

# Real Analysis: Homework #2

Due on Mar 12, 2024 at 10:00am

*Professor Yakun Xi Tuesday*

Shuang Hu

## Problem 1

Complete the proof of Theorem 1.9.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\bar{\mu}$  be a complete measure on  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ . We divide this proof in the following three steps. First,  $\forall \mathcal{S} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  can be divided to  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset N$  for  $\mu(N) = 0$ . By the definition of  $\bar{\mu}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{S}) = \mu(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0$ . So  $\bar{\mu} : \bar{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ .

Second, we show the additive. Choose  $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}$  with disjoint sets  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{E}_i \cup \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{F}_i = \emptyset$ ,  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}$  disjoint,  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset N_i$  with  $\mu(N_i) = 0$ . Then:

$$\bar{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_i) = \bar{\mu}((\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_i) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i)).$$

As  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset N_i$  with  $\mu(N_i) = 0$ ,  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$  with  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i) = 0$ . So:

$$\bar{\mu}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_i) = \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\mathcal{E}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(\mathcal{E}_i \cup \mathcal{F}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mu}(\mathcal{S}_i).$$

Third, by the definition,  $\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . So  $\bar{\mu}$  is a measure. And for  $N$  s.t.  $\bar{\mu}(N) = 0$ , i.e.  $\exists \tilde{N}$  s.t.  $N \subset \tilde{N}$ ,  $\mu(\tilde{N}) = 0$ , we have:  $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset N$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \subset \tilde{N}$ , i.e.  $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{F}) = \bar{\mu}(\emptyset \cup \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . So  $\bar{\mu}$  is complete.

Then we show the uniqueness. Assume  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a complete measure on  $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ , then:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}) \leq \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{E}) + \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{F}).$$

As  $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset N$  s.t.  $\mu(N) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ , we can see  $\tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}) = \tilde{\mu}(\mathcal{E})$ , it means  $\tilde{\mu} = \bar{\mu}$ . □

## Problem 2

A finitely additive measure  $\mu$  is a measure iff it is continuous from below. If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $\mu$  is a measure iff it is continuous from above.

*Proof.* If  $\mu$  is a measure, Theorem 1.8 shows the continuity from below, and the continuity from above in the case  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . So it suffices to show the opposite direction.

If  $\mu$  be finitely additive and continuous from below, choose disjoint sets  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , mark  $\mathcal{F}_i := \cup_{j=1}^i \mathcal{E}_j$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \cdots.$$

$\mu$  is continuous from below, i.e.

$$\mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_i) = \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{F}_n).$$

By the finitely additivity,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{F}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\mathcal{E}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\mathcal{E}_i).$$

It means  $\mu$  is additive.

If  $\mu$  be finitely additive and continuous from above,  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , choose disjoint sets  $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i := \cup_{j=1}^i \mathcal{E}_j$ , then:

$$\mathcal{F}_1^c \supset \mathcal{F}_2^c \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{F}_n^c \supset \cdots,$$

and  $\mu(\mathcal{F}_1^c) \leq \mu(X) < \infty$ . Then:

$$\mu(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i^c) = \mu(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{F}_n^c) = \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathcal{F}_n) = \mu(X) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\mathcal{E}_n).$$

The second step is derived by the continuity from above, and the final step from the finitely additivity.

So:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\mathcal{E}_n) = \mu(X) - \mu(\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i^c) = \mu((\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i^c)^c) = \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i) = \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_i).$$

It means  $\mu$  is additive. □

### Problem 3

Every  $\sigma$ -finite measure is semifinite.

*Proof.*  $\forall \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \infty$ , we should construct  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$  s.t.  $0 < \mu(\mathcal{F}) < \infty$ .

As  $\mu$  be  $\sigma$ -finite,  $\exists \{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$  s.t.  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_i = X$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{E})$ .

Mark  $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{E}_i \cap \mathcal{E}$ , as  $\mu(\mathcal{E}_i) < \infty$ ,  $\forall i$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{F}_i) < \infty$ . If  $\forall i$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{F}_i) = 0$ , as  $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ , contradict!

So  $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\mu(\mathcal{F}_j) > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_j \subset \mathcal{E}$  and  $0 < \mu(\mathcal{F}_j) < \infty$ . □

### Problem 4

If  $\mu$  is a semifinite measure and  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , for any  $C > 0$  there exists  $F \subset E$  with  $0 < \mu(F) < C$ .

*Proof.* Proof by contradiction. Assume  $\exists C_0 > 0$  s.t.  $\forall F \subset E$  with  $\mu(F) < \infty$ ,  $\mu(F) < C_0$ . Mark

$$\mathcal{F} := \{F \mid F \subset E, \mu(F) < C_0\},$$

$\mathcal{F}$  is an ordered set with relation  $\subset$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximum element  $\bar{F}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mu(\bar{F}) < C_0$ .

As  $\mu(E) = \infty$  and  $\mu(\bar{F}) < C_0$ ,  $\mu(E \setminus \bar{F}) = \infty$ .  $\mu$  be semifinite means  $\exists \mathcal{S} \subset E \setminus \bar{F}$ ,  $\mu(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ . So  $\mu(\bar{F} \cup \mathcal{S}) < C_0 + \mu(\mathcal{S}) < \infty$ .

On the other hand, by assumption,  $\mu(\bar{F} \cup \mathcal{S}) < \infty$  means  $\mu(\bar{F} \cup \mathcal{S}) < C_0$ , i.e.  $\bar{F} \cup \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{F}$ . However,  $\bar{F}$  is the maximum element in  $\mathcal{F}$ , this leads to a contradiction, Q.E.D. □

### Problem 5

If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on  $X$  and  $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of disjoint  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets, then  $\mu^*(E \cap (\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_j)$  for any  $E \subset X$ .

*Proof.* By Caratheodory Theorem,  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Mark  $B_n := \cup_{j=1}^n A_j$ , it suffices to show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mu^*(E \cap B_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^*(E \cap A_j). \quad (1)$$

Proof by induction. For  $n = 1$ ,  $B_1 = A_1$ , so (1) holds. Assume (1) holds for  $n = k$ , consider  $n = k + 1$ .

By the definition of  $\mu^*$ -measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(E) &= \mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}^c) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(E \cap B_n^c \cap A_{n+1}^c) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(E \cap B_n^c) - \mu^*(E \cap B_n^c \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(E \cap B_n^c) - \mu^*(E \cap A_{n+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the final step from the fact that  $\{A_i\}$  disjoint. By (2) and the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^*(E \cap B_{n+1}) &= \mu^*(E) - \mu^*(E \cap B_n^c) + \mu^*(E \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap B_n) + \mu^*(E \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu^*(E \cap A_j).\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

So, by induction, (1) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mu^*(E \cap B_n) < \infty$ , the proof is completed just by setting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (1). If  $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\mu^*(E \cap B_{N_0}) = \infty$ , as  $B_{N_0} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ ,  $\mu^*(E \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)) \geq \mu^*(E \cap B_{N_0}) = \infty$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \mu^*(E \cap A_j) = \infty$ . Now we complete the proof.  $\square$

## Problem 6

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be an algebra,  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  the collection of countable unions of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  the collection of countable intersections of sets in  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be a premeasure on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu^*$  the induced outer measure.

1. For any  $E \subset X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  with  $E \subset A$  and  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$ .
2. If  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , then  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable iff there exists  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  with  $E \subset B$  and  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ .
3. If  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the restriction  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$  in (b) is superfluous.

*Proof.* (1) If  $\mu^*(E) = \infty$ , just set  $A = \cup_{S \in \mathcal{A}} S$ . So we only need to check the case  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ . By the definition of outer measure derived by premeasure,

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf_{(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \supset E} \sum \mu_0(A_i),$$

where  $\{A_i\}$  are disjoint. It means that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , s.t.  $E \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  and  $\mu^*(E) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_i)$ . Set  $A := \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , we can see  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ , and  $\mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_i)$ . It completes the proof.  $\square$

(2) Before the proof, we introduce the following three lemmas first.

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by elements in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ , we can see  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  be closed under countable union. For complements, choose  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $\exists \{A_{ij}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , s.t.

$$A = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij}.$$

Then

$$A^c = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij}^c = \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{ij}^c \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}.$$

So  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. On the other hand, for a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \supset \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable unions and intersections, so  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.**  $\forall S \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $S$  is a  $\mu^*$ -measurable set.

*Proof.* By Caratheodory's Theorem, the  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.13,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Choose  $\mathcal{T} := \{S : S \text{ is } \mu^*\text{-measurable}\}$ , by Lemma 1,  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta} \subset \mathcal{T}$ . Q.E.D.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.**  $\mu^*(A) = 0$  means  $A$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\forall \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\mu^*(\mathcal{S}) = \mu^*(\mathcal{S} \cap A^c)$ .  $\forall$  disjoint sets  $\{\mathcal{A}_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i \supset \mathcal{S}$ , choose  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i := \mathcal{A}_i \setminus A$ , it means that  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i \supset \mathcal{S} \cap A^c$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(\mathcal{A}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i)$ . It completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we continue the proof.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": As  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , by (1), we can choose a sequence of sets  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ , s.t.

- $E \subset A_n$ .
- $\mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Choose  $B := \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , it's clear that  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \subset B$ . WLOG, we assume the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  is decreasing. Then:

$$0 \leq \mu^*(B \setminus E) = \mu^*(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \setminus E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^*(A_n \setminus E) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0.$$

It completes the proof.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Choose  $F \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . By the monotony of  $\mu^*$ ,

$$\mu^*(F \cap E) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c) \leq \mu^*(F \cap B) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c).$$

By Lemma 2,  $B$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable, i.e.  $\mu^*(F) = \mu^*(F \cap B) + \mu^*(F \cap B^c)$ . So it suffices to show  $\mu^*(F \cap E^c) = \mu^*(F \cap B^c)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(F \cap B^c) &= \mu^*(F \cap E^c \cap (B \setminus E)^c) \\ &= \mu^*(F \cap E^c) + \mu^*(F \cap E^c \cap (B \setminus E)) \\ &= \mu^*(F \cap E^c). \end{aligned}$$

The first step is from  $B = E \cup B \setminus E$ , The second from the fact that  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$  and Lemma 3, and the third from the monotony of  $\mu^*$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

(3)  $\mu_0$  be  $\sigma$ -finite means  $\exists \{\mathcal{A}_i\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  s.t.

- $X = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_i$ .
- $\mu_0(\mathcal{A}_i) < \infty$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ ":  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable means  $A_i \cap E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. By (2),  $\exists B_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  with  $X_i \cap E \subset B_i$  and  $\mu^*(B_i \setminus (X_i \cap E)) = 0$ . Mark  $B := \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ , it's clear that  $E \subset \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = B$  and

$$\mu^*(B \setminus E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_i \setminus (X_i \cap E)) = 0.$$

" $\Leftarrow$ ":  $E \subset B$  means  $A_i \cap E \subset A_i \cap B$ , then

$$\mu^*((A_i \cap B) \setminus (A_i \cap E)) \leq \mu^*(B \cap E) = 0.$$

On the other hand, for  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $A_i \cap B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ . By (2),  $X_i \cap E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. By Caratheodory's Theorem,  $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (X_i \cap E) = E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.  $\square$

## Problem 7

Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on  $X$  induced from a finite premeasure  $\mu_0$ . If  $E \subset X$ , define the **inner measure** of  $E$  to be  $\mu_*(E) = \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(E^c)$ . Then  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable iff  $\mu^*(E) = \mu_*(E)$ .

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ ": If  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable and  $\mu_0$  be finite, by Problem 6(3),  $\exists B \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$  s.t.  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ . By Lemma 2,  $B$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable, i.e.  $\mu_0(X) = \mu^*(B) + \mu^*(B^c)$ . On the other hand, we have:

- $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(E \cup (B \setminus E)) \leq \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(B \setminus E) = \mu^*(E)$ .
- $\mu^*(B^c) = \mu^*(E^c \cap (B \setminus E)^c) = \mu^*(E^c) - \mu^*(E^c \cap (B \setminus E)) = \mu^*(E^c)$ , this equality is derived from Lemma 3.

So  $\mu_0(X) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) = \mu^*(E) + \mu_*(E)$ . □

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Since  $\mu^*$  be finite,  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ . Then by Problem 6(1),  $\exists \{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}_\sigma$  s.t.

- $E \subset A_n$ .
- $\mu^*(A_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Mark  $B := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma\delta}$ , by Lemma 2,  $B$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable and  $E^c \supset B^c$ , so:

$$\mu^*(E^c) = \mu^*(E^c \cap B) + \mu^*(B^c).$$

It means:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(B \setminus E) &= \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(E) - \mu^*(B^c) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} + \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(A_n) - \mu^*(B^c) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} + \mu_0(X) - \mu^*(A_n \cup B^c) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mu^*(B \setminus E) = 0$ . By Problem 6(3),  $E$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable. □