

Real Analysis: Homework #1

Due on Mar 4, 2024 at 10:00am

Professor Yakun Xi Monday

Shuang Hu

Problem 1

Complete the proof of Proposition 1.2.

Proof. • choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N_0} < \frac{1}{b-a}$,

$$(a, b) = \cup_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \left(a, b - \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

- choose $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N_0} < \frac{1}{b-a}$,

$$(a, b) = \cup_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \left[a + \frac{1}{n}, b \right),$$

•

$$(a, b) = (a, \infty) \cap \left(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(b - \frac{1}{n}, \infty \right) \right)^c,$$

•

$$(a, b) = (-\infty, b) \cap \left(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\infty, a + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^c,$$

•

$$(a, b) = [b, \infty)^c \cap \left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a + \frac{1}{n}, \infty \right) \right),$$

•

$$(a, b) = (-\infty, a]^c \cap \left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n} \right] \right).$$

By the definition of generated σ -algebra, it means $(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_i)$, $\forall i = 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$. By Lemma 1.1, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_i)$. Then we complete the proof of Proposition 1.2. \square

Problem 2

Let \mathcal{M} be an infinite σ -algebra

1. \mathcal{M} contains an infinite sequence of disjoint sets.
2. $\text{card}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \aleph$.

Proof. (1). \mathcal{M} be an infinite σ -algebra means that there exists at least \aleph_0 distinct non-empty sets $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Unfortunately, $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ isn't a sequence of disjoint sets in general.

So, we should check the following lemma:

Lemma 1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{M}$ are distinct non-empty sets, there exists a sequence of disjoint non-empty sets $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathcal{M}$, and $\cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{T}_i = \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{S}_i$.

Proof. For $n = 1$, just set $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1$. Assume the lemma holds for $n = k$, consider $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^{k+1}$. By the assumption, there exists a sequence of disjoint non-empty sets $\{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{S}_i = \cup_{i=1}^k \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$. For \mathcal{S}_{k+1} , there are three distinct cases:

- $\exists i \leq k, \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$.
- $\forall i \leq k, \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i = \emptyset$.
- Other cases.

For case 1, we choose $\mathcal{T}_j = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_j$ for $j \leq k$ and $j \neq i$, $\mathcal{T}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i \setminus \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$, $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$. For case 2, we choose $\mathcal{T}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$ for $i \leq k$, $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$. For case 3, we choose $\mathcal{T}_i = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i$ for $i \leq k$, $\mathcal{T}_{k+1} = \mathcal{S}_{k+1} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_i\right)$. Then the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 1. By induction, we complete the proof. \square

The lemma means that $\forall n, \exists$ a sequence of distinct non-empty disjoint sets $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is just the sequence of disjoint sets.

(2) Choose the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in (1), as $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}$ are non-empty disjoint sets, $\forall \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq \mathcal{S}_2$,

$$(\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{S}_1} \mathcal{T}_i) \neq (\bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{S}_2} \mathcal{T}_j).$$

And by the definition of σ -algebra, $\forall \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{T}_i \in \mathcal{M}$. It means that:

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \text{card}(2^{\mathbb{N}}) = \aleph.$$

\square

Problem 3

An algebra \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra iff \mathcal{A} is closed under countable increasing unions.

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": Just by the definition of σ -algebra.

" \Leftarrow ": \mathcal{A} is an algebra, so we just need to check its countable unions.

Choose a series of non-empty sets $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$, mark $\mathcal{F}_i := \bigcup_{j=1}^i \mathcal{S}_j$, it's clear that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$. And by the definition of algebra, $\mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{A}$. As \mathcal{A} is closed under countable increasing unions,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{S}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_i \in \mathcal{A}.$$

So \mathcal{A} is closed under countable unions, i.e. \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra. \square

Problem 4

If \mathcal{M} is the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{E} , then \mathcal{M} is the union of the σ -algebras generated by \mathcal{F} as \mathcal{F} ranges over all countable subsets of \mathcal{E} .

Proof. We complete this proof by three steps. Mark

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}, |\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}).$$

First, we show $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -algebra. For the countable unions, we choose $\{\mathcal{S}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. WLOG, assume $\mathcal{S}_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_i)$, where $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{E}$ and $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \aleph_0$. Mark $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_j$, as $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, $\mathcal{S}_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_i) \subset \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, i.e.

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{S}_i \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}).$$

$\text{card}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \aleph_0$ means $\text{card}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \aleph_0$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, so $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \mathcal{S}_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

On the other hand, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is closed under complement as $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed under complement. So $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -algebra.

Second, we show that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}$. As $\forall \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{M}$, it's clear that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}, |\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{M}.$$

Finally, we show $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. As $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be a σ -algebra, if $\mathcal{E} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we can see $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

$\forall \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{E}$, $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$, $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0$, s.t. $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}.$$

So $\mathcal{E} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, it means $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. \square

Problem 5

If μ_1, \dots, μ_n are measures on (X, \mathcal{M}) and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in [0, \infty)$, then $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j$ is a measure on (X, \mathcal{M}) .

Proof. First, choose $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{M}$, as $a_j \geq 0$ and $\mu_j(\mathcal{S}) \geq 0$, $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j(\mathcal{S}) \geq 0$, i.e. $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j$ is a map from \mathcal{M} to $[0, \infty]$.

Then, as $\forall j, \mu_j(\emptyset) = 0$, $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j(\emptyset) = 0$.

Finally, choose a sequence of disjoint sets $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$,

$$\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j(\cup_{k=1}^\infty E_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j(E_k). \quad (1)$$

We claim that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j(E_k). \quad (2)$$

If LHS = ∞ , i.e. $\exists j_0 \leq n$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{j_0} \mu_{j_0}(E_k) = \infty$,

$$\text{RHS} \geq \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{j_0} \mu_{j_0}(E_k) = \infty.$$

If LHS < ∞ , i.e. $\forall j \leq n$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j(E_k)$ is convergent, as $n < \infty$, $\exists M > 0$ such that $\forall j \leq n$, $\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_j \mu_j(E_k) \leq M$. By dominant convergent theorem(DCT), (2) is true. From (2), (1) and the first two steps, $\sum_1^n a_j \mu_j$ is a measure. \square