            using a P-Q Cons-lift-append by (metis fstI length-map nth-append-length)
          then have ?thesis using a6 i by auto
       thus ?thesis using l i by auto
     qed
     then have (\Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cp \Gamma P s
       using a0 cptn-eq-cptn-mod P-Q unfolding cp-def by fastforce
    also have (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# ssa))) \# ys) \in cp \Gamma Q (snd (?P0!)
((length ?P0) -1)))
       using a3 cptn-eq-cptn-mod P-Q unfolding cp-def
    proof -
       have (\Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod
           using a2 P-Q by blast
        then have (\Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps). ps ! 0 =
(Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# ssa) ! (Suc (length ssa) - 1))) \wedge (\Gamma, ps) \in cptn \wedge f = \Gamma)
          by (simp add: cptn-eq-cptn-mod last-length)
       then show (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps), ps ! 0 =
(Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa) - 1))) \land (\Gamma, ps) \in cptn \land
f = \Gamma
           using P-Q by force
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis using a3 P-Q i using Cons-lift-append by blast
lemma map-xs-ys':
    assumes
    a\theta:(n, \Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
    a1:fst\ (last\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))=C and
    a2:(n,\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
    a3:zs = map \ (lift \ P1) \ xsa \ @ \ (P1, \ snd \ (last \ ((P0, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa))) \ \# \ ys \ and
   a4:((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ \theta=(LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,
s) and
  a5:i < length ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs) \land ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \land ((La
P0 \ P1, \ sa) \# \ zs) ! \ i = (Q, \ sj) \ and
    a6: \forall j < i. \ fst \ (((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ j) \neq Q
shows
   \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, \ xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land
```

```
(\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! \ (i-1))) \land (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ P0)
P1, sa) \# zs = map (lift Q) xs @ ys
proof -
 let ?P0 = (P0, sa) \# xsa
 have P-Q:P=P0 \land s=sa \land Q = P1 using a4 by force
 have i:i=(length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))
 proof (cases i=(length\ ((P0, sa) \# xsa)))
   case True thus ?thesis by auto
  next
   case False
   then have i:i < (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa)) \lor i > (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa)) by
auto
   {
     assume i:i < (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa))
     then have eq\text{-}map:((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ i=map\ (lift
P1) ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i
    using a Cons-lift-append by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-append)
     then have \exists ci \ si. \ map \ (lift \ P1) \ ((P0, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ i = (Seq \ ci \ P1, si)
      using i unfolding lift-def
      proof -
        have map (\lambda(c, y). (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ P1, y)) ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i
= (case ((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! i of (c, x) \Rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Seq c P1, x))
          by (meson \ (i < length \ ((P0, sa) \# xsa)) \ nth-map)
         then show \exists c \ x. \ map \ (\lambda(c, x). \ (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ P1, x)) \ ((P0, x), x) = (P1, x)
sa) \# xsa)! i = (LanguageCon.com.Seq c P1, x)
          by (simp add: case-prod-beta)
      qed
     then have ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ i\neq (Q,\ sj)
      using P-Q eq-map by fastforce
     then have ?thesis using a5 by auto
   note l=this
     assume i:i>(length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))
     have fst (((LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) \# zs)! (length ?P0)) = Q
     using a3 P-Q Cons-lift-append by (metis fstI length-map nth-append-length)
     then have ?thesis using a6 i by auto
   thus ?thesis using l i by auto
  qed
  then have (\Gamma, (P0, sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using a P-Q unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
 also have (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# ssa))) \# ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q (snd (?P0))
! ((length ?P0) -1)))
   using a3 cptn-eq-cptn-mod P-Q unfolding cpn-def
  proof -
   have (n, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using a2 P-Q by blast
```

```
then have (\Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps), ps ! \theta =
(Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! (Suc (length xsa) - 1))) \land
             (n, \Gamma, ps) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land f = \Gamma
     by (simp add: cptn-eq-cptn-mod last-length)
    then show (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps), ps ! 0\}
= (Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa) - 1))) \land (n,\Gamma, ps) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \land f = \Gamma}
     using P-Q by force
 ultimately show ?thesis using a3 P-Q i using Cons-lift-append by blast
lemma Seq-sound4:
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Seq P Q),s) and
  a2:i < length \ x \land x!i = (Q,sj) and
  a3: \forall j < i. fst(x!j) \neq Q and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely and
  a5:snd\ (x!0)\in Normal\ 'p\wedge Sta\ p\ rely\wedge Sta\ a\ rely\ {\bf and}
  a6: \Gamma \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, \ rely, \ G, \ q, a]
shows
  \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, xs) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s) \land (\Gamma, ys) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs!(i-1)))) \land x =
(map\ (lift\ Q)\ xs)@ys
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
proof (induct arbitrary: i \, sj \, P \, s \, p)
  case (CptnModNestOne \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
   thus ?case by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ t \ n \ xsa)
 have a1:Seq\ P\ Q \neq Q by simp
 then have C-seq: C=(Seq P Q) using CptnModNestEnv by fastforce
 then have fst(((C, st) \# (C, t) \# xsa)!0) \neq Q using CptnEnv a1 by auto
 moreover have n-q: fst(((C, st) \# (C, t) \# xsa)!1) \neq Q using CptnModNestEnv
a1 by auto
  moreover have fst(((C, st) \# (C, t) \# xsa)!i) = Q using CptnModNestEnv
\mathbf{by} auto
  ultimately have i-suc: i > (Suc \ \theta)
   by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-lessI add.left-neutral neq0-conv)
  then obtain i' where i':i=Suc\ i' by (meson\ lessE)
  then have i-minus:i'=i-1 by auto
 have c\text{-init}:((C, t) \# xsa) ! \theta = ((Seq P Q), t)
   using CptnModNestEnv by auto
  moreover have i' < length ((C,t) \# xsa) \land ((C,t) \# xsa)! i' = (Q,sj)
   using i' CptnModNestEnv(5) by force
  moreover have \forall j < i'. fst (((C, t) \# xsa) ! j) \neq Q
   using i' CptnModNestEnv(6) by force
```

```
moreover have snd (((C, t) \# xsa) ! \theta) \in Normal 'p
      using CptnModNestEnv(8) CptnModNestEnv(1) CptnModNestEnv(7)
      unfolding env-tran-right-def Sta-def by fastforce
  ultimately have hyp:\exists xs \ ys.
    (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t \wedge
    (\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! \ (i'-1))) \land (C, t) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @
  using CptnModNestEnv(3) env-tran-tail CptnModNestEnv(8) CptnModNestEnv(9)
        CptnModNestEnv.prems(4) by blast
 then obtain xs \ ys \ \text{where} \ xs\text{-}cp\text{:}(\Gamma, \ xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t \ \land
    (\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! \ (i'-1))) \land (C, t) \ \# \ xsa = map \ (lift \ Q) \ xs \ @
ys
   by fast
 have (\Gamma, (P,s)\#xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
 proof -
   have xs!\theta = (P,t)
     using xs-cp unfolding cpn-def by blast
   moreover have xs \neq []
     using xs-cp n-q c-init unfolding cpn-def by auto
    ultimately obtain xs' where xs':(n, \Gamma, (P,t)\#xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \wedge
xs=(P,t)\#xs'
     using SmallStepCon.nth-tl xs-cp unfolding cpn-def by force
   thus ?thesis
   proof -
     have (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P\ Q,\ s) = (C,\ st)
       using CptnModNestEnv.prems(1) by auto
     then have \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t)
       using Seq\text{-}env\text{-}P CptnModNestEnv(1) by blast
     then show ?thesis
       by (simp add:xs' cpn-def cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv)
   qed
  qed
  thus ?case
   using i-suc Cons-lift-append CptnModNestEnv.prems(1) i' i-minus xs-cp
   by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestSkip)
  thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
next
  case (CptnModNestThrow)
  thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Seq fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
  case (CptnModNestSeq1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
 then have P1-Q:P1 = Q by auto
 let ?x = (LanguageCon.com.Seq P0 P1, sa) # zs
  have \forall j < length ?x. \exists c \ s. ?x!j = (Seq \ c \ P1,s)  using CptnModNestSeq1(3)
 proof (induct xsa arbitrary: zs P0 P1 sa)
   case Nil thus ?case by auto
```

```
next
   case (Cons a xsa)
   then obtain ac as where a=(ac,as) by fastforce
   then have zs:zs = (Seq\ ac\ P1, as) \#(map\ (lift\ P1)\ xsa)
     using Cons(2)
     unfolding lift-def by auto
   have zs-eq:(map\ (lift\ P1)\ xsa)=(map\ (lift\ P1)\ xsa) by auto
   note hyp = Cons(1)[OF zs-eq]
   note hyp[of ac as]
    thus ?case using zs Cons(2) by (metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero
length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons')
 thus ?case using P1-Q CptnModNestSeq1(5) using fstI seq-not-eq2 by auto
next
 case (CptnModNestSeg2 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ P1 \ ys \ zs)
  then show ?case using map-xs-ys'|OF CptnModNestSeq2(1) CptnModNest-
Seg2(3) CptnModNestSeg2(4) CptnModNestSeg2(6)
                       CptnModNestSeq2(7) CptnModNestSeq2(8) CptnModNest-
Seq2(9)] by blast
next
 case (CptnModNestSeq3\ n\ \Gamma\ P1\ sa\ xsa\ s'\ ys\ zs\ Q1\ )
 then have P-Q:P=P1 \land Q = Q1 by force
 thus ?case
 proof (cases Q1 = Throw)
    case True thus ?thesis using map-xs-ys'[of n \Gamma P1 Normal sa xsa Throw
Throw ys zs
     CptnModNestSeq3 by fastforce
 next
   case False note q-not-throw=this
   have \forall x. \ x < length \ ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 \ Q1, Normal \ sa) \# zs) \longrightarrow
           ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x \neq (Q,\ sj)
   proof -
   {
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x-less:x < length ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# zs)
     have ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x\neq (Q,\ sj)
     proof (cases \ x < length ((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 \ Q1, Normal \ sa) \# map
(lift\ Q1)\ xsa))
      {f case} True
      then have eq-map: ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x=
map \ (lift \ Q1) \ ((P1, Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ x
       by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift Cons-lift-append CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(7)
True nth-append)
       then have \exists ci \ si. \ map \ (lift \ Q1) \ ((P1, Normal \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ x = (Seq \ ci
Q1,si)
        using True unfolding lift-def
      proof -
        have x < length ((P1, Normal sa) \# xsa)
          using True by auto
```

```
then have map(\lambda(c, y), (LanguageCon.com.Seq c Q1, y)) ((P1, Normal sa))
\# xsa)! x = (case ((P1, Normal sa) \# xsa) ! x of (c, x) <math>\Rightarrow (Language Con. com. Seq
c Q1, x)
          using nth-map by blast
          then show \exists c \ x1. \ map \ (\lambda(c, \ x1). \ (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ Q1, \ x1))
((P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) ! x = (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ c \ Q1, x1)
          by (simp add: case-prod-beta')
       then have ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x \neq (Q,
sj)
        using P-Q eq-map by fastforce
      thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(10) by auto
     next
      {f case}\ {\it False}
      have s'-a:s' \in a
      proof -
      have cpP1:(\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ (Normal \ sa)
       using CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set unfolding cpn-def
by fastforce
      then have cpP1':(\Gamma, (P1, Normal sa) \# xsa) \in cp \Gamma P1 (Normal sa)
        unfolding cpn-def cp-def
        using cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
      have map:((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa)\#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)=map\ (lift\ Q1)
((P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa)
        using CptnModSeq3 by (simp add: Cons-lift)
     have (\Gamma,((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa) \# (map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)))
\in assum (p, rely)
      proof -
       have env-tran-right \Gamma ((Language Con.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# (map
(lift\ Q1)\ xsa))\ rely
          using CptnModNestSeq3(11) CptnModNestSeq3(7) map
          by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(7) Cptn-
ModNestSeq3.prems(4) env-tran-subr)
        thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(12)
        unfolding assum-def env-tran-right-def by fastforce
      qed
      moreover have (\Gamma, ((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa) \# (map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa)) \in cpn
n \Gamma (Seq P1 Q1) (Normal sa)
      using CptnModNestSeq3(7) CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(1) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
cptn-mod-nest-call. \ CptnModNestSeq1
        unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
      then have (\Gamma,((Seq\ P1\ Q1),\ Normal\ sa)\#(map\ (lift\ Q1)\ xsa))\in cp\ \Gamma\ (Seq
P1 \ Q1) \ (Normal \ sa)
        unfolding cpn-def cp-def
        by (simp add: cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod)
       ultimately have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in assum (p, rely)
        using assum-map map cpP1' by fastforce
      then have (\Gamma, (P1, Normal \ sa) \# xsa) \in comm (G,(q,a)) F
```

```
using cpP1 CptnModNestSeq3(13) CptnModNestSeq3.prems(1) unfolding
com	ext{-}validityn	ext{-}def by auto
      \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
        using CptnModNestSeq3(3) CptnModNestSeq3(4)
        unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
     have all-throw: \forall i < length ((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal s') # ys).
           fst (((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys)!i) = Throw
     proof -
      \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
      assume i:i < length ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s')# ys)
    have cptn:(n, \Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normals') \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
        using CptnModNestSeq3(5) by auto
      moreover have throw-0:((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys)!\theta =
(Throw, Normal s') \land \theta < length((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') \# ys)
        by force
       moreover have last:last ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ s') \#\ ys) =
((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normals') # ys)!((length ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normals') # ys))!)
Normal\ s')\ \#\ ys))-1)
        using last-conv-nth by auto
         moreover have env-tran:env-tran-right \Gamma ((LanguageCon.com.Throw,
Normal s') # ys) rely
      \mathbf{using} \ \ CptnModNestSeq3 \ (11) \ \ CptnModNestSeq3 \ (7) \ \ env\text{-}tran\text{-}subl \ env\text{-}tran\text{-}tail
by blast
      ultimately have
          fst\ (((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ s') \#\ ys)!i) = Throw
      using zero-throw-all-throw[of \Gamma ((Throw, Normal s') # ys) s' i a rely]
           s'-a CptnModNestSeg3(12) i
      using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by auto
      thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(10) final-def by blast
     qed
     then have
       \forall x \geq length \ ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa) \ \#\ map \ (lift\ Q1)
xsa).
         x < length (((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# zs)) \longrightarrow
           fst\ (((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x) = Throw
     proof-
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      \mathbf{assume} \ a1{:}x{\ge}\ length\ ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ map
(lift Q1) xsa) and
             a2:x < length (((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) # zs))
      then have ((LanguageCon.com.Seq\ P1\ Q1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x=
                      ((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s') # ys) !(x - (length)
((LanguageCon.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) # map (lift Q1) xsa)))
         using CptnModNestSeq3(7) by (metis Cons-lift Cons-lift-append not-le
nth-append)
```

```
then have fst (((Language Con.com.Seq P1 Q1, Normal sa) \# zs) ! x) =
Throw
           using all-throw at a 2 CptnModNestSeq3.hyps(7) by auto
      } thus ?thesis by auto
      ged
      thus ?thesis using False CptnModNestSeq3(7) q-not-throw P-Q x-less
        by (metis fst-conv not-le)
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    \mathbf{qed}
    thus ?thesis using CptnModNestSeq3(9) by fastforce
qed(auto)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Seq-throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2,s) \to (Throw,\ Normal\ s1)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Seq-skip-c2:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (c2,s)
\mathbf{lemma}\ seq\text{-}skip\text{-}throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Seq\ c1\ c2,s) \to (c2,s) \implies c1 = Skip \lor (c1 = Throw \land (\exists\ s2'.\ s=Normal\ s2'))
apply (rule stepc-elim-cases-Seq-skip-c2)
apply fastforce
apply (auto)+
apply (fastforce intro:redex-not-Seq)+
done
lemma Seq-sound:
      \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
       \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
       \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \text{ sat } [q, R, G, r,a] \Longrightarrow
       \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c2 \ sat \ [q, R, G, r,a] \Longrightarrow
       Sta\ a\ R \land Sta\ p\ R \Longrightarrow (\forall s.\ (Normal\ s, Normal\ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ sat \ [p, R, G, r,a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a] and
    a1: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a] \ and
    a2:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [q, R, G, r,a] \ and
    a3: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c2 \ sat \ [q, R, G, r,a] \ and
    a4: Sta \ a \ R \wedge Sta \ p \ R and
    a5: (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
```

```
assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a1:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
      using a1 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    then have a3: \Gamma \models n_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [q, R, G, r, a]
      using a3 com-cvalidityn-def all-call by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (r,a)) \ F
   proof -
     \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s \ unfolding \ cpn-def \ cp-def
        using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
     have cp:l!0=((Seq\ c1\ c2),s)\land (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def\ c-prod
by fastforce
      have cptn-nest: l! \theta = ((Seq\ c1\ c2), s) \land (n, \Gamma, l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma = \Gamma 1
using a10 cpn-def c-prod by fastforce
      have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
      have c \in comm(G, (r,a)) F
      proof -
      {
      assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
      have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                 (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c(l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i))
                 (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
       using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
       then have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
       then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
         using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
       have (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
              \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                 (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G) \land
             (final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                  ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land)
                    snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ ``r)) \lor
                    (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                    snd (last l) \in Normal `a)
       proof (cases \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) \neq c2)
        case True
        then have no-c2: \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) \neq c2 by assumption
        show ?thesis
         proof (cases final (last l))
           case True
           then obtain s' where fst (last l) = Skip \vee (fst (last l) = Throw \wedge snd
(last \ l) = Normal \ s'
             using final-def by fast
           thus ?thesis
           proof
             assume fst\ (last\ l) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
```

```
then have False
             using no-c2 env-tran-right cptn-nest cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set Seq-sound3
a4 a1 assum
             by blast
           thus ?thesis by auto
            assume asm0:fst (last l) = LanguageCon.com.Throw \land snd (last l) =
Normal\ s'
            then obtain lc1 \ s1' \ ys where cpn-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \wedge l =
((map\ (lift\ c2)\ lc1)@((Throw,\ Normal\ s1')\#ys))
             using Seq-sound2'[of n \Gamma l c1 c2 ss'] cptn-nest cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
env-tran-right no-c2 by blast
           then have cp-lc1:(\Gamma, lc1) \in cp \Gamma c1 s
             using cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod split-conv
             \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{cp\text{-}def}\ \mathit{cpn\text{-}def}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
           let ?m-lc1 = map (lift c2) lc1
           let ?lm-lc1 = (length ?m-lc1)
           let ?last-m-lc1 = ?m-lc1!(?lm-lc1-1)
           have lc1-not-empty:lc1 \neq []
             using \Gamma 1 a10 cpn-lc1 cp by auto
           then have map\text{-}cpn\text{:}(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s
           proof -
             have f1: lc1 ! 0 = (c1, s) \land (n, \Gamma, lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma = \Gamma
               using cpn-lc1 cpn-def by blast
             then have f2: (n, \Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
            by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 f1
lc1-not-empty list.exhaust nth-Cons-0)
             then show ?thesis
               using f2 f1 lc1-not-empty by (simp add: cpn-def lift-def)
           then have map-cp:(\Gamma,?m-lc1) \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s
                    by (metis (no-types, lifting) cp-def cp-lc1 cpn-def lift-is-cptn
mem-Collect-eq split-conv)
           also have map\text{-}assum:(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in assum\ (p,R)
             using sub-assum a10 a11 Γ1 cpn-lc1 lc1-not-empty
             by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl map-is-Nil-conv)
           ultimately have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
             using \Gamma 1 assum-map cp-lc1 by blast
           then have lc1-comm:(\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
             using a1 cpn-lc1 unfolding com-validityn-def by blast
           then have m\text{-}lc1\text{-}comm:(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in comm(G,(q,a)) F
             using map-cp map-assum comm-map cp-lc1 by fastforce
           then have last-m-lc1:last\ (?m-lc1) = (Seq\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,snd\ (last
lc1))
           proof -
             have a000: \forall p \ c. \ (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ (fst \ p) \ c, \ snd \ p) = lift \ c \ p
               using Cons-lift by force
             then show ?thesis
               by (simp add: last-map a000 lc1-not-empty)
```

```
qed
           then have last-length: last (?m-lc1) = ?last-m-lc1
            using lc1-not-empty last-conv-nth list.map-disc-iff by blast
           then have l-map:l!(?lm-lc1-1)=?last-m-lc1
            using cpn-lc1
            by (simp add:lc1-not-empty nth-append)
           then have lm-lc1:l!(?lm-lc1) = (Throw, Normal s1')
             using cpn-lc1 by (meson nth-append-length)
           then have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \rightarrow (l!(?lm-lc1))
           proof -
            have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(?lm-lc1))
            proof -
              have f1: \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ n < na \lor Suc \ (na - Suc \ n) = na - n
                by (meson Suc-diff-Suc)
              have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq [
                by (metis lc1-not-empty map-is-Nil-conv)
              then have f2: 0 < length (map (lift c2) lc1)
                by (meson\ length-greater-0-conv)
               then have length (map (lift c2) lc1) – 1 + 1 < length (map (lift
c2) lc1 @ (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal s1') # ys)
                by simp
              then show ?thesis
                using f2 f1
                by (metis Suc-pred' cp cpn-lc1 cptn-tran-ce-i)
            moreover have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(?lm - lc1 - 1)) \rightarrow_e (l!(?lm - lc1))
            using last-m-lc1 last-length l-map
            proof
              have (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,\ snd\ (last\ lc1)) = l
! (length (map (lift c2) lc1) - 1)
                \mathbf{using}\ l\text{-}map\ last\text{-}m\text{-}lc1\ local.last\text{-}length\ \mathbf{by}\ presburger
              then show ?thesis
                   by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ LanguageCon.com.distinct(71)\ \langle l\ !\ length
(map\ (lift\ c2)\ lc1) = (LanguageCon.com.Throw,\ Normal\ s1') env-c-c')
            ultimately show ?thesis using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
           qed
             then have last-lc1-suc:snd (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) = snd (l!?lm-lc1) \land fst
(l!(?lm-lc1-1)) = Seq Throw c2
            using lm-lc1 stepc-elim-cases-Seq-throw
              by (metis One-nat-def asm0 append-is-Nil-conv cpn-lc1 diff-Suc-less
fst-conv l-map last-conv-nth last-m-lc1 length-greater-0-conv list.simps(3) local.last-length
no-c2 snd-conv)
           then have a-normal:snd(l!?lm-lc1) \in Normal'(a)
           proof
            have last-lc1:fst (last lc1) = Throw \land snd (last lc1) = Normal s1'
            using last-length l-map lm-lc1 last-m-lc1 last-lc1-suc
            by (metis LanguageCon.com.inject(3) fst-conv snd-conv)
            have final (last lc1) using last-lc1 final-def
```

```
by blast
 moreover have snd (last lc1)\notin Fault ' F
   using last-lc1 by fastforce
 ultimately have (fst (last lc1) = Throw \land
        snd (last lc1) \in Normal '(a)
   using lc1-comm last-lc1 unfolding comm-def by force
 thus ?thesis using l-map last-lc1-suc last-m-lc1 last-length by auto
have concl:(\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
 \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
   (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
proof-
{ fix k ns ns'
 assume a00:Suc k < length \ l and
  a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
  then have i\text{-}m\text{-}l: \forall i < ?lm\text{-}lc1 . l!i = ?m\text{-}lc1!i
    using cp-lc1
  proof -
    have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
      by (meson lc1-not-empty list.map-disc-iff)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) cpn-lc1 nth-append)
  have last-not-F:snd (last ?m-lc1) \notin Fault `F
     using l-map last-lc1-suc lm-lc1 last-length by auto
  have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
  proof (cases Suc k < ?lm-lc1)
    case True
    then have a11': \Gamma \vdash_c (?m-lc1!k) \rightarrow (?m-lc1!(Suc\ k))
      using a11 i-m-l True
    proof -
      have \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ \theta < n - Suc \ na \lor na < n
        using diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred zero-less-diff by presburger
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) True a21 i-m-l zero-less-diff)
    then have (snd(?m-lc1!k), snd(?m-lc1!(Suc k))) \in G
   using a11' m-lc1-comm True comm-dest1 l-f last-not-F by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using i-m-l using True by fastforce
  next
    case False
    then have (Suc \ k=?lm-lc1) \lor (Suc \ k>?lm-lc1) by auto
    thus ?thesis
    proof
      {assume suck:(Suc\ k=?lm-lc1)
       then have k:k=?lm-lc1-1 by auto
       have G-s1':(Normal s1', Normal s1')\in G
        using a5 by auto
       then show (snd\ (l!k),\ snd\ (l!Suc\ k)) \in G
```

```
proof -
                    have snd (l!Suc k) = Normal s1'
                      using lm-lc1 suck by fastforce
                   then show ?thesis using suck k G-s1' last-lc1-suc by fastforce
                  qed
                 }
               next
                {
                 assume a001:Suc k > ?lm-lc1
                 have \forall i. i \geq (length \ lc1) \land (Suc \ i < length \ l) \longrightarrow
                        \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)))
                 using lm-lc1 lc1-not-empty
                 proof -
                   have env-tran-right \Gamma l R
                     by (metis env-tran-right)
                   then show ?thesis
                    using a-normal cp fst-conv length-map
                         lm-lc1 only-one-component-tran-j[of \Gamma l ?lm-lc1 s1' a k R]
snd-conv a21 a001 a00
                         a4 by auto
                 qed
                 then have \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
                   using a00 \ a001 by auto
                 then show ?thesis using a21 by fastforce
                }
               qed
              qed
            } thus ?thesis by auto
           qed
           have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `r")) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal `a)
           proof -
             have l-t:fst (last l) = Throw
               using lm-lc1 by (simp \ add: \ asm\theta)
             have ?lm-lc1 \le length \ l-1 using cpn-lc1 by fastforce
             then have snd\ (l\ !\ (length\ l-1)) \in Normal\ `a
               using cp a-normal a4 fst-conv lm-lc1 snd-conv
                    env-tran-right i-throw-all-throw[of \Gamma l?lm-lc1 s1' (length l-1)
- R a
                      by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def diff-is-0-eq diff-less
diff-less-Suc diff-zero image-iff length-greater-0-conv lessI less-antisym list.size(3)
xstate.inject(1)
             thus ?thesis using l-t
               by (simp add: cpn-lc1 last-conv-nth)
           note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
```

```
then show ?thesis using \Gamma 1 c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
          qed
        next
          case False
          then obtain lc1 where cpn-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \land l = map \ (lift
c2) lc1
        using Seq-sound1 assum False no-c2 env-tran-right cptn-nest cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
a4 a1
            by blast
          then have cp-lc1:(\Gamma, lc1) \in cp \Gamma c1 s
               using cp-def cpn-def cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by
fast force
          then have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
            using \Gamma 1 cpn-lc1 a10' a11 assum-map by blast
          then have (\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q,a)) F using cpn-lc1 a1
            by (meson IntI com-validityn-def contra-subsetD)
          then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (r,a)) F
            using comm-map a10' \Gamma1 cp-lc1 cpn-lc1 by blast
          then show ?thesis using l-f
            unfolding comm-def by auto
        qed
      next
        case False
        then obtain k where k-len:k < length \ l \land fst \ (l \ ! \ k) = c2
          by blast
        then have \exists m. (m < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! m) = c2) \land
                 (\forall i < m. \neg (i < length l \land fst (l!i) = c2))
          using a0 exists-first-occ[of (\lambda i. i<length l \wedge fst (l!i) = c2) k]
        then obtain i where a\theta:i < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! i) = c2 \land
                             (\forall j < i. (fst (l!j) \neq c2))
          by fastforce
        then obtain s2 where li:l!i = (c2,s2) by (meson\ eq-fst-iff)
        then obtain lc1 lc2 where cp-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land
                              (\Gamma, lc2) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1!(i-1)))) \land
                              l = (map (lift c2) lc1)@lc2
        using Seq-sound4 [of n \Gamma l c1 c2 s] a0 env-tran-right a4 a1 cptn-nest assum
by blast
        then have cp-lc1':(\Gamma,lc1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land
                  (\Gamma, lc2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1!(i-1))))
          unfolding cp-def cpn-def cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
        have \forall i < length \ l. \ snd \ (l!i) \notin Fault `F
          using cp l-f last-not-F[of \Gamma l F] by blast
        then have i-not-fault:snd (l!i) \notin Fault 'F using a\theta by blast
        have length-c1-map:length lc1 = length (map (lift c2) lc1)
          by fastforce
        then have i-map:i=length\ lc1
          using cp-lc1 li a0 unfolding lift-def
        proof -
```

```
assume a1: (\Gamma, lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \land (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1) \cap lc2)
!(i-1)) \wedge l = map(\lambda(P, s). (LanguageCon.com.Seq P c2, s)) lc1 @ lc2
           have f2: i < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l ! n) \neq i \land (i ! n) \neq i \land (i ! n)
c2)
            using a\theta by blast
           have f3: (LanguageCon.com.Seq (fst (lc1 ! i)) c2, snd (lc1 ! i)) = lift
c2 (lc1!i)
            by (simp add: case-prod-unfold lift-def)
           then have fst (l! length lc1) = c2
            using a1 by (simp add: cpn-def nth-append)
          \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
            using f3 f2 by (metis (no-types) nth-append cp-lc1
               fst-conv length-map lift-nth linorder-neqE-nat seq-and-if-not-eq(4))
        qed
        have lc2-l:\forall j < length lc2. lc2!j = l!(i+j)
          using cp-lc1 length-c1-map i-map a0
        by (metis nth-append-length-plus)
        have lc1-not-empty:lc1 \neq []
          using cp cp-lc1 unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
        have lc2-not-empty:lc2 \neq []
          using a0 cp-lc1 i-map by auto
        have l-is:s2 = snd (last <math>lc1)
        using cp-lc1 li a0 lc1-not-empty i-map unfolding cpn-def
        by (auto simp add: last-conv-nth lc2-l)
        let ?m-lc1 = map (lift c2) lc1
        have last-m-lc1:l!(i-1) = (Seq (fst (last lc1)) c2,s2)
        proof -
          have a000: \forall p \ c. \ (LanguageCon.com.Seq \ (fst \ p) \ c, \ snd \ p) = lift \ c \ p
           using Cons-lift by force
          have length (map (lift c2) lc1) = i
             using i-map by fastforce
          then show ?thesis
           by (metis (no-types) One-nat-def l-is a000 cp-lc1 diff-less last-conv-nth
last-map
          lc1-not-empty length-c1-map length-greater-0-conv less-Suc0 nth-append)
        have last-mcl1-not-F:snd (last ?m-lc1) \notin Fault `F
        proof -
         have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
           by (metis lc1-not-empty list.map-disc-iff)
         then show ?thesis
           by (metis (full-types) One-nat-def i-not-fault l-is last-conv-nth last-snd
lc1-not-empty li snd-conv)
        qed
        have map-cp:(\Gamma,?m-lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s
        proof -
          have f1: lc1 ! 0 = (c1, s) \land (n, \Gamma, lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma = \Gamma
```

```
using cp-lc1 cpn-def by blast
         then have f2: (n,\Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using lc1-not-empty
        by (metis\ Cons-lift\ SmallStep\ Con.nth-tl\ cptn-mod-nest-call.\ CptnModNestSeq1)
         then show ?thesis
           using f2 f1 lc1-not-empty by (simp add: cpn-def lift-def)
       qed
       then have map-cp':(\Gamma,?m-lc1) \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ s
         unfolding cpn-def cp-def
         using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
       also have map\text{-}assum:(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in assum\ (p,R)
         using sub-assum a10 a11 \Gamma1 cp-lc1 lc1-not-empty
         by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl map-is-Nil-conv)
       ultimately have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
        using \Gamma 1 assum-map using assum-map cp-lc1' by blast
       then have lc1-comm:(\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
         using a1 cp-lc1 by (meson IntI com-validityn-def contra-subsetD)
       then have m-lc1-comm:(\Gamma,?m-lc1) \in comm(G,(q,a)) F
         using map-cp' map-assum comm-map cp-lc1' by fastforce
       then have i-step:\Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \to (l!i)
       proof -
         have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(i))
         proof -
           have f1: \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ n < na \lor Suc \ (na - Suc \ n) = na - n
             by (meson Suc-diff-Suc)
           have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
             by (metis lc1-not-empty map-is-Nil-conv)
           then have f2: 0 < length (map (lift c2) lc1)
             by (meson length-greater-0-conv)
           then have length (map (lift c2) lc1) – 1 + 1 < length (map (lift c2)
lc1 @ lc2)
             using f2 lc2-not-empty by simp
           then show ?thesis
           using f2 f1
            proof -
             have \theta < i
               using f2 i-map by blast
             then show ?thesis
                  by (metis (no-types) One-nat-def Suc-diff-1 a0 add.right-neutral
add-Suc-right cp cptn-tran-ce-i)
            qed
         \mathbf{qed}
         moreover have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \rightarrow_e (l!i)
           using li last-m-lc1
           by (metis (no-types, lifting) env-c-c' seq-and-if-not-eq(4))
         ultimately show ?thesis using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
       then have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(Seq\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,s2)\to (c2,\ s2)
         using last-m-lc1 li by fastforce
       then obtain s2' where
```

```
last-lc1:fst\ (last\ lc1) = Skip\ \lor
  fst (last lc1) = Throw \land (s2 = Normal s2')
  using seq-skip-throw by blast
have final:final (last lc1)
  using last-lc1 l-is unfolding final-def by auto
have normal-last:fst (last lc1) = Skip \land snd (last lc1) \in Normal ' q \lor
             fst\ (last\ lc1) = Throw \land snd\ (last\ lc1) \in Normal\ `(a)
proof -
  have snd (last lc1) \notin Fault ' F
    using i-not-fault l-is li by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using final comm-dest2 lc1-comm by blast
qed
obtain s2' where lastlc1-normal:snd (last lc1) = Normal s2'
  using normal-last by blast
then have Normals2:s2 = Normal \ s2' by (simp \ add: \ l-is)
have Gs2': (Normal s2', Normal s2')\in G using a5 by auto
have concl:
  (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
  \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
    (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
proof-
{ fix k
  assume a00:Suc k < length l and
   a21:\Gamma\vdash_{c}(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
   have i-m-l:\forall j < i . l!j = ?m-lc1!j
   proof -
    have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
      by (meson lc1-not-empty list.map-disc-iff)
    then show ?thesis
         using cp-lc1 i-map length-c1-map by (fastforce simp:nth-append)
   qed
   have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
   proof (cases Suc k < i)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    then have a11': \Gamma \vdash_c (?m-lc1!k) \rightarrow (?m-lc1!(Suc\ k))
      using all i-m-l True
    proof -
      have \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ 0 < n - Suc \ na \lor na < n
        using diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred zero-less-diff by presburger
      then show ?thesis using True a21 i-m-l by force
    qed
    have Suc \ k < length \ ?m-lc1 using True \ i-map length-c1-map by metis
    then have (snd(?m-lc1!k), snd(?m-lc1!(Suc k))) \in G
     using a11' last-mcl1-not-F m-lc1-comm True i-map length-c1-map
          comm-dest1[of \Gamma]
      by blast
```

```
next
           {f case}\ {\it False}
           have (Suc \ k=i) \lor (Suc \ k>i) using False by auto
           thus ?thesis
           proof
            { assume suck:(Suc\ k=i)
           then have k:k=i-1 by auto
             then show (snd\ (l!k),\ snd\ (l!Suc\ k)) \in G
             proof -
               have snd (l!Suc k) = Normal s2'
                using Normals2 suck li by auto
               moreover have snd (l!k) = Normal s2'
                using Normals2 k last-m-lc1 by fastforce
               moreover have \exists p. p \in G
                by (meson case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq Gs2')
               ultimately show ?thesis using suck k Normals2
                using Gs2' by force
             qed
           }
           next
             assume a001:Suc k>i
             then have k:k \ge i by fastforce
             then obtain k' where k':k=i+k'
               using add.commute le-Suc-ex by blast
             {assume throw: c2 = Throw \land fst (last lc1) = Throw
              then have s2\text{-}in:s2' \in a
               using Normals2 i-map normal-last li lastlc1-normal
               using image-iff\ snd-conv\ xstate.inject(1) by auto
              then have \forall k. \ k \geq i \land (Suc \ k < length \ l) \longrightarrow
                       \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
               using Normals2 li lastlc1-normal a21 a001 a00 a4
                    a0 throw env-tran-right only-one-component-tran-j snd-conv
               by (metis cp env-tran-right)
           then have ?thesis using a21 a001 k a00 by blast
             } note left=this
             {assume \neg (c2 = Throw \land fst (last lc1) = Throw)
              then have fst (last lc1) = Skip
               using last-m-lc1 last-lc1
               by (metis step a0 l-is li prod.collapse stepc-Normal-elim-cases(11)
stepc-Normal-elim-cases(5))
              then have s2-normal:s2 \in Normal ' q
                using normal-last lastlc1-normal Normals2
               by fastforce
              have length-lc2:length\ l=i+length\ lc2
                   using i-map cp-lc1 by fastforce
              have (\Gamma, lc2) \in assum (q, R)
```

thus ?thesis using i-m-l using True by fastforce

```
proof -
         have left:snd\ (lc2!0) \in Normal\ 'q
           using li lc2-l s2-normal lc2-not-empty by fastforce
           \mathbf{fix} \ j
           assume j-len:Suc j<length lc2 and
                 j-step:\Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!j) \rightarrow_e (lc2!(Suc\ j))
           then have suc\text{-}len:Suc\ (i+j)< length\ l\ using\ j\text{-}len\ length\text{-}lc2
             by fastforce
           also then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i+j)) \rightarrow_e (l! (Suc (i+j)))
              using lc2-l j-step j-len by fastforce
           ultimately have (snd(lc2!j), snd(lc2!(Suc j))) \in R
              using assum suc-len lc2-l j-len cp by fastforce
         then show ?thesis using left
           unfolding assum-def by fastforce
       qed
       also have (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ s2
            using cp-lc1 i-map l-is last-conv-nth lc1-not-empty by fastforce
       ultimately have comm-lc2:(\Gamma,lc2) \in comm (G, (r,a)) F
         using a3 unfolding com-validityn-def by auto
       have lc2-last-f:snd (last <math>lc2) \notin Fault ' F
         using lc2-l lc2-not-empty l-f cp-lc1 by fastforce
       have suck': Suck' < length lc2
         using k' a00 length-lc2 by arith
       moreover then have \Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!k') \rightarrow (lc2!(Suc\ k'))
         using k' lc2-l a21 by fastforce
       ultimately have (snd (lc2! k'), snd (lc2! Suc k')) \in G
         using comm-lc2 lc2-last-f comm-dest1 [of \Gamma lc2 G r a F k']
       then have ?thesis using suck' lc2-l k' by fastforce
      then show ?thesis using left by auto
    }
    qed
   qed
 } thus ?thesis by auto
qed note left=this
have right:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
         ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
          snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ r)) \lor
          (fst (last l) = Throw \land
          snd (last l) \in Normal `a)
proof -
{ assume final-l:final (last l)
 \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{eq-last-lc2-l:last}\ \mathit{l=last}\ \mathit{lc2}\ \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:}\ \mathit{cp-lc1}\ \mathit{lc2-not-empty})
 then have final-lc2:final (last lc2) using final-l by auto
```

```
{
           assume lst-lc1-throw:fst (last lc1) = Throw
           then have c2-throw:c2 = Throw
             using lst-lc1-throw step lastlc1-normal stepc-elim-cases-Seq-skip-c2
             bv fastforce
           have s2\text{-}a:s2 \in Normal ' (a)
             using normal-last
             by (simp add: lst-lc1-throw l-is)
           have all-ev: \forall k < length \ l - 1. k \ge i \land (Suc \ k < length \ l) \longrightarrow
                        \Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))
           proof -
             have s2-in:s2' \in a
               using Normals2 i-map normal-last li lastlc1-normal
              using image-iff snd-conv xstate.inject(1) lst-lc1-throw by auto
             then have \forall k. \ k \geq i \land (Suc \ k < length \ l) \longrightarrow
                         \neg(\Gamma\vdash_{c}(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
               using Normals2 li lastlc1-normal a4
                  a0 c2-throw env-tran-right only-one-component-tran-j snd-conv
               by (metis cp env-tran-right)
          thus ?thesis by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 cp cptn-tran-ce-i step-ce-elim-cases)
           qed
           then have Throw:fst\ (l!(length\ l-1)) = Throw
           using cp c2-throw a0 cptn-i-env-same-prog[of \Gamma l ((length l)-1) i]
             by fastforce
            then have snd\ (l!(length\ l-1)) \in Normal\ `(a) \land fst\ (l!(length\ l-1)) \in length\ l-1)
1)) = Throw
             using all-ev a0 s2-a li a4 env-tran-right stability[of a R l i (length l)
-1 - \Gamma Throw
             by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred length-greater-0-conv
                      lessI\ linorder-not-less\ list.size(3)
                      not-less0 not-less-eq-eq snd-conv)
           then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ ``r)) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal '(a)
          using a0 by (metis last-conv-nth list.size(3) not-less0)
         \} note left = this
         { assume fst (last lc1) = Skip
           then have s2-normal:s2 \in Normal ' q
             using normal-last lastlc1-normal Normals2
             by fastforce
           have length-lc2:length\ l=i+length\ lc2
                 using i-map cp-lc1 by fastforce
           have (\Gamma, lc2) \in assum (q, R)
           proof -
             have left:snd\ (lc2!\theta) \in Normal 'q
               using li lc2-l s2-normal lc2-not-empty by fastforce
             {
```

```
assume j-len:Suc j<length lc2 and
                     j-step:\Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!j) \rightarrow_e (lc2!(Suc\ j))
               then have suc-len:Suc (i + j) < length l using j-len length-lc2
                by fastforce
              also then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i+j)) \rightarrow_e (l! (Suc (i+j)))
                 using lc2-l j-step j-len by fastforce
              ultimately have (snd(lc2!j), snd(lc2!(Suc\ j))) \in R
                 using assum suc-len lc2-l j-len cp by fastforce
             then show ?thesis using left
              unfolding assum-def by fastforce
           qed
           also have (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ s2
             using cp-lc1 i-map l-is last-conv-nth lc1-not-empty by fastforce
           ultimately have comm-lc2:(\Gamma,lc2) \in comm (G,(r,a)) F
             using a3 unfolding com-validityn-def by auto
           have lc2-last-f:snd (last lc2)\notin Fault ' F
             using lc2-l lc2-not-empty l-f cp-lc1 by fastforce
           then have ((fst (last lc2) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ lc2) \in Normal\ '\ r)) \lor
                 (fst (last lc2) = Throw \land
                 snd (last lc2) \in Normal 'a)
           using final-lc2 comm-lc2 unfolding comm-def by auto
           then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal (r) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal 'a)
           using eq-last-lc2-l by auto
        then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `r)) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal 'a)
          using left using last-lc1 by auto
       } thus ?thesis by auto ged
    thus ?thesis using left l-f \Gamma1 unfolding comm-def by force
    } thus ?thesis using \Gamma 1 unfolding comm-def by auto qed
  } thus ?thesis by auto qed
} thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma Catch-env-P:assumes a\theta:\Gamma\vdash_c(Catch\ P\ Q,s)\to_e(Catch\ P\ Q,t)
     shows \Gamma \vdash_c (P,s) \to_e (P,t)
using a\theta
by (metis env-not-normal-s snormal-environment)
lemma map-catch-eq-state:
```

 $\mathbf{fix} \ j$

```
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift-catch c2) l2
  \forall i < length \ l1. \ snd \ (l1!i) = snd \ (l2!i)
using a\theta a1 a2 unfolding cp\text{-}def
by (simp add: snd-lift-catch)
lemma map-eq-catch-c:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map (lift-catch c2) l2
shows
  \forall i < length \ l1. \ fst \ (l1!i) = Catch \ (fst \ (l2!i)) \ c2
proof -
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ i \}
  assume a3:i < length 11
  have fst (l1!i) = Catch (fst (l2!i)) c2
  using a0 a1 a2 a3 unfolding lift-catch-def
    by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
  }thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma same-env-catch-c:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift-catch \ c2) \ l2
\forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
            \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
proof -
  have a\theta a:(\Gamma, l1) \in cptn \land l1!\theta = ((Catch\ c1\ c2), s)
    using a\theta unfolding cp-def by blast
  have a1a: (\Gamma, l2) \in cptn \land l2!0 = (c1,s)
    using a1 unfolding cp-def by blast
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume a3:Suc i < length l2
    have \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc\ i)) =
            \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
    proof
      assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow_e l2 ! Suc i
     obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i = (c1si,s1si)
        by fastforce
     obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2!Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
```

```
by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Catch \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Catch \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e (l1 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
       by (metis Env-n env-c-c' env-not-normal-s step-e.Env)
    {
     assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow_e l1 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1 ! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2! Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Catch \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Catch \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow_e (l2 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
          by (metis Env-n LanguageCon.com.inject(9) env-c-c' env-not-normal-s
step-e.Env)
   }
   \mathbf{qed}
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma same-comp-catch-c:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift-catch \ c2) \ l2
\forall i. \ Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
proof -
  have a\theta a:(\Gamma,l1) \in cptn \land l1!\theta = ((Catch\ c1\ c2),s)
   using a\theta unfolding cp-def by blast
  have a1a: (\Gamma, l2) \in cptn \land l2!0 = (c1,s)
   using a1 unfolding cp-def by blast
   \mathbf{fix} i
```

```
assume a3:Suc i < length l2
   have \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc\ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   proof
    {
     assume a4:\Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow l2 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1 ! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i=(c1si,s1si)
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2! Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Catch \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Catch \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 map-eq-catch-c l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod map-eq-state l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow (l1 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod
       by (simp add: Catchc)
   }
     assume a4:\Gamma\vdash_c l1 ! i \rightarrow l1 ! Suc i
    obtain c1i s1i c1si s1si where l1prod:l1! i=(c1i,s1i) \land l1!Suc i = (c1si,s1si)
       by fastforce
    obtain c2i \ s2i \ c2si \ s2si where l2prod:l2 \ ! \ i=(c2i,s2i) \land l2!Suc \ i=(c2si,s2si)
       by fastforce
     then have c1i = (Catch \ c2i \ c2) \land c1si = (Catch \ c2si \ c2)
       using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 l1prod
      by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     also have s2i=s1i \land s2si=s1si
       using a0 a1 a4 a2 a3 l2prod l1prod
       by (simp add: lift-catch-def)
     ultimately show \Gamma \vdash_c l2 ! i \rightarrow (l2 ! Suc i)
       using a4 l1prod l2prod stepc-elim-cases-Catch-Catch Catch-not-c
       by (metis (no-types))
   }
   qed
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma assum-map-catch:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) \land ((\Gamma,l1) \in assum(p,\ R)) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift-catch \ c2) \ l2
shows
  ((\Gamma, l2) \in assum(p, R))
proof -
```

```
have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow_e (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow_e (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-env-catch-c by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd(\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2: fst (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd(\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a1 cp-def by blast
  have eq-length:length l1 = length l2 using a2 by auto
  obtain s' where normal-s:s = Normal s'
    using a0 unfolding cp-def assum-def by fastforce
  then have p1:s' \in p using a0 unfolding cp-def assum-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof -
   let ?c = (\Gamma, l2)
   have l:snd((snd ?c!\theta)) \in Normal `(p)
     using p1 drop-k-s a1 normal-s unfolding cp-def by auto
     assume a00:Suc i < length (snd ?c)
     assume a11:(fst ?c)\vdash_c((snd ?c)!i) \rightarrow_e ((snd ?c)!(Suc i))
     have (snd((snd ?c)!i), snd((snd ?c)!(Suc i))) \in R
     using a0 a1 a2 a3 map-catch-eq-state unfolding assum-def
     using a00 a11 eq-length by fastforce
    } thus (\Gamma, l2) \in assum (p, R)
      using l unfolding assum-def by fastforce
  qed
qed
lemma comm-map'-catch:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma, l2) \in comm(G, (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift-catch \ c2) \ l2
shows
  snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow (Suc\ k < length\ l1 \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
       (snd(l1!k), snd(l1!(Suc k))) \in G) \land
   (fst\ (last\ l1) = (Catch\ c\ c2) \land final\ (c,\ snd\ (last\ l1)) \longrightarrow
      (fst (last l1) = (Catch Skip c2) \land
        (snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ 'q) \lor
      (fst (last l1) = (Catch Throw c2) \land
        snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `(a))))
proof -
  have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-comp-catch-c
   by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst\ (\Gamma,l1)=\Gamma \wedge snd\ (\Gamma,l1)=l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2:fst (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd (\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a1 cp-def by blast
```

```
have eq-length: length l1 = length l2 using a2 by auto
have len0:length \ l2>0 using a1 unfolding cp-def
   using cptn.simps by fastforce
then have len0:length\ l1>0 using eq-length by auto
then have l1-not-empty:l1 \neq [] by auto
then have l2-not-empty:l2 \neq [] using a2 by blast
have last-lenl1:last l1 = l1!((length l1) -1)
     using last-conv-nth l1-not-empty by auto
have last-lenl2:last l2 = l2!((length l2) - 1)
    using last-conv-nth l2-not-empty by auto
have a03:snd (last l2) \notin Fault 'F \longrightarrow (\forall i \ ns \ ns'.
            Suc i < length (snd (\Gamma, l2)) \longrightarrow
                  fst (\Gamma, l2) \vdash_c ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!i) \rightarrow ((snd (\Gamma, l2))!(Suc i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
            (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2)))\ -
               ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2))) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
               (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Throw \land
                 snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2))) \in Normal\ `(a)))
using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
show ?thesis unfolding comm-def
proof -
\{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
 assume a00a:snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F
 assume a00:Suc k < length 11
 then have k \leq length \ l1 using a2 by fastforce
 have a00:Suc k < length \ l2 using eq-length a00 by fastforce
 then have a00a:snd (last l2) \notin Fault ' F
 proof-
   have snd\ (l1!((length\ l1)\ -1)) = snd\ (l2!((length\ l2)\ -1))
     using a2 a1 a0 map-catch-eq-state eq-length l2-not-empty last-snd
     by fastforce
   then have snd(last l2) = snd(last l1)
     using last-lenl1 last-lenl2 by auto
   thus ?thesis using a00a by auto
 qed
 then have snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \ \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
   (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!k), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(k))) \in G
 using pair-\Gamma l1 pair-\Gamma l2 a00 a03 a3 eq-length a00a
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Suc\text{-}lessD}\ \mathit{a0}\ \mathit{a1}\ \mathit{a2}\ \mathit{map\text{-}catch\text{-}eq\text{-}state})
} note l=this
{
 assume a00: fst (last l1) = (Catch c c2) \land final (c, snd (last l1)) and
        a01:snd (last (l1)) \notin Fault 'F
 then have c:c=Skip \lor c=Throw
  unfolding final-def by auto
 then have fst-last-l2:fst (last l2) = c
  using last-lenl1 a00 l1-not-empty eq-length len0 a2 last-conv-nth last-lift-catch
```

```
by fastforce
   also have last-eq:snd (last l2) = snd (last l1)
     using l2-not-empty a2 last-conv-nth last-lenl1 last-snd-catch
     bv fastforce
   ultimately have final (fst (last l2),snd (last l2))
    using a00 by auto
   then have final (last l2) by auto
   also have snd (last (l2)) \notin Fault 'F
      using last-eq a01 by auto
   ultimately have (fst (last l2)) = Skip \land
                   snd (last l2) \in Normal 'q \lor
                 (fst (last l2) = Throw \land
                   snd (last l2) \in Normal '(a)
   using a03 by auto
   then have (fst (last l1) = (Catch Skip c2) \land
                   snd (last l1) \in Normal 'q) \lor
                 (fst (last l1) = (Catch Throw c2) \land
                   snd (last l1) \in Normal '(a)
   using last-eq fst-last-l2 a00 by force
  thus ?thesis using l by auto qed
qed
lemma comm-map"-catch:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma, \ l2) \in comm(G, \ (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map (lift-catch c2) l2
shows
  snd\ (last\ l1) \notin Fault\ `F \longrightarrow ((Suc\ k < length\ l1 \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
      (snd(l1!k), snd(l1!(Suc\ k))) \in G) \land
   (final\ (last\ l1) \longrightarrow
     (fst (last l1) = Skip \land
        (snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `r) \lor
     (fst (last l1) = Throw \land
        snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `a))))
proof -
  have a3: \forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l2!i) \rightarrow (l2!(Suc \ i)) =
           \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!i) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ i))
   using a0 a1 a2 same-comp-catch-c
   by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l1:fst\ (\Gamma, l1) = \Gamma \wedge snd\ (\Gamma, l1) = l1 by fastforce
  have pair-\Gamma l2:fst (\Gamma, l2) = \Gamma \wedge snd (\Gamma, l2) = l2 by fastforce
  have drop-k-s:l2!0 = (c1,s) using a1 cp-def by blast
  have eq-length: length l1 = length \ l2 using a2 by auto
  have len\theta:length\ l2>0 using a1 unfolding cp-def
```

```
using cptn.simps by fastforce
then have len\theta: length l1>0 using eq-length by auto
then have l1-not-empty:l1 \neq [] by auto
then have l2-not-empty:l2 \neq [] using a2 by blast
have last-lenl1:last l1 = l1!((length \ l1) - 1)
     using last-conv-nth l1-not-empty by auto
have last-lenl2:last l2 = l2!((length l2) - 1)
    using last-conv-nth l2-not-empty by auto
have a03:snd (last l2) \notin Fault 'F \longrightarrow (\forall i \ ns \ ns'.
           Suc i < length \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2)) \longrightarrow
                  fst \ (\Gamma, \ l2) \vdash_c ((snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2))!i) \rightarrow ((snd \ (\Gamma, \ l2))!(Suc \ i)) \longrightarrow
              (snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!i), snd((snd(\Gamma, l2))!(Suc(i))) \in G) \land
           (final\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2))) \longrightarrow
              ((fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Skip \land
                snd (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) \in Normal (q)) \vee
               (fst (last (snd (\Gamma, l2))) = Throw \land
                snd\ (last\ (snd\ (\Gamma,\ l2))) \in Normal\ `(a)))
using a1 unfolding comm-def by fastforce
show ?thesis unfolding comm-def
proof -
\{ \text{ fix } k \text{ } ns \text{ } ns' \}
 \mathbf{assume}\ a00a{:}snd\ (last\ l1)\notin Fault\ `F
 assume a00:Suc k < length 11
 then have k \leq length \ l1 using a2 by fastforce
 have a00:Suc k < length l2 using eq-length a00 by fastforce
 then have a00a:snd (last l2) \notin Fault ' F
 proof-
   have snd\ (l1!((length\ l1)\ -1)) = snd\ (l2!((length\ l2)\ -1))
     using a2 a1 a0 map-catch-eq-state eq-length l2-not-empty last-snd
     by fastforce
   then have snd(last l2) = snd(last l1)
     using last-lenl1 last-lenl2 by auto
   thus ?thesis using a00a by auto
 qed
 then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l1!k) \rightarrow (l1!(Suc\ k)) \longrightarrow
     (snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!k), snd((snd(\Gamma, l1))!(Suc(k))) \in G
    using pair-Γl1 pair-Γl2 a00 a03 a3 eq-length a00a
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) a2 Suc-lessD nth-map snd-lift-catch)
 } note l = this
  {
  assume a00: final (last l1)
  then have c:fst (last l1)=Skip \vee fst (last l1) = Throw
    unfolding final-def by auto
  moreover have fst (last l1) = Catch (fst (last l2)) c2
    using a2 last-lenl1 eq-length
   proof -
     have last l2 = l2! (length l2 - 1)
       using l2-not-empty last-conv-nth by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis
          by (metis One-nat-def a2 l2-not-empty last-lenl1 last-lift-catch)
      ultimately have False by simp
    } thus ?thesis using l by auto qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma comm-map-catch:
assumes
  a\theta:(\Gamma,l1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s) and
  a1:(\Gamma,l2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land (\Gamma,\ l2) \in comm(G,\ (q,a)) \ F \ and
  a2:l1=map \ (lift-catch \ c2) \ l2
shows
  (\Gamma, l1) \in comm(G, (r,a)) F
proof -
  \{ \text{fix } i \text{ ns } ns' \}
  have snd (last l1) \notin Fault ' F \longrightarrow (Suc \ i < length \ (l1) \longrightarrow
       \Gamma \vdash_c (l1 ! i) \rightarrow (l1 ! (Suc i)) \longrightarrow
        (snd\ (l1\ !\ i),\ snd\ (l1\ !\ Suc\ i))\in G)\land
        (SmallStepCon.final\ (last\ l1) \longrightarrow
                   fst (last l1) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land
                   snd\ (last\ l1) \in Normal\ `r \lor
                   fst\ (last\ l1) = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land
                   snd (last l1) \in Normal 'a)
      using comm-map"-catch[of \Gamma l1 c1 c2 s l2 G q a F i r] a0 a1 a2
      by fastforce
   } then show ?thesis using comm-def unfolding comm-def by force
qed
lemma Catch-sound1:
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Catch \ P \ Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
  a3:\neg final (last x) and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
  \exists xs. (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land x = map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: P s)
  \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{CptnModNestOne}\ n\ \Gamma\ \mathit{C}\ \mathit{s1})
  then have (\Gamma, [(P,s)]) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \wedge [(C, s1)] = map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ [(P,s)]
    unfolding cpn-def lift-catch-def
    by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestOne)
  thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
  then have C: C = Catch \ P \ Q unfolding lift-catch-def by fastforce
  have \exists xs. (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift-catch Q) xs
```

```
proof -
    have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (Catch P Q, t1) using C by auto
    moreover have \forall i < length((C, t1) \# xsa). fst(((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
      using CptnModNestEnv(5) by fastforce
     moreover have \neg SmallStepCon.final (last ((C, t1) # xsa)) using Cptn-
ModNestEnv(6)
     by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using CptnModNestEnv(3) CptnModNestEnv(7) env-tran-tail by blast
 qed
  then obtain xs where hi:(\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \ \land (C, t1) \ \# \ xsa = map
(lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs
   by fastforce
 have s1-s:s1=s using CptnModNestEnv unfolding cpn-def by auto
 obtain xsa' where xs:xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (n,\Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
               (C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift-catch Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')
   using hi unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
 have env\text{-}tran:\Gamma\vdash_c(P,s1)\rightarrow_e(P,t1) using CptnModNestEnv Catch\text{-}env\text{-}P by
(metis fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 then have (n,\Gamma,(P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using xs env-tran
   using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv by blast
 then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using cpn-def s1-s by fastforce
 moreover have (C,s1)\#(C,t1) \# xsa = map (lift-catch Q) ((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\# xsa')
   using xs C unfolding lift-catch-def by fastforce
 ultimately show ?case by auto
next
 case (CptnModNestSkip)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Catch fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModNestThrow)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Catch fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
 then have a1:LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P\ Q = LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1
   by fastforce
 have f1: sa = s
   using CptnModNestCatch1.prems(1) by force
 have f2: P = P0 \land Q = P1 using a1 by auto
 have (n,\Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call
   by (metis\ CptnModNestCatch1.hyps(1))
 hence (\Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using f2 f1 by (simp add: cpn-def)
 thus ?case
   using Cons-lift-catch CptnModNestCatch1.hyps(3) a1 by blast
next
case (CptnModNestCatch2\ n\ \Gamma\ P1\ sa\ xsa\ ys\ zs\ Q1)
```

```
have final (last ((Skip, sa)# ys))
 proof -
  have cptn:(n, \Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using CptnModNestCatch2(4) by (simp add: cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set)
   then have cptn':(\Gamma, (Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn
     using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by blast
   moreover have throw-0:((Skip,snd\ (last\ ((P1,sa)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys)!\theta = (Skip,snd)
snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \land 0 < length((Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \#
ys)
     by force
   moreover have last:last ((Skip,snd\ (last\ ((P1,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys)=
                    ((Skip,snd\ (last\ ((P1,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys)!((length\ ((Skip,snd
(last\ ((P1,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)))\ \#\ ys))-1)
     using last-conv-nth by auto
   moreover have env-tran: env-tran-right \Gamma ((Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) # xsa)))
\# ys) rely
   using CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6) CptnModNestCatch2.prems(4) env-tran-subl
env-tran-tail by blast
   ultimately obtain st' where fst (last ((Skip,snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \#
ys)) = Skip \wedge
                  snd (last ((Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys)) = Normal
st'
    using CptnModNestCatch2 zero-skip-all-skip[of \Gamma ((Skip,snd (last ((P1, sa)
\# xsa))) \# ys) (length ((Skip, snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys))-1]
    by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) SmallStepCon.final-def append-Cons diff-less
fst-conv
       last-appendR\ list.simps(3)\ zero-less-one)
   thus ?thesis using final-def by (metis fst-conv last.simps)
 qed
 thus ?case
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(3) CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6)
        CptnModNestCatch2.prems(3) SmallStepCon.final-def append-is-Nil-conv
last.simps
       last-appendR \ list.simps(3) \ prod.collapse)
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch3 n \Gamma P0 sa xsa sa' P1 ys zs)
 then have P0 = P \wedge P1 = Q by auto
 then obtain i where zs:fst (zs!i) = Q \land (i < (length zs))
   using CptnModNestCatch3
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
 then have Suc \ i < length \ ((Catch \ P0 \ P1, Normal \ sa) \# zs) by fastforce
  then have fst (((Catch P0 P1, Normal sa) # zs)!Suc i) = Q using zs by
fastforce
 thus ?case using CptnModNestCatch3(9) zs by auto
qed (auto)
```

```
lemma Catch-sound2:
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a1:x!\theta = ((Catch \ P \ Q),s) and
  a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
  a3:fst\ (last\ x)=Skip\ {\bf and}
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
  \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land x = ((map \ (lift-catch \ Q) \ xs)@((Skip, snd(last
(xs)
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: P s)
 case (CptnModNestOne \ n \ \Gamma \ C \ s1)
 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
 then have C: C = Catch \ P \ Q  unfolding lift-catch-def by fastforce
 have \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, \ xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, \ t1) \ \# \ xsa =
              map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs@((Skip,\ snd(last\ xs)) \# ys)
 proof -
    have ((C, t1) \# xsa) ! \theta = (LanguageCon.com.Catch P Q, t1) using C by
auto
    moreover have \forall i < length((C, t1) \# xsa). fst(((C, t1) \# xsa) ! i) \neq Q
      using CptnModNestEnv(5) by fastforce
    moreover have fst\ (last\ ((C,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa)) = Skip\ using\ CptnModNestEnv(6)
      by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using CptnModNestEnv(3) CptnModNestEnv(7) env-tran-tail by blast
  qed
  then obtain xs ys where hi:(\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t1 \land (C, t1) \# xsa = map
(lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs@((Skip,snd(last\ ((P,\ t1)\#xs)))\#ys)
   by fastforce
  have s1-s:s1=s using CptnModNestEnv unfolding cp-def by auto
  have \exists xsa' \ ys. \ xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (n,\Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land
(C, t1) \# xsa = map (lift-catch Q) ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Skip, snd(last xs))\#ys)
   using hi unfolding cp-def
  proof -
       have (n,\Gamma,xs)\in cptn-mod-nest-call \wedge xs!\theta = (P,t1) using hi unfolding
cpn-def by fastforce
     moreover then have xs \neq [] using CptnEmpty\ calculation\ by blast
     moreover obtain xsa' where xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') using SmallStepCon.nth-tl
calculation by fastforce
     ultimately show ?thesis
       using hi by auto
 qed
 then obtain xsa' ys where xs:xs=((P,t1)\#xsa') \land (n,\Gamma,((P,t1)\#xsa')) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
\wedge (C, t1) # xsa =
                                    map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ ((P,t1)\#xsa')@((Skip,snd(last
```

```
((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa'))\#ys)
   by fastforce
  have env-tran:\Gamma \vdash_c (P,s1) \rightarrow_e (P,t1) using CptnModNestEnv Catch-env-P by
(metis fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 then have (n,\Gamma,(P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cptn-mod-nest-call using xs env-tran Cpt-
nEnv
   by (simp add: cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv)
 then have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa') \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using cpn-def s1-s by fastforce
 moreover have (C,s1)\#(C,t1)\#xsa = map\ (lift-catch\ Q)\ ((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa')@((Skip,snd(last
((P,s1)\#(P,t1)\#xsa')))\#ys)
   using xs C unfolding lift-catch-def
   by auto
 ultimately show ?case by fastforce
next
 case (CptnModNestSkip)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Catch fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
next
 case (CptnModNestThrow)
 thus ?case by (metis SmallStepCon.redex-not-Catch fst-conv nth-Cons-0)
 case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ sa \ xsa \ zs \ P1)
 thus ?case
 proof -
   have \forall c \ x. \ (LanguageCon.com.Catch \ c \ P1, \ x) \ \# \ zs = map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ ((c, a, b, c))
x) \# xsa
     using Cons-lift-catch CptnModNestCatch1.hyps(3) by blast
   then have (P\theta, sa) \# xsa = []
   by (metis (no-types) CptnModNestCatch1.prems(3) LanguageCon.com.distinct(19)
One-nat-def last-conv-nth last-lift-catch map-is-Nil-conv)
   then show ?thesis
     by force
 qed
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch2\ n\ \Gamma\ P1\ sa\ xsa\ ys\ zs\ Q1)
 then have P1 = P \land Q1 = Q \land sa = s by auto
 moreover then have (\Gamma, (P1,sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
   using CptnModNestCatch2(1)
   by (simp add: cpn-def cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set)
 moreover obtain s' where last zs = (Skip, s')
 proof -
   assume a1: \bigwedge s'. last zs = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, s') \Longrightarrow thesis
   have \exists x. \ last \ zs = (LanguageCon.com.Skip, \ x)
   by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6)\ CptnModNestCatch2.prems(3))
append-is-Nil-conv last-ConsR list.simps(3) prod.exhaust-sel)
   then show ?thesis
     using a1 by metis
 qed
 ultimately show ?case
```

```
using Cons-lift-catch-append CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6) by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
 case (CptnModNestCatch3\ n\ \Gamma\ P0\ sa\ xsa\ sa'\ P1\ ys\ zs)
 then have P0 = P \land P1 = Q \land s=Normal\ sa\ by\ auto
 then obtain i where zs:fst\ (zs!i) = Q \land (i < (length\ zs))
   using CptnModNestCatch3
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' fst-conv length-Cons length-append
nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
 then have si:Suc\ i < length\ ((Catch\ P0\ P1,Normal\ sa) \# zs) by fastforce
 then have fst (((Seq\ P0\ P1,\ Normal\ sa)\ \#\ zs)!Suc\ i)=Q using zs by fastforce
 thus ?case using CptnModNestCatch3(9) zs
    by (metis si nth-Cons-Suc)
qed (auto)
lemma Catch-sound3:
assumes
 a\theta:(\Gamma,x)\in cptn and
 a1:x!\theta = ((Catch \ P \ Q),s) and
 a2: \forall i < length \ x. \ fst \ (x!i) \neq Q \ and
 a3:fst(last x) = Throw and
 a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
 False
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: P s)
 case (CptnOne \ \Gamma \ C \ s1) thus ?case by auto
next
 case (CptnEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ t \ xsa)
   thus ?case
   proof -
     have f1: env-tran-right \Gamma ((C, t) # xsa) rely
      using CptnEnv.prems(4) env-tran-tail by blast
     have LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P\ Q = C
      using CptnEnv.prems(1) by auto
     then show ?thesis
      using f1 CptnEnv.hyps(3) CptnEnv.prems(2) CptnEnv.prems(3) by moura
   qed
next
 case (CptnComp \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ C' \ st' \ xsa)
 then have c-catch: C = (Catch \ P \ Q) \land st = s by force
 from CptnComp show ?case proof(cases)
   case (Catche P1 P1' P2) thus ?thesis
   proof -
     have f1: env-tran-right \Gamma ((C', st') \# xsa) rely
      using CptnComp.prems(4) env-tran-tail by blast
     have Q = P2
      using c-catch Catchc(1) by blast
     then show ?thesis
```

```
using f1 CptnComp.hyps(3) CptnComp.prems(2) CptnComp.prems(3)
Catchc(2) by moura
   qed
  next
   case (CatchSkipc) thus ?thesis
   proof -
     have fst(((C', st') \# xsa) ! \theta) = LanguageCon.com.Skip
       by (simp\ add:\ local.\ CatchSkipc(2))
     then show ?thesis
        by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ CptnComp.hyps(2)\ CptnComp.prems(3)\ Language-
Con.com.distinct(17)
          last-ConsR last-length length-Cons lessI list.simps(3) zero-skip-all-skip)
   qed
 next
   case (SeqThrowc C2 s') thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: c-catch)
 next
    case (FaultPropc) thus ?thesis
     using c-catch redex-not-Catch by blast
 next
   case (StuckPropc) thus ?thesis
     using c-catch redex-not-Catch by blast
   case (AbruptPropc) thus ?thesis
     using c-catch redex-not-Catch by blast
  qed (auto)
qed
lemma catch-map-xs-ys':
 assumes
  a\theta:(n, \Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and}
  a1:fst\ (last\ ((P0,sa)\ \#\ xsa))=C and
  a2:(n,\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a3:zs = map \ (lift\text{-}catch \ P1) \ xsa \ @ \ (P1, snd \ (last \ ((P0, sa) \ \# \ xsa))) \ \# \ ys \ and
 a4:((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ \theta=(LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa)
P(Q, s) and
 a5:i < length ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa) \# zs) \land ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa) \# zs)
P0 \ P1, \ sa) \# \ zs) ! \ i = (Q, \ si) \ and
  a6: \forall j < i. fst (((LanguageCon.com.Catch P0 P1, sa) \# zs) ! j) \neq Q
shows
 \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma, \ xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \land 
           (\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs \ ! \ (i-1))) \land (LanguageCon.com.Catch)
P0 P1, sa) \# zs = map (lift-catch Q) xs @ ys
proof -
 let ?P0 = (P0, sa) \# xsa
 have P-Q:P=P0 \land s=sa \land Q = P1 using a4 by force
 have i:i=(length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa))
 proof (cases i=(length\ ((P0, sa) \# xsa)))
   case True thus ?thesis by auto
```

```
next
       {f case}\ {\it False}
        then have i:i < (length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)) \lor i > (length\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)) by
auto
            assume i:i < (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa))
             then have eq-map: ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ i=map
(lift\text{-}catch\ P1)\ ((P0,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ i
                using a3 Cons-lift-catch-append
               by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-append)
              then have \exists ci \ si. \ map \ (lift-catch \ P1) \ ((P0, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ i = (Catch \ ci
P1,si
                using i unfolding lift-catch-def
                by (metis a5 eq-map fst-conv length-map map-lift-catch-all-catch)
            then have ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ i\neq (Q,\ sj)
                using P-Q eq-map by fastforce
            then have ?thesis using a5 by auto
        note l=this
           assume i:i>(length ((P0, sa) \# xsa))
            have fst (((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P0\ P1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ (length\ ?P0))=Q
           using a3 P-Q Cons-lift-catch-append by (metis fstI length-map nth-append-length)
            then have ?thesis using a6 i by auto
        }
        thus ?thesis using l i by auto
      then have (\Gamma, (P\theta, sa) \# xsa) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
        using a0 P-Q unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
    also have (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q (snd (?P0))
! ((length ?P0) -1)))
        using a3 cptn-eq-cptn-mod P-Q unfolding cpn-def
    proof -
        have (n, \Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
           using a2 P-Q by blast
         then have (\Gamma, (Q, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps), ps ! \theta = (f, ps),
(Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! (Suc (length xsa) - 1))) \land
                             (n, \Gamma, ps) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \land f = \Gamma
            by (simp add: cptn-eq-cptn-mod last-length)
          then show (\Gamma, (P1, snd (last ((P0, sa) \# xsa))) \# ys) \in \{(f, ps), ps ! 0\}
= (Q, snd (((P0, sa) \# xsa) ! (length ((P0, sa) \# xsa) - 1))) \land (n,\Gamma, ps) \in
cptn-mod-nest-call \land f = \Gamma
            using P-Q by force
   ultimately show ?thesis using a3 P-Q i using Cons-lift-catch-append by blast
qed
```

lemma Catch-sound4:

```
assumes
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma,x)\in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a1:x!0 = ((Catch \ P \ Q),s) and
  a2:i < length \ x \land x!i = (Q,sj) and
  a\beta: \forall j < i. fst(x!j) \neq Q and
  a4:env-tran-right \Gamma x rely
shows
 \exists xs \ ys. \ (\Gamma,xs) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s) \land (\Gamma,ys) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs!(i-1)))) \land x =
(map\ (lift\text{-}catch\ Q)\ xs)@ys
using a0 a1 a2 a3 a4
proof (induct arbitrary: i \, sj \, P \, s)
 case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ st \ t \ n \ xsa)
 have a1:Catch\ P\ Q \neq Q by simp
 then have C-catch: C=(Catch P Q) using CptnModNestEnv by fastforce
 then have fst((C, st) \# (C, t) \# xsa)!0) \neq Q using CptnEnv a1 by auto
  moreover have fst((C, st) \# (C, t) \# ssa)!1) \neq Q using CptnModNestEnv
a1 by auto
  moreover have fst(((C, st) \# (C, t) \# xsa)!i) = Q using CptnModNestEnv
by auto
  ultimately have i-suc: i > (Suc \ \theta) using CptnModNestEnv
   by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-lessI add.left-neutral neq0-conv)
  then obtain i' where i':i=Suc i' by (meson\ lessE)
  then have i-minus: i'=i-1 by auto
 have ((C, t) \# xsa) ! \theta = ((Catch P Q), t)
   using CptnModNestEnv by auto
 moreover have i' < length ((C,t) \# xsa) \wedge ((C,t) \# xsa)! i' = (Q,sj)
   using i' CptnModNestEnv(5) by force
 moreover have \forall j < i'. fst (((C, t) \# xsa) ! j) \neq Q
   using i' CptnModNestEnv(6) by force
 ultimately have hyp:\exists xs \ ys.
    (\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t \wedge
    (\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! \ (i'-1))) \land (C, t) \# xsa = map \ (lift-catch \ Q)
xs @ ys
   using CptnModNestEnv(3) env-tran-tail CptnModNestEnv.prems(4) by blast
  then obtain xs ys where xs-cp:(\Gamma, xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ t \ \land
    (\Gamma, ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Q \ (snd \ (xs! \ (i'-1))) \land (C, t) \# xsa = map \ (lift-catch \ Q)
xs @ ys
   by fast
  have (\Gamma, (P, s) \# xs) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s
 proof -
   have xs!\theta = (P,t)
     using xs-cp unfolding cpn-def by blast
   moreover have xs \neq []
     using cpn-def CptnEmpty xs-cp by blast
    ultimately obtain xs' where xs':(n,\Gamma, (P,t)\#xs') \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \wedge
xs=(P,t)\#xs'
     using SmallStepCon.nth-tl xs-cp unfolding cpn-def by force
   thus ?thesis using cpn-def
   proof -
```

```
have (Catch\ P\ Q,\ s)=(C,\ st)
       using CptnModNestEnv.prems(1) by auto
     then have \Gamma \vdash_c (P, s) \rightarrow_e (P, t)
       using Catch-env-P CptnModNestEnv(1) by blast
     then show ?thesis
       by (simp add: cpn-def cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv xs')
   qed
 qed
  thus ?case
   using i-suc Cons-lift-catch-append CptnModNestEnv.prems(1) i' i-minus xs-cp
   by fastforce
 case (CptnModNestSkip \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ t \ n \ xs)
 then show ?case
   by (metis (no-types) CptnModNestSkip.hyps(2) CptnModNestSkip.prems(1)
   fst-conv nth-Cons-0 redex-not-Catch)
next
 case (CptnModNestThrow \Gamma P s t n xs)
 then show ?case
  by (metis\ (no-types)\ CptnModNestThrow.hyps(2)\ CptnModNestThrow.prems(1)
      fst-conv nth-Cons-0 redex-not-Catch)
next
  case (CptnModNestCatch1 \ n \ \Gamma \ P0 \ s \ xs \ zs \ P1)
  then show ?case
   by (metis Catch-not-c Cons-lift-catch LanguageCon.com.inject(9)
          fst-conv map-lift-catch-all-catch nth-Cons-0)
next
  case (CptnModNestCatch2\ n\ \Gamma\ P1\ sa\ xsa\ ys\ zs\ Q1)
 then have P-Q:P=P1 \land Q = Q1 by force
 thus ?case
 proof (cases Q1 = Skip)
   case True thus ?thesis using catch-map-xs-ys'
     CptnModNestCatch2 by blast
 next
   case False note q-not-throw=this
   have \forall x. \ x < length ((LanguageCon.com.Catch P1 Q1, sa) # zs) \longrightarrow
              ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x \neq (Q,\ sj)\ using
CptnModNestCatch2
   proof -
   {
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x-less:x< length ((LanguageCon.com.Catch P1 Q1, sa) \# zs)
     have ((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa) \# zs) ! x \neq (Q, sj)
   \mathbf{proof}\;(\mathit{cases}\;x < \mathit{length}\;((\mathit{LanguageCon.com}.\mathit{Catch}\;\mathit{P1}\;\mathit{Q1}\,,\,\mathit{sa}) \#\mathit{map}\;(\mathit{lift-catch}\;
Q1) xsa))
      then have eq-map: ((Language Con.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x=map
(lift\text{-}catch\ Q1)\ ((P1,\ sa)\ \#\ xsa)\ !\ x
```

```
by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-catch Cons-lift-catch-append CptnModNest-
Catch2(6) True nth-append)
       then have \exists ci \ si. \ map \ (lift-catch \ Q1) \ ((P1, \ sa) \ \# \ xsa) \ ! \ x = (Catch \ ci
Q1,si
        using True unfolding lift-catch-def
     by (metis Cons-lift-catch True eq-map map-lift-catch-all-catch surjective-pairing)
      then have ((Language Con.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x \neq (Q,\ sj)
        using P-Q eq-map by fastforce
      thus ?thesis using CptnModNestCatch2(10) by auto
    next
      {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
      let ?s' = snd (last ((P1, sa) \# xsa))
    have all-throw: \forall i < length ((LanguageCon.com.Skip, ?s') # ys).
           fst (((Skip, ?s') \# ys)!i) = Skip using CptnModNestCatch2
     by (metis cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod skip-all-skip)
    then have
      \forall x > length ((LanguageCon.com.Catch P1 Q1, sa) \# map (lift-catch Q1)
xsa).
         x < length (((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa) \#\ zs)) \longrightarrow
           fst (((LanguageCon.com.Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x) = Skip
      using Cons-lift-catch Cons-lift-catch-append CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(1)
CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(3)
        CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(4) CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6) cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
                 cptn-mod-nest-call. CptnModNestCatch2 cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
skip\text{-}com\text{-}all\text{-}skip
    proof-
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      assume a1:x \ge length ((Catch P1 Q1, sa) # map (lift-catch Q1) xsa) and
            a2:x < length (((Catch P1 Q1, sa) \# zs))
      then have ((Catch\ P1\ Q1,\ sa)\ \#\ zs)\ !\ x=
             Q1) \ xsa)))
    using CptnModNestCatch2(6) by (metis Cons-lift-catch Cons-lift-catch-append
not-le nth-append)
      then have fst (((Catch P1 Q1, sa) \# zs)! x) = Skip
        using all-throw at a 2 CptnModNestCatch2.hyps(6) by auto
    } thus ?thesis by auto
    thus ?thesis using False q-not-throw P-Q x-less
      by (metis fst-conv not-le)
   qed
   } thus ?thesis by auto
   qed
   thus ?thesis using CptnModNestCatch2(8) by fastforce
 ged
next
 case (CptnModNestCatch3 \ n \ \Gamma \ P1 \ sa \ xsa \ ys \ zs \ Q1)
```

```
then show ?case using catch-map-xs-ys'[OF CptnModNestCatch3(1) Cptn-
ModNestCatch3(3) CptnModNestCatch3(5)
                                            CptnModNestCatch3(7) CptnModNestCatch3(8)
CptnModNestCatch3(9)
     by blast
qed(auto)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (Throw, Normal \ s1)
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-Catch-skip-c2:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (c2,s)
inductive\text{-}cases \ \mathit{stepc\text{-}elim\text{-}cases\text{-}Catch\text{-}skip\text{-}2\text{:}}
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \to (Skip, \ s)
lemma catch-skip-throw:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \rightarrow (c2,s) \implies (c2 = Skip \land c1 = Skip) \lor (c1 = Throw \land (\exists \ s2'.
s=Normal\ s2')
apply (rule stepc-elim-cases-Catch-skip-c2)
apply fastforce
apply (auto)+
using redex-not-Catch apply auto
done
lemma catch-skip-throw1:
\Gamma \vdash_c (Catch \ c1 \ c2,s) \to (Skip,s) \implies (c1=Skip) \lor (c1=Throw \land (\exists \ s2'.\ s=Normal))
s2') \wedge c2 = Skip)
apply (rule stepc-elim-cases-Catch-skip-2)
using redex-not-Catch apply auto
using redex-not-Catch by auto
lemma Catch-sound:
      \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,r] \Longrightarrow
       \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,r] \Longrightarrow
       \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [r, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
       \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c2 \ sat \ [r, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
       Sta \ q \ R \implies (\forall s. \ (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \implies
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,r] and
    a1: \forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,r] \ and
    a2:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [r, R, G, q,a] \ and
    а3: \forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n_{/F} c2 \ sat [r, R, G, q, a] and
    a4: Sta \ q \ R \ \mathbf{and}
    a5: (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G)
```

```
{
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a1:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,r]
      using a1 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    then have a3: \Gamma \models n_{/F} c2 \ sat \ [r, R, G, q, a]
      using a3 com-cvalidityn-def all-call by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix}\ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \Gamma (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s
        unfolding cpn-def cp-def
        using cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
      obtain \Gamma 1 \ l \ \text{where} \ c\text{-prod} : c = (\Gamma 1, l) \ \text{by} \ fastforce
      have cp:l!\theta=((Catch\ c1\ c2),s)\land (n,\Gamma,l)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1
        using a10 cpn-def c-prod by fastforce
      then have cp': l!\theta = ((Catch\ c1\ c2), s) \land (\Gamma, l) \in cptn \land \Gamma = \Gamma 1
        using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by auto
      have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
      assume l-f:snd (last\ l) \notin Fault 'F
       have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                 (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                 (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
       using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
       then have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
       then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
         using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
       have (\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
               \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))
                 (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G) \land
             (final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                   ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                    snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                    (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                    snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
       proof (cases \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) \neq c2)
         case True
         then have no-c2: \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) \neq c2 by assumption
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases final (last l))
           case True
           then obtain s' where fst (last l) = Skip \vee (fst (last l) = Throw \wedge snd
(last \ l) = Normal \ s'
             using final-def by fast
```

```
thus ?thesis
         proof
          assume fst (last l)= LanguageCon.com.Throw <math>\land snd (last l) = Normal
s'
           then have False using no-c2 env-tran-right cp' cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
Catch-sound3
             by blast
           thus ?thesis by auto
         next
           assume asm\theta: fst (last l) = Skip
           then obtain lc1 ys where cp-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \land l = ((map
(lift\text{-}catch\ c2)\ lc1)@((Skip,snd(last\ lc1))\#ys))
             using Catch-sound2 cp cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set env-tran-right no-c2 by
blast
           then have cp-lc1':(\Gamma,lc1) \in cp \Gamma c1 s
             unfolding cpn-def cp-def
             using cptn-if-cptn-mod cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
           let ?m-lc1 = map (lift-catch c2) lc1
           let ?lm-lc1 = (length ?m-lc1)
           let ?last-m-lc1 = ?m-lc1!(?lm-lc1-1)
           have lc1-not-empty:lc1 \neq []
             using \Gamma 1 a10' cpn-def cp-lc1 cp by auto
           then have map\text{-}cp\text{:}(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s
           proof -
            have f1: lc1 ! 0 = (c1, s) \land (n, \Gamma, lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma = \Gamma
               using cp-lc1 unfolding cpn-def by blast
           then have f2: (n,\Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using lc1-not-empty
          by (metis Cons-lift-catch SmallStepCon.nth-tl cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1)
             then show ?thesis
               using f2 f1 lc1-not-empty by (simp add: cpn-def lift-catch-def)
           then have map-cp':(\Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cp \Gamma (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s
             unfolding cp-def cpn-def
             using cp-def cp-lc1' lift-catch-is-cptn by fastforce
           also have map\text{-}assum:(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in assum\ (p,R)
             using sub-assum a10 a11 \Gamma1 cp-lc1 lc1-not-empty
             by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl map-is-Nil-conv)
           ultimately have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
             using \Gamma 1 assum-map-catch cp-lc1' by blast
           then have lc1-comm:(\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q,r)) F
             using a1 cp-lc1 by (meson IntI com-validityn-def contra-subsetD)
           then have m-lc1-comm:(\Gamma,?m-lc1) \in comm(G,(q,r)) F
             using map-cp map-assum comm-map-catch cp-lc1' map-cp' by blast
             then have last-m-lc1:last\ (?m-lc1) = (Catch\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,snd
(last lc1))
           proof -
           have a000: \forall p \ c. \ (LanguageCon.com.Catch \ (fst \ p) \ c, \ snd \ p) = lift-catch
c p
               using Cons-lift-catch by force
```

```
then show ?thesis
              by (simp add: last-map a000 lc1-not-empty)
          qed
           then have last-length: last (?m-lc1) = ?last-m-lc1
            using lc1-not-empty last-conv-nth list.map-disc-iff by blast
           then have l-map:l!(?lm-lc1-1)=?last-m-lc1
            using cp-lc1
            by (simp add:lc1-not-empty nth-append)
           then have lm-lc1:l!(?lm-lc1) = (Skip, snd (last lc1))
            using cp-lc1 by (meson nth-append-length)
           then have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \rightarrow (l!(?lm-lc1))
          proof -
            have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(?lm-lc1))
            proof -
              have f1: 0 \leq length \ ys
                by blast
              moreover have Suc (length (map (lift-catch c2) lc1) + length ys)
                   length (map (lift-catch c2) lc1 @ (LanguageCon.com.Skip, snd
(last lc1)) # ys)
                by force
              ultimately show ?thesis
              by (metis (no-types) Suc-diff-1 Suc-eq-plus1 cp' cp-lc1 cptn-tran-ce-i
                         lc1-not-empty le-add-same-cancel l length-greater-0-conv
                 less-Suc-eq-le list.map-disc-iff)
            moreover have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \rightarrow_e (l!(?lm-lc1))
            using last-m-lc1 last-length l-map
            proof -
              have (LanguageCon.com.Catch\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,\ snd\ (last\ lc1)) =
l! (length (map (lift-catch c2) lc1) - 1)
                using l-map last-m-lc1 local.last-length by presburger
              then show ?thesis
             by (metis LanguageCon.com.simps(30) env-c-c' lm-lc1)
            qed
            ultimately show ?thesis using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
           qed
           have last-lc1-suc:snd (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) = snd (l!?lm-lc1)
            using l-map last-m-lc1 lm-lc1 local.last-length by force
            then have step\text{-}catch:\Gamma\vdash_c(Catch\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,snd\ (last\ lc1))\to
(Skip, snd (last lc1))
            using l-map last-m-lc1 lm-lc1 local.last-length local.step
            by presburger
           then obtain s2' where
            last-lc1:fst (last lc1) = Skip \lor
            fst (last lc1) = Throw \land (snd (last lc1) = Normal s2') \land c2 = Skip
           using catch-skip-throw1 by fastforce
           then have last-lc1-skip:fst (last lc1) = Skip
```

```
proof
              assume fst (last lc1) = LanguageCon.com.Throw <math>\land
                     snd\ (last\ lc1) = Normal\ s2' \land c2 = LanguageCon.com.Skip
              thus ?thesis using no-c2 asm0
                by (simp add: cp-lc1 last-conv-nth)
           \mathbf{qed} auto
           have last-not-F:snd (last ?m-lc1) \notin Fault `F
           proof -
             have snd ? last-m-lc1 = snd (l!(?lm-lc1-1))
               using l-map by auto
             have (?lm-lc1-1) < length lusing cp-lc1 by fastforce
             also then have snd (l!(?lm-lc1-1)) \notin Fault ' F
               using cp' cp-lc1 l-f last-not-F[of <math>\Gamma l F]
               by fastforce
             ultimately show ?thesis using l-map last-length by fastforce
           then have q-normal:snd (l!?lm-lc1) \in Normal ' q
           proof -
             have last-lc1:fst\ (last\ lc1) = Skip
             using last-lc1-skip by fastforce
             have final (last lc1) using last-lc1 final-def
               by blast
             then show ?thesis
               using lc1-comm last-lc1 last-not-F
               unfolding comm-def
               using last-lc1-suc comm-dest2 l-map lm-lc1 local.last-length
               by force
           qed
            then obtain s1' where normal-lm-lc1:snd (l!?lm-lc1) = Normal s1'
\land s1' \in q
             by auto
           have concl:(\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
             \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
               (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
           proof-
           { fix k ns ns'
             assume a00:Suc k < length \ l and
              a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
              then have i\text{-}m\text{-}l: \forall i < ?lm\text{-}lc1 . l!i = ?m\text{-}lc1!i
                using cp-lc1
              proof -
                have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
                 by (meson lc1-not-empty list.map-disc-iff)
                then show ?thesis
                 by (metis (no-types) cp-lc1 nth-append)
              have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
              proof (cases Suc k < ?lm-lc1)
                case True
```

```
then have a11': \Gamma \vdash_c (?m-lc1!k) \rightarrow (?m-lc1!(Suc\ k))
   using a11 i-m-l True
 proof -
   have \forall n \ na. \neg 0 < n - Suc \ na \lor na < n
     using diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred zero-less-diff by presburger
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) True a21 i-m-l zero-less-diff)
 then have (snd(?m-lc1!k), snd(?m-lc1!(Suc k))) \in G
using a11' m-lc1-comm True comm-dest1 l-f last-not-F by fastforce
 thus ?thesis using i-m-l True by auto
next
 case False
 then have (Suc \ k=?lm-lc1) \lor (Suc \ k>?lm-lc1) by auto
 thus ?thesis
 proof
   {assume suck:(Suc\ k=?lm-lc1)
   then have k:k=?lm-lc1-1 by auto
   then obtain s1' where s1'-normal:snd(l!?lm-lc1) = Normal s1'
     using q-normal by fastforce
    have G-s1':(Normal s1', Normal s1')\in G using a5 by auto
    then show (snd\ (l!k),\ snd\ (l!Suc\ k)) \in G
    proof -
     have snd (l!k) = Normal s1'
       using k last-lc1-suc s1'-normal by presburger
     then show ?thesis
       using G-s1' s1'-normal suck by force
   qed
   }
 \mathbf{next}
   assume a001:Suc k > ?lm-lc1
   have \forall i. i \geq (length \ lc1) \land (Suc \ i < length \ l) \longrightarrow
          \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)))
   using lm-lc1 lc1-not-empty
   proof -
     have env-tran-right \Gamma 1 \ l \ R
      by (metis cp env-tran-right)
     then show ?thesis
      using cp' fst-conv length-map lm-lc1 a001 a21 a00 a4
           normal-lm-lc1
      by (metis (no-types) only-one-component-tran-j)
   qed
   then have \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
    using a00 \ a001 by auto
   then show ?thesis using a21 by fastforce
 }
 qed
qed
```

```
} thus ?thesis by auto
           qed
           have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                 (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)))
           proof -
             have l-t:fst (last l) = Skip
               using lm-lc1 by (simp \ add: \ asm\theta)
             have ?lm-lc1 \le length \ l-1 using cp-lc1 by fastforce
            also have \forall i. ?lm-lc1 \leq i \wedge i < (length \ l-1) \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc
i))
               using cp' fst-conv length-map lm-lc1 a4
                   normal-lm-lc1 only-one-component-tran-j[of \Gamma l?lm-lc1 s1' q]
                 by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 cptn-tran-ce-i env-tran-right less-diff-conv
step-ce-elim-cases)
             ultimately have snd (l! (length l - 1)) \in Normal 'q
                 using cp-lc1 q-normal a4 env-tran-right stability[of q R l ?lm-lc1
(length \ l) - 1 - \Gamma
               by fastforce
             thus ?thesis using l-t
               by (simp add: cp-lc1 last-conv-nth)
           note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
           then show ?thesis using \(\Gamma 1\) c-prod unfolding comm-def by auto
         qed
       next
         case False
            then obtain lc1 where cp-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \wedge l = map
(lift-catch c2) lc1
          using Catch-sound1 False no-c2 env-tran-right cp cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set
           by blast
         then have cp-lc1':(\Gamma,lc1) \in cp \Gamma c1 s
           unfolding cpn-def cp-def
           using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
         then have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
            using \Gamma 1 a10 a11 assum-map-catch cp-lc1
            by blast
         then have (\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q,r)) F using cp-lc1 a1
           by (meson IntI com-validityn-def contra-subsetD)
         then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q,r)) F
           using comm-map-catch a10' Γ1 cp-lc1 cp-lc1' by fastforce
         then show ?thesis using l-f False
           unfolding comm-def by fastforce
        qed
      next
       case False
       then obtain k where k-len:k < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! k) = c2
```

```
by blast
                  then have \exists m. (m < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! m) = c2) \land
                                       (\forall i < m. \neg (i < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! i) = c2))
                       using a0 exists-first-occ[of (\lambda i. i < length \ l \land fst \ (l ! i) = c2) \ k]
                  then obtain i where a\theta:i < length \ l \land fst \ (l \ !i) = c2 \land
                                                                  (\forall j < i. (fst (l!j) \neq c2))
                       by fastforce
                  then obtain s2 where li:l!i = (c2,s2) by (meson\ eq\ fst\ iff)
                  then obtain lc1 lc2 where cp-lc1:(\Gamma,lc1) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s) \land
                                                                    (\Gamma, lc2) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1!(i-1)))) \land
                                                                    l = (map (lift-catch c2) lc1)@lc2
                      using Catch-sound4 a0 cp env-tran-right by blast
                  then have cp-lc1':(\Gamma, lc1) \in (cp \Gamma c1 s) \land
                                                         (\Gamma, lc2) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1!(i-1))))
                       unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
                 have i-not-fault:snd (l!i) \notin Fault 'F using a0 cp' l-f last-not-F[of \Gamma lF]
by blast
                  have length-c1-map:length lc1 = length (map (lift-catch c2) lc1)
                      by fastforce
                  then have i-map:i=length lc1
                       using cp-lc1 li a0 unfolding lift-catch-def
                  proof -
                         assume a1: (\Gamma, lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c1 \ s \land (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ (snd \ (lc1) \cap lc2)
!(i-1)) \wedge l = map(\lambda(P, s). (Catch P c2, s)) lc1 @ lc2
                         have f2: i < length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!n) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!n) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!n) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!n) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) \neq length \ l \land fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (\forall n. \neg n < i \lor fst \ (l!i) = c2 \land (l!i) = 
c2)
                             using a\theta by blast
                        have f3: (Catch (fst (lc1!i)) c2, snd (lc1!i)) = lift-catch c2 (lc1!i)
                            by (simp add: case-prod-unfold lift-catch-def)
                         then have fst (l ! length lc1) = c2
                             using a1 by (simp add: cpn-def nth-append)
                         thus ?thesis
                            using f3 f2
                            by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pair-inject a0 cp-lc1 f3
                            length-c1-map li linorder-negE-nat nth-append nth-map seq-and-if-not-eq(12))
                  qed
                  have lc2-l:\forall j < length lc2. lc2!j = l!(i+j)
                       using cp-lc1 length-c1-map i-map a0
                  by (metis nth-append-length-plus)
                  have lc1-not-empty:lc1 \neq []
                       using cp cp-lc1 unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
                  have lc2-not-empty:lc2 \neq []
                      using cpn-def cp-lc1 a0 i-map by force
                  have l-is:s2 = snd (last <math>lc1)
                  using cp-lc1 li a0 lc1-not-empty unfolding cpn-def
                  by (auto simp add: i-map last-conv-nth lc2-l)
                  let ?m-lc1 = map (lift-catch c2) lc1
```

```
have last-m-lc1:l!(i-1) = (Catch (fst (last lc1)) c2,s2)
              using i-map cp-lc1 l-is last-lift-catch last-snd-catch lc1-not-empty
length-c1-map
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def diff-Suc-less last-conv-nth length-greater-0-conv
nth-append prod.collapse)
       have last-mcl1-not-F:snd (last ?m-lc1) \notin Fault `F
              by (metis One-nat-def i-not-fault l-is last-conv-nth last-snd-catch li
list.map-disc-iff\ snd-conv)
       have map\text{-}cp\text{:}(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (\textit{Catch c1 c2}) \ s
       proof -
         have f1: lc1 ! 0 = (c1, s) \land (n, \Gamma, lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma = \Gamma
           using cp-lc1 cpn-def by blast
         then have f2:(n, \Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using lc1-not-empty
        \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis Cons-lift-catch SmallStepCon.nth-tl cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestCatch1})
          then show ?thesis
           using f2 f1 lc1-not-empty by (simp add: cpn-def lift-catch-def)
        aed
        then have map-cp':(\Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in cp \Gamma (Catch \ c1 \ c2) \ s
          unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
       also have map-assum:(\Gamma, ?m-lc1) \in assum (p,R)
          using sub-assum a10 a11 \Gamma 1 cp-lc1 lc1-not-empty
          by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl map-is-Nil-conv)
        ultimately have ((\Gamma, lc1) \in assum(p, R))
            using \Gamma 1 assum-map-catch using assum-map cp-lc1 cp-lc1' by blast
       then have lc1-comm:(\Gamma, lc1) \in comm(G, (q,r)) F
          using a1 cp-lc1 by (meson IntI com-validityn-def contra-subsetD)
        then have m\text{-}lc1\text{-}comm:(\Gamma,?m\text{-}lc1) \in comm(G,(q,r)) F
        using map-cp' map-assum comm-map-catch cp-lc1 cp-lc1' by blast
        then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \to (l!i)
        proof -
          have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \rightarrow_{ce} (l!(i))
           by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred' a0 cp' cptn-tran-ce-i i-map
                lc1-not-empty length-greater-0-conv)
          moreover have \neg \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i-1)) \rightarrow_e (l!i)
           using li last-m-lc1
           by (metis (no-types, lifting) env-c-c' seq-and-if-not-eq(12))
          ultimately show ?thesis using step-ce-elim-cases by blast
        qed
        then have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(Catch\ (fst\ (last\ lc1))\ c2,s2)\to (c2,\ s2)
          using last-m-lc1 li by fastforce
        then obtain s2' where
          last-lc1:(fst\ (last\ lc1)=Skip\ \land\ c2=Skip)\ \lor
          fst (last lc1) = Throw \land (s2 = Normal s2')
          using catch-skip-throw by blast
        have final:final (last lc1)
          using last-lc1 l-is unfolding final-def by auto
        have normal-last:fst\ (last\ lc1) = Skip \land snd\ (last\ lc1) \in Normal\ `q \lor
```

```
proof -
         have snd (last lc1) \notin Fault ' F
           using i-not-fault l-is li by auto
         then show ?thesis
           using final comm-dest2 lc1-comm by blast
       qed
       obtain s2' where lastlc1-normal:snd (last lc1) = Normal s2'
         using normal-last by blast
       then have Normals2:s2 = Normal \ s2' by (simp \ add: \ l-is)
       have Gs2': (Normal s2', Normal s2')\in G using a5 by auto
       have concl:
         (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
         \Gamma \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) -
           (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
       proof-
        { fix k ns ns'
         assume a00:Suc k < length l and
          a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
          have i-m-l:\forall j < i . l!j = ?m-lc1!j
          proof -
            have map (lift c2) lc1 \neq []
              by (meson lc1-not-empty list.map-disc-iff)
            then show ?thesis
                using cp-lc1 i-map length-c1-map by (fastforce simp:nth-append)
          have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          proof (cases Suc k < i)
            {\bf case}\ {\it True}
            then have a11': \Gamma \vdash_c (?m-lc1!k) \rightarrow (?m-lc1!(Suc\ k))
              using a11 i-m-l True
            proof -
              have \forall n \ na. \ \neg \ 0 < n - Suc \ na \lor na < n
               using diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred zero-less-diff by presburger
              then show ?thesis using True a21 i-m-l by force
            qed
           have Suc \ k < length \ ?m-lc1 using True \ i-map length-c1-map by metis
            then have (snd(?m-lc1!k), snd(?m-lc1!(Suc k))) \in G
               using a11' last-mcl1-not-F m-lc1-comm True i-map length-c1-map
comm-dest1[of \Gamma]
              by blast
            thus ?thesis using i-m-l True by auto
          next
            {f case}\ {\it False}
            have (Suc \ k=i) \lor (Suc \ k>i) using False by auto
            thus ?thesis
            proof
            { assume suck:(Suc\ k=i)
```

 $fst\ (last\ lc1) = Throw \land snd\ (last\ lc1) \in Normal\ `r$

```
then have k:k=i-1 by auto
              then show (snd\ (l!k),\ snd\ (l!Suc\ k))\in G
                using Gs2' Normals2 last-m-lc1 li suck by auto
            }
            next
              assume a001:Suc k > i
              then have k:k \ge i by fastforce
              then obtain k' where k':k=i+k'
                using add.commute le-Suc-ex by blast
              {assume skip:c2=Skip
               then have \forall k. \ k \geq i \land (Suc \ k < length \ l) \longrightarrow
                         \neg(\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k)))
                using Normals2 li lastlc1-normal a21 a001 a00 a4
                     a0 skip env-tran-right cp'
                     by (metis SmallStepCon.final-def SmallStepCon.no-step-final'
Suc\text{-}lessD skip\text{-}com\text{-}all\text{-}skip)
               then have ?thesis using a21 a001 k a00 by blast
              } note left=this
              {assume c2 \neq Skip
               then have fst (last lc1) = Throw
                 using last-m-lc1 last-lc1 by simp
               then have s2-normal:s2 \in Normal ' r
                 using normal-last lastlc1-normal Normals2
                 by fastforce
               have length-lc2:length\ l=i+length\ lc2
                    using i-map cp-lc1 by fastforce
               have (\Gamma, lc2) \in assum(r, R)
               proof -
                 have left:snd\ (lc2!0) \in Normal\ `r
                   using li lc2-l s2-normal lc2-not-empty by fastforce
                   \mathbf{fix} \ j
                   assume j-len:Suc j<length lc2 and
                         j-step:\Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!j) \rightarrow_e (lc2!(Suc\ j))
                   then have suc\text{-len}:Suc\ (i+j)< length\ l\ using\ j\text{-len}\ length\text{-}lc2
                    by fastforce
                   also then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i+j)) \rightarrow_e (l! (Suc (i+j)))
                     using lc2-l j-step j-len by fastforce
                   ultimately have (snd(lc2!j), snd(lc2!(Suc j))) \in R
                     using assum suc-len lc2-l j-len cp by fastforce
                 then show ?thesis using left
                   unfolding assum-def by fastforce
               also have (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ s2
                   using cp-lc1 i-map l-is last-conv-nth lc1-not-empty by fastforce
               ultimately have comm-lc2:(\Gamma,lc2) \in comm (G, (q,a)) F
```

```
have lc2-last-f:snd (last lc2)\notin Fault ' F
                 using lc2-l lc2-not-empty l-f cp-lc1 by fastforce
               have suck': Suc k' < length lc2
                 using k' a00 length-lc2 by arith
               moreover then have \Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!k') \rightarrow (lc2!(Suc\ k'))
                 using k' lc2-l a21 by fastforce
               ultimately have (snd (lc2! k'), snd (lc2! Suc k')) \in G
                 using comm-lc2 lc2-last-f comm-dest1 [of \Gamma lc2 G q a F k']
                by blast
               then have ?thesis using suck' lc2-l k' by fastforce
              then show ?thesis using left by auto
            }
            qed
          qed
        } thus ?thesis by auto
        qed note left=this
       have right:(final (last l) \longrightarrow
                ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                 (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                 snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `\ (a)))
       proof -
        { assume final-l:final (last l)
         have eq-last-lc2-l:last l=last lc2 by (simp add: cp-lc1 lc2-not-empty)
         then have final-lc2:final (last lc2) using final-l by auto
           assume lst-lc1-skip:fst (last lc1) = Skip
           then have c2-skip:c2 = Skip
             using step lastlc1-normal LanguageCon.com.distinct(17) last-lc1
             by auto
           have Skip:fst\ (l!(length\ l-1)) = Skip
           using li Normals2 env-tran-right cp' c2-skip a0
                  i-skip-all-skip[of \Gamma l i (length l) - 1 -]
              by fastforce
           have s2\text{-}a\text{:}s2 \in Normal ' q
             using normal-last
             by (simp add: lst-lc1-skip l-is)
          then have \forall ia. i \leq ia \land ia < length l - 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c l ! ia \rightarrow_e l ! Suc ia
             using c2-skip li Normals2 a0 cp' env-tran-right final-def
          by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) One-nat-def SmallStep Con.no-step-final'
                 Suc-lessD add.right-neutral add-Suc-right
                      cptn-tran-ce-i i-skip-all-skip less-diff-conv step-ce-elim-cases)
           then have snd (l!(length l - 1)) \in Normal 'q \wedge fst (l!(length l - 1))
= Skip
            using a0 s2-a li a4 env-tran-right stability[of q R l i (length l) -1 - \Gamma]
```

using a3 unfolding com-validityn-def by auto

```
Skip
         by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred length-greater-0-conv lessI linorder-not-less
list.size(3)
                 not-less0 not-less-eq-eq snd-conv)
           then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                 (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                 snd (last l) \in Normal '(a)
          using a0 by (metis last-conv-nth list.size(3) not-less0)
         } note left = this
         { assume fst (last lc1) = Throw
           then have s2\text{-}normal:s2 \in Normal ' r
             using normal-last lastlc1-normal Normals2
             by fastforce
           have length-lc2:length\ l=i+length\ lc2
                using i-map cp-lc1 by fastforce
           have (\Gamma, lc2) \in assum(r, R)
           proof -
             have left:snd\ (lc2!0) \in Normal\ `r
               using li lc2-l s2-normal lc2-not-empty by fastforce
               \mathbf{fix} \ j
               assume j-len:Suc j<length lc2 and
                     j-step:\Gamma \vdash_c (lc2!j) \rightarrow_e (lc2!(Suc\ j))
               then have suc\text{-len}:Suc\ (i+j)< length\ l\ using\ j\text{-len\ length-lc2}
                by fastforce
               also then have \Gamma \vdash_c (l!(i+j)) \rightarrow_e (l! (Suc (i+j)))
                 using lc2-l j-step j-len by fastforce
               ultimately have (snd(lc2!j), snd(lc2!(Suc\ j))) \in R
                 using assum suc-len lc2-l j-len cp by fastforce
             then show ?thesis using left
               unfolding assum-def by fastforce
           qed
           also have (\Gamma, lc2) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c2 \ s2
             using cp-lc1 i-map l-is last-conv-nth lc1-not-empty by fastforce
           ultimately have comm-lc2:(\Gamma,lc2)\in comm\ (G,\ (q,a))\ F
             using a3 unfolding com-validityn-def by auto
           have lc2-last-f:snd (last lc2)\notin Fault ' F
             using lc2-l lc2-not-empty l-f cp-lc1 by fastforce
           then have ((fst (last lc2) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ lc2) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                 (fst (last lc2) = Throw \land
                 snd (last lc2) \in Normal '(a))
           using final-lc2 comm-lc2 unfolding comm-def by auto
           then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                 (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
```

```
snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
             using eq-last-lc2-l by auto
          then have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                    snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                    (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                    snd (last l) \in Normal '(a)
            using left using last-lc1 by auto
        } thus ?thesis by auto qed
     thus ?thesis using left l-f \Gamma1 unfolding comm-def by force
       qed
     } thus ?thesis using \Gamma 1 unfolding comm-def by auto qed
   } thus ?thesis by auto qed
 } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma DynCom-sound:
      (\forall s \in p. ((\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (c1\ s)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) \land
                 (\forall\, n.\ (\Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F}\ (c1\ s)\ sat\ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a])))) \Longrightarrow
        (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
       (Sta \ p \ R) \land (Sta \ q \ R) \land (Sta \ a \ R) \Longrightarrow
        \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (DynCom\ c1)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta: (\forall s \in p. ((\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (c1 \ s) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]) \land 
                 (\forall n. (\Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (c1 s) sat [p, R, G, q,a])))) and
    a1: \forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G and
    a2: (Sta \ p \ R) \wedge (Sta \ q \ R) \wedge (Sta \ a \ R)
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-DynCom: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a\theta: (\forall s \in p. (\Gamma \models n_{/F} (c1 s) sat [p, R, G, q, a]))
      using a0 unfolding com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma(DynCom \ c1) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (DynCom \ c1) \ s \ {\bf and} \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (DynCom \ c1) \ s
        unfolding cp-def cpn-def
        using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
      {assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
```

```
have cp:l!\theta=(DynCom\ c1,s)\ \land\ (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\ \land\ \Gamma=\Gamma 1
         using a10' cp-def c-prod by fastforce
       have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
       have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c(l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                  (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
      using a11 c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
      then have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
      then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
        using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
      obtain ns where s-normal:s=Normal ns \land ns \in p
        using cp assum by fastforce
      have concl:(\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
              \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
      proof -
      \{  fix k  ns  ns' 
        assume a00:Suc k < length \ l and
               a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
         obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall\ k)
\langle j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))) \rangle
          using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj sj csj ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \land sj = snd\ (l!j) \land csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \land ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
          by fastforce
        have k-basic:cj = (DynCom\ c1) \land sj \in Normal `(p)
          using pair-j before-k-all-evnt a2 cp env-tran-right assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
          by force
        then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
        then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
          using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0
          by (metis\ snd\text{-}conv\ stepc\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases(10))
        have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          using ss a2 unfolding Satis-def
        proof (cases k=i)
          case True
          have (Normal s', Normal s')\in G using a1 by fastforce
          thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
            using pair-j k-basic True ss ssj-normal-s by auto
        next
          case False
          have j-k:j < k using before-k-all-evnt False by fastforce
          thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
          proof -
            have j-length: Suc j < length \ l \ using \ a00 \ before-k-all-evnt \ by \ fastforce
            have p1:s' \in p \land ssj=Normal \ s' using ss \ ssj-normal-s by fastforce
            then have c1-valid: (\Gamma \models n_{/F} (c1 \ s') \ sat [p, R, G, q, a])
              using a\theta by fastforce
```

```
have cj:csj=(c1\ s') using k-basic pair-j ss a0 s-normal
           proof -
             have \Gamma \vdash_c (LanguageCon.com.DynCom\ c1,\ Normal\ s') \rightarrow (csj,\ ssj)
               using k-basic pair-j ss by force
             then have (csj, ssj) = (c1 s', Normal s')
               by (meson\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(10))
             then show ?thesis
               by blast
           \mathbf{qed}
          moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a))
F
             using a2 com-validityn-def cj p1 c1-valid by blast
           moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
             using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
             by fastforce
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
             using p1 j-length a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
            using a10 cpn-assum-induct by fastforce
           then show ?thesis
           using a00 \ a21 \ a10' \ \Gamma 1 \ j-k \ j-length \ l-f
           cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l DynCom\ c1\ s - Suc\ j\ G\ q\ a\ F\ k ]
           unfolding Satis-def by fastforce
         qed
      qed
      } thus ?thesis by (simp add: c-prod cp) qed
      have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)) \lor
                 (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                 snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)))
      proof-
       assume valid:final (last l)
        have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final
(l!(Suc\ k))
       proof -
         have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
            then obtain a1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl
length-greater-0-conv)
         have last-l:last\ l = l!(length\ l-1)
          using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
         have final-\theta:\neg final(l!\theta) using cp unfolding final-def by auto
         have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
         moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l \ using \ len-l \ by \ auto
         moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
         moreover have fst(l!0) = DynCom\ c1 using cp by auto
         ultimately show ?thesis
           using a2 cp final-exist-component-tran-final env-tran-right final-0
           by blast
```

```
then obtain k where a21: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow l) \land (length \ l) \land (length \ l) \rightarrow length \ l
(l!(Suc\ k))) \land final\ (l!(Suc\ k))
                    by auto
                 then have a00:Suc k < length \ l by fastforce
                  then obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
\land (\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
                    using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
                  then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \land sj = snd\ (l!j) \land csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \land ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
                     by fastforce
                 have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                                   snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                                   (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                                   snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a))
                 proof -
                      have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
                     then have k-basic:cj = (DynCom\ c1) \land sj \in Normal\ `(p)
                          using a2 pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right assum stability[of p
R \ l \ \theta \ j \ j \ \Gamma
                        by force
                     then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
                     then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
                        using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0
                        by (metis snd-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases (10))
                     have cj:csj=c1 s' using k-basic pair-j ss a0
                        by (metis fst-conv stepc-Normal-elim-cases (10))
                     moreover have p1:s' \in p using ss by blast
                   moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a))
F
                        using a0 com-validityn-def cj by blast
                     moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
                        using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
                        by fastforce
                     ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
                        using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                        by (meson contra-subsetD cpn-assum-induct)
                     thus ?thesis
                      using j-length l-f drop-comm a10' \Gamma1 cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l DynCom
c1 s - Suc j G q a F Suc j valid
                        by blast
                   qed
                } thus ?thesis by auto
            note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
            thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by force qed
       } thus ?thesis by auto qed
    } thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{Guard-sound} :
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
   (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a]) \Longrightarrow
   Sta\ (p \cap g)\ R \Longrightarrow (\forall s.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
    \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Guard f g \ c1) \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}c1\ sat\ [(p\cap g)\ ,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]\ {\bf and}
    a1:(\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a]) and
    a2: Sta (p \cap g) R and
    a3: \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a1:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q, a]
      using a1 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c1) \ s \cap assum(p \cap g, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
     {
      \mathbf{fix} c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c1) \ s \ {\bf and} \ a11:c \in assum(p \cap g, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \Gamma (Guard f g c1) s
      unfolding cpn-def cp-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
\mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce}
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
       {assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
         have cp:l!\theta=((Guard\ f\ g\ c1),s)\land (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def
c-prod by fastforce
         have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
         have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p \cap g) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                   (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                     (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
        using all c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
        then have env-tran:env-tran \Gamma (p \cap g) l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
        then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
          using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
        have concl:(\forall i. Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
                \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                   (snd(\mathit{l!}i),\,snd(\mathit{l!}(\mathit{Suc}\,\,i))) \,\in\, G)
        proof -
        \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
          assume a00:Suc k < length \ l and
                a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
          obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall k)
```

```
\langle j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))))
          using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst(l!j) \wedge sj = snd(l!j) \wedge csj = fst(l!(Suc j)) \wedge ssj = snd(l!(Suc j))
          by fastforce
        have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g c1) \land sj \in Normal ' (p \cap g)
          using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 stability[of
p \cap g R \ l \ 0 \ j \ j \ \Gamma
          by force
        then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p \cap g) by auto
        then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
          using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2)
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntD2 prod.inject)
        have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          using ss a2 unfolding Satis-def
        proof (cases k=i)
          case True
          have (Normal s', Normal s')\in G using a3 by auto
          thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
            using pair-j k-basic True ss ssj-normal-s by auto
        next
          case False
         have j-k:j < k using before-k-all-evnt False by fastforce
          thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
          proof -
           have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
           have c_j:c_j=c_1 using k-basic pair-j ss a_0
           \textbf{by} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}, \; \textit{lifting}) \; \textit{IntD2} \; \textit{fst-conv} \; \textit{stepc-Normal-elim-cases}(2))
            moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap g) using ss by blast
            moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum(p \cap g, R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
             using a1 com-validityn-def cj by blast
            moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
             using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
             by fastforce
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G, (q,a))\ F
             using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s
                   cpn-assum-induct
             by fastforce
            then show ?thesis
            using a00 \ a21 \ a10' \ \Gamma 1 \ j-k \ j-length \ l-f
            cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l (Guard f g c1) s - Suc j G q a F k ]
            unfolding Satis-def by fastforce
         qed
      qed
      } thus ?thesis by (simp add: c-prod cp) qed
      have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst \ (last \ l) = Skip \ \land
```

```
snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q))\ \lor
                   (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                  snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `(a)))
      proof-
        assume valid:final (last l)
        have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final
(l!(Suc\ k))
        proof -
          have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
            then obtain a1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl
length-greater-0-conv)
          have last-l:last l = l!(length l-1)
           using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
          have final-\theta:\neg final(l!\theta) using cp unfolding final-def by auto
          have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
          moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l \ using \ len-l \ by \ auto
          moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
          moreover have fst(l!0) = (Guard f g c1) using cp by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis
            using cp final-exist-component-tran-final env-tran-right final-0
            by blast
         qed
          then obtain k where a21: k \ge 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow l) \land (l!k) \rightarrow l!
(l!(Suc\ k))) \land final\ (l!(Suc\ k))
           by auto
         then have a00:Suc k < length \ l by fastforce
         then obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
\land (\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
           using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj sj csj ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst(l!j) \wedge sj = snd(l!j) \wedge csj = fst(l!(Suc j)) \wedge ssj = snd(l!(Suc j))
           by fastforce
         have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                   snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                  (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                  snd (last l) \in Normal '(a)
         proof -
            have j-length: Suc j < length l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
           then have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g c1) \land sj \in Normal ' (p \cap g)
           using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 stability[of
p \cap g R \ l \ 0 \ j \ j \ \Gamma
             by force
           then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p \cap g) by auto
           then have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
             using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a1
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntD2 Pair-inject stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
```

```
have cj:csj=c1 using k-basic pair-j ss a\theta
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv IntD2 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
            moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap g) using ss by blast
           moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum((p \cap g), R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
              using a1 com-validityn-def cj by blast
            moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
              using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
              by fastforce
            ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma,\ drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l))\in\ comm(G,\ (q,a))\ F
              using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s cpn-assum-induct
              by fastforce
            thus ?thesis
              using j-length l-f drop-comm a10' \Gamma1 cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l (Guard
f g c1) s - Suc j G q a F Suc j] valid
              by blast
           qed
         } thus ?thesis by auto
       note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
       thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by force qed
    } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma Guarantee-sound:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [(p \cap g), \ R, \ G, \ q,a] \Longrightarrow
  \forall\,n.\ \dot{\Gamma,}\Theta\models n_{/F}\ c1\ sat\ [p\,\cap\,g,\,R,\,G,\,q,a]\Longrightarrow
   Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
   f \in F \Longrightarrow
   (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (Guard f g \ c1) \ sat [p, R, G, q,a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q,a] \ \mathbf{and}
    a1: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q, a] \ and
    a2: Sta p R and
    a3: (∀ s. (Normal s, Normal s) ∈ G) and
    a4: f \in F
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a1:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap g, R, G, q, a]
      using a1 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c1) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) \ F
    proof -
```

```
{
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
     assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c1) \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have a10':c \in cp \Gamma (Guard f g c1) s
     unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
by fast
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
      have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F
      proof -
      {assume l-f:snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
        have cp:l!\theta=((Guard\ f\ g\ c1),s)\land (\Gamma,l)\in cptn\land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def
c-prod by fastforce
       have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
       have assum:snd(l!0) \in Normal `(p) \land (\forall i. Suc i < length l \longrightarrow
                (\Gamma 1)\vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                  (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in R)
       using all c-prod unfolding assum-def by simp
       then have env-tran: env-tran \Gamma p l R using env-tran-def cp by blast
       then have env-tran-right: env-tran-right \Gamma l R
      using env-tran env-tran-right-def unfolding env-tran-def by auto
      have concl:(\forall i \ ns \ ns'. \ Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
              \Gamma 1 \vdash_c (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G)
       proof -
       \{ \text{ fix } k \text{ ns } ns' \}
        assume a00:Suc k<length l and
               a21:\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ k))
         obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land (\forall k)
\langle j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ k))))
          using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj \ where pair - j : (\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst(l!j) \wedge sj = snd(l!j) \wedge csj = fst(l!(Suc j)) \wedge ssj = snd(l!(Suc j))
          by fastforce
        have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g c1) \land sj \in Normal `(p)
          using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
          by force
        then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
        have or: s' \in (g \cup (-g)) by fastforce
         {assume s' \in g
         then have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g c1) \land sj \in Normal ' (p \cap g)
            using ss k-basic by fastforce
         then have ss: sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p \cap g)
            using ss by fastforce
         have ssj-normal-s:ssj = Normal s'
          using ss before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a0 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2)
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) IntD2 prod.inject)
         have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          using ss a2 unfolding Satis-def
```

```
proof (cases k=j)
         case True
         have (Normal\ s',\ Normal\ s') \in G using a3 by auto
         thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
          using pair-j k-basic True ss ssj-normal-s by auto
       next
         case False
        have j-k:j < k using before-k-all-evnt False by fastforce
         thus (snd (l!k), snd (l!Suck)) \in G
         proof -
          have j-length: Suc j < length \ l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
          have cj:csj=c1 using k-basic pair-j ss a0
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv IntD2 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
          moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap g) using ss by blast
          moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum((p \cap g), R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
            using a1 com-validityn-def cj by blast
          moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
            using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
            by fastforce
          ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G,\ (q,a))\ F
            using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s cpn-assum-induct
            by fastforce
           then show ?thesis
           using a3\ a00\ a21\ a10'\ \Gamma1\ j-k\ j-length\ l-f
            cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma l (Guard f g c1) s - Suc j G g a F k]
           unfolding Satis-def by fastforce
          qed
        qed
       } note p1=this
        assume s' \notin g
        then have csj-skip:csj=Skip \land ssj=Fault f using k-basic ss pair-j
          by (meson\ Pair-inject\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
        then have snd (last l) = Fault f using pair-j
        proof -
          have j = k
          proof -
           have f1: k < length l
             using a00 by linarith
           have \neg SmallStepCon.final (l ! k)
             by (metis SmallStepCon.no-step-final' a21)
           then have \neg Suc j \leq k
              using f1 SmallStepCon.final-def cp csj-skip i-skip-all-skip pair-j by
blast
           then show ?thesis
             by (metis Suc-leI before-k-all-evnt le-eq-less-or-eq)
          qed
```

```
then have False
             using pair-j csj-skip by (metis a00 a4 cp image-eqI l-f last-not-F)
           then show ?thesis
            by metis
         qed
         then have False using a4 l-f by auto
        then have (snd(l!k), snd(l!(Suc\ k))) \in G
          using p1 or by fastforce
      } thus ?thesis by (simp add: c-prod cp) qed
      have concr:(final\ (last\ l)\ \longrightarrow
                 ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                  snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `q)) \lor
                  (fst\ (last\ l) = Throw\ \land
                  snd (last l) \in Normal '(a))
      proof-
        assume valid:final (last l)
        have \exists k. \ k \geq 0 \land k < ((length \ l) - 1) \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow (l!(Suc \ k))) \land final
(l!(Suc\ k))
        proof -
          have len-l:length l > 0 using cp using cptn.simps by blast
            then obtain a1 l1 where l:l=a1\#l1 by (metis SmallStepCon.nth-tl
length-greater-0-conv)
          have last-l:last\ l = l!(length\ l-1)
          using last-length [of a1 l1] l by fastforce
          have final-0:\neg final(l!0) using cp unfolding final-def by auto
          have 0 \le (length \ l-1) using len-l last-l by auto
          moreover have (length \ l-1) < length \ l \ using \ len-l \ by \ auto
          moreover have final (l!(length \ l-1)) using valid last-l by auto
          moreover have fst(l!0) = (Guard f g c1) using cp by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis
            using cp final-exist-component-tran-final env-tran-right final-0
            by blast
         qed
          then obtain k where a21: k>0 \land k<((length\ l)-1) \land (\Gamma\vdash_c(l!k) \rightarrow
(l!(Suc\ k))) \land final\ (l!(Suc\ k))
           by auto
         then have a00:Suc k < length \ l by fastforce
         then obtain j where before-k-all-evnt:j \le k \land (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j)))
\land (\forall k < j. (\Gamma \vdash_c (l!k) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc \ k))))
           using a00 a21 exist-first-comp-tran cp by blast
         then obtain cj \ sj \ csj \ ssj where pair-j:(\Gamma \vdash_c (cj,sj) \rightarrow (csj,ssj)) \land cj =
fst\ (l!j) \land sj = snd\ (l!j) \land csj = fst\ (l!(Suc\ j)) \land ssj = snd(l!(Suc\ j))
           by fastforce
         have ((fst (last l) = Skip \land
                  snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `q))\ \lor
                  (fst (last l) = Throw \land
                  snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a))
```

```
proof -
           have j-length: Suc j < length \ l using a00 before-k-all-evnt by fastforce
          have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g c1) \land sj \in Normal `(p)
          using pair-j before-k-all-evnt cp env-tran-right a2 assum a00 stability[of
p R l \theta j j \Gamma
           by force
          then obtain s' where ss:sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p) by auto
          have or: s' \in (g \cup (-g)) by fastforce
          {assume s' \in g
           then have k-basic:cj = (Guard f g \ c1) \land sj \in Normal \ (p \cap g)
             using ss k-basic by fastforce
           then have ss: sj = Normal \ s' \land s' \in (p \cap g)
             using ss by fastforce
           then have \mathit{ssj-normal-s}:\mathit{ssj} = \mathit{Normal}\; \mathit{s}'
            using before-k-all-evnt k-basic pair-j a1
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pair-inject IntD2 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
           have cj:csj=c1 using k-basic pair-j ss a\theta
           by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv IntD2 stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
           moreover have p1:s' \in (p \cap g) using ss by blast
          moreover then have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ csj \ ssj \cap assum((p \cap g), R) \subseteq comm(G,
(q,a)) F
             using a1 com-validityn-def cj by blast
           moreover then have l!(Suc\ j) = (csj, Normal\ s')
             using before-k-all-evnt pair-j cj ssj-normal-s
             by fastforce
           ultimately have drop\text{-}comm:((\Gamma, drop\ (Suc\ j)\ l)) \in comm(G,\ (q,a))\ F
             using j-length a10 a11 \Gamma1 ssj-normal-s cpn-assum-induct
             by fastforce
          then have ?thesis
            using j-length l-f drop-comm a10' \Gamma1
                  cptn-comm-induct[of \Gamma \ l \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c1) \ s - Suc \ j \ G \ q \ a \ F \ Suc \ j]
valid
            by blast
         }note left=this
           assume s' \notin q
         then have csj = Skip \land ssj = Fault f using k-basic ss pair-j
          by (meson\ Pair-inject\ stepc-Normal-elim-cases(2))
         then have snd (last l) = Fault f using pair-j
          by (metis a4 cp imageI j-length l-f last-not-F)
         then have False using a4 l-f by auto
         thus ?thesis using or left by auto qed
        } thus ?thesis by auto
        qed
```

```
note res = conjI [OF concl concr]
      thus ?thesis using c-prod unfolding comm-def by force qed
    } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
ged
lemma WhileNone:
  \Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c1, \ s1) \rightarrow (LanguageCon.com.Skip, \ t1) \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma, (Skip, t1) \# xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
   Sta\ p\ R \Longrightarrow
    Sta (p \cap (-b)) R \Longrightarrow
    Sta \ a \ R \Longrightarrow
    (\forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G) \Longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c1,\ s1)\ \#\ (LanguageCon.com.Skip,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa)\in assum\ (p,\ R)
    (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c1,\ s1)\ \#\ (LanguageCon.com.Skip,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa) \in comm\ (G,(p\cap C, com.Skip,\ t1))
(-b), a) F
proof -
  assume a0:\Gamma\vdash_c (While\ b\ c1,\ s1) \to (LanguageCon.com.Skip,\ t1) and
        a1:(n,\Gamma,(Skip,t1) \# xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
        a2: \Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] and
        a3:Sta p R and
        a4:Sta (p \cap (-b)) R and
        a5:Sta\ a\ R\ {\bf and}
        a6: \forall s. \ (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ s) \in G \ \mathbf{and}
         a7:(\Gamma, (While\ b\ c1,\ s1)\ \#\ (LanguageCon.com.Skip,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa)\in assum
(p, R)
 obtain s1' where s1N:s1=Normal\ s1' \land s1' \in p using a7 unfolding assum-def
by fastforce
  then have s1-t1:s1 \not\in b \land t1 = s1 using a\theta
   using LanguageCon.com.distinct(5) prod.inject
   by (fastforce elim:stepc-Normal-elim-cases(7))
  then have t1-Normal-post:t1 \in Normal ' (p \cap (-b))
    using s1N by fastforce
  also have (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c1,\ s1)\ \#\ (LanguageCon.com.Skip,\ t1)\ \#\ xsa) \in cptn
   using a1 a0 cptn.simps
   using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by fastforce
  ultimately have assum-skip:
   (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, t1) \# xsa) \in assum ((p \cap (-b)), R)
   using a1 a7 tl-of-assum-in-assum1 t1-Normal-post by fastforce
  have skip\text{-}comm:(\Gamma,(LanguageCon.com.Skip, t1) \# xsa) \in
              comm (G,((p \cap (-b)),a)) F
  proof-
    obtain \Theta where (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,R,G,q,a])
by auto
   moreover have \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} Skip \ sat \ [(p \cap (-b)), R, G, (p \cap (-b)), a]
     using Skip-sound[of (p \cap -b)] at a6 by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis
```

```
using assum-skip a1 unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def cpn-def
     by fastforce
 qed
 have G-ref:(Normal s1', Normal s1')\in G using a6 by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using skip-comm ctran-in-comm[of s1'] s1N s1-t1 by blast
qed
lemma while1:
  (n,\Gamma,((c,Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1))\in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   s1 \in b \Longrightarrow
   xsa = map (lift (While b c)) xs1 \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
       (Seg c (Language Con.com. While b c), Normal s1) \# xsa)
      \in assum (p, R) \implies
   \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G \Longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \ \#
          (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
xsa
   \in comm \ (G, \ p \cap (-b), \ a) \ F
proof -
assume
  a\theta:(n,\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
  a1:s1 \in b and
  a2:xsa = map (lift (While b c)) xs1 and
  a3:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b,R,\ G,\ p,a] \ and
  a4:(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
       (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)
      \in assum (p, R) and
  a5: \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G
  have seq-map:(Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa=
          map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)
  using a2 unfolding lift-def by fastforce
 have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(\textit{While b c,Normal s1}) \rightarrow (\textit{Seq c (While b c),Normal s1}) using a1
    While Truec by fastforce
 have s1-normal:s1 \in p \land s1 \in b using a4 a1 unfolding assum-def by fastforce
 then have G-ref:(Normal\ s1,\ Normal\ s1) \in G using a5 by fastforce
 have s1-collect-p: Normal s1 \in Normal ' (p \cap b) using s1-normal by fastforce
 have (\Gamma, map (lift (While b c)) ((c,Normal s1)#xs1)) \in cptn
   using a2 cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest lift-is-cptn a0 by blast
  then have cptn-seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)\in cptn
   using seq-map by auto
 then have (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c, Normal\ s1) \# (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# xsa)
\in cptn
   using step by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp)
  then have assum-seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa) \in assum\ (p,\ R)
   using a4 tl-of-assum-in-assum1 s1-collect-p by fastforce
  have cp\text{-}c:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1)) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c \ (Normal s1))
   using a\theta unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
```

```
then have cp-c':(\Gamma, ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1)) \in (cp \Gamma c (Normal s1))
   unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fastforce
  also have cp\text{-}seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)\in (cp\ \Gamma\ (Seq\ c
(While \ b \ c)) \ (Normal \ s1))
   using cptn-seq unfolding cp-def by fastforce
  ultimately have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum(p,R)
    using assum-map assum-seq seq-map by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum((p \cap b), R)
    unfolding assum-def using s1-collect-p by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in comm(G,(p,a)) F
    using a3 cp-c unfolding com-validityn-def by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa) \in comm(G,(p,a))\ F
   using cp-seq cp-c' comm-map seq-map by fastforce
 then have (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c, Normal\ s1) \# (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# xsa)
\in comm(G,(p,a)) F
   using G-ref ctran-in-comm by fastforce
  also have \neg final (last ((While b c, Normal s1) # (Seq c (While b c), Normal
s1) \# xsa))
     using seq-map unfolding final-def lift-def by (simp add: case-prod-beta'
  ultimately show ?thesis using not-final-in-comm[of \Gamma] by blast
qed
lemma while2:
   (n,\Gamma, (While\ b\ c, Normal\ s1)\ \#
         (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)\in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   (n, \Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   fst\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip \Longrightarrow
   s1 \in b \Longrightarrow
   xsa = map (lift (While b c)) xs1 @
    (While b c, snd (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1))) \# ys \Longrightarrow
    (n, \Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys)
     \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
    (\Gamma \models n_{/F} \ c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
      (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1))) \# ys)
         \in assum (p, R) \Longrightarrow
      (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys)
         \in comm \ (G, \ p \cap (-b), \ a) \ F) \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
     (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)
     \in assum (p, R) \implies
    \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G \implies
   (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
        (Seg\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)
     \in comm (G, (p \cap (-b), a)) F
proof -
assume a\theta\theta:(n, \Gamma, (While b c, Normal s1) #
        (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ and
```

```
a\theta:(n,\Gamma,(c,Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)\in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call\ and}
      a1: fst\ (last\ ((c, Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)) = LanguageCon.com.Skip\ and
      a2:s1 \in b and
      a3:xsa = map (lift (While b c)) xs1 @
           (While b c, snd (last ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1))) \# ys and
      a4:(n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys)
           \in cptn-mod-nest-call and
      a5:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p,a] \ and
      a6:(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \ \#
              (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa)
            \in assum (p, R) and
      a7:(\Gamma \models n_{/F} \ c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
          (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys)
            \in assum (p, R) \Longrightarrow
          (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys)
            \in comm \ (G, p \cap (-b), a) \ F) and
      a8: \forall s. (Normal s, Normal s) \in G
 let ?l = (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
          (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa
 let ?sub-l=((While\ b\ c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                map (lift (While b c)) xs1)
 assume final-not-fault:snd (last ?l) \notin Fault ' F
 have a\theta':(\Gamma, (c, Normal s1) \# xs1) \in cptn
   using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest using a0 by auto
 have a4:(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys) \in cptn
   using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest using a4 by blast
  have seq-map:(Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1=
          map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)
  using a2 unfolding lift-def by fastforce
 have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(While\ b\ c,Normal\ s1)\to (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),Normal\ s1) using a2
    While Truec by fastforce
 have s1-normal:s1 \in p \land s1 \in b using a6 a2 unfolding assum-def by fastforce
 have G-ref:(Normal\ s1,\ Normal\ s1) \in G
   using a8 by blast
 have s1-collect-p: Normal s1 \in Normal ' (p \cap b) using s1-normal by fastforce
 have (\Gamma, map (lift (While b c)) ((c,Normal s1)#xs1)) \in cptn
   using a2 cptn-eq-cptn-mod lift-is-cptn a0' by fastforce
 then have cptn-seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))
xs1) \in cptn
   using seq-map by auto
  then have (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
                (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                 map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in cptn
   using step by (simp add: cptn.CptnComp)
 also have (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
                (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                 map (lift (While b c)) xs1)
```

```
\in assum (p, R)
   using a6 a3 sub-assum by force
  ultimately have assum-seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                    map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in assum\ (p,R)
   using a6 tl-of-assum-in-assum1 s1-collect-p
         tl-of-assum-in-assum by fastforce
  have cpn-c:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1)) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c \ (Normal s1))
   using a\theta unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
  have cp\text{-}c:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1)) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ c \ (Normal \ s1))
   using a\theta' unfolding cp-def by fastforce
  also have cp\text{-}seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))
xs1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c)) \ (Normal \ s1))
   using cptn-seq unfolding cp-def by fastforce
  ultimately have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum(p,R)
   using assum-map assum-seq seq-map by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum((p \cap b), R)
   unfolding assum-def using s1-collect-p by fastforce
  then have c\text{-}comm:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in comm(G,(p,a)) \ F
   using a5 cpn-c unfolding com-validityn-def by fastforce
  then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in
comm(G,(p,a)) F
   using cp-seq cp-c comm-map seq-map by fastforce
  then have comm-while:(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
                        (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                        map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in comm(G,(p,a))\ F
   using G-ref ctran-in-comm by fastforce
 have final-last-c:final (last ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1))
   using a1 a3 unfolding final-def by fastforce
 have last-while1:snd (last (map (lift (While b c)) ((c,Normal s1)#xs1))) = snd
(last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1))
   unfolding lift-def by (simp add: case-prod-beta' last-map)
  have last\text{-}while2:(last\ (map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1))) =
          last ((While b c, Normal s1) # (Seq c (While b c), Normal s1) # map
(lift (While b c)) xs1)
   using seq-map by fastforce
 have not-fault-final-last-c:
   snd\ (last\ (\ (c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)) \notin Fault\ ``F
 proof -
   have (length ?sub-l) - 1 < length ?l
     using a3 by fastforce
   then have snd (?l!((length ?sub-l) - 1)) \notin Fault `F
     using final-not-fault a3 a00 last-not-F[of \Gamma ?l F]
         cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod by blast
   thus ?thesis using last-while2 last-while1 seq-map
     by (metis (no-types) Cons-lift-append a3 diff-Suc-1 last-length length-Cons
lessI nth-Cons-Suc nth-append)
  then have last-c-normal:snd (last ( (c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)) \in Normal ' (p)
   using c-comm at unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
```

```
then obtain sl where sl:snd (last ( (c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)) = Normal sl by
fast force
  have while-comm: (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, snd \ (last \ ((c, Normal \ s1) \ \# \ xs1))) \ \# \ ys) \in
comm(G,(p\cap(-b),a)) F
 proof -
   have assum-while: (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c,\ snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)))\ \#\ ys)
            \in assum (p, R)
     using last-c-normal a3 a6 sub-assum-r[of \Gamma ?sub-l (While b c, snd (last ((c,
Normal s1) \# xs1))) ys p R p
     by fastforce
   thus ?thesis using a5 a7 by fastforce
 have sl \in p using last-c-normal sl by fastforce
 then have G1-ref:(Normal sl, Normal sl)\in G using a8 by auto
 also have snd (last ?sub-l) = Normal sl
   using last-while1 last-while2 sl by fastforce
  ultimately have ?thesis
  using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest a00 a3 sl while-comm comm-union[OF comm-while]
   by fastforce
  } note p1 = this
   assume final-not-fault:\neg (snd (last ?l) \notin Fault 'F)
   then have ?thesis unfolding comm-def by fastforce
  } thus ?thesis using p1 by fastforce
qed
lemma while3:
  (n, \Gamma, (c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \Longrightarrow
   fst\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)) = Throw \Longrightarrow
   s1 \in b \Longrightarrow
   snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)) = Normal\ sl \Longrightarrow
   (n,\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \implies
   \Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
        (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
        (map (lift (While b c)) xs1 @
          (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys))
      \in assum (p, R) \implies
    Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
    Sta a R \Longrightarrow \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G \Longrightarrow
   (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
        (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
        ((map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1\ @
          (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys))) \in comm \ (G, p \cap (-b), a) \ F
proof -
assume a\theta:(n,\Gamma,(c,Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)\in cptn-mod-nest-call\ and
      a1:fst\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1))=Throw\ {\bf and}
```

```
a2:s1 \in b and
      a3:snd\ (last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1)) = Normal\ sl\ {\bf and}
      a4:(n,\Gamma, (\mathit{Throw}, \mathit{Normal}\; sl) \; \# \; ys) \in \mathit{cptn-mod-nest-call}\; \mathbf{and}
      a5:\Gamma \models n_{/F} c \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p,a] \ and
      a6:(\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
          (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
          (map (lift (While b c)) xs1 @
            (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys))
          \in assum (p, R) and
      a7: Sta p R and
      a8: Sta~a~R~ and
      a10: \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G
 have seq-map: (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1) \# map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1 =
          map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1)
  using a2 unfolding lift-def by fastforce
 have step:\Gamma\vdash_c(While\ b\ c,Normal\ s1)\to (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),Normal\ s1) using a2
    While Truec by fastforce
 have s1-normal:s1 \in p \land s1 \in b using a6 a2 unfolding assum-def by fastforce
  then have G-ref:(Normal s1, Normal s1)\in G using a10 by auto
 have s1-collect-p: Normal s1 \in Normal ' (p \cap b) using s1-normal by fastforce
  have (n, \Gamma, map (lift (While b c)) ((c,Normal s1)#xs1)) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   using a2 lift-is-cptn a0
   by (metis cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestSeq1 seq-map)
  then have cptn-seq:(n,\Gamma,(Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))
(c) (xs1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
   using seq-map by auto
  then have cptn:(n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
               (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    by (meson a0 a2 cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile1)
 also have (\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.While b c, Normal s1) \#
        (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
        map (lift (LanguageCon.com.While b c)) xs1)
         \in assum (p, R)
   using a6 sub-assum by force
  ultimately have assum-seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                     map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in assum\ (p,R)
   using a6 tl-of-assum-in-assum1 s1-collect-p
         tl-of-assum-in-assum cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by fast
  have cpn-c:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1)) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ c \ (Normal s1))
   using a0 unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
  then have cp\text{-}c:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal s1) \# xs1)) \in (cp \Gamma c (Normal s1))
   unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by auto
 moreover have cp\text{-}seq:(\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)
c)) xs1) \in (cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c)) \ (Normal \ s1))
   using cptn-seq unfolding cpn-def by fastforce
  then have cp\text{-}seq': (\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))
xs1) \in (cp \ \Gamma \ (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c)) \ (Normal \ s1))
   unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by auto
```

```
ultimately have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum(p,R)
   using assum-map assum-seq seq-map by fastforce
 then have (\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in assum((p \cap b), R)
   unfolding assum-def using s1-collect-p by fastforce
 then have c\text{-}comm:(\Gamma, ((c, Normal \ s1) \# xs1)) \in comm(G,(p,a)) \ F
   using a5 cpn-c unfolding com-validityn-def by fastforce
 then have (\Gamma, (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1) \# map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1) \in
comm(G,(p,a)) F
   using cp-seq' cp-c comm-map seq-map by fastforce
 then have comm-while: (\Gamma, (While\ b\ c, Normal\ s1) \# (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c), Normal\ s1)
s1) \# map (lift (While b c)) xs1) \in comm(G,(p,a)) F
   using G-ref ctran-in-comm by fastforce
 have final-last-c:final (last ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1))
   using a1 a3 unfolding final-def by fastforce
 have not-fault-final-last-c:
   snd (last ((c,Normal s1) \# xs1)) \notin Fault 'F
   using a3 by fastforce
 then have sl-a:Normal \ sl \in Normal \ ' \ (a)
   using final-last-c a1 c-comm unfolding comm-def
   using a3 comm-dest2
   by auto
 have last-while 1: snd (last (map (lift (While b c)) ((c, Normal s1) #xs1))) = snd
(last\ ((c,\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xs1))
   unfolding lift-def by (simp add: case-prod-beta' last-map)
 have last-while 2:(last\ (map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ ((c,Normal\ s1)\#xs1))) =
          last ((While b c, Normal s1) # (Seq c (While b c), Normal s1) # map
(lift (While b c)) xs1)
   using seq-map by fastforce
 have throw-comm: (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys) \in comm(G, (p \cap (-b), a)) \ F
 proof -
   have assum-throw: (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys) \in assum (a,R)
    using sl-a a6 sub-assum-r[of - (LanguageCon.com.While b c, Normal s1) #
       (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
       map (lift (LanguageCon.com.While b c)) xs1 (Throw, Normal sl)
    by fastforce
   also have (\Gamma, (Throw, Normal \ sl) \# ys) \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ Throw (Normal \ sl)
    unfolding cpn-def using a4 by fastforce
   ultimately show ?thesis using Throw-sound[of a R G \Gamma] a10 a8
     unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def by fast
 have p1:(LanguageCon.com.While b c, Normal s1) #
   (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
   map\ (lift\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c))\ xs1 \neq
   (Language Con. com. Throw, Normal sl) \# ys \neq [] by auto
 have sl \in a using sl-a by fastforce
 then have G1-ref:(Normal\ sl,\ Normal\ sl) \in G using a10 by auto
 moreover have snd (last ((While b c, Normal s1) #
               (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
```

```
map (lift (While b c)) xs1)) = Normal sl
   using last-while1 last-while2 a3 by fastforce
 moreover have snd (((Language Con.com. Throw, Normal sl) # ys)! \theta) = Nor-
   by (metis nth-Cons-0 snd-conv)
  ultimately have G:(snd (last ((While b c, Normal s1) #
                  (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
                  map (lift (While b c)) xs1)),
                  snd\ (((LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal\ sl)\ \#\ ys)\ !\ \theta)) \in G\ \mathbf{by}
auto
  have cptn:(\Gamma, ((LanguageCon.com.While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \ \#
         (LanguageCon.com.Seq\ c\ (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
         map (lift (LanguageCon.com.While b c)) xs1) @
         (LanguageCon.com.Throw, Normal sl) # ys)
  € cptn using cptn a4 a0 a1 a3 a4 cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod.CptnModWhile3
s1-normal
             cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by (metis append-Cons)
 show ?thesis using a0 comm-union[OF comm-while throw-comm p1 G cptn] by
auto
qed
inductive-cases stepc-elim-cases-while-throw [cases set]:
\Gamma \vdash_c (While \ b \ c, \ s) \rightarrow (Throw, \ t)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{WhileSound-aux}\colon
 \Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \Longrightarrow
  Sta \ p \ R \Longrightarrow
  Sta \ (p \cap (-b)) \ R \Longrightarrow
  Sta \ a \ R \Longrightarrow
  (n, \Gamma, x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call \Longrightarrow
 \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G \Longrightarrow
  \forall s \ xs. \ x = ((While \ b \ c1), s) \# xs \longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, x) \in assum(p, R) \longrightarrow
    (\Gamma, x) \in comm \ (G, ((p \cap (-b)), a)) \ F
proof -
  assume a\theta: \Gamma \models n_{/F} \ c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] and
        a1: Sta p R and
        a2: Sta (p \cap (-b)) R and
        a3: Sta~a~R~ and
        a4: (n,\Gamma,x) \in cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest\text{-}call and
         a5: \forall s. (Normal \ s, \ Normal \ s) \in G
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ xs \ s \}
   assume while-xs:x=((While\ b\ c1),s)\#xs and
         x-assum:(\Gamma,x) \in assum(p,R)
  have (\Gamma,x) \in comm \ (G,((p \cap (-b)),a)) \ F
   using a4 a0 while-xs x-assum
  proof (induct arbitrary: xs s c1 rule:cptn-mod-nest-call.induct)
```

```
case (CptnModNestOne \ \Gamma \ C \ s1) thus ?case
     using CptnModOne unfolding comm-def final-def
     by auto
  next
    case (CptnModNestEnv \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
    then have c-while: C = While \ b \ c1 by fastforce
    have (\Gamma, (C, t1) \# xsa) \in assum (p, R) \longrightarrow
             (\Gamma, (C, t1) \# xsa) \in comm (G, p \cap (-b), a) F
    using CptnModNestEnv by fastforce
    moreover have (n,\Gamma,(C,s1)\#(C,t1) \# xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
    \mathbf{using} \ CptnModNestEnv(1,2) \ CptnModNestEnv.hyps(1) \ CptnModNestEnv.hyps(2)
     using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestEnv by blast
    then have cptn-mod:(\Gamma,(C,s1)\#(C,t1)\#xsa) \in cptn
     using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-nest by blast
    then have (\Gamma, (C, t1) \# xsa) \in assum (p, R)
     using tl-of-assum-in-assum CptnModNestEnv(6) a1 a2 a3 a4 a5
     bv blast
    ultimately have (\Gamma, (C, t1) \# xsa) \in comm (G, p \cap (-b), a) F
     by auto
    also have \neg (\Gamma \vdash_c ((C,s1)) \rightarrow ((C,t1)))
     by (simp add: mod-env-not-component)
    ultimately show ?case
     using cptn-mod etran-in-comm by blast
  next
    case (CptnModNestSkip \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
    then have C=While\ b\ c1 by auto
    also have (n,\Gamma, (LanguageCon.com.Skip, t1) \# xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set CptnModNestSkip(4) by fastforce
    thus ?case using WhileNone CptnModNestSkip a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 by blast
  next
    case (CptnModNestThrow \ \Gamma \ C \ s1 \ t1 \ n \ xsa)
    then have C = While \ b \ c1 by auto
     thus ?case using stepc-elim-cases-while-throw CptnModNestThrow(1)
     by blast
  next
    case (CptnModNestWhile1 n \Gamma c s1 xs1 b1 xsa zs)
    then have b=b1 \land c=c1 \land s=Normal\ s1 by auto
    using a4 a5 CptnModNestWhile1 while1 [of n \Gamma] by blast
    case (CptnModNestWhile2\ n\ \Gamma\ c\ s1\ xs1\ b1\ xsa\ ys\ zs)
    then have a00: (n,\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) #
       (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#\ xsa) \in cptn-mod-nest-call
     using cptn-mod-nest-call.CptnModNestWhile2 by fast
    then have eqs:b=b1 \land c=c1 \land s=Normal\ s1 using CptnModNestWhile2 by
auto
   thus ?case using a00 a4 a5 CptnModNestWhile2 while2 of n \Gamma b c s1 xsa xs1
ys \ F \ p \ R \ G \ a
     by blast
```

```
next
     case (CptnModNestWhile3\ n\ \Gamma\ c\ s1\ xs1\ b1\ sl\ ys\ zs)
     then have eqs:b=b1 \land c=c1 \land s=Normal\ s1 by auto
     then have (\Gamma, (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s1) \#
         (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s1)\ \#
         ((map\ (lift\ (While\ b\ c))\ xs1\ @
           (Throw, Normal \ sl) \ \# \ ys))) \in comm \ (G, \ p \cap (-b), \ a) \ F
       using a1 a3 a4 a5 CptnModNestWhile3 while3 of n \Gamma c s1 xs1 b sl ys F p R
G[a]
       by fastforce
     thus ?case using eqs CptnModNestWhile3 by auto
   qed (auto)
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma While-sound:
      \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p,a] \Longrightarrow
       (\forall n. \Gamma, \Theta \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a]) \Longrightarrow
       Sta\ (p\cap (-b))\ R\Longrightarrow Sta\ a\ R\Longrightarrow \forall s.\ (Normal\ s,\ Normal\ s)\in G\Longrightarrow
       \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} (While \ b \ c1) \ sat \ [p, R, G, p \cap (-b),a]
proof -
  assume
    a\theta:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p,a] and
    a1: \forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n /_F c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a] \ and
    a2: Sta \ p \ R \ \mathbf{and}
    a3: Sta (p \cap (-b)) R and
    a4: Sta a R and
    a5: \forall s. (Normal \ s, Normal \ s) \in G
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    then have a1:(\Gamma \models n_{/F} c1 \ sat \ [p \cap b, R, G, p, a])
      using a1 com-cvalidityn-def by fastforce
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ (While \ b \ c1) \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (p \cap (-b), a)) \ F
    proof-
      \{ \mathbf{fix} \ c \}
        assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma (While b \ c1) s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
        then have a10': c \in cp \ \Gamma \ (While \ b \ c1) \ s
       unfolding cp-def cpn-def using cptn-eq-cptn-mod-set cptn-mod-nest-cptn-mod
by fastforce
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
       have cp:l!\theta=((While\ b\ c1),s) \land (\Gamma,l) \in cptn \land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10'\ cp-def
c-prod by fastforce
      have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
      obtain xs where l=((While\ b\ c1),s)\#xs using cp
```

```
proof -
         assume a1: \bigwedge xs.\ l = (LanguageCon.com.While\ b\ c1,\ s) \# xs \Longrightarrow thesis
         have [] \neq l
           using cp cptn.simps by auto
         then show ?thesis
           using a1 by (metis (full-types) SmallStepCon.nth-tl cp)
       qed
       moreover have (n,\Gamma,l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call using a10
         using \Gamma 1 cpn-def by fastforce
       ultimately have c \in comm(G, (p \cap (-b), a)) F
       using a1 a2 a3 a4 WhileSound-aux a11 Γ1 a5
         by blast
       } thus ?thesis by auto qed
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def [of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma Conseq-sound:
  (\forall s \in p.
        \exists p' R' G' q' a' I' \Theta'.
           s \in p' \land
           R \subseteq R' \wedge
           G^{\,\prime}\subseteq\,G\,\wedge\,
           q' \subseteq q \land
           a'\subseteq a \wedge \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \wedge
           \Gamma,\Theta' \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',R',\ G',\ q',a'] \land
           (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p', R', G', q', a'])) \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof -
  assume
  a\theta: (\forall s \in p.
        \exists p' R' G' q' a' I' \Theta'.
           s \in p' \land
           R \subseteq R' \wedge
           G' \subseteq G \land
           q^{\,\prime}\subseteq\,q\,\wedge
           a' \subseteq a \land \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \land
           \Gamma,\Theta' \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',R',\ G',\ q',a'] \land
           (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p', R', G', q', a']))
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q, a)) \ F
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
```

```
then have a10': c \in cp \ \Gamma \ P \ s unfolding cp\text{-}def \ cpn\text{-}def \ cptn\text{-}eq\text{-}cptn\text{-}mod\text{-}nest
by auto
      obtain \Gamma 1 l where c-prod:c=(\Gamma 1,l) by fastforce
     have cp:l!\theta=(P,s) \land (n,\Gamma,l) \in cptn-mod-nest-call \land \Gamma=\Gamma 1 using a10 cpn-def
c-prod by fastforce
       have \Gamma 1:(\Gamma, l) = c using c-prod cp by blast
       obtain xs where l=(P,s)\#xs using cp
       proof -
         assume a1: \bigwedge xs. l = (P, s) \# xs \Longrightarrow thesis
        have [] \neq l
           using cp cptn.simps
           using CptnEmpty by force
         then show ?thesis
           using a1 by (metis (full-types) SmallStepCon.nth-tl cp)
       obtain ns where s:(s = Normal \ ns) using a 10 a 11 unfolding assum-def
cpn-def by fastforce
      then have ns \in p using a 10 a 11 unfolding assum-def cpn-def by fastforce
       then have ns:ns \in p by auto
       then have
       \forall s. \ s \in p \longrightarrow (\exists p' R' G' q' a' \Theta'. (s \in p') \land a' \cap b')
         R \subseteq R' \wedge
         G^{\,\prime}\subseteq\,G\,\wedge\,
         q^{\,\prime}\subseteq\,q\,\wedge
         a' \subseteq a \land \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \land
         (\Gamma,\Theta'\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',R',\ G',\ q',a']) \land 
         (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p', R', G', q',a'])) using a0 by auto
       then have
        ns \in p \longrightarrow (\exists p' R' G' q' a' \Theta'. (ns \in p') \land
         R \subseteq R' \wedge
         G' \subseteq G \land
         q' \subseteq q \land
         a' \subseteq a \land \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \land
         (\Gamma,\Theta' \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',R',\ G',\ q',a']) \land
         (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p', R', G', q', a'])) apply (rule all E) by auto
     then obtain p'R'G'q'a'\Theta' where
     rels:
        \mathit{ns} \, \in \, \mathit{p}^{\, \prime} \, \land \,
        R \subseteq R' \wedge
         G' \subseteq G \land
         q' \subseteq q \land
         a' \subseteq a \land \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \land
         (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p', R', G', q', a']) using ns by auto
       then have s \in Normal ' p' using s by fastforce
       then have (\Gamma, l) \in assum(p', R')
         using all rels cp all c-prod assum-R-R'[of \Gamma l p R p' R']
         by fastforce
       then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G', (q', a')) F
```

```
using rels all-call a10 c-prod cp unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def
       by blast
      then have (\Gamma, l) \in comm(G, (q, a)) F
        using c-prod cp comm-conseq[of \Gamma l G' q' a' F G q a] rels by fastforce
      then have c \in comm(G, (q,a)) F using c-prod cp by fastforce
    thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by force qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma Conj-post-sound:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q,a] \land
   (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]) \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q',a'] \land
   (\forall n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \models n /_F P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q', a']) \Longrightarrow
 \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q \cap q',a \cap a']
proof -
assume a\theta: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P sat [p,R, G, q,a] \land
   (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a]) and
       a1: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q',a'] \land
   (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n /_F P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q',a'])
{
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    with a0 have a0:cpn n \Gamma P s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q,a)) F
      unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def by auto
    with a1 all-call have a1:cpn n \Gamma P s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q',a')) F
      unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def by auto
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q \cap q', a \cap a')) \ F
   proof
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ c
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have c \in comm(G,(q,a)) F \land c \in comm(G,(q',a')) F
        using a\theta at by auto
      then have c \in comm(G, (q \cap q', a \cap a')) F
        unfolding comm-def by fastforce
    thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by force qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
lemma x91:sa \neq \{\} \implies c \in comm(G, (\bigcap i \in sa. \ q \ i,a)) \ F = (\forall i \in sa. \ c \in comm(G, q) \}
  unfolding comm-def apply (auto simp add: Ball-def)
   apply (frule spec, force)
    by (frule spec, force)
```

```
lemma conj-inter-sound:
sa \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow
\forall i \in sa. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q\ i,a] \land (\forall n.\ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q\ i,a])
\Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ \bigcap i \in sa.\ q\ i,a]
proof -
  assume a\theta':sa\neq\{\} and
         a\theta: \forall i \in sa. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q \ i,a] \land
              (\forall n. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models n_{/F} \ P \ sat \ [p,R,\ G,\ q\ i,a])
{
   \mathbf{fix}\ s
    assume all-call: \forall (c, p, R, G, q, a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models n_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
    with a0 have a0: \forall i \in sa. \ cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (q \ i, a)) \ F
      unfolding com-cvalidityn-def com-validityn-def by auto
    have cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \cap assum(p, R) \subseteq comm(G, (\bigcap i \in sa. \ q \ i, a)) \ F
   proof -
      assume a10:c \in cpn \ n \ \Gamma \ P \ s \ and \ a11:c \in assum(p, R)
      then have (\forall i \in sa. \ c \in comm(G, q i, a) \ F)
        using a\theta by fastforce
      then have c \in comm(G, (\bigcap i \in sa. \ q \ i,a)) F using x91[OF \ a0'] by blast
    thus ?thesis unfolding comm-def by force qed
  } thus ?thesis by (simp add: com-validityn-def[of \Gamma] com-cvalidityn-def)
qed
lemma localRG-sound: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} c sat [p, R, G, q, a] \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge n. \Gamma,\Theta \models_{n} /_{F} c sat
[p, R, G, q,a]
proof (induct rule:lrghoare.induct)
  case Skip
    thus ?case by (simp add: Skip-sound)
next
  case Spec
    thus ?case by (simp add: Spec-sound)
next
  case Basic
    thus ?case by (simp add: Basic-sound)
next
  case Await
    thus ?case by (simp add: Await-sound)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by (simp add: Throw-sound)
\mathbf{next}
  case If thus ?case using If-sound by (simp add: If-sound)
```

```
next
 case Asm thus ?case by (simp add: Asm-sound)
next
 case CallRec thus ?case by (simp add: CallRec-sound)
next
  case Call thus ?case using Call-sound by (simp add: Call-sound)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by (simp add: Seq-sound)
next
  case Catch thus ?case by (simp add: Catch-sound)
\mathbf{next}
 case DynCom thus ?case by (simp add: DynCom-sound)
next
 case Guard thus ?case by (simp add: Guard-sound)
next
 case Guarantee thus ?case by (simp add: Guarantee-sound)
next
 case While thus ?case by (simp add: While-sound)
  case (Conseq p R G q a \Gamma \Theta F P) thus ?case
   using Conseq-sound by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Conj-post \Gamma \Theta F P p' R' G' q a q' a') thus ?case
   using Conj-post-sound[of \Gamma \Theta] by simp
  case (Conj-Inter sa \Gamma \Theta F P p' R' G' q a)
   thus ?case using conj-inter-sound[of sa \Gamma \Theta] by simp
qed
definition ParallelCom :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) rgformula list \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) par-com
ParallelCom \ Ps \equiv map \ fst \ Ps
lemma ParallelCom\text{-}Com\text{:}i < length \ xs \implies (ParallelCom \ xs)!i = Com \ (xs!i)
unfolding ParallelCom-def Com-def by fastforce
lemma etran-eq-p-normal-s: \Gamma \vdash_{c} s1 \rightarrow s1' \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_c s1 \rightarrow_e s1' \Longrightarrow
          fst \ s1 = fst \ s1' \land snd \ s1 = snd \ s1' \land (\exists \ ns1. \ snd \ s1 = Normal \ ns1)
proof -
  assume a\theta: \Gamma \vdash_c s1 \rightarrow s1' and
         a1: \Gamma \vdash_c s1 \rightarrow_e s1'
  then obtain ps1 \ ss1 \ ps1' \ ss1' where prod:s1 = (ps1, ss1) \land s1' = (ps1', ss1')
    by fastforce
  then have ps1=ps1' using a1 etranE by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using prod a0 by (simp add: mod-env-not-component)
qed
```

```
lemma step\text{-}e\text{-}step\text{-}c\text{-}eq:
      (\Gamma, l) \propto clist;
      Suc \ m < length \ l;
      i < length \ clist;
      (fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c((snd\ (clist!i))!m)\rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m);
      (fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c((snd\ (clist!i))!m) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m);
      (\forall l < length \ clist.
              l \neq i \longrightarrow (\mathit{fst}\ (\mathit{clist}!l)) \vdash_c (\mathit{snd}\ (\mathit{clist}!l))!m \ \rightarrow_e ((\mathit{snd}\ (\mathit{clist}!l))!(\mathit{Suc}\ m)))
      \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
      l!m = l!(Suc\ m) \land (\exists\ ns.\ snd\ (l!m) = Normal\ ns\ )
proof -
      assume a\theta:(\Gamma,l)\propto clist and
                           a1:Suc m < length l and
                          a2:i < length \ clist \ and
                          a3:(fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c((snd\ (clist!i))!m)\rightarrow_e\ ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m) and
                          a4:(fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c((snd\ (clist!i))!m)\rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m) and
                          a5:(\forall l < length \ clist.
                                                       l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!m \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
m)))
      obtain fp fs sp ss
           where prod-step:
                                            \Gamma \vdash_c (fp, fs) \to (sp, ss) \land
                                         fp = fst (((snd (clist!i))!m)) \land fs = snd ((snd (clist!i))!m) \land fs = snd ((snd (clist!i))!m
                                      sp = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!i))!(Suc \ m)) \land ss = snd((snd \ (clist!i))!(Suc \ m)) \land s
                                        \Gamma = fst \ (clist!i)
           using a0 a2 a1 a4 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
      have snd-lj:(snd (l!m)) = snd ((snd (clist!i))!m)
                                    using a0 a1 a2 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
                                    by fastforce
      have fst-clist-\Gamma: \forall i < length \ clist. \ fst(clist!i) = \Gamma
           using a0 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
     have all-env: \forall l < length \ clist.
                                                           (fst\ (clist!l))\vdash_c (snd\ (clist!l))!m\ \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!l))!(Suc\ m))
           using a3 a5 a2 fst-clist-\Gamma by fastforce
    then have allP: \forall l < length \ clist. \ fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!m) = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
m))
           by (fastforce elim:etranE)
      then have fst (l!m) = (fst (l!(Suc m)))
             using a0 conjoin-same-program-i-j [of (\Gamma, l)] a1 by fastforce
    also have snd-l-normal:snd (l!m) = snd (l!(Suc m)) \land (\exists ns. snd (l!m) = Normal
ns)
      proof -
           have (snd\ (l!Suc\ m)) = snd\ ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ m))
                  using a0 a1 a2 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
                  by fastforce
           also have fs = ss \land
                                            (\exists ns. (snd ((snd (clist!i))!m) = Normal ns))
                  using a1 a2 all-env prod-step allP
```

```
by (metis step-change-p-or-eq-s)
    ultimately show ?thesis using snd-lj prod-step a1 by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using prod-eq-iff by blast
qed
lemma two':
  \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
        \subseteq (Rely (xs ! i));
   p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i)));
   \forall i < length xs.
    \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post (xs ! i)]
i), Abr(xs!i);
   length xs=length clist; (\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \Gamma (ParallelCom xs) s; (\Gamma,l) \in par-assum (p, length xs)
R);
  \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s; \ (\Gamma,l) \propto clist; (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma
\models_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]);
  snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
  \implies \forall j \ i \ ns \ ns'. \ i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) \longrightarrow
       (snd((snd(clist!i))!j), snd((snd(clist!i))!Suc(j)) \in Rely(xs!i)
proof
  assume a0: \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
        \subseteq (Rely (xs ! i)) and
          a1:p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs!i))) and
          a2: \forall i < length xs.
    \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post (xs ! i)]
i), Abr(xs!i)] and
          a3: length xs=length clist and
          a4: (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
          a5: (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}assum (p, R) and
          a6: \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
          a7: (\Gamma, l) \propto clist and
          a8: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) and
          a9 \colon snd\ (last\ l) \not\in \mathit{Fault}\ `F
{
  assume a10:\exists i j ns ns'.
                i < length \ clist \land \ Suc \ j < length \ l \ \land
               \Gamma \vdash_{c} ((snd \ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_{e} \ ((snd \ (clist!i))!Suc \ j) \land
                \neg (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in Rely(xs!i)
  then obtain j where
    a10:\exists i \ ns \ ns'.
        i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land
        \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)\ \land
        \neg (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in Rely(xs!i) by fastforce
   let ?P = \lambda j. \exists i. i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land
       \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) \land
       (\neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!j), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc j)) \in Rely(xs!i))
   obtain m where fist-occ:(?P m) \land (\forall i < m. \neg ?P i) using exists-first-occ[of ?P
```

```
j] a10 by blast
     then have ?P m by fastforce
     then obtain i where
      fst\text{-}occ:i < length\ clist\ \land\ Suc\ m < length\ l\ \land
      \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!m) \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m) \land
      (\neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!m), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc m)) \in Rely(xs!i))
     by fastforce
    have notP: (\forall i < m. \neg ?P i) using fist-occ by blast
    have fst-clist-\Gamma:\forall i < length \ clist. fst(clist!i) = \Gamma
      using a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
    have compat:(\Gamma \vdash_p (l!m) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ m))) \land
             (\exists i < length \ clist.
                ((fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!m) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ m))) \land
             (\forall l < length \ clist.
                  l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!m \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Succonstant))
m))))) \vee
         (\Gamma \vdash_p (l!m) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ m)) \land
         (\forall i < length \ clist. \ (fst \ (clist!i)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!i))!m \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!i))!(Suc))!
m))))
     using a 7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def compat-label-def by simp
       assume a20: (\Gamma \vdash_p (l!m) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ m)) \land
         (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (fst\ (clist!i)) \vdash_c (snd\ (clist!i))!m \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc
m))))
       then have (snd\ (l!m), snd\ (l!(Suc\ m))) \in R
       using fst-occ a5 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
       then have (snd(l!m), snd(l!(Suc\ m))) \in Rely(xs!i)
       using fst-occ a3 a0 by fastforce
           then have (snd\ ((snd\ (clist!i))!m),\ snd\ ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ m))\ )\in
Rely(xs!i)
       using a7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
       then have False using fst-occ by auto
     note l = this
      \mathbf{assume}\ a2\theta{:}(\Gamma{\vdash}_p(l!m)\ \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ m)))\ \land\\
            (\exists i < length \ clist.
                ((fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!m) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ m))) \land
             (\forall l < length \ clist.
                  l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!m \ \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
m))))
      then obtain i'
      where i':i' < length \ clist \ \land
                ((fst\ (clist!i'))\vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i'))!m) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i'))!(Suc\ m))) \land
                (\forall l < length \ clist.
                  l \neq i' \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!m \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
m)))
      by fastforce
    then have eq - \Gamma : \Gamma = fst \ (clist!i') using a qunfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def
by fastforce
```

```
obtain fp fs sp ss
     where prod-step:
             \Gamma \vdash_c (fp, fs) \to (sp, ss) \land
            fp = fst (((snd (clist!i'))!m)) \land fs = snd (((snd (clist!i'))!m)) \land
            sp = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!i'))!(Suc \ m)) \land ss = snd((snd \ (clist!i'))!(Suc \ m))
Λ
            \Gamma = fst \ (clist!i')
     using a7 i' unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
     then have False
     proof (cases i = i')
       {f case}\ {\it True}
       then have l!m = l!(Suc\ m) \land (\exists\ ns.\ snd\ (l!m) = Normal\ ns\ )
         using step\text{-}e\text{-}step\text{-}c\text{-}eq[OF\ a7]\ i'\ fst\text{-}occ\ eq\text{-}\Gamma\ by\ blast
       then have \Gamma \vdash_{p} (l!m) \rightarrow_{e} (l!(Suc\ m))
         using step-pe.ParEnv by (metis prod.collapse)
       then have (snd\ (l!\ m),\ snd\ (l!\ Suc\ m))\in R
         using fst-occ a5 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
       then have (snd (l!m), snd (l!Sucm)) \in Rely (xs!i)
         using a0 a3 fst-occ by fastforce
       then show ?thesis using fst-occ a?
         unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
         by fastforce
     next
       case False note not-eq = this
       thus ?thesis
       proof (cases fp = sp)
         case True
         then have fs = ss \land (\exists ns. fs = Normal ns)
           using prod-step prod-step
          using step-change-p-or-eq-s by blast
         then have \Gamma \vdash_c (fp, fs) \rightarrow_e (sp, ss) using True step-e.Env
           by fastforce
         then have l!m = l!(Suc\ m) \land (\exists\ ns.\ snd\ (l!m) = Normal\ ns\ )
          using step-e-step-c-eq[OF a7] prod-step i' fst-occ prod.collapse by auto
         then have \Gamma \vdash_p (l!m) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ m))
           using step-pe.ParEnv by (metis prod.collapse)
         then have (snd (l!m), snd (l!Sucm)) \in R
           using fst-occ a5 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
         then have (snd (l! m), snd (l! Suc m)) \in Rely (xs! i)
           using a0 a3 fst-occ by fastforce
         then show ?thesis using fst-occ a?
           unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
         by fastforce
       \mathbf{next}
         case False
         let ?l1 = take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i'))
         have clist-cptn:(\Gamma, snd(clist!i')) \in cptn using a6 i' unfolding cp-def by
fast force
```

```
have sucm-len:Suc \ m < length \ (snd \ (clist!i'))
           using i' fst-occ a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
         then have summ-lentake: Suc\ m < length\ ?l1 by fastforce
         have len-l: 0<length l using fst-occ by fastforce
         also then have snd (clist!i') \neq []
           using i' a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
         ultimately have snd (last (snd (clist ! i'))) = snd (last l)
           using a7 i' conjoin-last-same-state by fastforce
         then have last-i-notF:snd\ (last\ (snd(clist!i'))) \notin Fault\ `F
           using a9 by auto
         have \forall i < length (snd(clist!i')). snd (snd(clist!i')!i) \notin Fault 'F
           using last-not-F[OF\ clist-cptn\ last-i-notF] by auto
         also have suc\text{-}m\text{-}i': Suc\ m < length\ (snd\ (clist\ !i'))
           using fst-occ i' a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
      ultimately have last-take-not-f:snd (last (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i'))))
\notin Fault 'F
           by (simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
         have not-env-step: \neg \Gamma \vdash_c snd (clist ! i') ! m \rightarrow_e snd (clist ! i') ! Suc m
           using False etran-ctran-eq-p-normal-s i' prod-step by blast
         then have snd ((snd(clist!i'))!0) \in Normal 'p
          using len-l a7 i' a5 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def par-assum-def
by fastforce
         then have snd ((snd(clist!i'))!0) \in Normal ' (Pre\ (xs\ !\ i'))
           using a1 i' a3 by fastforce
          then have snd ((take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i')))!0)\in Normal '(Pre
(xs ! i')
           bv fastforce
         moreover have
         \forall j. \ Suc \ j < Suc \ (Suc \ m) \longrightarrow
              \Gamma \vdash_c snd \ (clist \ ! \ i') \ ! \ j \rightarrow_e snd \ (clist \ ! \ i') \ ! \ Suc \ j \longrightarrow
               (snd (snd (clist ! i') ! j), snd (snd (clist ! i') ! Suc j)) \in Rely (xs ! l)
i'
           using not-env-step fst-occ Suc-less-eq fist-occ i' less-SucE less-trans-Suc
by auto
         then have \forall j. Suc j < length (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i'))) \longrightarrow
              \Gamma \vdash_c snd \ (clist \ ! \ i') \ ! \ j \rightarrow_e snd \ (clist \ ! \ i') \ ! \ Suc \ j \longrightarrow
             (snd\ (snd\ (clist\ !\ i')\ !\ j),\ snd\ (snd\ (clist\ !\ i')\ !\ Suc\ j))\in Rely\ (xs\ !\ i')
           by fastforce
         ultimately have (\Gamma, (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i')))) \in
                           assum ((Pre\ (xs\ !\ i')), Rely\ (xs\ !\ i'))
           unfolding assum-def by fastforce
        moreover have (\Gamma, snd(clist!i')) \in cptn using a6 i' unfolding cp-def by
fast force
         then have (\Gamma, take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd(clist!i'))) \in cptn
           by (simp add: takecptn-is-cptn)
         then have (\Gamma, take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd(clist!i'))) \in cp \Gamma (Com(xs!i')) s
           using i' a3 a6 unfolding cp-def by fastforce
         ultimately have t:(\Gamma, take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd(clist!i'))) \in
```

```
comm (Guar (xs ! i'), (Post (xs ! i'), Abr (xs ! i'))) F
        using a8 a2 a3 i' unfolding com-cvalidity-def com-validity-def by fastforce
          have (snd(take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd(clist!i'))!m),
                         snd(take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd(clist!i'))!(Suc\ m))) \in Guar\ (xs\ !
i'
          using eq-\Gamma i' comm-dest1 [OF t last-take-not-f summ-lentake] by fastforce
          then have (snd(\ (snd(\ clist!i'))!m),
                         snd((snd(clist!i'))!(Suc\ m))) \in Guar\ (xs\ !\ i')
          by fastforce
          then have (snd(clist!i))!m),
                       snd((snd(clist!i))!(Suc\ m))) \in Guar\ (xs\ !\ i')
         using a7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by (metis Suc-lessD
i' snd-conv)
          then have (snd((snd(clist!i))!m),
                       snd((snd(clist!i))!(Suc\ m))) \in Rely\ (xs\ !\ i)
          using not-eq a0 i' a3 fst-occ by auto
          then have False using fst-occ by auto
          then show ?thesis by auto
        qed
      \mathbf{qed}
  then have False using compat l by auto
} thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma two:
  \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
       \subseteq (Rely\ (xs\ !\ i));
   p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i)));
   \forall i < length xs.
   \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ sat \ [Pre \ (xs ! i), \ Rely \ (xs \ ! \ i), \ Guar \ (xs \ ! \ i), \ Post \ (xs \ ! \ i)
i), Abr(xs!i);
  length xs=length clist; (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \Gamma (ParallelCom xs) s; (\Gamma, l) \in par-assum (p, length xs)
 \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s; \ (\Gamma,l) \propto clist; (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma
\models_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]);
  snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
  \implies \forall j \ i \ ns \ ns'. \ i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow
      \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) \longrightarrow
        (snd((snd(clist!i))!j), snd((snd(clist!i))!Suc(j)) \in Guar(xs!i)
proof -
 assume a0: \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
       \subseteq (Rely\ (xs\ !\ i)) and
         a1:p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i))) and
         a2: \forall i < length xs.
    \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post (xs ! i)]
i),Abr\ (xs\ !\ i)] and
```

```
a3: length xs=length clist and
         a4: (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
         a5: (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}assum \ (p, R) and
         a6: \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s \ and
         a7: (\Gamma, l) \propto clist and
         a8: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) and
         a9: snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F
  {
     assume a10:(\exists i j. i < length clist \land Suc j < length l \land
     \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) \land
       \neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!j), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc j)) \in Guar(xs!i))
     then obtain j where a10: \exists i. i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land
      \Gamma \vdash_{c} ((snd \ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd \ (clist!i))!Suc \ j) \land
      \neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!j), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc j)) \in Guar(xs!i)
     by fastforce
     let ?P = \lambda j. \exists i. i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \land
     \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd \ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd \ (clist!i))!Suc \ j) \land
      \neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!j), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc j)) \in Guar(xs!i)
    obtain m where fist-occ: ?P m \land (\forall i < m. \neg ?P i) using exists-first-occ[of ?P
j a10 by blast
     then have P:Pm by fastforce
     then have notP: (\forall i < m. \neg ?P i) using fist-occ by blast
     obtain i ns ns' where fst-occ:i < length \ clist \land Suc \ m < length \ l \land
     \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!m) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ m) \land
      (\neg (snd((snd (clist!i))!m), snd((snd (clist!i))!Suc m)) \in Guar(xs!i))
      using P by fastforce
     have fst-clist-i: fst (clist!i) = \Gamma
         using a7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def
         by fastforce
     have clist!i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s \ using \ a6 \ fst-occ \ by \ fastforce
     then have clistcp:(\Gamma, snd (clist!i)) \in cp \Gamma (Com(xs!i)) s
         using fst-occ a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
     let ?li=take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i))
     have \Gamma \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post
(xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i)
       using a8 a2 a3 fst-occ unfolding com-cvalidity-def by fastforce
     moreover have take-in-ass:(\Gamma, take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd (clist!i))) \in assum
(Pre(xs!i), Rely(xs!i))
     proof -
      have length-take-length-l:length (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd (clist!i))) \leq length
l
         using a 7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by auto
       have snd((?li!0)) \in Normal \cdot Pre(xs!i)
       proof -
        have (take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i)))!\theta = (snd\ (clist!i))!\theta by fastforce
        moreover have snd (snd(clist!i)!\theta) = snd (l!\theta)
           using a7 fst-occ unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
         moreover have snd\ (l!0) \in Normal\ `p
           using a5 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis using a1 a3 fst-occ by fastforce
            qed note left=this
            thus ?thesis
             using two'[OF a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9] fst-occ unfolding assum-def
by fastforce
            qed
        moreover have (\Gamma, take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i))) \in cp\ \Gamma\ (Com(xs!i))\ s
            using takecptn-is-cptn clistcp unfolding cp-def by fastforce
      ultimately have comm:(\Gamma, take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i))) \in comm\ (Guar(xs!i),(Post
(xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i))) F
             unfolding com-validity-def by fastforce
        also have not-fault:snd (last (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd (clist!i)))) \notin Fault '
F
        proof -
            have cptn:(\Gamma, snd (clist!i)) \in cptn
               using fst-clist-i a6 fst-occ unfolding cp-def by fastforce
            then have (snd (clist!i)) \neq []
             using cptn.simps\ list.simps(3)
             by fastforce
            then have snd (last (snd (clist!i))) = snd (last l)
               using conjoin-last-same-state fst-occ a7 by fastforce
            then have snd (last (snd (clist!i))) \notin Fault ' F using a9
            also have sucm:Suc\ m < length\ (snd\ (clist!i))
               using fst-occ a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
            ultimately have sucm-not-fault:snd ((snd (clist!i))!(Suc m)) \notin Fault ' F
               using last-not-F cptn by blast
            have length (take (Suc (Suc m)) (snd (clist!i))) = Suc (Suc m)
               using sucm by fastforce
           then have last (take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i))) = (take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))
(snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ m)
              by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-inject last-conv-nth list.size(3) old.nat.distinct(2)
zero-less-Suc)
                 moreover have (take\ (Suc\ (Suc\ m))\ (snd\ (clist!i)))!(Suc\ m) = (snd\ (
(clist!i))!(Suc\ m)
              by fastforce
           ultimately show ?thesis using sucm-not-fault by fastforce
        then have (Suc \ m < length \ (snd \ (clist \ ! \ i))) \rightarrow
                       (\Gamma \vdash_c (snd \ (clist \ ! \ i)) \ ! \ m \rightarrow (snd \ (clist \ ! \ i)) \ ! \ Suc \ m) \longrightarrow
                                      (snd\ ((snd\ (clist\ !\ i))\ !\ m),\ snd\ ((snd\ (clist\ !\ i))\ !\ Suc\ m))\in
Guar(xs!i)
            using comm-dest [OF comm not-fault] by auto
      then have False using fst-occ using a7 unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def
by fastforce
   } thus ?thesis by fastforce
qed
lemma par-cptn-env-comp:
```

```
(\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}cptn \land Suc \ i < length \ l \Longrightarrow
     \Gamma \vdash_p l!i \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ i)) \lor \Gamma \vdash_p l!i \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))
proof -
    assume a\theta:(\Gamma,l) \in par\text{-}cptn \land Suc i < length l
   then obtain c1 \ s1 \ c2 \ s2 where li:l!i=(c1.s1) \land l!(Suc \ i)=(c2.s2) by fastforce
    obtain xs \ ys \ where l:l= xs@((l!i)\#(l!(Suc \ i))\#ys) using a\theta
       by (metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc Suc-less-SucD id-take-nth-drop less-SucI)
    moreover then have (drop\ (length\ xs)\ l) = ((l!i)\#(l!(Suc\ i))\#ys)
       by (metis append-eq-conv-conj)
    moreover then have length xs < length l using leI by fastforce
    ultimately have (\Gamma,((l!i)\#(l!(Suc\ i))\#ys))\in par-cptn
       using a droppar-cptn-is-par-cptn by fastforce
   also then have (\Gamma,(l!(Suc\ i))\#ys)\in par-cptn using par-cptn-dest li by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis using li par-cptn-elim-cases(2)
       by metis
qed
lemma three:
    \llbracket xs \neq \rrbracket; \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j)))
             \subseteq (Rely\ (xs\ !\ i));
      p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i)));
     \forall i < length xs.
         \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \textit{Com } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} i) \textit{ sat } [\textit{Pre } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} i), \textit{Rely } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} i), \textit{ Guar } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} i), \textit{ Post } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} i)
i), Abr(xs!i);
     length xs=length clist; (\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \Gamma (ParallelCom xs) s; (\Gamma,l) \in par-assum(p,length)
R);
       \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s; \ (\Gamma,l) \propto clist; \ (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta.
\Gamma \models_{/F} (Call\ c)\ sat\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]);
       snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
    \implies \forall j \ i. \ i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd \ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (snd \ \ (snd \ (snd
(clist!i))!Suc j) \longrightarrow
            (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in
                         (R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j))))
proof -
  assume a\theta:xs\neq[] and
                a1: \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j)))
                         \subseteq (Rely \ (xs \ ! \ i)) and
                a2: p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i))) and
                a3: \forall i < length xs.
                              \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i),
Post (xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i) and
                a4: length xs=length clist and
                a5: (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
                a6: (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}assum(p, R) and
                a7: \forall i < length \ clist. \ clist! i \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com(xs!i)) \ s \ and
                a8: (\Gamma, l) \propto clist and
                a9: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]) and
                10: snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ j \ i \ ns \ ns'
    assume a00:i < length\ clist\ \land\ Suc\ j < length\ l\ and
                  a11: \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd \ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow_e \ ((snd \ (clist!i))!Suc \ j)
    then have two: \forall j \ i \ ns \ ns'. \ i < length \ clist \land Suc \ j < length \ l \longrightarrow
            \Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) \longrightarrow
                (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in (Guar(xs!i))
          using two [OF a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 10] by auto
    then have j-lenl:Suc j<length l using a00 by fastforce
    have i-lj:i<length (fst (l!(Suc j))) <math>\land i<length (fst (l!(Suc j)))
                        using conjoin-same-length a00 a8 by fastforce
   have fst-clist-\Gamma:\forall i<length clist. fst(clist!i) = \Gamma using a8 unfolding conjoin-def
same-functions-def by fastforce
    have (\Gamma \vdash_{p} (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\exists i < length \ clist.
                             ((fst\ (clist!i))\vdash_{c} ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\forall l < length \ clist.
                           l \neq i \longrightarrow (fst \ (clist!l)) \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j))))
\vee
                  (\Gamma \vdash_{p} (l!j) \rightarrow_{e} (l!(Suc\ j)) \land
                  (\forall \, i < length \, \, clist. \, \, (fst \, \, (clist!i)) \vdash_{c} (snd \, \, (clist!i))!j \, \, \rightarrow_{e} ((snd \, \, (clist!i))!(Successive for a constant of 
j))))
    using a8 a00 unfolding conjoin-def compat-label-def by simp
    then have compat-label: (\Gamma \vdash_p (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\exists i < length \ clist.
                              (\Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\forall l < length \ clist.
                             l \neq i \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j)))) \lor
                  (\Gamma \vdash_{p} (l!j) \rightarrow_{e} (l!(Suc\ j)) \land
                    (\forall i < length\ clist.\ \Gamma \vdash_c (snd\ (clist!i))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ j))))
    using fst-clist-\Gamma by blast
    then have (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in
                             (R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ Guar \ (xs ! j)))
    proof
       assume a10:(\Gamma \vdash_p (l!j) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\exists i < length \ clist.
                              (\Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i))!(Suc\ j))) \land
                        (\forall l < length \ clist.
                              l \neq i \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (snd (clist!l))! j \rightarrow_e ((snd (clist!l))! (Suc j))))
       then obtain i' where
                        a20\!:\!i'\!\!<\!length\ clist\ \land
                         (\Gamma \vdash_c ((snd\ (clist!i'))!j) \rightarrow ((snd\ (clist!i'))!(Suc\ j))) \land
                         (\forall l < length \ clist.
                                l \neq i' \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc \ j))) by blast
       \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
       proof (cases i'=i)
            case True note eq-i = this
        then obtain PS1S2 where P:(snd\ (clist!i'))!j=(P,S1) \land ((snd\ (clist!i'))!(Suc
```

```
(p, S2) = (P, S2)
       using a11 by (fastforce elim:etranE)
     \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
     proof (cases S1 = S2)
       case True
       have snd-lj:(snd (l!j)) = snd ((snd (clist!i'))!j)
          using a8 a20 a00 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
        have all-e:(\forall l < length \ clist. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!l))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
j)))
         using all a20 eq-i by fastforce
    then have allP: \forall l < length \ clist. \ fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!j) = fst \ ((snd \ (clist!l))!(Suc
j))
         by (fastforce\ elim:etranE)
       then have fst (l!j) = (fst (l!(Suc j)))
         using a8 conjoin-same-program-i-j [of (\Gamma, l)] a00 by fastforce
       also have snd (l!j) = snd (l!(Suc j))
       proof -
         have (snd\ (l!Suc\ j)) = snd\ ((snd\ (clist!i'))!(Suc\ j))
          using a8 a20 a00 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
          by fastforce
         then show ?thesis using snd-lj P True by auto
       qed
       ultimately have l!j = l!(Suc\ j) by (simp\ add:\ prod\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)
       moreover have ns1:\exists ns1. S1=Normal ns1
         using P a20 step-change-p-or-eq-s by fastforce
       ultimately have \Gamma \vdash_p (l!j) \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j))
         using P step-pe.ParEnv snd-lj by (metis prod.collapse snd-conv)
       then have (snd\ (l\ !\ j),\ snd\ (l\ !\ Suc\ j))\in R
         using a00 a6 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
       then show ?thesis using a8 a00
         unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
        by fastforce
     next
       case False thus ?thesis
         using a20 P a11 step-change-p-or-eq-s by fastforce
     qed
   next
     case False
     have i'-clist:i' < length clist using a20 by fastforce
    then have clist-i'-Guardxs:(snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!Suc\ j))
\in Guar(xs!i')
       using two a00 False a8 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
       by (metis a20)
      have snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j) = snd\ (l!j) \land snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) = snd
(l!Suc\ j)
       using a00 a20 a8 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
      also have snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!j) = snd\ (l!j) \land snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!Suc\ j) =
snd (l!Suc j)
```

```
using j-lenl a20 a8 unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
      ultimately have snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j) = snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!j) \land
                      snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j) = snd((snd\ (clist!i'))!Suc\ j)
      by fastforce
      then have clist-i-Guardxs:
         (snd((snd\ (clist!i))!j),\ snd((snd\ (clist!i))!Suc\ j)) \in
              Guar(xs!i')
      using clist-i'-Guardxs by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
         using False a20 a4 by fastforce
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{assume}\ a10{:}(\Gamma {\vdash}_p(l!j)\ \rightarrow_e (l!(Suc\ j))\ \land\\
           (\forall i < length \ clist. \ \Gamma \vdash_c (snd \ (clist!i))!j \rightarrow_e ((snd \ (clist!i))!(Suc \ j))))
    then have (snd\ (l\ !\ j),\ snd\ (l\ !\ Suc\ j))\in R
      using a00 a10 a6 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
    then show ?thesis using a8 a00
      unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def
      by fastforce
  qed
  } thus ?thesis by blast
qed
definition tran-True where tran-True \equiv True
definition after where after \equiv True
lemma four:
  \llbracket xs \neq \rrbracket; \forall i < length xs.  R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length xs \land j \neq i\}. (Guar (xs ! j)))
        \subseteq (Rely (xs! i));
   (\bigcup j < length \ xs. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j))) \subseteq (G);
   p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i)));
   \forall i < length xs.
     \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post (xs ! i)]
! i), Abr (xs ! i)];
    (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s; \ (\Gamma, l) \in par-assum(p, R); \ Suc \ i < length \ l;
   \Gamma \vdash_p (l!i) \to (l!(Suc\ i));
   (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]);
   snd (last l) \notin Fault `F
  \implies (snd\ (l\ !\ i),\ snd\ (l\ !\ Suc\ i)) \in G
proof -
  assume a\theta:xs\neq[] and
          a1: \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
               \subseteq (Rely (xs! i)) and
          a2:(\bigcup j < length \ xs. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j))) \subseteq (G) \ and
          a3:p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i))) and
          a4: \forall i < length xs.
           \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \textit{Com } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} \textit{i}) \textit{ sat } [\textit{Pre } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} \textit{i}), \textit{ Rely } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} \textit{i}), \textit{ Guar } (\textit{xs} \mathrel{!} \textit{i}), \textit{ Post }
(xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i)] and
```

```
a5:(\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
         a6:(\Gamma,l) \in par\text{-}assum(p, R) and
         a7: Suc i < length \ l and
         a8:\Gamma\vdash_n(l!i)\to(l!(Suc\ i)) and
         a10: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p, R, G, q,a]) and
         a11:snd\ (last\ l) \notin Fault\ `F
  have length-par-xs:length (ParallelCom xs) = length xs unfolding ParallelCom-def
by fastforce
   then have (ParallelCom\ xs)\neq [] using a0 by fastforce
   then have (\Gamma, l) \in \{(\Gamma 1, c), \exists clist. (length clist) = (length (ParallelCom xs)) \land
                (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ ((ParallelCom\ xs)!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto
clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
     using one a5 by fastforce
   then obtain clist where (length clist)=(length xs) \wedge
             (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ ((ParallelCom\ xs)!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,l) \propto clist
     using length-par-xs by auto
   then have conjoin: (length\ clist) = (length\ xs) \land
               (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ (Com\ (xs\ !\ i))\ s) \land (\Gamma,l) \propto clist
     using ParallelCom-Com by fastforce
   then have length-xs-clist:length xs = length clist by auto
   have clist-cp: \forall i < length \ clist. \ (clist!i) \in cp \ \Gamma \ \ (Com \ (xs \ ! \ i)) \ s \ using \ conjoin
by auto
  have conjoin:(\Gamma,l) \propto clist  using conjoin  by auto
  have l-not-empty:l \neq [] using a par-cptn.simps unfolding par-cp-def by fastforce
  then have l-q\theta:\theta<length l by fastforce
  then have last-l:last l = l!((length\ l) - 1) by (simp\ add:\ last-conv-nth)
  have \forall i < length \ l. \ fst \ (l!i) = map \ (\lambda x. \ fst \ ((snd \ x)!i)) \ clist
     using conjoin unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by fastforce
  obtain Ps\ si\ Ps'\ ssi\ where li:l!i=(Ps,si)\ \land\ l!(Suc\ i)=(Ps',\ ssi) by fastforce
  then have \exists j \ r. \ j < length \ Ps \land \ Ps' = Ps[j := r] \land (\Gamma \vdash_c ((Ps!j), si) \rightarrow (r, \ ssi))
     using a8 par-ctranE by fastforce
  then obtain j r where step-c:j<length Ps \wedge Ps' = Ps[j:=r] \wedge (\Gamma \vdash_c ((Ps!j), si))
\rightarrow (r, ssi)
     by auto
  have length-Ps-clist:
     length Ps = length clist \land length Ps = length Ps'
     using conjoin a7 conjoin-same-length li step-c by fastforce
  have from-step:(snd\ (clist!j))!i = ((Ps!j),si) \land (snd\ (clist!j))!(Suc\ i) = (Ps'!j,ssi)
     have f2: Ps = fst \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l) \ ! \ i) and f2': Ps' = fst \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l) \ ! \ (Suc \ i))
       using li by auto
     have f3:si = snd \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l) \ ! \ i) \land ssi = snd \ (snd \ (\Gamma, \ l) \ ! \ (Suc \ i))
       by (simp add: li)
     then have (snd\ (clist!j))!i = ((Ps!j),si)
     \mathbf{using}\ f2\ conjoin\ a7\ step-c\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ conjoin\ def\ same\ -program\ -def\ same\ -state\ -def
     moreover have (snd\ (clist!j))!(Suc\ i) = (Ps'!j,ssi)
       using f2' f3 conjoin a7 step-c length-Ps-clist
```

```
unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def same-state-def
      by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   then have step\text{-}clist:\Gamma \vdash_c (snd\ (clist!j))!i \rightarrow (snd\ (clist!j))!(Suc\ i)
    using from-step step-c by fastforce
   have j-xs:j<length xs using step-c length-Ps-clist length-xs-clist by auto
  have j < length \ clist \ using \ j-xs \ length-xs-clist \ by \ auto
  also have
    \forall i j \ ns \ ns'. \ j < length \ clist \land Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
           \Gamma \vdash_c snd (clist ! j) ! i \rightarrow snd (clist ! j) ! Suc i \longrightarrow
             (snd (snd (clist ! j) ! i), snd (snd (clist ! j) ! Suc i)) \in Guar (xs ! j)
   using two [OF a1 a3 a4 length-xs-clist a5 a6 clist-cp conjoin a10 a11] by auto
  ultimately have (snd (clist ! j) ! i), snd (snd (clist ! j) ! Suc i)) \in Guar
(xs ! j)
    using a 7 step-c length-Ps-clist step-clist by metis
   then have (snd (l!i), snd (l!(Suc i))) \in Guar (xs ! i)
     using from-step a2 length-xs-clist step-c li by fastforce
   then show ?thesis using a2 j-xs
    unfolding sep-conj-def tran-True-def after-def Satis-def by fastforce
qed
lemma same-program-last:l\neq [] \implies (\Gamma,l) \propto clist \implies i < length clist \implies fst (last
(snd\ (clist!i))) = fst\ (last\ l)\ !\ i
proof -
  assume l-not-empty:l \neq [] and
         conjoin: (\Gamma, l) \propto clist and
         i-clist: i<length clist
  have last-clist-eq-l: \forall i < length \ clist. last \ (snd \ (clist!i)) = (snd \ (clist!i))!((length \ last))!
l) - 1)
         using conjoin last-conv-nth l-not-empty
         unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def
         by (metis\ length-0-conv\ snd-eqD)
   then have last-l:last l = l!((length \ l)-1) using l-not-empty by (simp add:
last-conv-nth)
  have fst (last l) = map (\lambda x. fst (snd x! ((length \ l)-1))) clist
    using l-not-empty last-l conjoin unfolding conjoin-def same-program-def by
auto
   also have (map (\lambda x. fst (snd x ! ((length l)-1))) clist)!i =
           fst \ ((snd \ (clist!i))! \ ((length \ l)-1)) \ using \ i\text{-}clist \ by \ fastforce
   also have fst ((snd (clist!i))! ((length l)-1)) =
            fst \ ((snd \ (clist!i))! \ ((length \ (snd \ (clist!i)))-1))
    using conjoin i-clist unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
  also then have fst ((snd (clist!i))! ((length (snd (clist!i)))-1)) = <math>fst (last (snd (clist!i)))
(clist!i)))
   \mathbf{using}\ i\text{-}clist\ l\text{-}not\text{-}empty\ conjoin\ last\text{-}clist\text{-}eq\text{-}l\ last\text{-}conv\text{-}nth\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ conjoin\text{-}def
same-length-def
    by presburger
  finally show ?thesis by auto
```

```
lemma five:
  \llbracket xs \neq \rrbracket; \forall i < length xs.  R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length xs \land j \neq i\}. (Guar (xs ! j)))
        \subseteq (Rely\ (xs\ !\ i));
   p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i)));
   (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Post \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq q;
   (\bigcup i < length \ xs. \ (Abr \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq a ;
   \forall i < length xs.
    \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i), Post (xs ! i)]
i), Abr(xs!i);
    (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s; \ (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}assum(p, R);
   All-End (last l); snd (last l) \notin Fault 'F; (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call c)
sat [p, R, G, q,a]) \parallel \Longrightarrow
                     (\exists j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Throw \land
                           snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)) \lor
                     (\forall j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Skip \land
                           snd\ (last\ l)\in Normal\ `q)
proof-
  assume a\theta:xs\neq[] and
          a1: \forall i < length \ xs. \ R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
                                                                               \subseteq (Rely (xs! i)) and
          a2:p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs!i))) and
          a3:(\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Post \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq q \ and
          a4:(\bigcup i < length \ xs. \ (Abr \ (xs!i))) \subseteq a \ \mathbf{and}
          a5: \forall i < length xs.
                 \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com (xs ! i) sat [Pre (xs!i),
                                                   Rely (xs ! i), Guar (xs ! i),
                                                   Post (xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i) and
          a6:(\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \ \mathbf{and}
          a7:(\Gamma,l) \in par\text{-}assum(p, R)and
          a8:All-End (last l) and
          a9:snd\ (last\ l)\notin Fault\ 'F and
          a10: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a])
  \mathbf{have}\ length\text{-}par\text{-}xs\text{:}length\ (ParallelCom\ xs) = length\ xs\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ ParallelCom\text{-}def
by fastforce
   then have (ParallelCom\ xs)\neq[] using a0 by fastforce
   then have (\Gamma, l) \in \{(\Gamma 1, c), \exists clist. (length clist) = (length (ParallelCom xs)) \land
                   (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ ((ParallelCom\ xs)!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,c) \propto
clist \wedge \Gamma 1 = \Gamma
     using one a6 by fastforce
   then obtain clist where (length clist)=(length xs) \land
               (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ ((ParallelCom\ xs)!i)\ s) \land (\Gamma,l) \propto clist
     using length-par-xs by auto
   then have conjoin: (length\ clist) = (length\ xs) \land
                 (\forall i < length\ clist.\ (clist!i) \in cp\ \Gamma\ (Com\ (xs\ !\ i))\ s) \land (\Gamma,l) \propto clist
     using ParallelCom-Com by fastforce
```

```
then have length-xs-clist:length xs = length clist by auto
```

```
have clist-cp:\forall i < length \ clist. (clist!i) \in cp \ \Gamma \ (Com \ (xs \ ! \ i)) \ s \ using \ conjoin
by auto
  have conjoin:(\Gamma,l) \propto clist  using conjoin  by auto
  have l-not-empty:l \neq [] using a6 par-cptn.simps unfolding par-cp-def by fastforce
  then have l-g0:0<length l by fastforce
  then have last-l:last l = l!((length\ l) - 1) by (simp\ add:\ last-conv-nth)
  have clist-assum: \forall i < length clist. (clist!i) \in assum (Pre(xs!i), Rely(xs!i))
  proof -
   { fix i
    assume i-length:i<length clist
    obtain \Gamma 1 li where clist:clist!i=(\Gamma 1, li) by fastforce
    then have \Gamma eq:\Gamma 1=\Gamma
     using conjoin i-length unfolding conjoin-def same-functions-def by fastforce
    have (\Gamma 1, li) \in assum (Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs!i))
    proof-
      have l:snd\ (li!\theta) \in Normal\ '\ (\ (Pre\ (xs!i)))
      proof -
        have snd-l:snd (\Gamma,l) = l by fastforce
        have snd(l!\theta) \in Normal'(p)
        using a7 unfolding par-assum-def by fastforce
        also have snd (l!\theta) = snd (li!\theta)
          using i-length conjoin l-g0 clist
          unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def by fastforce
        finally show ?thesis using a2 i-length length-xs-clist
           by auto
      ged
      have r:(\forall j. \ Suc \ j < length \ li \longrightarrow
                  \Gamma \vdash_c (li!j) \rightarrow_e (li!(Suc\ j)) \longrightarrow
                  (snd(li!j), snd(li!(Suc j))) \in Rely (xs!i))
        using three [OF a0 a1 a2 a5 length-xs-clist a6 a7 clist-cp conjoin a10 a9]
              i-length conjoin a1 length-xs-clist clist
      unfolding assum-def conjoin-def same-length-def by fastforce
      show ?thesis using l \ r \ \Gamma eq unfolding assum-def by fastforce
    qed
   then have clist!i \in assum (Pre (xs!i), Rely (xs!i)) using clist by auto
   } thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  then have clist-com: \forall i < length \ clist. \ (clist!i) \in comm \ (Guar \ (xs!i), (Post(xs!i), Abr))
(xs!i))) F
    using a5 unfolding com-cvalidity-def
    using a10 unfolding com-validity-def using clist-cp length-xs-clist
    by force
  have last\text{-}clist\text{-}eq\text{-}l: \forall i < length \ clist. \ last \ (snd \ (clist!i)) = (snd \ (clist!i))!((length \ clist.))!
(l) - 1)
    using conjoin last-conv-nth l-not-empty
    unfolding conjoin-def same-length-def
    by (metis\ length-0-conv\ snd-eqD)
```

```
then have last-clist-l: \forall i < length \ clist. \ snd \ (last \ (snd \ (clist!i))) = snd \ (last \ l)
   using last-l conjoin l-not-empty unfolding conjoin-def same-state-def same-length-def
    by simp
  show ?thesis
  \mathbf{proof}(cases \ \forall \ i < length \ (fst \ (last \ l)). \ fst \ (last \ l)!i = Skip)
    assume ac1: \forall i < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!i = Skip
    have (\forall j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l) ! j = LanguageCon.com.Skip <math>\land snd
(last\ l) \in Normal\ '\ q)
    proof -
      \{ \mathbf{fix} \ j \}
       assume aj:j < length (fst (last l))
       have \forall i < length \ clist. \ snd \ (last \ (snd \ (clist!i))) \in Normal \ `Post(xs!i)
       proof-
         \{ fix i \}
          assume a20:i<length clist
          then have snd-last:snd (last (snd (clist!i))) = snd (last l)
            using last-clist-l by fastforce
          have last\text{-}clist\text{-}not\text{-}F\text{:}snd (last\ (snd\ (clist!i))) \notin Fault\ `F
             using a last-clist-l a 20 by fastforce
          have fst (last l) ! i = Skip
            using a20 ac1 conjoin-same-length[OF conjoin]
            by (simp add: l-not-empty last-l)
          also have fst (last l) ! i=fst (last (snd (clist!i)))
            using same-program-last[OF l-not-empty conjoin a20] by auto
          finally have fst (last (snd (clist!i))) = Skip.
          then have snd (last (snd (clist!i))) \in Normal ' Post(xs!i)
            using clist-com last-clist-not-F a20
            unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
         } thus ?thesis by auto
       qed
       then have \forall i < length \ xs. \ snd \ (last \ l) \in Normal \ `Post(xs!i)
         using last-clist-l length-xs-clist by fastforce
       then have \forall i < length \ xs. \ \exists \ x \in (Post(xs!i)). \ snd \ (last \ l) = Normal \ x
         by fastforce
       moreover have \forall t. (\forall i < length \ xs. \ t \in Post \ (xs ! i)) \longrightarrow t \in q \ using \ a3
         by fastforce
       ultimately have (\exists x \in q. \ snd \ (last \ l) = Normal \ x) using a0
          by (metis\ (mono-tags,\ lifting)\ length-greater-0-conv\ xstate.inject(1))
       then have snd (last l) \in Normal ' q by fastforce
      then have fst\ (last\ l)\ !\ j = LanguageCon.com.Skip \land snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal
q
         using aj ac1 by fastforce
       } thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
    thus ?thesis by auto
    assume \neg (\forall i < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!i = Skip)
    then obtain i where a20:i < length (fst (last l)) \land fst (last l)!i \neq Skip
```

```
by fastforce
     then have last-i-throw:fst (last l)!i = Throw \land (\exists n. snd (last l) = Normal
n)
      using a8 unfolding All-End-def final-def by fastforce
    have length (fst (last l)) = length clist
      using conjoin-same-length[OF conjoin] l-not-empty last-l
      by simp
    then have i-length: i < length clist using a20 by fastforce
    then have snd-last:snd (last (snd (clist!i))) = snd (last l)
      using last-clist-l by fastforce
    have last\text{-}clist\text{-}not\text{-}F\text{:}snd\ (last\ (snd\ (clist!i))) \notin Fault\ `F
      using a last-clist-l i-length by fastforce
    then have fst\ (last\ (snd\ (clist!i))) = fst\ (last\ l)\ !\ i
      using i-length same-program-last [OF l-not-empty conjoin] by fastforce
    then have fst (last (snd (clist!i))) = Throw
      using last-i-throw by fastforce
    then have snd (last (snd (clist!i))) \in Normal ' Abr(xs!i)
      using clist-com last-clist-not-F i-length last-i-throw snd-last
      unfolding comm-def final-def by fastforce
    then have snd (last l)\in Normal ' Abr(xs!i) using last-clist-l i-length
      by fastforce
    then have snd (last l)\in Normal ' (a) using a4 a0 i-length length-xs-clist by
fastforce
    then have \exists j < length (fst (last l)).
       fst\ (last\ l)\ !\ j = LanguageCon.com.Throw\ \land\ snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `a
    using last-i-throw a20 by fastforce
    thus ?thesis by auto
  ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ParallelEmpty [rule-format]:
 \forall i \ s. \ (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ []) \ s \longrightarrow
 Suc i < length \ l \longrightarrow \neg \ (\Gamma \vdash_p (l!i) \rightarrow (l!Suc \ i))
apply(induct-tac\ l)
apply simp
apply clarify
apply(case-tac\ list, simp, simp)
apply(case-tac\ i)
apply(simp add:par-cp-def ParallelCom-def)
\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{erule\ par-ctran}E, simp)
apply(simp add:par-cp-def ParallelCom-def)
apply clarify
apply(erule par-cptn.cases, simp)
apply simp
by (metis list.inject list.size(3) not-less0 step-p-pair-elim-cases)
lemma ParallelEmpty2:
 assumes a\theta:(\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ []) \ s and
```

```
a1: i < length l
     shows fst(l!i) = []
proof -
     have paremp:ParallelCom [] = [] unfolding ParallelCom-def by auto
     then have l0:l!0 = ([],s) using a0 unfolding par-cp-def by auto
     then have (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}cptn using a0 unfolding par-cp-def by fastforce
     thus ?thesis using 10 a1
     proof (induct arbitrary: i s)
          case ParCptnOne thus ?case by auto
     next
          case (ParCptnEnv \Gamma P s1 t xs i s)
          thus ?case
          proof -
               have f1: i < Suc (Suc (length xs))
                    using ParCptnEnv.prems(2) by auto
               have (P, s1) = ([], s)
                    using ParCptnEnv.prems(1) by auto
               then show ?thesis
                          using f1 by (metis (no-types) ParCptnEnv.hyps(3) diff-Suc-1 fst-conv
length-Cons less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-Cons')
          qed
     next
          case (ParCptnComp \ \Gamma \ P \ s1 \ Q \ t \ xs)
          have (\Gamma, (P,s1)\#(Q, t) \# xs) \in par-cp \Gamma (ParallelCom []) s1
                    using ParCptnComp(4) ParCptnComp(1) step-p-elim-cases by fastforce
           then have \neg \Gamma \vdash_p (P, s1) \rightarrow (Q, t) using ParallelEmpty ParCptnComp by
fastforce
          thus ?case using ParCptnComp by auto
     qed
qed
lemma parallel-sound:
    \forall i < length xs.
                 R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j. \ j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs \ ! \ j)))
                  \subseteq (Rely\ (xs\ !\ i)) \Longrightarrow
          (\bigcup j < length \ xs. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j))) \subseteq G \Longrightarrow
          p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i))) \Longrightarrow
          (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Post \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq q \Longrightarrow
          (\bigcup i < length \ xs. \ (Abr \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq a \Longrightarrow
          \forall i < length xs.
                \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \mathit{Com} \ (\mathit{xs} \ !i) \ \mathit{sat} \ [\mathit{Pre} \ (\mathit{xs} \ !i), \ \mathit{Rely} \ (\mathit{xs} \ ! \ i), \ \mathit{Guar} \ (\mathit{xs} \ ! \ i), \ \mathit{Post} \ (\mathit{xs} \ !i), \ \mathit{Post} \ (\mathit{xs} \
! i),Abr (xs ! i)] \Longrightarrow
    \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} ParallelCom \ xs \ SAT \ [p, R, G, q,a]
proof -
    assume
     a\theta: \forall i < length xs.
               R \cup (\bigcup j \in \{j, j < length \ xs \land j \neq i\}. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j)))
                 \subseteq (Rely (xs! i)) and
```

```
a1:(\bigcup j < length \ xs. \ (Guar \ (xs ! j))) \subseteq G \ and
   a2:p \subseteq (\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Pre \ (xs ! i))) and
   a3:(\bigcap i < length \ xs. \ (Post \ (xs ! i))) \subseteq q \ \mathbf{and}
   a4:(\bigcup i < length \ xs. \ (Abr \ (xs!i))) \subseteq a \ and
   a5: \forall i < length xs.
           \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} Com \ (xs ! i) \ sat \ [Pre \ (xs ! i), Rely \ (xs ! i), Guar \ (xs ! i), Post
(xs ! i), Abr (xs ! i)
    assume a00: (\forall (c,p,R,G,q,a) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} (Call \ c) \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a])
    \{ \text{ fix } s \ l \}
       assume a10: (\Gamma, l) \in par-cp \ \Gamma \ (ParallelCom \ xs) \ s \land (\Gamma, l) \in par-assum(p, l)
R
      then have c-par-cp:(\Gamma,l) \in par-cp \Gamma (ParallelCom xs) s by auto
      have c-par-assum: (\Gamma, l) \in par-assum(p, R) using a10 by auto
      { fix i ns ns'
        assume a20:snd (last l) \notin Fault ' F
           assume a30:Suc i < length \ l and
                  a31: \Gamma \vdash_p (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i))
           have xs-not-empty:xs \neq []
           proof -
           {
             assume xs = [
             then have \neg (\Gamma \vdash_p (l!i) \rightarrow (l!Suc\ i))
               using a30 a10 ParallelEmpty by fastforce
             then have False using a31 by auto
           } thus ?thesis by auto
           qed
           then have (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G
            using four [OF xs-not-empty a0 a1 a2 a5 c-par-cp c-par-assum a30 a31
a00 \ a20] by blast
         } then have Suc \ i < length \ l \longrightarrow
                    \Gamma \vdash_p (l!i) \rightarrow (l!(Suc\ i)) \longrightarrow
                    (snd(l!i), snd(l!(Suc\ i))) \in G by auto
           note l = this
         { assume a30:All-End (last l)
          then have xs-not-empty:xs \neq []
          proof -
          { assume xs-emp:xs=[]
         have lenl:0<length l using a10 unfolding par-cp-def using par-cptn.simps
by fastforce
            then have (length \ l) - 1 < length \ l by fastforce
           then have fst(l!((length\ l)-1)) = [] using ParallelEmpty2 a10 xs-emp
by fastforce
            then have False using a30 lenl unfolding All-End-def
              by (simp add: last-conv-nth)
          } thus ?thesis by auto
          qed
```

```
then have (\exists j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Throw \land
                                                                                                                     snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `\ (a)) \lor
                                                                                                             (\forall j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Skip \land
                                                                                                                     snd (last l) \in Normal 'q)
                                                   using five OF xs-not-empty a0 a2 a3 a4 a5 c-par-cp c-par-assum a30 a20
a00] by blast
                                            } then have All-End (last l) \longrightarrow
                                                                                                            (\exists j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Throw \land
                                                                                                                     snd\ (last\ l) \in Normal\ `(a)) \lor
                                                                                             (\forall j < length (fst (last l)). fst (last l)!j = Skip \land
                                                                                                                     snd (last l) \in Normal 'q) by auto
                                                      note res1 = conjI[OF \ l \ this]
                                 }
                             then have (\Gamma, l) \in par\text{-}comm(G, (q, a)) F unfolding par-comm-def by auto
                        then have \Gamma \models_{/F} (ParallelCom \ xs) \ SAT \ [p, R, G, q, a]
                                  unfolding par-com-validity-def par-cp-def by fastforce
           } thus ?thesis using par-com-cvalidity-def by fastforce
\mathbf{qed}
theorem
     par-rgsound:\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} Ps \ SAT \ [p, R, G, q,a] \Longrightarrow
         \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} (ParallelCom\ Ps)\ SAT\ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
proof (induction rule:par-rghoare.induct)
           case (Parallel xs R G p q a \Gamma \Theta F)
                      thus ?case using localRG-sound com-cnvalid-to-cvalid parallel-sound[of xs R
  G p q a \Gamma \Theta F
                            by fast
qed
lemma Conseq': \forall s. \ s \in p \longrightarrow
                                                                   (\exists p' q' a' R' G'.
                                                                              (\forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ sat\ [(p'\,Z),\,(R'\,Z),\,(G'\,Z),\,(q'\,Z),(a'\,Z)])\ \land\\
                                                                                               (\exists Z. \ s \in p' \ Z \land (q' \ Z \subseteq q) \land (a' \ Z \subseteq a) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq G) \land (R \subseteq q) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq G) \land (R \subseteq q) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq G) \land (R \subseteq q) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq G) 
R'Z)))
                                                                   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
          apply (rule Conseq)
         by (meson order-refl)
\mathbf{lemma}\ conseq: \llbracket\forall\, Z.\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ sat\ [(p'\ Z),\ (R'\ Z),\ (G'\ Z),\ (q'\ Z),(a'\ Z)];\ \Theta' \subseteq \mathbb{C}
\Theta;
                                                                         \forall s. \ s \in p \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in p' \ Z \land (q' \ Z \subseteq q) \land (a' \ Z \subseteq a) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq a)) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq a) \land (G' \ Z \subseteq 
 G) \wedge (R \subseteq R'Z))
                                                                        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
by (rule Conseq) (meson order-refl)
```

```
lemma conseqPrePost[trans]:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',\ R',\ G',\ q',a'] \Longrightarrow \Theta' \subseteq \Theta \Longrightarrow
  p \subseteq p' \Longrightarrow q' \subseteq q \Longrightarrow a' \subseteq a \Longrightarrow G' \subseteq G \Longrightarrow R \subseteq R' \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPre[trans]:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p',\ R,\ G,\ q,a] \Longrightarrow
  p \subseteq p' \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPost[trans]:
 \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q',a'] \Longrightarrow
  q' \subseteq q \implies a' \subseteq a \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,\ q,a]
  by (rule conseq) auto
lemma shows x:\exists (sa'::nat\ set).\ (\forall\ x.\ (x\in sa)=((to\text{-}nat\ x)\in sa'))
  by (metis\ (mono-tags,\ hide-lams)\ from-nat-to-nat\ imageE\ image-eqI)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}empty\text{-}set\text{-}countable\text{:}
  assumes a0:sa\neq(\{\}::('a::countable)\ set)
  shows \{i. ((\lambda i. i \in sa) \ o \ from\text{-}nat) \ i\} \neq \{\}
  by (metis (full-types) Collect-empty-eq-bot assms comp-apply empty-def equals0I
from-nat-to-nat)
lemma eq-set-countable: (\bigcap i \in \{i. ((\lambda i. i \in sa) \ o \ from-nat) \ i\}. (q \ o \ from-nat) \ i) =
((\bigcap i \in sa. \ q \ i))
  apply auto
  by (metis (no-types) from-nat-to-nat)
lemma conj-inter-countable[trans]:
  assumes a\theta:sa\neq(\{\}::('a::countable)\ set) and
            a1: \forall i \in sa. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, q \ i, a]
  shows\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p,\ R,\ G,(\bigcap i{\in} sa.\ q\ i),a]
proof-
  have \forall i \in \{i. ((\lambda i. i \in sa) \ o \ from-nat) \ i\}. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ sat \ [p, R, G, (q \ o \ from-nat) \ i\}.
    using a1 by auto
   then have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P sat [p, R, G,\bigcap i\in\{i.\ ((\lambda i.\ i\in sa)\ o\ from\text{-}nat)\ i\}.\ (q\ o\ from\text{-}nat)\}
from-nat) i,a
    using Conj-Inter[OF not-empty-set-countable[OF a0]] by auto
  thus ?thesis using eq-set-countable
    by metis
qed
```

```
lemma all-Post[trans]:
  assumes a0: \forall p-n: ('a::countable). \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} C sat [P, R, G, Q p-n, Qa]
  shows\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [P, R, G, \{s. \forall p-n. s \in Q p-n\}, Qa]
proof-
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [P, R, G,(\bigcap p-n. Q p-n),Qa]
      \mathbf{using}\ a0\ conj\text{-}inter\text{-}countable[of\ UNIV]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
  moreover have s1: \forall P. \{s. \forall p-n. s \in P \ p-n\} = (\bigcap p-n. P \ p-n)
    by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp add: s1)
qed
lemma all-Pre[trans]:
  assumes a\theta: \forall p\text{-}n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} C \ sat \ [P \ p\text{-}n, \ R, \ G, \ Q, \ Qa]
  shows\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [\{s,\forall p\text{-}n.\ s\in P\ p\text{-}n\},\ R,\ G,Q,Qa]
proof-
    \{ \mathbf{fix} \ p - n \}
    have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [\{s. \ \forall \ p\text{-}n.\ s\in P\ p\text{-}n\},\ R,\ G,Q,Qa]
    proof-
       have \{v. \forall n. v \in P \ n\} \subseteq P \ p\text{-}n \ \text{by force}
     then show ?thesis by (meson a0 LocalRG-HoareDef.conseqPrePost subset-eq)
     qed
  } thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma Pre-Post-all:
  assumes a\theta: \forall p\text{-}n::('a::countable). \ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C \ sat \ [P \ p\text{-}n, \ R, \ G, \ Q \ p\text{-}n, \ Qa]
  shows\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [\{s. \ \forall \ p\text{-}n.\ s\in P\ p\text{-}n\},\ R,\ G,\{s. \ \forall \ p\text{-}n.\ s\in Q\ p\text{-}n\},Qa]
proof-
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ p - n \}
    have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} C sat [\{s. \forall p\text{-}n. s\in P p\text{-}n\}, R, G,Q p\text{-}n,Qa]
    proof-
       have \{v. \forall n. v \in P \ n\} \subseteq P \ p\text{-}n \ \text{by force}
     then show ?thesis by (meson a0 LocalRG-HoareDef.conseqPrePost subset-eq)
    \mathbf{qed}
  then have f3: \forall p-n. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} C sat [\{s. \forall p-n. s \in P \ p-n\}, R, G, Q \ p-n, Qa]
   then have \forall p\text{-}n. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} C \ sat \ [\{s. \ \forall p\text{-}n. \ s \in P \ p\text{-}n\}, \ R, \ G, \{s. \ \forall p\text{-}n. \ s \in Q \}\}
p-n, Qa
    using all-Post by auto
  moreover have s1: \forall P. \{s. \forall p-n. s \in P \ p-n\} = (\bigcap p-n. P \ p-n)
    by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp add: s1)
qed
```

```
inductive-cases hoare-elim-skip-cases [cases set]: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} Skip \ sat \ [p, R, G, q, a]
```

end

13 Derived Hoare Rules for Partial Correctness

theory HoarePartial imports HoarePartialProps begin

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ conseq\text{-}no\text{-}aux:
   \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q',A';
     \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (s \in P' \land (Q' \subseteq Q) \land (A' \subseteq A))]
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
  by (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. P' and Q'=\lambda Z. Q' and A'=\lambda Z. A']) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{conseq}\textit{-}\mathit{exploit}\textit{-}\mathit{pre}\text{:}
                  \llbracket\forall\, s \in P.\ \Gamma.\Theta \vdash_{\big/F} (\{s\}\,\cap\,P)\ c\ Q.A\rrbracket
                   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
   apply clarify
   apply (rule-tac x = \{s\} \cap P in exI)
   apply (rule-tac \ x=Q \ in \ exI)
   apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
   by simp
lemma conseq: \llbracket \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z);
                   \forall s.\ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z.\ s \in P'\ Z \land (Q'\ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A'\ Z \subseteq A))]
                   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq') blast
lemma Lem \colon \llbracket \forall \: Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{\big/F} (P \, ' \, Z) \ c \ (Q \, ' \, Z), (A \, ' \, Z);
                  P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (Q' Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' Z \subseteq A)\} ]
                  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (unfold lem-def)
   apply (erule conseq)
```

```
apply blast
  done
lemma LemAnno:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land \}
                         (\forall\,t.\ t\in Q'\,Z\longrightarrow t\in Q)\,\wedge\,(\forall\,t.\ t\in A'\,Z\longrightarrow t\in A)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma \ Lem Anno No Abrupt:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (\forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,\{\}
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma TrivPost: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
                    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ UNIV,UNIV
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{all} I)
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma TrivPostNoAbr: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), \{\}
                    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ \mathit{UNIV},\{\}
apply (rule allI)
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma conseq-under-new-pre:\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q', A';
         \forall s \in P. \ s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq)
apply (rule allI)
apply assumption
apply auto
done
lemma conseq-Kleymann: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ c \ (Q' Z), (A' Z);
                \forall s \in P. \ (\exists Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
```

```
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 by (rule Conseq') blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynComConseq:
  assumes P\subseteq \{s.\ \exists\ P'\ Q'\ A'.\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P'\ (c\ s)\ Q',A'\land P\subseteq P'\land\ Q'\subseteq\ Q\land P'
A' \subseteq A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  using assms
 apply -
 apply (rule DynCom)
 apply clarsimp
 apply (rule Conseq)
 apply clarsimp
 apply blast
  done
lemma SpecAnno:
 assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (Q' Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' Z \subseteq A))\}
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ (c\ Z) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
 assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (specAnno P' c Q' A') Q,A
proof -
  from spec bdy-constant
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} ((P'Z)) \ (c \ undefined) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply simp
    done
  with consequence show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: specAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseq)
    apply blast
    done
qed
lemma SpecAnno':
 [\![P\subseteq\{s.\ \exists\ Z.\ s{\in}P'\ Z\ \land
            (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow \ t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\};
  \forall\,Z.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P'\,Z)\,\,(c\,\,Z)\,\,(Q'\,Z),(A'\,Z);
  \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
    \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q,A
apply (simp only: subset-iff [THEN sym])
apply (erule (1) SpecAnno)
apply assumption
done
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ SpecAnnoNoAbrupt:
 [\![P\subseteq\{s.\ \exists\ Z.\ s{\in}P'\ Z\ \land
                (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q);
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ (c \ Z) \ (Q'Z), \{\}; \\ \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
     \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ (\lambda s.\ \{\}))\ Q,A
apply (rule SpecAnno')
apply auto
done
lemma Skip: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P Skip Q,A
  by (rule hoarep.Skip [THEN conseqPre],simp)
lemma Basic: P \subseteq \{s. (f s) \in Q\} \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P (Basic f) Q, A
  by (rule hoarep.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
lemma BasicCond:
   \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ Basic\ (\lambda s.\ if\ b\ s\ then\ f\ s\ else\ g\ s)\ Q,A
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply auto
  done
lemma Spec: P \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}
                \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ (Spec\ r)\ Q,A
by (rule hoarep.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
lemma SpecIf:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg \ b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q \land h \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ Spec \ (\textit{if-rel b f g h}) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Spec)
  apply (auto simp add: if-rel-def)
  done
lemma Seq [trans, intro?]:
  \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Seq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
  by (rule hoarep.Seq)
lemma SeqSwap:
   \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c2 \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c1 \ R,A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Seq \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule Seq)
lemma BSeq:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (bseq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
```

```
lemma Cond:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof (rule hoarep.Cond [THEN conseqPre])
  from deriv-c1
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap b)\ c_1\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
\mathbf{next}
  from deriv-c2
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap -b)\ c_2\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
  show P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\} by (rule wp)
qed
lemma CondSwap:
  \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P1\ c1\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P2\ c2\ Q,A;\ P\subseteq \{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P1)\ \land\ (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P1)\} \land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P1)\} \land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P1)
s \in P2)
   \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule Cond)
lemma Cond':
  \llbracket P\subseteq \{s.\ (b\subseteq P1)\ \land\ (-\ b\subseteq P2)\}; \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P1\ c1\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P2\ c2\ Q,A\rrbracket
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Cond b c1 c2) Q,A
  by (rule CondSwap) blast+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{CondInv} \colon
  assumes wp: P \subseteq Q
  assumes inv: Q \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_2 c_2 Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof -
  from wp inv
  \mathbf{have}\ P\subseteq\{s.\ (s{\in}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P_1)\ \land\ (s{\notin}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P_2)\}
     by blast
  from Cond [OF this deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  show ?thesis.
qed
```

by (unfold bseq-def) (rule Seq)

```
lemma CondInv':
  assumes wp: P \subseteq I
  assumes inv: I \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes wp': I \subseteq Q
  assumes deriv\text{-}c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1 c_1 I,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_2 c_2 I,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
proof -
  from CondInv [OF wp inv deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) I,A.
  from conseqPost [OF this wp' subset-refl]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma switchNil:
  P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (switch \ v \ []) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Skip)
lemma switchCons:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (v \ s \in V \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (v \ s \notin V \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\};
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P_1\ c\ Q,A;
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P_2 \ (switch \ v \ vs) \ Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (switch \ v \ ((V,c)\#vs)) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Cond)
lemma Guard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guard [THEN conseqPre, of - - - R])
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuardSwap} \colon
 \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; P \subseteq g \cap R \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule Guard)
lemma Guarantee:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{Guarantee}\ [\mathit{THEN}\ \mathit{conseqPre},\ \mathit{of} \ \text{----} \ \{s.\ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}])
{\bf apply} \quad assumption
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
```

done

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuaranteeSwap} \colon
 \llbracket \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule Guarantee)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuardStrip} \colon
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre])
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuardStripSwap} \colon
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \implies \dot{\Gamma}, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q, A
  by (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStripSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma Guarantee As Guard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule Guard)
lemma Guarantee As Guard Swap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq g \cap R \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma.\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{guaranteeStrip f g c}) \ \textit{Q,A}
  by (rule GuaranteeAsGuard)
lemma GuardsNil:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P \ (guards \ [] \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{GuardsCons} \colon
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P \ \textit{Guard} \ f \ g \ (\textit{guards} \ \textit{gs} \ c) \ \textit{Q},A \Longrightarrow
```

```
\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ ((f,g)\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuardsConsGuaranteeStrip} :
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ (guaranteeStripPair f \ g\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: guaranteeStripPair-def guaranteeStrip-def)
lemma While:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
 assumes \mathit{deriv\text{-}body} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \, \cap \, b) \ c \ I, A
 assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from deriv-body P-I I-Q
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: whileAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseqPrePost [OF HoarePartialDef.While])
    apply simp-all
    done
qed
J will be instantiated by tactic with gs' \cap I for those guards that are not
stripped.
\mathbf{lemma} \quad While Anno G :
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                    (while Anno\ b\ J\ V\ (Seq\ c\ (guards\ gs\ Skip))))\ Q,A
        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (whileAnnoG gs b I V c) Q,A
  by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def whileAnno-def while-def)
This form stems from strip-quards F (whileAnnoG qs b I V c)
lemma WhileNoGuard':
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
 assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(I\cap b) c I,A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (whileAnno b I V (Seq c Skip)) Q,A
  apply (rule While [OF P-I - I-Q])
  apply (rule Seq)
  \mathbf{apply} \quad (rule \ deriv\text{-}body)
  apply (rule hoarep.Skip)
  done
lemma While AnnoFix:
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in I Z \land (I Z \cap -b \subseteq Q)) \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ Z) \ (I \ Z), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (while AnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from bdy bdy-constant
  have bdy': \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ undefined) \ (I \ Z), A
    apply -
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (erule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ all E)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in all E)
    apply simp
    done
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z) \ (while AnnoFix \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ (I \ Z \cap -b), A
    apply rule
    apply (unfold whileAnnoFix-def)
    apply (rule hoarep. While)
   apply (rule bdy' [rule-format])
    done
  _{
m then}
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule conseq)
    using consequence
   by blast
qed
lemma WhileAnnoFix':
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in IZ \land A)\}
                               (\forall t. \ t \in I \ Z \cap -b \longrightarrow t \in Q)) \ \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (I \ Z \cap b) \ (c \ Z) \ (I \ Z), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (while AnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
  apply (rule WhileAnnoFix [OF - bdy bdy-constant])
 using consequence by blast
lemma While Anno GFix:
assumes while AnnoFix:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                (while AnnoFix\ b\ J\ V\ (\lambda Z.\ (Seq\ (c\ Z)\ (guards\ gs\ Skip)))))\ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (whileAnnoGFix gs b I V c) Q,A
  using whileAnnoFix
  by (simp add: whileAnnoGFix-def whileAnnoFix-def while-def)
lemma Bind:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in P' \ s\}
  assumes c: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ (c \ (e \ s)) \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ (bind \ e \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P' Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold bind-def)
```

```
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply simp
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule\ c\ [rule-format])
\mathbf{apply} \quad blast
using adapt
apply blast
done
lemma Block:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land init \ s \in P' \ Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q
and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in R Z t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return Z t \in R Z t} and
         Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule\ c\ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in A\} in Catch)
apply (rule-tac R=\{i.\ i\in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule bdy [rule-format])
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
```

```
lemma BlockSwap:
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
\mathbf{using}\ adapt\ bdy\ c
 by (rule Block)
lemma BlockSpec:
 assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                              (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                              (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
 assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
 assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ bdy \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. init s \in P' Z \land
                              (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                              (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A])
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t} and
           Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{clarsimp})
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule\ c\ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in A\} in Catch)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule\ conseq\ [OF\ bdy])
apply clarsimp
apply blast
```

```
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma Throw: P \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P Throw Q, A
  by (rule hoarep. Throw [THEN conseqPre])
lemmas Catch = hoarep.Catch
lemma CatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ Catch
c_1 c_2 Q,A
  by (rule hoarep.Catch)
lemma raise: P \subseteq \{s. f s \in A\} \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ raise } f Q, A
  apply (simp add: raise-def)
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule Throw)
  apply (rule subset-refl)
  done
lemma condCatch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap A)); \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                     \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q, A
  apply (simp add: condCatch-def)
  apply (rule Catch)
  apply assumption
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{CondSwap})
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule hoarep. Throw)
  apply blast
  done
lemma condCatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap R)) \end{bmatrix}
A))
                     \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
  by (rule condCatch)
lemma ProcSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                   (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)\}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
using adapt c p
```

```
apply (unfold call-def)
by (rule BlockSpec)
lemma ProcSpec':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                (\forall t \in Q' Z. return s t \in R s t) \land
                                 (\forall t \in A' Z. return s t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F}^{'} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), (A' Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule ProcSpec [OF - c p])
apply (insert adapt)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule (1) subsetD)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ exI)
apply blast
done
lemma ProcSpecNoAbrupt:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t)\}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'Z), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule ProcSpec [OF - c p])
using adapt
apply simp
done
lemma FCall:
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return (\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))) Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (fcall \ init \ p \ return \ result \ c) \ Q, A
  by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma ProcRec:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in Procs. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{(P \ p \ Z,p,Q \ p \ Z,A \ p \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  by (intro strip)
     (rule CallRec'
     [OF - Procs-defined deriv-bodies],
     simp-all)
```

```
lemma ProcRec':
  assumes ctxt: \Theta' = \Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{(P \ p \ Z, p, Q \ p \ Z, A \ p \ Z)\})
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall \ p \in Procs. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \ \forall \ p {\in} Procs. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta {\vdash_{/F}} (P \ p \ Z) \ \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using ctxt deriv-bodies
  apply simp
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{erule} \ \mathit{ProcRec} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \text{-} \ \mathit{Procs-defined}])
  done
lemma ProcRecList:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in set \ Procs.
    \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \cup (\bigcup p \in set \ Procs. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{(P \ p \ Z, p, Q \ p \ Z, A \ p \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes dist: distinct Procs
  assumes Procs-defined: set Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in set\ Procs.\ \forall\ Z.\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P\ p\ Z)\ Call\ p\ (Q\ p\ Z), (A\ p\ Z)
  using deriv-bodies Procs-defined
  by (rule ProcRec)
\mathbf{lemma} \;\; \mathit{ProcRecSpecs} \colon
  \llbracket\forall\, (P,p,Q,A)\in Specs.\,\, \Gamma,\Theta\cup Specs\vdash_{/F}P\,\,(the\,\,(\Gamma\,\,p))\,\,\,Q,A;
    \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma
  \implies \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
apply (auto intro: CallRec)
done
lemma ProcRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P\ Z, p, Q\ Z, A\ Z)\}) \vdash_{/F} (P\ Z) \ (the\ (\Gamma\ p)) \ (Q\ Z), (A\ Z)
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof -
  from deriv-body p-defined
  have \forall p \in \{p\}. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    by – (rule ProcRec [where A=\lambda p. A and P=\lambda p. P and Q=\lambda p. Q],
            simp-all)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma ProcNoRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
```

```
assumes p\text{-}def: p \in dom \Gamma
 shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof -
from deriv-body
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P \ Z, p, Q \ Z, A \ Z)\})
            \vdash_{/F} (P Z) (the (\Gamma p)) (Q Z), (A Z)
   by (blast intro: hoare-augment-context)
 from this p-def
 show ?thesis
   by (rule ProcRec1)
qed
lemma ProcBody:
 assumes WP: P \subseteq P'
 assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' body Q,A
 assumes body: \Gamma p = Some \ body
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q,A
apply (rule conseqPre [OF - WP])
apply (rule ProcNoRec1 [rule-format, where P=\lambda Z. P' and Q=\lambda Z. Q and
A=\lambda Z. A]
apply (insert body)
apply simp
apply (rule hoare-augment-context [OF deriv-body])
apply blast
apply fastforce
done
lemma CallBody:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P's) \ body \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes body: \Gamma p = Some body
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (rule Block [OF adapt - c])
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule ProcBody [where \Gamma = \Gamma, OF - bdy [rule-format] body])
apply simp
done
lemmas ProcModifyReturn = HoarePartialProps.ProcModifyReturn
{\bf lemmas}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults = HoarePartialProps. ProcModifyReturnSameFaults
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcModifyReturnNoAbr}:
 assumes spec \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return' \ c) \ Q, A
 assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
```

```
\forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
by (rule ProcModifyReturn [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec]) simp
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes modifies-spec:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
by (rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec])
simp
lemma DynProc:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                             \begin{array}{c} \overset{-}{(\forall t.\ t \in Q'\ s\ Z)} \longrightarrow return\ s\ t \in R\ s\ t) \land \\ (\forall t.\ t \in A'\ s\ Z) \longrightarrow return\ s\ t \in A) \} \end{array} 
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P dynCall init p return c Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P}
  and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold dynCall-def call-def block-def)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply clarsimp
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{DynCom})
apply clarsimp
apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac Z')
apply (rule-tac R=Q'ZZ' in Seq)
apply (rule CatchSwap)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
           (rule Throw)
apply
apply
           (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule Basic)
apply (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P' Z Z'\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule-tac Q'=Q'ZZ' and A'=A'ZZ' in conseqPost)
```

```
using p
apply
              clarsimp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z''. {t. t=Z'' \land return \ Z \ t \in R \ Z \ t} and
            Q'=\lambda Z''. Q and A'=\lambda Z''. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule DynCom)
apply clarsimp
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{SeqSwap})
apply (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
done
lemma DynProc':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                               (\forall t \in Q' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                               (\forall t \in A' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q,A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P dynCall init p return c Q,A
proof -
  \mathbf{from}\ adapt\ \mathbf{have}\ P\subseteq\{s.\ \exists\ Z.\ init\ s\in P'\ s\ Z\ \land\\
                               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)\}
     by blast
  from this c p show ?thesis
     by (rule DynProc)
qed
lemma DynProcStaticSpec:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in S \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P'Z \land A\}\}
                                 (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \land (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q,A
assumes spec: \forall s \in S. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ (p s) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P-S: P \subseteq S
     by blast
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap S) (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
     apply (rule DynProc [where P'=\lambda s\ Z.\ P'\ Z and Q'=\lambda s\ Z.\ Q'\ Z
                              and A'=\lambda s Z. A' Z, OF - c
     apply clarsimp
     apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
```

```
apply clarsimp
     using spec
     apply clarsimp
     done
  thus ?thesis
     by (rule conseqPre) (insert P-S,blast)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{DynProcProcPar} \colon
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land A)\}
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \ \land
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
  apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \ s = q\}, simplified, OF \ adapt \ c])
  using spec
  apply simp
  done
lemma DynProcProcParNoAbrupt:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec \colon \forall \: Z. \ \Gamma, \stackrel{'}{\Theta} \vdash_{\left/F\right.} (P'\:Z) \: \: Call \: q \: (Q'\:Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  have P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists \ Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                           (\forall \, t. \ t \in Q' \, Z \longrightarrow return \, s \, t \in R \, s \, t) \, \land 
                           (\forall t. \ t \in \{\} \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A))\}
     (is P \subseteq ?P')
  proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ s
     assume P: s \in P
     with adapt obtain Z where
       Pre: p \ s = q \land init \ s \in P' \ Z and
       adapt-Norm: \forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau
       by blast
     {\bf from} \quad adapt\text{-}Norm
     have \forall t. \ t \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t
       by auto
     then
     \mathbf{show}\ s \in ?P'
       using Pre by blast
  qed
  note P = this
```

```
show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S=\{s.\ p\ s=q\}, simplified,\ OF\ P\ c])
    apply (insert spec)
    apply auto
    done
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                              \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{ret-nrm-modif}
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
        \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                        \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                          \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ {\it ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults}:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                                 \rightarrow return's t = return s t
  {\bf assumes}\ \mathit{modif-clause}\colon
            \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ (p \ s)) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
        \longrightarrow \mathit{return'} \; s \; t = \mathit{return} \; s \; t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                        \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
```

```
by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                         \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by simp
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults)
qed
lemma ProcProcParModifyReturn:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'

    — DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P',

so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                              \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modif-clause} :
          \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\}\ (\mathit{Call}\ q)\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof
  from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
\mathbf{qed}
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
   - DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
          \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\}\ \mathit{Call}\ q\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c})\ \textit{Q,A}
```

```
by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret	ext{-}abr	ext{-}modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule\ conseqPre)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return No Abr:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'

    - DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction

in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
 assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P') (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
\mathbf{qed}
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'

    DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction

in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove have
    \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return'}\ c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c)\ Q,A
    by (rule ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
```

```
from this q show ?thesis
   by (rule conseqPre)
qed
lemma MergeGuards-iff: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P merge-guards c\ Q,A=\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ c\ Q,A
 by (auto intro: MergeGuardsI MergeGuardsD)
lemma CombineStrip':
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c' Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/\{\}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards (-F) c')
 assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof
  from deriv-strip-triv have deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P c'' UNIV,UNIV
   by (auto intro: hoare-augment-context)
  from deriv-strip [simplified c'']
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c') UNIV,UNIV
   \mathbf{by} (rule MarkGuardsD)
  with deriv
 have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
   by (rule CombineStrip)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P mark-guards False c' Q,A
   by (rule MarkGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P merge-guards (mark-guards False c') Q,A
   by (rule MergeGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P merge-guards c Q,A
   by (simp \ add: c)
  thus ?thesis
   by (rule\ MergeGuardsD)
qed
lemma CombineStrip":
 assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/\{True\}} P \ c' \ Q, A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{/\{\}} P c'' UNIV, UNIV\}
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards ({False})) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ CombineStrip' \ [\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{deriv} \ \mathit{deriv-strip-triv} \ - \ c])
  apply (insert c'')
  apply (subgoal-tac - \{True\} = \{False\})
  apply auto
  done
lemma AsmUN:
  (\bigcup Z.\ \{(P\ Z,\ p,\ Q\ Z,\!A\ Z)\})\subseteq\Theta
```

```
\forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ (Call \ p) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (blast intro: hoarep.Asm)
lemma augment-context':
   \llbracket\Theta\subseteq\Theta';\,\forall\,Z.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\,\,Z)\quad p\,\,(Q\,\,Z),(A\,\,Z)\rrbracket
    \implies \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z),(A \ Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoare-augment-context)
lemma hoarep-strip:
 \llbracket \forall \, Z. \,\, \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \,\, Z) \,\, p \,\, (Q \,\, Z), (A \,\, Z); \,\, F^{\, \prime} \subseteq -F \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \ strip \ F' \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoare-strip-\Gamma)
{\bf lemma}\ augment\text{-}emptyFaults:
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/\{\}} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P Z) p (Q Z), (A Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{augment-FaultsUNIV}\colon
 \llbracket \forall \, Z. \, \, \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \, Z) \, \, p \, \, (Q \, Z), (A \, Z) \rrbracket \implies
     \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/UNIV} (P Z) p (Q Z), (A Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
lemma PostConjI [trans]:
   \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ R,B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \ \cap \ R),(A \ \cap \ B)
  by (rule PostConjI)
lemma PostConjI':
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B)
  by (rule PostConjI) iprover+
lemma PostConjE [consumes 1]:
  assumes conj: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
  assumes E: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow S
  shows S
proof -
  from conj have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A by (rule conseqPost) blast+
  moreover
  from conj have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c R,B by (rule conseqPost) blast+
  ultimately show S
     by (rule\ E)
qed
```

13.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof

We are now ready to introduce a set of Hoare rules to be used in single-step structured proofs in Isabelle/Isar.

Assertions of Hoare Logic may be manipulated in calculational proofs, with the inclusion expressed in terms of sets or predicates. Reversed order is supported as well.

```
lemma annotateI [trans]:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ anno \ Q,A; \ c = anno \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
          by simp
lemma annotate-normI:
           assumes deriv-anno: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P anno Q,A
           assumes norm-eq: normalize c = normalize anno
           shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
            from NormalizeI [OF deriv-anno] norm-eq
           have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P normalize c\ Q,A
                     by simp
           from NormalizeD [OF this]
           show ?thesis.
qed
lemma annotateWhile:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{while gs b c}) \ \textit{Q,A}
          by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{reannotateWhile} \colon
\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ (}whileAnnoG \text{ } gs \text{ } b \text{ } I \text{ } V \text{ } c) \text{ } Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ (}whileAnnoG \text{ } gs \text{ } b \text{ } J \text{ } V \text{ } )
c) Q, A
          by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ reannotate While No Guard:
\llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnno b I V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnno b J V c}) \ \textit{Q,A} \rrbracket
          by (simp add: whileAnno-def)
lemma [trans]: P' \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P' \ c \ Q, A
          by (rule conseqPre)
lemma [trans]: Q \subseteq Q' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q', A
           \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{conseqPost}) \ \mathit{blast} +
lemma [trans]:
                    \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\} \ c\ Q,A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s \longrightarrow 
           by (rule conseqPre) auto
```

```
lemma [trans]:
                        (\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s\longrightarrow P\ s)\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P\ s\}\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}\{s.\ P'\ s\}\}
            by (rule conseqPre) auto
lemma [trans]:
                        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ \{s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s\}, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ Q' \ s \longrightarrow Q' \ s \longrightarrow
            by (rule conseqPost) auto
lemma [trans]:
                        (\bigwedge s.\ Q\ s \longrightarrow Q'\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ 
           by (rule conseqPost) auto
lemma [intro?]: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P Skip P,A
            by (rule Skip) auto
lemma CondInt [trans,intro?]:
              \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \cap b) \ c1 \ Q,A; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} (P \cap -b) \ c2 \ Q,A \rrbracket
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
            by (rule Cond) auto
lemma CondConj [trans, intro?]:
            \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ b\ s\}\ c1\ Q,A;\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ \neg\ b\ s\}\ c2\ Q,A \rrbracket
                \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ (Cond\ \{s.\ b\ s\}\ c1\ c2)\ Q,A
            by (rule Cond) auto
lemma WhileInvInt [intro?]:
                        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P\ (whileAnno\ b\ P\ V\ c)\ (P\cap -b),A
            by (rule While) auto
lemma WhileInt [intro?]:
                        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A
                       \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \text{ (whileAnno b \{s. undefined}\} \ V c) (P \cap -b), A
            by (unfold whileAnno-def)
                               (\textit{rule HoarePartialDef.While [THEN conseqPrePost]}, auto)
lemma WhileInvConj [intro?]:
           \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ b\ s\}\ c\ \{s.\ P\ s\},A
            \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ (whileAnno\ \{s.\ b\ s\}\ \{s.\ P\ s\}\ V\ c)\ \{s.\ P\ s \land \neg\ b\ s\},A
           by (simp add: While Collect-conj-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma While Conj [intro?]:
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\ \wedge\ b\ s\}\ c\ \{s.\ P\ s\}, A
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ (whileAnno\ \{s.\ b\ s\}\ \{s.\ undefined\}\ V\ c)\ \{s.\ P\ s\ \land \ \neg\ b\ s\},A
```

```
by (unfold whileAnno-def)
  (simp add: HoarePartialDef.While [THEN conseqPrePost]
  Collect-conj-eq Collect-neg-eq)
```

 \mathbf{end}

14 Hoare Logic for Total Correctness

theory HoareTotalDef imports HoarePartialDef Termination begin

14.1 Validity of Hoare Tuples: $\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A$

definition

```
validt :: [('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com,'s \ assn,'s \ assn] \Rightarrow bool (-\models_{t'/\_}/----, [61,60,1000, 20, 1000,1000] \ 60)
```

where

$$\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \equiv \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ \land \ (\forall \, s \in \mathit{Normal} \ \lq P. \ \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow s)$$

definition

cvalidt::

```
 \begin{array}{ll} [('s,'p,'f)\ body,('s,'p)\ quadruple\ set,'f\ set,\\ 's\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,'s\ assn,'s\ assn] \Rightarrow bool\\ (-,-\models_{t'/-}/\ -\ -\ -,-\ [61,60,\ 60,1000,\ 20,\ 1000,1000]\ 60) \end{array}
```

where

$$\Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A\equiv (\forall\,(P,p,Q,A)\in\Theta.\ \Gamma\models_{t/F}P\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ Q,A)\longrightarrow\Gamma\models_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A$$

```
notation (ASCII)
```

14.2 Properties of Validity

lemma validtI:

 $\Longrightarrow \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A$ by (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def)

lemma cvalidtI:

```
\land s. \ [\![ \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A; \ s \in P ]\!] \implies \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal \ s) ]\!]
       \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
       by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
lemma cvalidt-postD:
    \llbracket \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A; \ \forall \ (P,p,Q,A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ \ Q,A; \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal \ s \ \rangle \Rightarrow A \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ \ Q,A \models_{t/F} Q \models_
         s \in P; t \notin Fault `F
        \implies t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
lemma cvalidt-termD:
    \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \forall \ (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A; s \in P \rrbracket
       \Longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow (Normal\ s)
      by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
{f lemma}\ validt-augment-Faults:
       assumes valid:\Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
       assumes F': F \subseteq F'
       shows \Gamma \models_{t/F'} P \ c \ Q, A
       using valid F'
      by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
                                  The Hoare Rules: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c Q,A
14.3
inductive hoaret::[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
                                                                                's assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) com, 's assn, 's assn]
            ((3\text{-},\text{-}/\vdash_{t'/\text{-}}(\text{-}/\text{(-)}/\text{-},\text{-}))\ [61,60,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
          for \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
where
        Skip: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} Q Skip Q, A
| Basic: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ f \ s \in Q\} \ (Basic \ f) \ Q, A
| Spec: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ (\forall \ t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists \ t. \ (s,t) \in r)\} \ (Spec \ r) \ Q, A
| Seq: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q,A
| Cond: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \cap b) \ c_1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \cap -b) \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                             \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
 | While: \llbracket wf \ r; \ \forall \ \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t,\sigma) \in r\} \cap P), A \rrbracket
```

```
\mid \mathit{Guard} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q, A
             \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
| Guarantee: \llbracket f \in F; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
                  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ (Guard\ f\ g\ c)\ Q,A
| CallRec:
   [(P,p,Q,A) \in Specs;
     wf r;
     Specs-wf = (\lambda p \ \sigma. \ (\lambda(P,q,Q,A). \ (P \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in r\},q,Q,A)) \ `Specs];
     \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs.
        p \in dom \ \Gamma \land (\forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \sigma \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A)
     \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
| DynCom: \forall s \in P. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (c \ s) \ Q, A
                \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (DynCom \ c) \ Q, A
| \ \mathit{Throw} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} A \ \mathit{Throw} \ Q, A
| Catch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2
| Conseq: \forall s \in P. \exists P' Q' A'. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' c Q', A' \land s \in P' \land Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A
               \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
\mid \mathit{Asm} \colon (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
\mid ExFalso: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \neg \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  — This is a hack rule that enables us to derive completeness for an arbitrary
context \Theta, from completeness for an empty context.
Does not work, because of rule ExFalso, the context \Theta is to blame. A weaker
version with empty context can be derived from soundness later on.
```

 $\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (While \ b \ c) \ (P \cap -b),A$

lemma *hoaret-to-hoarep*:

shows $\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P \ p \ Q,A$

assumes hoaret: $\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ p\ Q,A$

```
using hoaret
proof (induct)
  case Skip thus ?case by (rule hoarep.intros)
  case Basic thus ?case by (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case Cond thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case (While r \Theta F P b c A)
  hence \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in r\} \cap P), A
    by iprover
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ c\ P,A
    by (rule HoarePartialDef.conseq) blast
  then show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F} P While b c (P\cap -b),A
    by (rule hoarep. While)
\mathbf{next}
  case Guard thus ?case by – (rule hoarep.intros)
next
  case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: hoarep.DynCom)
next
  case Throw thus ?case by – (rule hoarep. Throw)
next
  case Catch thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.Catch)
  case Conseq thus ?case by - (rule hoarep.Conseq,blast)
next
  case Asm thus ?case by (rule HoarePartialDef.Asm)
next
  case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A)
  assume \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  hence \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    oops
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{hoaret-augment-context}\colon
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ p\ Q,A
  shows \land \Theta'. \Theta \subseteq \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ p \ Q, A
using deriv
proof (induct)
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs r Specs-wf \Theta F \Theta)
  have aug: \Theta \subseteq \Theta' by fact
  then
  have h: \land \tau \ p. \ \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau
       \subseteq \Theta' \cup Specs\text{-}wf p \tau
    \mathbf{by} blast
```

```
have \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
     (\forall \tau. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A \land 
           (\forall x. \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf p \tau)
                 \subseteq x \longrightarrow
                 \Gamma, x \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \, \cap \, P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q, A)) by fact
  hence \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
         (\forall \tau. \ \Gamma, \Theta' \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A)
    apply (clarify)
    apply (rename-tac\ P\ p\ Q\ A)
    apply (drule (1) bspec)
    apply (clarsimp)
   apply (erule-tac x=\tau in allE)
    apply clarify
    apply (erule-tac x=\Theta' \cup Specs-wf p \tau in allE)
    apply (insert aug)
   apply auto
    done
  with CallRec show ?case by - (rule hoaret.CallRec)
  case DynCom thus ?case by (blast intro: hoaret.DynCom)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A \Theta')
  from Conseq
  A)
    by blast
  with Conseq show ?case by - (rule hoaret.Conseq)
  case (ExFalso\ \Theta\ F\ P\ c\ Q\ A\ \Theta')
  have \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}P c Q,A \neg \Gamma\models_{t/F}P c Q,A \Theta\subseteq\Theta' by fact+
  then show ?case
    by (fastforce intro: hoaret.ExFalso simp add: cvalidt-def)
qed (blast intro: hoaret.intros)+
14.4 Some Derived Rules
lemma Conseq': \forall s. s \in P \longrightarrow
            (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'.
              (\forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)) \land
                    (\exists Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A)))
           \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule Conseq)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule-tac x=s in allE)
apply (clarify)
apply (rule-tac \ x=P'\ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Q' \ Z \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
```

```
apply (rule-tac x=A'Z in exI)
apply blast
done
lemma conseq: [\forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
                 \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\stackrel{'}{\exists} \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
                 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq) blast
theorem conseqPrePost:
  \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q', A' \Longrightarrow P \subseteq P' \Longrightarrow \ Q' \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow A' \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c
  by (rule conseq [where ?P'=\lambda Z. P' and ?Q'=\lambda Z. Q']) auto
lemma conseqPre: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P'\ c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow P\subseteq P'\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ Q,A
by (rule conseq) auto
lemma conseqPost: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ Q',A'\Longrightarrow Q'\subseteq Q\Longrightarrow A'\subseteq A\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P
  by (rule conseq) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Spec}\text{-}\mathit{wf}\text{-}\mathit{conv}:
  (\lambda(P, q, Q, A). (P \cap \{s. ((s, q), \tau, p) \in r\}, q, Q, A))
                   (\bigcup p{\in}Procs.\ \bigcup Z.\ \{(P\ p\ Z,\ p,\ Q\ p\ Z,\ A\ p\ Z)\}) =
          (\bigcup q \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{(P \neq Z \cap \{s. ((s, q), \tau, p) \in r\}, q, Q \neq Z, A \neq Z)\})
  by (auto intro!: image-eqI)
lemma CallRec':
  [p \in Procs; Procs \subseteq dom \ \Gamma;]
    wf r;
   \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall \tau \ Z.
   \Gamma,\Theta\cup(\bigcup q\in Procs.\bigcup Z.
    \{((P \ q \ Z) \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),(\tau,p)) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z,(A \ q \ Z))\})
     \vdash_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap (P \ p \ Z)) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)]
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ p\ Z)\ (Call\ p)\ (Q\ p\ Z), (A\ p\ Z)
apply (rule CallRec [where Specs=\bigcup p \in Procs. \bigcup Z. \{((P p Z), p, Q p Z, A p Z)\}
and
          r=r
             blast
apply
apply assumption
apply (rule refl)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rename-tac p')
apply (rule\ conjI)
apply blast
```

```
apply (intro allI) apply (rename-tac Z \tau) apply (drule-tac x=p' in bspec, assumption) apply (erule-tac x=\tau in allE) apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE) apply (fastforce simp\ add: Spec-wf-conv) done
```

15 Properties of Total Correctness Hoare Logic

 ${\bf theory}\ Hoare Total Props\ {\bf imports}\ Small Step\ Hoare Total Def\ Hoare Partial Props\ {\bf begin}$

15.1 Soundness

end

```
lemma hoaret-sound:
 assumes hoare: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using hoare
proof (induct)
  case (Skip \Theta F P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P Skip P,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ s \in P
     thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
       by cases auto
  \mathbf{next}
     fix s show \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal s
       by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Basic \Theta F f P A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} \{s. \ f \ s \in P\} \ (Basic \ f) \ P,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    \textbf{assume} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Basic f}, \textit{Normal s} \rangle \Rightarrow \textit{t s} \in \{\textit{s. f s} \in \textit{P}\}
     thus t \in Normal 'P \cup Abrupt 'A
       by cases auto
  \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix}\ s\ \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma \vdash Basic\ f\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s
       by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (Spec \Theta F r Q A)
  \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F}\{s.\ (\forall\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in\ r\ \longrightarrow\ t\in\ Q)\ \land\ (\exists\ t.\ (s,\ t)\in\ r)\}\ \mathit{Spec}\ r\ \mathit{Q},A
```

```
proof (rule cvalidtI)
    fix s t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
           s \in \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s, t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s, t) \in r)\}\
    thus t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by cases auto
  next
    fix s show \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Seq \Theta F P c1 R A c2 Q)
 have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P c1 R,A by fact
 have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} R c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec P obtain r where
      exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow r \ \text{and} \ exec-c2: \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, r \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    with t-notin-F have r \notin Fault ' F
      by (auto dest: Fault-end)
    from valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P this
    have r: r \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
    show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
    proof (cases \ r)
      case (Normal r')
      with exec-c2 r
      \mathbf{show}\ t{\in}Normal\ `\ Q\ \cup\ Abrupt\ `\ A
        apply -
        apply (rule cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F])
        apply auto
        done
    \mathbf{next}
      case (Abrupt r')
      with exec-c2 have t=Abrupt r'
        by (auto elim: exec-elim-cases)
      with Abrupt r show ?thesis
        by auto
    \mathbf{next}
      case Fault with r show ?thesis by blast
      case Stuck with r show ?thesis by blast
    qed
```

```
next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    show \Gamma \vdash Seq c1 c2 \downarrow Normal s
    proof -
      from valid-c1 ctxt P
      have \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal \ s
        by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
      moreover
      {
        fix r assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow r
        have \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow r
        proof (cases \ r)
          case (Normal r')
          with cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
          have r: r \in Normal 'R
            by auto
          with cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c1
          show \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow r
            by auto
        \mathbf{qed} auto
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cond \Theta F P b c1 Q A c2)
  have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (P \cap b) c1 Q,A by fact
  have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (P \cap -b) c2 Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix}\ s\ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
    proof (cases \ s \in b)
      case True
      with exec have \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
      with P True
      show ?thesis
        by - (rule cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
```

```
with exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
        by cases auto
       with P False
      show ?thesis
         by - (rule cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c2 ctxt - - t-notin-F], auto)
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    thus \Gamma \vdash Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s
       using cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c1 ctxt] cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt]
       by (cases s \in b) (auto intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
next
  case (While r \Theta F P b c A)
  assume wf: wf r
  have valid-c: \forall \sigma. \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \cap b) \ c \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in r\} \cap P),A
    using While.hyps by iprover
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (While \ b \ c) \ (P \cap -b),A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume wprems: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t\ s \in P\ t \notin Fault\ `F
    from wf
    have \bigwedge t. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t; \ s \in P; \ t \notin Fault `F \rrbracket
                   \implies t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (induct)
       \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
       assume hyp:
         \land s' t. \llbracket (s',s) \in r; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t; \ s' \in P; \ t \notin Fault `F \rrbracket
                   \implies t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A
       assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       assume P: s \in P
       assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
       from exec
       show t \in Normal ' (P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt ' A
       proof (cases)
        fix s'
         assume b: s \in b
         assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
         assume exec-w: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
         from exec\text{-}w \text{ } t\text{-}notin\text{-}F \text{ } \mathbf{have } s' \notin Fault \text{ } `F
           by (auto dest: Fault-end)
         from exec-c P b valid-c ctxt this
         have s': s' \in Normal \ `(\{s'. (s', s) \in r\} \cap P) \cup Abrupt \ `A
           by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
         show ?thesis
         proof (cases s')
```

```
case Normal
       with exec-w s' t-notin-F
       show ?thesis
         \mathbf{by} - (rule\ hyp, auto)
     next
       case Abrupt
       with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Abrupt-end)
       with Abrupt s' show ?thesis
         \mathbf{by} blast
     \mathbf{next}
       {\bf case}\ {\it Fault}
       with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Fault-end)
       with Fault s' show ?thesis
         by blast
     next
       case Stuck
       with exec-w have t=s'
         by (auto dest: Stuck-end)
       with Stuck s' show ?thesis
         by blast
     \mathbf{qed}
   next
     assume s \notin b t=Normal\ s with P show ?thesis by simp
   qed
 with wprems show t \in Normal '(P \cap -b) \cup Abrupt 'A by blast
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ s
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume s \in P
 with wf
 show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
 proof (induct)
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume hyp: \bigwedge s'. \llbracket (s',s) \in r; s' \in P \rrbracket
                      \implies \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s'
   assume P: s \in P
   show \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
   proof (cases \ s \in b)
     case False with P show ?thesis
       by (blast intro: terminates.intros)
   \mathbf{next}
     {f case}\ {\it True}
     with valid-c P ctxt
     have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
       by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
     moreover
```

```
{
          fix s'
          assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s'
          have \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow s'
          proof (cases s')
             case (Normal s'')
             with exec-c P True valid-c ctxt
            have s': s' \in Normal \ (\{s', (s', s) \in r\} \cap P)
              by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
            then show ?thesis
              by (blast\ intro:\ hyp)
          \mathbf{qed}\ \mathit{auto}
        }
        ultimately
        show ?thesis
          by (blast intro: terminates.intros)
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Guard \Theta F g P c Q A f)
  have valid-c: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) Guard f g \in Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    fix s t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    from exec P have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    from valid-c ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P:s \in (g \cap P)
    thus \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \ \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c ctxt])
  qed
next
  case (Guarantee f F \Theta g P c Q A)
  have valid-c: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (g \cap P) \ c \ Q,A \ \text{by } fact
  have f-F: f \in F by fact
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
```

```
assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    assume P:s \in P
    from exec f-F t-notin-F have g: s \in g
      by cases auto
    with P have P': s \in g \cap P
      by blast
    from exec g have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by cases auto
    \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{valid-c}\ \mathit{ctxt}\ \mathit{this}\ \mathit{P'}\ \mathit{t-notin-F}
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P:s \in P
    thus \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \ \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto intro: terminates.intros cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c ctxt])
  \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
  case (CallRec P p Q A Specs r Specs-wf \Theta F)
  have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
  have wf: wf r by fact
  have Specs-wf:
    Specs-wf = (\lambda p \ \tau. \ (\lambda(P,q,Q,A). \ (P \cap \{s. \ ((s,q),\tau,p) \in r\},q,Q,A)) \ `Specs) \ \mathbf{by}
fact
  from CallRec.hyps
  have valid-body:
    \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. p \in dom \ \Gamma \land A
         (\forall \tau. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ the \ (\Gamma \ p) \ Q,A) \ \textbf{by} \ auto
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
  proof -
    {
      fix \tau p
      assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
      from wf
      have \wedge \tau p P Q A. \llbracket \tau p = (\tau, p); (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ (p))) \ Q, A
      proof (induct \tau p rule: wf-induct [rule-format, consumes 1, case-names WF])
         case (WF \tau p \ \tau \ p \ P \ Q \ A)
        have \tau p: \tau p = (\tau, p) by fact
         have p: (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs by fact
           fix q P' Q' A'
           assume q: (P',q,Q',A') \in Specs
           have \Gamma \models_{t/F} (P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau,p) \in r\}) (Call \ q) \ Q',A'
           proof (rule validtI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume exec-q:
      \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume Pre: s \in P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau,p) \in r\}
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from Pre \ q \ \tau p
    have valid-bdy:
      \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P') \text{ the } (\Gamma q) \ Q',A'
      \mathbf{by} - (rule \ WF.hyps, \ auto)
    from Pre q
    have Pre': s \in \{s\} \cap P'
      by auto
    from exec-q show t \in Normal 'Q' \cup Abrupt 'A'
    proof (cases)
      \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
      assume bdy: \Gamma q = Some \ bdy
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      from valid-bdy [simplified bdy option.sel] t-notin-F exec-bdy Pre'
      have t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
        by (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def)
      with Pre q
      show ?thesis
        by auto
    next
      assume \Gamma q = None
      with q valid-body have False by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume Pre: s \in P' \cap \{s. ((s,q), \tau,p) \in r\}
    from Pre \ q \ \tau p
    have valid-bdy:
      \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P') \text{ (the } (\Gamma q)) \ Q',A'
      \mathbf{by} - (rule \ WF.hyps, \ auto)
    from Pre q
    have Pre': s \in \{s\} \cap P'
      by auto
    from valid-bdy ctxt Pre'
    have \Gamma \vdash the (\Gamma \ q) \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (auto simp add: validt-def)
    with valid-body q
    show \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow \ Normal \ s
      by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q, A
  by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def Specs-wf)
with ctxt have \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta \cup Specs\text{-}wf \ p \ \tau. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ Call \ p \ Q, A
```

```
by auto
    with p valid-body
    show \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) (the (\Gamma p)) Q, A
      by (simp add: cvalidt-def) blast
  qed
}
note lem = this
have valid-body':
  \bigwedge \tau. \ \forall \, (P, \ p, \ Q, \ A) {\in} \Theta. \ \Gamma {\models_{t/F}} \ P \ (\mathit{Call} \ p) \ \mathit{Q}, A \Longrightarrow
  \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma \models_{t/F} (\{\tau\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A
  by (auto intro: lem)
show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec-call: \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec-call show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases)
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    \mathbf{from}\ exec\text{-}body\ bdy\ p\ P\ t\text{-}notin\text{-}F
      valid-body' [of s, OF ctxt]
    have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      apply (simp only: cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def)
      apply (drule (1) bspec)
      apply auto
      done
    with p P
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    assume \Gamma p = None
    with p valid-body have False by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
    from ctxt P p valid-body' [of s,OF ctxt]
    have \Gamma \vdash (the (\Gamma p)) \downarrow Normal s
      by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
```

```
with valid-body p show ?thesis
          by (fastforce intro: terminates.Call)
      qed
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (DynCom\ P\ \Theta\ F\ c\ Q\ A)
  hence valid-c: \forall s \in P. \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (c \ s) \ Q,A by simp
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    from exec show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    proof (cases)
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt this P t-notin-F]
      show ?thesis.
    qed
  next
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c\ \downarrow\ Normal\ s
    proof -
      from cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c [rule-format, OF P] ctxt P]
      have \Gamma \vdash c \ s \downarrow Normal \ s.
      thus ?thesis
        by (rule terminates.intros)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Throw \Theta F A Q)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} A \ Throw \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ s \in A
    then show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by cases simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    show \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal s
      by (rule terminates.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Catch \Theta F P c_1 Q R c_2 A)
```

```
have valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q,R \ \mathbf{by} \ fact
have valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q,A by fact
show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  proof (cases)
    fix s'
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s'
    assume exec-c2: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s' \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
    have Abrupt \ s' \in Abrupt \ `R
      by auto
    with cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c2 t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by fastforce
  next
    assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume notAbr: \neg isAbr t
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P] t-notin-F
    have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' R .
    with notAbr
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
 proof -
    \mathbf{from}\ valid\text{-}c1\ ctxt\ P
    have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
    moreover
    {
      fix r assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ r
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt exec-c1 P]
      have r: Abrupt \ r \in Normal \ `Q \cup Abrupt \ `R
        by auto
      hence Abrupt \ r \in Abrupt \ 'R by fast
      with cvalidt-termD [OF valid-c2 ctxt] exec-c1
      have \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ r
        by fast
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
       by (iprover intro: terminates.intros)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (Conseq P \Theta F c Q A)
 hence adapt:
    \forall s \in P. \ (\exists P' \ Q' \ A'. \ (\Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q', A') \land s \in P' \land \ Q' \subseteq Q \land A' \subseteq A)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  proof (rule cvalidtI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    assume P: s \in P
    assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
    show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
   proof -
      from adapt [rule-format, OF P]
      obtain P' and Q' and A' where
        valid-P'-Q': \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q',A'
       and weaken: s \in P' Q' \subseteq Q A' \subseteq A
       by blast
      from exec valid-P'-Q' ctxt t-notin-F
      have P'-Q': Normal s \in Normal 'P' \longrightarrow
        t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
        by (unfold cvalidt-def validt-def valid-def) blast
      hence s \in P' \longrightarrow t \in Normal ' Q' \cup Abrupt ' A'
        by blast
      with weaken
      show ?thesis
        by blast
   qed
  next
    assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    assume P: s \in P
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    proof -
      from P adapt
      obtain P' and Q' and A' where
       \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q',A'
        s \in P'
       by blast
      with ctxt
      show ?thesis
       by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def)
```

```
qed
       \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
        case (Asm \ P \ p \ Q \ A \ \Theta \ F)
        assume (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta
        then show \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q,A
               \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{cvalidt\text{-}def}\ )
next
       case ExFalso thus ?case by iprover
qed
lemma hoaret-sound':
\Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
       apply (drule hoaret-sound)
       apply (simp add: cvalidt-def)
        done
theorem total-to-partial:
    assumes total: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \ \text{shows} \ \Gamma,{}\vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof
       from total have \Gamma,{}\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
               by (rule hoaret-sound)
       hence \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
               by (simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
        thus ?thesis
               by (rule hoare-complete)
qed
                                        Completeness
15.2
lemma MGT-valid:
\Gamma {\models_{t/F}} \; \{s. \; s{=}Z \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} \langle c, Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \lq \; (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \; \cup \; Fault \; \: (-F)) \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow} Normal \; s \rangle \; \land \; \Gamma {\vdash} c {\downarrow
                \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule validtI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
                          s \in \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s \}
                                   t \notin Fault ' F
        thus t \in Normal '\{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \cup
                                              Abrupt ` \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t \}
               apply (cases \ t)
               apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
               done
\mathbf{next}
      assume s \in \{s. s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s \}
```

```
s
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
     \mathbf{by} blast
qed
The consequence rule where the existential Z is instantiated to s. Usefull in
proof of MGT-lemma.
lemma ConseqMGT:
  assumes modif: \forall Z :: 'a. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z :: 'a\ assn)\ c\ (Q'\ Z),(A'\ Z)
  assumes impl: \bigwedge s. \ s \in P \Longrightarrow s \in P' \ s \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in Q' \ s \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall \ t. \ t \in A' \ s \longrightarrow t \in A)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
using impl
\mathbf{by} - (rule\ conseq\ [OF\ modif], blast)
\mathbf{lemma}\ MGT\text{-}implies\text{-}complete\text{:}
  assumes MGT: \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} \{s. s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault)\}
(-F)
                                         \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                    \begin{aligned} \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \\ \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \end{aligned} 
  assumes valid: \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F} P\stackrel{\cdot}{c}Q,A
  using MGT
  apply (rule ConseqMGT)
  apply (insert valid)
  apply (auto simp add: validt-def valid-def intro!: final-notinI)
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ conseq\text{-}extract\text{-}state\text{-}indep\text{-}prop\text{:}
  assumes state-indep-prop:\forall s \in P. R
  assumes to-show: R \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply (clarify)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=P \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=Q \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
  using state-indep-prop to-show
  by blast
lemma MGT-lemma:
  assumes MGT-Calls:
    \forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
         \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\ \land
              \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
```

(Call p)

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
      shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                                                                  \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (induct c)
      case Skip
     \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathsf{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \#(\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathsf{Fault}\ `\ (-F)\}\ \land\ \mathsf{Show}\ 
                                                            \Gamma \vdash Skip \downarrow Normal \ s
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \}
            by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre])
                       (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def
                                          intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
      case (Basic\ f)
       show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Basic\ f,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `(-F))
                                                      \Gamma \vdash Basic f \downarrow Normal s
                                                    Basic f
                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
            by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
                       (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def
                                          intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
      case (Spec \ r)
      show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec\ r,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \{Stuck\} \mid Fault\ `(-F)\} \}
                                                                \Gamma \vdash Spec \ r \downarrow Normal \ s
                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
            apply (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
            apply (clarsimp simp add: final-notin-def)
            apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
            apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            done
\mathbf{next}
       case (Seq c1 c2)
       have hyp\text{-}c1: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) \wedge
                                                                                                      \Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal\ s
                                                                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
using Seq.hyps by iprover
  have hyp-c2: \forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault '
(-F)) \wedge
                                                \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
  from hyp-c1
  \mathbf{have} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F)) \}
                       \Gamma \vdash Seq \ c1 \ c2 \downarrow Normal \ s \} \ c1
      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)) \wedge
           \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ t},
      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
         (auto dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1 [simplified] Seq-NoFaultStuckD2 [simplified]
                   elim:\ terminates\text{-}Normal\text{-}elim\text{-}cases
                   intro: exec.intros)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                        \Gamma \vdash Seq\ c1\ c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                       Seq c1 c2
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule hoaret.Seq )
     \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\!\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1,\!Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \ \land \\
                            \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal )
t
                         c2
                       \{t.\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\},
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
           by (rule exec.intros)
     next
        \mathbf{fix} \ r \ t
        assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
        then show \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
           by (rule exec.intros)
     qed
   qed
next
   case (Cond b c1 c2)
   \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))
```

```
\Gamma \vdash c1 \downarrow Normal\ s
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
  (-F)) \wedge
                             \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s \cap b)
                      c1
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
          (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.CondTrue
                        elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
  moreover
   have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
                                           \Gamma \vdash c2 \downarrow Normal\ s
                              c2
                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \land Cond\ b\ c1\ c2,Normal\ s\}\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                            \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}) \!\!\downarrow \!\! \mathit{Normal}\ s \} \cap \!\!\!\!- b)
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
          (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec. CondFalse
                        elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
   ultimately
   \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                    \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \downarrow Normal \ s
                    (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2)
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Cond)
next
   case (While b \ c)
  let ?unroll = (\{(s,t), s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})^*
  let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                            (\forall\,e.\ (Z,e){\in}\,?unroll\,\longrightarrow\,e{\in}\,b
                                   \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                        (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                               \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                            \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
  let ?A = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
let ?r = \{(t,s). \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land a
                            \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,Normal\ s \} \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
                         \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \}
                    (While b c)
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z
                                   and ?Q'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z \cap -b]
     have wf-r: wf ?r by (rule wf-terminates-while)
     show \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (?P'Z) (While b\ c) (?P'Z\cap -b),(?A\ Z)
     proof (rule allI, rule hoaret.While [OF wf-r])
        \mathbf{fix} \ Z
        from While
        have hyp\text{-}c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `
(-F)) \wedge
                                                \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \} \ \mathbf{by} \ iprover
        show \forall \sigma. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap ?P'Z \cap b) c
                                 (\lbrace t. (t, \sigma) \in ?r \rbrace \cap ?P'Z), (?AZ)
        proof (rule allI, rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c])
           fix \sigma s
           assume s \in \{\sigma\} \cap
                           \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                                (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                       \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                             (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                    \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                               \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                           \cap b
           then obtain
              s-eq-\sigma: s=\sigma and
              Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
              noabort: \forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                   \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                        (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
              while-term: \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \ and
              s-in-b: s \in b
              \mathbf{bv} blast
           show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                 \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ t \} \land
           (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
                   t \in \{t. (t,\sigma) \in ?r\} \cap
                        \{t.\ (Z,\ t)\in ?unroll \land
                              (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
```

```
\longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                       (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                            \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                            \Gamma \vdash (While\ b\ c) \downarrow Normal\ t\})\ \land
           (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
                 t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
             (is ?C1 ∧ ?C2 ∧ ?C3)
          proof (intro\ conjI)
             from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b while-term show ?C1
               by (blast elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
          \mathbf{next}
             {
               \mathbf{fix} t
               assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
               with s-eq-\sigma while-term s-in-b have (t,\sigma) \in ?r
                  by blast
               moreover
               from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b
               have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
                  by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
               moreover from while-term s-t s-in-b
               have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                  by (blast elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
               moreover note noabort
               ultimately
               have (t,\sigma) \in ?r \land (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                       (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                                    (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                            \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                       \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ t
                  by iprover
             then show ?C2 by blast
          \mathbf{next}
               \mathbf{fix} \ t
               assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
               from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
               have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                  by blast
             } thus ?C3 by simp
          qed
       qed
     qed
   next
      assume P: s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)) \wedge
```

```
\Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
     hence While NoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
       \mathbf{by} auto
     show s \in ?P's \land
      (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b) \longrightarrow
            t{\in}\{t.\ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle\ While\ b\ c,Normal\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow\ Normal\ t\}){\wedge}
      (\forall t. \ t \in ?A \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A \ Z)
     proof (intro\ conjI)
       {
          \mathbf{fix} \ e
          assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
          from this WhileNoFault
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                  (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                         \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
          proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
            assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)
            with e-in-b WhileNoFault
            have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
              by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            moreover
            {
               fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
               with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                 by (blast intro: exec.intros)
            }
            ultimately
            show ?Prop e e
               by iprover
          next
            fix Z r
            assume e-in-b: e \in b
             assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c,Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `
(-F)
           assume hyp: [e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))]
                             \implies ?Prop r e
            assume Z-r:
               (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r\}
            with WhileNoFault
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
               by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            from hyp [OF e-in-b this] obtain
               cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ and
               Abrupt-r: \forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                   \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              by simp
```

```
fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
         with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
         moreover from Z-r obtain
            Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
           by simp
         ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
           by (blast intro: exec.intros)
       with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
         by iprover
    qed
  }
  with P show s \in ?P's
    by blast
next
    \mathbf{fix} \ t
    assume termination: t \notin b
    assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
    proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
       from termination
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
    \mathbf{next}
       fix Z r
       assume first-body:
               (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t\}
       assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
       assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       proof -
         from first-body obtain
            Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
           by fast
         moreover
         from rest-loop have
           \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (rule exec. While True)
       qed
    \mathbf{qed}
  }
  with P
  show (\forall t. \ t \in (?P's \cap -b)
           \rightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\})
    by blast
```

```
next
                 from P show \forall t. t \in ?A s \longrightarrow t \in ?A Z
                       \mathbf{by} \ simp
           qed
      qed
\mathbf{next}
      case (Call \ p)
      from noStuck-Call
      have \forall s \in \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                                                        \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ s \}.
                             p \in dom \Gamma
           by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
      then show ?case
      proof (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
           assume p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
           with MGT-Calls show
           \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land
                                                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                                                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \}
                                               (Call p)
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
                 by (auto)
     qed
next
      case (DynCom\ c)
     have hyp:
          \Gamma \vdash c \ s' \downarrow Normal \ s \} \ c \ s'
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           using DynCom by simp
     have hyp':
    \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{DynCom} \ c, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \ \land \ \mathsf{Pault} \ `\ (-F)) \ \land \ \mathsf{Pault} \ `\ (-F) \land \mathsf{Pault} \ `
                                   \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
                           (c Z)
                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \}
\Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
           by (rule\ ConseqMGT\ [OF\ hyp])
                    (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
                             elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
    show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                                \Gamma \vdash DynCom\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
                                               DynCom c
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           apply (rule hoaret.DynCom)
           apply (clarsimp)
```

```
apply (rule hyp' [simplified])
     done
\mathbf{next}
   case (Guard f g c)
   \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{hyp-c} \colon \forall \, Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{ s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not \in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `
                                          \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal\ s
                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Guard by iprover
   \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard}\ f\ g\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                            \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s \}
                       Guard f g c
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (cases f \in F)
     {f case} True
     \mathbf{from}\ hyp\text{-}c
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s=Z\land
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \land \\
                             \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s\})
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        apply (rule ConseqMGT)
        apply (insert True)
        apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
                           elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        done
     from True this
     show ?thesis
        by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
   next
     case False
     from hyp-c
     have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s\in g\land s=Z\land
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ \mathit{c}, \mathit{Normal} \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \land \\
                             \Gamma \vdash Guard \ f \ g \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s \})
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        apply (rule ConseqMGT)
        apply clarify
        apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
        apply (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros
                           elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases)
        done
```

```
then show ?thesis
                 apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Guard])
                 apply clarify
                 apply (frule Guard-noFaultStuckD [OF - False])
                 apply auto
                 done
      \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{next}
      case Throw
      show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
                                                          \Gamma \vdash Throw \downarrow Normal \ s
                                          Throw
                                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           by (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Throw])
                    (blast intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
      case (Catch c_1 c_2)
     \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F))
                                                                     \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
                                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           using Catch.hyps by iprover
      hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s=Z\land\Gamma\vdash\langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                                                      \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                                                       \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
           by (rule ConseqMGT)
                    (fastforce intro: exec.intros terminates.intros
                                                  elim: terminates-Normal-elim-cases
                                                  simp add: final-notin-def)
      moreover
      have
           \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ `\ (-F)) \ \land \}
                                                             \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \} \ c_2
                                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
           using Catch.hyps by iprover
      hence \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land \Gamma \vdash c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s \land Abrupt \ s \land A
                                                       \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))\}
                                            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                                           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
```

```
by (rule\ ConseqMGT)
                       (fast force\ intro:\ exec.intros\ terminates.intros
                                                simp add: noFault-def')
     ultimately
     show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2,Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `
(-F)) \wedge
                                               \Gamma \vdash Catch \ c_1 \ c_2 \downarrow Normal \ s
                                        Catch c_1 c_2
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
          by (rule hoaret.Catch)
qed
lemma Call-lemma':
  assumes Call-hyp:
  \forall \ q {\in} dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall \ Z. \ \Gamma. \\ \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s {=} Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \ Call \ q. Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ ``all \ The substitution \ All \ The subst
(-F)) \wedge
                                                         \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                                          (Call \ q)
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
             \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle c.Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\not\in(\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))\ \land\ \Gamma{\vdash}Call\ p{\downarrow}Normal\ s\rangle
                                       (\exists \, c'. \; \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call} \; p, \mathit{Normal} \; \sigma) \; \rightarrow^+ \; (c', \mathit{Normal} \; s) \; \land \; c \in \mathit{redexes} \; c') \}
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (induct c)
     case Skip
    \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Skip},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `(-F))\ \land
                                          \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Skip \in redexes \ c') \}
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Skip, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
          by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre]) (blast intro: exec.Skip)
     case (Basic\ f)
     \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic\ f,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))
                                               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                                       Basic f \in redexes c')
                                      Basic f
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Basic \ f, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
          by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre]) (blast intro: exec.Basic)
```

```
next
   case (Spec \ r)
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec\ r,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                       (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                         Spec \ r \in redexes \ c')
                     Spec \ r
                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Spec \ r, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     apply (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
     apply (clarsimp)
     apply (case-tac \exists t. (Z,t) \in r)
     apply (auto elim: exec-elim-cases simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
     done
next
   case (Seq c1 c2)
  have hyp-c1:
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                        (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c1 \in redexes \ c')
                       c1
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps by iprover
   have hyp-c2:
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \ \cup \ Fault \ ` \ (-F)) \ \land \}
                             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                        (\exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call} \ p, \mathit{Normal} \ \sigma) \ \rightarrow^+ \ (c', \mathit{Normal} \ s) \ \land \ c2 \in \mathit{redexes} \ c') \}
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Seq.hyps (2) by iprover
   \mathbf{have} \ c1{:}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``
(-F)) \wedge
                             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                    (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                             Seq\ c1\ c2 \in redexes\ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \land \}
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal\ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                          (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                                   c2 \in redexes \ c'),
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
   proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c1], clarify, safe)
     assume Γ⊢\langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
        by (blast dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD1)
```

```
next
  fix c'
  assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
  assume red: Seq c1 c2 \in redexes c'
  from redexes-subset [OF red] steps-c'
  show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c1 \in redexes \ c'
    by (auto iff: root-in-redexes)
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
          \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
    by (blast dest: Seq-NoFaultStuckD2)
next
 fix c' t
  assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z)
  assume red: Seq c1 c2 \in redexes c'
  assume exec-c1: \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
  show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c2 \in redexes \ c'
  proof -
    note steps-c'
    also
    from exec-impl-steps-Normal [OF exec-c1]
    have \Gamma \vdash (c1, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t).
    from steps-redexes-Seq [OF this red]
    obtain c'' where
      steps-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (c'', Normal \ t) and
      Skip: Seq Skip c2 \in redexes c''
      by blast
    note steps-c''
    also
    have step-Skip: \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ c2, Normal\ t) \rightarrow (c2, Normal\ t)
      by (rule step.SeqSkip)
    from step-redexes [OF step-Skip Skip]
    obtain c^{\prime\prime\prime} where
      step-c''': \Gamma \vdash (c'', Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c''', Normal \ t) and
      c2: c2 \in redexes c'''
      by blast
    note step-c'''
    finally show ?thesis
      using c2
      by blast
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
    by (blast intro: exec.intros)
qed
```

```
\mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq\ c1\ c2\ , Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ (-F))
                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                    (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Seq \ c1 \ c2 \in redexes
c')
                  Seq c1 c2
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Seq \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Seq [OF c1 ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2]])
         (blast intro: exec.intros)
next
   case (Cond b c1 c2)
  have hyp-c1:
         \forall\,Z.\ \Gamma,\!\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle c1,\!Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))\ \land\ Fault\ `\ ({-}F))
                                \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c1 \in redexes \ c')
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Cond.hyps by iprover
  have
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2},\mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))
              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
              (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                       Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
              \cap b
              c1
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c1],safe)
     assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
        by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.CondTrue)
  next
     fix c'
     assume b: Z \in b
     assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
     assume redex-c': Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c'
     show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, \ Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', \ Normal \ Z) \land c1 \in redexes \ c'
     proof -
       note steps-c'
       also
        from b
        have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c1, Normal \ Z)
          by (rule step.CondTrue)
        from step-redexes [OF this redex-c'] obtain c'' where
          step-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal Z) and
          c1: c1 \in redexes c''
```

```
by blast
     note step-c''
     finally show ?thesis
        using c1
        by blast
  qed
next
  fix t assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     by (blast intro: exec.CondTrue)
next
  fix t assume Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c1, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     by (blast intro: exec.CondTrue)
qed
moreover
have hyp-c2:
      \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                        \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                       (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c2 \in redexes \ c')
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  using Cond.hyps by iprover
\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ s = Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Cond}\ b\ \mathit{c1}\ \mathit{c2}, \mathit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))
               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
            (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                    Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
           \cap -b
            c2
          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
          \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2],safe)
  assume Z \notin b \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.CondFalse)
next
  fix c'
  assume b: Z \notin b
  assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
  assume redex-c': Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c'
  show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c2 \in redexes \ c'
  proof -
     note steps-c'
     also
     from b
     have \Gamma \vdash (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, \ Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c2, \ Normal \ Z)
```

```
by (rule step.CondFalse)
        from step-redexes [OF this redex-c'] obtain c'' where
           step-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal Z) and
           c1: c2 \in redexes c''
          by blast
        note step-c"
        finally show ?thesis
          using c1
          \mathbf{by} blast
     qed
  next
     fix t assume Z \notin b \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
        by (blast intro: exec.CondFalse)
  next
     fix t assume Z \notin b \Gamma \vdash \langle c2, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
        by (blast intro: exec.CondFalse)
  qed
   ultimately
  show
    \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma\vdash \langle Cond\ b\ c1\ c2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                   \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                       Cond b c1 c2 \in redexes c')
               (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2)
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     by (rule hoaret.Cond)
next
  case (While b c)
  let ?unroll = (\{(s,t).\ s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t\})^*
  let ?P' = \lambda Z. \{t. (Z,t) \in ?unroll \land
                           (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                  \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                       (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                              \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+
                                          (c', Normal\ t) \land While\ b\ c \in redexes\ c')
  let ?A = \lambda Z. \{t. \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  let ?r = \{(t,s). \ \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c) \downarrow Normal \ s \land s \in b \land a
                           \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
         \{s.\ s=Z' \land \Gamma \vdash \langle While\ b\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land While \ b \ c \in redexes \ c') \}
            (While b c)
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule ConseqMGT [where ?P'=\lambda Z. ?P'Z
                              and Q'=\lambda Z. P'Z \cap -b
  have wf-r: wf ?r by (rule wf-terminates-while)
  show \forall Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (?P'Z) (While b c) (?P'Z \cap - b), (?AZ)
  proof (rule allI, rule hoaret. While [OF wf-r])
    \mathbf{fix} \ Z
    from While
     have hyp-c: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
             \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                  \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c \in redexes \ c')
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \ \mathbf{by} \ iprover
     show \forall \sigma. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap ?P'Z \cap b) c
                           (\lbrace t. (t, \sigma) \in ?r \rbrace \cap ?P'Z), (?AZ)
     proof (rule allI, rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c])
       fix \tau s
       assume asm: s \in \{\tau\} \cap
                      \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                          (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                 \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land
                                      (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                            \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                         \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+
                                          (c', Normal\ t) \land While\ b\ c \in redexes\ c')
                      \cap b
       then obtain c' where
          s-eq-\tau: s=\tau and
          Z-s-unroll: (Z,s) \in ?unroll and
          noabort: \forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                                \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land (-F))
                                  (\forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) and
          termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \mathbf{and}
          reach: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal\ s) and
          red-c': While b c \in redexes c' and
          s-in-b: s \in b
          by blast
       obtain c'' where
          reach-c: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c'', Normal\ s)
                      Seq c (While b c) \in redexes c''
       proof -
          note reach
          also from s-in-b
          have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
```

```
by (rule step. While True)
  from step-redexes [OF this red-c'] obtain c'' where
     step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ s) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ s) and
     red-c'': Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c) \in redexes\ c''
    by blast
  note step
  finally
  show ?thesis
     using red-c''
     by (blast intro: that)
\mathbf{qed}
from reach termi
have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal \ s
  by (rule steps-preserves-termination')
from redexes-preserves-termination [OF this red-c']
have termi-while: \Gamma \vdash While \ b \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
show s \in \{t. \ t = s \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c \in redexes \ c') \} \land
(\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\} \longrightarrow
      t \in \{t. (t,\tau) \in ?r\} \cap
           \{t. (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
                (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                        \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                           (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                       While b \ c \in redexes \ c')\}) \land
 (\forall t. \ t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\} \longrightarrow
      t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})
  (is ?C1 \land ?C2 \land ?C3)
proof (intro\ conjI)
  from Z-s-unroll noabort s-in-b termi reach-c show ?C1
     apply clarsimp
     apply (drule redexes-subset)
     apply simp
     apply (blast intro: root-in-redexes)
     done
next
  {
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     with s-eq-\tau termi-while s-in-b have (t,\tau) \in ?r
       by blast
     moreover
     from Z-s-unroll s-t s-in-b
     have (Z, t) \in ?unroll
       by (blast intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
```

```
moreover
    obtain c'' where
      reach-c'': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p, Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c'', Normal\ t)
                  (While \ b \ c) \in redexes \ c''
    proof -
      note reach-c (1)
      also from s-in-b
      have \Gamma \vdash (While \ b \ c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow (Seq \ c \ (While \ b \ c), Normal \ s)
         by (rule step. While True)
      have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^+
                  (While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ t)
      proof -
         from exec-impl-steps-Normal [OF s-t]
         have \Gamma \vdash (c, Normal \ s) \rightarrow^* (Skip, Normal \ t).
         hence \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ c\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ s) \rightarrow^*
                    (Seq Skip (While b c), Normal t)
           by (rule SeqSteps) auto
         moreover
         have \Gamma \vdash (Seq\ Skip\ (While\ b\ c),\ Normal\ t) \rightarrow (While\ b\ c,\ Normal\ t)
           by (rule step.SeqSkip)
         ultimately show ?thesis by (rule rtranclp-into-tranclp1)
      qed
      from steps-redexes' [OF this reach-c (2)]
      obtain c''' where
         step: \Gamma \vdash (c'', Normal \ s) \rightarrow^+ (c''', Normal \ t) and
         red-c'': While b \ c \in redexes \ c'''
        by blast
      note step
      finally
      \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
         using red-c''
         by (blast intro: that)
    qed
    moreover note noabort termi
    ultimately
    have (t,\tau) \in ?r \land (Z, t) \in ?unroll \land
           (\forall e. (Z,e) \in ?unroll \longrightarrow e \in b
                  \longrightarrow \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                      (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                             \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u)) \land
           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
             (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land
                        While b \ c \in redexes \ c'
      by iprover
  then show ?C2 by blast
next
    \mathbf{fix} t
```

}

```
assume s-t: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                from Z-s-unroll noabort s-t s-in-b
               have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
                  by blast
             } thus ?C3 by simp
          qed
        qed
     qed
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ s
      \textbf{assume} \ P{:} \ s \in \{s. \ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma{\vdash}\langle \textit{While} \ b \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\textit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \textit{Fault} \ `
(-F)) \wedge
                              \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                         (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                  While b \ c \in redexes \ c')
     hence While NoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
       by auto
     show s \in ?P's \land
      (\forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap -b) \longrightarrow
             t {\in} \{t. \ \Gamma {\vdash} \langle \mathit{While} \ b \ c, \mathit{Normal} \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \mathit{Normal} \ t \}) {\wedge}
      (\forall \ t. \ t \in ?A \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A \ Z)
     proof (intro conjI)
        {
          \mathbf{fix} \ e
          assume (Z,e) \in ?unroll \ e \in b
          {\bf from}\ this\ While No Fault
          have \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                    (\forall u. \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                          \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?Prop \ Z \ e)
          proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
               assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ '
(-F)
             with e-in-b WhileNoFault
             have cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
                by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
             moreover
                fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                with e-in-b have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                  by (blast intro: exec.intros)
             }
             ultimately
             show ?Prop e e
               by iprover
          \mathbf{next}
             \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
             assume e-in-b: e \in b
              assume WhileNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c,Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault '
```

```
(-F)
           assume hyp: [e \in b; \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))]
                            \implies ?Prop r e
            assume Z-r:
              (Z, r) \in \{(Z, r). Z \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r\}
            with WhileNoFault
            have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
              by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
            from hyp [OF e-in-b this] obtain
              cNoFault: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \ and
              Abrupt-r: \forall u. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \longrightarrow
                                 \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              by simp
              fix u assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ e \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
              with Abrupt-r have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u \ by \ simp
              moreover from Z-r obtain
                 Z \in b \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ r
                by simp
              ultimately have \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ u
                by (blast intro: exec.intros)
            with cNoFault show ?Prop Z e
              by iprover
         qed
       with P show s \in ?P's
         by blast
    next
         \mathbf{fix} \ t
         assume termination: t \notin b
         assume (Z, t) \in ?unroll
         hence \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         proof (induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct [consumes 1])
            from termination
            show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
              by (blast intro: exec. WhileFalse)
         next
            \mathbf{fix} \ Z \ r
            assume first-body:
                    (Z, r) \in \{(s, t). s \in b \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}
            assume (r, t) \in ?unroll
            assume rest-loop: \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, \ Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
            proof -
              from first-body obtain
                 Z \in b \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal r
```

```
by fast
                moreover
                from rest-loop have
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ r \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                  by fast
                ultimately show \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
                  by (rule exec. While True)
             qed
          qed
        }
        with P
        show \forall t. \ t \in (?P' \ s \cap -b)
                \longrightarrow t \in \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle While \ b \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\}
          by blast
     \mathbf{next}
        from P show \forall t. \ t \in ?A \ s \longrightarrow t \in ?A \ Z
          by simp
     qed
  qed
next
  case (Call\ q)
  let ?P = \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                   \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                  (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Call \ q \in redexes \ c') \}
  from noStuck-Call
  have \forall s \in ?P. \ q \in dom \ \Gamma
     by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def)
   then show ?case
  proof (rule conseq-extract-state-indep-prop)
     assume q-defined: q \in dom \Gamma
     from Call-hyp have
        \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
          \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}\{s.\ s=Z\ \land\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Call\ q,Normal\ s\rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\ \land
                               \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \ \land \ ((s,q),\!(\sigma,\!p)) \in \textit{termi-call-steps} \ \Gamma \}
                      (Call \ q)
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        by (simp add: exec-Call-body' noFaultStuck-Call-body' [simplified]
            terminates-Normal-Call-body)
     from Call-hyp q-defined have Call-hyp':
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s{=}Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))\}
\land
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                       \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
        by auto
     show
      \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} ?P
```

```
(Call\ q)
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF Call-hyp'],safe)
       fix c'
       assume termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
       assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z)
       assume red-c': Call q \in redexes c'
       show \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ Z
       proof -
          from steps-preserves-termination' [OF steps-c' termi]
         have \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal Z.
          from redexes-preserves-termination [OF this red-c']
         show ?thesis.
       qed
     next
       fix c'
       assume termi: \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
       assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
       assume red-c': Call q \in redexes c'
       from redex-redexes [OF this]
       have redex c' = Call q
         by auto
       with termi steps-c'
       show ((Z, q), \sigma, p) \in termi-call-steps \Gamma
          by (auto simp add: termi-call-steps-def)
     qed
  qed
next
  case (DynCom\ c)
  have hyp:
    \bigwedge s'. \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
       \{s.\ s=Z\land\Gamma\vdash\langle c\ s',Normal\ s\rangle\Rightarrow\notin(\{Stuck\}\cup Fault\ `(-F))\land
               \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
            (\exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ \sigma) \ \rightarrow^+ \ (c', \mathit{Normal}\ s) \ \land \ c\ s' \in \mathit{redexes}\ c') \}
         \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s', Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
     using DynCom by simp
  have hyp':
    \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\}\ \cup\ Fault\ ``\ (-F))\ \land \ Full} \}
                     \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land 
                   (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land DynCom \ c \in redexes
c'
          (c Z)
        \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom \ c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Tormal \ t \}
Abrupt \ t
  proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp],safe)
     assume Γ⊢\langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))
     then show \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \cdot (-F))
```

```
by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
  next
     fix c'
     assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
     assume c': DynCom\ c \in redexes\ c'
     have \Gamma \vdash (DynCom\ c,\ Normal\ Z) \rightarrow (c\ Z,Normal\ Z)
       by (rule step.DynCom)
     from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
       step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ Z) and c'': c \ Z \in redexes \ c''
       by blast
     note steps also note step
     finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \ Z \in redexes
       using c'' by blast
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  next
     \mathbf{fix} \ t
     assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ Z, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     thus \Gamma \vdash \langle DynCom\ c, Normal\ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t
       by (auto intro: exec.intros)
  \mathbf{qed}
  show ?case
     apply (rule hoaret.DynCom)
     apply safe
    apply (rule hyp')
     done
next
  case (Guard f g c)
  have hyp-c: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
           \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c \in redexes \ c')
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
           \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
     using Guard.hyps by iprover
  \mathbf{show} \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z\ \land\ \Gamma \vdash \langle \textit{Guard}\ f\ g\ c\ , \textit{Normal}\ s\rangle \ \Rightarrow \not\in (\{\textit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \textit{Fault}\ ``
(-F)
                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Guard \ f \ g \ c \in redexes
c')
                  Guard f g c
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                  \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
  proof (cases f \in F)
```

```
\mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(g\cap\{s.\ s=Z\land
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
          (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                  Guard f g c \in redexes c')\})
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c], safe)
  \mathbf{assume} \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Guard} \ f \ g \ c \ , \! \mathit{Normal} \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \not \in (\{\mathit{Stuck}\} \ \cup \ \mathit{Fault} \ `\ (-F)) \ Z \in g
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ' (-F))
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
next
  fix c'
  assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
  assume c': Guard f g c \in redexes c'
  assume Z \in g
  from this have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c, Normal \ Z)
     by (rule step.Guard)
  from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
     step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ Z) and c'': c \in redexes \ c''
    by blast
  note steps also note step
  finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \in redexes
     using c'' by blast
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
           \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \ Z \in g
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
             \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \ Z \in g
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
qed
from True this show ?thesis
  by (rule conseqPre [OF Guarantee]) auto
case False
have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (g \cap \{s.\ s=Z \land \})
                      \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
          (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                  Guard f g c \in redexes c')\})
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
    proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c], safe)
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
       thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
          using False
         by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    next
       fix c'
       assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
       assume c': Guard f g c \in redexes c'
       assume Z \in g
       from this have \Gamma \vdash (Guard \ f \ g \ c, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c, Normal \ Z)
         by (rule step. Guard)
       from step-redexes [OF this c'] obtain c'' where
          step: \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow (c'', Normal \ Z) and c'': c \in redexes \ c''
         by blast
       note steps also note step
       finally show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c \in redexes
c'
         using c'' by blast
    \mathbf{next}
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ ` \ (-F))
         \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
       thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
         using False
         by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    next
       \mathbf{fix} \ t
       assume \Gamma ⊢\langle Guard f g c , Normal Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault ` (-F))
               \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
       thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Guard \ f \ g \ c \ , Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
         using False
         by (cases Z \in g) (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
    qed
    then show ?thesis
       apply (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Guard])
       apply clarify
       \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{frule} \ \mathit{Guard-noFaultStuckD} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \text{-} \ \mathit{False}])
       apply auto
       done
  qed
next
  case Throw
  show \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw,Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land Throw,Normal\ s \}
```

```
\Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                                              (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land Throw \in redexes
c')
                                      Throw
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Throw, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
         by (rule conseqPre [OF hoaret.Throw])
                  (blast intro: exec.intros terminates.intros)
next
      case (Catch c_1 c_2)
     have hyp-c1:
       \forall \, Z. \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \, s = \, Z \, \wedge \, \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \, s \rangle \, \Rightarrow \not \in (\{Stuck\} \, \cup \, Fault \, \, `(-F)) \, \wedge \, Full \, \, `(-F)) \, \wedge \, Full \, \, `(-F) \mid Full \,
                                                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                                          (\exists \ c'. \ \Gamma \vdash (\mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ \sigma) \ \rightarrow^+ \ (c', \mathit{Normal}\ s) \ \land \\
                                                          c_1 \in redexes \ c')
                                     \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
          using Catch.hyps by iprover
     have hyp-c2:
       \forall \, Z. \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \, s = Z \, \land \, \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \, s \rangle \, \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \, \cup \, Fault \, \, `(-F)) \, \land \, \}
                                                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                                          (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c') \}
                                    \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \}, \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
          using Catch.hyps by iprover
    have
          \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch\ c_1\ c_2, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F))\}
                                       \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow \ Normal \ \sigma \ \land
                               (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ s) \land
                                                  Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c')
                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
                             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \ \land
                                      \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault'(-F)) \land \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma
Λ
                                       (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c')
    proof (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c1], clarify, safe)
          assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
          thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
               by (fastforce simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
      \mathbf{next}
          fix c'
         assume steps: \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
          assume c': Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c'
          from steps redexes-subset [OF this]
          show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ Z) \land c_1 \in redexes \ c'
               by (auto iff: root-in-redexes)
     next
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
     by (auto intro: exec.intros)
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ t
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
     \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
  thus \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
     by (auto simp add: final-notin-def intro: exec.intros)
next
  fix c' t
  assume steps-c': \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p,\ Normal\ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c',\ Normal\ Z)
  assume red: Catch c_1 c_2 \in redexes c'
  assume exec-c<sub>1</sub>: \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t
  show \exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, \ Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', \ Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c'
  proof -
     note steps-c'
     also
     from exec-impl-steps-Normal-Abrupt [OF exec-<math>c_1]
     have \Gamma \vdash (c_1, Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (Throw, Normal \ t).
     from steps-redexes-Catch [OF this red]
     obtain c^{\prime\prime} where
       steps-c'': \Gamma \vdash (c', Normal \ Z) \rightarrow^* (c'', Normal \ t) and
       Catch: Catch Throw c_2 \in redexes \ c''
       by blast
     note steps-c''
     also
     have step-Catch: \Gamma \vdash (Catch \ Throw \ c_2, Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c_2, Normal \ t)
       by (rule step. Catch Throw)
     from step-redexes [OF step-Catch Catch]
     obtain c^{\prime\prime\prime} where
       step-c''': \Gamma \vdash (c'', Normal \ t) \rightarrow (c''', Normal \ t) and
       c2: c_2 \in redexes \ c'''
       by blast
     note step-c'''
     finally show ?thesis
       using c2
       by blast
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
moreover
have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} \{t. \ \Gamma\vdash \langle c_1,Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t \land \}
                    \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ t \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                    \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ \sigma \land
                    (\exists c'. \Gamma \vdash (Call \ p, Normal \ \sigma) \rightarrow^+ (c', Normal \ t) \land c_2 \in redexes \ c')
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},
               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Catch \ c_1 \ c_2, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
```

```
by (rule ConseqMGT [OF hyp-c2]) (fastforce intro: exec.intros)
ultimately show ?case
by (rule hoaret.Catch)
qed
```

To prove a procedure implementation correct it suffices to assume only the procedure specifications of procedures that actually occur during evaluation of the body.

```
lemma Call-lemma:
 assumes A:
 \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                       \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ q, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                       (Call\ q)
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 assumes pdef: p \in dom \Gamma
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                 (\{\sigma\} \cap \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F))
                                             \Gamma\vdash the\ (\Gamma\ p)\downarrow Normal\ s\})
                       the (\Gamma p)
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t \}
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule Call-lemma' [OF A])
using pdef
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros tranclp.r-into-trancl [of (step \Gamma), OF
step.Call root-in-redexes)
done
lemma Call-lemma-switch-Call-body:
 assumes
 call: \forall q \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                       \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ q \downarrow Normal \ s \land ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in termi-call-steps \ \Gamma \}
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                      \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ q, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
 assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
 shows \bigwedge Z. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F}
                   (\{\sigma\} \cap \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F))
Λ
                                             \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \})
                       the (\Gamma p)
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
apply (simp only: exec-Call-body' [OF p-defined] noFaultStuck-Call-body' [OF p-defined]
```

```
terminates-Normal-Call-body [OF p-defined])
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply (rule Call-lemma')
apply (rule call)
using p-defined
apply (fastforce intro: terminates.intros tranclp.r-into-trancl [of (step \Gamma), OF
step.Call
root-in-redexes)
done
lemma MGT-Call:
\forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
        \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z \ \land \ \Gamma \vdash \langle \mathit{Call}\ p, \mathit{Normal}\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{\mathit{Stuck}\}\ \cup\ \mathit{Fault}\ `\ (-F))\ \land \ \mathsf{Part} \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z \ \land \ \ \mathsf{Part} \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ s{=}Z \ \land \ \ \mathsf{Part} \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\
                                                          \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
                                                   (Call p)
                                               \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                                              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule CallRec' [where Procs=dom \Gamma and
                   P = \lambda p \ Z. \ \{s. \ s = Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                                                                           \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \} and
                    Q=\lambda p\ Z.\ \{t.\ \Gamma\vdash\langle\mathit{Call}\ p,\mathit{Normal}\ Z\rangle\Rightarrow\mathit{Normal}\ t\} and
                   A=\lambda p\ Z.\ \{t.\ \Gamma\vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ Z\rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t\} and
                  r=termi-call-steps \Gamma
                  ])
apply
                                                     simp
apply
                                              simp
apply (rule wf-termi-call-steps)
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply \ simp
apply (rule Call-lemma-switch-Call-body [rule-format, simplified])
apply (rule hoaret.Asm)
apply fastforce
apply assumption
done
lemma CollInt-iff: \{s. P s\} \cap \{s. Q s\} = \{s. P s \land Q s\}
         by auto
lemma image-Un-conv: f'(\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{x \ p \ Z\}) = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom \ P. \ \bigcup Z. \ \{f \ p \in dom
(x p Z)
        by (auto iff: not-None-eq)
Another proof of MGT-Call, maybe a little more readable
lemma
\forall p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \forall Z.
        \Gamma,\{\} \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p,Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land \}
                                                          \Gamma \vdash (Call\ p) \downarrow Normal\ s
```

```
(Call\ p)
             \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
            \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
proof -
     fix p Z \sigma
     assume defined: p \in dom \Gamma
     define Specs where Specs = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \ \bigcup Z.
               \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                 \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ `(-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \},
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                 \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})\})
     define Specs-wf where Specs-wf p \sigma = (\lambda(P,q,Q,A)).
                            (P \cap \{s. ((s,q),\sigma,p) \in termi-call\text{-steps }\Gamma\}, q, Q, A)) 'Specs for
p \sigma
     have \Gamma, Specs-wf p \sigma
               \vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\} \cap
                    \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle the\ (\Gamma\ p), Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                      \Gamma \vdash the (\Gamma p) \downarrow Normal s \})
                    (the (\Gamma p))
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle the \ (\Gamma \ p), Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       apply (rule Call-lemma [rule-format, OF - defined])
       apply (rule hoaret.Asm)
       apply (clarsimp simp add: Specs-wf-def Specs-def image-Un-conv)
       apply (rule-tac \ x=q \ in \ bexI)
       apply (rule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ exI)
       apply (clarsimp simp add: CollInt-iff)
       apply auto
       done
     hence \Gamma, Specs-wf p \sigma
               \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap
                     \{s.\ s=Z \land \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ p, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault\ `(-F)) \land \}
                      \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s\})
                    (the (\Gamma p))
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
                   \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\}
       by (simp only: exec-Call-body' [OF defined]
                        noFaultStuck-Call-body' [OF defined]
                       terminates-Normal-Call-body [OF defined])
  } note bdy=this
  show ?thesis
     apply (intro ballI allI)
     apply (rule hoaret. CallRec [where Specs = (\bigcup p \in dom \ \Gamma. \bigcup Z.
               \{(\{s.\ s=Z\ \land
                  \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin (\{Stuck\} \cup Fault \ (-F)) \land 
                 \Gamma \vdash Call \ p \downarrow Normal \ s \},
```

```
\{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t\},\
              \{t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p, Normal \ Z \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t\})\}),
              OF - wf-termi-call-steps [of \Gamma] refl])
    apply fastforce
    apply clarify
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply fastforce
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (simp (no-asm-use) only: Un-empty-left)
    apply (rule bdy)
    apply auto
    done
qed
theorem hoaret-complete: \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  by (iprover intro: MGT-implies-complete MGT-lemma [OF MGT-Call])
lemma hoaret-complete':
  assumes cvalid: \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A
proof (cases \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A)
  {f case}\ True
  hence \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoaret-complete)
  thus \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (rule hoaret-augment-context) simp
next
  case False
  with cvalid
  show ?thesis
    by (rule ExFalso)
qed
           And Now: Some Useful Rules
15.3
15.3.1
             Modify Return
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcModifyReturn}\text{-}\mathit{sound}\text{:}
  assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P call init p return' c Q,A
  assumes valid-modif:
  \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/\textit{UNIV}} \{\sigma\}\ (\textit{Call p})\ (\textit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\textit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in \mathit{ModifAbr}\ (\mathit{init}\ s) \longrightarrow \mathit{return'}\ s\ t = \mathit{return}\ s\ t
```

shows $\Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A$

```
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
    from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
   have t' \in Modif (init s)
      by auto
    with res-modif have Normal (return' s\ t') = Normal (return s\ t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-call)
    \mathbf{from}\ cvalidt\text{-}postD\ [\mathit{OF}\ valid\text{-}call\ ctxt\ this}]\ P\ t\text{-}notin\text{-}F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
    also from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
    from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
      by simp
    finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
    with exec-body bdy
   have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
```

```
show ?thesis
       \mathbf{by} \ simp
  \mathbf{next}
    fix bdy f
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
       t: t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    assume \Gamma p = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call ctxt P
  have call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule cvalidt-termD)
  show \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
    {f case} True
    with call obtain bdy where
       bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \ and \ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) \ and
       termi\text{-}c \colon \forall \ t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                      \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
       by cases auto
```

```
{
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
      hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
      proof -
        from exec-bdy bdy
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
          res-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
          by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcModifyReturn} :
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modifies-spec}:
  \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\}\ (\mathit{Call}\ p)\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule ProcModifyReturn-sound [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
         OF - - res-modif ret-modif Abr])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF spec])
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-sound)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound}\colon
  assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P call init p return' c Q,A
  {\bf assumes}\ valid\text{-}modif:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} {\sigma} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  assumes res-modif:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
```

```
assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in \mathit{ModifAbr}\ (\mathit{init}\ s) \longrightarrow \mathit{return'}\ s\ t = \mathit{return}\ s\ t
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from exec
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
    from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
      by auto
    with res-modif have Normal (return's t') = Normal (return s t')
      by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-call)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
    assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
    also
    from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ p \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
      by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
    from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
      by auto
    with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s\ t') = Abrupt (return 's\ t')
    finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
    with exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
    \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix}\ bdy\ f
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
      t: t = Fault f
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
      t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    assume \Gamma p = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call \ ctxt \ P
  have call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  show \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \in dom \Gamma)
    case True
    with call obtain bdy where
      bdy: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy and termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) and
      termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                      \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
      by cases auto
```

```
{
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
      hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
      proof -
        from exec-bdy bdy
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle (Call \ p \ ), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t
          by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
          res-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
          by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
 assumes res-modif:
 \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
 assumes ret-modifAbr:
  \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
 {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modifies-spec}:
 \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ p) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q, A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule ProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound [where Modif=Modif and Mod-
ifAbr = ModifAbr,
          OF - - res-modif ret-modif Abr])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF spec])
using modifies-spec
apply (blast intro: hoare-sound)
done
            DynCall
15.3.2
lemma dynProcModifyReturn-sound:
assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{IUNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ (p \ s)) \ (Modif \ \sigma), (ModifAbr \ \sigma)
```

```
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif':
    \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta{\models_{/\mathit{UNIV}}}\left\{\sigma\right\}\ (\mathit{Call}\ (\mathit{p}\ \mathit{s}))\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma),\!(\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: exec-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
  proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p s) = Some bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
    assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from exec-body bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
       by (auto simp add: intro: exec. Call)
    from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
    have t' \in Modif (init s)
       by auto
    with ret-modif have Normal (return' s t') =
       Normal (return s t')
       by simp
    with exec-body exec-c bdy
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-call)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    \mathbf{from}\ cvalidt\text{-}postD\ [\mathit{OF}\ valid\text{-}call\ ctxt\ this}]\ P\ t\text{-}notin\text{-}F
    show ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    fix bdy t'
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
    assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
```

```
assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
 also from exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
   by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
 from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
 have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
   by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
 finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
 with exec-body bdy
 have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{next}
 fix bdy f
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
    t: t = Fault f
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
   by blast
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
 assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
 assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
    t = Stuck
 with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c,Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
 from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
 show ?thesis
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
 assume \Gamma(p s) = None \ t = Stuck
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
   by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
 hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule exec-dynCall)
```

```
from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  then have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{UNIV} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call \ ctxt \ P
  have \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt-termD)
  hence call: \Gamma \vdash call init (p \ s) return' c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by cases
  have \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases p \ s \in dom \ \Gamma)
    case True
    with call obtain bdy where
      bdy: \Gamma (p \ s) = Some \ bdy \ and \ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) \ and
      termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t \longrightarrow
                     \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
      by cases auto
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ t
      assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
      hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
      proof -
        from exec-bdy bdy
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s), Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        from cvalidD [OF valid-modif [rule-format, of s init s] ctxt' this] P
           ret-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
           by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  thus \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
by (iprover intro: terminates-dynCall)
qed
lemma dynProcModifyReturn:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s)
            \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s)
                               \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma.
       \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule dynProcModifyReturn-sound
         [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
             OF hoaret-sound [OF dyn-call] - ret-modif ret-modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ dyn ProcModify Return Same Faults-sound:
assumes valid-call: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \ Q,A
assumes valid-modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  assume P: s \in P
  with valid-modif
  have valid-modif':
    \forall\,\sigma.\ \Gamma,\Theta{\models_{/F}}\ \{\sigma\}\ (\mathit{Call}\ (p\ s))\ (\mathit{Modif}\ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr}\ \sigma)
    by blast
  from exec
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (cases rule: exec-dynCall-Normal-elim)
  then show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases rule: exec-call-Normal-elim)
```

```
fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
  assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t'
  assume exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c \ s \ t', Normal \ (return \ s \ t') \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s), Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in Modif (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modif have Normal (return's t') =
    Normal (return s t')
    by simp
  with exec-body exec-c bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-call)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule exec-dynCall)
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{next}
  fix bdy t'
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
  assume exec-body: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt\ t'
  assume t: t = Abrupt (return s t')
  also from exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call \ (p \ s) \ , Normal \ (init \ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Abrupt \ t'
    by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
  from cvalidD [OF valid-modif' [rule-format, of init s] ctxt' this] P
  have t' \in ModifAbr (init s)
    by auto
  with ret-modifAbr have Abrupt (return s t') = Abrupt (return' s t')
    by simp
  finally have t = Abrupt (return' s t').
  with exec-body bdy
  have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (auto intro: exec-callAbrupt)
  hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this] P t-notin-F
  show ?thesis
    by simp
next
  fix bdy f
  assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Fault\ f and
    t: t = Fault f
  with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
```

```
by (auto intro: exec-callFault)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-call ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by simp
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume bdy: \Gamma(p \ s) = Some \ bdy
    assume \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Stuck
       t = Stuck
    with bdy have \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
      by (auto intro: exec-callStuck)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (rule\ exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  \mathbf{next}
    \mathbf{fix} \ bdy
    assume \Gamma(p s) = None \ t = Stuck
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \ , Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (auto intro: exec-callUndefined)
    hence \Gamma \vdash \langle dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c, Normal\ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
       by (rule exec-dynCall)
    from valid-call ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
       by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  from valid-call \ ctxt \ P
  have \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  hence call: \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return' \ c \downarrow \ Normal \ s
    by cases
  have \Gamma \vdash call \ init \ (p \ s) \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof (cases \ p \ s \in dom \ \Gamma)
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    with call obtain bdy where
       bdy: \Gamma (p \ s) = Some \ bdy \ and \ termi-bdy: \Gamma \vdash bdy \downarrow Normal \ (init \ s) \ and
       termi-c: \forall t. \ \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t \longrightarrow
                       \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return' \ s \ t)
      by cases auto
```

```
assume exec-bdy: \Gamma \vdash \langle bdy, Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
      hence \Gamma \vdash c \ s \ t \downarrow Normal \ (return \ s \ t)
      proof -
        from exec-bdy bdy
        have \Gamma \vdash \langle Call\ (p\ s), Normal\ (init\ s) \rangle \Rightarrow Normal\ t
           by (auto simp add: intro: exec.intros)
        \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{cvalidD}\ [\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{valid-modif}\ [\mathit{rule-format},\ \mathit{of}\ s\ \mathit{init}\ s]\ \mathit{ctxt'}\ \mathit{this}]\ \mathit{P}
           ret-modif
        have return' s t = return s t
           by auto
        with termi-c exec-bdy show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    }
    with bdy termi-bdy
    show ?thesis
      by (iprover intro: terminates-call)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto intro: terminates-callUndefined)
  qed
  thus \Gamma \vdash dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (iprover intro: terminates-dynCall)
qed
lemma dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults:
assumes dyn-call: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P dynCall init p return' c Q,A
assumes ret-modif:
    \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes ret-modifAbr: \forall s \ t. \ t \in ModifAbr \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow return' \ s \ t = return \ s \ t
assumes modif:
    \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ \mathit{Call} \ (p \ s) \ (\mathit{Modif} \ \sigma), (\mathit{ModifAbr} \ \sigma)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
{\bf apply} \ (\textit{rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults-sound}
        [where Modif=Modif and ModifAbr=ModifAbr,
           OF\ hoaret\text{-}sound\ [OF\ dyn\text{-}call]\ \text{-}\ ret\text{-}modif\ ret\text{-}modifAbr])
apply (intro ballI allI)
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF modif [rule-format]])
apply assumption
done
15.3.3
             Conjunction of Postcondition
lemma PostConjI-sound:
  assumes valid-Q: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes valid-R: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ R,B
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
```

```
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  fix s t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from valid-Q ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
 moreover
  from valid-R ctxt exec P t-notin-F have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'B
    by (rule cvalidt-postD)
  ultimately show t \in Normal '(Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt '(A \cap B)
    by blast
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
 assume P: s \in P
  from valid-Q ctxt P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
qed
lemma PostConjI:
  assumes deriv-Q: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes deriv-R: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c R,B
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ (Q\cap R),(A\cap B)
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule PostConjI-sound)
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-Q])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-R])
done
lemma Merge-PostConj-sound:
  assumes validF: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes validG: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/G} P' \ c \ R,X
  assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
  assumes P - P': P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  with F-G have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/G} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto intro: validt-augment-Faults)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  with P-P' have P': s \in P'
    by auto
```

```
assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  show t \in Normal ' (Q \cap R) \cup Abrupt ' (A \cap X)
  proof -
    from cvalidt-postD [OF validF [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-noFault]
    have t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
    moreover from this have t \notin Fault ' G
      by auto
    from cvalidt-postD [OF validG [rule-format] ctxt' exec P' this]
    have t \in Normal 'R \cup Abrupt 'X.
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from validF ctxt P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule\ cvalidt-termD)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Merge-PostConj:
  assumes validF: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes validG: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/G} P' c R, X
  assumes F-G: F \subseteq G
  assumes P - P' : P \subseteq P'
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap X)
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{Merge-PostConj-sound} \ [\mathit{OF} \ \text{--} \ \mathit{F-G} \ \mathit{P-P'}])
using validF apply (blast intro:hoaret-sound)
using validG apply (blast intro:hoaret-sound)
done
15.3.4
            Guards and Guarantees
\mathbf{lemma}\ SplitGuards	ext{-}sound:
  assumes valid-c1: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q,A
  assumes valid-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \models /_F P c<sub>2</sub> UNIV, UNIV
 assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) \stackrel{'}{=} Some \ c
shows \Gamma, \Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/F} P (Call p) Q, A
    by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
```

```
assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
  proof (cases t)
    case Normal
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt-postD)
  \mathbf{next}
    case Abrupt
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
    from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  next
    case (Fault f)
    assume t: t=Fault f
    with exec inter-guards-exec-Fault [OF c]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f \lor \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: disjE [consumes 1])
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      from cvalidt-postD [OF valid-c1 ctxt this P] t t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    \mathbf{next}
      assume \Gamma \vdash \langle c_2, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
      from cvalidD [OF valid-c2 ctxt' this P] t t-notin-F
      show ?thesis
        by blast
    qed
  \mathbf{next}
    case Stuck
    with inter-guards-exec-noFault [OF c exec]
    have \Gamma \vdash \langle c_1, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t by simp
    from valid-c1 ctxt this P t-notin-F
    show ?thesis
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}postD)
  qed
next
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
    from valid-c1 ctxt P
```

```
have \Gamma \vdash c_1 \downarrow Normal \ s
     by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
   with c show ?thesis
     by (rule inter-guards-terminates)
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma SplitGuards:
  assumes c: (c_1 \cap_g c_2) = Some c
 assumes deriv\text{-}c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c_1 Q,A
 assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c_2 UNIV,UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule SplitGuards-sound [OF - - c])
apply (rule hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c1])
apply (rule hoare-sound [OF deriv-c2])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{CombineStrip\text{-}sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes valid-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\models_{f} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q,A
 hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{f} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto simp add: validt-def)
  from ctxt have ctxt'': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases \ t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt" exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Abrupt \ t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt" exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  next
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     case True
```

```
hence f \notin -F by simp
      with exec Fault
      have \Gamma \vdash \langle strip\text{-}guards \ (-F) \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f
       by (auto intro: exec-to-exec-strip-guards-Fault)
      from cvalidD [OF valid-strip ctxt' this P] Fault
      have False
       by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
     with cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt'' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
  next
   case Stuck
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt" exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
  assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
   from valid ctxt' P
   show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  qed
qed
{f lemma} CombineStrip:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c Q,A
 assumes deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c) UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule CombineStrip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-sound [OF deriv-strip])
done
lemma GuardsFlip-sound:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 assumes validFlip: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
```

```
proof (rule cvalidtI)
 fix s t
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
 from ctxt have ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
 from ctxt have ctxtFlip: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{/-F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
 assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
 assume P: s \in P
 assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
 show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
 proof (cases t)
   case (Normal t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Normal
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 next
   case (Abrupt \ t')
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Abrupt
   show ?thesis
     by auto
  \mathbf{next}
   case (Fault f)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases f \in F)
     case True
     hence f \notin -F by simp
     with cvalidD [OF validFlip ctxtFlip exec P] Fault
     have False
       by auto
     thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
     with cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Fault
     show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
 next
   case Stuck
   from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt' exec P] Stuck
   show ?thesis
     by auto
 ged
\mathbf{next}
 assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
 hence ctxt': \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
   by (auto intro: valid-augment-Faults simp add: validt-def)
```

```
assume P: s \in P
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
  proof -
    from valid ctxt' P
    show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
      by (rule\ cvalidt\text{-}termD)
  qed
qed
lemma GuardsFlip:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  assumes derivFlip: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/-F} P \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule GuardsFlip-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
apply (iprover intro: hoare-sound [OF derivFlip])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MarkGuardsI-sound}\colon
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}}P mark-guards f c Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-mark-guards-to-exec [OF exec] obtain t' where
    exec-c: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
    \textit{t'-noFault:} \neg \textit{isFault t'} \longrightarrow \textit{t'} = \textit{t}
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault '\{\}
  \mathbf{show}\ t \in Normal\ `Q \cup Abrupt\ `A
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c P]
    have t' \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A
      by blast
    with t'-noFault
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
```

```
thus \Gamma \vdash mark-guards f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-mark-guards)
qed
lemma MarkGuardsI:
  assumes \mathit{deriv} \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ mark-guards \ f \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule MarkGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
lemma MarkGuardsD-sound:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/\{\}} P \text{ mark-guards } f \in Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
  proof (cases isFault t)
    case True
    with exec-to-exec-mark-guards-Fault exec
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow Fault \ f'
      by (fastforce elim: isFaultE)
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    have False
      by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    from exec-to-exec-mark-guards [OF exec False]
    obtain f' where \Gamma \vdash \langle mark\text{-}guards \ f \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt this P]
    show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash mark\text{-}quards \ f \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
```

```
by (rule terminates-mark-guards-to-terminates)
qed
lemma MarkGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P mark-guards f c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P\ c\ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule MarkGuardsD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
lemma MergeGuardsI-sound:
 assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P merge-guards c Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-merge-guards-to-exec [OF exec-merge]
  have exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec P t-notin-F]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-merge-guards)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsI}:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P merge-guards c Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule MergeGuardsI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{MergeGuardsD-sound}\colon
 assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \text{ merge-guards } c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
```

```
assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-to-exec-merge-guards [OF exec]
  have exec-merge: \Gamma \vdash \langle merge\text{-}guards \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t.
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-notin-F: t \notin Fault ' F
  \mathbf{from} \ \ cvalidt\text{-}postD \ \ [OF \ valid \ \ [rule\text{-}format] \ \ ctxt \ \ exec\text{-}merge \ P \ t\text{-}notin\text{-}F]
  show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A.
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash merge\text{-}guards\ c \downarrow Normal\ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-merge-quards-to-terminates)
qed
lemma MergeGuardsD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P merge-guards c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{MergeGuardsD-sound})
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards}	ext{-}\mathit{sound}:
  assumes c 	ext{-} c': c \subseteq_q c'
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  from exec-to-exec-subseteq-guards [OF c-c' exec] obtain t' where
     exec-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t' and
    \textit{t'-noFault} \colon \neg \textit{ isFault } t' \longrightarrow t' = t
    by blast
  assume P: s \in P
  assume t-noFault: t \notin Fault ' \{\}
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-c' P] t'-noFault t-noFault
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/\{\}} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
```

```
have termi-c': \Gamma \vdash c' \downarrow Normal s.
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid ctxt - P]
  have noFault-c': \Gamma \vdash \langle c', Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin Fault ' UNIV
    by (auto simp add: final-notin-def)
  from termi-c' c-c' noFault-c'
  show \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-fewer-guards)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{SubsetGuards}\colon
  assumes c-c': c \subseteq_g c'
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule SubsetGuards-sound [OF c-c'])
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
lemma NormalizeD-sound:
  assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
  assume exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  hence exec-norm: \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule exec-to-exec-normalize)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec-norm P noFault]
  show t \in Normal 'Q \cup Abrupt 'A.
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-normalize-to-terminates)
qed
lemma NormalizeD:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (normalize c) Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule NormalizeD-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
```

```
assumes valid: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
proof (rule cvalidtI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ s \ t
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume \Gamma \vdash \langle normalize \ c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
  hence exec: \Gamma \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow t
    by (rule exec-normalize-to-exec)
  assume P: s \in P
  assume noFault: t \notin Fault ' F
  from cvalidt-postD [OF valid [rule-format] ctxt exec P noFault]
  show t \in Normal ' Q \cup Abrupt ' A.
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ s
  assume ctxt: \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in \Theta. \Gamma \models_{t/F} P (Call p) Q, A
  assume P: s \in P
  from cvalidt-termD [OF valid ctxt P]
  have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s.
  thus \Gamma \vdash normalize \ c \downarrow Normal \ s
    by (rule terminates-to-terminates-normalize)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma NormalizeI:
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (normalize \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule hoaret-complete')
apply (rule NormalizeI-sound)
apply (iprover intro: hoaret-sound [OF deriv])
done
15.3.5
             Restricting the Procedure Environment
\mathbf{lemma}\ validt\text{-}restrict\text{-}to\text{-}validt\text{:}
assumes validt-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A
shows \Gamma \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from validt-c
  have valid-c: \Gamma|_{M}\models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A by (simp add: validt-def)
  hence \Gamma \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ by \ (rule \ valid-restrict-to-valid)
  moreover
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume P: s \in P
    have \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal \ s
    proof -
      from P validt-c have \Gamma|_M \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
```

lemma NormalizeI-sound:

```
by (auto simp add: validt-def)
      moreover
      \mathbf{from}\ P\ valid\text{-}c
      have \Gamma|_{M} \vdash \langle c, Normal \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \notin \{Stuck\}
        by (auto simp add: valid-def final-notin-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (rule terminates-restrict-to-terminates)
   \mathbf{qed}
   }
   ultimately show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{validt\text{-}def})
lemma augment-procs:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma|_{M},{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoaret-complete)
  apply (rule validt-restrict-to-validt)
 apply (insert hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c])
 by (simp add: cvalidt-def)
15.3.6
            Miscellaneous
lemma augment-Faults:
assumes deriv-c: \Gamma,{}\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
assumes F: F \subseteq F'
shows \Gamma,\{\}\vdash_{t/F'} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoaret-complete)
 apply (rule validt-augment-Faults [OF - F])
 apply (insert hoaret-sound [OF deriv-c])
  by (simp add: cvalidt-def)
lemma TerminationPartial-sound:
  assumes termination: \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
 assumes partial-corr: \Gamma,\Theta \models_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\models_{t/F} P\ c\ Q,A
using termination partial-corr
by (auto simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
lemma TerminationPartial:
  assumes partial-deriv: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  assumes termination: \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule hoaret-complete')
  apply (rule TerminationPartial-sound [OF termination])
  apply (rule hoare-sound [OF partial-deriv])
  done
```

```
{f lemma} TerminationPartialStrip:
  assumes partial-deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
 assumes termination: \forall s \in P. strip F' \Gamma \vdash strip\text{-guards } F' c \downarrow Normal s
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from termination have \forall s \in P. \Gamma \vdash c \downarrow Normal s
    by (auto intro: terminates-strip-guards-to-terminates
      terminates-strip-to-terminates)
  with partial-deriv
  show ?thesis
    by (rule TerminationPartial)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma SplitTotalPartial:
  assumes termi: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q', A'
  assumes part: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from hoaret-sound [OF termi] hoare-sound [OF part]
  have \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule hoaret-complete')
qed
lemma SplitTotalPartial':
  assumes termi: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/UNIV} P \ c \ Q', A'
 assumes part: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}P c Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from hoaret-sound [OF termi] hoare-sound [OF part]
  have \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def valid-def})
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule hoaret-complete')
qed
end
```

16 Derived Hoare Rules for Total Correctness

theory HoareTotal imports HoareTotalProps begin

```
lemma conseq-no-aux:  \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q', A'; \\ \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (s \in P' \land (Q' \subseteq Q) \land (A' \subseteq A)) \rrbracket
```

```
\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. P' and Q'=\lambda Z. Q' and A'=\lambda Z. A']) auto
If for example a specification for a "procedure pointer" parameter is in the
precondition we can extract it with this rule
lemma conseq-exploit-pre:
               \llbracket \forall s \in P. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s\} \cap P) \ c \ Q,A \rrbracket
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  apply (rule Conseq)
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac x = \{s\} \cap P in exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=Q \ in \ exI)
  apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
  by simp
lemma conseq: [\forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z),(A'\ Z);
                \forall s. \ s \in P \longrightarrow (\exists \ Z. \ s \in P' \ Z \land (Q' \ Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' \ Z \subseteq A))]
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
  by (rule Conseq') blast
lemma Lem: \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z), (A'\ Z);
              P \subseteq \{s. \; \exists \; Z. \; s \in P' \; Z \; \land \; (Q' \; Z \subseteq Q) \; \land \; (A' \; Z \subseteq A)\} ] ]
                \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (unfold lem-def)
  apply (erule conseq)
  apply blast
  done
lemma LemAnno:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land A\}
                        (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),(A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
  by blast
lemma \ Lem Anno No Abrupt:
assumes conseq: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (\forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q)\}
assumes lem: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'\ Z) \ c \ (Q'\ Z),\{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (lem \ x \ c) \ Q,\{\}
```

```
apply (rule Lem [OF lem])
  using conseq
 \mathbf{by} blast
lemma \mathit{TrivPost}: \forall Z. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),(A'Z)
                \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ UNIV, UNIV
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{allI})
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma TrivPostNoAbr: \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ (Q'Z),\{\}
                \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ c \ UNIV,\{\}
apply (rule allI)
apply (erule conseq)
apply auto
done
lemma DynComConseq:
 A' \subseteq A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ DynCom \ c \ Q,A
  using assms
 apply -
 \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{hoaret.DynCom})
 apply clarsimp
 apply (rule hoaret.Conseq)
 apply clarsimp
 apply blast
 done
lemma SpecAnno:
 assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in P' Z \land (Q' Z \subseteq Q) \land (A' Z \subseteq A))\}
 assumes \mathit{spec} \colon \forall \, Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' \, Z) \ (c \, Z) \ (Q' \, Z), (A' \, Z)
 assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
 shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q,A
proof -
  from spec bdy-constant
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ (c \ undefined) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
   apply -
   apply (rule allI)
   apply (erule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ all E)
   apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
   apply simp
   done
  with consequence show ?thesis
```

```
apply (simp add: specAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseq)
    apply blast
    done
qed
lemma SpecAnno':
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P'Z \land A\} \}
              (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow t \in A)\};
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ (cZ) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z);
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
    \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ A')\ Q,A
apply (simp only: subset-iff [THEN sym])
apply (erule (1) SpecAnno)
apply assumption
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ SpecAnnoNoAbrupt:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. s \in P'Z \land A\} \}
              (\forall t. \ t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow t \in Q)\};
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ (c\ Z) \ (Q'Z), \{\};
   \forall Z. \ c \ Z = c \ undefined
    \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (specAnno\ P'\ c\ Q'\ (\lambda s.\ \{\}))\ Q,A
apply (rule SpecAnno')
apply auto
done
lemma Skip: P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P Skip Q,A
  by (rule hoaret.Skip [THEN conseqPre],simp)
lemma Basic: P \subseteq \{s. (f s) \in Q\} \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (Basic f) Q, A
  by (rule hoaret.Basic [THEN conseqPre])
lemma BasicCond:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg \ b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Basic \ (\lambda s. \ if \ b \ s \ then \ f \ s \ else \ g \ s) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply auto
  done
lemma Spec: P \subseteq \{s. \ (\forall t. \ (s,t) \in r \longrightarrow t \in Q) \land (\exists t. \ (s,t) \in r)\}
              \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Spec \ r) \ Q,A
by (rule hoaret.Spec [THEN conseqPre])
```

```
lemma SpecIf:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \ s \longrightarrow f \ s \in Q) \land (\neg \ b \ s \longrightarrow g \ s \in Q \land h \ s \in Q)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Spec \ (if\text{-rel } b \ f \ g \ h) \ Q,A
  apply (rule Spec)
  apply (auto simp add: if-rel-def)
  done
lemma Seq [trans, intro?]:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c_1 \ c_2 \ Q, A
  by (rule hoaret.Seq)
lemma SeqSwap:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c1 \ R, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Seq \ c1 \ c2 \ Q, A
  by (rule Seq)
lemma BSeq:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ R, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (bseq \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q, A
  by (unfold bseq-def) (rule Seq)
lemma Cond:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1 c_1 Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P_2 \ c_2 \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (Cond b c_1 c_2) Q,A
proof (rule hoaret.Cond [THEN conseqPre])
  from deriv-c1
  \mathbf{show}\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\ \land\ (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\ \cap\ b)\ c_1\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from deriv-c2
  show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ (s\in b\longrightarrow s\in P_1)\land (s\notin b\longrightarrow s\in P_2)\}\cap -\ b)\ c_2\ Q,A
     by (rule conseqPre) blast
qed (insert wp)
lemma CondSwap:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P1 \ c1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P2 \ c2 \ Q, A; 
     P\subseteq \{s.\;(s{\in}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P1)\;\land\;(s{\notin}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P2)\}]
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule Cond)
lemma Cond':
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (b \subseteq P1) \ \land \ (-b \subseteq P2)\}; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P1 \ c1 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P2 \ c2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A
  by (rule\ CondSwap)\ blast+
```

```
lemma CondInv:
  assumes wp: P \subseteq Q
  assumes inv: Q \subseteq \{s. (s \in b \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (s \notin b \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\}
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1\ c_1\ Q,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P_2 \ c_2 \ Q,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ Q,A
proof -
  from wp inv
  \mathbf{have}\ P\subseteq\{s.\ (s{\in}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P_1)\ \land\ (s{\notin}b\longrightarrow s{\in}P_2)\}
    by blast
  from Cond [OF this deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma CondInv':
  assumes wp: P \subseteq I
  \textbf{assumes} \ inv: I \subseteq \{s. \ (s{\in}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_1) \ \land \ (s{\notin}b \longrightarrow s{\in}P_2)\}
  assumes wp': I \subseteq Q
  assumes deriv-c1: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1 c_1 I,A
  assumes deriv-c2: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_2 c_2 I,A
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond\ b\ c_1\ c_2)\ Q,A
proof -
  from CondInv [OF wp inv deriv-c1 deriv-c2]
  have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c_1 \ c_2) \ I,A.
  from conseqPost [OF this wp' subset-refl]
  show ?thesis.
qed
lemma switchNil:
  P \subseteq Q \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (switch \ v \ []) \ Q, A
  by (simp add: Skip)
lemma switchCons:
  \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ (v \ s \in V \longrightarrow s \in P_1) \land (v \ s \notin V \longrightarrow s \in P_2)\};
         \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P_1\ c\ Q,A;
         \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P_2 \ (switch \ v \ vs) \ Q,A]]
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (switch \ v \ ((V,c)\#vs)) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: Cond)
lemma Guard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule Hoare Total Def. Guard [THEN conseq Pre, of - - - - R])
apply (erule conseqPre)
```

```
apply auto
done
lemma GuardSwap:
 [\![ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} R\ c\ Q,\!A;\, P\subseteq g\,\cap\, R]\!]
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ c \ Q, A
  by (rule Guard)
lemma Guarantee:
 \llbracket P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q,A; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre, of - - - - \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}])
apply assumption
apply (erule conseqPre)
apply auto
done
lemma GuaranteeSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in g \longrightarrow s \in R\}; \ f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule Guarantee)
lemma GuardStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \, \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \, \, c \, \, Q, A; \, f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
apply (rule Guarantee [THEN conseqPre])
apply auto
done
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{GuardStripSwap}:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Guard \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStrip:
 \llbracket P \subseteq R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{guaranteeStrip} \ f \ g \ c) \ \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeStripSwap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq R; f \in F \rrbracket
  \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c) \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule GuardStrip)
lemma GuaranteeAsGuard:
 \llbracket P \subseteq g \cap R; \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A \rrbracket
```

```
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c \ Q,A
  by (unfold guaranteeStrip-def) (rule Guard)
lemma Guarantee As Guard Swap:
 \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c \ Q, A; \ P \subseteq g \cap R \rrbracket
  \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ c \ Q, A
  by (rule GuaranteeAsGuard)
lemma GuardsNil:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ [] \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
lemma GuardsCons:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ Guard \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ ((f,g)\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{GuardsConsGuaranteeStrip} :
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ guaranteeStrip \ f \ g \ (guards \ gs \ c) \ Q,A \Longrightarrow
   \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ (guaranteeStripPair f \ g\#gs) \ c) \ Q,A
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ guarantee Strip Pair-def\ guarantee Strip-def)
lemma While:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in V\} \cap I), A
  assumes I - Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  assumes wf: wf V
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  from wf deriv-body P-I I-Q
  show ?thesis
    apply (unfold whileAnno-def)
    apply (erule conseqPrePost [OF HoareTotalDef.While])
    apply auto
    done
qed
{f lemma} While InvPost:
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes termi-body:
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/UNIV} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in V\} \cap P), A
  assumes deriv-body:
  \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(I\cap b)\ c\ I,A
```

```
assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  assumes wf: wf V
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (whileAnno b I V c) Q,A
proof -
  have \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t.\ (t,\ \sigma) \in V\} \cap I),A
  proof
    fix \sigma
    from hoare-sound [OF deriv-body] hoaret-sound [OF termi-body [rule-format,
    have \Gamma,\Theta \models_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in V\} \cap I),A
      by (fastforce simp add: cvalidt-def validt-def cvalid-def)
    then
    show \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{\sigma\}\cap I\cap b)\ c\ (\{t.\ (t,\,\sigma)\in V\}\cap I),A
      by (rule hoaret-complete')
  \mathbf{qed}
  from While [OF P-I this I-Q wf]
 show ?thesis.
qed
lemma \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b) c\ Q,A\Longrightarrow\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/F}(P\cap b)\ (Seq\ c\ (Guard\ f\ Q\ Skip))
Q,A
oops
J will be instantiated by tactic with gs' \cap I for those guards that are not
stripped.
lemma WhileAnnoG:
 \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                    (while Anno\ b\ J\ V\ (Seq\ c\ (guards\ gs\ Skip))))\ Q,A
        \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def whileAnno-def while-def)
This form stems from strip-guards F (whileAnnoG gs b I V c)
lemma WhileNoGuard':
  assumes P-I: P \subseteq I
  assumes deriv-body: \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \cap b) \ c \ (\{t. \ (t, \sigma) \in V\} \cap I), A
  assumes I-Q: I \cap -b \subseteq Q
  assumes wf: wf V
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnno b I V (Seq c Skip)) Q,A
  apply (rule While [OF P-I - I-Q wf])
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule deriv-body [rule-format])
  apply (rule hoaret.Skip)
  done
```

```
lemma While AnnoFix:
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in I Z \land (I Z \cap -b \subseteq Q)) \}
assumes bdy: \forall Z \sigma. \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap IZ \cap b) \ (c\ Z) \ (\{t.\ (t,\sigma) \in VZ\} \cap IZ), A
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. c Z = c undefined
assumes wf : \forall Z. \ wf \ (V \ Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
proof
  from bdy bdy-constant
  have bdy': \bigwedge Z. \forall \sigma. \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I Z \cap b) (c undefined)
                (\{t.\ (t,\ \sigma)\in\ V\ Z\}\cap\ I\ Z),A
    apply -
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply (erule-tac x=Z in allE)
    apply simp
    done
  have \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (I \ Z) \ (while AnnoFix \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ (I \ Z \cap -b), A
    apply rule
    apply (unfold whileAnnoFix-def)
    apply (rule hoaret. While)
    apply (rule wf [rule-format])
    apply (rule bdy')
    done
  then
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule conseq)
    using consequence
    by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma WhileAnnoFix':
assumes consequence: P \subseteq \{s. (\exists Z. s \in IZ \land A)\}
                                 (\forall t. \ t \in I \ Z \cap -b \longrightarrow t \in Q)) \ \}
assumes \mathit{bdy} \colon \forall \, Z \ \sigma \colon \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap I \ Z \cap \mathit{b}) \ (\mathit{c} \ \mathit{Z}) \ (\{t. \ (t, \, \sigma) \in \, \mathit{V} \ \mathit{Z}\} \cap \mathit{I} \ \mathit{Z}), \mathit{A} 
assumes bdy-constant: \forall Z. c Z = c undefined
assumes wf : \forall Z. \ wf \ (V \ Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnnoFix b I V c) Q,A
  apply (rule WhileAnnoFix [OF - bdy bdy-constant wf])
  using consequence by blast
lemma WhileAnnoGFix:
assumes whileAnnoFix:
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (guards \ gs
                 (while AnnoFix\ b\ J\ V\ (\lambda Z.\ (Seq\ (c\ Z)\ (guards\ gs\ Skip)))))\ Q,A
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (whileAnnoGFix gs b I V c) Q,A
  using whileAnnoFix
  by (simp add: whileAnnoGFix-def whileAnnoFix-def while-def)
lemma Bind:
```

```
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in P' \ s\}
 assumes c: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ (c \ (e \ s)) \ Q, A
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (bind e\ c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P' Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold bind-def)
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule conseqPre)
apply \quad (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply blast
using adapt
apply blast
done
lemma Block:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land init \ s \in P' \ Z} and Q'=\lambda Z. Q
and
A'=\lambda Z. A]
prefer 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in R Z t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return Z t \in R Z t} and
         Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
{\bf apply} \ \ (\textit{rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom})
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply \quad (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return Z t \in A\} in HoareTotalDef.Catch)
apply (rule-tac R=\{i.\ i\in P'Z\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
```

```
apply simp
apply (rule bdy [rule-format])
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma BlockSwap:
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ bdy \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ init \ s \in P' \ s\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
  \mathbf{using}\ adapt^{'}\ bdy\ c
  by (rule Block)
lemma BlockSpec:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                               (\forall t. \ t \in A' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes bdy: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ bdy \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (block init bdy return c) Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. init s \in P' Z \land
                               (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                               (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A) and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and
A'=\lambda Z. A
\mathbf{prefer} \ 2
using adapt
apply blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold block-def)
apply (rule Hoare Total Def. Dyn Com)
apply (rule ballI)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\} in SeqSwap)
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z'. {t. t=Z' \land return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t} and
           Q'=\lambda Z'. Q and A'=\lambda Z'. A in conseq)
\mathbf{prefer}\ 2\ \mathbf{apply}\ simp
\mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{all} I)
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply \quad (rule \ c \ [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac R = \{t. return \ s \ t \in A\} in HoareTotalDef.Catch)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P'Z\} in Seq)
```

```
apply (rule Basic)
\mathbf{apply} \quad clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule conseq [OF bdy])
apply clarsimp
apply blast
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule Throw)
apply (rule Basic)
apply simp
done
lemma Throw: P \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P Throw Q, A
  by (rule hoaret. Throw [THEN conseqPre])
lemmas Catch = hoaret.Catch
lemma CatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, R \rrbracket \implies \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P
Catch c_1 c_2 Q,A
  by (rule hoaret.Catch)
lemma raise: P \subseteq \{s. \ f \ s \in A\} \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ raise \ f \ Q, A
  apply (simp add: raise-def)
  apply (rule Seq)
  apply (rule Basic)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule Throw)
  apply (rule subset-refl)
  done
lemma condCatch: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap A)); \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A \rrbracket
                   \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
  apply (simp add: condCatch-def)
  apply (rule Catch)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule CondSwap)
  apply (assumption)
  apply (rule hoaret. Throw)
  apply blast
  done
lemma condCatchSwap: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} R \ c_2 \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c_1 \ Q, ((b \cap R) \cup (-b \cap R)) \end{bmatrix}
A))]
                      \implies \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ condCatch \ c_1 \ b \ c_2 \ Q,A
  by (rule condCatch)
```

lemma ProcSpec:

```
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \ \land
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
using adapt c p
apply (unfold call-def)
by (rule BlockSpec)
lemma ProcSpec':
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t \in Q' Z. return s t \in R s t) \land
                                  (\forall t \in A' Z. return s t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule\ ProcSpec\ [OF - c\ p])
apply (insert adapt)
apply clarsimp
apply (drule (1) subsetD)
apply (clarsimp)
apply (rule-tac \ x=Z \ in \ exI)
apply blast
done
lemma ProcSpecNoAbrupt:
  assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' Z \land A\}
                                  (\forall t. \ t \in Q'Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q' Z), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (rule\ ProcSpec\ [OF - c\ p])
using adapt
apply simp
done
lemma FCall:
\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return \ (\lambda s \ t. \ c \ (result \ t))) \ Q,A
\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (fcall \ init \ p \ return \ result \ c) \ Q,A
  by (simp add: fcall-def)
lemma ProcRec:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in Procs. \bigcup Z.
```

```
\{(P \ q \ Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z.
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P\ p\ Z)\ Call\ p\ (Q\ p\ Z), (A\ p\ Z)
  by (intro strip)
      (rule Hoare Total Def. Call Rec'
      [OF - Procs-defined wf deriv-bodies],
      simp-all)
lemma ProcRec':
  assumes ctxt:
   \Theta' = (\lambda \sigma \ p. \ \Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in Procs.)
                       \bigcup Z. \ \{ (P \ q \ Z \ \cap \ \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in \ r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z) \}))
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in Procs.
    \forall\,\sigma\ Z.\ \Gamma,\Theta'\ \sigma\ p\vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\}\ \cap\ P\ p\ Z)\ (\textit{the}\ (\Gamma\ p))\ (\textit{Q}\ p\ Z),(A\ p\ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes Procs-defined: Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in Procs. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using ctxt deriv-bodies
  apply simp
  apply (erule ProcRec [OF - wf Procs-defined])
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ ProcRecList:
  assumes deriv-bodies:
   \forall p \in set \ Procs.
    \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup q \in set \ Procs. \bigcup Z.
        \{(P \ q \ Z \cap \{s. \ ((s,q), \ \sigma,p) \in r\}, q, Q \ q \ Z, A \ q \ Z)\})
         \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ p \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes dist: distinct Procs
  assumes Procs-defined: set Procs \subseteq dom \Gamma
  shows \forall p \in set \ Procs. \ \forall Z.
  \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ p \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ p \ Z), (A \ p \ Z)
  using deriv-bodies wf Procs-defined
  by (rule ProcRec)
lemma ProcRecSpecs:
  \llbracket \forall \sigma. \ \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs.
     \Gamma,\Theta \cup ((\lambda(P,q,Q,A), (P \cap \{s. ((s,q),(\sigma,p)) \in r\},q,Q,A)) `Specs)
      \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ Q,A;
    \forall (P,p,Q,A) \in Specs. \ p \in dom \ \Gamma
  \implies \forall (P, p, Q, A) \in Specs. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Call \ p) \ Q, A
```

```
apply (rule ballI)
apply (case-tac \ x)
apply (rename-tac \ x \ P \ p \ Q \ A)
apply simp
apply (rule hoaret.CallRec)
apply auto
done
lemma ProcRec1:
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall \sigma Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \{(P Z \cap \{s. ((s,p), \sigma,p) \in r\}, p, Q Z, A Z)\})
            \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  assumes wf: wf r
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof -
  from deriv-body wf p-defined
  have \forall p \in \{p\}. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    apply (rule ProcRec [where A=\lambda p. A and P=\lambda p. P and Q=\lambda p. Q])
    apply simp-all
    done
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcNoRec1}\colon
  assumes deriv-body:
   \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  assumes p-defined: p \in dom \Gamma
  shows \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ Call \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
proof
  have \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P \ Z) \ (the \ (\Gamma \ p)) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
    by (blast intro: conseqPre deriv-body [rule-format])
  with p-defined have \forall \sigma \ Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \cup (\bigcup Z. \ \{(P\ Z\ \cap \ \{s.\ ((s,p),\ \sigma,p) \in \{\}\}\},\
                            p, Q Z, A Z)\})
              \vdash_{t/F} (\{\sigma\} \cap P Z) \text{ (the } (\Gamma p)) (Q Z), (A Z)
    by (blast intro: hoaret-augment-context)
  from this
  show ?thesis
    by (rule ProcRec1) (auto simp add: p-defined)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ProcBody} \colon
 assumes WP: P \subseteq P'
 assumes deriv-body: \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' body Q,A
 assumes body: \Gamma p = Some \ body
 shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ Call\ p\ Q,A
```

```
apply (rule conseqPre [OF - WP])
apply (rule ProcNoRec1 [rule-format, where P=\lambda Z. P' and Q=\lambda Z. Q and
A=\lambda Z. A]
apply (insert body)
apply simp
apply (rule hoaret-augment-context [OF deriv-body])
\mathbf{apply} \quad blast
apply fastforce
done
lemma CallBody:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. init s \in P' s\}
assumes bdy: \forall s. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P's) \ body \ \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t\}, \{t. \ return \ s \ t \in A\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes body: \Gamma p = Some body
shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return c) Q,A
apply (unfold call-def)
apply (rule Block [OF adapt - c])
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule ProcBody [where \Gamma = \Gamma, OF - bdy [rule-format] body])
apply simp
done
lemmas ProcModifyReturn = HoareTotalProps.ProcModifyReturn
{\bf lemmas}\ ProcModifyReturnSameFaults = HoareTotalProps.ProcModifyReturnSameFaults
lemma ProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes spec: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (call init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
  {\bf assumes}\ modifies\text{-}spec\text{:}
  \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q, A
by (rule ProcModifyReturn [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec]) simp
{\bf lemma}\ ProcModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults:
  assumes spec: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (call \ init \ p \ return' \ c) \ Q, A
 assumes result-conform:
      \forall s \ t. \ t \in Modif \ (init \ s) \longrightarrow (return' \ s \ t) = (return \ s \ t)
 assumes modifies-spec:
 \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ p \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
  shows \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (call init p return c) Q, A
by (rule ProcNodifyReturnSameFaults [OF spec result-conform - modifies-spec])
simp
```

lemma DynProc:

```
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \ s \ Z \land A\}
                        (\forall\,t.\ t\in\,Q'\,s\;Z\,\longrightarrow\,\textit{return}\,\,s\;t\in\,R\,\,s\,\,t)\,\,\land
                        (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
 assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z^{'}. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \ Q,A
apply (rule conseq [where P'=\lambda Z. {s. s=Z \land s \in P}
 and Q'=\lambda Z. Q and A'=\lambda Z. A])
\mathbf{prefer} \ 2
using adapt
\mathbf{apply} blast
apply (rule allI)
apply (unfold dynCall-def call-def block-def)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule HoareTotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
apply clarsimp
apply (rename-tac\ Z')
apply (rule-tac R=Q'ZZ' in Seq)
apply (rule CatchSwap)
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply
          (rule Throw)
apply
          (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule Basic)
apply (rule subset-refl)
apply (rule-tac R = \{i. i \in P' Z Z'\} in Seq)
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
apply simp
apply (rule-tac Q'=Q'ZZ' and A'=A'ZZ' in conseqPost)
using p
apply
           clarsimp
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac P'=\lambda Z''. {t. t=Z'' \land return \ Z \ t \in R \ Z \ t} and
         Q'=\lambda Z''. Q and A'=\lambda Z''. A in conseq)
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (rule allI)
apply (rule Hoare TotalDef.DynCom)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule SeqSwap)
apply (rule c [rule-format])
apply (rule Basic)
apply clarsimp
done
```

lemma *DynProc'*:

```
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                                 (\forall t \in Q' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t) \land
                                 (\forall t \in A' \ s \ Z. \ return \ s \ t \in A)}
  assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
  assumes p: \forall s \in P. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P' \ s \ Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q' \ s \ Z), (A' \ s \ Z)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c \ Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P \subseteq \{s. \exists Z. init s \in P' \mid s \mid Z \land A\}
                                 (\forall \, t. \ t \in \mathit{Q'} \, s \, \mathit{Z} \, \longrightarrow \, \mathit{return} \, s \, t \in \mathit{R} \, s \, t) \, \land \,
                                 (\forall t. \ t \in A' \ s \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A)
    by blast
  from this c p show ?thesis
     by (rule DynProc)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma DynProcStaticSpec:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ s \in S \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                    (\forall \, \tau. \, \tau \in \mathit{Q'} \, \mathit{Z} \, \longrightarrow \mathit{return} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau \in \mathit{R} \, \mathit{s} \, \tau) \, \land \,
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall s \in S. \ \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from adapt have P-S: P \subseteq S
     by blast
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\cap S) (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
     apply (rule DynProc [where P'=\lambda s\ Z.\ P'\ Z and Q'=\lambda s\ Z.\ Q'\ Z
                                and A'=\lambda s Z. A' Z, OF - c
     apply clarsimp
     apply (frule in-mono [rule-format, OF adapt])
     apply clarsimp
     using spec
     apply clarsimp
     done
  thus ?thesis
     by (rule conseqPre) (insert P-S,blast)
qed
lemma DynProcProcPar:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau) \ \land
                                   (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in A' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in A))
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), (A'Z)
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
  apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \ s = q\}, simplified, OF \ adapt \ c])
```

```
apply simp
  done
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynProcProcParNoAbrupt:
assumes adapt: P \subseteq \{s.\ p\ s=q\ \land\ (\exists\ Z.\ init\ s\in P'\ Z\ \land\ 
                                (\forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau))\}
assumes c: \forall s \ t. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (R \ s \ t) \ (c \ s \ t) \ Q, A
assumes spec: \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P'Z) \ Call \ q \ (Q'Z), \{\}
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  have P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q \land (\exists \ Z. \ init \ s \in P' \ Z \land \}\}
                         (\forall \, t. \ t \in \mathit{Q'} \ Z \longrightarrow \mathit{return} \ s \ t \in \mathit{R} \ s \ t) \ \land
                         (\forall t. \ t \in \{\} \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in A))\}
    (is P \subseteq ?P')
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    assume P: s \in P
    with adapt obtain Z where
       Pre: p \ s = q \land init \ s \in P' \ Z and
       adapt\text{-Norm} : \forall \tau. \ \tau \in Q' \ Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ \tau \in R \ s \ \tau
      by blast
    from adapt-Norm
    have \forall t. t \in Q' Z \longrightarrow return \ s \ t \in R \ s \ t
      by auto
    then
    show s \in ?P'
       using Pre by blast
  \mathbf{qed}
  note P = this
  show ?thesis
    apply -
    apply (rule DynProcStaticSpec [where S = \{s. p \ s = q\}, simplified, OF P c])
    apply (insert spec)
    apply auto
    done
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                                 \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
             \forall s \in P. \ \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ (p \ s) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
```

using spec

```
have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
        \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by iprover
  then
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                         \longrightarrow \mathit{return'} \; s \; t = \mathit{return} \; s \; t
    by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                           \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults:
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                                 \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  {\bf assumes}\ \textit{modif-clause}:
             \forall\,s\,\in\,P.\,\,\forall\,\sigma.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{\left/F\right.}\left\{\sigma\right\}\,\left(\mathit{Call}\,\,\left(p\,\,s\right)\right)\,\left(\mathit{Modif}\,\,\sigma\right),\!\{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from ret-nrm-modif
  have \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
         \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by iprover
  _{
m then}
  have ret-nrm-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                         \longrightarrow \mathit{return'} \; s \; t = \mathit{return} \; s \; t
    by simp
  have ret-abr-modif': \forall s \ t. \ t \in \{\}
                           \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
    by simp
  from to-prove ret-nrm-modif' ret-abr-modif' modif-clause show ?thesis
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ {\it ProcProcParModifyReturn}:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
     - DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                                \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                                \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  {\bf assumes} \ \textit{modif-clause} \colon
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma),(ModifAbr \ \sigma)
```

```
shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (dynCall \ init \ p \ return \ c) \ Q,A
proof -
 from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c})\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturn) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
    - DynProcProcPar introduces the same constraint as first conjunction in P', so
the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes ret-abr-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (ModifAbr \ (init \ s))
                               \rightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
          \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ Call \ q \ (Modif \ \sigma), (Modif Abr \ \sigma)
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \textit{return'}\ c)\ \textit{Q,A}
    by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
       ret-abr-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\mathit{dynCall\ init}\ p\ \mathit{return}\ c)\ \mathit{Q,A}
    by (rule dynProcModifyReturnSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
    by (rule conseqPre)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ ProcProcParModifyReturnNoAbr:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
    - DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunction
in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
 assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                             \longrightarrow return's t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
            \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/UNIV} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma), \{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
```

```
proof -
 from to-prove have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ }c)\ \textit{Q},\textit{A}
   by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (\textit{dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ }c)\ \textit{Q,A}
   by (rule DynProcModifyReturnNoAbr) (insert modif-clause, auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
   by (rule conseqPre)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ Proc Proc Par Modify Return No Abr Same Faults:
  assumes q: P \subseteq \{s. \ p \ s = q\} \cap P'
      — DynProcProcParNoAbrupt introduces the same constraint as first conjunc-
tion in P', so the vcg can simplify it.
  assumes to-prove: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P' (dynCall init p return' c) Q,A
  assumes ret-nrm-modif: \forall s \ t. \ t \in (Modif \ (init \ s))
                           \longrightarrow return' s t = return s t
  assumes modif-clause:
           \forall \sigma. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} \{\sigma\} \ (Call \ q) \ (Modif \ \sigma),\{\}
  shows \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P (dynCall init p return c) Q,A
proof -
  from to-prove have
   \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return'\ c)\ Q,A
   by (rule conseqPre) blast
  from this ret-nrm-modif
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} (\{s.\ p\ s=q\}\cap P')\ (dynCall\ init\ p\ return\ c)\ Q,A
   by (rule ProcDynModifyReturnNoAbrSameFaults) (insert modif-clause,auto)
  from this q show ?thesis
   by (rule\ conseqPre)
qed
lemma MergeGuards-iff: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P merge-guards c\ Q,A=\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P\ c\ Q,A
 by (auto intro: MergeGuardsI MergeGuardsD)
lemma CombineStrip':
  assumes deriv: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P c' Q,A
  assumes deriv-strip-triv: \Gamma,{}\vdash/{} P c'' UNIV,UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards (-F) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q,A
  from deriv-strip-triv have deriv-strip: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}}P c'' UNIV,UNIV
   by (auto intro: hoare-augment-context)
  from deriv-strip [simplified c'']
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{/\{\}} P (strip-guards (-F) c') UNIV,UNIV
   by (rule HoarePartialProps.MarkGuardsD)
```

```
with deriv
  have \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c' \ Q,A
    by (rule CombineStrip)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}}P mark-guards False c' Q,A
    by (rule MarkGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P merge-guards (mark-guards False c') Q,A
    by (rule MergeGuardsI)
  hence \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}}P merge-guards c Q,A
    by (simp \ add: \ c)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule\ MergeGuardsD)
qed
lemma CombineStrip":
  assumes deriv: \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{True\}} P \ c' \ Q, A assumes deriv\text{-}strip\text{-}triv: \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c'' \ UNIV, UNIV
  assumes c'': c''= mark-guards False (strip-guards ({False}) c')
  assumes c: merge-guards c = merge-guards (mark-guards False c')
  shows \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/\{\}} P\ c\ Q,A
  apply (rule CombineStrip' [OF deriv deriv-strip-triv - c])
  apply (insert c'')
  apply (subgoal-tac - \{True\} = \{False\})
  apply auto
  done
lemma AsmUN:
  (\bigcup Z. \{(P Z, p, Q Z, A Z)\}) \subseteq \Theta
  \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ (Call \ p) \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (blast intro: hoaret.Asm)
lemma hoaret-to-hoarep':
  \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \Longrightarrow \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (iprover intro: total-to-partial)
lemma augment-context':
  \llbracket\Theta\subseteq\Theta';\,\forall\,Z.\,\,\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}(P\,\,Z)\ \ p\,\,(Q\,\,Z),(A\,\,Z)\rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \forall Z. \ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} (P Z) \ p \ (Q Z),(A Z)
  by (iprover intro: hoaret-augment-context)
lemma augment-emptyFaults:
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/\{\}} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
    \forall Z. \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} (P Z) p (Q Z), (A Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
```

```
lemma augment-FaultsUNIV:
 \llbracket \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     \forall Z. \ \Gamma, \{\} \stackrel{\cdot}{\vdash}_{t/UNIV} (P \ Z) \ p \ (Q \ Z), (A \ Z)
  by (blast intro: augment-Faults)
lemma PostConjI [trans]:
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \ \cap \ R), (A \ \cap \ B)
  by (rule PostConjI)
lemma PostConjI':
   \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket
   \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R), (A \cap B)
  by (rule PostConjI) iprover+
lemma PostConjE [consumes 1]:
   assumes conj: \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ (Q \cap R),(A \cap B)
  assumes E: \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R, B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow S
  shows S
proof -
   from conj have \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \ by \ (rule \ conseqPost) \ blast+
  moreover
  from conj have \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ R,B by (rule conseqPost) blast+
  ultimately show S
     by (rule\ E)
qed
```

16.0.1 Rules for Single-Step Proof

We are now ready to introduce a set of Hoare rules to be used in single-step structured proofs in Isabelle/Isar.

Assertions of Hoare Logic may be manipulated in calculational proofs, with the inclusion expressed in terms of sets or predicates. Reversed order is supported as well.

show ?thesis. qed

lemma annotateWhile:

 $\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{whileAnnoG gs b I V c}) \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (\textit{while gs b c}) \ Q, A$ **by** (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)

lemma reannotate While:

 $\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (while Anno G \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) \ Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (while Anno G \ gs \ b \ J \ V)$ c) Q,A

by (simp add: whileAnnoG-def)

$\mathbf{lemma}\ reannotate While No Guard:$

 $\llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \text{ (whileAnno b I V c) } Q, A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \text{ (whileAnno b J V c) } Q, A$ **by** (simp add: whileAnno-def)

lemma $[trans]: P' \subseteq P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P' \ c \ Q, A$ **by** (rule conseqPre)

lemma [trans]: $Q \subseteq Q' \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A \Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q',A$ **by** (rule conseqPost) blast+

lemma [trans]:

 $\Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s \longrightarrow P \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P' \ s\} \ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P' \ s) \Longrightarrow (\bigcap s. \ P$ by (rule conseqPre) auto

lemma [trans]:

 $(\bigwedge s.\ P'\ s \longrightarrow P\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P\ s\}\ c\ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c\ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s.\ P'\ s\}\ c \ Q, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, P \Longrightarrow \Gamma, P \Longrightarrow$ by (rule conseqPre) auto

lemma [trans]:

 $\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q\ s\},A\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s.\ Q\ s\longrightarrow Q'\ s)\Longrightarrow \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\},A$ by (rule conseqPost) auto

lemma [trans]:

 $(\bigwedge s.\ Q\ s \longrightarrow Q'\ s) \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P\ c\ \{s.\ Q'\ s\}, A \Longrightarrow \Gamma, P \Longrightarrow$ by (rule conseqPost) auto

lemma [intro?]: $\Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F}P$ Skip P,A**by** (rule Skip) auto

lemma CondInt [trans,intro?]:

 $\Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ (Cond \ b \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A$

```
by (rule Cond) auto  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } CondConj \ [trans, \ intro?] \colon \\ \llbracket \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P \ s \ \land \ b \ s\} \ c1 \ Q,A; \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P \ s \ \land \ \neg \ b \ s\} \ c2 \ Q,A \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} \{s. \ P \ s\} \ (Cond \ \{s. \ b \ s\} \ c1 \ c2) \ Q,A \\ \textbf{by } (rule \ Cond) \ auto \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

17 Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Hoare}\ {\bf imports}\ {\it Hoare} {\it Partial}\ {\it Hoare} {\it Total}\ {\bf begin}$

syntax

```
-hoarep-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
   {\it 'f set, 's assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's assn, 's assn] => bool}
   ((3-,-)\vdash (-/(-)/(-,-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx::
[(s, p, f) \ body, f \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn, s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{\prime/\_}(-/(-)/(-,/-)))[61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoar ep\text{-}empty Ctx\text{-}empty Faults::
\left[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn\right] =>\ bool
   ((3-/\vdash (-/(-)/(-,/-)) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
    {\it 's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => \ bool}
   ((3-,-/\vdash_{'/-}(-/(-)/-))[61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p) \ quadruple \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
    ((3-,-)\vdash (-/(-)/(-)))[61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{'/\_}(-/(-)/-))[61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr-emptyFaults::\\
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash (-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
```

```
-hoar et\text{-}empty Faults ::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
    's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn, 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-,-)\vdash_t (-/(-)/(-,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn,'s\ assn] =>\ bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{t'/\_} (-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-,/-)) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set, \ ('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
    sassn,(s,p,f) com, sassn => bool
   ((3-,-/\vdash_{t'/\_}(-/(-)/-))\ [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[(s, p, f) \ body, (s, p) \ quadruple \ set, s \ assn, (s, p, f) \ com, s \ assn] => bool
   ((3-,-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => \ bool
   ((3-/\vdash_{t'/\_} (-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
   ((3-/\vdash_t (-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
syntax (ASCII)
-hoarep\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
     sassn,(s,'p,'f) com, sassn,'sassn] \Rightarrow bool
  ((3-,-/|-(-/(-)/(-,/-)))) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-'/-(-/(-)/-,/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep\text{-}emptyCtx\text{-}emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
  ((3-/|-(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
```

```
's \ assn, ('s, 'p, 'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => bool
     ((3-,-/|-'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
      ((3-,-/|-(-/(-)/(-)))) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
      ((3-/|-'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoarep-emptyCtx-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn] => \ bool
      ((3-/|-(-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyFault::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,
          sassn,(s,p,f) com, sassn,sassn] => bool
      ((3-,-/|-t(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'f \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, \ 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
      ((3-/|-t'/-(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,60,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn,'s \ assn] => bool
      ((3-/|-t(-/(-)/(-,/-))) [61,1000,20,1000,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'f \ set,
      subsections 's assn, (subsections 's assn,
      ((3\text{-},\text{-}/|-t'/\text{-}\ (\text{-}/\ (\text{-})/\ \text{-}))\ [61,60,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-noAbr-emptyFaults::
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,('s,'p) \ quadruple \ set,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
      ((3-,-/|-t(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-emptyCtx-noAbr::
[('s,'p,'f)\ body,'f\ set,'s\ assn,('s,'p,'f)\ com,\ 's\ assn] =>\ bool
      ((3-/|-t'/-(-/(-)/-)) [61,60,1000,20,1000]60)
-hoaret-empty Ctx-no Abr-empty Faults::\\
[('s,'p,'f) \ body,'s \ assn,('s,'p,'f) \ com, 's \ assn] => bool
      ((3-/|-t(-/(-)/-)) [61,1000,20,1000]60)
```

translations

$$\Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \ == \Gamma \vdash_{/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A$$

$$\Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Gamma,\Theta \vdash P\ c\ Q \ == \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P\ c\ Q \\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q \ == \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/F} P\ c\ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma,\Theta \vdash P\ c\ Q,A \ == \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{/\{\}} P\ c\ Q,A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma \vdash P \; c \; Q & == & \Gamma \vdash_{/\{\}} P \; c \; Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \; c \; Q & == & \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{/F} P \; c \; Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \; c \; Q & <= & \Gamma \vdash_{/F} P \; c \; Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma \vdash P \; c \; Q & <= & \Gamma \vdash P \; c \; Q, \{\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_t P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A & == \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma \vdash_{t/\{\}} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q & == \Gamma, \{\} \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q \\ \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q & <= \Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, \{\} \\ \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q & <= \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, \{\} \end{array}$$

term
$$\Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q$$

term $\Gamma \vdash P \ c \ Q, A$

$$\mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q$$
$$\mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q, A \\ \mathbf{term} \ \Gamma, \Theta \vdash_{/F} P \ c \ Q, A \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q$$
$$\mathbf{term} \ \Gamma \vdash_t P \ c \ Q, A$$

term
$$\Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q$$

term $\Gamma \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q, A$

term
$$\Gamma,\Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q$$

```
term \Gamma,\Theta \vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q
term \Gamma,\Theta \vdash P \ c \ Q,A
term \Gamma,\Theta\vdash_{t/F} P \ c \ Q,A
locale \ \mathit{hoare} =
  fixes \Gamma::('s,'p,'f) body
primrec assoc:: ('a \times 'b) list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b
where
assoc [] x = undefined []
assoc\ (p\#ps)\ x=(if\ fst\ p=x\ then\ (snd\ p)\ else\ assoc\ ps\ x)
lemma conjE-simp: (P \land Q \Longrightarrow PROP R) \equiv (P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow PROP R)
  by rule simp-all
lemma CollectInt-iff: \{s. \ P \ s\} \cap \{s. \ Q \ s\} = \{s. \ P \ s \land Q \ s\}
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{Compl-Collect} : \neg (\mathit{Collect}\ b) = \{x.\ \neg (b\ x)\}
  by fastforce
lemma Collect-False: \{s. False\} = \{\}
  by simp
lemma Collect-True: \{s. True\} = UNIV
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma triv-All-eq: \forall x. P \equiv P
  by simp
lemma triv-Ex-eq: \exists x. P \equiv P
  by simp
lemma Ex-True: \exists b. b
   \mathbf{by} blast
lemma Ex-False: \exists b. \neg b
  by blast
definition mex::('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
  where mex P = Ex P
definition meq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where meq \ s \ Z = (s = Z)
lemma subset-unI1: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
```

```
by blast
lemma subset-unI2: A \subseteq C \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
 by blast
lemma split-paired-UN: (\bigcup p. (P p)) = (\bigcup a b. (P (a,b)))
 by auto
lemma in\text{-}insert\text{-}hd: f \in insert f X
 by simp
lemma lookup-Some-in-dom: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \implies p \in dom \ \Gamma
lemma unit\text{-}object: (\forall u::unit. P u) = P ()
 by auto
lemma unit\text{-}ex: (\exists u::unit. P u) = P ()
 by auto
lemma unit-meta: (\bigwedge(u::unit). PROP P u) \equiv PROP P ()
 by auto
lemma unit-UN: (\bigcup z :: unit. P z) = P ()
 by auto
lemma subset-singleton-insert1: y = x \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
lemma subset-singleton-insert2: \{y\} \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
 by auto
lemma in-Specs-simp: (\forall x \in \bigcup Z. \{(P Z, p, Q Z, A Z)\}. Prop x) =
       (\forall Z. Prop (P Z, p, Q Z, A Z))
 by auto
lemma in-set-Un-simp: (\forall x \in A \cup B. P x) = ((\forall x \in A. P x) \land (\forall x \in B. P x))
  by auto
lemma split-all-conj: (\forall x. \ P \ x \land Q \ x) = ((\forall x. \ P \ x) \land (\forall x. \ Q \ x))
 by blast
lemma image-Un-single-simp: f'(\bigcup Z. \{PZ\}) = (\bigcup Z. \{f(PZ)\})
 by auto
lemma measure-lex-prod-def':
 f < *mlex > r \equiv (\{(x,y). (x,y) \in measure f \lor fx = fy \land (x,y) \in r\})
```

```
by (auto simp add: mlex-prod-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-measure-iff: (x,y) \in measure\ f = (f\ x < f\ y)
 by (simp add: measure-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-lex-iff:
  ((a,b),(x,y)) \in r < *lex* > s = ((a,x) \in r \lor (a=x \land (b,y) \in s))
 by (simp add: lex-prod-def)
lemma in-mlex-iff:
  (x,y) \in f < *mlex* > r = (f x < f y \lor (f x = f y \land (x,y) \in r))
 by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def' in-measure-iff)
lemma in-inv-image-iff: (x,y) \in inv-image rf = ((fx, fy) \in r)
 by (simp add: inv-image-def)
This is actually the same as wf-mlex. However, this basic proof took me so
long that I'm not willing to delete it.
lemma wf-measure-lex-prod [simp,intro]:
 assumes wf-r: wf r
 shows wf (f < *mlex *> r)
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg wf (f < *mlex * > r)
  then
 obtain g where \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in f <*mlex*> r
   by (auto simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
  hence g: \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in measure f \lor
   f(g(Suc\ i)) = f(g\ i) \land (g(Suc\ i), g\ i) \in r
   by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def')
 hence le-g: \forall i. f (g (Suc i)) \leq f (g i)
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-le-less)
  have wf (measure f)
   by simp
  hence \forall Q. (\exists x. \ x \in Q) \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \ \forall y. \ (y, z) \in measure f \longrightarrow y \notin Q)
   by (simp add: wf-eq-minimal)
  from this [rule-format, of g 'UNIV]
 have \exists z. z \in range \ g \land (\forall y. (y, z) \in measure \ f \longrightarrow y \notin range \ g)
   by auto
  then obtain z where
   z: z \in range \ g \ \mathbf{and}
   min-z: \forall y. f y < f z \longrightarrow y \notin range g
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff)
 from z obtain k where
   k: z = q k
   by auto
  have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f(g i) = f(g k)
  proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume k \leq i then show f(g|i) = f(g|k)
```

```
proof (induct i)
   case \theta
   have k \leq \theta by fact hence k = \theta by simp
   thus f(g \theta) = f(g k)
     by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Suc \ n)
   have k-Suc-n: k \leq Suc \ n by fact
   then show f(g(Suc(n))) = f(g(k))
   proof (cases k = Suc n)
     case True
     thus ?thesis by simp
   next
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     with k-Suc-n
     have k < n
       by simp
     with Suc.hyps
     have n-k: f(g n) = f(g k) by simp
     from le-g have le: f(g(Suc(n)) \le f(g(n))
       by simp
     \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
     proof (cases f (g (Suc n)) = f (g n))
       case True with n-k show ?thesis by simp
     next
       case False
       with le have f(g(Suc(n))) < f(g(n))
        by simp
       with n-k k have f (g (Suc n)) < f z
        by simp
       with min-z have g (Suc n) \notin range g
        by blast
       hence False by simp
       thus ?thesis
         by simp
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
with k [symmetric] have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f (g i) = f z
 by simp
hence \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f (g (Suc i)) = f (g i)
 by simp
with g have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow (g (Suc i), (g i)) \in r
 by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-less-le)
hence \forall i. (g (Suc (i+k)), (g (i+k))) \in r
 by simp
then
have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ (f \ (Suc \ i), f \ i) \in r
```

```
by - (rule exI [where x=\lambda i. g(i+k)], simp)
 with wf-r show False
   by (simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
lemmas all-imp-to-ex = <math>all-simps (5)
lemma all-imp-eq-triv: (\forall \, x. \ x = k \, \longrightarrow \, Q) = \, Q
                    (\forall x. \ k = x \longrightarrow Q) = Q
 by auto
end
18
        State Space Template
{\bf theory}\ {\it StateSpace}\ {\bf imports}\ {\it Hoare}
begin
record 'g state = globals::'g
definition
 upd-globals:: ('g \Rightarrow 'g) \Rightarrow ('g, 'z) state-scheme \Rightarrow ('g, 'z) state-scheme
  upd-globals upd s = s(|globals := upd (globals s))
record ('g, 'n, 'val) stateSP = 'g state +
 locals :: \ 'n \ \Rightarrow \ 'val
lemma upd-globals-conv: upd-globals f = (\lambda s. \ s(globals := f \ (globals \ s)))
 by (rule ext) (simp add: upd-globals-def)
end
theory Generalise imports HOL-Statespace.DistinctTreeProver
begin
lemma protectReft: PROP Pure.prop (PROP C) \Longrightarrow PROP Pure.prop (PROP
 by (simp add: prop-def)
lemma protectImp:
assumes i: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Q)
shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Pure.prop \ Q)
proof -
 {
```

```
assume P: PROP Pure.prop P
   from i [unfolded prop-def, OF P [unfolded prop-def]]
   have PROP \ Pure.prop \ Q
     by (simp add: prop-def)
  }
 note i' = this
 show PROP ?thesis
   apply (rule protectI)
   apply (rule i')
   apply assumption
   done
qed
lemma qeneraliseConj:
  assumes i1: PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop P) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop Q))
  assumes i2: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (Trueprop \ P') \implies PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop Q')
  shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (P \land P')) \Longrightarrow (PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop (Q \wedge Q')))
 using i1 i2
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseAll:
assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (P s)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (Q\ s)))
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (\forall s. P s)) \implies PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop (\forall s. Q s)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generalise-all:
assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. PROP Pure.prop (PROP P s) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop (PROP Q s))
shows PROP\ Pure.prop\ ((PROP\ Pure.prop\ (\land s.\ PROP\ Ps)) \Longrightarrow (PROP\ Pure.prop\ (\land s.\ PROP\ Ps))
(\bigwedge s. \ PROP \ Q \ s)))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume i1: \bigwedge s. (PROP \ P \ s) \Longrightarrow (PROP \ Q \ s)
   assume i2: \bigwedge s. PROP P s
   show \bigwedge s. PROP Q s
     by (rule i1) (rule i2)
 \mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{generaliseTrans} :
 assumes i1: PROP Pure.prop (PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q)
 assumes i2: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Q \Longrightarrow PROP \ R)
 shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ R)
```

```
using i1 i2
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume P-Q: PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q
   assume Q-R: PROP Q \Longrightarrow PROP R
   assume P: PROPP
   show PROP R
     by (rule\ Q-R\ [OF\ P-Q\ [OF\ P]])
 qed
lemma meta-spec:
 assumes \bigwedge x. PROP P x
 shows PROP P x by fact
\mathbf{lemma}\ meta\text{-}spec\text{-}protect:
 assumes g: \bigwedge x. PROP P x
 shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P \ x)
using q
by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseImp:
 assumes i: PROP\ Pure.prop\ (PROP\ Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ P) \Longrightarrow PROP\ Pure.prop
(Trueprop Q))
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (X \longrightarrow P)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (X \longrightarrow Q)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseEx:
assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop (P s)) \Longrightarrow PROP
Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ (Q\ s)))
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (\exists s. P \ s)) \implies PROP
Pure.prop (Trueprop (\exists s. Q s)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseRefl: PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop P) \Longrightarrow
PROP\ Pure.prop\ (Trueprop\ P))
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseRefl': PROP Pure.prop (PROP <math>P \Longrightarrow PROP P)
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
lemma generaliseAllShift:
 assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. P \Longrightarrow Q s)
 shows PROP Pure.prop (PROP Pure.prop (Trueprop P) \Longrightarrow PROP Pure.prop
(Trueprop (\forall s. Q s)))
 using i
 by (auto simp add: prop-def)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{generalise}\text{-}\mathit{allShift}\text{:}
 assumes i: PROP Pure.prop (\bigwedge s. PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q s)
  shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ P) \implies PROP \ Pure.prop
(\bigwedge s. \ PROP \ Q \ s))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume P-Q: \bigwedge s. PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q s
   assume P: PROP P
   show \bigwedge s. PROP Q s
     by (rule P-Q [OF P])
 qed
lemma generaliseImpl:
 assumes i: PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ Pure.prop \ P \Longrightarrow PROP \ Pure.prop \ Q)
  shows PROP \ Pure.prop \ ((PROP \ Pure.prop \ (PROP \ X \implies PROP \ P)) \implies
(PROP\ Pure.prop\ (PROP\ X \Longrightarrow PROP\ Q)))
 using i
 proof (unfold prop-def)
   assume i1: PROP P \Longrightarrow PROP Q
   assume i2: PROP X \Longrightarrow PROP P
   assume X: PROP X
   show PROP Q
     by (rule i1 [OF i2 [OF X]])
 qed
\mathbf{ML}	ext{-file} generalise-state.ML
```

19 Auxiliary Definitions/Lemmas to Facilitate Hoare Logic

theory HoareCon imports Main begin

end

```
primrec assoc:: ('a ×'b) list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b
where
assoc [] x = undefined |
assoc (p \# ps) x = (if fst p = x then (snd p) else assoc <math>ps x)

lemma conjE-simp: (P \land Q \Longrightarrow PROP R) \equiv (P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow PROP R)
by rule simp-all
```

```
lemma CollectInt-iff: \{s. \ P \ s\} \cap \{s. \ Q \ s\} = \{s. \ P \ s \land Q \ s\}
 \mathbf{by} auto
lemma Compl\text{-}Collect:-(Collect\ b) = \{x.\ \neg(b\ x)\}
  by fastforce
lemma Collect-False: \{s. False\} = \{\}
  by simp
lemma Collect-True: \{s. True\} = UNIV
  by simp
lemma triv-All-eq: \forall x. P \equiv P
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma triv-Ex-eq: \exists x. P \equiv P
  by simp
lemma Ex-True: \exists b. b
   by blast
lemma Ex-False: \exists b. \neg b
  by blast
definition mex:('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
  where mex P = Ex P
definition meq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  where meq \ s \ Z = (s = Z)
lemma subset-unI1: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
  by blast
lemma subset-unI2: A \subseteq C \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \cup C
  by blast
lemma split-paired-UN: (\bigcup p. (P p)) = (\bigcup a b. (P (a,b)))
  by auto
lemma in\text{-}insert\text{-}hd: f \in insert f X
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma lookup-Some-in-dom: \Gamma p = Some \ bdy \Longrightarrow p \in dom \ \Gamma
  by auto
lemma unit\text{-}object: (\forall u::unit. P u) = P ()
lemma unit\text{-}ex: (\exists u::unit. P u) = P ()
```

```
by auto
lemma unit-meta: (\bigwedge(u::unit). PROP P u) \equiv PROP P ()
lemma unit-UN: (\bigcup z :: unit. P z) = P ()
 by auto
lemma subset-singleton-insert1: y = x \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
 by auto
lemma subset-singleton-insert2: \{y\} \subseteq A \Longrightarrow \{y\} \subseteq insert \ x \ A
lemma in-Specs-simp: (\forall x \in \bigcup Z. \{(P Z, p, Q Z, A Z)\}. Prop x) =
      (\forall Z. Prop (P Z, p, Q Z, A Z))
 by auto
lemma in-set-Un-simp: (\forall x \in A \cup B. P x) = ((\forall x \in A. P x) \land (\forall x \in B. P x))
 by auto
lemma split-all-conj: (\forall x. \ P \ x \land Q \ x) = ((\forall x. \ P \ x) \land (\forall x. \ Q \ x))
 by blast
lemma image-Un-single-simp: f'(\bigcup Z. \{PZ\}) = (\bigcup Z. \{f(PZ)\})
 by auto
lemma measure-lex-prod-def':
 f < *mlex * > r \equiv (\{(x,y), (x,y) \in measure \ f \lor f \ x=f \ y \land (x,y) \in r\})
 by (auto simp add: mlex-prod-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-measure-iff: (x,y) \in measure\ f = (f\ x < f\ y)
 by (simp add: measure-def inv-image-def)
lemma in-lex-iff:
  ((a,b),(x,y)) \in r < *lex* > s = ((a,x) \in r \lor (a=x \land (b,y) \in s))
 by (simp add: lex-prod-def)
lemma in-mlex-iff:
  (x,y) \in f < *mlex* > r = (f x < f y \lor (f x=f y \land (x,y) \in r))
 by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def' in-measure-iff)
lemma in-inv-image-iff: (x,y) \in inv-image rf = ((fx, fy) \in r)
 by (simp add: inv-image-def)
```

This is actually the same as *wf-mlex*. However, this basic proof took me so long that I'm not willing to delete it.

```
lemma wf-measure-lex-prod [simp,intro]:
 assumes wf-r: wf r
 shows wf (f < *mlex * > r)
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \neg wf (f < *mlex * > r)
 then
 obtain g where \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in f <*mlex*> r
   by (auto simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
 hence g: \forall i. (g (Suc i), g i) \in measure f \lor
   f (g (Suc i)) = f (g i) \land (g (Suc i), g i) \in r
   by (simp add: measure-lex-prod-def')
 hence le-g: \forall i. f (g (Suc i)) \leq f (g i)
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-le-less)
 have wf (measure f)
   by simp
 hence \forall Q. (\exists x. \ x \in Q) \longrightarrow (\exists z \in Q. \ \forall y. \ (y, z) \in measure f \longrightarrow y \notin Q)
   by (simp add: wf-eq-minimal)
 from this [rule-format, of g 'UNIV]
 have \exists z. z \in range \ g \land (\forall y. (y, z) \in measure \ f \longrightarrow y \notin range \ g)
   by auto
  then obtain z where
   z: z \in range \ g \ \mathbf{and}
   min-z: \forall y. f y < f z \longrightarrow y \notin range g
   by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff)
  from z obtain k where
   k: z = g k
   by auto
 have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f(g i) = f(g k)
 proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume k \leq i then show f(g i) = f(g k)
   proof (induct i)
     case \theta
     have k \leq \theta by fact hence k = \theta by simp
     thus f(g \theta) = f(g k)
       by simp
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Suc\ n)
     have k-Suc-n: k \le Suc \ n by fact
     then show f(g(Suc(n))) = f(g(k))
     proof (cases k = Suc n)
       case True
       thus ?thesis by simp
     next
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
       with k-Suc-n
       have k \leq n
         by simp
       with Suc.hyps
```

```
have n-k: f(g n) = f(g k) by simp
                   from le-g have le: f (g (Suc n)) <math>\leq f (g n)
                        \mathbf{by} \ simp
                   show ?thesis
                   proof (cases f (g (Suc n)) = f (g n))
                        case True with n-k show ?thesis by simp
                   next
                        case False
                        with le have f(g(Suc(n))) < f(g(n))
                            by simp
                        with n-k k have f (g (Suc n)) < f z
                        with min-z have g (Suc n) \notin range g
                            by blast
                        hence False by simp
                        thus ?thesis
                            \mathbf{by} \ simp
                   qed
              qed
         qed
     qed
     with k [symmetric] have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f(g i) = f z
         by simp
     hence \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow f (g (Suc i)) = f (g i)
         by simp
     with g have \forall i. k \leq i \longrightarrow (g (Suc i), (g i)) \in r
         by (auto simp add: in-measure-iff order-less-le)
     hence \forall i. (g (Suc (i+k)), (g (i+k))) \in r
         by simp
     then
    have \exists f. \ \forall i. \ (f \ (Suc \ i), f \ i) \in r
         by – (rule exI [where x=\lambda i.\ g\ (i+k)], simp)
     with wf-r show False
         by (simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
qed
lemmas all-imp-to-ex = all-simps (5)
lemma all-imp-eq-triv: (\forall x. \ x = k \longrightarrow Q) = Q
                                                      (\forall x. \ k = x \longrightarrow Q) = Q
    by auto
end
{\bf theory}\ \textit{VcgCommon}
{\bf imports} \; ../EmbSimpl/StateSpace \; HOL-StateSpace. StateSpaceLocale \; ../EmbSimpl/Generalise \; and \; an extension of the state of
../EmbSimpl/HoareCon
```

begin

```
definition list-multsel:: 'a list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow 'a list (infixl !! 100)
 where xs !! ns = map (nth xs) ns
definition list-multupd:: 'a list <math>\Rightarrow nat list <math>\Rightarrow 'a list <math>\Rightarrow 'a list
 where list-multupd xs ns ys = foldl (\lambda xs(n,v). xs[n:=v]) xs (zip ns ys)
nonterminal lmupdbinds and lmupdbind
syntax
  — @ multiple list update
 -lmupdbind:: ['a, 'a] => lmupdbind
                                          ((2-[:=]/-))
  :: lmupdbind => lmupdbinds
                                     (-)
  -lmupdbinds :: [lmupdbind, lmupdbinds] => lmupdbinds
  -LMUpdate :: ['a, lmupdbinds] => 'a \quad (-/[(-)] [900,0] 900)
translations
  -LMUpdate \ xs \ (-lmupdbinds \ b \ bs) == -LMUpdate \ (-LMUpdate \ xs \ b) \ bs
 xs[is[:=]ys] == CONST list-multupd xs is ys
reverse application
definition rapp:: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ (infixr } |> 60)
 where rapp x f = f x
nonterminal
  bdy and
  newinit and
  newinits and
 grds and
 grd and
  locinit and
  locinits and
  basics and
  basic and
  basicblock and
 switch case and
  switch cases
syntax
              "b" => ('a" => 'b")
  -quote
  -antiquoteCur0 :: ('a => 'b) => 'b
                                              ('- [1000] 1000)
  -antiquoteCur :: ('a => 'b) => 'b
  -antiquoteOld0 :: ('a => 'b) => 'a => 'b
                                                      ( [1000, 1000] 1000 )
  -antiquoteOld :: ('a => 'b) => 'a => 'b
                                        ((\{-\}) [0] 1000)
             :: 'a => 'a \ set
  -Assert
  -AssertState :: idt \Rightarrow 'a = > 'a \text{ set} ((\{-, -\}) [1000, 0] 1000)
                                      (-\sqrt{1000}] 1000
  -guarantee :: 's set \Rightarrow grd
  -guaranteeStrip:: 's set \Rightarrow grd
                                      (-# [1000] 1000)
              :: 's \ set \Rightarrow grd
                                     (-[1000]\ 1000)
  -grd
```

```
-last-qrd
                :: grd \Rightarrow grds
                                        (-1000)
 -grds
                :: [grd, grds] \Rightarrow grds (-,/ - [999,1000] 1000)
                :: [ident,'a] \Rightarrow newinit ((2' - :==/ -))
  \textit{-}newinit
             :: newinit \Rightarrow newinits (-)
              :: [newinit, newinits] \Rightarrow newinits (-,/-)
  -newinits
  -locnoinit
              :: ident \Rightarrow locinit
               :: [ident,'a] \Rightarrow locinit
  -locinit
              :: locinit \Rightarrow locinits
              :: [locinit, locinits] \Rightarrow locinits (-,/-)
  -locinits
  -BasicBlock:: basics \Rightarrow basicblock (-)
  -BAssign :: 'b => 'b => basic
                                          ((-:==/-)[30, 30]23)
            :: basic \Rightarrow basics
            :: [basic, basics] \Rightarrow basics (-,/-)
  -basics
  -switchcasesSingle :: switchcase \Rightarrow switchcases (-)
  -switchcasesCons::switchcases \Rightarrow switchcases \Rightarrow switchcases
                     (-/ | -)
syntax (ASCII)
              :: 'a => 'a \ set
                                          ((\{|-|\}) [\theta] 1000)
  -Assert
  -AssertState :: idt \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set
                                          ((\{|-,-|\}) [1000,0] 1000)
syntax (xsymbols)
  -Assert
              :: 'a => 'a set
                                           ((\{-\}) [0] 1000)
  -AssertState :: idt \Rightarrow 'a => 'a set
                                            (({-. -}) [1000,0] 1000)
  -AssertR
               :: 'a => 'a \ set
                                             ((\{-\}_r) [0] 1000)
translations
(-switchcasesSingle\ b) => [b]
(-switchcasesCons\ b\ bs) => CONST\ Cons\ b\ bs
parse-ast-translation (
  let
   fun\ tr\ c\ asts = Ast.mk-appl\ (Ast.Constant\ c)\ (map\ Ast.strip-positions\ asts)
  [(@{syntax-const - antiquoteCur0}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteCur})),
   (@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld0}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}))]
  end
print-ast-translation (
  let
   fun \ tr \ c \ asts = Ast.mk-appl \ (Ast.Constant \ c) \ asts
  [(@\{syntax-const - antiquoteCur\}, K (tr @\{syntax-const - antiquoteCur0\})),
   (@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}, K (tr @{syntax-const - antiquoteOldo}))]
  end
```

nonterminal par and pars and actuals

```
syntax
  \textit{-par} :: \ 'a \, \Rightarrow \, par
     :: par \Rightarrow pars
  -pars :: [par, pars] \Rightarrow pars
  -actuals :: pars \Rightarrow actuals
  -actuals\text{-}empty::actuals
syntax
  -faccess :: 'ref \Rightarrow ('ref \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow 'v
  (-\to -[65,1000]\ 100)
syntax (ASCII)
  -faccess :: 'ref \Rightarrow ('ref \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow 'v
  (--> - [65,1000] 100)
translations
             => f p
 p \rightarrow f
 g \rightarrow (-antiquoteCur f) <= -antiquoteCur f g
                           ==\{|-antiquoteCur(\ (=)\ s) \land P\ |\}
 \{|s. P|\}
 \{|b|\}
                        => CONST\ Collect\ (-quote\ b)
nonterminal modifyargs
syntax
  -may-modify :: ['a,'a,modifyargs] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-only'-modify'-globals - in [-] [100,100,0] 100)
  -may-not-modify :: ['a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-not'-modify'-globals - [100,100] 100)
  -may-modify-empty :: ['a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool
       (- may'-only'-modify'-globals - in [] [100,100] 100)
  -modifyargs :: [id, modifyargs] \Rightarrow modifyargs (-,/-)
             :: id => modifyargs
translations
s may-only-modify-globals Z in [] => s may-not-modify-globals Z
axiomatization NoBody::('s,'p,'f) com
ML-file hoare.ML
ML-file hoare-syntax.ML
parse-translation (
  let
    val \ argsC = @\{syntax-const - modifyargs\};
   val\ globalsN = globals;
   val\ ex = @\{const\text{-}syntax\ mex\};
   val\ eq = @\{const-syntax\ meq\};
    val \ varn = Hoare-Con.varname;
```

```
fun\ extract-args\ (Const\ (argsC, -)\$Free\ (n, -)\$t) = varn\ n::extract-args\ t
     | extract-args (Free (n,-)) = [varn n]
                               = raise TERM (extract-args, [t])
     | extract-args t
   fun\ idx\ []\ y = error\ idx:\ element\ not\ in\ list
    idx (x::xs) y = if x=y then 0 else (idx xs y)+1
   fun\ gen-update\ ctxt\ names\ (name,t) =
         Hoare-Syntax-Common.update-comp ctxt [] false true name (Bound (idx
names name)) t
   fun\ gen-updates\ ctxt\ names\ t=Library.foldr\ (gen-update\ ctxt\ names)\ (names,t)
   fun\ qen-ex\ (name,t) = Syntax.const\ ex\ \$\ Abs\ (name,dummyT,t)
   fun\ gen-exs\ names\ t=Library.foldr\ gen-ex\ (names,t)
   fun \ tr \ ctxt \ s \ Z \ names =
     let val upds = gen-updates ctxt (rev names) (Syntax.free globalsN\$Z);
         val\ eq\ = Syntax.const\ eq\ \$\ (Syntax.free\ globalsN\$s)\ \$\ upds;
     in gen-exs names eq end;
   fun\ may-modify-tr\ ctxt\ [s,Z,names] = tr\ ctxt\ s\ Z
                                      (sort-strings (extract-args names))
   fun may-not-modify-tr ctxt [s,Z] = tr ctxt s Z []
  [(@{syntax-const - may-modify}, may-modify-tr),
   (@\{syntax-const - may-not-modify\}, may-not-modify-tr)]
  end
print-translation (
   val\ argsC = @\{syntax-const - modifyargs\};
   val\ chop = Hoare-Con.chopsfx\ Hoare-Con.deco;
   fun\ get\text{-}state\ (\ -\ \$\ -\ \$\ t) = get\text{-}state\ t\ (*\ for\ record-updates*)
      get-state (-\$-\$-\$-\$-\$) = get-state t (* for statespace - updates *)
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -free\}, -) \$ \ Free \ -)) = s
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -bound\}, -) \$ \ Free \ -)) = s
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ (@\{syntax-const \ -var\}, -) \$ \ Var \ -)) = s
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Const \ -)) = s
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Free \ -)) = s
      get-state (globals\$(s \ as \ Bound \ -)) = s
     | qet-state t
                              = raise Match;
```

```
fun \ mk-args \ [n] = Syntax.free \ (chop \ n)
     \mid mk\text{-}args\ (n::ns) = Syntax.const\ argsC\ \$\ Syntax.free\ (chop\ n)\ \$\ mk\text{-}args\ ns
     | mk-args -
                      = raise Match;
   fun \ tr' \ names \ (Abs \ (n,-,t)) = tr' \ (n::names) \ t
     \mid tr' \ names \ (Const \ (@\{const-syntax \ mex\}, -) \ \$ \ t) = tr' \ names \ t
     |tr'| names (Const (@\{const-syntax meq\},-) \$ (globals\$s) \$ upd) =
           let \ val \ Z = get\text{-}state \ upd;
           in (case names of
                |xs| > Syntax.const @\{syntax-const -may-modify\} \$ s \$ Z \$ mk-args
(rev names))
           end;
   fun \ may-modify-tr'[t] = tr'[t]
  fun\ may-not-modify-tr'[-\$s,-\$Z] = Syntax.const\ @\{syntax-const-may-not-modify\}
s s Z
 in
   [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ mex\},\ K\ may\text{-}modify\text{-}tr'),
    (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ meq\},\ K\ may\text{-}not\text{-}modify\text{-}tr')]
 end
syntax
-Measure:: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
     (MEASURE - [22] 1)
-Mlex:: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
     (infixr <*MLEX*> 30)
-to-quote:: 'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)
     (quot - [22] 1)
-to-anti-quote:: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b
     (antiquot - [22] 1)
translations
                   => (CONST measure) (-quote f)
MEASURE f
f <*MLEX*> r => (-quote f) <*mlex*> r
quot \ P => (-quote \ P)
antiquot P => (-antiquoteCur P)
print-translation (
  let
   \mathit{fun\ selector\ }(\mathit{Const\ }(c,T)) = \mathit{Hoare-Con.is-state-var\ } c
     \mid selector - = false;
   fun\ measure-tr'\ ctxt\ ((t\ as\ (Abs\ (-,-,p)))::ts)=
         if Hoare-Syntax-Common.antiquote-applied-only-to selector p
      then\ Hoare-Syntax-Common.app-quote-tr'\ ctxt\ (Syntax.const\ @\{syntax-const
```

```
-Measure\}) (t::ts)
        else\ raise\ Match
     | measure-tr' - - = raise Match
   fun\ mlex-tr'\ ctxt\ ((t\ as\ (Abs\ (-,-,p)))::r::ts) =
        if\ Hoare-Syntax-Common.antiquote-applied-only-to\ selector\ p
      then\ Hoare-Syntax-Common. app-quote-tr'\ ctxt\ (Syntax.const\ @\{syntax-const
-Mlex\}) (t::r::ts)
        else raise Match
     \mid mlex-tr' - - = raise Match
  [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ measure\},\ measure\text{-}tr'),
   (@{const-syntax mlex-prod}, mlex-tr')]
 end
parse-translation (
    fun\ quote-tr1\ ctxt\ [t] = Hoare-Syntax-Common.quote-tr\ ctxt\ @\{syntax-const
-antiquoteCur} t
     | quote-tr1 \ ctxt \ ts = raise \ TERM \ (quote-tr1, \ ts);
 in \ [(@\{syntax\text{-}const \ \text{-}quote\}, \ quote\text{-}tr1)] \ end
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const - antiquoteCur}],
  K (Hoare-Syntax-Common.antiquote-varname-tr @\{syntax-const-antiquoteCur\}))]
parse-translation (
[(@{syntax-const - antiquoteOld}, Hoare-Syntax-Common.antiquoteOld-tr),
 (@{syntax-const - BasicBlock}, Hoare-Syntax-Common.basic-assigns-tr)]
end
20
       Facilitating the Hoare Logic
theory VcqCon
imports common/VcgCommon LocalRG-HoareDef
keywords procedures hoarestate :: thy-decl
begin
locale hoare =
 fixes \Gamma::('s,'p,'f,'e) body
axiomatization NoBody::('s,'p,'f,'e) com
```

ML-file hoare.ML

Variables of the programming language are represented as components of a record. To avoid cluttering up the namespace of Isabelle with lots of typical variable names, we append a unusual suffix at the end of each name by parsing

```
definition to-normal::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) xstate \times ('a, 'b) xstate where to-normal a \ b \equiv (Normal \ a, Normal \ b)
```

20.1 Some Fancy Syntax

```
reverse application
```

```
definition rapp:: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ (infixr } |> 60) where rapp \ x \ f = f \ x
```

```
notation
Skip (SKIP) and
Throw (THROW)
```

```
syntax -raise:: c \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow (a,b,f,e) com ((RAISE - :==/-)[30, 30] 23)
```

```
-raise-ev:: 'c \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('a,'b,'f,'e) \ com \ ((RAISE - :==(-)/ -) [30, 30, 30])
30 23)
 -seq:('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com\ (-;;/\ -\ [20,\ 21]\ 20)
 -guarantee :: 's set \Rightarrow grd (-\sqrt{[1000] 1000})
 -guaranteeStrip:: 's set \Rightarrow grd
                                       (-# [1000] 1000)
                                       (- [1000] 1000)
                :: 's \ set \Rightarrow qrd
 -grd
 -last-qrd
                :: qrd \Rightarrow qrds
                                      (-1000)
 -grds
                :: [grd, grds] \Rightarrow grds (-,/-[999,1000] 1000)
                :: grds \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
 -quards
                            ((-/\mapsto -) [60, 21] 23)
 -Normal
               :: 'a => 'b
               "b" => 'b" => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com ((-:==/-) [30, 30] 23)
 -Assign
                  b = b' = b' = b' \Rightarrow (b', b', b', b') com \quad ((- :==(-)/-) [30,1000]
 -Assign-ev
30] 23)
 \textit{-}Init
             :: ident \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) com
         ((' - :== -/ -) [30,1000, 30] 23)
  -Init-ev :: ident \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
          (('-:==(-)/-/-)[30,1000,1000,30]23)
 -Guarded Assign:: b = b = b = (s, p, f, e) com ((- :==_g/ -) [30, 30] 23)
 30 23
```

```
-New
                :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                          ((-:==/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -New-ev
                :: ['a, 'e, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                         ((-:==(-)/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNew :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                          ((-:==_q/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNew-ev :: ['a,'e,'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a,'b,'f,'e) com
                                         ((-:==_{g^-}/(2 NEW -/ [-])) [30, 30, 65, 0] 23)
                  :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
  -NNew
                                          ((-:==/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -NNew-ev
                     :: ['a, 'e, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                       ((-:==(-)/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNNew :: ['a, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                          ((-:==_q/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 65, 0] 23)
  -GuardedNNew-ev :: ['a, 'e, 'b, newinits] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'f, 'e) com
                                        ((-:==_{q^-}/(2 NNEW -/ [-])) [30, 30, 65, 0] 23)
  -Cond
                ":" 'a bexp => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com => ('s,'p,'f,'e)
com
       ((0IF (-)/(2THEN/-)/(2ELSE-)/FI) [0, 0, 0] 71)
  -Cond-no-else:: 'a bexp => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
        ((0IF (-)/(2THEN/-)/FI) [0, 0] 71)
 -GuardedCond :: 'a \ bexp => ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com => ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com => ('s,'p,'f,'e)
com
        ((0IF_q (-)/(2THEN -)/(2ELSE -)/FI) [0, 0, 0] 71)
  -GuardedCond-no-else:: 'a bexp => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
       ((0IF_q (-)/(2THEN -)/FI) [0, 0] 71)
  -Await :: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
       ((0AWAIT (-)/ -) [0, 0] 71)
  -Await-ev :: 'e \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
        ((0AWAIT_{\downarrow -} (-)/ -) [0,0,0] 71)
  -GuardedAwait :: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
       ((0AWAIT_q (-)/ -) [0, 0] 71)
  -GuardedAwait-ev :: 'e \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
        ((0AWAIT_{q\downarrow -} (-)/ -) [0,0,0] 71)
  -While-inv-var :: 'a bexp => 'a assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow bdy
                         \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-)/INV (-)/VAR (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -WhileFix-inv-var :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                          ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                         \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
       ((0WHILE (-)/ FIX -./ INV (-)/ VAR (-) /-) [25, 0, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -WhileFix-inv :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow bdy
                         \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
       ((0WHILE (-)/FIX -./INV (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While Fix-inv-var :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                          ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                         \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
       ((0WHILE_q (-)/FIX -./INV (-)/VAR (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 0, 81] 71)
```

```
-GuardedWhileFix-inv-var-hook :: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow
                             ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set) \Rightarrow bdy
                           \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
  -Guarded While Fix-inv :: 'a bexp => pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a assn) \Rightarrow bdy
                           \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((0WHILE_q (-)/FIX - ./INV (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While-inv-var::
       'a\ bexp => 'a\ assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)\ set \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e)\ com
        ((0WHILE_g (-)/INV (-)/VAR (-)/-) [25, 0, 0, 81] 71)
  -While-inv :: 'a bexp => 'a assn => bdy => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
        ((0WHILE (-)/INV (-)/-) [25, 0, 81] 71)
  -Guarded While-inv :: 'a bexp => 'a assn => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com => ('s,'p,'f,'e)
com
        ((0WHILE_{a}(-)/INV(-)/-)[25, 0, 81] 71)
               - While
        ((0WHILE (-) /-) [25, 81] 71)
  \hbox{\it -} Guarded \, While
                            :: 'a bexp => bdy => ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
        ((0WHILE_{g} (-) /-) [25, 81] 71)
  -While-guard
                         :: grds =  'a bexp =  bdy =  ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
        ((0WHILE (-/\longmapsto (1-)) /-) [1000,25,81] 71)
  -While-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\mapsto (1-)) INV (-) /-) [1000,25,0,81] 71)
  -While-guard-inv-var:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ set
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((\textit{0WHILE} (-/\!\longmapsto (1\text{--})) \textit{INV} (-)/ \textit{VAR} (-) /-) [\textit{1000}, 25, 0, 0, 81] \textit{71})
   -WhileFix-guard-inv-var:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a \ assn) \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)
set)
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\longmapsto (1-)) FIX -./ INV (-)/ VAR (-) /-) [1000,25,0,0,0,81]
71)
  -WhileFix-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow ('z \Rightarrow 'a \ assn)
                              \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
        ((0WHILE (-/\mapsto (1-)) FIX -./ INV (-)/-) [1000,25,0,0,81] 71)
  -Try-Catch:: ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
        ((0TRY (-)/(2CATCH -)/END) [0,0] 71)
  -DoPre :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
  -Do :: ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow bdy \ ((2DO/(-)) \ /OD \ [0] \ 1000)
  -Lab:: 'a bexp \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com \Rightarrow bdy
            (-\cdot/-[1000,71] 81)
  :: bdy \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ (-)
  -Spec:: pttrn \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow \ ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
            ((ANNO - . -/ (-)/ -,/-) [0,1000,20,1000,1000] 60)
  -SpecNoAbrupt:: pttrn \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow \ ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow 's \ set \Rightarrow \ ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
            ((ANNO - . -/ (-)/ -) [0,1000,20,1000] 60)
  -LemAnno:: 'n \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
               ((0 \ LEMMA \ (-)/ \ - \ END) \ [1000,0] \ 71)
```

```
-Loc:: [locinits, ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com] \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com
                                         ((2\ LOC\ -;;/\ (-)\ COL)\ [0,0]\ 71)
  -Switch:: ('s \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow switchcases \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
              ((0 \ SWITCH \ (-)/ \ - \ END) \ [22,0] \ 71)
  -switchcase:: v set \Rightarrow (s, p, f, e) com \Rightarrow switchcase (-\Rightarrow / - )
  -Basic:: basicblock \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com ((0BASIC/ (-)/ END) [22] 71)
  -Basic-ev:: 'e \Rightarrow basicblock \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \ ((0BASIC(-)/(-)/END) \ [22,
22 71
syntax (ascii)
                        :: grds => 'a bexp => bdy \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
  -While-quard
        ((0WHILE (-|->/-)/-) [0,0,1000] 71)
  -While-guard-inv:: grds \Rightarrow 'a \ bexp \Rightarrow 'a \ assn \Rightarrow bdy \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
       ((\textit{0WHILE} \; (\text{-}|\text{-}>/\text{-}) \; \textit{INV} \; (\text{-}) \; /\text{-}) \; [\textit{0},\textit{0},\textit{0},\textit{1000}] \; \textit{71})
  -guards :: grds \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s, 'p, 'f, 'e) \ com \ ((-|->-) \ [60, 21] \ 23)
syntax (output)
  -hidden-grds
                     :: grds (...)
translations
  -Do c => c
  b \cdot c = > CONST \ condCatch \ c \ b \ SKIP
  b \cdot (-DoPre\ c) <= CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP
  l \cdot (CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I \ V \ c) <= l \cdot (-DoPre \ (CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ b \ I)
V(c)
  l \cdot (CONST \ whileAnno \ b \ I \ V \ c) <= l \cdot (-DoPre \ (CONST \ whileAnno \ b \ I \ V \ c))
  CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP <= (-DoPre\ (CONST\ condCatch\ c\ b\ SKIP))
  -Do c <= -DoPre c
  c;; d == CONST Seq c d
  -guarantee g => (CONST\ True,\ g)
  -guaranteeStrip\ g == CONST\ guaranteeStripPair\ (CONST\ True)\ g
  -grd\ g => (CONST\ False,\ g)
  -grds \ g \ gs => g \# gs
  -last-grd g => [g]
  -guards gs\ c == CONST\ guards\ gs\ c
  IF b THEN c1 ELSE c2 FI \Rightarrow CONST Cond \{|b|\} c1 c2
  IF b THEN c1 FI
                                == IF b THEN c1 ELSE SKIP FI
                                 == IF _q b THEN c1 ELSE SKIP FI
  IF_g b THEN c1 FI
  AWAIT \ b \ c == CONST \ Await \ \{|b|\} \ c \ (CONST \ None)
  AWAIT_{\downarrow e} \ b \ c == CONST \ Await \ \{|b|\} \ c \ (CONST \ Some \ e)
```

```
-While-inv-var b I V c
                               => CONST \ whileAnno \ \{|b|\} \ I \ V \ c
  -While-inv-var b I V (-DoPre c) <= CONST \text{ whileAnno } \{|b|\} \text{ I V c}
                                 == -While-inv-var b I (CONST undefined) c
  -While-inv b I c
  -While b c
                                == -While-inv \ b \ \{|CONST \ undefined|\} \ c
  -While-guard-inv-var gs b I V c
                                           => CONST \ whileAnnoG \ gs \ \{|b|\} \ I \ V \ c
 -While-guard-inv gs b I c
                             == -While-guard-inv-var gs b I (CONST undefined)
  -While-guard gs b c
                                 == -While-guard-inv \ gs \ b \ \{|CONST \ undefined|\} \ c
  -GuardedWhile-inv b I c = -GuardedWhile-inv-var b I (CONST undefined) c
  -GuardedWhile b c
                            == -GuardedWhile-inv b {|CONST| undefined|} c
  TRY c1 CATCH c2 END
                                 == CONST Catch c1 c2
  ANNO s. P c Q,A = > CONST specAnno (\lambda s. P) (\lambda s. c) (\lambda s. Q) (\lambda s. A)
  ANNO\ s.\ P\ c\ Q == ANNO\ s.\ P\ c\ Q,\{\}
 -WhileFix-inv-var b z I V c => CONST whileAnnoFix \{|b|\}\ (\lambda z.\ I)\ (\lambda z.\ V)\ (\lambda z.
c)
  -WhileFix-inv-var\ b\ z\ I\ V\ (-DoPre\ c) <= -WhileFix-inv-var\ \{|b|\}\ z\ I\ V\ c
  -WhileFix-inv b z I c == -WhileFix-inv-var b z I (CONST undefined) c
  -GuardedWhileFix-inv b z I c == -GuardedWhileFix-inv-var b z I (CONST un-
defined) c
  -GuardedWhileFix-inv-var\ b\ z\ I\ V\ c =>
                     -Guarded While Fix-inv-var-hook \{|b|\}\ (\lambda z.\ I)\ (\lambda z.\ V)\ (\lambda z.\ c)
  -WhileFix-guard-inv-var gs b z I V c = >
                                   CONST while Anno GFix gs \{|b|\}\ (\lambda z.\ I)\ (\lambda z.\ V)
(\lambda z. c)
  -While Fix-guard-inv-var gs b z I V (-DoPre c) \leq
                                 -WhileFix-guard-inv-var gs \{|b|\} z I V c
  -While Fix-quard-inv qs b z I c = -While Fix-quard-inv-var qs b z I (CONST
undefined) c
  LEMMA \ x \ c \ END == CONST \ lem \ x \ c
translations
(-switchcase\ V\ c) => (V,c)
(-Switch\ v\ vs) => CONST\ switch\ (-quote\ v)\ vs
print-ast-translation \langle\!\langle
   fun dest-abs (Ast.Appl [Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const - abs\}, x, t]) = (x, t)
     | dest-abs - = raise Match;
```

```
fun\ spec-tr'\ [P,\ c,\ Q,\ A] =
       val(x',P') = dest-abs P;
       val(-,c') = dest-abs(c);
       val(-,Q') = dest-abs(Q);
       val(-,A') = dest-abs A;
        if (A' = Ast.Constant @\{const-syntax bot\})
        then Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const - SpecNoAbrupt\}) [x', P',
c', Q'
        else Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const -Spec\}) [x', P', c', Q', A']
   fun\ while AnnoFix-tr'[b, I, V, c] =
     let
        val(x',I') = dest-abs I;
       val(-, V') = dest-abs(V);
        val(-,c') = dest-abs(c);
         Ast.mk-appl (Ast.Constant @\{syntax-const - WhileFix-inv-var\}) [b, x', I',
V', c'
     end;
  in
  [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ specAnno\},\ K\ spec\text{-}tr'),
   (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ whileAnnoFix\},\ K\ whileAnnoFix\text{-}tr')]
  end
\rangle\rangle
syntax -Call :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)\ (CALL -- [1000,1000]\ 21)
     -GuardedCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e) \ com) \ (CALL_g -- [1000,1000])
21)
      -CallAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e) \ com)
            (-:==CALL -- [30,1000,1000] 21)
      -Proc :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) com) (PROC -- 21)
      -ProcAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) com)
            (-:==PROC - [30,1000,1000] 21)
      -GuardedCallAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e) \ com)
            (-:==CALL_g -- [30,1000,1000] 21)
     -DynCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com) \ (DYNCALL -- [1000, 1000])
21)
       -GuardedDynCall :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e) \ com) \ (DYNCALL_g \ --
[1000, 1000] 21)
      -DynCallAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e) \ com)
            (-:==DYNCALL -- [30,1000,1000] 21)
      -GuardedDynCallAss:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
            (-:==DYNCALL_q -- [30,1000,1000] 21)
```

```
-Call-ev: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e)
com)
           (CALL_E - [1000, 1000, 1000, 1000, 1000] 21)
         -GuardedCall-ev :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow
(('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
         (CALL_{Eg} ----- [1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
-CallAss-ev:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e option \Rightarrow 'e option \Rightarrow 'e option \Rightarrow
(('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
               (-:==CALL_E ---- [30,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
      -Proc-ev: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e))
com)
               (PROC_E - 21)
         -\textit{ProcAss-ev}: \ 'a \ \Rightarrow \ 'p \ \Rightarrow \ \textit{actuals} \ \Rightarrow \ 'e \ \textit{option} \ \Rightarrow \ 'e \ \textit{option} \ \Rightarrow \ 'e \ \textit{option} \ \Rightarrow
(('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
               (-:==PROC_E ---- [30,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
       -GuardedCallAss-ev:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option
\Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com)
               (-:==CALL_{E_q} ---- [30,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
      -DynCall-ev :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e))
com)
               (DYNCALL_E ---- [1000, 1000, 1000, 1000, 1000] 21)
         -GuardedDynCall-ev :: 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option
\Rightarrow (('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
       (DYNCALL_{eg} ----- [1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
-DynCallAss-ev:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow'e option \Rightarrow 'e option \Rightarrow 'e option \Rightarrow
(('a,string,'f,'e)\ com)
               (-:==DYNCALL ----- [30,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
        -GuardedDynCallAss-ev:: 'a \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow actuals \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e \ option \Rightarrow 'e
option \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com)
               (-:==DYNCALL_q ----- [30,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000] 21)
        -Bind:: ['s \Rightarrow 'v, idt, 'v \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com] \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) com
                          (- \gg -./ - [22,1000,21] 21)
        -bseq:('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com \Rightarrow ('s,'p,'f,'e) \ com
            (-\gg/-[22, 21] 21)
        -FCall :: ['p, actuals, idt, (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com)] \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com)
                          (CALL -- \gg -./ - [1000, 1000, 1000, 21] 21)
            -FCall-ev :: ['p,actuals,'e option,'e option,'e option,idt,(('a,string,'f,'e)
|com| \Rightarrow (('a, string, 'f, 'e) \ com)
                          (CALL_e -- --- > -./ - [1000,1000,1000,1000,1000,1000,21] 21)
```

translations

-Bind e i c == CONST bind (-quote e) $(\lambda i.\ c)$

```
-FCall p acts i c == -FCall p acts (\lambda i. c)
-bseq c d == CONST bseq c d

definition Let':: ['a, 'a => 'b] => 'b
where Let' = Let

ML-file hoare-syntax.ML
```

```
parse-translation \langle\!\langle
 let \ val \ ev1 = (Syntax.const @\{const-syntax \ None\});
     val\ ev2 = (Syntax.const\ @\{const-syntax\ None\});
     val\ ev3 = (Syntax.const\ @\{const-syntax\ None\})\ in
 [(@{syntax-const -Call}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr false false ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -FCall}, Hoare-Syntax.fcall-tr ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -CallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr false false ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -GuardedCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr false true ev1 ev2 ev3),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr false true ev1 ev2
ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -Proc}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-tr ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -ProcAss}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-ass-tr ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -DynCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr true false ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -DynCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr true false ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax.const -GuardedDynCall}, Hoare-Syntax.call-tr true true ev1 ev2 ev3),
 (@{syntax-const-GuardedDynCallAss}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-tr true true ev1 ev2
ev3),
 (@{syntax-const -Call-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ev-tr false false),
 (@{syntax-const - FCall-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.fcall-ev-tr}),
 (@{syntax-const -CallAss-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-ev-tr false false),
 (@{syntax-const - GuardedCall-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ev-tr false true),
 (@\{syntax-const - GuardedCallAss-ev\}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-ev-tr false true),
 (@{syntax-const - Proc-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-ev-tr),
 (@{syntax-const - ProcAss-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-ass-ev-tr),
 (@{syntax-const - DynCall-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ev-tr true false),
 (@{syntax-const -DynCallAss-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-ev-tr true false),
 (@{syntax-const -GuardedDynCall-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ev-tr true true),
 (@{syntax-const - GuardedDynCallAss-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.call-ass-ev-tr true true})]
```

```
parse-translation \langle \! \langle
[(@{syntax-const - Assign}), Hoare-Syntax.assign-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - Assign-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.assign-ev-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - raise}, Hoare-Syntax.raise-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - raise-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.raise-ev-tr),
  (@\{syntax-const -New\}, Hoare-Syntax.new-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -New-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.new-ev-tr}),
  (@{syntax-const -NNew}, Hoare-Syntax.nnew-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -NNew-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.nnew-ev-tr}),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedAssign}, Hoare-Syntax.quarded-Assign-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedAssign-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-Assign-ev-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedNew}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-New-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedNNew}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-NNew-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedNew-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-New-ev-tr}),
  (@\{syntax\text{-}const\text{-}GuardedNNew\text{-}ev\}, \ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.guarded\text{-}NNew\text{-}ev\text{-}tr),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedWhile-inv-var}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-While-tr),
 (@\{syntax-const - GuardedWhileFix-inv-var-hook\}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-WhileFix-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedCond}), Hoare-Syntax.guarded-Cond-tr),
  (@{syntax-const -GuardedAwait}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-Await-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - GuardedAwait-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.guarded-Await-ev-tr}),
  (@{syntax-const - Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-tr),
  (@{syntax-const - Basic-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-ev-tr)]
\rangle\rangle
parse-translation \langle\!\langle
[(@{syntax-const -Init}, Hoare-Syntax.init-tr),
  (* (@{syntax-const - Init-ev}, Hoare-Syntax.init-ev-tr), *)
  (@\{syntax-const -Loc\}, Hoare-Syntax.loc-tr)]
\rangle\rangle
print-translation (
[(@{const-syntax Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.assign-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax raise}, Hoare-Syntax.raise-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.new-tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ Basic\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.init\text{-}tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Spec}, Hoare-Syntax.nnew-tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ block\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.loc\text{-}tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Collect}, Hoare-Syntax.assert-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Cond}, Hoare-Syntax.bexp-tr'-Cond),
  (@{const-syntax switch}, Hoare-Syntax.switch-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Basic}, Hoare-Syntax.basic-tr'),
```

end

(@{const-syntax guards}, Hoare-Syntax.guards-tr'),

```
(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ whileAnnoG\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.whileAnnoG\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ whileAnnoGFix\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.whileAnnoGFix-tr'),
  (@{const-syntax bind}, Hoare-Syntax.bind-tr')]
print-translation «
   fun spec-tr' ctxt ((coll as Const -)$
                  ((splt\ as\ Const\ -)\ \$\ (t\ as\ (Abs\ (s,T,p))))::ts) =
         let
           fun\ selector\ (Const\ (c,\ T)) = Hoare.is-state-var\ c
             | selector (Const (@{syntax-const -free}), -) $ (Free (c, T))) =
                 Hoare.is-state-var c
             \mid selector - = false;
           if Hoare-Syntax.antiquote-applied-only-to selector p then
             Syntax.const @{const-syntax Spec} $ coll $
               (splt \$ Hoare-Syntax.quote-mult-tr' ctxt selector
                        Hoare-Syntax.antiquoteCur Hoare-Syntax.antiquoteOld (Abs
(s,T,t)))
             else raise Match
      | spec-tr' - ts = raise Match
 in [(@\{const\text{-}syntax Spec\}, spec\text{-}tr')] end
print-translation ⟨⟨
 [(@\{const\text{-}syntax\ call\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.call\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ dynCall\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.dyn\text{-}call\text{-}tr'),
  (@\{const\text{-}syntax\ fcall\},\ Hoare\text{-}Syntax.fcall\text{-}tr'),
  (@{const-syntax Call}, Hoare-Syntax.proc-tr')]
nonterminal prgs
syntax
               :: prgs \Rightarrow 'a
  -PAR
                                           (COBEGIN//-//COEND 60)
              :: 'a \Rightarrow prgs
                                          (-57)
  -prg
              :: ['a, prgs] \Rightarrow prgs \qquad (-//\parallel//- [60,57] 57)
  -prgs
translations
  -prg \ a \rightharpoonup [a]
  -prgs a ps \rightharpoonup a \# ps
  -PAR ps \rightharpoonup ps
syntax
```

```
-prg-scheme :: ['a, 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow prgs \ (SCHEME \ [- \le - < -] \ - \ [0,0,0,60] \ 57)
```

translations

```
-prg-scheme j i k c \rightleftharpoons (\textit{CONST map } (\lambda i. \ c) \ [j..< k])
```

Translations for variables before and after a transition:

syntax

```
-before :: id \Rightarrow 'a \ (^{\circ}-)
-after :: id \Rightarrow 'a \ (^{a}-)
```

translations

```
{}^{\mathrm{o}}x == x \text{ ' } CONST fst
{}^{\mathrm{a}}x == x \text{ ' } CONST snd
```

end

theory XVcgCon imports VcgCon

begin

We introduce a syntactic variant of the let-expression so that we can safely unfold it during verification condition generation. With the new theorem attribute vcg-simp we can declare equalities to be used by the verification condition generator, while simplifying assertions.

syntax

```
-Let' :: [letbinds, basicblock] => basicblock ((LET (-)/IN (-)) 23)
```

translations

```
-Let' (-binds \ b \ bs) \ e == -Let' \ b \ (-Let' \ bs \ e)-Let' (-bind \ x \ a) \ e == CONST \ Let' \ a \ (\%x. \ e)
```

```
lemma Let'-unfold [vcg-simp]: Let' x f = f x by (simp add: Let'-def Let-def)
```

```
lemma Let'-split-conv [vcg-simp]:

(Let' x (\lambda p. (case-prod (f p) (g p)))) =

(Let' x (\lambda p. (f p) (fst (g p)) (snd (g p))))

by (simp add: split-def)
```

end