

specification

zy

July 6, 2019

Contents

theory *Design*

imports *Main HOL-Word.Word HOL-Library.Log-Nat HOL-Lattice.Lattice
HOL.Lattices Lib.NonDetMonadVCG*

begin

declare $[[\text{ } \textit{smt-solver} = \textit{z3}]]$

declare $[[\text{ } \textit{smt-timeout} = 300 \text{ }]]$

declare $[[\text{ } \textit{z3-options} = -\textit{memory:1000} \text{ }]]$

instantiation *nat :: leq*

begin

definition

leq-nat-def[simp]: $p \sqsubseteq q \equiv (p::nat) \leq q$

instance ..

end

instance *nat :: quasi-order*

proof

fix $x::nat$ **and** $y::nat$ **and** $z::nat$

show $x \sqsubseteq x$ **using** *leq-nat-def* **by** *auto*

show $x \sqsubseteq y \implies y \sqsubseteq z \implies x \sqsubseteq z$

using *leq-nat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

instance *nat :: partial-order*

proof

fix $x::nat$ **and** $y::nat$

show $x \sqsubseteq y \implies y \sqsubseteq x \implies x = y$ **using** *leq-nat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

instantiation *nat :: lattice*

begin

instance

```

proof
  fix  $x::nat$  and  $y::nat$ 
  show  $\exists inf. is-inf\ x\ y\ inf$  unfolding  $is-inf-def\ leq-nat-def$ 
  proof–
    have  $(Lattices.inf\ x\ y) \leq x$  by auto
    moreover have  $(Lattices.inf\ x\ y) \leq y$  by auto
    moreover have  $(\forall z. z \leq x \wedge z \leq y \longrightarrow z \leq (Lattices.inf\ x\ y))$  by auto
    ultimately show  $\exists inf \leq x. inf \leq y \wedge (\forall z. z \leq x \wedge z \leq y \longrightarrow z \leq inf)$  by
fastforce
  qed
  show  $\exists sup. is-sup\ x\ y\ sup$  unfolding  $is-sup-def\ leq-nat-def$ 
  proof–
    have  $(Lattices.sup\ x\ y) \geq x$  by auto
    moreover have  $y \leq (Lattices.sup\ x\ y)$  by auto
    moreover have  $(\forall z. x \leq z \wedge y \leq z \longrightarrow (Lattices.sup\ x\ y) \leq z)$ 
      by auto
    ultimately show  $\exists sup \geq x. y \leq sup \wedge (\forall z. x \leq z \wedge y \leq z \longrightarrow sup \leq z)$ 
      by fastforce
  qed
qed

end

datatype  $bhdr-t = Bhdr\ (s-addr::nat)\ (e-addr::nat)$ 

primrec  $b-size::bhdr-t \Rightarrow nat$ 
  where  $b-size\ (Bhdr\ s\ e) = (1 + e - s)$ 

type-synonym  $word32 = 32\ word$ 
type-synonym  $bitmap-t = word32$ 

```

— we declare `fl` to get finite the set of all the free blocks in a segregation matrix `l` defines the logarithm in base 2 for the second level segregation list `sm` is the logarithm in base 2 for the small block size, which will give the base size for first level segregations list for indexes bigger than 0. Index 0 will contain the free blocks of size smaller than `sm`. `min_block` gives the minimum size block. This is included to discard those allocations of size smaller than `min_block`, otherwise the implementation would include behaviours not contained in the specification

```

record  $Sys-Config =$ 

```

```

   $l :: nat$ 
   $sm :: nat$ 
   $min-block::nat$ 
   $overhead::nat$ 
   $mem-size::nat$ 

```

consts *conf*:: *Sys-Config*

specification(*conf*)

mbiggerl: $sm\ conf \geq l\ conf$

min-block-gt-overhead: $min\text{-}block\ conf > overhead\ conf$

oh-gt-0: $overhead\ conf > 0$

total-mem-gt-0: $mem\text{-}size\ conf > 0$

apply (*rule* *exI*[*of* - ($l = 0$, $sm = 0$, $min\text{-}block = 2$, $overhead = 1$, $mem\text{-}size = 1$)]])

by *auto*

definition *sl* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *nat*

where $sl\ cfg \equiv 2 \wedge (l\ cfg)$

declare *sl-def*[*simp*]

type-synonym *bhdr-matrix-t* = *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t set*

record *state-t* =

bhdr-matrix-f :: *bhdr-matrix-t*

allocated-bhdr-s :: *bhdr-t set*

definition *block-allocated*::*nat* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bool*

where $block\text{-}allocated\ addr\ \sigma \equiv \exists e\text{-}addr. (Bhdr\ addr\ e\text{-}addr) \in (allocated\text{-}bhdr\text{-}s\ \sigma)$

definition *get-allocated-block*::*nat* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t*

where $get\text{-}allocated\text{-}block\ addr\ \sigma \equiv THE\ b. \exists e\text{-}addr. b = (Bhdr\ addr\ e\text{-}addr) \wedge b \in (allocated\text{-}bhdr\text{-}s\ \sigma)$

definition *set-bhdr-matrix*::*bhdr-matrix-t* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t set* \Rightarrow *bhdr-matrix-t*

where $set\text{-}bhdr\text{-}matrix\ m\ i\ j\ v \equiv m(i := (m\ i)(j := v))$

definition *insert-block-bhdr-matrix*::*bhdr-matrix-t* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-matrix-t*

where $insert\text{-}block\text{-}bhdr\text{-}matrix\ m\ i\ j\ b \equiv set\text{-}bhdr\text{-}matrix\ m\ i\ j\ (insert\ b\ (m\ i\ j))$

definition *free-blocks* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t set*

where $free\text{-}blocks\ cfg\ \sigma \equiv \bigcup \{x. (\exists f\ s. s < sl\ cfg \wedge x = (bhdr\text{-}matrix\text{-}f\ \sigma)\ f\ s)\}$

definition *free-blocks-mat* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-matrix-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t set*

where $free\text{-}blocks\text{-}mat\ cfg\ m \equiv \bigcup \{x. (\exists f\ s. s < sl\ cfg \wedge x = m\ f\ s)\}$

lemma *free-blk-mat-s-eq*:

free-blocks conf s = free-blocks-mat conf (bhdr-matrix-f s)

unfolding *free-blocks-def free-blocks-mat-def ..*

definition *all-blocks* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t set*

where

all-blocks cfg $\sigma \equiv$ *free-blocks cfg* $\sigma \cup$ *allocated-bhdr-s* σ

definition *prev-hdr-s* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t option*

where

prev-hdr-s cfg b $\sigma \equiv$

(let $s = \{b'\}. (\forall s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr}. b = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}'. b' = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}' \wedge$

$b' \in \text{all-blocks cfg } \sigma \wedge e\text{-addr}' + 1 + \text{overhead conf} = s\text{-addr}))$

} in

if $s = \{\}$ then None else Some (THE $x. x \in s$))

definition *prev-free-hdr-s* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t option*

where

prev-free-hdr-s cfg b $\sigma \equiv$

(let $s = \{b'\}. (\forall s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr}. b = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}'. b' = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}' \wedge$

$b' \in \text{free-blocks cfg } \sigma \wedge e\text{-addr}' + 1 + \text{overhead conf} = s\text{-addr}))$

} in

if $s = \{\}$ then None else Some (THE $x. x \in s$))

definition *suc-hdr-s* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t option*

where

suc-hdr-s cfg b $\sigma \equiv$

(let $s = \{b'\}. (\forall s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr}. b = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}'. b' = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}' \wedge$

$b' \in \text{all-blocks cfg } \sigma \wedge e\text{-addr} + 1 + \text{overhead conf} = s\text{-addr}'))$

} in

if $s = \{\}$ then None else Some (THE $x. x \in s$))

definition *suc-hdr-free-s* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t option*

where

suc-hdr-free-s cfg b $\sigma \equiv$

(let $s = \{b'\}. (\forall s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr}. b = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr } e\text{-addr} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}'. b' = \text{Bhdr } s\text{-addr}' e\text{-addr}' \wedge$

$b' \in \text{free-blocks cfg } \sigma \wedge e\text{-addr} + 1 + \text{overhead conf} = s\text{-addr}'))$

} in

if $s = \{\}$ then None else Some (THE $x. x \in s$))

definition *is-alloc* :: *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bool*

where *is-alloc b* $\sigma \equiv b \in \text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma$

definition *is-free* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *bhdr-t* \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *is-free* *cfg* *b* $\sigma \equiv b \in \text{free-blocks } \text{cfg } \sigma$

definition *size-l1* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat*
where *size-l1* *i* $\equiv 2^i$

definition *size-small-l1* :: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat*
where *size-small-l1* *cfg* *i* \equiv
let *size-i* = *if* (*i*=0) *then* 2 *else* *size-l1* *i* *in*
size-i * 2^(*sm* *cfg* - 1)

lemma *small-level-i-l-i':i<i' \implies size-small-l1* *cfg* *i* \leq *size-small-l1* *cfg* *i'*

proof (*induct i'*)

case 0

then show ?*case* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*
by *auto*

next

case (*Suc i'*)

{**assume** *i=i'* **then have** ?*case* **using** *Suc* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*

by *auto*

}

moreover {**assume** *i<i'*

then have *size-small-l1* *cfg* *i* \leq *size-small-l1* *cfg* *i'* **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

moreover have *size-small-l1* *cfg* *i'* \leq *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i'*)

unfolding *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately have ?*case* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*

by *linarith*

}

ultimately show ?*case* **using** *Suc* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *small-level-Suci-lt-Suci':i<i' \implies size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i*) $<$ *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i'*)

proof (*induct i'*)

case 0

then show ?*case* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*
by *auto*

next

case (*Suc i'*)

{**assume** *i=i'* **then have** ?*case* **using** *Suc* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*

by *auto*

}

moreover {**assume** *i<i'*

then have *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i*) $<$ *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i'*) **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

moreover have *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc i'*) $<$ *size-small-l1* *cfg* (*Suc* (*Suc i'*))

unfolding *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately have ?*case* **unfolding** *size-small-l1-def* *size-l1-def*

```

    by linarith
  }
  ultimately show ?case using Suc by fastforce
qed

primrec range-l1 :: Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat $\times$ nat)
  where range-l1 cfg 0 = (0, (size-small-l1 cfg 0) - 1)
    | range-l1 cfg (Suc n) = (size-small-l1 cfg (Suc n), 2*size-small-l1 cfg (Suc n) - 1)

lemma size-small-level-gt-1: Suc 0 < size-small-l1 cfg n
  apply (cases sm cfg, induct n)
  by (auto simp add: size-small-l1-def size-l1-def Suc-lessI)

lemma mult-g-1: x > Suc 0  $\implies$  n > 1  $\implies$  x < n*x - 1
  by (simp add: add.commute mult-eq-if)

lemma range-l1-fst-lt-snd:
  fst (range-l1 cfg i) < snd (range-l1 cfg i)
using mult-g-1 by (cases i; simp add: size-small-level-gt-1)

lemma snd-rang-i-eq-fst-rang-suc-i:
  snd (range-l1 cfg n) + 1 = fst (range-l1 cfg (Suc n))
  by (cases n, auto simp add: size-small-l1-def size-l1-def)

lemma range-level1-disj: i  $\neq$  i'  $\implies$  range-l1 cfg i  $\neq$  range-l1 cfg i'
  by (cases i; cases i', auto simp add: range-l1-def size-small-l1-def size-l1-def)

lemma range-l1-snd-i-lt-fst-i': i < i'  $\implies$  snd (range-l1 cfg i) < fst (range-l1 cfg i')
proof (induct i' - i - 1 arbitrary: i)
  case 0
  then have i' = Suc i by auto
  then show ?case using snd-rang-i-eq-fst-rang-suc-i
    by (metis less-add-one)
next
  case (Suc x)
  then have Suc i < i' by auto
  moreover have x = i' - (Suc i) - 1
    using Suc(2) by auto
  ultimately have snd (range-l1 cfg (Suc i)) < fst (range-l1 cfg i')
    using Suc(1) by fast
  moreover have snd (range-l1 cfg i) < fst (range-l1 cfg (Suc i))
    using snd-rang-i-eq-fst-rang-suc-i
  by (metis less-add-one)

```

ultimately show *?case* using *range-l1-fst-lt-snd* by *auto*
qed

lemma *range-l1-i-not-0*:
 assumes *a0:i>0*
 shows *fst (range-l1 cfg i) = 2^(i + (sm cfg - 1))*
 proof-
 obtain *i'* where *i = Suc i'* using *a0*
 using *Suc-pred'* by *blast*
 then have *fst (range-l1 cfg i) = (size-small-l1 cfg i)* by *auto*
 thus *?thesis* unfolding *size-small-l1-def size-l1-def Let-def* using *a0*
 apply *auto*
 by (*simp add: power-add*)
 qed

lemma *range-l1-i-0*:
 shows *fst (range-l1 cfg 0) = 0*
 by *auto*

definition *size-l2::Sys-Config ⇒ nat ⇒ nat*
 where *size-l2 cfg i ≡ (size-small-l1 cfg i) div (sl cfg)*

definition *l2-i-j ::Sys-Config ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat*
 where *l2-i-j cfg i j ≡ fst (range-l1 cfg i) + (size-l2 cfg i) * j*

lemma *fst-level1-not-0*: *fst (range-l1 conf (Suc i)) ≠ 0*
 by (*cases i, auto simp add: size-small-l1-def size-l1-def*)

lemma *power2gt0*: *a ≤ b ⇒ 0 < a ⇒ ((2::nat) ^ b div 2 ^ a) > 0*
 by (*metis Euclidean-Division.div-eq-0-iff div-positive gr0I*
nat-power-less-imp-less pos2 power-not-zero)

lemma *power2gt*: *a ≤ b ⇒ ((2::nat) ^ b div 2 ^ a) > 0*
 using *power2gt0* by *fastforce*

lemma *power2gt1*: *a ≤ b ⇒ c > 0 ⇒ ((c * ((2::nat) ^ b)) div 2 ^ a) > 0*
 using *power2gt*
 by (*metis div-less nat-mult-less-cancel1 neq0-conv pos2 td-gal-lt zero-less-power*)

lemma *size-l2-not-0*: *sm cfg ≥ l cfg ⇒ size-l2 cfg i ≠ 0*
 unfolding *size-l2-def size-small-l1-def size-l1-def*
 apply (*cases i*) using *nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff* apply *auto*
 apply (*metis Suc-pred gr0I le-zero-eq power2gt power-Suc zero-le*)
 apply (*cases sm cfg*)
 using *power2gt1 Euclidean-Division.div-eq-0-iff le-Suc-eq* by *fastforce* +

lemma *power-greater-mod-zero*:

assumes $a0:(i::nat) \geq j$

shows $(2::nat)^i \bmod 2^j = 0$

proof –

obtain k **where** $i = k + j$ **using** $a0$

by (*metis le-add-diff-inverse2*)

also have $(2::nat)^{(k+j)} = 2^k * 2^j$ **using** *power-add* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *power-div-neutro*:

assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$

shows $(2::nat) * 2^k * 2^{(sm\ cfg - Suc\ 0)} \bmod 2^{l\ cfg} * 2^{l\ cfg} = 2 * 2^k * 2^{(sm\ cfg - Suc\ 0)}$

proof(*cases l cfg*)

assume $l\ cfg = 0$

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

next

fix k

assume $l\ cfg = Suc\ k$

then show *?thesis* **using** *power-greater-mod-zero[OF a0]*

by (*smt Suc-neq-Zero Suc-pred add.right-neutral assms div-mult-mod-eq gr0I le-zero-eq mod-mult-self2-is-0*

power-Suc semiring-normalization-rules(16))

qed

lemma *size-l2-m-zero0*:

assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ **and**

$a1:sm\ cfg = 0$ **and** $a2:i=0$

shows $(size-l2\ cfg\ i) = 2$

using $a0\ a1\ a2$ **unfolding** *size-l2-def size-small-l1-def Let-def size-l1-def*

by *auto*

lemma *size-l2-m-not-zero0*:

assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ **and**

$a1:sm\ cfg > 0$ **and** $a2:i=0$

shows $(size-l2\ cfg\ i) = (2^{(sm\ cfg - l\ cfg)})$

using $a0\ a1\ a2$ **unfolding** *size-l2-def size-small-l1-def Let-def size-l1-def*

apply *auto*

by (*simp add: power-diff*)

lemma *size-l2-i-not0*:

assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ **and**

$a1:i > 0$

shows $(size-l2\ cfg\ i) = (2^{(i + (sm\ cfg - 1) - l\ cfg)})$

using $a0\ a1$ **unfolding** *size-l2-def size-small-l1-def Let-def size-l1-def*

apply *auto*
by (*smt Suc-neq-Zero Suc-pred a1 add commute add-Suc-right*
diff-Suc-Suc leD le-add1 less-le-trans less-or-eq-imp-le
linorder-neqE-nat numeral-2-eq-2 power-add power-diff)

lemma *size-l2-m-not0-i-not0*:
 assumes *a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and a1:i>0 and*
a2:(sm cfg)= (Suc n)
 shows *(size-l2 cfg i) = (2^(i + n - (l cfg)))*
 using *size-l2-i-not0[OF a0 a1] a2 by auto*

lemma *l2-i-j-next*:
l2-i-j cfg i j + (size-l2 cfg i) = l2-i-j cfg i (Suc j)
unfolding *l2-i-j-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *l2-i-j-pow2:sm cfg ≥ l cfg ⇒ j < (sl cfg) ⇒*
*l2-i-j cfg 0 j = ((2^(sm cfg - (l cfg))))*j)*
unfolding *l2-i-j-def size-l2-def size-small-l1-def Let-def*
apply *auto*
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-less-SucD le-zero-eq less-numeral-extra(3)*
less-trans-Suc power-diff power-eq-if zero-neq-numeral)

lemma *l2-0-j-sm-0*:
 assumes *a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and*
a1:j<(sl cfg) and a2:sm cfg = 0
 shows *l2-i-j cfg 0 j = 0*
 using *a0 a1 a2 l2-i-j-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *l2-0-suc-j-sm-0*:
 assumes *a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and*
a1:j<(sl cfg) and a2:sm cfg = 0
 shows *l2-i-j cfg 0 (j+1) = 2*
 using *a0 a1 a2 unfolding l2-i-j-def size-l2-def*
by (*simp add: size-small-l1-def*)

lemma *l2-0-j-sm-not-0*:
 assumes *a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and*
a2:sm cfg > 0
 shows *l2-i-j cfg 0 j = ((2^(sm cfg - (l cfg))))*j)*
by (*simp add: a0 a2 l2-i-j-def size-l2-m-not-zero0*)

lemma *l2-Suc-i-j:assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg*
 shows *l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) j = 2^(Suc i + (sm cfg - 1)) + ((2^(Suc i + (sm cfg*
*- 1) - (l cfg))))*j)*
 using *a0 l2-i-j-def range-l1-i-not-0 size-l2-i-not0 zero-less-Suc* **by** *presburger*

lemma *l2-ij-lt-ij'*:
sm cfg ≥ l cfg ⇒ j < j' ⇒ l2-i-j cfg i j < l2-i-j cfg i j'

```

using l2-i-j-next size-l2-not-0
unfolding l2-i-j-def by auto

lemma last-l2-i-first-l1-Suc-i:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg
  shows l2-i-j cfg i ((sl cfg) ) = size-small-l1 cfg (Suc i)
proof(cases i)
  assume a00:i=0
  then show ?thesis using a0
    unfolding l2-i-j-def size-small-l1-def size-l1-def size-l2-def Let-def
    apply auto
    by (smt One-nat-def Suc-pred div-by-Suc-0 grOI le-add-diff-inverse2
        le-zero-eq mult.right-neutral power-0 power-Suc power-add power-diff zero-neq-numeral)
next
  fix k
  assume a00:i = Suc k
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding l2-i-j-def
    apply auto
    unfolding size-small-l1-def size-l1-def size-l2-def Let-def
    apply auto using power-div-neutro[OF a0] by auto
qed

lemma last-l2-i-eq-first-l2-Suc-i:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg
  shows l2-i-j cfg i (sl cfg) = l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0
  using last-l2-i-first-l1-Suc-i[OF a0]
  unfolding l2-i-j-def by auto

lemma l2-ij-lt-Suci0:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and
    a1:j < (sl cfg)
  shows l2-i-j cfg i j < l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0
  using l2-ij-lt-ij'[OF a0 a1] last-l2-i-eq-first-l2-Suc-i[OF a0]
  by auto

lemma l2-ij-lt-Sucij:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and
    a1:j < (sl cfg)
  shows l2-i-j cfg i j < l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) j'
  using l2-ij-lt-ij'[OF a0, of 0 j Suc i] l2-ij-lt-Suci0[OF a0 a1]
  by (metis Nat.add-0-right dual-order.strict-trans1
      l2-i-j-def le-add1 mult-0-right)

lemma l2-ij-lt-i'j':
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and
    a1:j < (sl cfg) and a1':j' < (sl cfg) and a2:i < i'
  shows l2-i-j cfg i j < l2-i-j cfg i' j'

```

```

using a2 proof (cases i', auto simp add:l2-ij-lt-Sucij[OF a0 a1])
  fix n
  assume a00:i' = Suc n
  then have i < Suc n using a2 by auto
  then show l2-i-j cfg i j < l2-i-j cfg (Suc n) j'
  proof(induct n )
    case 0
    then show ?case using a00 l2-ij-lt-Sucij[OF a0 a1] by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case using l2-ij-lt-Sucij[OF a0 ]
    by (metis a1 a1' less-antisym less-trans)
  qed
qed

```

```

definition range-l2::Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat $\times$ nat)
  where range-l2 cfg i j  $\equiv$ 
    (l2-i-j cfg i j,
     l2-i-j cfg i j + (size-l2 cfg i) - 1)
lemma range-l2-disj: sm cfg  $\geq$  l cfg  $\implies$ 
  fst (range-l2 cfg i j)  $\leq$  snd (range-l2 cfg i j)
  unfolding range-l2-def using size-l2-not-0
  by (metis Nat.add-diff-assoc2 One-nat-def Suc-leI add.commute fst-conv gr0I
le-add2 snd-conv)

```

```

lemma snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j':
  sm cfg  $\geq$  l cfg  $\implies$  j < j'  $\implies$ 
  snd( range-l2 cfg n j) < fst (range-l2 cfg n j')
  unfolding range-l2-def
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-lessI Suc-pred add-gr-0 fst-conv lessI less-add-same-cancel1
less-imp-diff-less snd-conv l2-ij-lt-ij' l2-i-j-next)

```

```

lemma snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j':
  assumes a0:sm cfg  $\geq$  l cfg and a1:j < sl cfg and a1':j' < sl cfg and a2:i < i'
  shows snd( range-l2 cfg i j) < fst (range-l2 cfg i' j')
proof(auto simp add: range-l2-def)
  have l2-i-j cfg i j + size-l2 cfg i = l2-i-j cfg i (Suc j)
  by (simp add: l2-i-j-next)
  then have l2-i-j cfg i j + size-l2 cfg i - Suc 0 < l2-i-j cfg i (Suc j)
  by (metis a0 diff-Suc-less gr-zeroI size-l2-not-0 zero-eq-add-iff-both-eq-0)
  also have l2-i-j cfg i (Suc j)  $\leq$  l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0
  by (metis Suc-lessI a0 a1 less-or-eq-imp-le l2-ij-lt-ij' last-l2-i-eq-first-l2-Suc-i)
  also have l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0  $\leq$  l2-i-j cfg i' j'
  apply (cases Suc i = i')
  apply (simp add: a0 l2-ij-lt-ij' less-mono-imp-le-mono)
  by (metis Suc-lessI a0 a1' a2 l2-ij-lt-i'j' le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 less-imp-le-nat
less-trans order.strict-iff-order)

```

finally show $l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ j + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } i - \text{Suc } 0 < l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i' \ j'$
by auto
qed

lemma *snd-range-l2-i-j-less-snd-i'-j'*:
assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg } \geq l \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a1:i < i'$ **and** $a2:j < sl \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a3:j' < sl \text{ cfg}$
shows $snd(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) < snd(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i' \ j')$
using *snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'*[*OF* $a0 \ a2 \ a3 \ a1$] *range-l2-disj*[*OF* $a0$]
using *less-le-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *fst-range-l2-i-j-less-snd-i'-j'*:
assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg } \geq l \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a1:i < i'$ **and** $a2:j < sl \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a3:j' < sl \text{ cfg}$
shows $fst(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) < snd(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i' \ j')$
using *snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'*[*OF* $a0 \ a2 \ a3 \ a1$] *range-l2-disj*[*OF* $a0$]
using *le-less-trans* *less-le-trans* **by** *metis*

lemma *fst-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'*:
assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg } \geq l \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a1:i < i'$ **and** $a2:j < sl \text{ cfg}$ **and** $a3:j' < sl \text{ cfg}$
shows $fst(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) < fst(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i' \ j')$
using *snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'*[*OF* $a0 \ a2 \ a3 \ a1$] *range-l2-disj*[*OF* $a0$]
using *dual-order.strict-trans2* **by** *blast*

lemma *range-l2-in-l1*:
assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg } \geq l \text{ cfg}$ **and**
 $a1:j < sl \text{ cfg}$
shows $fst(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) \geq fst(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } i) \wedge$
 $snd(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) \leq snd(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } i)$
proof –
have $snd(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) \leq snd(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } i)$
proof(*simp add: range-l2-def*)
have $l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ j + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } i = l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ (\text{Suc } j)$
by (*simp add: l2-i-j-next*)
moreover have $l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ (\text{Suc } j) \leq l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ (sl \text{ cfg})$
using $a0 \ a1 \ l2-ij-lt-ij'$ *less-mono-imp-le-mono* **by** *auto*
moreover have $l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ (sl \text{ cfg}) = fst(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } (\text{Suc } i))$
using *last-l2-i-first-l1-Suc-i*[*OF* $a0$]
by *auto*
ultimately show $l2-i-j \text{ cfg } i \ j + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } i - \text{Suc } 0$
 $\leq snd(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } i)$
using *One-nat-def le-diff-conv snd-rang-i-eq-fst-rang-suc-i*
by *presburger*
qed
moreover have $fst(\text{range-}l2 \text{ cfg } i \ j) \geq fst(\text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } i)$
by (*auto simp add: l2-i-j-def range-l2-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

definition $l1\text{-set}::\text{Sys-Config} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$

where $l1\text{-set } \text{cfg } i \equiv$
 $\text{let } r = \text{range-l1 } \text{cfg } i \text{ in}$
 $\{m. m \geq \text{fst } r \wedge m \leq \text{snd } r\}$

lemma $l1\text{-set-disj}: i \neq i' \longrightarrow (l1\text{-set } \text{cfg } i \cap l1\text{-set } \text{cfg } i') = \{\}$

unfolding $l1\text{-set-def}$ Let-def **apply** auto
by $(\text{meson dual-order.trans leD less-linear range-l1-snd-i-lt-fst-i'})$

definition $l2\text{-set}::\text{Sys-Config} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$

where $l2\text{-set } \text{cfg } i \ j \equiv$
 $\text{let } r = \text{range-l2 } \text{cfg } i \ j \text{ in}$
 $\{m. m \geq \text{fst } r \wedge m \leq \text{snd } r\}$

abbreviation $r\text{-gt-sm-0-i}::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where $r\text{-gt-sm-0-i } r \equiv (\text{floorlog } 2 \ r - 1)$

abbreviation $r\text{-lt-sm-gt-0-j}::\text{Sys-Config} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where $r\text{-lt-sm-gt-0-j } \text{cfg } r \equiv (r \text{ div } 2 \wedge (\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \ \text{cfg}))$

abbreviation $r\text{-gt-sm-gt-0-i}::\text{Sys-Config} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where $r\text{-gt-sm-gt-0-i } \text{cfg } r \equiv (\text{Suc } ((\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1 - (\text{sm } \text{cfg})))$

abbreviation $r\text{-gt-sm-gt-0-j}::\text{Sys-Config} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where $r\text{-gt-sm-gt-0-j } \text{cfg } r \equiv ((r - (\text{fst } (\text{range-l1 } \text{cfg } (\text{Suc } ((\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1 - (\text{sm } \text{cfg})))))) \text{ div } (\text{size-l2 } \text{cfg } (\text{Suc } ((\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1 - (\text{sm } \text{cfg}))))))$

lemma set-l2-in-l1 :

assumes $a0:\text{sm } \text{cfg} \geq l \ \text{cfg}$ **and**

$a1:j < \text{sl } \text{cfg}$

shows $l2\text{-set } \text{cfg } i \ j \subseteq l1\text{-set } \text{cfg } i$

unfolding $l2\text{-set-def}$ $l1\text{-set-def}$ Let-def

by $(\text{auto}; \text{meson } a0 \ a1 \ \text{dual-order.strict-trans1 leD le-less-linear range-l2-in-l1})$

lemma $l2\text{-set-disj}:\text{sm } \text{cfg} \geq l \ \text{cfg} \wedge j < (\text{sl } \text{cfg}) \wedge j1 < (\text{sl } \text{cfg}) \wedge \neg(i=i1 \wedge j=j1)$

\longrightarrow

$(l2\text{-set } \text{cfg } i \ j \cap l2\text{-set } \text{cfg } i1 \ j1) = \{\}$

unfolding $l2\text{-set-def}$ Let-def **apply** $(\text{cases } j=j1; \text{cases } i=i1; \text{auto})$

apply $(\text{cases } i>i1, \text{auto})$

apply $(\text{metis leD less-le-trans sl-def snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'})$

apply $(\text{metis dual-order.trans linorder-neqE-nat not-le sl-def snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'})$

apply $(\text{cases } j>j1, \text{auto})$

apply $(\text{meson leD less-le-trans snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j'})$

apply $(\text{meson dual-order.trans leD linorder-neqE-nat snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j'})$

by $(\text{cases } i>i1; \text{cases } j>j1, \text{auto}; \text{metis leD le-less less-le-trans linorder-neqE-nat sl-def snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'})$

lemma $j-i-sm-0:r \leq 2^i \wedge sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $i = 0 \implies$
 $l\ cf g \leq sm\ cf g \implies$
 $0 < sm\ cf g \implies$
 $j = r\ div\ 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - l\ cf g) \implies$
 $j < 2^i \wedge l\ cf g \wedge$
 $2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - l\ cf g) * j \leq r \wedge$
 $r \leq 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - l\ cf g) * j + 2 * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0)\ div\ 2^i \wedge l\ cf g - Suc\ 0$
apply *auto*
apply (*metis Suc-pred le-add-diff-inverse le-imp-less-Suc less-mult-imp-div-less*
power-add zero-less-numeral zero-less-power)
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-neq-Zero Suc-pred add commute add-gr-0 dividend-less-times-div*
less-Suc-eq-le
mult commute numeral-2-eq-2 power-diff power-minus-mult zero-less-Suc zero-less-power)

lemma $div-e:(D::nat) \neq 0 \implies E \neq 0 \implies A \leq B \implies (B - C)\ div\ E < D \implies (A - C)\ div\ E < D$
using *diff-le-mono div-le-mono le-less-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma $div-e':(D::nat) \neq 0 \implies E \neq 0 \implies A < B \implies (B - C)\ div\ E < D \implies (A - C)\ div\ E < D$
using *div-e*
by (*meson less-imp-le-nat*)

lemma $r-small: r \leq 2 * size-l1\ i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0) - Suc\ 0 \implies r < 2 * size-l1\ i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0)$
unfolding *size-l1-def*
apply (*cases i, auto*)
apply (*metis Suc-pred le-imp-less-Suc mult-pos-pos zero-less-numeral zero-less-power*)
by (*metis Suc-le-lessD Suc-le-mono Suc-pred mult-pos-pos zero-less-numeral zero-less-power*)

lemma $B-dvd-A-imp-A-less-1-div-B-less-A-div-B:$
 $A \neq 0 \implies (B::nat)\ dvd\ A \implies (A-1)\ div\ B < A\ div\ B$
by (*simp add: less-mult-imp-div-less*)

lemma $j-less-2-power-l:$
assumes
 $a0:0 < sm\ cf g$ **and**
 $a1:l\ cf g \leq sm\ cf g$ **and**
 $a2:(2::nat) * 2^i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0) \leq r$ **and**
 $a3:r \leq 4 * 2^i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0) - Suc\ 0$
shows $(r - 2 * 2^i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0))\ div$
 $(2 * 2^i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0)\ div\ 2^i \wedge l\ cf g)$
 $< 2^i \wedge l\ cf g$
proof—
have $((2::nat) * 2^i * 2^i \wedge (sm\ cf g - Suc\ 0)\ div\ 2^i \wedge l\ cf g) \neq 0$

```

    using a0 a1 apply (cases i, cases sm cfg, auto)
    apply (metis (no-types) power2gt power-Suc)
    by (smt One-nat-def Suc-pred div-2-gt-zero div-mult-mult1 gr0I le-neq-implies-less
less-Suc-eq-le mult.commute mult-eq-1-iff mult-pos-pos nat-one-le-power numeral-eq-iff
numerals(1) one-le-mult-iff one-le-numeral power2gt1 power-minus-mult power-not-zero
semiring-norm(85) zero-less-numeral)
    moreover have (2::nat) ^ l cfg ≠ 0 by auto
    moreover have (((4::nat) * 2 ^ i * 2 ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0) - Suc 0) - 2 * 2 ^
i * 2 ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0)) div
    (2 * 2 ^ i * 2 ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0) div 2 ^ l cfg)
    < 2 ^ l cfg using a0 a1
    proof (cases i; cases sm cfg; auto)
      fix x
      assume i = 0 and sm cfg = Suc x and l cfg ≤ Suc x
      then show (2 * 2 ^ x - Suc 0) div (2 * 2 ^ x div 2 ^ l cfg) < 2 ^ l cfg
      by (metis (full-types) Suc-neq-Zero a0 j-i-sm-0 less-or-eq-imp-le numeral-2-eq-2
power-Suc power-diff)
    next
      fix i' sm'
      assume a1:i = Suc i' and a2:sm cfg = Suc sm' and a3:l cfg ≤ Suc sm'
      moreover have ((4::nat) * 2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm' div 2 ^ l cfg) dvd 4 * (2 ^ i' * 2
^ sm')
      using calculation apply (cases l cfg, auto)
      by (simp add: div-dvd-iff-mult le-imp-power-dvd)
      moreover have ((4::nat) * (2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm')) div (4 * 2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm' div 2
^ l cfg) = 2 ^ l cfg
      using calculation apply (subst div-div-eq-right, auto)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) a0 add-diff-cancel-left' dvd-mult dvd-triv-right
even-numeral
le-Suc-eq le-imp-power-dvd mult.assoc mult-dvd-mono plus-1-eq-Suc
power-commutes power-minus-mult)
      moreover have (4::nat) * (2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm') ≠ 0
      by auto
      ultimately show (4 * (2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm') - Suc 0) div (4 * 2 ^ i' * 2 ^ sm'
div 2 ^ l cfg) < 2 ^ l cfg
      using B-dvd-A-imp-A-less-1-div-B-less-A-div-B
      by (metis One-nat-def)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using div-e
    using a3 by blast
  qed

lemma l1: 4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma ≤ r ⟹
  r ≤ 4 * 2 ^ (ia + sma) + 4 * 2 ^ (ia + sma) * ((r - 4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma)
div (4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma)) +
  4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma -
  Suc 0
proof -
  fix nat :: nat and nata :: nat

```

assume $a1: 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} \leq r$
have $(0::\text{nat}) < 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}$
by *simp*
then have $f2: r < 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} + 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * (r \text{ div } (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}))$
by (*meson dividend-less-times-div*)
have $f3: 4 * (2::\text{nat})^{\text{nat} + \text{nata}} = 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}$
by (*simp add: power-add*)
have $f4: 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * \text{Suc } 0 = 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}$
by *linarith*
have $4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * (r \text{ div } (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}})) = 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * \text{Suc } 0 + 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * ((r - 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}) \text{ div } (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}))$
using $f3$ $a1$ **by** (*simp add: div-if*)
then have $r < 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} + (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * ((r - 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}) \text{ div } (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}})) + 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}})$
using $f4$ $f3$ $f2$ **by** *presburger*
then show $r \leq 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} + 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} * ((r - 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}}) \text{ div } (4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}})) + 4 * 2^{\text{nat}} * 2^{\text{nata}} - \text{Suc } 0$
by *linarith*
qed

lemma *l2*: **assumes**

$a0: la \leq sma$

shows $r \leq 2 * 2^{\text{sma}} + (2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} + 2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} * ((r - 2 * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } (2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}}))) - \text{Suc } 0$

proof –

have $(r - 2 * 2^{\text{sma}}) < 2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} + 2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} * ((r - 2 * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } (2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}}))$

by (*meson a0 power2gt dividend-less-times-div*)

then show $r \leq 2 * 2^{\text{sma}} + (2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} + 2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} * ((r - 2 * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } (2^{\text{sma}} \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}}))) - \text{Suc } 0$

by *linarith*

qed

lemma *l3*:

assumes $a0: la \leq sma$ **and**

$a1: 4 * 2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}} \leq r$ **and**

$a2: r \leq 8 * 2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}} - \text{Suc } 0$

shows $r \leq 4 * (2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}}) + (2 * (2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} + 2 * (2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}} * ((r - 4 * 2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } (2 * (2^{\text{ia}} * 2^{\text{sma}}) \text{ div } 2^{\text{la}}))) - \text{Suc } 0$

proof –


```

have 0 < (2 :: nat) * (2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) div 2 ^ la
  using a0 by (auto intro: power2gt1 simp: power-add [THEN sym])
then have (r - 4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) <
  (2 * (2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) div 2 ^ la + 2 * (2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) div 2 ^ la *
    ((r - 4 * 2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) div (2 * (2 ^ ia * 2 ^ sma) div 2 ^ la)))
  by (auto simp add: dividend-less-times-div)
thus ?thesis by linarith
qed

```

```

lemma j-sm-gt-0: 0 < sm cfg  $\implies$ 
  range-l1 cfg (Suc i) =
    (size-l1 (Suc i) * (2 :: nat) ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0), 2 * size-l1 (Suc i) * 2 ^ (sm
cfg - Suc 0) - Suc 0)  $\implies$ 
  l cfg  $\leq$  sm cfg  $\implies$ 
  size-l1 (Suc i) * 2 ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0)  $\leq$  r  $\implies$ 
  r  $\leq$  2 * size-l1 (Suc i) * 2 ^ (sm cfg - Suc 0) - Suc 0  $\implies$ 
  j = (r - (fst (range-l1 cfg (Suc i)))) div (size-l2 cfg (Suc i))  $\implies$ 
  j < 2 ^ l cfg  $\wedge$  l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) j  $\leq$  r  $\wedge$  r  $\leq$  l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) j + size-l2 cfg
(Suc i) - Suc 0
  apply (subst l2-Suc-i-j) apply auto[1]
  apply (auto simp add: size-l2-def size-l1-def j-less-2-power-l)
  apply (cases i; cases sm cfg; cases l cfg, auto)
  apply (auto simp add: add-leE le-add2 plus-1-eq-Suc power-add power-diff )
  apply (metis One-nat-def le-add-diff-inverse nat-add-left-cancel-le plus-1-eq-Suc

      power-Suc0-right power-add times-div-less-eq-dividend )
  apply (metis One-nat-def le-add-diff-inverse nat-add-left-cancel-le plus-1-eq-Suc

      power-Suc0-right power-add times-div-less-eq-dividend )
  apply (metis le-add-diff-inverse mult.assoc nat-add-left-cancel-le times-div-less-eq-dividend)
  apply (smt One-nat-def add-leE le-add2 le-add-diff-inverse mult.assoc nat-add-left-cancel-le
plus-1-eq-Suc power-Suc0-right power-add power-diff times-div-less-eq-dividend zero-neq-numeral)
  apply (subst l2-Suc-i-j) apply auto[1]
  apply (cases i; cases sm cfg; cases l cfg; auto)
  apply (auto simp add: add-leE le-add2 plus-1-eq-Suc power-add power-diff
Groups.add-ac(3) add.commute dividend-less-times-div leD not-less-eq-eq power2gt)
  subgoal for sma la by (auto intro: l2)
  subgoal for ia sma la by (auto intro: l3)
done

```

```

lemma set-l1-in-l2-sm-0-i-0:
  assumes a0: sm cfg  $\geq$  l cfg and
    a1: r  $\in$  l1-set cfg 0 and a2: sm cfg = 0
  shows 0 < sl cfg  $\wedge$  r  $\in$  l2-set cfg 0 0
  unfolding l2-set-def Let-def range-l2-def
proof(auto)
  have range-l1: range-l1 cfg 0 = (0, 2 ^ (Suc ((sm cfg - 1))) - 1)
    using a0 a1

```

```

    by (auto simp add: size-small-l1-def)
  moreover have  $r \geq 0 \wedge r \leq 2^{(\text{Suc } ((\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)))} - 1$ 
    using a1 calculation unfolding l1-set-def Let-def
    by (auto)
  ultimately have
     $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ 0 \leq r \wedge$ 
     $r \leq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ 0 + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } 0 - \text{Suc } 0$ 
    using a0 a2 range-l1 unfolding size-l2-def size-small-l1-def
    by (simp add: l2-i-j-def)
  then
    show  $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ 0 \leq r$  and
     $r \leq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ 0 + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } 0 - \text{Suc } 0$  by auto
qed

lemma set-l1-in-l2-sm-gt-0-i-0:
  assumes a0:  $\text{sm } \text{cfg} \geq l \text{ cfg}$  and
    a1:  $r \in l1\text{-}set \text{ cfg } 0$  and a2:  $\text{sm } \text{cfg} > 0$ 
  shows  $r \text{ div } 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \text{ cfg})} < sl \text{ cfg} \wedge r \in l2\text{-}set \text{ cfg } 0 \ (r \text{ div } 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \text{ cfg})})$ 
    unfolding l2-set-def Let-def range-l2-def
  proof(auto)
    have  $\text{range-}l1: \text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } 0 = (0, 2^{(\text{Suc } ((\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)))} - 1)$ 
      using a0 a1
      by (auto simp add: size-small-l1-def)
    moreover have  $r \geq 0 \wedge r \leq 2^{(\text{Suc } ((\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)))} - 1$ 
      using a1 calculation unfolding l1-set-def Let-def
      by (auto)
    ultimately show
       $r \text{ div } 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \text{ cfg})} < 2^{l \text{ cfg}}$  and
       $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ (r \text{ div } 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \text{ cfg})}) \leq r$  and
       $r \leq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j \text{ cfg } 0 \ (r \text{ div } 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - l \text{ cfg})}) + \text{size-}l2 \text{ cfg } 0 - \text{Suc } 0$ 
      using a0 a2 range-l1 unfolding size-l2-def size-small-l1-def
      apply (auto simp add: l2-0-j-sm-not-0)
      using j-i-sm-0
      by blast+
  qed

lemma set-l1-in-l2-sm-0-i-gt-0:
  assumes a0:  $\text{sm } \text{cfg} \geq l \text{ cfg}$  and
    a1:  $r \in l1\text{-}set \text{ cfg } (\text{Suc } i)$  and a2:  $\text{sm } \text{cfg} = 0$ 
  shows  $0 < sl \text{ cfg} \wedge r \in l2\text{-}set \text{ cfg } (\text{Suc } i) \ 0$ 
    unfolding l2-set-def Let-def range-l2-def
  proof(auto)
    have  $\text{range-}l1: \text{range-}l1 \text{ cfg } (\text{Suc } i) = (\text{size-}l1 \ (\text{Suc } i) * 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)},$ 
       $(2 * \text{size-}l1 \ (\text{Suc } i) * 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)}) - 1)$ 
      using a0 a1 by (cases i, auto simp add: size-small-l1-def)
    moreover have  $r \geq \text{size-}l1 \ (\text{Suc } i) * 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)} \wedge$ 
       $r \leq (2 * \text{size-}l1 \ (\text{Suc } i) * 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg} - 1)}) - 1$ 
      using a1 a2 calculation unfolding l1-set-def Let-def

```

```

    by auto
  then show
    l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0 ≤ r and
    r ≤ l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) 0 + size-l2 cfg (Suc i) - Suc 0
  using range-l1 a0
  apply (auto simp add: l2-i-j-def size-l1-def) using a2
  by (simp add: size-l2-i-not0)
qed

lemma set-l1-in-l2-sm-gt-0-i-gt-0:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and
    a1:r∈l1-set cfg (Suc i) and a2:sm cfg > 0
  shows (r - (fst (range-l1 cfg (Suc i)))) div (size-l2 cfg (Suc i)) < sl cfg ∧
    r ∈ l2-set cfg (Suc i) ((r - (fst (range-l1 cfg (Suc i)))) div (size-l2
cfg (Suc i)))
  unfolding l2-set-def Let-def range-l2-def
proof(clarsimp)
  have range-l1:range-l1 cfg (Suc i) = (size-l1 (Suc i) * 2^((sm cfg - 1)),
(2*size-l1 (Suc i) * 2^(sm cfg - 1)) - 1)
  using a0 a1 by (cases i, auto simp add: size-small-l1-def)
  moreover have r:r ≥ size-l1 (Suc i) * 2^((sm cfg - 1)) ∧
    r ≤ (2*size-l1 (Suc i) * 2^(sm cfg - 1)) - 1
  using a1 a2 calculation unfolding l1-set-def Let-def
  by auto
  then show
    (r - size-small-l1 cfg (Suc i)) div size-l2 cfg (Suc i) < 2 ^ l cfg ∧
    l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) ((r - size-small-l1 cfg (Suc i)) div size-l2 cfg (Suc i)) ≤
r ∧
    r ≤ l2-i-j cfg (Suc i) ((r - size-small-l1 cfg (Suc i)) div size-l2 cfg (Suc
i)) +
    size-l2 cfg (Suc i) - Suc 0
  using range-l1 a0 a2
  apply clarsimp apply (frule j-sm-gt-0) by auto
qed

lemma set-l1-in-l2:
  assumes a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg and
    a1:r∈l1-set cfg i
  shows ∃ j < sl cfg. r ∈ l2-set cfg i j
proof(cases i; cases sm cfg)
  assume a00:i=0 and sm:sm cfg = 0
  then show ?thesis
    using a1 set-l1-in-l2-sm-0-i-0[OF a0 ] by force
next
  fix sma
  assume a00:i=0 and sm:sm cfg = Suc sma
  then show ?thesis
    using a1 set-l1-in-l2-sm-gt-0-i-0[OF a0 ] by force
next

```

```

fix ia
assume a00:i=Suc ia and sm:sm cfg = 0
then show ?thesis
  using a1 set-l1-in-l2-sm-0-i-gt-0[OF a0 ] by force
next
fix ia sma
assume a00:i=Suc ia and sm:sm cfg = Suc sma
then show ?thesis
  using a1 set-l1-in-l2-sm-gt-0-i-gt-0[OF a0 ] by force
qed

```

definition $tlsf\text{-}matrix::Sys\text{-}Config \Rightarrow bhdr\text{-}matrix\text{-}t \Rightarrow bool$
 where $tlsf\text{-}matrix\ cfg\ t \equiv$
 $\forall i\ j. j < (sl\ cfg) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall b. b \in t\ i\ j \longrightarrow (b\text{-}size\ b) \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ i\ j)$

lemma $block\text{-}in\text{-}range0$:assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ and
 $a1:tlsf\text{-}matrix\ cfg\ t$ and
 $a2:b \in t\ 0\ j$ and $a3:j < (sl\ cfg)$ and $a4:sm\ cfg = 0$
 shows $b\text{-}size\ b \geq 0 \wedge (b\text{-}size\ b \leq 2 - 1)$
proof–
 have $(b\text{-}size\ b) \geq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ j$ and $(b\text{-}size\ b) \leq (l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ (Suc\ j) - 1)$
 using $a0\ a2\ a1\ a3$ **unfolding** $tlsf\text{-}matrix\text{-}def\ l2\text{-}set\text{-}def\ range\text{-}l2\text{-}def$
apply *auto*
by (*metis* $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\text{-}next\ less\text{-}imp\text{-}le\text{-}nat$)
 then show ?thesis
 using $a0\ a3\ a4\ l2\text{-}0\text{-}suc\text{-}j\text{-}sm\text{-}0$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $block\text{-}in\text{-}range1$:assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ and
 $a1:tlsf\text{-}matrix\ cfg\ t$ and
 $a2:b \in t\ 0\ j$ and $a3:j < (sl\ cfg)$ and $a4:sm\ cfg > 0$
 shows $b\text{-}size\ b \geq ((2^{(sm\ cfg - (l\ cfg))}) * j) \wedge (b\text{-}size\ b \leq ((2^{(sm\ cfg - (l\ cfg))}) * (Suc\ j)) - 1)$
proof–
 have $(b\text{-}size\ b) \geq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ j$ and $(b\text{-}size\ b) \leq (l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ (Suc\ j) - 1)$
 using $a0\ a2\ a1\ a3$ **unfolding** $tlsf\text{-}matrix\text{-}def\ l2\text{-}set\text{-}def\ range\text{-}l2\text{-}def$
apply *auto*
by (*metis* $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\text{-}next\ less\text{-}imp\text{-}le\text{-}nat$)
 then show ?thesis
by (*simp* *add*: $a0\ a4\ l2\text{-}0\text{-}j\text{-}sm\text{-}not\text{-}0$)
qed

lemma $block\text{-}in\text{-}range0'$:assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ and
 $a1:tlsf\text{-}matrix\ cfg\ t$ and
 $a2:b \in t\ (Suc\ i)\ j$ and $a3:j < (sl\ cfg)$
 shows $b\text{-}size\ b \geq 2^{(Suc\ i + (sm\ cfg - 1))} + ((2^{(Suc\ i + (sm\ cfg - 1))} - (l$

$cfg))) * j) \wedge$
 $(b\text{-size } b \leq 2^{Suc\ i + (sm\ cfg - 1)} + ((2^{Suc\ i + (sm\ cfg - 1)} - (l\ cfg))) * (j+1)) - 1)$
proof–
have $(b\text{-size } b) \geq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ (Suc\ i)\ j$ **and** $(b\text{-size } b) \leq (l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ (Suc\ i)\ (Suc\ j) - 1)$
using $a0\ a2\ a1\ a3$ **unfolding** $tlsf\text{-matrix}\text{-}def\ l2\text{-set}\text{-}def\ range\text{-}l2\text{-}def$
apply $auto$
by $(metis\ l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\text{-}next\ less\text{-}imp\text{-}le\text{-}nat)$
then show $?thesis$
by $(simp\ add:\ a0\ l2\text{-}Suc\text{-}i\text{-}j)$
qed

lemma $r\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}lt\text{-}2\text{-}sm$:
assumes $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ **and**
 $a1:sm\ cfg > 0$
shows $r \in l2\text{-set}\ cfg\ 0\ (r\ div\ 2^{(sm\ cfg) - (l\ cfg)})$
unfolding $l2\text{-set}\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ range\text{-}l2\text{-}def$
proof $(auto)$
show $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ (r\ div\ 2^{(sm\ cfg - l\ cfg)}) \leq r$
proof–
have $l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ (r\ div\ 2^{(sm\ cfg - l\ cfg)}) =$
 $((2^{(sm\ cfg - (l\ cfg))}) * (r\ div\ 2^{(sm\ cfg - l\ cfg)}))$
using $l2\text{-}0\text{-}j\text{-}sm\text{-}not\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ a1]$ **by** $auto$
thus $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed
next
show $r \leq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\ cfg\ 0\ (r\ div\ 2^{(sm\ cfg - l\ cfg)}) +$
 $size\text{-}l2\ cfg\ 0 -$
 $Suc\ 0$
using $l2\text{-}0\text{-}j\text{-}sm\text{-}not\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ a1]$
by $(metis\ Suc\text{-}pred\ a0\ a1\ add.\ commute$
 $add\text{-}gr\text{-}0\ dividend\text{-}less\text{-}times\text{-}div\ less\text{-}Suc\text{-}eq\text{-}le\ size\text{-}l2\text{-}m\text{-}not\text{-}zero0$
 $zero\text{-}less\text{-}numeral\ zero\text{-}less\text{-}power)$
qed

lemma $r\text{-}l11$:
assumes
 $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ **and**
 $a1:sm\ cfg > 0$ **and**
 $a2:x=(floorlog\ 2\ r) - 1$ **and**
 $a3:x \geq sm\ cfg$ **and** $a4:r \geq 2^{(sm\ cfg)}$
shows $\exists x'. x = sm\ cfg + x' \wedge$
 $r \geq size\text{-}small\text{-}l1\ cfg\ (Suc\ x') \wedge$
 $r < size\text{-}small\text{-}l1\ cfg\ (Suc\ (Suc\ x'))$
proof–
have $F:\neg r < 2^{(sm\ cfg)}$ **using** $a4$ **by** $auto$
have $rgt2:r \geq 2$
proof $(cases\ sm\ cfg)$

```

    case 0
    then show ?thesis using a1 by auto
next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis using a1
  by (metis F le-less-linear less-2-cases nat-less-le
    nat-one-le-power nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff zero-less-power)
qed
have  $2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r - 1)} \leq r$  and  $r_{\text{top}}:r < 2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r)}$ 
  using floorlog-bounds rgt2 by force+
moreover obtain  $x'$  where  $x':x=(\text{sm } \text{cfg}) + x'$  using a3
  using le-Suc-ex by auto
moreover have  $\text{size-small-l1 } \text{cfg } (\text{Suc } x') \leq r$  using a2 a4 calculation
  unfolding size-small-l1-def Let-def
  apply auto
  by (metis One-nat-def a1 add-Suc-right add-eq-if mult.commute neq0-conv
    power-add size-l1-def)
moreover have  $r < \text{size-small-l1 } \text{cfg } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } x'))$  using rtop a2 a4 a1  $x'$ 
  unfolding size-small-l1-def Let-def
  apply auto
  by (metis Suc-pred add.commute add-Suc-right add-gr-0 le0 less-nat-zero-code
    nat-less-le power-add size-l1-def zero-less-iff)
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma r-l1: assumes  $a0:\text{sm } \text{cfg} \geq l \ \text{cfg}$  and
   $a1:\text{sm } \text{cfg} > 0$  and
   $a2:r \geq 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg})}$ 
  shows  $\exists x \ x'. x = \text{sm } \text{cfg} + x' \wedge r \in \text{l1-set } \text{cfg } (\text{Suc } x')$ 
proof-
  have  $F:\neg r < 2^{(\text{sm } \text{cfg})}$  using a2 by auto
  have  $\text{rgt2}:r \geq 2$ 
  proof(cases  $\text{sm } \text{cfg}$ )
  case 0
  then show ?thesis using a1 by auto
next
case (Suc nat)
then show ?thesis using a1
  by (metis F le-less-linear less-2-cases nat-less-le
    nat-one-le-power nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff zero-less-power)
qed
then obtain  $x$  where  $x:x=(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1$ 
  by simp
then have  $\text{xgt0}:x>0$  using rgt2 unfolding floorlog-def by auto
have  $x\text{-gt-sm}:x \geq \text{sm } \text{cfg}$  using a2  $x$  rgt2 unfolding floorlog-def
  by (simp add: le-log2-of-power le-nat-floor)
obtain  $x'$  where  $\text{suc}:x = \text{Suc } x'$ 
  using gr0-implies-Suc xgt0 by auto

```

moreover have $2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r - 1)} \leq r$ and $r_{\text{top}}:r < 2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r)}$
 using *floorlog-bounds rgt2* by *force+*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *r-l11[OF a0 a1 x x-gt-sm a2]*
 unfolding *l1-set-def Let-def* apply *auto*
 by (smt *One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI Suc-neq-Zero add-le-imp-le-diff*
mult.commute mult.left-commute numeral-2-eq-2 power-Suc2
size-l1-def size-small-l1-def)
 qed

lemma *i-index-r-sm-gt-0*: assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg} \geq l \text{ cfg}$ and
 $a1:sm \text{ cfg} > 0$ and
 $a2:r \geq 2^{(sm \text{ cfg})}$
 shows $r \in l1\text{-set cfg } (Suc ((\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1 - (sm \text{ cfg})))$
 proof –
 have $F:\neg r < 2^{(sm \text{ cfg})}$ using *a2* by *auto*
 have $rgt2:r \geq 2$
 proof (cases *sm cfg*)
 case 0
 then show *?thesis* using *a1* by *auto*
 next
 case (Suc *nat*)
 then show *?thesis* using *a1*
 by (metis *F le-less-linear less-2-cases nat-less-le*
nat-one-le-power nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff zero-less-power)
 qed
 then obtain *x* where $x:(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r) - 1$
 by *simp*
 then have $xgt0:x > 0$ using *rgt2* unfolding *floorlog-def* by *auto*
 have $x\text{-gt-sm}:x \geq sm \text{ cfg}$ using *a2 x rgt2* unfolding *floorlog-def*
 by (simp add: *le-log2-of-power le-nat-floor*)
 obtain x' where $suc:x = Suc \ x'$
 using *gr0-implies-Suc xgt0* by *auto*
 moreover have $2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r - 1)} \leq r$ and $r_{\text{top}}:r < 2^{(\text{floorlog } 2 \ r)}$
 using *floorlog-bounds rgt2* by *force+*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *r-l11[OF a0 a1 x x-gt-sm a2] x a0*
 unfolding *l1-set-def Let-def* apply *auto*
 by (simp add: *size-l1-def size-small-l1-def*)
 qed

lemma *i-index-r-sm-gt-0-r-lt-2*: assumes $a0:sm \text{ cfg} \geq l \text{ cfg}$ and
 $a1:sm \text{ cfg} > 0$ and
 $a2:r < 2^{(sm \text{ cfg})}$
 shows $r \in l1\text{-set cfg } 0$
 using *a0 a1 a2* unfolding *l1-set-def Let-def*
 proof –
 have $f3: 0 < Suc (Suc \ 0) \wedge sm \text{ cfg}$
 by (metis *zero-less-Suc zero-less-power*)

have $f1:sm\ cf g \neq 0$
using $a2\ a1$ **by** (*metis gr-implies-not-zero*)
then show $r \in \{m.fst\ (range\text{-}l1\ cf g\ 0) \leq m \wedge m \leq snd\ (range\text{-}l1\ cf g\ 0)\}$
apply (*auto simp add: size-small-l1-def*)
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-pred f1 a2 f3 less-Suc-eq-le numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-if*)
qed

lemma *i-index-r-sm-eq-0*: **assumes** $a0:sm\ cf g \geq l\ cf g$ **and**

$a1:sm\ cf g = 0$ **and**

$a2:r \geq 2^{(sm\ cf g)+1}$

shows $r \in l1\text{-}set\ cf g\ ((floorlog\ 2\ r) - 1)$

proof–

have $F:\neg\ r < 2^{(sm\ cf g)}$ **using** $a2$ **by** *auto*

have $rgt2:r \geq 2$ **using** $a1\ a2$ **by** *simp*

then obtain x **where** $x:(floorlog\ 2\ r) - 1$

by *simp*

then have $xgt0:x > 0$ **using** $rgt2$ **unfolding** *floorlog-def* **by** *auto*

have $x\text{-}gt\text{-}sm:x \geq sm\ cf g$ **using** $a2\ x\ rgt2$ **unfolding** *floorlog-def*

by (*simp add: le-log2-of-power le-nat-floor*)

obtain x' **where** $suc:x = Suc\ x'$

using *gr0-implies-Suc xgt0* **by** *auto*

moreover have $2^{(floorlog\ 2\ r - 1)} \leq r$ **and** $rtop:r < 2^{(floorlog\ 2\ r)}$

using *floorlog-bounds rgt2* **by** *force+*

then have $2 * 2^{x'} \leq r$ **using** $suc\ x\ a1\ a2$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $r \leq 2 * 2^{x'} + size\text{-}l2\ cf g\ (Suc\ x') - Suc\ 0$

using $rtop\ suc\ x\ a1\ a2$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-leI xgt0 suc*

add.commute add-cancel-right-right add-le-imp-le-diff a0 a1

diff-is-0-eq diff-zero gr-implies-not0 le-add1 le-add2 mult-2 plus-1-eq-Suc

power-Suc power-eq-if size-l2-i-not0 zero-less-diff)

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $x\ a0\ a1$

unfolding *l1-set-def Let-def size-small-l1-def size-l1-def* **apply** *auto*

unfolding *l1-set-def Let-def size-small-l1-def size-l1-def*

apply *auto*

by (*simp add: size-l2-i-not0*)

qed

lemma *i-index-r-sm-eq-0-r-lt-2*: **assumes** $a0:sm\ cf g \geq l\ cf g$ **and**

$a1:sm\ cf g = 0$ **and**

$a2:r < 2^{(sm\ cf g)+1}$

shows $r \in l1\text{-}set\ cf g\ 0$

using $a0\ a1\ a2$ **unfolding** *l1-set-def Let-def*

by (*auto simp add: size-small-l1-def*)

lemma *all-r-in-l2-sm-0-r-lt-sm*:

assumes $a0:sm\ cf g \geq l\ cf g$ **and**

$a1:sm\ cf g = 0$ **and**

$a2:r < 2^{(sm\ cf g)+1}$


```

    shows  $0 < sl\ cfg \wedge$ 
            $r \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ 0\ 0$ 
  using  $i\text{-}index\text{-}r\text{-}sm\text{-}eq\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}lt\text{-}2[OF\ a0\ a1\ a2]$ 
         $set\text{-}l1\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}i\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ -\ a1]$  by auto

lemma  $all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}geq\text{-}sm$ :
  assumes  $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$  and
           $a1:sm\ cfg = 0$  and
           $a2:r \geq 2^{(sm\ cfg)+1}$ 
  shows  $0 < sl\ cfg \wedge r \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ (r\text{-}gt\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}i\ r)\ 0$ 
  using  $i\text{-}index\text{-}r\text{-}sm\text{-}eq\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ a1\ a2]$ 
         $set\text{-}l1\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}i\text{-}gt\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ -\ a1]\ a2$ 
  by (metis  $One\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ a0\ a1\ le\text{-}0\text{-}eq\ less\text{-}Suc0\ power\text{-}0\ set\text{-}l1\text{-}in\text{-}l2\ sl\text{-}def$ )

lemma  $all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}lt\text{-}sm$ :
  assumes  $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$  and
           $a1:sm\ cfg > 0$  and
           $a2:r < 2^{(sm\ cfg)}$ 
  shows  $(r\text{-}lt\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}j\ cfg\ r) < sl\ cfg \wedge$ 
            $r \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ 0\ (r\text{-}lt\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}j\ cfg\ r)$ 
  using  $i\text{-}index\text{-}r\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}lt\text{-}2[OF\ a0\ a1\ a2]$ 
         $set\text{-}l1\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}i\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ -\ a1]\ a2$ 
  by auto

lemma  $all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}gt\text{-}sm$ :
  assumes  $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$  and
           $a1:sm\ cfg > 0$  and
           $a2:r \geq 2^{(sm\ cfg)}$ 
  shows  $(r\text{-}gt\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}j\ cfg\ r) < sl\ cfg \wedge$ 
            $r \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ (r\text{-}gt\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}i\ cfg\ r)\ (r\text{-}gt\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}j\ cfg\ r)$ 
  using  $i\text{-}index\text{-}r\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ a1\ a2]$ 
         $set\text{-}l1\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}gt\text{-}0\text{-}i\text{-}gt\text{-}0[OF\ a0\ -\ a1]\ a2$ 
  by auto

lemma  $all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2$ :
  assumes  $a0:sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg$ 
  shows  $\exists i\ j. j < sl\ cfg \wedge r \in l2\text{-}set\ cfg\ i\ j$ 
proof(cases  $sm\ cfg = 0$ )
  case True
  {assume  $a00:r < 2^{(sm\ cfg)+1}$ 
   then have ?thesis
     using  $True\ all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}lt\text{-}sm[OF\ a0\ True\ a00]$ 
     by fastforce
   }
  moreover {assume  $a00:r \geq 2^{(sm\ cfg)+1}$ 
   then have ?thesis
     using  $True\ all\text{-}r\text{-}in\text{-}l2\text{-}sm\text{-}0\text{-}r\text{-}geq\text{-}sm[OF\ a0]$ 
     by fastforce
   } ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce

```

```

next
  case False
  then have a00:sm cfg > 0 by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases r < 2^(sm cfg))
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      using True all-r-in-l2-sm-gt-0-r-lt-sm[OF a0 a00]
      by fastforce
  next
  case False
  then have 2^sm cfg ≤ r by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using all-r-in-l2-sm-gt-0-r-gt-sm[OF a0 a00]
    by fastforce
qed
qed

```

definition *mapping-insert-spec::Sys-Config ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat × nat) set*
 where *mapping-insert-spec cfg r ≡ {(i,j). j < sl cfg ∧ r ∈ l2-set cfg i j}*

lemma *l2-set-not-empty:sm cfg ≥ l cfg ⇒ l2-set cfg i j ≠ {}*
 unfolding *l2-set-def range-l2-def Let-def*
 proof *auto*
 assume *a0:l cfg ≤ sm cfg*
 have *size-l2 cfg i > 0* using *size-l2-not-0[OF a0]* by *auto*
 then show $\exists x \geq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\text{ }cfg\text{ }i\text{ }j. x \leq l2\text{-}i\text{-}j\text{ }cfg\text{ }i\text{ }j + size\text{-}l2\text{ }cfg\text{ }i - Suc\text{ }0$
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *not-singleton-elements:*
 $\neg is\text{-}singleton\text{ }A \implies A = \{\} \vee (\exists i\text{ }j\text{ }i'\text{ }j'. (i \neq i' \vee j \neq j') \wedge (i,j) \in A \wedge (i',j') \in A)$
 unfolding *is-singleton-def*
 apply *auto*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *insertI1 insert-absorb old.prod.exhaust singleton-insert-inj-eq' subsetI*)

lemma *singleton-mapping-insert-spec:*
 assumes *a0:sm cfg ≥ l cfg*
 shows *is-singleton (mapping-insert-spec cfg r)*
 proof –
 have *mapping-insert-spec cfg r ≠ {}*
 unfolding *mapping-insert-spec-def*
 using *all-r-in-l2[OF a0]* by *auto*
 moreover have $\exists i\text{ }j. (i,j) \in mapping\text{-}insert\text{-}spec\text{ }cfg\text{ }r \wedge$

$$(\forall i' j'. (i', j') \in \text{mapping-insert-spec } \text{cfg } r \longrightarrow i=i' \wedge j=j')$$
using *calculation l2-set-disj a0 unfolding mapping-insert-spec-def*
apply *auto*
using *linorder-neqE-nat* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis not-singleton-elements*)
qed

definition *next-block::Sys-Config \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) \Rightarrow (nat \times nat)*
where *next-block* *cfg* *x* \equiv *if* *Suc* (*snd* *x*) < (*sl* *cfg*) *then* (*fst* *x*, (*snd* *x* + 1))
else ((*fst* *x*)+1, 0)

definition *block-lt::('a::wellorder \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** <_b 50)
where *block-lt* *x* *y* \equiv
(*fst* *x* < *fst* *y*) \vee (*fst* *x* = *fst* *y* \wedge *snd* *x* < *snd* *y*)

definition *block-let::('a::wellorder \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \leq_b 50)
where *block-let* *x* *y* \equiv *x*=*y* \vee *block-lt* *x* *y*

definition *block-gt::('a::wellorder \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** >_b 50)
where *block-gt* *x* *y* $\equiv \neg$ (*block-let* *x* *y*)

definition *block-get::('a::wellorder \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow bool* (**infix** \geq_b 50)
where *block-get* *x* *y* $\equiv \neg$ (*block-lt* *x* *y*)

thm *wellorder-Least-lemma*

lemma *b-lt-tran::a <_b b \implies b <_b c \implies a <_b c*
unfolding *block-lt-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *b-let-tran::a \leq_b b \implies b \leq_b c \implies a \leq_b c*
unfolding *block-let-def*
by (*auto intro: b-lt-tran*)

lemma *b-gt-tran::a >_b b \implies b >_b c \implies a >_b c*
unfolding *block-gt-def block-let-def block-lt-def*
apply *auto*
by (*simp add: prod.expand*)

lemma *b-get-tran::a \geq_b b \implies b \geq_b c \implies a \geq_b c*
unfolding *block-get-def block-gt-def block-let-def block-lt-def*
by *auto*

lemma *b-let-refl::a \leq_b a*
unfolding *block-let-def*

```

by auto

lemma b-get-refl:  $a \geq_b a$ 
unfolding block-get-def block-gt-def block-let-def block-lt-def
by auto

lemma n-b-lt:  $\neg a <_b a$ 
unfolding block-lt-def
by auto

lemma n-b-gt:  $\neg a >_b a$ 
unfolding block-gt-def block-let-def
by auto

lemma antysimb:  $x \leq_b y \implies y \leq_b x \implies x = y$ 
unfolding block-let-def block-lt-def
by auto

lemma next-block-bigger:  $sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg \implies$ 
 $(ni, nj) = next\_block\ cfg\ (i, j) \implies$ 
 $j < sl\ cfg \implies$ 
 $r \in l2\_set\ cfg\ i\ j \implies$ 
 $rn \in l2\_set\ cfg\ ni\ nj \implies$ 
 $rn > r$ 
unfolding next-block-def l2-set-def Let-def
apply (cases (Suc j) < sl cfg)
by (auto dest: snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j' [where n=i and j=j and j' = Suc j]
    snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j' [where j=j and j'=0 and i=i and i' = Suc i])

definition mapping-insert:: Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat)
where mapping-insert cfg r  $\equiv$ 
  if (sm cfg) = 0 then
    (if r < ((2sm cfg) + 1) then (0, 0)
     else (r-gt-sm-0-i r, 0))
  else (if r < (2sm cfg) then (0, r-lt-sm-gt-0-j cfg r)
        else (r-gt-sm-gt-0-i cfg r, r-gt-sm-gt-0-j cfg r))

lemma mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set:  $sm\ cfg \geq l\ cfg \implies$ 
 $(i, j) = mapping\_insert\ cfg\ r \implies$ 
 $r \in l2\_set\ cfg\ i\ j \wedge j < sl\ cfg$ 
unfolding mapping-insert-def
apply (cases sm cfg = 0)
apply (cases r < (2sm cfg) + 1)
apply (simp add: all-r-in-l2-sm-0-r-lt-sm)
apply simp
apply (metis One-nat-def all-r-in-l2-sm-0-r-geq-sm le-less-linear le-numeral-extra (3)
    numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc power-eq-if)
apply (cases r < (2sm cfg))
apply (auto dest: all-r-in-l2-sm-gt-0-r-lt-sm)[1]

```

```

using all-r-in-l2-sm-gt-0-r-gt-sm by auto

definition map-search:: Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat)
  where map-search cfg r  $\equiv$  next-block cfg (mapping-insert cfg r)

lemma l2-set-search-gt-r: assumes a0:l cfg  $\leq$  sm cfg and
  a1:(i,j) = (map-search cfg r)
  shows  $\forall r1 \in$  l2-set cfg i j. r1 > r
proof -
  {fix r1
   assume a00:r1  $\in$  l2-set cfg i j
   have r  $\in$  l2-set cfg (fst (mapping-insert cfg r))
                        (snd (mapping-insert cfg r))  $\wedge$ 
                        (snd (mapping-insert cfg r)) < sl cfg
   using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF a0, of - - r]
   using prod.collapse by blast
   then have r < r1
   using next-block-bigger[OF ] a0 a00
        a1[simplified map-search-def]
   by force

  } then show ?thesis by auto
qed

definition split-block:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bhdr-t  $\Rightarrow$  (bhdr-t  $\times$  bhdr-t)
  where split-block r b = (Bhdr (s-addr b) ((s-addr b) + r - 1),
                           Bhdr ((s-addr b) + r + overhead conf) (e-addr b))

lemma split-size-sum:
  r > 0  $\implies$  b-size b - overhead conf  $\geq$  r  $\implies$ 
  (b1, b2) = split-block r b  $\implies$  b-size b1 + b-size b2 = b-size b - overhead conf
  unfolding split-block-def
  apply (cases b)
  by auto

definition join-block:: bhdr-t  $\Rightarrow$  bhdr-t  $\Rightarrow$  bhdr-t
  where join-block b1 b2  $\equiv$  Bhdr (s-addr b1) (e-addr b2)

type-synonym bitmap = nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool

definition fl-bitmap-f:: Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  bhdr-matrix-t  $\Rightarrow$  bitmap
  where fl-bitmap-f cfg m  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda i$ . ( $\exists j < sl$  cfg. m i j  $\neq$  {})))

definition sl-bitmap-f:: bhdr-matrix-t  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bitmap)
  where sl-bitmap-f m  $\equiv$   $\lambda i$  j. m i j  $\neq$  {}

definition suitable-blocks:: Sys-Config  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  state-t  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) set

```

where *suitable-blocks cfg p σ* \equiv
 $\{(i,j). (bhdr\text{-}matrix\text{-}f\ \sigma)\ i\ j \neq \{\} \wedge p \leq_b (i,j) \wedge j < (sl\ cfg)\ \}$

definition *suitable-blocks-bitmap::Sys-Config* $\Rightarrow (nat \times nat) \Rightarrow state\text{-}t \Rightarrow (nat \times nat)$
set

where *suitable-blocks-bitmap cfg p σ* \equiv
 $let\ i = fst\ p; j = snd\ p\ in$
 $\{(i',j'). (fl\ bitmap\text{-}f\ cfg\ (bhdr\text{-}matrix\text{-}f\ \sigma))\ i' = True \wedge j' < (sl\ cfg) \wedge$
 $(sl\ bitmap\text{-}f\ (bhdr\text{-}matrix\text{-}f\ \sigma))\ i'\ j' = True \wedge ((i,j) \leq_b (i',j'))\}$

lemma *suitable-blocks-eq:suitable-blocks cfg p σ = suitable-blocks-bitmap cfg p σ*
unfolding *suitable-blocks-def suitable-blocks-bitmap-def fl-bitmap-f-def sl-bitmap-f-def*
Let-def **by** *auto*

definition

Leastb $:: ('a::wellorder \times 'a) \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow ('a::wellorder \times 'a) (\mathbf{binder}\ LEAST_b\ 10)$

where

Leastb P $= (THE\ x. P\ x \wedge (\forall y. P\ y \longrightarrow x \leq_b y))$

instantiation *prod:: (ord, ord) ord*

begin

definition

less-prod-def:p < q $\equiv (fst\ p < fst\ q) \vee (fst\ p = fst\ q \wedge snd\ p < snd\ q)$

definition

less-eq-prod-def:p ≤ q $\equiv p=q \vee ((fst\ p < fst\ q) \vee (fst\ p = fst\ q \wedge snd\ p < snd\ q))$

instance ..

end

instantiation *prod:: (linorder, linorder) linorder*

begin

instance

proof

fix *x y z :: 'a::linorder × 'b::linorder*

show $(x < y) = (x \leq y \wedge \neg y \leq x)$

unfolding *less-prod-def less-eq-prod-def* **by** *auto*

show $x \leq x$ **unfolding** *less-prod-def less-eq-prod-def* **by** *auto*

show $x \leq y \implies y \leq z \implies x \leq z$

unfolding *less-prod-def less-eq-prod-def* **by** *auto*

show $x \leq y \implies y \leq x \implies x = y$

unfolding *less-prod-def less-eq-prod-def* **by** *auto*

show $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$

unfolding *less-prod-def less-eq-prod-def* **apply** *auto*

using *less-linear prod-eqI* **by** *blast*

qed

end

definition *min-elem-set*::($\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$) *set* \Rightarrow ($\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$)
where *min-elem-set* *s* \equiv
 (*LEAST* *x*. $x \in s$)

definition *mapping-search*:: *Sys-Config* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow ($\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$)
where *mapping-search* *cfg* *r* \equiv *let* *r* = *if* $r < (\text{min-block } \text{cfg})$ *then* *min-block* *cfg*
else *r*;

(*i,j*) = *mapping-insert* *cfg* *r*;
 (*i',j'*) = *next-block* *cfg* (*i,j*);
initial-size = *fst* (*range-l2* *cfg* *i j*);
r' = *fst* (*range-l2* *cfg* *i' j'*) *in*
if *initial-size* = *r* *then* (*r*, (*i,j*))
else (*r'*, (*i',j'*))

lemma *l2-set-mapping-search-geq-r*:

assumes *a0*:*l* *cfg* \leq *sm* *cfg* **and**
a1:(*r'*, (*i,j*)) = (*mapping-search* *cfg* *r*)
shows $r' \geq r \wedge (\forall r1 \in \text{l2-set } \text{cfg } i \ j. r1 \geq r')$

proof–

{**assume** *a00*: $r < (\text{min-block } \text{cfg})$
then have *?thesis*
 using *a1* **unfolding** *mapping-search-def* *Let-def*
apply *simp* **apply** (*split* *prod.splits*) +
apply (*case-tac* *fst* (*range-l2* *cfg* *x1 x2*) = *min-block* *cfg*)
apply *auto*
 using *l2-set-search-gt-r*[*OF* *a0*, *of* *i j* (*min-block* *cfg*)] **unfolding** *map-search-def*

by (*auto* *simp* *add*: *a0* *l2-set-def* *Let-def* *range-l2-disj*)

}

moreover {**assume** $r \geq (\text{min-block } \text{cfg})$

then have *?thesis*
 using *a1* **unfolding** *mapping-search-def* *Let-def*
apply *simp* **apply** (*split* *prod.splits*) +
apply (*case-tac* *fst* (*range-l2* *cfg* *x1 x2*) = *r*)
apply *auto*
 using *l2-set-search-gt-r*[*OF* *a0*, *of* *i j r*] **unfolding** *map-search-def*
by (*auto* *simp* *add*: *a0* *l2-set-def* *Let-def* *range-l2-disj*)

}

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

definition *find-suitable-blocks-opt*::($\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$) \Rightarrow *state-t* \Rightarrow (($\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$) *set*) *option*
where *find-suitable-blocks-opt* *p* *s* \equiv

let $x = \text{suitable-blocks conf } p \text{ } s \text{ in}$
 if $(x = \{\})$ then None else Some x

definition $ij\text{-level-empty} :: bhdr\text{-matrix-}t \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
 where $ij\text{-level-empty } m \ i \ j \equiv m \ i \ j = \{\}$

definition $i\text{-level-empty} :: Sys\text{-Config} \Rightarrow bhdr\text{-matrix-}t \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
 where $i\text{-level-empty } cfg \ m \ i \equiv \forall j < (sl \ cfg). \ ij\text{-level-empty } m \ i \ j$

definition $remove\text{-elem-from-matrix} :: bhdr\text{-}t \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state\text{-}t \Rightarrow state\text{-}t$
 where $remove\text{-elem-from-matrix } b \ i \ j \ \sigma \equiv$
 let $matrix\text{-not-elem} = (Set.remove \ b \ ((bhdr\text{-matrix-f } \sigma) \ i \ j));$
 $new\text{-matrix} = set\text{-bhdr-matrix } (bhdr\text{-matrix-f } \sigma) \ i \ j \ matrix\text{-not-elem} \text{ in}$
 $\sigma(bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := new\text{-matrix})$

definition $remove\text{-block} :: (nat \times nat) \Rightarrow (state\text{-}t, bhdr\text{-}t) \text{ nondet-monad}$
 where $remove\text{-block } p \equiv$
 let $i = fst \ p; j = snd \ p \text{ in}$
 do
 $matrix \leftarrow gets \ (\lambda \sigma. (bhdr\text{-matrix-f } \sigma) \ i \ j);$
 $b \leftarrow select \ matrix;$
 $modify \ (remove\text{-elem-from-matrix } b \ i \ j);$
 return b
 od

definition $add\text{-block} :: bhdr\text{-}t \Rightarrow state\text{-}t \Rightarrow state\text{-}t$
 where $add\text{-block } b \ \sigma \equiv$
 let $(i, j) = mapping\text{-insert conf } (b\text{-size } b) \text{ in}$
 $\sigma(bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := insert\text{-block-bhdr-matrix } (bhdr\text{-matrix-f } \sigma) \ i \ j \ b)$

definition $malloc :: nat \Rightarrow (state\text{-}t, nat) \text{ nondet-monad}$
 where $malloc \ r \equiv let \ (r, (i, j)) = mapping\text{-search conf } r \text{ in}$
 do $set\text{-ps} \leftarrow gets \ (find\text{-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j));$
 $condition \ (\lambda s. set\text{-ps} = None) \ (return \ 0)$
 $(do \ p \leftarrow select \ (the \ set\text{-ps});$
 $b \leftarrow remove\text{-block } p;$
 $(condition \ (\lambda s. b\text{-size } b - r \geq (min\text{-block conf}))$
 $(do \ (b1, b2) \leftarrow gets \ (\lambda s. (split\text{-block } r \ b));$
 $modify \ (\lambda s. s(bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := insert \ b1 \ (allocated\text{-bhdr-s}$
 $s)))$;
 $modify \ (add\text{-block } b2);$
 $return \ (s\text{-addr } b1) \text{ od})$
 $(do$
 $modify \ (\lambda s. s(bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := insert \ b \ (allocated\text{-bhdr-s}$
 $s)))$;
 $return \ (s\text{-addr } b)$
 od))
 od)

od

definition *join-prev::bhdr-t* \Rightarrow (*state-t*, *bhdr-t*) *nondet-monad*

where

join-prev *b* \equiv *do* *b'* \leftarrow *gets* (*prev-free-hdr-s* *conf* *b*);
 condition ($\lambda s. b' = \text{None}$)
 (*return* *b*)
 (*let* (*i,j*) = *mapping-insert* *conf* (*b-size*(*the* *b'*));
 b-join = *join-block* (*the* *b'*) *b*
 in
 do
 modify (*remove-elem-from-matrix* (*the* *b'*) *i j*);
 return *b-join*
 od)
od

definition *join-suc::bhdr-t* \Rightarrow (*state-t*, *bhdr-t*) *nondet-monad*

where

join-suc *b* \equiv *do* *b'* \leftarrow *gets* (*suc-hdr-free-s* *conf* *b*);
 condition ($\lambda s. b' = \text{None}$)
 (*return* *b*)
 (*let* (*i,j*) = *mapping-insert* *conf* (*b-size*(*the* *b'*));
 b-join = *join-block* *b* (*the* *b'*)
 in
 do
 modify (*remove-elem-from-matrix* (*the* *b'*) *i j*);
 return *b-join*
 od)
od

definition *free::nat* \Rightarrow (*state-t*, *nat*) *nondet-monad*

where *free* *addr* \equiv *condition* (*block-allocated* *addr*)

(*do* *b* \leftarrow *gets* (*get-allocated-block* *addr*);
 modify ($\lambda s. s \setminus \text{allocated-bhdr-s} := \text{Set.remove } b \text{ (allocated-bhdr-s$
s)));
 b \leftarrow *join-suc* *b*;
 b \leftarrow *join-prev* *b*;
 modify (*add-block* *b*);
 return 1
 od)
 (do
 modify ($\lambda s. \text{undefined}$);
 return *undefined*
 od)

inductive *run::state-t list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$single-s:run\ [x]$
 $| \text{ malloc: } \llbracket run\ (x\#xs); v = (SOME\ v.\ v \in (fst\ (malloc\ r\ x))) \rrbracket \implies run\ ((snd\ v)\#x\#xs)$
 $| \text{ free: } \llbracket run\ (x\#xs); v = (SOME\ v.\ v \in (fst\ (free\ r\ x))) \rrbracket \implies run\ ((snd\ v)\#x\#xs)$

— properties

abbreviation $block-t-size :: bhdr-t \Rightarrow nat$
where $block-t-size\ b \equiv b-size\ b + overhead\ conf$

definition $wf-block :: bhdr-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $wf-block\ b \equiv s-addr\ b \leq e-addr\ b \wedge s-addr\ b \geq overhead\ conf \wedge block-t-size\ b \geq min-block\ conf \wedge$
 $block-t-size\ b \leq mem-size\ conf$

definition $wf :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $wf\ \sigma \equiv \forall x \in all-blocks\ conf\ \sigma.\ wf-block\ x$

definition $disjoint-free-non-free :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $disjoint-free-non-free\ \sigma \equiv allocated-bhdr-s\ \sigma \cap free-blocks\ conf\ \sigma = \{\}$

definition $disjoint-memory :: bhdr-t \Rightarrow bhdr-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $disjoint-memory\ b1\ b2 \equiv$
 $(e-addr\ b1 + (overhead\ conf) < s-addr\ b2 \vee$
 $e-addr\ b2 + (overhead\ conf) < s-addr\ b1)$

definition $disjoint-memory-set :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $disjoint-memory-set\ \sigma \equiv$
 $\forall x1\ x2.\ x1 \in all-blocks\ conf\ \sigma \wedge x2 \in all-blocks\ conf\ \sigma \wedge x1 \neq x2 \longrightarrow disjoint-memory\ x1\ x2$

definition $no-split-memory :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $no-split-memory\ \sigma \equiv let\ f = free-blocks\ conf\ \sigma\ in$
 $\neg (\exists b1\ b2.\ b1 \in f \wedge b2 \in f \wedge$
 $(s-addr\ b1 = e-addr\ b2 + 1 + overhead\ conf))$

definition $wf-adjacency-list :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $wf-adjacency-list\ \sigma \equiv tlf-matrix\ conf\ (bhdr-matrix-f\ \sigma)$

definition $wf-bitmap1 :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $wf-bitmap1\ \sigma \equiv$
 $\forall i.\ fl-bitmap-f\ conf\ (bhdr-matrix-f\ \sigma)\ i =$
 $(\exists j < sl\ conf.\ sl-bitmap-f\ (bhdr-matrix-f\ \sigma)\ i\ j)$

definition $wf-bitmap2 :: state-t \Rightarrow bool$
where $wf-bitmap2\ \sigma \equiv$
 $\forall i.\ \forall j < sl\ conf.$
 $(bhdr-matrix-f\ \sigma)\ i\ j \neq \{\}$

sl-bitmap-f (bhdr-matrix-f σ) i j

definition *wf-bitmap::state-t \Rightarrow bool*

where *wf-bitmap $\sigma \equiv$ wf-bitmap1 $\sigma \wedge$ wf-bitmap2 σ*

definition *sum-block::bhdr-t set \Rightarrow nat*

where *sum-block $\sigma \equiv$ Finite-Set.fold ($\lambda b s. \text{block-t-size } b + s$) 0 σ*

definition *all-block-mem-size::state-t \Rightarrow bool*

where *all-block-mem-size $\sigma \equiv$ sum-block (all-blocks conf σ) = mem-size conf*

definition *inv::state-t \Rightarrow bool*

where *inv $\sigma \equiv$ no-split-memory $\sigma \wedge$ disjoint-free-non-free $\sigma \wedge$ disjoint-memory-set $\sigma \wedge$ wf $\sigma \wedge$*

wf-adjacency-list $\sigma \wedge$ all-block-mem-size σ

lemma *unique-get-allocated-block1:block-allocated addr $\sigma \Rightarrow \exists b. (\exists e\text{-addr}. b = \text{Bhdr addr } e\text{-addr}) \wedge b \in \text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma$*

unfolding *block-allocated-def by auto*

lemma *diff-block-diff-s-addr:assumes a0:inv σ and*

a1:b1 \in all-blocks conf σ and

a2:b2 \in all-blocks conf σ and

a3:b1 \neq b2

shows *s-addr b1 \neq s-addr b2*

proof(*auto*)

assume *a4:s-addr b1 = s-addr b2*

moreover have *wf-block b1 \wedge wf-block b2 using a0 a1 a2 unfolding inv-def wf-def by auto*

moreover have *disjoint-memory b1 b2 using a0 a1 a2 a3 unfolding inv-def disjoint-memory-set-def*

by *auto*

ultimately show *False unfolding disjoint-memory-def*

unfolding *wf-block-def*

by *linarith*

qed

lemma *diff-block-diff-e-addr:assumes a0:inv σ and*

a1:b1 \in all-blocks conf σ and

a2:b2 \in all-blocks conf σ and

a3:b1 \neq b2

shows *e-addr b1 \neq e-addr b2*

proof(*auto*)

assume *a4:e-addr b1 = e-addr b2*

moreover have *wf-block b1 \wedge wf-block b2 using a0 a1 a2 unfolding inv-def wf-def by auto*

moreover have *disjoint-memory b1 b2 using a0 a1 a2 a3 unfolding inv-def disjoint-memory-set-def*

by *auto*

ultimately show *False* unfolding *disjoint-memory-def*
 unfolding *wf-block-def*
 by *linarith*
 qed

lemma *same-addr-same-block*:
 assumes *a0:inv* σ and
 $a1:(\exists e\text{-addr}. b1 = Bhdr\ addr\ e\text{-addr}) \wedge b1 \in allocated\text{-bhdr-}s\ \sigma$ and
 $a2:(\exists e\text{-addr}. b2 = Bhdr\ addr\ e\text{-addr}) \wedge b2 \in allocated\text{-bhdr-}s\ \sigma$
 shows $b1 = b2$
 using *a1 a2*
 using *diff-block-diff-s-addr[OF a0 - -]* unfolding *all-blocks-def*
 apply *auto*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*) *bhdr-t.inject bhdr-t.sel(1)*)

lemma $\exists!b. get\text{-allocated-block}\ addr\ \sigma = b$
 by *auto*

context begin
 private lemma *alloc-insert-no-split*: *no-split-memory* $s \implies no\text{-split-memory}\ (s \upharpoonright allocated\text{-bhdr-}s)$
 $:= insert\ b\ (allocated\text{-bhdr-}s\ s)\ \emptyset)$
 unfolding *no-split-memory-def free-blocks-def*
 by *auto*
 private lemma *alloc-insert-no-split'*: *no-split-memory* $(s \upharpoonright bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := m\ \emptyset)$
 $\implies no\text{-split-memory}\ (s \upharpoonright allocated\text{-bhdr-}s := insert\ b\ (allocated\text{-bhdr-}s\ s), bhdr\text{-matrix-f}$
 $:= m\ \emptyset)$
 unfolding *no-split-memory-def free-blocks-def*
 by *auto*
 private lemma *alloc-insert-no-split''*: *no-split-memory* $(s \upharpoonright bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := m\ \emptyset)$
 $\implies no\text{-split-memory}\ (s \upharpoonright bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := m, allocated\text{-bhdr-}s := insert\ b\ (allocated\text{-bhdr-}s$
 $s)\ \emptyset)$
 unfolding *no-split-memory-def free-blocks-def*
 by *auto*

lemma *subset-remove-no-split*: *no-split-memory* $s \implies free\text{-blocks}\ conf\ s \geq free\text{-blocks}$
 $conf\ s' \implies no\text{-split-memory}\ s'$
 unfolding *no-split-memory-def*
 apply *auto*
 by (*meson subset-iff*)

lemma *matrix-remove-no-split*: *no-split-memory* $s \implies$
 $no\text{-split-memory}\ (s \upharpoonright bhdr\text{-matrix-f} := set\text{-bhdr-matrix}\ (bhdr\text{-matrix-f}\ s)\ i\ j$
 $(Set.remove\ b\ (bhdr\text{-matrix-f}\ s\ i\ j))\ \emptyset)$
 apply (*rule subset-remove-no-split*)
 apply *assumption*
 apply (*thin-tac no-split-memory -*)
 unfolding *free-blocks-def set-bhdr-matrix-def*

```

apply auto
by blast

lemma split-alloc-no-split:
  no-split-memory s  $\implies$ 
    wf s  $\implies$ 
      disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
         $b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \implies$ 
           $r > 0 \implies \text{--- split a zero is meaningless}$ 
           $r < b\text{-size } b - \text{overhead conf} \implies$ 
             $\text{free-blocks conf } s' = (\text{free-blocks conf } s) - \{b\} \cup \{\text{snd } (\text{split-block } r \ b)\} \implies$ 
              no-split-memory s'
    unfolding no-split-memory-def
    apply auto
    subgoal
      unfolding wf-def wf-block-def split-block-def
      apply auto
      by (metis b-size.simps bhdr-t.exhaust-sel diff-add-inverse diff-le-self not-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
    subgoal for b2
      unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def disjoint-memory-def wf-def wf-block-def split-block-def
      apply auto
      apply (drule spec[of - b2])
      apply (drule spec[of - b])
      apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def)
      apply (drule bspec[of - - b2])
      apply blast
      apply (cases b)
      by auto
    subgoal for b1
      unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def disjoint-memory-def wf-def wf-block-def split-block-def
      apply auto
      apply (drule spec[of - b1])
      apply (drule spec[of - b])
      apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def)
      by meson
    subgoal
      by metis
    done

thm bspec
thm split-beta

lemma find-opt-is-free:
  find-suitable-blocks-opt (i,j) s = Some ps  $\implies$ 
     $(i', j') \in ps \implies$ 
       $b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i' \ j' \implies$ 
       $b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s$ 
    unfolding free-blocks-def

```

```

apply (subst Union-iff)
apply (rule bezI)
apply assumption
apply auto
unfolding find-suitable-blocks-opt-def suitable-blocks-def
apply auto
by (metis (no-types, lifting) case-prodD mem-Collect-eq option.discI option.inject)

lemma fst-range-in-set:  $l \text{ cfg} \leq sm \text{ cfg} \implies \text{fst } (\text{range-l2 } \text{cfg } i \ j) \in \text{l2-set } \text{cfg } i \ j$ 
unfolding l2-set-def Let-def
by (auto simp: range-l2-disj)

lemma map-search-r-ge-minblock:
 $l \text{ cfg} \leq sm \text{ cfg} \implies (r', (i, j)) = \text{mapping-search } \text{cfg } r \implies r' \geq \text{min-block } \text{cfg}$ 
apply (cases  $r < \text{min-block } \text{cfg}$ )
unfolding mapping-search-def
apply (auto simp: Let-def split: prod.splits if-splits)
using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set next-block-bigger fst-range-in-set
apply (metis less-imp-le-nat)
using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set next-block-bigger fst-range-in-set
by (smt le-cases less-le-trans)

lemma map-search-r-gt-0:  $l \text{ cfg} \leq sm \text{ cfg} \implies \text{min-block } \text{cfg} > 0 \implies (r', (i, j)) =$ 
 $\text{mapping-search } \text{cfg } r \implies r' > 0$ 
using map-search-r-ge-minblock by force

lemma free-blocks-insert-is-union:
 $j < sl \text{ cfg} \implies \text{free-blocks-mat } \text{cfg } \text{mat} = f \implies$ 
 $\text{free-blocks } \text{cfg } (s \parallel \text{bhdr-matrix-f} := \text{insert-block-bhdr-matrix } \text{mat } i \ j \ b) = f \cup \{b\}$ 
unfolding free-blocks-mat-def free-blocks-def
apply rule
subgoal
apply rule
apply auto
subgoal for  $x \ ii \ jj$ 
apply (drule spec[of - mat ii jj])
apply auto
unfolding insert-block-bhdr-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
by (auto split: if-splits)
done
subgoal
apply rule
apply auto
unfolding insert-block-bhdr-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
apply auto
by (metis insert-iff)
done

```

```

lemma insert-is-union-conf:
  mapping-insert conf (b-size b) = (i, j)  $\implies$  free-blocks-mat conf mat = f  $\implies$ 
    free-blocks conf (s(| bhdr-matrix-f := insert-block-bhdr-matrix mat i j b |)) = f
 $\cup \{b\}$ 
  apply (rule free-blocks-insert-is-union)
  apply (metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl) .

lemma neq-split:  $a \neq b \implies a < b \vee a > (b::nat)$ 
  by auto

lemma free-block-no-dup:
  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i' \ j' \implies$ 
   $j < \text{sl conf} \implies j' < \text{sl conf} \implies$ 
   $i = i' \wedge j = j'$ 
  unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def tlf-matrix-def
  unfolding l2-set-def
  apply (frule spec[of - i])
  apply (drule spec[of - i'])
  apply (drule spec[of - j])
  apply (drule spec[of - j'])
  apply (subgoal-tac i = i')
  apply (auto simp: Let-def)
  defer
  subgoal
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply auto
    apply (rule ccontr)
    apply (drule neq-split)
    apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal
    using snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'[of conf j j' i i', OF mbiggerl]
    unfolding sl-def by linarith
  subgoal
    using snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'[of conf j' j i' i, OF mbiggerl]
    unfolding sl-def by linarith
  done
  subgoal
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply auto
    apply (rule ccontr)
    apply (drule neq-split)
    apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal
    using snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j'[of conf j j', OF mbiggerl]
    using leD le-less-trans by blast
  subgoal

```

```

    using snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j'[of conf j' j, OF mbiggerl]
    using leD le-less-trans by blast
done
done

lemma free-blocks-remove-is-minus:
  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$   $b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies j < \text{sl conf} \implies$ 
  free-blocks-mat conf (set-bhdr-matrix (bhdr-matrix-f s) i j (Set.remove b (bhdr-matrix-f
s i j))) = free-blocks conf s - {b}
  unfolding free-blocks-def free-blocks-mat-def
  apply rule
  subgoal
    apply rule
    unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def
    apply auto
    subgoal
      by (auto split:if-splits)
    subgoal for ai aj
      apply (auto split:if-splits)
      using free-block-no-dup
      unfolding sl-def
      by blast+
    done
  subgoal
    apply rule
    unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def
    apply auto
    subgoal for x xi xj
      apply (rule exI[of - Set.remove b (bhdr-matrix-f s xi xj)])
      apply auto
      apply (rule exI[of - xi])
      apply auto
      apply (rule exI[of - xj])
      apply auto
      using free-block-no-dup
      apply simp
      apply (rule exI[of - xj])
      apply auto
      using free-block-no-dup
      by simp
    done
  done

lemma remove-is-minus-conf:
  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$   $b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies \text{mapping-insert conf (b-size}$ 
  b) = (i, j)  $\implies$ 
  free-blocks-mat conf (set-bhdr-matrix (bhdr-matrix-f s) i j (Set.remove b (bhdr-matrix-f
s i j))) = free-blocks conf s - {b}
  apply (rule free-blocks-remove-is-minus)

```


apply *auto*
by (*metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl sl-def*)

lemma *suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl*:
 $\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \ s = \text{Some } ps \implies (i', j') \in ps \implies j' < sl \ \text{conf}$
unfolding *find-suitable-blocks-opt-def suitable-blocks-def*
by (*auto split: if-splits*)

lemma *suitable-blocks-ij-increase*:
 $\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \ s = \text{Some } ps \implies (i', j') \in ps \implies (i, j) \leq_b (i', j')$
unfolding *find-suitable-blocks-opt-def suitable-blocks-def*
by (*auto split:if-splits*)

lemma *block-mat-size*:
 $\text{wf-adjacency-list } s \implies b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies j < sl \ \text{conf} \implies \text{b-size } b \in$
 $\text{l2-set conf } i \ j$
unfolding *wf-adjacency-list-def tlf-matrix-def*
by *blast*

lemma *size-l2-set-i-mono*:
 $l \ \text{cfg} \leq sm \ \text{cfg} \implies ja < sl \ \text{cfg} \implies jb < sl \ \text{cfg} \implies$
 $\text{b-size } a \in \text{l2-set cfg } ia \ ja \implies$
 $\text{b-size } b \in \text{l2-set cfg } ib \ jb \implies$
 $\text{b-size } a \leq \text{b-size } b \implies ia \leq ib$
unfolding *l2-set-def*
apply (*auto simp: Let-def*)
apply (*rule ccontr*)
by (*metis less-le-trans not-le sl-def snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'*)

lemma *split-decrease-size*: $\text{min-block conf} \leq \text{b-size } b - r \implies \text{b-size } (\text{snd } (\text{split-block } r \ b)) \leq \text{b-size } b$
unfolding *split-block-def*
apply *auto*
apply (*cases b*)
by *auto*

lemma *inv-malloc-no-split-memory*: $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} (\text{malloc } r) \ \{\lambda n \ \sigma. \text{no-split-memory } \sigma\}$
unfolding *malloc-def Let-def*
apply (*cases mapping-search conf r*)
apply (*rename-tac r' i j*)
apply *auto*
apply *wp*
apply (*case-tac split-block r' b*)
apply *auto*[1]
apply *wp*
apply (*subgoal-tac aa = fst (split-block r' ba)*)
apply (*subgoal-tac baa = snd (split-block r' ba)*)
apply *hypsubst-thin*

```

apply wp
apply (simp add: prod-injects(2))
apply simp
apply wp
unfolding remove-block-def Let-def
apply wp
apply (subgoal-tac  $r' = \text{fst}(\text{mapping-search conf } r)$ )
apply hypsubst-thin
apply (rule select-wp)
apply simp
apply wp
apply (rule select-wp)
apply wp
apply auto
subgoal
  unfolding inv-def
  by simp
subgoal for  $r' \ i \ j \ s \ i' \ j' \ b \ ps$ 
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply auto
  unfolding add-block-def
  apply auto
  apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (snd (split-block  $r' \ b$ ))))
  subgoal for  $ia \ ja$ 
    apply auto
    apply (rule alloc-insert-no-split')
    apply (rule split-alloc-no-split)
    apply (simp add:inv-def)
    apply (erule conjE)+
    apply assumption
    apply (simp add:inv-def)
    apply (simp add:inv-def)
    apply (rule find-opt-is-free)
    apply assumption+
    apply (rule map-search-r-gt-0[where  $cfg=conf$ ])
    apply (simp add: mbiggerl)
    using min-block-gt-overhead apply linarith
    apply (rule sym)
    apply assumption
    using min-block-gt-overhead apply linarith
    apply (rule free-blocks-insert-is-union)
    prefer 2
    apply (rule free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply (simp add: inv-def)
    apply assumption
    using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] by metis
  done
subgoal

```

```

    unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
    apply auto
    apply (rule alloc-insert-no-split')
    apply (rule matrix-remove-no-split)
    unfolding inv-def
    by auto
  done
end
declare select-wp[wp]
context begin — disjoint free non free

lemma alloc-free-non-free-disjoint:
  disjoint-free-non-free s  $\implies$  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$  j < sl conf  $\implies$  b  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f
  s i j  $\implies$ 
    disjoint-free-non-free
      (s(bhdr-matrix-f := set-bhdr-matrix (bhdr-matrix-f s) i j (Set.remove b
        (bhdr-matrix-f s i j))),
        allocated-bhdr-s := insert b (allocated-bhdr-s s)))
    unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def
    apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq)
    apply (clarsimp simp del: sl-def)
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply assumption+
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply assumption+
    by blast

lemma split-free-not-exist-fst:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
    wf s  $\implies$ 
      r  $\neq$  b-size b  $\implies$  — to avoid b and b1 being identical
      split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
        b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s  $\implies$ 
          b1  $\notin$  all-blocks conf s
    apply rule
    unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - b1])
    apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def split-block-def wf-def)
    subgoal
      apply (cases b, auto simp: wf-block-def)
      by (metis Suc-pred Un-iff bhdr-t.sel(1) diff-add-inverse neq0-conv not-le oh-gt-0
        zero-eq-add-iff-both-eq-0)
    subgoal
      apply (cases b, auto simp: disjoint-memory-def wf-block-def)
      apply (metis Un-iff add-lessD1 bhdr-t.sel not-le)
      using oh-gt-0 by linarith
    subgoal

```

```

    apply (cases b, auto simp: wf-block-def)
    apply (case-tac x1, auto)
    apply (cases r, auto)
    by (metis Un-iff bhdr-t.sel(1) leD oh-gt-0)
  subgoal
    apply (cases b, auto simp : disjoint-memory-def wf-block-def)
    apply (metis Un-iff add-lessD1 bhdr-t.sel not-le)
    using oh-gt-0 by linarith
  done

lemma split-free-not-free-fst:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
  wf s  $\implies$ 
   $r \neq b\text{-size } b \implies$ 
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
  b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s  $\implies$ 
  b1  $\notin$  free-blocks conf s
  using split-free-not-exist-fst all-blocks-def
  by blast

lemma split-free-not-exist-snd:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
  wf s  $\implies$ 
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
  b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s  $\implies$ 
  b2  $\notin$  all-blocks conf s
  apply (rule)
  unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def
  apply (drule spec[of - b])
  apply (drule spec[of - b2])
  apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def split-block-def wf-def)
  subgoal
    apply (cases b, auto)
    using oh-gt-0 by presburger
  subgoal
    by (cases b) (force simp: wf-block-def disjoint-memory-def)
  subgoal
    apply (cases b, auto)
    using oh-gt-0 by presburger
  subgoal
    by (cases b) (force simp: wf-block-def disjoint-memory-def)
  done

lemma split-free-not-allocated-snd:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
  wf s  $\implies$ 
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
  b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s  $\implies$ 
  b2  $\notin$  allocated-bhdr-s s

```

```

using split-free-not-exist-snd all-blocks-def
by blast

lemma split-fst-snd-neq:
  wf-block b  $\implies$  b1 = fst(split-block r b)  $\implies$  b2 = snd(split-block r b)  $\implies$  b1  $\neq$  b2
unfolding split-block-def wf-block-def
apply (cases b)
apply auto
using oh-gt-0
by linarith

lemma inv-malloc-disjoint-free-non-free:  $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} (\text{malloc } r) \{\lambda n \sigma. \text{disjoint-free-non-free } \sigma\}$ 
unfolding malloc-def remove-block-def Let-def
apply upsimp
subgoal for s r' i j
apply auto
apply (simp add: inv-def)
subgoal for ps i' j' b b1 b2
unfolding add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
apply auto
apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (snd (split-block r' b))))
apply (rename-tac ia ja)
apply auto
unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def
apply clarsimp
apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
apply (simp add: inv-def)
apply assumption
using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
apply (rule refl)
apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
apply (simp add: inv-def)
apply assumption
using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
apply (rule refl)
subgoal for ia ja
proof
  assume (i', j'  $\in$  ps b  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f s i' j' find-suitable-blocks-opt (i, j))
s = Some ps
  hence j' < sl conf
    using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl less-imp-le-nat by blast
  hence b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s
    unfolding free-blocks-def

```

```

    using  $\langle b \in \cdot \rangle$  by blast
  assume inv s
  hence wf-block b
    unfolding inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def
    using  $\langle b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle$  by blast
  assume mapping-search conf r = (r', i, j)
  hence r' > 0
    using map-search-r-gt-0[OF mbiggerl] min-block-gt-overhead
    by (metis diff-self-eq-0 less-imp-diff-less)
  assume min-block conf  $\leq$  b-size b - r'
  assume split-block r' b = (b1, b2)
  hence split-b:b1 = fst(split-block r' b) b2 = snd(split-block r' b)
    by simp+
  have b1  $\notin$  free-blocks conf s
    apply (rule split-free-not-free-fst[of s r' b])
    using  $\langle \text{inv } \cdot \rangle$  apply (force simp: inv-def)+
    using  $\langle \cdot \leq \cdot - \cdot \rangle$  min-block-gt-overhead apply simp
    by fact+
  show b1  $\notin$  free-blocks conf s - {b}  $\cup$  {b2}
    apply auto
    using split-fst-snd-neq[OF  $\langle \text{wf-block } b \rangle$ , OF split-b] apply blast
    using  $\langle b1 \notin \cdot \rangle$  by simp
next
  assume inv s
  assume (i', j')  $\in$  ps b  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f s i' j' find-suitable-blocks-opt (i, j)
s = Some ps
  hence j' < sl conf
    using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl less-imp-le-nat by blast
  hence b  $\in$  free-blocks conf s
    unfolding free-blocks-def
    using  $\langle b \in \cdot \rangle$  by blast
  assume mapping-search conf r = (r', i, j)
  hence r' > 0
    using map-search-r-gt-0[OF mbiggerl] min-block-gt-overhead
    by (metis diff-self-eq-0 less-imp-diff-less)
  assume split-block r' b = (b1, b2)
  hence split-b:b1 = fst(split-block r' b) b2 = snd(split-block r' b)
    by simp+
  have b2  $\notin$  allocated-bhdr-s s
    apply (rule split-free-not-allocated-snd)
    using  $\langle \text{inv } \cdot \rangle$  apply (force simp: inv-def)+
    by fact+
  show allocated-bhdr-s s  $\cap$  (free-blocks conf s - {b}  $\cup$  {b2}) = {}
    apply auto
    using  $\langle \cdot \notin \cdot \rangle$   $\langle b2 = \cdot \rangle$  apply simp
    using  $\langle \text{inv } \cdot \rangle$ 
    unfolding inv-def disjoint-free-non-free-def by blast
qed
done

```

```

subgoal
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply auto
  apply (rule alloc-free-non-free-disjoint)
  apply (auto simp: inv-def)
  using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl by auto
done
done

thm prod-injects(2)
end

context begin — disjoint memory set

lemma disjoint-mem-sym: disjoint-memory a b  $\implies$  disjoint-memory b a
  unfolding disjoint-memory-def by blast

lemma alloc-disjoint-memory-set:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$  j < sl conf  $\implies$  b  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f
  s i j  $\implies$ 
    disjoint-memory-set
      (s( $\setminus$ bhdr-matrix-f := set-bhdr-matrix (bhdr-matrix-f s) i j (Set.remove b
        (bhdr-matrix-f s i j)),
        allocated-bhdr-s := insert b (allocated-bhdr-s s)))
  unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def
  apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq)+
  apply (simp del: sl-def)
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply assumption+
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply assumption+
  apply auto
  subgoal for x
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - x])
    apply auto
    unfolding free-blocks-def sl-def
    using Union-iff by blast
  subgoal for x
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - x])
    apply auto
    unfolding free-blocks-def sl-def
    using Union-iff by blast
  subgoal for x
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - x])
    apply (auto simp: disjoint-mem-sym)
    unfolding free-blocks-def sl-def

```

```

    using Union-iff by blast
  subgoal for x
    apply (drule spec[of - b])
    apply (drule spec[of - x])
    apply (auto simp: disjoint-mem-sym)
    unfolding free-blocks-def sl-def
    using Union-iff by blast
  done

lemma free-matrix-in-free-block:
   $b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies j < \text{sl conf} \implies b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s$ 
  unfolding free-blocks-def
  by blast

lemma split-disjoint:
   $r > 0 \vee \text{s-addr } b > 0 \implies$ 
   $b1 = \text{fst } (\text{split-block } r \ b) \implies$ 
   $b2 = \text{snd } (\text{split-block } r \ b) \implies$ 
   $\text{disjoint-memory } b1 \ b2$ 
  unfolding split-block-def disjoint-memory-def
  by auto

lemma split-disjoint-fst:
   $r < \text{b-size } b \implies$ 
   $\text{disjoint-memory } f \ b \implies$ 
   $(b1, b2) = \text{split-block } r \ b \implies$ 
   $\text{disjoint-memory } f \ b1$ 
  unfolding disjoint-memory-def split-block-def
  apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal
    by simp
  subgoal
    by (cases b) auto
  done

lemma split-disjoint-snd:
   $\text{disjoint-memory } f \ b \implies$ 
   $(b1, b2) = \text{split-block } r \ b \implies$ 
   $\text{disjoint-memory } f \ b2$ 
  unfolding disjoint-memory-def split-block-def
  apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal
    by simp
  subgoal
    by (cases b) auto
  done

declare select-wp[wp]
lemma inv-malloc-disjoint-memory-set:  $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} \ (\text{malloc } r) \ \{\lambda n \ \sigma. \text{disjoint-memory-set}$ 

```



```

σ }
  unfolding malloc-def Let-def remove-block-def
  apply wpsimp
  subgoal for s r' i j
    apply auto
    apply (simp add: inv-def)
    subgoal for ps i' j' b b1 b2
      unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    Let-def add-block-def
      apply (split prod.splits)
      apply clarsimp
      apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-insert-is-union)
      using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
      apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
      apply (simp add: inv-def)
      apply assumption
      using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply simp
      apply (rule refl)
      apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-insert-is-union)
      using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
      apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
      apply (simp add: inv-def)
      apply assumption
      using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply simp
      apply (rule refl)
      subgoal for ia ja xb yb
        apply (drule free-matrix-in-free-block)
        using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
        apply auto
      unfolding inv-def disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def
      subgoal
        apply (rule split-disjoint[of r' b])
        using map-search-r-gt-0[OF mbiggerl, of r' i j r]
        using min-block-gt-overhead by auto
      subgoal
        apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
        apply (rule split-disjoint-fst[of r' b])
        using min-block-gt-overhead
        by force+
      subgoal
        apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
        apply (rule split-disjoint-fst[of r' b])
        using min-block-gt-overhead
        by force+
      subgoal
        apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
        apply (rule split-disjoint[of r' b])
        using map-search-r-gt-0[OF mbiggerl, of r' i j r]
        using min-block-gt-overhead by auto

```

```

subgoal
  apply (rule split-disjoint-fst[of r' b])
  using min-block-gt-overhead
  by force+
subgoal
  apply (rule split-disjoint-fst[of r' b])
  using min-block-gt-overhead
  by force+
subgoal
  apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
  apply (rule split-disjoint-snd)
  apply blast
  by (rule sym)
subgoal
  apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
  apply (rule split-disjoint-snd)
  apply blast
  by (rule sym)
subgoal
  apply (rule split-disjoint-snd)
  apply blast
  by (rule sym)
subgoal
  apply (rule split-disjoint-snd)
  apply blast
  by (rule sym)
  by blast+
done
subgoal for ps i' j' b
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply auto
  apply (rule alloc-disjoint-memory-set)
  apply (auto simp: inv-def)
  using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl by auto
done
done
end

```

context begin — inv wf

lemma *alloc-no-split-all-blocks*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& s' = s(\text{bhdr-matrix-f} := \text{set-bhdr-matrix} (\text{bhdr-matrix-f } s) \ i \ j \ (\text{Set.remove } b \\
& (\text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j)), \\
& \quad \text{allocated-bhdr-s} := \text{insert } b \ (\text{allocated-bhdr-s } s) \implies \\
& \text{wf-adjacency-list } s \implies \\
& j < \text{sl conf} \implies \\
& b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i \ j \implies \\
& \text{all-blocks conf } s' = \text{all-blocks conf } s \\
& \text{unfolding all-blocks-def}
\end{aligned}$$

```

apply hypsubst-thin
apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq)
apply clarsimp
apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
by (auto simp: free-matrix-in-free-block)

lemma split-wf-fst:
  wf-block b  $\implies$ 
    split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
      r  $\geq$  min-block conf  $\implies$ 
        r  $\leq$  b-size b  $\implies$ 
          wf-block b1
unfolding wf-block-def split-block-def
apply (cases b)
apply auto
using min-block-gt-overhead by linarith

lemma split-wf-snd:
  wf-block b  $\implies$ 
    split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
      r  $\geq$  min-block conf  $\implies$ 
        b-size b - r  $\geq$  min-block conf  $\implies$ 
          wf-block b2
unfolding wf-block-def split-block-def
apply (cases b)
apply auto
using min-block-gt-overhead by linarith

lemma inv-malloc-wf:  $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} (\text{malloc } r) \{\lambda n \sigma. \text{wf } \sigma\}$ 
unfolding malloc-def Let-def remove-block-def
apply wpsimp
subgoal for s r' i j
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: inv-def)
  subgoal for ps i' j' b b1 b2
    unfolding add-block-def Let-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply (split prod.splits)
    apply auto
    apply (rename-tac ia ja)
    unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rule conjI)
    subgoal — well formed b1
      apply (rule split-wf-fst)
      defer
      apply assumption
      using map-search-r-ge-minblock[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
      using min-block-gt-overhead apply simp
      using find-opt-is-free inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def by blast

```

```

    apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply (simp add: inv-def)
    apply assumption
    using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
    apply (rule refl)
    apply auto
    subgoal — well formed b2
      apply (rule split-wf-snd)
      defer
      apply assumption
      using map-search-r-ge-minblock[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
      using min-block-gt-overhead apply simp
      using find-opt-is-free inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def by blast
    unfolding inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def by auto
  subgoal for ps i' j' b
    unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
    apply auto
    unfolding wf-def
    apply (subst alloc-no-split-all-blocks)
    apply (rule refl)
    apply (simp add: inv-def)
    using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
    by (auto simp: inv-def wf-def)
  done
done

end

context begin — inv wf adjacency list

lemma add-block-wf-adjacency:
  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$ 
  wf-adjacency-list (add-block b s)
  by (auto split:prod.splits
      simp: wf-adjacency-list-def add-block-def Let-def
      insert-block-bhdr-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
      tlsf-matrix-def mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl)

lemma inv-malloc-wf-adjacency-list:  $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} (\text{malloc } r) \{\lambda n \sigma. \text{wf-adjacency-list } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding malloc-def Let-def remove-block-def
  apply wpsimp
  subgoal
    apply auto
    apply (simp add: inv-def)
  subgoal
    apply (rule add-block-wf-adjacency)

```

```

    by (auto simp: inv-def wf-adjacency-list-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
        tlsx-matrix-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: inv-def wf-adjacency-list-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
        tlsx-matrix-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def)
  done
done
end

context begin

lemma wf-bitmap s — this is not actually an invariant. Given the definition of
bitmap, the property always holds
  by (auto simp: wf-bitmap-def wf-bitmap1-def wf-bitmap2-def
      fl-bitmap-f-def sl-bitmap-f-def)

end

context begin

lemma split-block-size-t:
  sz = block-t-size b  $\implies$ 
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$ 
  min-block conf  $\leq$  r  $\implies$ 
  min-block conf  $\leq$  b-size b - r  $\implies$ 
  sz1 = block-t-size b1  $\implies$ 
  sz2 = block-t-size b2  $\implies$ 
  sz = sz1 + sz2
unfolding split-block-def
apply (cases b)
apply auto
apply (cases r)
using dual-order.strict-trans min-block-gt-overhead not-less oh-gt-0 apply blast
apply auto
apply (subgoal-tac x2  $\geq$  (x1 + nat + overhead conf))
apply linarith
using min-block-gt-overhead by linarith

lemma split-neq-fst:
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$  r  $\neq$  b-size b  $\implies$  r > 0  $\implies$  b  $\neq$  b1
  by (cases b) (auto simp: split-block-def)

lemma split-neq-snd:
  split-block r b = (b1, b2)  $\implies$  r > 0  $\implies$  b  $\neq$  b2
  by (cases b) (auto simp: split-block-def)

lemma sum-block-f-commute:
  comp-fun-commute ( $\lambda$ b. (+) (block-t-size b))

```

```

unfolding comp-fun-commute-def comp-def
by auto

lemma minus-transposition:
 $b \geq c \implies a + c = (b::nat) \implies a = b - c$ 
by simp

lemma Un-is-insert:  $A \cup \{b\} = \text{insert } b \ A$ 
by simp

lemma all-block-is-finite:  $\text{all-block-mem-size } s \implies \text{finite } (\text{all-blocks } \text{conf } s)$ 
unfolding all-block-mem-size-def sum-block-def
using fold-infinite total-mem-gt-0 by force

lemma inv-malloc-all-block-mem-size:  $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma\} (\text{malloc } r) \{\lambda n \ \sigma. \text{all-block-mem-size } \sigma\}$ 
unfolding malloc-def Let-def remove-block-def
apply upsimp
subgoal for  $s \ r' \ i \ j$ 
apply auto
apply  $(\text{simp add: inv-def})$ 
subgoal for  $ps \ i' \ j' \ b \ b1 \ b2$ 
unfolding add-block-def Let-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
apply  $(\text{auto split: prod.splits})$ 
unfolding all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def
apply clarsimp
apply  $(\text{subst free-blocks-insert-is-union})$ 
apply  $(\text{metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl})$ 
apply  $(\text{subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus})$ 
apply  $(\text{simp add: inv-def})$ 
apply assumption
using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
apply  $(\text{rule refl})$ 
subgoal for  $ia \ ja$ 
proof –
assume  $\text{inv } s$ 
hence  $\text{all-block-mem-size } s \ \text{disjoint-free-non-free } s \ \text{wf } s \ \text{disjoint-memory-set}$ 
s
by  $(\text{simp add: inv-def})+$ 
from  $\langle \text{all-block-mem-size } s \rangle$ 
have  $\text{mem-size } \text{conf} = \text{sum-block } (\text{free-blocks } \text{conf } s \cup \text{allocated-bhdr-s } s)$ 
unfolding all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def
by simp
assume  $\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \ s = \text{Some } ps$ 
 $\text{mapping-search } \text{conf } r = (r', i, j)$ 
 $(i', j') \in ps \ b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \ i' \ j'$ 
have  $r' > 0$ 
using  $\text{map-search-r-gt-0}[OF \ \text{mbiggerl} - \langle \text{mapping-search } \text{conf } r = \rangle[\text{symmetric}]]$ 
using min-block-gt-overhead by linarith

```

```

have min-block conf ≤ r'
  using map-search-r-ge-minblock[OF mbiggerl ⟨mapping-search conf r =
→[symmetric]⟩] .
assume min-block conf ≤ b-size b - r'
hence r' ≠ b-size b
  using min-block-gt-overhead by simp
assume split-block r' b = (b1, b2)

have finite (all-blocks conf s)
  apply (rule all-block-is-finite)
  using (inv →) by (simp add: inv-def)

have b ∈ free-blocks conf s
  apply (rule free-matrix-in-free-block)
  apply fact
    using ⟨(i', j') ∈ ps⟩ ⟨find-suitable-blocks-opt (i, j) s = Some ps⟩
suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl by presburger
moreover have b ∉ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using calculation ⟨disjoint-free-non-free s⟩
  unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def by blast
moreover have b1 ≠ b b2 ≠ b
  using split-neq-fst[OF ⟨split-block - - = → ⟨r' ≠ → ⟨r' > 0⟩⟩]
  using split-neq-snd[OF ⟨split-block - - = → ⟨r' > 0⟩⟩]
  by auto
ultimately have sum-block (insert b1 (free-blocks conf s - {b} ∪ {b2} ∪
allocated-bhdr-s s)) =
  sum-block (all-blocks conf s ∪ {b1} ∪ {b2} - {b})
  unfolding all-blocks-def
  by (blast intro: arg-cong[where f = sum-block])
also have ... = sum-block (all-blocks conf s) + block-t-size b1 + block-t-size
b2 - block-t-size b
  apply (rule add-implies-diff)
  apply (subst add commute)
  unfolding sum-block-def Un-is-insert
  apply (subst Finite-Set.comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute
, of - b 0, THEN sym])
  using (finite →) apply blast
  using ⟨b ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩
  apply (simp add: all-blocks-def)
  apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-insert)
  prefer 4
  apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-insert)
  using sum-block-f-commute apply simp
  apply fact
  defer
  apply simp
  using (finite →) apply blast
subgoal
  apply auto

```

```

      apply (metis  $\langle b1 \neq b \rangle \langle \text{split-block } r' \ b = (b1, \ b2) \rangle \text{bhdr-t.collapse}$ 
         $\text{bhdr-t.inject fst-conv snd-conv split-block-def}$ )
      using split-free-not-exist-snd[OF  $\langle \text{disjoint-memory-set } s \rangle \langle \text{wf } s \rangle \langle \text{split-block}$ 
         $r' \ b = (b1, \ b2) \rangle \langle b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle]$ 
      by simp
    subgoal
      by (rule split-free-not-exist-fst[OF  $\langle \text{disjoint-memory-set } s \rangle \langle \text{wf } s \rangle \langle r' \neq - \rangle$ 
         $\langle \text{split-block } r' \ b = (b1, \ b2) \rangle \langle b \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle]$ )
    done
    also have ... = mem-size conf + block-t-size b1 + block-t-size b2 - block-t-size
      b
      by (metis (full-types)  $\langle \text{all-block-mem-size } s \rangle \text{all-block-mem-size-def}$ )
    finally have sum-block (insert b1 (free-blocks conf s - {b}  $\cup$  {b2}  $\cup$ 
      allocated-bhdr-s s))
      = mem-size conf + block-t-size b1 + block-t-size b2 - block-t-size
      b .
    moreover have block-t-size b1 + block-t-size b2 = block-t-size b
      apply (rule split-block-size-t[of - b r' b1 b2,symmetric])
      apply auto
      by fact+
    ultimately show sum-block (insert b1 (free-blocks conf s - {b}  $\cup$  {b2}  $\cup$ 
      allocated-bhdr-s s)) = mem-size conf
      by simp
    qed
  done
subgoal for ps i' j' b
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply auto
  unfolding all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def
  apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply (simp add: inv-def)
  apply assumption
  using suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl apply blast
  using inv-def all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def
  by (metis Un-insert-left find-opt-is-free insert-Diff)
done
done

end
lemma hoare-conjI1:
   $\llbracket \{P\} \ f \ \{R\}; \{P\} \ f \ \{Q\} \rrbracket \implies \{P\} \ f \ \{\lambda r \ s. \ Q \ r \ s \wedge R \ r \ s\}$ 
  unfolding valid-def by blast

theorem inv-malloc:  $\{inv\} \ (\text{malloc } r) \ \{\lambda n. \ inv\}$ 
  unfolding inv-def
  apply (rule hoare-conjI1)+
  using inv-malloc-no-split-memory inv-malloc-disjoint-free-non-free

```


inv-malloc-disjoint-memory-set inv-malloc-wf inv-malloc-wf-adjacency-list
inv-malloc-all-block-mem-size
by (*auto simp add:inv-def*)

context
begin

lemma *suc-freeD*: *suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = Some b' \implies wf s \implies disjoint-memory-set s \implies b' \in free-blocks conf s \wedge e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b'*

unfolding *suc-hdr-free-s-def*

apply (*cases b*)

apply (*clarsimp split: if-splits simp: Let-def*)

subgoal for *bs be e'*

proof –

let *?P = $\lambda x. (\exists e\text{-addr}'. x = \text{Bhdr } (\text{Suc } (be + \text{overhead conf})) e\text{-addr}') \wedge x \in \text{free-blocks conf s}$*

assume *wf s disjoint-memory-set s*

assume *b = Bhdr bs be b' = (THE x. ?P x)*

assume *Bhdr (Suc (be + overhead conf)) e' \in free-blocks conf s*

have $\exists! x. ?P x$

apply *rule*

apply *rule*

apply *rule*

apply *rule*

apply *fact*

subgoal for *b*

apply *auto*

apply (*rule ccontr*)

apply (*insert $\langle wf s \rangle \langle disjoint-memory-set s \rangle \langle - \in - \rangle$*)

unfolding *wf-def*

apply (*frule bspec[of - - b]*)

using *all-blocks-def* **apply** *blast*

apply (*drule bspec[of - - Bhdr (Suc (be + overhead conf)) e']*)

using *all-blocks-def* **apply** *blast*

unfolding *disjoint-memory-set-def*

apply (*drule spec[of - b]*)

apply (*drule spec[of - Bhdr (Suc (be + overhead conf)) e']*)

apply (*clarsimp simp: all-blocks-def*)

using *wf-block-def disjoint-memory-def*

by (*metis add-lessD1 bhdr-t.sel(1) not-le*)

done

thus *?thesis*

using *theI'[of ?P]*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) bhdr-t.sel(1)*)

qed

done

lemma *prev-freeD*: *prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some b' \implies wf s \implies disjoint-memory-set s \implies b' \in free-blocks conf s \wedge e-addr b' + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b*

```

unfolding prev-free-hdr-s-def
apply (cases b)
apply (clarsimp simp: Let-def split: if-splits)
subgoal for be b's b'e
proof -
  let  $?P = \lambda x. (\exists s\text{-addr}'. x = Bhdr\ s\text{-addr}'\ b'e) \wedge x \in \text{free-blocks}\ conf\ s$ 
  assume wf s disjoint-memory-set s
  assume  $b = Bhdr\ (Suc\ (b'e + overhead\ conf))\ be\ b' = (THE\ x. ?P\ x)$ 
  assume  $Bhdr\ b's\ b'e \in \text{free-blocks}\ conf\ s$ 
  have  $\exists! x. ?P\ x$ 
  apply rule
  apply rule
  apply rule
  apply rule
  apply fact
  subgoal for b
    apply auto
    apply (rule ccontr)
    apply (insert  $\langle wf\ s \rangle \langle disjoint\ memory\ set\ s \rangle \langle - \in - \rangle$ )
    unfolding wf-def
    apply (frule  $bspec[of\ -\ b]$ )
    using all-blocks-def apply blast
    apply (drule  $bspec[of\ -\ Bhdr\ b's\ b'e]$ )
    using all-blocks-def apply blast
    unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def
    apply (drule  $spec[of\ -\ b]$ )
    apply (drule  $spec[of\ -\ Bhdr\ b's\ b'e]$ )
    apply (clarsimp simp: all-blocks-def)
    using wf-block-def disjoint-memory-def
    by simp
  done
thus ?thesis
  using theI'[of ?P]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) bhdr-t.sel(2))
qed
done

```

lemma *free-blocks-in-matrix*:

```

  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies b \in \text{free-blocks}\ conf\ s \implies (i, j) = \text{mapping-insert}\ conf$ 
  (b-size b)  $\implies b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f}\ s\ i\ j$ 
  unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def free-blocks-def tlf-matrix-def
  apply (auto simp del: sl-def)
  subgoal for  $i'\ j'$ 
    apply (drule  $spec[of\ -\ i']$ )
    apply (drule  $spec[of\ -\ j']$ )
    apply (clarsimp simp del: sl-def)
    apply (drule  $spec[of\ -\ b]$ )
    apply (clarsimp simp del: sl-def)
    apply (drule  $\text{mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set}[OF\ mbiggerl]$ )

```

```

    using l2-set-disj[rule-format] mbiggerl
    by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal)
done

lemma get-allocated-is-allocated: wf s  $\implies$  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$  block-allocated addr
s  $\implies$  b = get-allocated-block addr s  $\implies$  b  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s
proof -
  assume disjoint-memory-set s wf s
  assume block-allocated addr s
  then obtain e where (Bhdr addr e)  $\in$  (allocated-bhdr-s s)
    unfolding block-allocated-def by blast
  assume b = get-allocated-block addr s
  have  $\exists!e\text{-addr}.$  (Bhdr addr e-addr)  $\in$  (allocated-bhdr-s s)
    apply rule
    apply fact
  proof -
  fix e-addr :: nat
    assume a1: Bhdr addr e-addr  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s
    have f2: addr  $\leq$  e
      by (metis (no-types) Un-iff  $\langle$ Bhdr addr e  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s $\rangle$   $\langle$ wf s $\rangle$  all-blocks-def
        bhdr-t.sel(1) bhdr-t.sel(2) wf-def wf-block-def)
    have f3: addr  $\leq$  e-addr
      using a1 by (metis (no-types) Un-iff  $\langle$ wf s $\rangle$  all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) bhdr-t.sel(2)
        wf-def wf-block-def)
    have Bhdr addr e-addr = Bhdr addr e  $\vee$  disjoint-memory (Bhdr addr e) (Bhdr
      addr e-addr)
      using a1  $\langle$ Bhdr addr e  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s $\rangle$   $\langle$ disjoint-memory-set s $\rangle$  all-blocks-def
      disjoint-memory-set-def by auto
    then show e-addr = e
      using f3 f2 by (simp add: disjoint-memory-def)
  qed
  thus b  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s
    using  $\langle$ b =  $\cdot$  $\rangle$ 
    unfolding get-allocated-block-def
    by (smt theI)
qed

lemma remove-not-member-id: x  $\notin$  S  $\implies$  S - {x} = S
  by simp

lemma suc-free-none-remove:
  suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None  $\implies$  suc-hdr-free-s conf b (remove-elem-from-matrix
    b' i j s) = None
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply (cases b'  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f s i j)
  subgoal
    unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def remove-def
    unfolding suc-hdr-free-s-def
    apply (cases b)

```

```

apply (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits)
subgoal for bs be be'
  apply (drule spec[of - Bhdr (Suc (be + overhead conf)) be])
  apply (erule disjE)
  apply blast
  unfolding free-blocks-def
  by (auto split:if-splits)
done
subgoal
  using remove-not-member-id set-bhdr-matrix-def
  by (simp add: remove-def)
done

lemma suc-free-none-equiv1:
  suc-hdr-free-s conf b (add-block b' s) = None  $\implies$  suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None
  unfolding add-block-def suc-hdr-free-s-def insert-block-bhdr-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
  free-blocks-def
  apply (cases b)
  apply (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits prod.splits)
  by (metis insert-iff)

lemma suc-free-none-equiv2:
  suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None  $\implies$  e-addr b = e-addr b'  $\implies$  suc-hdr-free-s conf
  b' s = None
  unfolding suc-hdr-free-s-def
  apply (cases b; cases b')
  by (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits)

lemma suc-free-none-equiv3:
  suc-hdr-free-s conf b (remove-elem-from-matrix b' i j s) = None  $\implies$ 
  e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf  $\neq$  s-addr b'  $\implies$  suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None
  unfolding suc-hdr-free-s-def
  apply (cases b; cases b')
  subgoal for s1 e1 s2 e2
    apply (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits)
    apply (drule-tac x = Bhdr (Suc (e1 + overhead conf)) e-addr' in spec)
    apply (auto simp: free-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
  split: if-splits)
    by (metis bhdr-t.sel(1) member-remove)
  done

lemma prev-free-none-equiv1:
  prev-free-hdr-s conf b (add-block b' s) = None  $\implies$  prev-free-hdr-s conf b s =
  None
  unfolding add-block-def prev-free-hdr-s-def insert-block-bhdr-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
  free-blocks-def
  apply (cases b)
  apply (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits prod.splits)
  using insert-iff by metis

```

lemma *prev-free-none-equiv2*:
 $prev\text{-}free\text{-}hdr\text{-}s\ conf\ b\ s = None \implies s\text{-}addr\ b = s\text{-}addr\ b' \implies prev\text{-}free\text{-}hdr\text{-}s\ conf\ b'\ s = None$
unfolding *prev-free-hdr-s-def*
apply (*cases b; cases b'*)
by (*auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *prev-free-none-equiv3*:
 $prev\text{-}free\text{-}hdr\text{-}s\ conf\ b\ (remove\text{-}elem\text{-}from\text{-}matrix\ b'\ i\ j\ s) = None \implies e\text{-}addr\ b' + 1 + overhead\ conf \neq s\text{-}addr\ b \implies prev\text{-}free\text{-}hdr\text{-}s\ conf\ b\ s = None$
unfolding *prev-free-hdr-s-def*
apply (*cases b; cases b'*)
subgoal
apply (*auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits*)
apply (*drule-tac x = Bhdr s-addr' e-addr' in spec*)
apply (*auto simp: free-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def split: if-splits*)
by (*metis bhdr-t.sel(2) member-remove*)
done

lemma *wf-add-block-preserve*:
 $wf\ s \implies wf\text{-}block\ b \implies wf\ (add\text{-}block\ b\ s)$
unfolding *add-block-def*
apply (*auto split: prod.splits*)
unfolding *wf-def all-blocks-def*
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*erule disjE*)
apply (*subst (asm) free-blocks-insert-is-union*)
apply (*metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl*)
using *free-blk-mat-s-eq* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-remove-preserve*:
 $wf\ s \implies wf\ (remove\text{-}elem\text{-}from\text{-}matrix\ b\ i\ j\ s)$
unfolding *remove-elem-from-matrix-def wf-def set-bhdr-matrix-def all-blocks-def free-blocks-def*
apply *auto* **by** *blast*

lemma *wf-preserve-3*:
 $wf\ s \implies wf\text{-}block\ b \implies wf\ (add\text{-}block\ b\ (remove\text{-}elem\text{-}from\text{-}matrix\ b'\ i\ j\ s))$
apply (*rule wf-add-block-preserve*)
by (*rule wf-remove-preserve*)

lemma *sum-of-two-elems*: $x \neq y \implies sum\ f\ \{x,y\} = f\ x + f\ y$
by *simp*

lemma *sum-of-three-elems*: $x \neq y \implies x \neq z \implies y \neq z \implies sum\ f\ \{x,y,z\} = f\ x + f\ y + f\ z$
proof –

```

assume a1:  $x \neq y$ 
assume a2:  $x \neq z$ 
assume a3:  $y \neq z$ 
have f4:  $\forall A \ a. \text{infinite } A \vee \text{finite } (\text{insert } (a::'a) \ A)$ 
by (meson finite.insertI)
have f5:  $\text{finite } \{y\}$ 
by blast
have  $fz + \text{sum } f \ \{x, y\} = f \ x + f \ y + f \ z$ 
using a1 by (simp add: linordered-field-class.sign-simps(2))
then show ?thesis
using f5 f4 a3 a2 by (metis insertE insert-commute singletonD sum.insert)
qed

```

```

lemma all-blocks-size-gt-two-blocks:
   $\text{sum-block } S = a \implies x \in S \implies y \in S \implies x \neq y \implies \text{finite } S \implies$ 
   $\text{block-t-size } x + \text{block-t-size } y \leq a$ 
unfolding sum-block-def
apply (subst (asm) sum.eq-fold[unfolded comp-def, THEN sym])
apply (subst sum-of-two-elems[where  $f = \text{block-t-size}$ , symmetric])
apply assumption
apply hypsubst
apply (rule sum-mono2)
by auto

```

```

lemma all-blocks-size-gt-three-blocks:
   $\text{sum-block } S = a \implies x \in S \implies y \in S \implies z \in S \implies$ 
   $x \neq y \implies x \neq z \implies y \neq z \implies \text{finite } S \implies$ 
   $\text{block-t-size } x + \text{block-t-size } y + \text{block-t-size } z \leq a$ 
unfolding sum-block-def
apply (subst (asm) sum.eq-fold[unfolded comp-def, THEN sym])
apply (subst sum-of-three-elems[where  $f = \text{block-t-size}$ , symmetric])
apply assumption+
apply hypsubst
apply (rule sum-mono2)
by auto

```

```

lemma wf-join-block:
   $\text{wf-block } b1 \implies \text{wf-block } b2 \implies$ 
   $e\text{-addr } b1 + 1 + \text{overhead conf} = s\text{-addr } b2 \implies$ 
   $\text{block-t-size } b1 + \text{block-t-size } b2 \leq \text{mem-size conf} \implies$ 
   $\text{wf-block } (\text{join-block } b1 \ b2)$ 
unfolding wf-block-def join-block-def
apply (cases b1, cases b2)
by auto

```

```

lemma join-block-assoc:
   $\text{join-block } b1 \ (\text{join-block } b2 \ b3) = \text{join-block } (\text{join-block } b1 \ b2) \ b3$ 
unfolding join-block-def by simp

```

lemma *wf-join-block-2*:

wf-block b1 \implies wf-block b2 \implies wf-block b3 \implies
e-addr b1 + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b2 \implies
e-addr b2 + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b3 \implies
block-t-size b1 + block-t-size b2 + block-t-size b3 \leq mem-size conf \implies
wf-block (join-block b1 (join-block b2 b3))
unfolding *wf-block-def join-block-def*
apply (*cases b1, cases b2, cases b3*)
by *auto*

lemma *free-blocks-simp[simp]*:

free-blocks cfg (s(| allocated-bhdr-s:= t |)) = free-blocks cfg s
unfolding *free-blocks-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *free-blocks-simp'[simp]*:

free-blocks cfg (s(| allocated-bhdr-s:= t, bhdr-matrix-f := m |)) = free-blocks cfg (s(|
bhdr-matrix-f := m |))
unfolding *free-blocks-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *suc-free-simp[simp]*:

suc-hdr-free-s cfg b (s(| allocated-bhdr-s:= t |)) = suc-hdr-free-s cfg b s
unfolding *suc-hdr-free-s-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *prev-free-simp[simp]*:

prev-free-hdr-s cfg b (s(| allocated-bhdr-s:= t |)) = prev-free-hdr-s cfg b s
unfolding *prev-free-hdr-s-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *disjoint-add-block*:

$\forall b' \in \text{all-blocks conf } s. \text{disjoint-memory } b \ b' \implies$
disjoint-memory-set s \implies disjoint-memory-set (add-block b s)
unfolding *add-block-def*
apply (*auto split: prod.splits*)
unfolding *disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def*
apply (*subst free-blocks-insert-is-union*)
using *mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl]* **apply** *metis*
apply *rule*
apply (*subst free-blocks-insert-is-union*)
using *mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl]* **apply** *metis*
apply *rule*
apply (*subst free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric]*) +
by (*auto simp: disjoint-mem-sym*)

lemma *disjoint-remove-block*:

disjoint-memory-set s \implies
free-blocks conf s' \leq free-blocks conf s \implies
allocated-bhdr-s s' \leq allocated-bhdr-s s \implies
disjoint-memory-set s'
unfolding *disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def*

```

by blast

lemma remove-free-block-size-decrease:
  free-blocks conf (s\| bhdr-matrix-f := set-bhdr-matrix (bhdr-matrix-f s) i j ((bhdr-matrix-f
s i j) - {b})) ≤ free-blocks conf s
  apply rule
  unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def free-blocks-def
  by (auto split: if-splits)

declare sl-def[simp del]

lemma join-block-disjoint:
  e-addr b1 + overhead conf + 1 = s-addr b2 ⇒ wf-block b ⇒
  disjoint-memory b b1 ⇒ disjoint-memory b b2 ⇒ disjoint-memory b (join-block
b1 b2)
  unfolding join-block-def disjoint-memory-def wf-block-def
  by auto

lemma disjoint-memory-preserve-3:
  disjoint-memory-set s ⇒ wf-adjacency-list s ⇒ wf s ⇒ disjoint-free-non-free
s ⇒
  e-addr b1 + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b2 ⇒ j < sl conf ⇒ b1 ∈
bhdr-matrix-f s i j ⇒ b2 ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s ⇒
  disjoint-memory-set (add-block (join-block b1 b2) (remove-elem-from-matrix b1
i j (s\|allocated-bhdr-s := allocated-bhdr-s s - {b2})))
  apply (rule disjoint-add-block)
  subgoal
  apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def )
  subgoal for b'
    apply (subst (asm) free-blk-mat-s-eq)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply assumption+
    apply auto
    unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def
    apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
    apply (rule join-block-disjoint)
    apply simp
    using wf-def all-blocks-def apply blast
    apply (simp add: all-blocks-def free-matrix-in-free-block)
    using all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def by auto
  subgoal for b'
    apply (rule ccontr)
    apply (subgoal-tac disjoint-memory b' (join-block b1 b2))
    using disjoint-mem-sym apply simp
    apply (rule join-block-disjoint)
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: all-blocks-def wf-def)
    apply (metis Un-iff all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def disjoint-memory-set-def

```



```

free-matrix-in-free-block in-empty-interE)
  by (simp add: all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def)
done
apply (rule disjoint-remove-block)
  apply assumption
subgoal
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply clarsimp
  using remove-free-block-size-decrease remove-def
  by (metis insert-absorb insert-subset)
subgoal
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def by auto
done

lemma disjoint-memory-preserve-2:
  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$  wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$  wf s  $\implies$  disjoint-free-non-free
s  $\implies$ 
  e-addr b1 + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b2  $\implies$  j < sl conf  $\implies$  b2  $\in$ 
bhdr-matrix-f s i j  $\implies$  b1  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s  $\implies$ 
  disjoint-memory-set (add-block (join-block b1 b2) (remove-elem-from-matrix b2
i j (s\allocated-bhdr-s := allocated-bhdr-s s - {b1}\))))
  apply (rule disjoint-add-block)
subgoal
  apply (auto simp: all-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def )
subgoal for b'
  apply (subst (asm) free-blk-mat-s-eq)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply assumption+
  apply auto
  unfolding disjoint-memory-set-def
  apply (rule disjoint-mem-sym)
  apply (rule join-block-disjoint)
  apply simp
  using wf-def all-blocks-def apply blast
  using all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def free-matrix-in-free-block
  by auto
subgoal for b'
  apply (rule ccontr)
  apply (subgoal-tac disjoint-memory b' (join-block b1 b2))
  using disjoint-mem-sym apply simp
  apply (rule join-block-disjoint)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: all-blocks-def wf-def)
  apply (metis Un-iff all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def)
  by (metis Un-iff all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def disjoint-memory-set-def
free-matrix-in-free-block in-empty-interE)
done
apply (rule disjoint-remove-block)

```

```

    apply assumption
  subgoal
    unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
    apply clarsimp
    using remove-free-block-size-decrease remove-def
    by (metis insert-absorb insert-subset)
  subgoal
    unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def by auto
  done

lemma suc-free-noneD:
  suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None  $\implies \forall b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s. \text{e-addr } b + 1 + \text{overhead conf} \neq \text{s-addr } b'$ 
  unfolding suc-hdr-free-s-def
  apply (cases b)
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  by (metis bhdr-t.collapse)

lemma prev-free-noneD:
  prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None  $\implies \forall b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s. \text{e-addr } b' + 1 + \text{overhead conf} \neq \text{s-addr } b$ 
  unfolding prev-free-hdr-s-def
  apply (cases b)
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  by (metis bhdr-t.collapse)

lemma prev-free-some-equiv2:
  prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some p  $\implies \text{s-addr } b = \text{s-addr } b' \implies \text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b' s = \text{Some } p$ 
  unfolding prev-free-hdr-s-def
  apply (cases b; cases b')
  by (auto split: if-splits)

lemma prev-free-some-equiv3:
  prev-free-hdr-s conf b (remove-elem-from-matrix b' i j s) = Some p  $\implies$ 
  wf s  $\implies \text{disjoint-memory-set } s \implies$ 
  e-addr b' + 1 + overhead conf  $\neq \text{s-addr } b \implies \text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b s = \text{Some } p$ 
  apply (drule prev-freeD)
  apply (rule wf-remove-preserve, simp)
  apply (rule disjoint-remove-block, simp)
  apply (metis remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    remove-free-block-size-decrease remove-def)
  using remove-elem-from-matrix-def apply simp
  unfolding prev-free-hdr-s-def
  apply auto
  subgoal — not empty
    apply (rule exI[of - p])
    apply (auto simp: remove-elem-from-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def free-blocks-def)

```

```

      split: if-splits)
  apply (drule spec[of - bhdr-matrix-f s i j], blast)
  by (drule spec[of - s-addr p], simp)+
subgoal for x — equality
  apply rule
  subgoal — existence
    apply auto
    apply (drule spec[of - s-addr p], simp)
  apply (auto simp: remove-elem-from-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def free-blocks-def
    split: if-splits)
    by (drule spec[of - bhdr-matrix-f s i j], blast)
  subgoal for y — uniqueness
    apply (cases b)
    apply auto
  apply (auto simp: remove-elem-from-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def free-blocks-def
    split: if-splits)
    using free-matrix-in-free-block disjoint-memory-set-def wf-def
      disjoint-memory-def wf-block-def
    by (metis Un-iff add-lessD1 all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(2) leD)+
done
done

```

```

lemma prev-free-eq:
  s-addr b = s-addr b'  $\implies$  prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = prev-free-hdr-s conf b' s
  using prev-free-some-equiv2 prev-free-none-equiv2
  by (metis not-None-eq)

```

```

type-synonym 'a set-matrix = nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
definition no-overlap-matrix :: 'a set-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    no-overlap-matrix s P  $\equiv$  ( $\forall x i j i' j'. P i j \wedge P i' j' \wedge x \in s i j \wedge x \in s i' j' \longrightarrow$ 
       $i = i' \wedge j = j'$ )
abbreviation no-overlap-bhdr-matJ :: 'a set-matrix  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    no-overlap-bhdr-matJ s  $\equiv$  no-overlap-matrix s ( $\lambda j. j < sl \text{ conf}$ )

```

```

lemma no-overlap-bhdr-mat: wf-adjacency-list s  $\implies$  no-overlap-bhdr-matJ (bhdr-matrix-f s)
  unfolding no-overlap-matrix-def
  using free-block-no-dup by blast

```

```

lemma free-blocks-remove-is-minus':
  no-overlap-bhdr-matJ mat  $\implies b \in \text{mat } i j \implies j < sl \text{ conf} \implies$ 
  free-blocks-mat conf mat = f  $\implies$ 
  free-blocks-mat conf (set-bhdr-matrix mat i j (Set.remove b (mat i j))) = f -
  {b}
  unfolding free-blocks-mat-def

```

```

apply rule
subgoal
  apply rule
  unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def
  apply auto
  subgoal
    by (auto split:if-splits)
  subgoal for ai aj
    apply (auto split:if-splits)
    unfolding no-overlap-matrix-def
    by blast+
  done
subgoal
  apply rule
  unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def
  apply auto
  subgoal for x xi xj
  apply (rule exI[of - Set.remove b (mat xi xj)])
  apply auto
    apply (rule exI[of - xi])
    apply auto
    apply (rule exI[of - xj])
    apply auto
    unfolding no-overlap-matrix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule exI[of - xj])
    by auto
  done
done

```

lemma no-overlap-mat-remove:
 $\text{no-overlap-bhdr-matJ mat} \implies \text{no-overlap-bhdr-matJ (set-bhdr-matrix mat } i \text{ } j \text{ (Set.remove b (mat } i \text{ } j)))}$
unfolding no-overlap-matrix-def set-bhdr-matrix-def **by** auto

lemma free4:
assumes mapping-insert conf (Suc (e-addr bs) - s-addr bp) = (i, j)
 snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp)) = jp
 snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs)) = js
 wf-adjacency-list s
 bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ip jp bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s is js
 wf-block bp wf-block b
 e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
 e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
shows free-blocks conf
 (remove-elem-from-matrix bp ip jp
 (remove-elem-from-matrix bs is js
 (s(allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove b (allocated-bhdr-s s))))
 (bhdr-matrix-f :=

```

insert-block-bhdr-matrix
  (bhdr-matrix-f
    (remove-elem-from-matrix bp ip jp
      (remove-elem-from-matrix bs is js
        (s(allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove b (allocated-bhdr-s s))))))
    i j (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs))) = free-blocks conf s - {bs} - {bp} ∪
{Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)}
  apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
  apply (metis (no-types) mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl assms)
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus')
  apply (rule no-overlap-mat-remove)
  apply (rule no-overlap-bhdr-mat)
  apply fact
  using set-bhdr-matrix-def assms wf-block-def apply auto[1]
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse assms apply metis
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply fact+
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse assms apply metis
  by rule+

```

```

lemma inv-free-no-split-memory : {λσ. inv σ ∧ block-allocated addr σ} (free addr)
{λn σ. no-split-memory σ}
  unfolding free-def
  apply wp
  unfolding join-prev-def
  apply wp
  unfolding Let-def
  apply (split prod.splits)
  unfolding join-block-def
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply wp
  apply (drule prod-injects(2))
  apply (erule conjE)
  apply hypsubst
  apply wp
  apply wp
  unfolding join-suc-def
  apply wp
  unfolding Let-def
  apply (split prod.splits)
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply wp
  apply (drule prod-injects(2))
  apply (erule conjE)
  apply hypsubst
  apply wp

```

```

apply wp
apply wp
apply wp
apply wp
apply (erule conjE)
apply (split if-splits)
apply (intro conjI impI)
defer
apply blast
apply (split if-splits)
apply (intro conjI impI)
subgoal for s — the case when next block is not free
apply (split if-splits)
apply auto
subgoal — the case when prev block is not free
unfolding add-block-def no-split-memory-def
apply clarsimp
apply (split prod.splits)
apply (intro conjI impI allI)
apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
apply (metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl)
apply (rule refl)
apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric])
apply auto
subgoal
apply (subgoal-tac wf-block (get-allocated-block addr s))
apply (simp add: wf-block-def)
by (metis Un-iff all-blocks-def inv-def wf-def get-allocated-is-allocated)
apply (force dest: prev-free-noneD)
apply (force dest: suc-free-noneD)
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc no-split-memory-def plus-1-eq-Suc inv-def)
subgoal for bp — the case when prev block is free
proof –
let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
let ?s' = s \allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)
let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr ?b)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j ?s')
assume prev-free-hdr-s conf ?b s = Some bp
then obtain b where b': the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
and b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
by force
assume inv s
hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s
by (auto simp: inv-def)
with b b' have bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
using prev-freeD (· = Some bp) by blast+
hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j

```

```

    by (simp add: invs(1) free-blocks-in-matrix)
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨wf s⟩ have wfbs:wf-block b wf-block bp
    using all-blocks-def wf-def by blast+
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = None
  show no-split-memory ?s''
    unfolding b
    unfolding no-split-memory-def add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply clarsimp
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (Suc (e-addr b) - s-addr bp))
    apply (rename-tac i' j')
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
    apply (thin-tac -)
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply fact+
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse apply blast
    apply (rule refl)
    apply (thin-tac -)
    apply auto
  subgoal
    using ⟨- = Some bp⟩ b invs prev-freeD wf-block-def wfbs by fastforce
  subgoal
  by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ invs(4) add-Suc no-split-memory-def)
  subgoal
    using ⟨suc-hdr-free-s - ?b s = None⟩ suc-free-noneD
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc b)
  subgoal
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc no-split-memory-def invs(4))
  done
qed
done
subgoal for s — the case when next block is free
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (auto simp: join-block-def)
subgoal for bs — the case when next block is not free
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?s' = s\allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)\
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s')
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where b':the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs and
    b: get-allocated-block addr s = b

```

```

    by fastforce
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s
    by (simp add: inv-def)+
  hence invs': wf-adjacency-list ?s'
    unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def by simp
  from b' b have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
    using suc-freeD invs ⟨- = Some bs⟩ by blast+
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    using free-blocks-in-matrix ⟨wf-adjacency-list s⟩
    by auto
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f ?s' ?i ?j
    by simp
  assume block-allocated addr s
  hence b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated[OF ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ - b[symmetric]]
    by simp
  with ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
    using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def
    by blast+
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s') = None
  hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)
    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ wf-block-def
    by (auto dest: prev-free-none-equiv3)
  show no-split-memory ?s''
    unfolding b no-split-memory-def add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply clarsimp
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (Suc (e-addr bs) - s-addr b))
    apply (rename-tac i' j')
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
    apply (thin-tac - = -)
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply fact+
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse apply blast
    apply (rule refl)
    apply (thin-tac - = -)
    apply (auto)
  subgoal
    using b' suc-freeD[OF - ⟨wf -⟩ ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩]
    using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def
    by (smt ⟨suc-hdr-free-s conf (get-allocated-block addr s) s = Some bs⟩
add-leD1 b leD le-trans less-add-Suc1)

```



```

    subgoal
      using ⟨prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None⟩ prev-free-noneD
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc plus-1-eq-Suc)
    subgoal
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ add-Suc no-split-memory-def
inv-def)
    subgoal
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 ⟨inv s⟩ add-Suc no-split-memory-def inv-def)
    done
  qed
  subgoal for bs bp — the case when next block is free
  proof -
    let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
    let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?s = s(|allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)|)
    let ?i' = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
    let ?j' = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
    let ?s' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j (remove-elem-from-matrix bs ?i' ?j' ?s))
    assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
    then obtain b where bs: the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs
      and b : get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by force

    assume inv s
    hence invs: wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s wf-adjacency-list s
      by (auto simp: inv-def)
    with ⟨- = Some bs⟩ have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
      e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs

    unfolding b
    using suc-freeD by blast+
    hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i' ?j'
      using free-blocks-in-matrix invs(4) prod.collapse by blast
    assume block-allocated addr s
    with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
      using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
    with ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
      unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def by simp+

    assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i' ?j' ?s) = Some bp
    hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some bp
      unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
    using wf-def all-blocks-def ⟨wf s⟩ apply force
    using disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ apply

```

```

force
  using  $\langle - = s\text{-addr } bs \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } b \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } bs \rangle \text{wf-block-def}$  by simp+
  hence bp: the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    bp  $\in$  free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
  using prev-freeD invs by force+
  hence bp  $\in$  bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j wf-block bp
    using wf-def all-blocks-def invs free-blocks-in-matrix by force+

  show no-split-memory ?s'
    unfolding b
    unfolding no-split-memory-def add-block-def
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs))))
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst free4)
    apply (assumption | thin-tac -; fact | rule)+
    apply auto
    subgoal
      using  $\langle \text{wf-block } bp \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } bs \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } b \rangle$ 
      using  $\langle - = s\text{-addr } b \rangle \langle - = s\text{-addr } bs \rangle \text{wf-block-def}$ 
      by auto
    subgoal for b'
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc bp(2) invs(3) no-split-memory-def)
    subgoal
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1  $\langle bs \in \text{free-blocks } \text{conf } s \rangle$  add-Suc invs(3) no-split-memory-def)
    subgoal
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc invs(3) no-split-memory-def)
    done
  qed
done
end

lemma inv-free-disjoint-free-non-free:
   $\{\lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma \wedge \text{block-allocated addr } \sigma\} (\text{free addr}) \{\lambda n \sigma. \text{disjoint-free-non-free } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def
  apply (wp | split prod.splits, intro allI impI, drule prod-injects(2), erule conjE,
clarsimp)+
  apply (erule conjE)
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  defer
  apply blast
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply auto

```

```

subgoal for s — the case when both prev and next are not free
  unfolding inv-def disjoint-free-non-free-def add-block-def
  apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (get-allocated-block addr s)))
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst free-blocks-insert-is-union)
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
  apply rule
  apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric]) by auto
subgoal for s bp — the case when prev is not free but next is free
proof —
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s' = (s | allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove (get-allocated-block addr s) (allocated-bhdr-s
s)))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr ?b)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j ?s')
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf ?b s = Some bp
  then obtain b where b': the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    and b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
  by force
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
  by (auto simp: inv-def)
  with b b' have bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
  using prev-freeD ⟨- = Some bp⟩ by blast+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
  by (simp add: invs(1) free-blocks-in-matrix)
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨wf s⟩ have wfbs: wf-block b wf-block bp
  using all-blocks-def wf-def by blast+
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = None

show disjoint-free-non-free ?s''
  unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def add-block-def Let-def
  remove-elem-from-matrix-def
  apply (auto split: prod.splits)
  apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-insert-is-union)
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
  apply (thin-tac -)+
  apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
  apply fact+
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse apply metis
  apply rule
  unfolding b
  apply auto

```

```

    apply (metis Un-iff ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(2)
diff-block-diff-e-addr)
    using disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(4) by auto
qed
subgoal for s bs — the case when prev is free but next is not free
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?s' = s(allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s))
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s')
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where b':the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs and
    b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by fastforce
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
    by (simp add: inv-def)+
  hence invs': wf-adjacency-list ?s'
    unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def by simp
  from b' b have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
    using suc-freeD invs ⟨- = Some bs⟩ by blast+
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    using free-blocks-in-matrix ⟨wf-adjacency-list s⟩
    by auto
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f ?s' ?i ?j
    by simp
  assume block-allocated addr s
  hence b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated[OF ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ - b[symmetric]]
    by simp
  with ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
    using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def
    by blast+
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s') = None
  hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)
    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ wf-block-def
    by (auto dest: prev-free-none-equiv3)
  show disjoint-free-non-free ?s''
    unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def add-block-def Let-def
    remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply (auto split: prod.splits)

```

```

    apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-insert-is-union)
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] apply metis
    apply (thin-tac -)+
    apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
    apply fact+
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] prod.collapse apply metis
    apply rule
    unfolding b
    apply auto
    apply (metis ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ bhdr-t.exhaust-sel same-addr-same-block)
    using disjoint-free-non-free-def ⟨disjoint-free-non-free s⟩ by auto
  qed
  subgoal for s bs bp — the case when neither prev nor next is free
  proof -
    let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
    let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?s = s[allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)]
    let ?i' = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
    let ?j' = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
    let ?s' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
  bp ?i ?j (remove-elem-from-matrix bs ?i' ?j' ?s))
    assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
    then obtain b where bs: the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs
      and b : get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by force

    assume inv s
    hence invs: wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s wf-adjacency-list s
  disjoint-free-non-free s
    by (auto simp: inv-def)
    with ⟨- = Some bs⟩ have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
      e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
    unfolding b
    using suc-freeD by blast+
    hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i' ?j'
    using free-blocks-in-matrix invs(4) prod.collapse by blast
    assume block-allocated addr s
    with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
    with ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
    unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def by simp+

    assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
  bs ?i' ?j' ?s) = Some bp
    hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some bp
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)

```

```

    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
    using wf-def all-blocks-def ⟨wf s⟩ apply force
    using disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ apply
force
    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def by simp+
  hence bp: the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
    using prev-freeD invs by force+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j wf-block bp
    using wf-def all-blocks-def invs free-blocks-in-matrix by force+

show disjoint-free-non-free ?s'
  unfolding b
  unfolding disjoint-free-non-free-def add-block-def Let-def
  apply (split prod.splits, intro allI impI, clarsimp)
  apply (subst free4)
  apply (assumption | rule)+
  apply (thin-tac -; fact)+
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def
  apply clarsimp
  apply auto
  apply (metis IntI Un-upper2 ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ all-blocks-def
bhdr-t.sel(2) contra-subsetD
diff-block-diff-e-addr disjoint-free-non-free-def empty-iff invs(5) sup-commute)
  using disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(5) by auto
qed
done

lemma inv-free-disjoint-memory-set : {λσ. inv σ ∧ block-allocated addr σ} (free addr)
{λn σ. disjoint-memory-set σ}
  unfolding free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def
  apply (wp | split prod.splits, intro allI impI, drule prod-injects(2), erule conjE,
clarsimp)+
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  defer
  apply blast
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply auto
  subgoal for s
    unfolding inv-def disjoint-memory-set-def add-block-def all-blocks-def
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (get-allocated-block addr s)))
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst (asm) insert-is-union-conf, assumption)
    apply rule

```

```

apply (subst (asm) free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric])
apply (subst (asm) insert-is-union-conf, assumption)
apply rule
apply (subst (asm) free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric])
by (metis UnE Un-is-insert all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def get-allocated-is-allocated
insertE)
subgoal for s bp
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s' = (s\allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove (get-allocated-block addr s) (allocated-bhdr-s
s))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr ?b)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j ?s')
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf ?b s = Some bp
  then obtain b where b': the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    and b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
  by force
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
    by (auto simp: inv-def)
  with b b' have bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 +overhead conf = s-addr b
  using prev-freeD ⟨- = Some bp⟩ by blast+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    by (simp add: invs(1) free-blocks-in-matrix)
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨wf s⟩ have wfbs:wf-block b wf-block bp
    using all-blocks-def wf-def by blast+
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = None

  show disjoint-memory-set ?s''
    unfolding remove-def
    apply (rule disjoint-memory-preserve-3[unfolded join-block-def])
    unfolding b
    apply fact+
    using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl prod.collapse apply blast
    by fact+
qed
subgoal for s bs
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?s' = s\allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))

```

```

    let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s')
    assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
    then obtain b where b':the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs and
        b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by fastforce
    assume inv s
    hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
    by (simp add: inv-def)+
    hence invs': wf-adjacency-list ?s'
    unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def by simp
    from b' b have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
        e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
    using suc-freeD invs ⟨- = Some bs⟩ by blast+
    hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    using free-blocks-in-matrix ⟨wf-adjacency-list s⟩
    by auto
    hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f ?s' ?i ?j
    by simp
    assume block-allocated addr s
    hence b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated[OF ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ - b[symmetric]]
    by simp
    with ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
    using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def
    by blast+
    assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s') = None
    hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)
    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ wf-block-def
    by (auto dest: prev-free-none-equiv3)
    show disjoint-memory-set ?s''
    unfolding b remove-def
    apply (rule disjoint-memory-preserve-2[unfolded join-block-def])
    apply fact+
    apply (meson mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbigger1 prod.collapse)
    by fact+
qed
subgoal for s bs bp
proof -
    let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
    let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
    let ?s = s[allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)]
    let ?i' = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))

```



```

let ?j' = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
let ?s' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j (remove-elem-from-matrix bs ?i' ?j' ?s))
assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
then obtain b where bs: the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs
      and b : get-allocated-block addr s = b
by force

assume inv s
hence invs: wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s wf-adjacency-list s
disjoint-free-non-free s
  by (auto simp: inv-def)
with ⟨- = Some bs⟩ have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
      e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
  unfolding b
  using suc-freeD by blast+
hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i' ?j'
  using free-blocks-in-matrix invs(4) prod.collapse by blast
assume block-allocated addr s
with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
with ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
  unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def by simp+

assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i' ?j' ?s) = Some bp
hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some bp
  unfolding b
  apply -
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
  using wf-def all-blocks-def ⟨wf s⟩ apply force
  using disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ apply
force
  using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def by simp+
hence bp: the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
  bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
  e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
using prev-freeD invs by force+
hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j wf-block bp
  using wf-def all-blocks-def invs free-blocks-in-matrix by force+

show disjoint-memory-set ?s'
  apply (rule disjoint-add-block)
  subgoal
    apply (auto simp: remove-elem-from-matrix-def all-blocks-def)
    subgoal for b'
      apply (subst (asm) free-blk-mat-s-eq)
      apply clarsimp

```

```

apply (subst (asm) free-blocks-remove-is-minus')
apply (rule no-overlap-mat-remove)
apply (rule no-overlap-bhdr-mat)
apply fact
subgoal
  unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def
  apply auto
  using  $\langle bp \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } s \text{ ?i ?j} \rangle$  apply auto
  using  $\langle \text{wf-block } bp \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } b \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } bs \rangle$ 
  using  $\langle - = s\text{-addr } b \rangle \langle - = s\text{-addr } bs \rangle$  wf-block-def by simp
using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl prod.collapse apply blast
apply (subst remove-is-minus-conf)
apply fact+
apply simp
apply rule
proof auto
  assume  $b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s$   $b' \neq bs$   $b' \neq bp$ 
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' bp$ 
  using  $\langle b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle \langle b' \neq bp \rangle$  all-blocks-def bp(2) disjoint-memory-set-def
  invs(2) by auto
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' bs$ 
  using  $\langle b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle \langle b' \neq bs \rangle \langle bs \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle$  all-blocks-def
  disjoint-memory-set-def invs(2) by force
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' b$ 
  by (metis Un-iff  $\langle b \in \text{allocated-bhdr-s } s \rangle \langle b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle$  all-blocks-def
  disjoint-free-non-free-def disjoint-memory-set-def in-empty-interE invs(2) invs(5))
  moreover have wf-block  $b'$ 
  using  $\langle b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle$  all-blocks-def invs(1) wf-def by force
  ultimately show disjoint-memory (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs))  $b'$ 
  using  $\langle \text{wf-block } b \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } bs \rangle \langle \text{wf-block } bp \rangle$  wf-def disjoint-memory-def
  using  $\langle - = s\text{-addr } bs \rangle \langle - = s\text{-addr } b \rangle$ 
  by (smt Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc add-lessD1 bhdr-t.sel leD le-less-trans
  not-less-eq-eq wf-block-def)
qed
subgoal for  $b'$ 
  unfolding b
proof –
  assume  $b' \in \text{allocated-bhdr-s } s$   $b' \neq b$ 
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' bp$ 
  by (metis Un-iff all-blocks-def bp(2) calculation(1) disjoint-free-non-free-def
  disjoint-iff-not-equal disjoint-memory-set-def invs(2) invs(5))
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' bs$ 
  by (metis Un-iff  $\langle bs \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \rangle$  all-blocks-def calculation(1)
  disjoint-free-non-free-def disjoint-memory-set-def in-empty-interE invs(2) invs(5))
  moreover have disjoint-memory  $b' b$ 
  using  $\langle b \in \text{allocated-bhdr-s } s \rangle$  all-blocks-def calculation(1) calculation(2)
  disjoint-memory-set-def invs(2) by auto
  moreover have wf-block  $b'$ 
  using all-blocks-def calculation(1) invs(1) wf-def by auto

```

```

      ultimately show disjoint-memory (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) b'
      using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bp⟩ wf-def disjoint-memory-def
      using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨- = s-addr b⟩
      by (smt add.assoc add.commute bhdr-t.sel(1) bhdr-t.sel(2) join-block-def
join-block-disjoint)
    qed
  done
subgoal
  apply (rule disjoint-remove-block)
  apply fact
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  apply clarsimp
  unfolding set-bhdr-matrix-def free-blocks-def
  apply (auto split:if-splits)
  by blast+
done
qed
done

```

```

lemma inv-free-wf : {λσ. inv σ ∧ block-allocated addr σ} (free addr) {λn σ. wf σ}
  unfolding free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def
  apply (wp | split prod.splits, intro allI impI, drule prod-injects(2), erule conjE,
clarsimp)+
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  defer
  apply blast
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply auto
subgoal for s
  unfolding inv-def wf-def add-block-def all-blocks-def
  apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (get-allocated-block addr s)))
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst (asm) insert-is-union-conf, assumption)
  apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric])
  apply rule
  using all-blocks-def get-allocated-is-allocated wf-def by fastforce
subgoal for s bp
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s' = (s \ allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove (get-allocated-block addr s) (allocated-bhdr-s
s))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr ?b)) (remove-elem-from-matrix

```

```

bp ?i ?j ?s')
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf ?b s = Some bp
  then obtain b where b': the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    and b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by force
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s all-block-mem-size s
    by (auto simp: inv-def)
  with b b' have bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 +overhead conf = s-addr b
    using prev-freeD ⟨- = Some bp⟩ by blast+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    by (simp add: invs(1) free-blocks-in-matrix)
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨wf s⟩ have wfbs: wf-block b wf-block bp
    using all-blocks-def wf-def by blast+
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = None
  have wf-block (join-block bp b)
    apply (rule wf-join-block)
    apply fact+
    apply (rule all-blocks-size-gt-two-blocks)
    apply (rule ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩[unfolded all-block-mem-size-def])
    using all-blocks-def ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ apply simp
    using all-blocks-def ⟨b ∈ -⟩ apply simp
    using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bp⟩ ⟨- = s-addr b⟩ wf-block-def apply force
    apply (rule all-block-is-finite) by fact
  show wf ?s''
    apply (rule wf-preserve-3)
    using ⟨wf s⟩
    unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def apply force
    unfolding b join-block-def[symmetric] by fact
qed
subgoal for s bs
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?s' = s(allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s))
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s')
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where b': the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs and
    b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by fastforce
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s all-block-mem-size s
    by (simp add: inv-def)+

```

```

hence invs': wf-adjacency-list ?s'
  unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def by simp
from b' b have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
  e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
  using suc-freeD invs ⟨- = Some bs⟩ by blast+
hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
  using free-blocks-in-matrix (⟨wf-adjacency-list s⟩)
  by auto
hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f ?s' ?i ?j
  by simp
assume block-allocated addr s
hence b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using get-allocated-is-allocated[OF ⟨wf s⟩ (⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ - b[symmetric])]
  by simp
with ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
  using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def
  by blast+
assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s') = None
```

hence *prev-free-hdr-s conf b s* = *None*

```

  unfolding b
  apply -
  apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)
  using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ wf-block-def
  by (auto dest: prev-free-none-equiv3)
have wf-block (join-block b bs)
  apply (rule wf-join-block)
  apply fact+
  apply (rule all-blocks-size-gt-two-blocks)
  apply (rule ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩[unfolded all-block-mem-size-def])
  using all-blocks-def ⟨b ∈ -⟩ apply simp
  using all-blocks-def ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ apply simp
  using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ wf-block-def apply force
  apply (rule all-block-is-finite) by fact
show wf ?s''
  unfolding b
  apply (rule wf-preserve-3)
  using ⟨wf s⟩ unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def apply force
  unfolding join-block-def[symmetric] by fact
qed
subgoal for s bs bp
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s = s (⟨allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (⟨allocated-bhdr-s s⟩)⟩)
  let ?i' = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j' = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix

```

```

bp ?i ?j (remove-elem-from-matrix bs ?i' ?j' ?s))
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where bs: the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs
    and b : get-allocated-block addr s = b
  by force

  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s wf-adjacency-list s
all-block-mem-size s
  by (auto simp: inv-def)
with ⟨- = Some bs⟩ have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
  e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
  unfolding b
  using suc-freeD by blast+
hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i' ?j'
  using free-blocks-in-matrix invs(4) prod.collapse by blast
assume block-allocated addr s
with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
with ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
  unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def by simp+

  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i' ?j' ?s) = Some bp
  hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some bp
  unfolding b
  apply -
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
  using wf-def all-blocks-def ⟨wf s⟩ apply force
  using disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ apply
force
  using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def by simp+
hence bp: the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
  bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
  e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
  using prev-freeD invs by force+
hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j wf-block bp
  using wf-def all-blocks-def invs free-blocks-in-matrix by force+

have wf-block (join-block bp (join-block b bs))
  apply (rule wf-join-block-2)
  apply fact+
  apply (rule all-blocks-size-gt-three-blocks)
  using ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩ all-block-mem-size-def apply simp
  using all-blocks-def ⟨b ∈ -⟩ ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks - -⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks - -⟩
  apply blast+
  using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bp⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def
  using ⟨- = s-addr b⟩ ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ apply force+

```

```

    apply (rule all-block-is-finite) by fact
  hence wf-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs))
    unfolding join-block-def by simp
  show wf ?s'
    apply (rule wf-preserve-3)
    apply (rule wf-remove-preserve)
    using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def apply force
    by fact
qed
done

lemma inv-free-wf-adjacency-list :
  ⟨⟦λσ. inv σ ∧ block-allocated addr σ⟧ (free addr) ⟦λn σ. wf-adjacency-list σ ⟧
    unfolding free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def
    apply (wp | split prod.splits, intro allI impI, drule prod-injects(2), erule conjE,
      clarsimp)+
    apply auto
    by (force simp: inv-def wf-adjacency-list-def set-bhdr-matrix-def
      tlsx-matrix-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
      intro!: add-block-wf-adjacency)+

context
begin
lemma size-join-block:
  e-addr b1 + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b2 ⟹
  wf-block b1 ⟹ wf-block b2 ⟹
  block-t-size (join-block b1 b2) = block-t-size b1 + block-t-size b2
  unfolding join-block-def
  apply (cases b1, cases b2)
  by (auto simp: wf-block-def)

private lemma h1:
  a ≠ bb ⟹ b ≠ bb ⟹ b ∉ s ⟹ a ∉ t ⟹
  insert (bb) (s - {a} ∪ (t - {b})) = s ∪ t ∪ {bb} - {a} - {b}
  by blast

private lemma h2:
  a ≠ bb ⟹ b ≠ bb ⟹ c ≠ bb ⟹ b ∉ s ⟹ a ∉ t ⟹ c ∉ t ⟹
  insert (bb) (s - {a} - {c} ∪ (t - {b})) = s ∪ t ∪ {bb} - {a} - {c} - {b}
  by blast

lemma inv-free-all-block-mem-size : ⟨⟦λσ. inv σ ∧ block-allocated addr σ⟧ (free addr)
  ⟦λn σ. all-block-mem-size σ ⟧
    unfolding free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def
    apply (wp | split prod.splits, intro allI impI, drule prod-injects(2), erule conjE,
      clarsimp)+
    apply (split if-splits)
    apply (intro conjI impI)
    defer
    apply blast

```

```

apply (split if-splits)
apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply (split if-splits)
  apply (intro conjI impI)
apply auto
subgoal for s
  unfolding inv-def all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def add-block-def
  apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (get-allocated-block addr s)))
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst insert-is-union-conf)
  apply assumption
  apply (subst free-blk-mat-s-eq[symmetric])
  apply rule
  by (metis get-allocated-is-allocated insert-Diff insert-is-Un remove-def sup-assoc)
subgoal for s bp
proof –
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s' = (s | allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove (get-allocated-block addr s) (allocated-bhdr-s
s))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr ?b)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j ?s')
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf ?b s = Some bp
  then obtain b where b': the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    and b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
  by force
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
    all-block-mem-size s
    by (auto simp: inv-def)
  with b b' have bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 +overhead conf = s-addr b
  using prev-freeD (- = Some bp) by blast+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    by (simp add: invs(1) free-blocks-in-matrix)
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with (bp ∈ free-blocks conf s) (wf s) have wfbs: wf-block b wf-block bp
    using all-blocks-def wf-def by blast+
  have block-t-size (join-block bp b) = block-t-size bp + block-t-size b
    apply (rule size-join-block)
    by fact+
  have finite (all-blocks conf s)
    by (auto simp: all-block-is-finite (all-block-mem-size s))
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = None

```



```

show all-block-mem-size ?s''
  unfolding b remove-def
unfolding add-block-def all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
  apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr b))))
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst insert-is-union-conf)
  apply simp
  apply (thin-tac -)
  apply (subst remove-is-minus-conf)
  apply fact+
  apply simp
  apply rule
  apply auto
proof -
  have sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr b)) (free-blocks conf s -
{bp}  $\cup$  (allocated-bhdr-s s - {b}))) =
    sum-block (all-blocks conf s  $\cup$  {(Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr b))} - {bp} -
{b})
    apply (rule arg-cong[where f = sum-block])
    unfolding all-blocks-def
    apply (rule h1)
    using ⟨block-t-size (join-block bp b) = block-t-size bp + block-t-size b⟩
join-block-def oh-gt-0 apply force+
    using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(4) apply blast
    using ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(4) by blast
  also have ... = sum-block (all-blocks conf s) + block-t-size (Bhdr (s-addr bp)
(e-addr b)) - block-t-size bp - block-t-size b
    apply (rule add-implies-diff)
    apply (subst add commute)
    unfolding sum-block-def Un-is-insert
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - b
0, symmetric])
    using ⟨finite -⟩ apply blast
    using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def
invs(4) apply auto[1]
    apply (rule add-implies-diff)
    apply (subst (2) add commute)
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - bp
0, symmetric])
    using ⟨finite -⟩ apply blast
    using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def
invs(4) apply auto[1]
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-insert)
    apply (rule sum-block-f-commute)
    apply fact
    apply (metis Un-iff ⟨block-t-size (join-block bp b) = block-t-size bp +
block-t-size b⟩ ⟨bp ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ add-gr-0
      all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) diff-block-diff-s-addr join-block-def
less-add-same-cancel1 nat-less-le oh-gt-0)

```

```

    by simp
  also have ... = sum-block (all-blocks conf s)
    using ⟨block-t-size (join-block bp b) = block-t-size bp + block-t-size b⟩
    unfolding join-block-def by simp
  finally show sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr b)) (free-blocks conf
s - {bp} ∪ (allocated-bhdr-s s - {b}))) = mem-size conf
    using ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩ all-block-mem-size-def by simp
qed
qed
subgoal for s bs
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?s' = s(|allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)|)
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s'' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s')
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where b':the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs and
    b: get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by fastforce
  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf-adjacency-list s wf s disjoint-memory-set s disjoint-free-non-free
s
    all-block-mem-size s
    by (simp add: inv-def)+
  hence invs': wf-adjacency-list ?s'
    unfolding wf-adjacency-list-def by simp
  from b' b have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
    using suc-freeD invs ⟨- = Some bs⟩ by blast+
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j
    using free-blocks-in-matrix ⟨wf-adjacency-list s⟩
    by auto
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f ?s' ?i ?j
    by simp
  assume block-allocd addr s
  hence b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
    using get-allocated-is-allocd[OF ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ - b[symmetric]]
    by simp
  with ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
    using ⟨wf s⟩ wf-def all-blocks-def
    by blast+
  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bs ?i ?j ?s') = None
  hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)

```

```

    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ wf-block-def
    by (auto dest: prev-free-none-equiv3)
  have block-t-size (join-block b bs) = block-t-size b + block-t-size bs
    apply (rule size-join-block)
    by fact+
  have finite (all-blocks conf s)
    by (auto simp: all-block-is-finite ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩)
  show all-block-mem-size ?s''
    unfolding b remove-def
  unfolding add-block-def all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (Bhdr (s-addr b) (e-addr bs))))
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst insert-is-union-conf)
    apply simp
    apply (thin-tac -)
    apply (subst remove-is-minus-conf)
    apply fact+
    apply simp
    apply rule
    apply auto
  proof -
    have sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr b) (e-addr bs)) (free-blocks conf s -
{bs} ∪ (allocated-bhdr-s s - {b}))) =
      sum-block (all-blocks conf s ∪ {(Bhdr (s-addr b) (e-addr bs))} - {bs} -
{b})
      apply (rule arg-cong[where f = sum-block])
      unfolding all-blocks-def
      apply (rule h1)
      using ⟨block-t-size (join-block b bs) = block-t-size b + block-t-size bs⟩
join-block-def oh-gt-0 apply force+
      using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(4) apply blast
      using ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(4) by blast
    also have ... = sum-block (all-blocks conf s) + block-t-size (Bhdr (s-addr b)
(e-addr bs)) - block-t-size bs - block-t-size b
      apply (rule add-implies-diff)
      apply (subst add commute)
      unfolding sum-block-def Un-is-insert
      apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - b
0, symmetric])
      using ⟨finite -⟩ apply blast
      using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def
invs(4) apply auto[1]
      apply (rule add-implies-diff)
      apply (subst (2) add commute)
      apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - bs
0, symmetric])
      using ⟨finite -⟩ apply blast
      using ⟨b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ all-blocks-def disjoint-free-non-free-def
invs(4) apply auto[1]

```

```

    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-insert)
    apply (rule sum-block-f-commute)
    apply fact
    apply (metis Un-iff ⟨block-t-size (join-block b bs) = block-t-size b +
block-t-size bs⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ ⟨inv s⟩ add-diff-cancel-right'
    all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(2) diff-block-diff-e-addr diff-is-0-eq join-block-def
leD le-add2 oh-gt-0)
    by simp
    also have ... = sum-block (all-blocks conf s)
    using ⟨block-t-size - = -⟩
    unfolding join-block-def by simp
    finally show sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr b) (e-addr bs)) (free-blocks conf
s - {bs} ∪ (allocated-bhdr-s s - {b}))) = mem-size conf
    using ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩ all-block-mem-size-def by simp
  qed
qed
subgoal for s bs bp
proof -
  let ?b = get-allocated-block addr s
  let ?i = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?j = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bp))
  let ?s = s[allocated-bhdr-s := Set.remove ?b (allocated-bhdr-s s)]
  let ?i' = fst (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?j' = snd (mapping-insert conf (b-size bs))
  let ?s' = add-block (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix
bp ?i ?j (remove-elem-from-matrix bs ?i' ?j' ?s))
  assume suc-hdr-free-s conf ?b s = Some bs
  then obtain b where bs: the (suc-hdr-free-s conf b s) = bs
    and b : get-allocated-block addr s = b
    by force

  assume inv s
  hence invs: wf s disjoint-memory-set s no-split-memory s wf-adjacency-list s
disjoint-free-non-free s
    all-block-mem-size s
  by (auto simp: inv-def)
  with ⟨- = Some bs⟩ have bs ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr b + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr bs
  unfolding b
  using suc-freeD by blast+
  hence bs ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i' ?j'
  using free-blocks-in-matrix invs(4) prod.collapse by blast
  assume block-allocated addr s
  with invs have b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s
  using get-allocated-is-allocated b by auto
  with ⟨wf s⟩ ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ have wf-block b wf-block bs
  unfolding wf-def all-blocks-def by simp+

  assume prev-free-hdr-s conf (Bhdr (s-addr ?b) (e-addr bs)) (remove-elem-from-matrix

```

```

bs ?i' ?j' ?s) = Some bp
  hence prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = Some bp
    unfolding b
    apply -
    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
    apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
    using wf-def all-blocks-def ⟨wf s⟩ apply force
    using disjoint-memory-set-def all-blocks-def ⟨disjoint-memory-set s⟩ apply
force
    using ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ wf-block-def by simp+
  hence bp: the (prev-free-hdr-s conf b s) = bp
    bp ∈ free-blocks conf s
    e-addr bp + 1 + overhead conf = s-addr b
    using prev-freeD invs by force+
  hence bp ∈ bhdr-matrix-f s ?i ?j wf-block bp
    using wf-def all-blocks-def invs free-blocks-in-matrix by force+
  have block-t-size (join-block b bs) = block-t-size b + block-t-size bs
    apply (rule size-join-block)
    by fact+
  moreover have block-t-size (join-block bp (join-block b bs)) = block-t-size bp
+ block-t-size (join-block b bs)
    apply (rule size-join-block)
    using ⟨- = s-addr b⟩ join-block-def apply simp
    apply fact
    apply (rule wf-join-block)
    apply fact+
    apply (rule all-blocks-size-gt-two-blocks)
    apply (rule ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩[unfolded all-block-mem-size-def])
    using all-blocks-def ⟨b ∈ -⟩ apply simp
    using all-blocks-def ⟨bs ∈ free-blocks conf s⟩ apply simp
    using ⟨wf-block b⟩ ⟨wf-block bs⟩ ⟨- = s-addr bs⟩ wf-block-def apply force
    apply (rule all-block-is-finite) by fact
  ultimately have block-t-size (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) = block-t-size bp
+ block-t-size b + block-t-size bs
    unfolding join-block-def by auto
  have finite (all-blocks conf s)
    by (auto simp: all-block-is-finite ⟨all-block-mem-size s⟩)
  show all-block-mem-size ?s'
    unfolding b
    unfolding all-block-mem-size-def all-blocks-def add-block-def
    apply (cases mapping-insert conf (b-size (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs))))
    apply clarsimp
    apply (subst free4)
    apply assumption
    apply rule+
    apply (thin-tac -; fact)+
    apply (thin-tac -)
    unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def
    apply clarsimp

```

```

unfolding remove-def
proof -
  have sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (free-blocks conf s -
```

$$\{bs\} - \{bp\} \cup (\text{allocated-bhdr-}s\ s - \{b\})) =$$

```

    sum-block (all-blocks conf s  $\cup \{Bhdr\ (s-addr\ bp)\ (e-addr\ bs)\}$  -  $\{bs\} -$ 
 $\{bp\} - \{b\}$ )
    apply (rule arg-cong[where f = sum-block])
    unfolding all-blocks-def
    apply (rule h2)
    using  $\langle \text{block-t-size}\ (Bhdr\ (s-addr\ bp)\ (e-addr\ bs)) = \text{block-t-size}\ bp +$ 
 $\text{block-t-size}\ b + \text{block-t-size}\ bs \rangle$  oh-gt-0 apply force+
    apply (metis bp(2) bp(3) invs(3) no-split-memory-def)
    using  $\langle bs \in \text{free-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s \rangle$  disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(5) apply blast
    using bp(2) disjoint-free-non-free-def invs(5) by blast
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum-block}\ (\text{all-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s) + \text{block-t-size}\ (Bhdr\ (s-addr\ bp)$ 
 $(e-addr\ bs)) - \text{block-t-size}\ bs - \text{block-t-size}\ bp - \text{block-t-size}\ b$ 
    apply (rule add-implies-diff)
    apply (subst add commute)
    unfolding sum-block-def Un-is-insert
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - b
```

$$0, \text{symmetric}]$$

```

    using  $\langle \text{finite} \rightarrow \rangle$  apply blast
    using  $\langle b \in \text{allocated-bhdr-}s\ s \rangle \langle bs \in \text{free-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s \rangle$  all-blocks-def bp(2)
 $\text{disjoint-free-non-free-def}$  invs(5) apply auto[1]
    apply (rule add-implies-diff)
    apply (subst (2) add commute)
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - bp
```

$$0, \text{symmetric}]$$

```

    using  $\langle \text{finite} \rightarrow \rangle$  apply blast
    using  $\langle \text{block-t-size}\ (Bhdr\ (s-addr\ bp)\ (e-addr\ bs)) = \text{block-t-size}\ bp +$ 
 $\text{block-t-size}\ b + \text{block-t-size}\ bs \rangle$  all-blocks-def bp(2) oh-gt-0 apply fastforce
    apply (rule add-implies-diff)
    apply (subst (2) add commute)
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-rec[OF sum-block-f-commute, of - bs
```

$$0, \text{symmetric}]$$

```

    using  $\langle \text{finite} \rightarrow \rangle$  apply blast
    apply (simp add:  $\langle bs \in \text{free-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s \rangle$  all-blocks-def)
    apply (subst comp-fun-commute.fold-insert)
    apply (rule sum-block-f-commute)
    apply fact
    apply (metis Un-iff  $\langle \text{block-t-size}\ (Bhdr\ (s-addr\ bp)\ (e-addr\ bs)) = \text{block-t-size}$ 
 $bp + \text{block-t-size}\ b + \text{block-t-size}\ bs \rangle \langle bs \in \text{free-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s \rangle \langle \text{inv}\ s \rangle$ 
     $\text{add-cancel-left-left}\ \text{add-eq-0-iff-both-eq-0}\ \text{all-blocks-def}\ \text{bhdr-t.sel}(2)$ 
 $\text{diff-block-diff-e-addr}\ \text{neq0-conv}\ \text{oh-gt-0}$ )
    by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum-block}\ (\text{all-blocks}\ \text{conf}\ s)$ 
    using  $\langle \text{block-t-size}\ (Bhdr\ -\ -) = \rightarrow \rangle$  by auto

finally show sum-block (insert (Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)) (free-blocks

```

```

conf s - {bs} - {bp}  $\cup$  (allocated-bhdr-s s - {b})) = mem-size conf
  using  $\langle$ all-block-mem-size s $\rangle$  all-block-mem-size-def by simp
qed
qed
done

end

```

```

theorem inv-free:  $\llbracket \lambda \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma \wedge \text{block-allocated addr } \sigma \rrbracket$  (free addr)  $\llbracket \lambda n \sigma. \text{inv } \sigma \rrbracket$ 
  unfolding inv-def
  apply (rule hoare-conjII)+
  using inv-free-no-split-memory inv-free-disjoint-free-non-free
  inv-free-disjoint-memory-set inv-free-wf inv-free-wf-adjacency-list
  inv-free-all-block-mem-size
  using inv-def by auto

```

thm valid-def

— properties

— malloc returns 0 if the size to be allocated is larger than the biggest available block

```

lemma r-gt-max-block-fail:  $(\llbracket (\lambda \sigma. (\text{inv } s) \wedge (\text{suitable-blocks conf } (\text{snd } (\text{mapping-search conf } r)) \sigma = \{\})) \rrbracket$ 
  (malloc r)  $\llbracket \lambda \text{ret } s. \text{ret} = 0 \rrbracket$ 
  unfolding malloc-def remove-block-def Let-def find-suitable-blocks-opt-def
  by wpsimp

```

```

lemma next-block-j-lt-sl:
   $j < \text{sl conf} \implies \text{next-block conf } (i, j) = (i', j') \implies j' < \text{sl conf}$ 
  unfolding next-block-def
  by (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma map-search-j-lt-sl: mapping-search conf r = (r', i, j)  $\implies j < \text{sl conf}$ 
  unfolding mapping-search-def
  apply (auto split: prod.splits if-splits simp: Let-def)
  defer
  apply (rule next-block-j-lt-sl)
  defer
  apply assumption
  defer
  apply (rule next-block-j-lt-sl)
  defer
  apply assumption
  using mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set[OF mbiggerl] by metis+

```

lemma *r-gt-min-block-alloc*:

$\{\{(\lambda\sigma'. \sigma=\sigma' \wedge \text{inv } \sigma \wedge r'=fst \text{ (mapping-search conf } r) \wedge \text{suitable-blocks conf (snd (mapping-search conf } r)) \sigma \neq \{\})\}\}$

malloc *r*

$\{\{(\lambda addr \sigma'. addr > 0 \wedge$

$(\exists b \in \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma.$

$s\text{-addr } b = addr \wedge b\text{-size } b \geq r' \wedge$

$((b\text{-size } b - r') \geq \text{min-block conf} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists b' b''. (\text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma' = \text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma \cup \{b'\}) \wedge$

$(\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma) - \{b\} \cup \{b''\} = \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' \wedge$

$(b', b'') = \text{split-block } r' b)) \wedge$

$((b\text{-size } b - r') < \text{min-block conf} \longrightarrow$

$(\text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma' = \text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma \cup \{b\}) \wedge$

$(\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma) = \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' \cup \{b\}))\}$

unfolding *malloc-def* *remove-block-def* *Let-def*

apply *upsimp*

apply (*intro conjI impI*)

subgoal — No suitable blocks – Trivially False

unfolding *find-suitable-blocks-opt-def* *Let-def*

by *force*

apply (*intro ballI conjI*)

subgoal for *r' i j p b* — Split block

apply (*frule free-matrix-in-free-block*)

apply (*metis option.sel prod.collapse suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl*)

apply (*intro impI allI conjI*)

subgoal for *b1 b2*

using *inv-def wf-def wf-block-def split-block-def oh-gt-0*

by (*metis Un-iff all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) fst-conv neq0-conv not-le*)

apply (*rule bexI[of - b]*)

defer

apply *assumption*

subgoal for *b1 b2*

apply (*intro conjI impI*)

using *split-block-def* **apply** *auto[1]*

using *min-block-gt-overhead* **apply** *linarith*

apply *simp-all*

apply *rule*

subgoal

unfolding *add-block-def* *Let-def* *remove-elem-from-matrix-def*

by (*auto split: prod.splits*)

subgoal

unfolding *add-block-def* *Let-def* *remove-elem-from-matrix-def*

apply (*split prod.splits*)

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*subst free-blocks-insert-is-union*)

apply (*metis mapping-insert-r-in-l2-set mbiggerl*)

apply (*subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus*)

apply (*force simp: inv-def*)


```

    apply assumption
    apply (metis prod.collapse suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl)
    apply (rule refl)
    by blast
  done
done
subgoal for  $r' i j p b$  — No Split block
  apply (frule free-matrix-in-free-block)
  apply (metis option.sel prod.collapse suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl)
  apply (intro impI conjI)
  using inv-def wf-def wf-block-def all-blocks-def oh-gt-0
  apply (metis (full-types) Un-iff neq0-conv not-le)
  apply (rule bexI[of - b])
  defer
  apply assumption
  apply (intro conjI impI)
  apply (rule refl)
  subgoal
  proof -
    assume  $\exists y. \text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \sigma = \text{Some } y$ 
    then obtain  $ps$  where  $\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \sigma = \text{Some } ps$ 
      by blast
    assume inv  $\sigma$ 
    hence wf-adjacency-list  $\sigma$ 
      by (force simp: inv-def)
    assume mapping-search conf  $r = (r', i, j)$ 
    hence  $l1:r' \in l2\text{-set conf } i j$ 
      unfolding mapping-search-def Let-def
      apply (auto split: prod.splits if-splits)
      using mbiggerl range-l2-disj fst-range-in-set l2-set-def by blast+
    have  $j < sl \text{ conf}$ 
      apply (rule map-search-j-lt-sl)
      by fact
    assume  $p \in \text{the } (\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \sigma)$ 
    hence  $l2:(i,j) \leq_b p$ 
      using suitable-blocks-ij-increase
    by (metis (find-suitable-blocks-opt (i, j)  $\sigma = \text{Some } ps$ ) option.sel prod.collapse)
    have  $snd p < sl \text{ conf}$ 
      apply (rule suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl[of - - - fst p])
      apply fact
    using (find-suitable-blocks-opt (i, j)  $\sigma = \text{Some } ps$ ) (p  $\in \text{the } (\text{find-suitable-blocks-opt } (i, j) \sigma)$ )
      by force
    assume  $b \in \text{bhdr-matrix-f } \sigma (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)$ 
    have  $l3:b\text{-size } b \in l2\text{-set conf } (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)$ 
      apply (rule block-mat-size)
      by fact+
    from l1 l2 l3 show  $r' \leq b\text{-size } b$ 
      unfolding block-let-def block-lt-def

```

```

    apply (auto simp: Let-def)
  subgoal
    using l2-set-mapping-search-geq-r[OF mbiggerl ⟨mapping-search conf - =
    -⟩[symmetric]]
    by blast
  subgoal
    apply (drule snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-i'-j'[OF mbiggerl ⟨j < -⟩ ⟨(snd p) < -⟩])
    unfolding l2-set-def Let-def
    by auto
  subgoal
    apply (drule snd-range-l2-i-j-less-fst-j'[OF mbiggerl, of - - fst p])
    unfolding l2-set-def Let-def
    by auto
  done
qed
apply blast
subgoal
  unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
  by clarsimp
unfolding remove-elem-from-matrix-def Let-def
apply (subst (2) free-blk-mat-s-eq)
apply clarsimp
apply (subst free-blocks-remove-is-minus)
apply (force simp: inv-def)
apply assumption
apply (metis prod.collapse suitable-blocks-j-lt-sl)
by blast
done

```

```

lemma (b1, b2) = split-block r b  $\implies$  (b2, b1) = split-block r b  $\implies$  False
  unfolding split-block-def
  apply (cases b, cases b1, cases b2)
  using oh-gt-0 by auto

```

```

lemma exist-split-prev-equiv:
  wf s  $\implies$  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$ 
    ( $\exists b' bc r. b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \wedge (b', b) = \text{split-block } r bc$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists bp. \text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b s = \text{Some } bp$ )
  apply rule
  apply auto
  subgoal for b' bc r
    — uncomment the following proof script to get a different problem
    — whether or not should we prove bp is THE b. P b ?
    — this means whether or not should we prove the uniqueness of bp

```

```

  unfolding prev-free-hdr-s-def
  apply auto
  apply (rule exI)

```

```

apply auto
apply (cases b')
apply (auto simp: split-block-def)
  by (metis Suc-pred Un-iff add.commute all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) diff-0-eq-0
diff-add-inverse neq0-conv not-le oh-gt-0 wf-def wf-block-def)
subgoal for bp
  apply (drule prev-freeD, simp-all)
  apply rule
  apply auto
  unfolding split-block-def
  apply (cases b, cases bp)
  apply auto
  by (metis Suc-le-eq Un-iff add-diff-inverse-nat all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) bhdr-t.sel(2)
diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero less-imp-le-nat not-le wf-def wf-block-def)
done

```

```

lemma non-exist-split-prev-equiv:
  assumes wf s disjoint-memory-set s
  shows  $(\forall b' bc r. b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \longrightarrow (b', b) \neq \text{split-block } r \text{ } bc) \longleftrightarrow$ 
prev-free-hdr-s conf b s = None
  using exist-split-prev-equiv[OF assms]
  by (metis option.distinct(1) option.exhaust)

```

```

lemma exist-split-suc-equiv:
  wf s  $\implies$  disjoint-memory-set s  $\implies$  b  $\in$  allocated-bhdr-s s  $\implies$ 
   $(\exists b' bc r. b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \wedge (b, b') = \text{split-block } r \text{ } bc) \longleftrightarrow (\exists bs.$ 
suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = Some bs)
  apply rule
  apply auto
  subgoal for b' bc r
    unfolding suc-hdr-free-s-def
    apply auto
    apply (rule exI[of - b'])
    apply auto
    apply (cases b')
    apply (auto simp: split-block-def)
    by (metis Suc-pred Un-iff add-diff-cancel-right' all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1)
diff-0-eq-0 diff-is-0-eq diff-zero neq0-conv oh-gt-0 wf-def wf-block-def)
  subgoal for bp
    apply (drule suc-freeD, simp-all)
    apply rule
    apply auto
    unfolding split-block-def
    apply (cases b, cases bp)
    apply auto
    by (metis Suc-le-eq Un-iff add-diff-inverse-nat all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) bhdr-t.sel(2)
diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero less-imp-le-nat not-le wf-def wf-block-def)
  done

```

lemma *non-exist-split-suc-equiv*:

assumes *wf s disjoint-memory-set s b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s*
shows $(\forall b' bc r. b' \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \longrightarrow (b, b') \neq \text{split-block } r \text{ } bc) \longleftrightarrow$
suc-hdr-free-s conf b s = None
using *exist-split-suc-equiv[OF assms]*
by *auto*

lemma *exist-split--prev-suc-equiv*:

wf s \implies disjoint-memory-set s \implies b ∈ allocated-bhdr-s s \implies
 $(\exists b1 b2 bc bc' r r'. b1 \in \text{free-blocks conf } s \wedge b2 \in \text{free-blocks conf } s$
 $\wedge (b1, bc') = \text{split-block } r \text{ } bc \wedge (b, b2) = \text{split-block } r' \text{ } bc')$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists bs. \text{suc-hdr-free-s conf b s} = \text{Some bs}) \wedge (\exists bp. \text{prev-free-hdr-s conf b s}$
 $= \text{Some bp})$
apply *rule*
apply *auto*
using *exist-split-suc-equiv* **apply** *blast*
apply (*subst exist-split-prev-equiv[symmetric], simp-all*)
subgoal for *b1 b2 bc bc' r r'*
apply (*rule exI[of - b1]*)
apply *auto*
apply (*rule exI[of - Bhdr (s-addr b1) (e-addr b)]*)
apply (*rule exI[of - b-size b1]*)
unfolding *split-block-def*
apply *clarsimp*
by (*metis Suc-pred Un-upper2 add commute all-blocks-def bhdr-t.sel(1) contra-subsetD*
diff-0-eq-0
diff-add-inverse neg0-conv not-le oh-gt-0 wf-def sup-commute wf-block-def)
subgoal for *bs bp*
apply (*drule suc-freeD, simp-all*)
apply (*drule prev-freeD, simp-all*)
apply (*rule exI[of - bp], simp*)
apply (*rule exI[of - bs], simp*)
apply (*rule exI[of - Bhdr (s-addr bp) (e-addr bs)]*)
apply (*rule exI[of - Bhdr (s-addr b) (e-addr bs)]*)
unfolding *split-block-def*
apply *clarsimp*
apply *rule*
apply (*rule exI[of - b-size bp]*)
apply *rule*
apply (*metis Un-iff all-blocks-def b-size.simps bhdr-t.exhaust-sel diff-Suc-Suc*
diff-zero le-Suc-eq le-add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc wf-def wf-block-def)
apply (*metis One-nat-def Un-iff add.left-neutral add-Suc all-blocks-def b-size.simps*
bhdr-t.exhaust-sel le-Suc-eq le-add-diff-inverse wf-def wf-block-def)
apply (*rule exI[of - b-size b]*)
apply *rule*
apply (*metis Un-iff all-blocks-def b-size.simps bhdr-t.exhaust-sel diff-Suc-Suc*
diff-zero le-Suc-eq le-add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc wf-def wf-block-def)
apply (*metis One-nat-def Un-iff add.left-neutral add-Suc all-blocks-def b-size.simps*)

bhdr-t.exhaust-sel le-Suc-eq le-add-diff-inverse wf-def wf-block-def)

done

done

lemma *free-addr-allocated*:

$\{\lambda\sigma'. \sigma = \sigma' \wedge \text{inv } \sigma' \wedge \text{block-allocated addr } \sigma\} \text{ free addr}$

$\{\lambda x \sigma'.$

let $b = \text{get-allocated-block addr } \sigma$ *in*

$\text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma = \text{allocated-bhdr-s } \sigma' \cup \{b\} \wedge$

$(\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma = \text{None} \wedge \text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma = \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' = \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma \cup \{b\}) \wedge$

$(\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma = \text{None} \longrightarrow$

$\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' \cup \{\text{the } (\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma)\} = \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma \cup \{\text{join-block } (\text{the } (\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma)) \ b\}) \wedge$

$(\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma = \text{None} \wedge \text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow$

$\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' \cup \{\text{the } (\text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma)\} = \text{free-blocks conf } \sigma \cup \{\text{join-block } b \ (\text{the } (\text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma))\}) \wedge$

$(\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow$

$\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma' \cup \{\text{the } (\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{the } (\text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma)\} =$

$\text{free-blocks conf } \sigma \cup \{\text{join-block } (\text{the } (\text{prev-free-hdr-s conf } b \sigma)) \ (\text{join-block } b \ (\text{the } (\text{suc-hdr-free-s conf } b \sigma)))\})\}$

unfolding *free-def join-prev-def join-suc-def join-block-def Let-def*

apply (*wp* | *split prod.splits*, *intro allI impI*, *drule prod-injects(2)*, *erule conjE*, *clarsimp*) +

apply (*split if-splits*)

apply (*rule conjI*)

defer

apply *blast*

apply (*rule impI*)

apply (*split if-splits*)

apply (*rule conjI*)

apply (*rule impI*)

subgoal for *s*

apply (*split if-splits*)

apply (*rule conjI*)

apply (*rule impI*)

subgoal — case 1

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*auto simp: inv-def add-block-def*

split: prod.splits intro: get-allocated-is-allocated)

using *insert-is-union-conf free-blk-mat-s-eq* **by** *force* +

apply (*rule impI*)

subgoal — case 2

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*intro conjI impI*)

subgoal for *bp*

by (*auto simp: add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def inv-def*

```

      split: prod.splits intro: get-allocated-is-allocated)
subgoal for bp
  unfolding add-block-def Let-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def inv-def
  apply (split prod.splits)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst insert-is-union-conf, simp-all)
  apply (subst remove-is-minus-conf, simp-all)
  apply (drule prev-freeD, simp-all)
  apply (rule free-blocks-in-matrix, simp-all)
  by (auto dest: prev-freeD)
done
done
apply (rule impI)
subgoal for s
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule impI)
subgoal — case 3
  apply (subgoal-tac prev-free-hdr-s conf (get-allocated-block addr s) s = None)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (intro conjI impI)
subgoal for bp
  by (auto simp: add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def inv-def
      split: prod.splits intro: get-allocated-is-allocated)
subgoal for bp
  unfolding add-block-def Let-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def inv-def
  apply (split prod.splits)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst insert-is-union-conf, simp-all)
  apply (subst remove-is-minus-conf, simp-all)
  apply (drule suc-freeD, simp-all)
  apply (rule free-blocks-in-matrix, simp-all)
  by (auto dest: suc-freeD)
subgoal
  apply clarsimp
  apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv2, simp)
  apply (drule prev-free-none-equiv3)
  apply (drule suc-freeD, simp-all add:inv-def)
  using get-allocated-is-allocated
  by (metis Un-iff add-Suc-right add-leD1 all-blocks-def not-less-eq-eq wf-def
wf-block-def)
done
apply (rule impI)
subgoal — case 4
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists y. \text{prev-free-hdr-s conf (get-allocated-block addr s) s = Some}$ 
y)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (intro conjI impI)
subgoal
  by (auto simp: add-block-def remove-elem-from-matrix-def inv-def

```

```

      split: prod.splits intro: get-allocated-is-allocated)
subgoal for bs bp' bp
  apply (subgoal-tac bp' = bp)
  apply hypsubst-thin
subgoal
  apply (thin-tac - = Some bp)
  unfolding add-block-def Let-def
  apply (split prod.splits)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (subst free4)
  apply (auto simp: prev-freeD wf-def all-blocks-def inv-def
    dest: prev-freeD suc-freeD intro!: free-blocks-in-matrix)
  using get-allocated-is-allocated
  by (metis UnI2 all-blocks-def wf-def)
subgoal
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
  apply (auto simp: inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def
    dest!: suc-freeD)
by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n Un-iff add commute all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def

      get-allocated-is-allocated le-cases le-trans wf-def trans-le-add2 wf-block-def)
done
subgoal
  apply auto
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv2, simp)
  apply (drule prev-free-some-equiv3)
  apply (auto simp: inv-def wf-def all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def
    dest!: suc-freeD)
by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n Un-iff add commute all-blocks-def disjoint-memory-set-def

      get-allocated-is-allocated le-cases le-trans wf-def trans-le-add2 wf-block-def)
done
done
done

lemma undefined = undefined
  by simp

end

```